

C–C Bond Formation

Lewis Acid Catalyzed Transfer Hydromethallylation for the Construction of Quaternary Carbon Centers

Johannes C. L. Walker and Martin Oestreich*

Abstract: The design and gram-scale synthesis of a cyclohexa-1,4-diene-based surrogate of isobutene gas is reported. Using the highly electron-deficient Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$, application of this surrogate in the hydromethallylation of electron-rich styrene derivatives provided sterically congested quaternary carbon centers. The reaction proceeds by $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bond formation at a tertiary carbenium ion that is generated by alkene protonation. The possibility of two concurrent mechanisms is proposed on the basis of mechanistic experiments using a deuterated surrogate.

he catalytic preparation of quaternary carbon centers using $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bond-forming reactions has been identified as a key challenge in organic synthesis.^[1] Recent progress in this area has mainly centered on transition-metal-catalyzed transformations.^[2-4] Metal-free approaches are less common.^[5,6] One strategy to achieve $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bond formation is the attack of a carbon nucleophile onto a tertiary carbenium ion.^[7,8] Although this has been exploited in the context of carbenium ion generation by dehydration of tertiary alcohols with catalytic amounts of strong acid,^[5,6,9] the complementary process by catalytic protonation of alkenes is far less well explored.^[10,11]

Our laboratory has been investigating cyclohexa-1,4diene-based surrogates of difficult-to-handle compounds for metal-free transfer reactions.^[12-15] As part of this program we previously developed cyclohexa-1,4-dienes **5** and **6** as surrogates of isobutane gas and reported their use in the transfer hydro-*tert*-butylation of alkenes using the strong boron Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 1, top).^[14,16] Hydride abstraction from the bisallylic position of the surrogates led to formation of the *tert*-butyl-substituted Wheland intermediates **7**⁺ and transfer of the electrofugal *tert*-butyl group to the terminus of 1,1diaryl-substituted alkenes. Borohydride addition to the resulting benzylic tertiary carbenium ion delivered formally anti-Markovnikov alkylation products such as **2**. However,

| [*] | Dr. J. C. L. Walker, Prof. Dr. M. Oestreich |
|-----|---|
| | Institut für Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin |
| | Strasse des 17. Juni 115, 10623 Berlin (Germany) |
| | E-mail: martin.oestreich@tu-berlin.de |
| | Homepage: http://www.organometallics.tu-berlin.de |
| | Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for |



^{© 2019} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes.

Previous work: anti-Markovnikov transfer hydro-tert-butylation (Keess and Oestreich, Ref. [14])



C–H activation of isobutene by a frustrated Lewis pair (Ménard and Stephan, Ref. [18])



This work: Markovnikov transfer hydromethallylation



Scheme 1. Cyclohexa-1,4-diene-based surrogates of isobutane and isobutene gas for metal-free transfer hydroalkylation and -allylation, respectively.

this process was hampered by side reactions to give **3** and **4**, and the substrate scope was quite limited.

We wondered whether an appropriately substituted cyclohexa-1,4-diene would facilitate abstraction of a nucleofugal hydrocarbon group and then lead to the complementary Markovnikov hydroalkylation.^[17] In seeking a plausible hydrocarbon unit, we were interested in a report by Ménard und Stephan who had achieved the stoichiometric C–H activation of isobutene with the frustrated Lewis pair *t*Bu₃P/ B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 1, middle).^[18] The methallyl borate **8**⁻ formed represents a potential nucleophilic source of the methallyl group,^[19,20] and we hoped that a cyclohexa-1,4-diene surrogate of isobutene gas could be developed to allow for the abstraction of the methallyl nucleofuge by B(C₆F₅)₃ to give **8**⁻ and a Brønsted acidic Wheland intermediate. Interception of this complex by an alkene might then allow for transfer hydromethallylation and the formation of a quaternary carbon center by way of $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bond formation (Scheme 1, bottom).^[21-23]

The required surrogate would likely necessitate a quaternary center adjacent to the methallyl group to prevent undesired side reactions such as transfer hydrogenation,^[24] as well as to help stabilize the positively charged Wheland intermediate. Surrogates 9 and 10 fulfill this requirement and were easily accessible by Birch alkylation from benzoic acid and biphenyl, respectively (see the Supporting Information for experimental details). We then subjected the surrogates to a model reaction with 1,1-diphenylethene (1a) and 10 mol% $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 (Table 1, entry 1). Benzyl ether based surrogate 9 did not give any of the desired hydromethallylation product 11a, instead providing indane 12a in 6% yield; 12a presumably results from the intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation of 11a.^[25] Biphenyl-based surrogate 10 was more reactive, forming the intended product 11a in 3% and indane 12a in 40% yield (entry 2). Switching the solvent to toluene improved the selectivity for 11a (entry 3). We eventually established that electron-rich styrene derivatives allowed the reaction to proceed to full conversion, and we could suppress cyclization to indanes 12 by modifying the steric environment of the alkene. Using para-anisyl-substituted alkene 1b, we were initially able to form 11b in 82% yield with no indane 12b observed (entry 4). The loading of

Table 1: Optimization of the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed transfer hydromethallylation.^[a]



[a] Unless otherwise noted, reactions were performed on a 0.10 mmol scale with 1.3 equiv **9** or **10** in 0.25 mL (0.4 M) of the indicated solvent. [b] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy by the addition of 1,2-dibromomethane as an internal standard. [c] 1.5 equiv surrogate **10** used. [d] Yield of isolated product. [e] On a 1.0 mmol scale. [f] Alkene **1b** was not added. 22 % conversion of surrogate **10** to biphenyl.

both surrogate **10** and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ could be reduced with no detrimental impact on the yield, and **11b** was then isolated in 82 % yield (entry 5). The reaction could also be scaled up to 1.00 mmol (entry 6). No reaction occurred in the absence of the catalyst (entry 7), but interestingly, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ was able to partially decompose surrogate **10** to biphenyl in the absence of the alkene starting material (entry 8).

With optimized conditions in hand, we proceeded to investigate the substrate scope (Scheme 2). A range of electron-rich α -substituted styrenes could be used, with benzyl ethers as in **11c** and cyclic ethers as in **11d** both tolerated. The indane-based alkene **11e** could be prepared in 92 % yield and, using this framework, an exocyclic trisubstituted alkene could also be reacted to give **11f** in 92 % yield. As previously observed in other transfer reactions, tetrasubstituted alkenes were unreactive.^[12,13] Products bearing two contiguous quaternary carbon centers could be accessed, with



Scheme 2. Scope of the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed transfer hydromethallylation of alkenes. Method A: $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (5.0 mol%), surrogate **10** (1.3 equiv); Method B: $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (5.0 mol%), surrogate **10** (2.0 equiv); Method C: $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (7.5 mol%), surrogate **10** (2.0 equiv).

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15386–15389 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 15387

11g formed in 94% yield. Here, slightly higher loadings of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and surrogate 10 were required to push the reaction to completion. These conditions found further application with other less reactive substrates. Increased substitution at the α -position of the styrene was also tolerated, as in **11h**-j, although in these cases cyclization to indanes 12 h-j could not be completely suppressed; the proportion of indanes 12h-j increased with the size of the α -substituent. Performing the reaction with two equivalents of surrogate 10 did help to reduce the extent of cyclization; 11h and 12h were, however, formed in a 3:1 mixture with 1.3 equiv surrogate 10. A substrate bearing the bulky *tert*-butyl group in the α -position was unreactive. Cyclic alkanes containing quaternary carbon centers could also be synthesized using this methodology, with cyclohexane 11k and cycloheptane 11l being formed in 89% and 95% yield, respectively. Finally, a series of compounds bearing pendant aryl groups was prepared. Phenyl-substituted 11m was formed in 85% yield, and products with halogen substituents, as in 11n and 11o, as well as an electrondonating methoxy substituent, as in 11p, could also be accessed. To illustrate the utility of these products, a selection of these compounds were further derivatized (see the Supporting Information for details).

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, we prepared deuterated surrogate α -**10**- d_2 (Scheme 3; see the Supporting Information for experimental details). Although this surrogate was deuterated solely at the allyl terminus (γ -position), its reaction with alkene **1b** produced **11b**- d_2 with deuterium



Scheme 3. Mechanistic experiment with deuterated surrogate and proposed mechanism.

incorporation in both the α - and γ -positions. This suggests the presence of at least two concurrent mechanisms (see the Supporting Information for more elaborate catalytic cycles). First, based on the work of Ménard and Stephan,^[18] we propose that alkene attack from surrogate α -10- d_2 to B(C₆F₅)₃ results in abstraction of the methallyl group and formation of α -deuterated methallyl borate complex $[\gamma$ -8- $d_2]^-$ along with protonated biphenyl $[H \cdot C_{12}H_{10}]^+$ (Scheme 3, Pathway 1). Protonation of alkene 1b by the Brønsted acidic Wheland complex yields the tertiary carbenium ion 13^+ with concomitant aromatization of the surrogate core to biphenyl. Transfer of the methallyl group from methallyl borate $[\gamma$ -8- $d_2]^-$ to 13⁺ then provides γ -deuterated alkene α -**11b**- d_2 with formation of a C(sp³)-C(sp³) bond. Alternatively, direct transfer of the methallyl fragment from α -10- d_2 to carbenium ion 13⁺ would result in the formation of the regioisomer γ -11b-d₂ with deuteration in the α -position (Pathway 2). In this scenario, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ operates as an initiator and methallyl borate [γ -8 d_2 ⁻ as a spectator counteranion. The dual role of B(C₆F₅)₃ as catalyst and initiator in transfer chemistry has previously been discussed on the basis of computational calculations.^[26] Another pathway to arrive at γ -**11b**- d_2 is the transfer of the methallyl group between two boron centers (see Pathway 3 in the Supporting Information). This would lead to γ -deuterated α -8-d₂, and attack of the methallyl group onto carbenium ion 13⁺ then provides γ -11b-d₂. It has not yet been possible to distinguish between the two possible pathways leading to y-11b-d2

To summarize, a cyclohexa-1,4-diene-based surrogate of isobutene gas has been developed and utilized in the transfer hydromethallylation of electron-rich styrene derivatives. The method enables the catalytic formation of sterically congested quaternary carbon atoms and represents a rare example of the formation of $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bonds from carbenium ions that have been formed by the protonation of an alkene. A range of different scaffolds could be incorporated, and the utility of the products was demonstrated by their derivatization.

Acknowledgements

J.C.L.W. gratefully acknowledges the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Theodor Heuss fellowship (2018– 2019). M.O. is indebted to the Einstein Foundation Berlin for an endowed professorship.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: boron \cdot carbocations \cdot C–C bond formation \cdot Lewis acids \cdot transfer processes

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, – Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, –

[1] D. C. Blakemore, L. Castro, I. Churcher, D. C. Rees, A. W. Thomas, D. M. Wilson, A. Wood, *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 383–394.

- [2] For relevant reviews, see: a) S. P. Pitre, N. A. Weires, L. E. Overman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 2800-2813; b) J. Choi, G. C. Fu, Science 2017, 356, eaaf7230; c) J. Feng, M. Holmes, M. J. Krische, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 12564-12580; d) K. W. Quasdorf, L. E. Overman, Nature 2014, 516, 181-191.
- [3] For recent examples of the formation of quaternary carbon centers by C(sp³)-C(sp³) bond-forming reactions under transition-metal catalysis, see: a) Ref. [2b] and references therein; b) T. Qin, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, A. J. E. Novak, J. Z. Zhong, R. B. Mills, M. Yan, C. Yuan, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 260–265; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 266–271; c) H. Chen, X. Jia, Y. Yu, Q. Qian, H. Gong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13103–13106; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 13283–13286; d) Z. Wang, H. Yin, G. C. Fu, Nature 2018, 563, 379–383; e) Y. Ye, H. Chen, J. L. Sessler, H. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 820–824; f) S. A. Green, T. R. Huffman, R. O. McCourt, V. van der Puyl, R. A. Shenvi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 7709–7714.
- [4] For recent examples of the formation of arylated quaternary carbon centers by C(sp²)-C(sp³) bond formation under transition-metal catalysis, see: a) A. Joshi-Pangu, C.-Y. Wang, M. R. Biscoe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8478-8481; b) C. Lohre, T. Dröge, C. Wang, F. Glorius, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6052-6055; c) S. L. Zultanski, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 624-627; d) X. Wang, S. Wang, W. Xue, H. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11562-11565; e) Q. Zhou, K. M. Cobb, T. Tan, M. P. Watson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12057-12060; f) D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9847-9850; g) P. Liu, C. Chen, X. Cong, J. Tang, X. Zeng, Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 4637-4644; h) S. A. Green, S. Vásquez-Céspedes, R. A. Shenvi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 11317-11324; i) T.-G. Chen, H. Zhang, P. K. Mykhailiuk, R. R. Merchant, C. A. Smith, T. Qin, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2454-2458; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 2476-2480.
- [5] For examples, see: a) Ref. [2c]; b) L. Chen, X.-P. Yin, C.-H. Wang, J. Zhou, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 6033–6048; c) A. Gualandi, G. Rodeghiero, P. G. Cozzi, *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 7, 1957–1981.
- [6] A. E. Wendlandt, P. Vangal, E. N. Jacobsen, *Nature* 2018, 556, 447–451.
- [7] For reviews of carbocation chemistry, see: a) R. R. Naredla, D. A. Klumpp, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 6905–6948; b) *Carbocation Chemistry* (Eds.: G. A. Olah, G. K. Surya Prakash), Wiley, Hoboken, 2004.
- [8] For a study on the reactivity of carbenium ions with unsaturated hydrocarbons, see: H. Mayr, G. Lang, A. R. Ofial, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4076–4083.
- [9] M. Braun, W. Kotter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 514–517; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 520–523.
- [10] For examples of the catalytic protonation of alkenes for the formation of C(sp²)–C(sp³) bonds and quaternary carbon centers, see: a) T. P. Pathak, J. G. Osiak, R. M. Vaden, B. E. Welm, M. S. Sigman, *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 5203–5208; b) G. Li, H. Liu, Y. Wang, S. Zhang, S. Lai, L. Tang, J. Zhao, Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 2304–2306; c) C. D.-T. Nielsen, A. J. P. White, D. Sale, J. Bures, A. C. Spivey, *ChemRxiv preprint* **2019**, https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.8052623.v1.
- [11] For an example of catalytic protonation of alkenes for the formation of C(sp³)-C(sp³) bonds and tertiary carbon centers, see: B. Das, M. Krishnaiah, K. Laxminarayana, K. Damodar, D. N. Kumar, *Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *38*, 42–43.
- [12] For overviews of early work, see: a) S. Keess, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8, 4688–4695; b) M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 494–499; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 504–509.
- [13] For key publications, see: a) A. Simonneau, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11905–11907; Angew. Chem.

2013, *125*, 12121–12124; b) A. Simonneau, M. Oestreich, *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 816–822; c) I. Chatterjee, Z.-W. Qu, S. Grimme, M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12158–12162; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 12326–12330; d) W. Chen, J. C. L. Walker, M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1135–1140.

- [14] S. Keess, M. Oestreich, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 5925-5928.
- [15] P. Orecchia, W. Yuan, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 3579–3583; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 3617–3621.
- [16] For general reviews of chemistry mediated by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and related Lewis acids, see: a) J. R. Lawson, R. L. Melen, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 8627–8643; b) M. Oestreich, J. Hermeke, J. Mohr, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 2202–2220; c) G. Erker, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 1883–1890; d) W. E. Piers, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 1–76.
- [17] For a recent example of transition-metal-catalyzed Markovnikov hydroalkylation of alkenes, see Ref. [3f].
- [18] G. Ménard, D. W. Stephan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4409–4412; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 4485–4488.
- [19] For examples of the use of allylborate-type species in conjunction with transition-metal catalysis for the formation of quaternary carbon centers, see: a) P. Zhang, H. Le, R. E. Kyne, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9716–9719; b) J. S. Marcum, T. N. Cervarich, R. S. Manan, C. C. Roberts, S. J. Meek, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 5881–5889.
- [20] For the preparation and reactivity of allylboron reagents using B(C₆F₅)₃, see: a) M. M. Hansmann, R. L. Melen, F. Rominger, A. S. K. Hashmi, D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 777–782; b) L. C. Wilkins, J. R. Lawson, P. Wieneke, F. Rominger, A. S. K. Hashmi, M. M. Hansmann, R. L. Melen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 14618–14624.
- [21] The transition-metal-catalyzed hydroallylation of alkenes with nucleophilic allyl reagents has previously been reported. Y. Huang, C. Ma, Y. X. Lee, R.-Z. Huang, Y. Zhao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 13696–13700; *Angew. Chem.* 2015, *127*, 13900– 13904.
- [22] The catalytic hydroallylation of alkenes under radical conditions has previously been reported. a) A.-P. Schaffner, P. Renaud, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 2658–2660; *Angew. Chem.* 2003, 115, 2762–2764; b) J. Qi, J. Zheng, S. Cui, *Org. Lett.* 2018, 20, 1355–1358.
- [23] For examples of metal-free allylation of carbenium ions generated from tertiary alcohol-type species, see: a) J. A. Cella, J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2125-2130; b) M. Murakami, T. Kato, T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett. 1987, 16, 1167-1170; c) G. Kaur, M. Kaushik, S. Trehan, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2521-2524; d) M. Rubin, V. Gevorgyan, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2705-2707; e) T. Ishikawa, M. Okano, T. Aikawa, S. Saito, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4635-4642; f) S.-S. Weng, K.-Y. Hsieh, Z.-J. Zeng, Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 2549-2554; g) T. Lebleu, J.-F. Paquin, Tetrahedron 2017, 58, 442-444.
- [24] This was previously observed for other cyclohexa-1,4-dienebased surrogates. See Refs. [13d,15].
- [25] The cyclization of systems similar to 11a to indanes under both Brønsted and Lewis acid catalysis has previously been reported. For selected examples, see: a) W.-F. Chen, H.-Y. Lin, S. A. Dai, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2341–2343; b) C. Peppe, E. S. Lang, F. M. de Andrade, L. B. de Castro, Synlett 2004, 1723–1726; c) S. A. Bonderoff, F. G. West, M. Tremblay, Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 4600–4603; d) B. V. Ramulu, L. Mahendar, G. Satyanarayana, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2016, 5, 207–212.
- [26] S. Banerjee, K. Vanka, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 6163-6176.

Manuscript received: August 4, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: August 26, 2019 Version of record online: September 12, 2019

