



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

those with low levels of CRF,⁸ so clearly efforts are needed to increase physical activity and CRF in obesity.^{9,10}

Certainly, the small study from Kerrigan et al¹ suggests that CRF is more important than obesity regarding COVID-19 hospitalizations. Larger studies are needed to assess the impact of CRF in COVID-19 and other pandemics for “harder” end-points, including intensive care unit admissions, intubation and mechanical ventilation, and mortality.

Carl J. Lavie, MD

John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute
Ochsner Clinical School—the University of
Queensland School of Medicine
New Orleans, LA

Fabian Sanchis-Gomar, MD, PhD

University of Valencia and INCLIVA
Biomedical Research Institute
Spain

Ross Arena, PhD, PT

University Illinois at Chicago
IL

Potential Competing Interests: The authors report no potential competing interests.

ORCID

Carl J. Lavie:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3906-1911>; Fabian Sanchis-Gomar:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0424-4208>

1. Kerrigan D, Brawner C, Ehrman J, Keteyian S. Cardiorespiratory fitness attenuates the impact of risk factors associated with COVID-19 hospitalization. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020. In press.
2. Lavie CJ, Sanchis-Gomar F, Arena R. Fit is it in COVID-19, future pandemics, and overall healthy living. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2021;96(1):7-9.
3. Goyal P, Ringel JB, Rajan M, et al. Obesity and COVID-19 in New York City: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173(10):855-858.
4. Anderson MR, Geleris J, Anderson DR, et al. Body mass index and risk for intubation or death in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173(10):782-790.
5. Tartof SY, Qian L, Hong V, et al. Obesity and mortality among patients diagnosed with COVID-19: results from an integrated health care organization. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173(10):773-781.
6. Sharma A, Garg A, Rout A, Lavie CJ. Association of obesity with more critical illness in COVID-19. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(9):2040-2042.

7. Sanchis-Gomar F, Lavie CJ, Mehra MR, Henry BM, Lippi G. Obesity and outcomes in COVID-19: when an epidemic and pandemic collide. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(7):1445-1453.
8. Elagizi A, Carbone S, Lavie CJ, Mehra MR, Ventura HO. Implications of obesity across the heart failure continuum. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 2020;63(5):561-569.
9. Martinez-Gomez D, Ortega FB, Hamer M, et al. Physical activity and risk of metabolic phenotypes of obesity: a prospective Taiwanese cohort study in more than 200,000 adults. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2019;94(11):2209-2219.
10. Bonikowske AR, Lopez-Jimenez F. Physical activity: the secret-not so secret-to prevent and revert metabolic dysregulation in people of all sizes. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2019;94(11):2164-2165.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.01.004>

Does Hypoxia Itself Beget Worsening Hypoxemia in COVID-19?



To The Editor: Somers et al¹ discussed the possibility that in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) hypoxia itself may perpetuate further inflammation, pulmonary vasoconstriction, and thrombogenesis as well as possibly induce severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 replication, resulting in a complex vicious cycle of more hypoxia. We have several comments which we hope will lead to greater discussions.

First, it is important to distinguish “hypoxia” (low oxygen [O₂] at the tissue level and not practical to measure) from “hypoxemia” (low O₂ level in the blood). This distinction is important because one may have tissue hypoxia without hypoxemia; for example, coronary artery occlusion causes hypoxia in the myocardium without necessarily hypoxemia. In this regard, they provided no guidance on how to determine hypoxia in the absence of hypoxemia; for example, should supplemental O₂ be given if there is elevated lactate or low mixed venous/low central venous O₂ saturation? Based on

their hypothesis that tissue hypoxia may induce conditions that beget more hypoxia, are we to infer that they advocate suprathreshold levels of O₂ (eg, targeting suprathreshold partial pressure of O₂ or oxygen saturation [SpO₂] closer to 100%)? In pre-COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome, a meta-analysis of 25 randomized controlled trials of more than 16,000 patients showed that a liberal O₂ treatment strategy (median SpO₂ of 96%) was associated with increased mortality during hospitalization, at 30 days, and at “longest follow-up.”² Although a multicenter study comparing liberal O₂ therapy (target SpO₂ ≥ 96%) with a conservative strategy (target SpO₂ 88% to 92%) showed a clinically significant greater mortality at 90 days in the conservative O₂ therapy group,³ the lower limit of 88% in the conservative O₂ group has been criticized to be too low. Indeed, a recent comprehensive analysis indicated that a target SpO₂ in the “Goldilocks” range of 94% to 98% is a safe compromise.⁴ Somers et al¹ also suggested — consistent with their aforementioned line of reasoning — that hyperbaric O₂ therapy be considered for “advanced cases” of COVID-19 pneumonia. We believe hyperbaric O₂ treatment is likely to be highly impractical, fraught with infection control issues, and potentially harmful.⁵⁻⁷

Second, they cited studies showing that a hypoxic environment enhances replication of the hepatitis C virus and herpes viruses and posited that this may be occurring with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.¹ Contrary to their examples, hypoxia has been shown to suppress replication of influenza virus and adenovirus.^{8,9} In this regard, expansion of COVID-19 has been observed to be limited

in populations that reside at high altitudes.¹⁰

Third, we infer from their discussion that hypoxic vasoconstriction is a harmful process when in fact it is an adaptive mechanism in the lungs to try to improve the matching of the perfusion to the ventilation; for example, a teleological explanation is that it is more beneficial for the host if blood is diverted away from the more diseased (hypoxic) parts of the lungs to areas that are less so in an attempt to maximize oxygenation of venous blood. Because COVID-19 exhibits endothelialitis and microthrombosis,¹¹ these vascular pathologic processes are likely to prevent the occurrence of this salutary mechanism of hypoxic vasoconstriction.

Edward D. Chan, MD

Rocky Mountain Regional Veterans Affairs
Medical Center
Aurora, CO
National Jewish Health
Denver, CO
University of Colorado Anschutz Medical
Campus
Aurora

Vibhu Sharma, MD

Rocky Mountain Regional Veterans Affairs
Medical Center
Aurora, CO
University of Colorado Anschutz Medical
Campus
Aurora

Potential Competing Interests: The authors report no potential competing interests.

ORCID

Edward D. Chan:  https://orcid.org/JMCP3285_0000-0001-7612-7727; Vibhu Sharma:  https://orcid.org/JMCP3285_0000-0003-3414-2675

1. Somers VK, Kara T, Xie J. Progressive hypoxia: a pivotal pathophysiologic mechanism of COVID-19 pneumonia. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(11):2339-2342.
2. Chu DK, Kim LHY, Young PJ, et al. Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2018; 391(10131):1693-1705.

3. Barrot L, Asfar P, Mauny F, et al. LOCO2 investigators and REVA Research Network. Liberal or conservative oxygen therapy for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(11):999-1008.
4. van den Boom W, Hoy M, Sankaran J, et al. The search for optimal oxygen saturation targets in critically ill patients: observational data from large ICU databases. *Chest.* 2020;157(3):566-573.
5. Aggarwal NR, Brower RG. Targeting normoxemia in acute respiratory distress syndrome may cause worse short-term outcomes because of oxygen toxicity. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2014;1(9):1449-1453.
6. Gadd MA, McClellan DS, Neuman TS, Hansbrough JF. Effect of hyperbaric oxygen on murine neutrophil and T-lymphocyte functions. *Crit Care Med.* 1990;18(9):974-979.
7. Heckly RJ, Chatigny MA, Dimmick RL. Infection of mice by aerosols of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* under hyperbaric conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1980; 40(1):80-83.
8. Kalter SS, Tepperman J. Influenza virus proliferation in hypoxic mice. *Science.* 1952;115(2997):621-622.
9. Vassilaki N, Frakolaki E. Virus-host interactions under hypoxia. *Microbes Infect.* 2017;19(3):193-203.
10. Joyce KE, Weaver SR, Lucas SJE. Geographic components of SARS-CoV-2 expansion: a hypothesis. *J Appl Physiol(1985).* 2020;129(2):257-262.
11. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 383(2):120-128.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.01.007>

In Reply — Does Hypoxia Itself Beget Worsening Hypoxemia in COVID-19?



To the Editor: We appreciate the interest of Drs Chan and Sharma in our *Perspective* proposing the early use of oxygen in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia.

First, in the setting of a global pandemic with widespread fatalities and severely limited therapeutic options, it is important to consider all possible alternative therapies. Here it is relevant that four potential pharmacologic interventions tested on hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in the WHO Solidarity Trial showed no evidence of improvement in mortality, initiation of ventilation, or duration of hospitalization.¹ In this regard, other investigators have

also proposed early oxygen therapy as a possible option for prevention of COVID-19 disease progression.²

Second, Drs Chan and Sharma place great emphasis on distinguishing between hypoxia and hypoxemia. In the context of tissue hypoxia possibly potentiating COVID-19 pathophysiology, this is a distinction without a difference. They belabor the point of how one should determine hypoxia — should we measure lactate or central venous oxygen saturation? This would certainly be an admirable exercise in more “normal” academic environments. However, in less elevated settings, such as in the midst of overwhelming patient need as was initially experienced in Wuhan, China,³ and is more recently ongoing in the Czech Republic and elsewhere, we suggest a very simple approach — if the oxygen saturation is low or falling, then proceed as if the patient has tissue hypoxia. Regarding target oxygen levels, this awaits the conduct of pilot interventional proof-of-principle studies, but we believe a goal oxygen saturation of greater than or equal to 96% and even a range of 94% to 98% is very reasonable, especially in light of the comparative benefit of more aggressive oxygen supplementation in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) reported by Barrot et al.⁴ Regarding hyperbaric therapy, Drs Chan and Sharma misrepresent our stance, which more correctly stated is that “If aggressive oxygen supplementation is beneficial in more comprehensive health care settings, hyperbaric oxygen as a further step may possibly alleviate advanced cases of COVID-19 pneumonia.” They cite studies suggesting that hyperbaric oxygen may *reduce* lymphocyte proliferation, as an argument for its avoidance. Remarkably, they also cite work by Ackermann et al⁵ which