

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

OBSTETRICS

Pregnancy alters interleukin-1 beta expression and antiviral antibody responses during severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection

Check for updates

ajog.org

Morgan L. Sherer, PhD; Jun Lei, PhD; Patrick S. Creisher, PharmD; Minyoung Jang, BA; Ramya Reddy, BS; Kristin Voegtline, PhD; Sarah Olson, MPH; Kirsten Littlefield, BS; Han-Sol Park, PhD; Rebecca L. Ursin, MS; Abhinaya Ganesan, ScM; Theresa Boyer, BA; Nada Elsayed, BS; Diane M. Brown, RN, MSN; Samantha N. Walch; Annukka A. R. Antar, MD, PhD; Yukari C. Manabe, MD; Kimberly Jones-Beatty, CNM; William Christopher Golden, MD; Andrew J. Satin, MD; Jeanne S. Sheffield, MD; Andrew Pekosz, PhD; Sabra L. Klein, PhD; Irina Burd, MD, PhD

BACKGROUND: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, the disease-causing pathogen of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, has resulted in morbidity and mortality worldwide. Pregnant women are more susceptible to severe coronavirus disease 2019 and are at higher risk of preterm birth than uninfected pregnant women. Despite this evidence, the immunologic effects of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during pregnancy remain understudied.

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to assess the impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during pregnancy on inflammatory and humoral responses in maternal and fetal samples and compare antibody responses to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 among pregnant and nonpregnant women.

STUDY DESIGN: Immune responses to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 were analyzed using samples from pregnant (n=33) and nonpregnant (n=17) women who tested either positive (pregnant, 22; nonpregnant, 17) or negative for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (pregnant, 11) at Johns Hopkins Hospital. We measured proinflammatory and placental cytokine messenger RNAs, neonatal Fc receptor expression, and tetanus antibody transfer in maternal and cord blood samples. In addition, we evaluated antispike immuno-globulin G, antispike receptor-binding domain immunoglobulin G, and neutralizing antibody responses to severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus 2 in serum or plasma collected from nonpregnant women, pregnant women, and cord blood.

RESULTS: Pregnant women with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection expressed more interleukin-1 beta, but not interleukin 6, in blood samples collected within 14 days vs >14 days after performing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 test. Pregnant women with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection also had reduced antispike receptor-binding domain immunoglobulin G titers and were less likely to have detectable neutralizing antibody than nonpregnant women. Although severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection did not disrupt neonatal Fc receptor expression in the placenta, maternal transfer of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 neutralizing antibody was inhibited by infection during pregnancy.

CONCLUSION: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during pregnancy was characterized by placental inflammation and reduced antiviral antibody responses, which may impact the efficacy of coronavirus disease 2019 treatment in pregnancy. In addition, the long-term implications of placental inflammation for neonatal health require greater consideration.

Key words: antibody, COVID-19, cytokine, maternal infection, pregnancy, SARS-CoV-2

Introduction

The ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has resulted in over 75 million infections and over 1.5 million deaths worldwide, as of

Cite this article as: Sherer ML, Lei J, Creisher PS, et al. Pregnancy alters interleukin-1 beta expression and antiviral antibody responses during severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021;225:301.e1-14.

0002-9378/\$36.00 © 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.03.028

 \mathbf{b} t

Click <u>Video</u> under article title in Contents at **ajog.org** December 2020.¹ Despite global efforts to characterize the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection, the effects of infection on immunity during pregnancy remain undefined. Because of pregnancy-associated immune and endocrine fluctuations, pregnant women and their fetuses are at greater risk of severe complications caused by infectious diseases.² Most pregnant women with COVID-19 are asymptomatic or experience mild disease. However, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that 1 in 4 women, aged 15 to 49 years, hospitalized for COVID-19 during March 1, 2020, to August 22, 2020, was pregnant and that pregnant women were more likely to require mechanical ventilation than nonpregnant women.³ In addition, pregnant women are at increased risk of mortality following SARS-CoV-2 infection,⁴ prompting the CDC to revise their guidelines and include pregnant women as an at-risk population for severe COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 surveillance of pregnant women in Washington state further reveals greater morbidity and mortality in pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection and suggests possible underreporting in nationwide surveillance data.⁵ In addition to maternal morbidity and mortality, the CDC reports that women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy are at higher risk of

AJOG at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

Inflammatory and humoral responses during severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection of pregnant women have not been extensively evaluated.

Key findings

Pregnant women who delivered <14 days after a positive SARS-CoV-2 test expressed more interleukin-1 beta messenger RNA in their blood than pregnant women who were uninfected or delivered >14 days after a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 test. Pregnant women with a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection had lower antispike receptor-binding domain immunoglobulin G titers and were less likely to have detectable neutralizing antibodies than nonpregnant women. Protein concentrations of placental neonatal Fc receptor, a receptor essential for maternal transfer of antibodies to the fetus were not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy.

What does this add to what is known?

Our results have demonstrated potential differences in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 between pregnant and nonpregnant women, including inflammatory and antibody responses to the virus.

preterm birth.⁶ Because maternal immune activation can be associated with adverse fetal outcomes, including preterm birth,^{7,8} it is possible that SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy may have harmful effects on the developing fetus.

During pregnancy, a typical inflammatory response to pathogens includes the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) and IL-6, not only at the site of infection but also in the placenta; these cytokines can readily enter the amniotic cavity and interfere with normal fetal development.^{7–9} Thus, even in the absence of severe maternal symptoms or fetal viral infection, the maternal immune response to SARS-CoV-2 could lead to short- and long-term consequences in the fetus and neonate.^{2,10,11} Simultaneously, the maternal immune response can also have a protective effect on neonatal health, including the placental Fc receptor (FcRn)-mediated transfer of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies transplacentally.^{12,13}

In this study, we investigated the inflammatory and humoral responses to SARS-CoV-2 using maternal blood, cord blood, and placenta samples collected from pregnant women who had tested either positive or negative for SARS-CoV-2 before admission and delivery at the Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH). We measured maternal and cord blood serum or plasma antispike (S) and anti-S receptor-binding domain (RBD) IgG and neutralizing antibody (nAb) responses to SARS-CoV-2, whole blood proinflammatory cytokine mRNA expression, and placental cytokine and FcRn expression. Furthermore, we compared the antibody responses of outpatient nonpregnant women with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Materials and Methods Study participants, sample collection, and storage Pregnancy cohort

Pregnant women were recruited by convenience sampling through JHH outpatient obstetrical clinics and the JHH labor and delivery unit before or after delivery of the patient. Here, we used discarded maternal blood, discarded neonatal cord blood, and a small placental sample collected during admission for delivery. Patients were contacted, informed of the study, and consented by phone to decrease face-toface exposure owing to the concern of SARS-CoV-2 spread or infection. Basic demographic information and clinical data, including info on the history of SARS-CoV-2 testing (usually via nasopharyngeal swab), were collected from the patient's medical record. Blood samples were collected in gold top serum separator tube (SST) tubes and purple top ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes. The top SST tubes were inverted several times before being centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm at 22°C. Furthermore, both maternal and cord whole blood and serum samples were aliquoted and stored at -80° C. Placental samples were collected after delivery and were not treated with any preservatives or reagents. Samples were processed using 2 methods for both the maternal and fetal sides; placental tissue was either frozen at -80°C immediately or was placed in RNA later for 48 hours before -80°C storage. To obtain tissue that was representative of the placental sample, half thickness samples using a disc tissue punch were taken from 2 locations on each side of the placenta. Thus, ultimately, each method of processing placental tissue had 2 tissue punches from different locations on a given side of the placenta.

Nonpregnant cohort

A convenience sample of nonhospitalized participants was recruited and provided informed consent by phone between April 21, 2020, and August 13, 2020, after receiving a positive SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test from an outpatient or emergency department facility within the Johns Hopkins Health System.¹⁴ Notably, 1 participant requested participation in the study via the Johns Hopkins HOPE (Hopkins Opportunities for Participant Engagement) COVID-19 registry. Samples from adult women of reproductive age, 18 to 49 years,¹⁵ with positive RT-PCR results for SARS-CoV-2 were included in this study. Basic demographic information and clinical data, including that regarding the history of SARS-CoV-2 testing, were collected from the patient and the patient's medical records. Participants in this study attended a research clinic visit on average of 42.2 days after COVID-19 symptom onset (range 29–92 days), at which blood was drawn. Approximately 25 mL of whole blood was collected in acid citrate dextrose glass tubes. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were separated, and the remaining plasma was stored in 1 mL aliquots at -80° C. Plasma was defrosted and then heat inactivated at 56° C for 30 minutes before serologic assays. The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Institutional Review Board.

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from placental tissue samples using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) or from whole blood using NucleoSpin RNA Blood Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Complementary (c) DNA synthesis in a 40-µL reaction was performed using Bio-Rad iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). TaqMan mRNA assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were run for analysis. The primers used were $IL-1\beta$ (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) and IL-6 (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) (Table 1). mRNA expression was calculated relative housekeeping genes: 18S to (Hs99999901_s1; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and Actin (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) (Table 1).

Indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

The protocol was adapted from a published protocol from Dr Florian Krammer's laboratory,¹⁶ as described in Klein et al.¹⁷ Briefly, 96-well plates (Immulon 4HBX, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were coated with either fulllength S protein or S-RBD at 4°C overnight. Coating buffer was removed, and plates were washed and then blocked for 1 hour at room temperature. All plasma samples were heat inactivated at 56°C on a heating block for 1 hour before use. Negative control samples were prepared at 1:10 dilutions and plated at a final concentration of 1:100. A monoclonal antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 S protein was used as a positive control

TABLE 1Primer/Probe sequences	
IL-1 β	
Forward	5'-GAACAAGTCATCCTCATTGCC-3'
Reverse	5'-CAGCCAATCTTCATTGCTCAAG-3'
Probe	5'-/ /56-FAM/AGAAGTACC/ZEN/TGAGCTCGCCAGTGA/ 3IABkFQ//-3'
IL-6	
Forward	5'-GCAGATGAGTACAAAAGTCCTGA-3'
Reverse	5'-TTCTGTGCCTGCAGCTTC-3'
Probe	5'-/5Cy5/CAACCACAAATGCCAGCCTGCT/3IAbRQSp/-3
Actin	
Forward	5'-CCTTGCACATGCCGGAG-3'
Reverse	5'-ACAGAGCCTCGCCTTTG-3'
Probe	5'-/5Cy5/TCATCCATGGTGAGCTGGCGG/3IAbRQSp/-3'

(1:5000; catalog 40150-D001, Sino Biological, Beijing, China). For serial dilutions of plasma on either S- or S-RBD-coated plates, plasma samples were prepared in 3-fold serial dilutions starting at 1:20. Blocking solution was removed, and 10 μ L diluted plasma was added in duplicate to the plates and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours. Plates were washed 3 times with PBST wash buffer, and 50 μ L secondary antibody was added to the plates and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour (Fc-specific total IgG HRP 1:5000 dilution, catalog A18823, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Plates were washed and all residual liquid removed before the addition of 100 µL SIGMAFAST OPD (o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride) solution (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA) to each well, followed by incubation in darkness at room temperature for 10 minutes. To stop the reaction, 50 μ L 3M HCl (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was added to each well. The optical density of each plate was read at 490 nm (OD490) on a SpectraMax i3 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Plate Reader (BioTek Instruments). The positive cutoff value for each plate was calculated by summing the average of the negative values and 3 times the standard deviation of the negatives. All values at or above the cutoff value were considered positive.

Microneutralization assay

The plasma nAb protocol was adapted from A.P.'s laboratory,¹⁸ as described in Klein et al.¹⁷ Briefly, an infectious virus (SARS-CoV-2/USA-WA1/2020) was added to 2-fold diluted plasma at a final concentration of 1×104 TCID50/mL (100 TCID50 per 100 μ L). Samples were added to VeroE6-TMPRSS2 cells in sextuplet for 6 hours at 37°C. The inocula were removed, fresh infection media was added, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 2 days. Cells were fixed by the addition of 150 μ L of 4% formaldehyde per well, incubated for at least 4 hours at room temperature, and then stained with naphthol blue black (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA). The nAb titer was calculated as the highest serum dilution that eliminated the cytopathic effect in 50% of the wells.

Western blot

Western blotting was used to measure the protein expression of FcRn in the placenta. To prepare tissue lysate, tissue was homogenized on ice in a RIPA lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) with proteinase inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The homogenized specimens were then placed on ice for 15 minutes and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The resulting supernatants were collected for further experiments. Total protein was separated dodecyl by sodium sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using 4% to 15% gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using semi-dry transfer device (Trans-Blot Turbo, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in Tris-buffered saline (Corning) plus 0.1% of Tween-20 (TBST; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 15 min at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies in 5% of BSA at 4°C overnight and then washed using TBST. FcRn antibody (1:1000, Santa Cruz) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; control marker, 1:1000, Abcam) were used for primary antibodies. ECL (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) was used for detection using the ImageQuant LAS 500 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL), and densitometric analysis was performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics stratified by pregnancy state (pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection and nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection) are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). Comparisons of demographic characteristics were tested via exact Wilcoxon 2-sample test, the Pearson chi-square test, or the Fisher exact test, where appropriate, dependent on the variable structure as continuous, binary, or categorical and sample size within individual cells. Before conducting any inferential statistics, area under the curve (AUC) values for anti-S IgG and anti-S-RBD IgG titers were computed by plotting normalized

optical density values against sample dilution for ELISA. The AUC for microneutralization assays used the exact number of wells protected from infection at each plasma dilution. For each assay, samples with titers below the limit of detection were assigned an AUC value of half of the lowest measured AUC value. Because of the nonnormal distribution of cytokine and antibody data, comparisons between pregnant women SARS-CoV-2 infection with and nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection were examined via exact Wilcoxon 2-sample tests. Correlations between antibody isotypes and assays with days since the initial SARS-CoV-2positive test or days since symptom onset were assessed using the Spearman correlation coefficient. The data were then log transformed for visualization. Finally, a generalized linear model was used to determine if the association between days since the initial SARS-CoV-2-positive test or days since symptom onset and antibody responses differed by pregnancy status (pregnant or nonpregnant). All analyses were 2-tailed tests with a significance threshold of P < .05.

Results Cohorts

Our study included 2 cohorts: the pregnant cohort, consisting of 33 pregnant women who tested either positive (n=22) or negative (n=11) for SARS-CoV-2 before delivery (in inpatient or labor and delivery settings) at the JHH, and the nonpregnant cohort, consisting of women of reproductive age (18-48 years of age), as defined by World Health Organization¹⁵ (n=17), who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 at an outpatient clinical testing site within the JHH System. Comparing de-Health mographic characteristics between pregnant women with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection revealed differences in maternal age at delivery, race, and ethnicity. Pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection gave birth at a younger age (median, 27; IQR, 23-34) than pregnant women without SARS-CoV-2 infection (median, 32; IOR, 29-35) (P<.05) (Table 2), were more likely to identify as other (63.64%) or

black or African American (22.73%) than pregnant women without SARS-CoV-2 infection (*P*<.001) (Table 2), and were more likely to identify as being of Hispanic or Latina ethnicity (50%) (P<.05) (Table 2). No significant difference was found between pregnant patients with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection according to prepregnancy body mass index (BMI), BMI at delivery, gestational age at birth, neonate lateonset sepsis, chorioamnionitis, gestational nicotine use, time between memrupture and delivery, brane preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational hypertension, delivery type (cesarean vs vaginal delivery), size of neonate, sex of neonate, neonatal intensive care unit stay, or neonatal readmission (Table 3). In comparing pregnant and nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection, pregnant women were younger (pregnant median age, 27; IQR, 23-34; nonpregnant median age, 34; IQR, 28-41; P<.05) (Table 2), less likely to identify as white (14% vs 47%; P<.05) (Table 2), and more likely to identify as Hispanic or Latina (50% vs 6%; P<.05) (Table 2) than nonpregnant women.

Cytokine expression after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during pregnancy

Increased inflammation caused by infection during pregnancy can be detrimental to long-term fetal and neonatal outcomes.^{2,10,11} We assayed cytokine mRNA expression during SARS-CoV-2 infection as a biomarker for inflammation. Because IL-1 β activation during pregnancy can cause outcomes,^{2,19,20} fetal adverse we measured *IL-1* β mRNA expression in maternal blood (total, 27; positive, 18; negative, 9), cord blood (total, 29; positive, 20; negative, 9), and the maternal (total, 11; positive, 8; negative, 1) and fetal (total, 26; positive, 19; negative, 7) sides of the placentas, which did not differ between pregnant women with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 1, A–D). To assess whether the expression of *IL-1* β differed depending on the number of days between a

	Pregnant female cohort				Pregnant vs nonpregnant female cohort		
Variable	All	SARS-CoV-2 (+)	SARS-CoV-2 (—)	<i>P</i> value	Pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 (+)	Nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 (+)	<i>P</i> value
n (%)	33	22 (66.67)	11 (33.33)		22	17	
Median maternal age at delivery	29	27	32	.0421	27	34	.0061
Race, n (%)							
Asian	2 (6.06)	0 (0)	2 (18.8)	<.0001	0 (0)	0 (0)	.0111
Black or African American	6 (18.18)	5 (22.73)	1 (9.09)		5 (22.73)	6 (35.29)	
Other	14 (42.42)	14 (63.64)	0 (0)		14 (63.64)	3 (17.65)	
White	11 (33.33)	3 (13.64)	8 (72.73)		3 (13.64)	8 (47.06)	
Ethnicity, n (%)							
Hispanic or Latina	12 (36.36)	11 (50)	1 (9.09)	.0273	11 (50)	1 (5.88)	.0031
Not Hispanic or Latina	21 (63.64)	11 (50)	10 (90.91)		11 (50)	16 (94.12)	

TABLE 2			
SARS-CoV-2 (+) and (-)	pregnant women differed i	in age, race	, and ethnicity

pregnant woman's PCR test and blood sample collection, maternal blood *IL-1* β mRNA expression was compared on the basis of the time window between diagnosis and blood collection (total, 27; positive, 18; negative, 9). Day 14 was chosen for analysis based on the incubation period of SARS-CoV-2, which extends to 14 days after symptom onset.²¹ *IL-1* β expression in maternal blood was higher in samples collected within 14 days of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test than samples collected >14 days after the test, representative of an acute, as opposed to chronic, inflammatory response (P < .05) (Figure 1, E).

We measured IL-6 mRNA expression in maternal and fetal blood and tissue from our pregnant cohort with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection. It is important to note that all women with SARS-CoV-2 infection experience mild to moderate symptoms of COVID-19. In contrast to the elevation observed among severe COVID-19 cases in nonpregnant individuals,^{22–24} there was no change in the expression of IL-6 in blood or placentas based on SARS-CoV-2 infection status (Figure 2, A-D) or duration between a positive SARS-CoV-2 test and sample collection (Figure 2, E). These data provide evidence that *IL-1* β

mRNA, in particular, is up-regulated early after infection and on the fetal side of the placenta in nonseverely ill pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Antibody responses to sever acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in pregnant and nonpregnant women

To evaluate the impact of pregnancy on humoral responses to SARS-CoV-2, antibody responses measured in serum or plasma samples were collected at a median of 34 days (IQR, 31.5-40) since confirmed infection, from pregnant (18.91 ± 29.57) days postconfirmed infection) (n=17) and nonpregnant days postconfirmed (37.29 ± 12.66) infection) (n=17) women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. Pregnant and nonpregnant women showed similar titration of IgG (ie, AUC) recognizing the full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein (Figure 3, A). In contrast, pregnant women had significantly lower anti-S-RBD IgG titers than nonpregnant women (P < .05) (Figure 3, B). However, titers of nAb, which correlate with anti-S-RBD antibodies,²⁵ were measured and were not significantly different between pregnant and

nonpregnant women (Figure 3, C). Furthermore, we observed that significantly fewer pregnant women (8 of 17) had detectable nAb titers (ie, \geq 1:20 titer) than nonpregnant women (16 of 17) (*P*<.05) (Figure 3, C), indicating reduced production of neutralizing antibodies in a subset of pregnant women.

To further explore how pregnancy altered the relationship between anti-S-RBD IgG and nAb, titers were directly compared and revealed that anti-S-RBD IgG titers were higher than nAb titers in both pregnant and nonpregnant women (P < .001) (Figure 4, A and B). Among pregnant women only, a dichotomy in nAb titers was evident. Consistent with this observation, pregnant women with low nAb titers of <1:20 (ie, no detectable nAb) also had lower anti-S-RBD IgG titers (r=0.9023; P<.001). Furthermore, pregnant women with <1:20 nAb titers had significantly lower anti-S-RBD IgG responses than pregnant women with nAb titers >1:20 (P < .05) (Figure 4, A). To determine whether time since a SARS-CoV-2positive test or time since symptom onset could predict antibody responses, we analyzed responses over time. Variation in anti-S-RBD IgG or nAb responses among pregnant women with

TABLE 3

Factors that were not significant between infection groups

Pregnant female cohort	All	SARS-CoV-2 (+)	SARS-CoV-2 (-)	<i>P</i> value
n (%)	33	22 (66.67)	11 (33.33)	
Median BMI (prepregnancy)	24.99	26.30	23.67	.2713
Median BMI (at delivery)	29.48	29.80	28.08	.144
Median gestational age at delivery	39.10	38.85	39.50	.0725
Median neonate late-onset sepsis	2	2	2	.4451
Chorioamnionitis, n (%)				
Yes	2 (6.06)	2 (9.09)	0 (0)	.5417
No	31 (93.94)	20 (90.91)	11 (100.00)	
Gestational nicotine use, n (%)				
Yes	2 (6.06)	1 (4.55)	1 (9.09)	1
No	31 (93.94)	21 (95.45)	10 (90.91)	
Membrane rupture >18 h before delivery, n(%)	4	4	0	.132
Yes	4 (12.12)	4 (18.18)	0 (0)	.2755
No	29 (87.88)	18 (81.82)	11 (100.00)	
Preeclampsia, n (%)				
Yes	2 (6.06)	2 (9.09)	0 (0)	.5417
No	31 (93.94)	20 (90.91)	11 (100.00)	
Gestational diabetes, n (%)				
Yes	2 (6.06)	2 (9.09)	0 (0)	.5417
No	31 (93.94)	20 (90.91)	11 (100.00)	
Gestational hypertension, n (%)				
Yes	3 (9.09)	2 (9.09)	1 (9.09)	1
No	30 (90.91)	20 (90.91)	10 (90.91)	
Delivery type, n (%)				
Cesarean delivery	12 (36.36)	7 (31.82)	5 (45.45)	.4713
Vaginal delivery	21 (63.64)	15 (68.18)	6 (54.55)	
Size of neonate, n (%)				
AGA	26 (78.79)	17 (77.27)	9 (81.82)	1
LGA	5 (15.15)	3 (13.64)	2 (18.18)	
SGA	2 (6.06)	2 (9.09)	0 (0)	
Sex of neonate, n (%)				
Female	18 (54.55)	13 (59.09)	5 (45.45)	.4583
Male	15 (45.45)	9 (40.91)	6 (54.55)	
NICU stay, n (%)				
Yes	4 (12.12)	4 (18.18)	0 (0)	.2755
No	29 (87.88)	18 (81.82)	11 (100.00)	
Neonate readmission, n (%)				
Yes	1 (3.03)	0 (0)	1 (9.09)	.3333
No	32 (96.97)	22 (100.00)	10 (90.91)	

AGA, appropriate for gestational age; BMI, body mass index; LGA, large for gestational age; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SGA, small for gestational age. Sherer et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.



Maternal and fetal blood and placentas were used to detect $IL-1\beta$ gene expression relative to the HKGs, *18S* and *ACTB*. **A**–**D**, Maternal blood, cord blood, and maternal and fetal side placental $IL-1\beta$ expression between pregnant patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (P[+]) and pregnant patients without SARS-CoV-2 infection (P[-]). **E**, Maternal blood $IL-1\beta$ expression analyzed as a function of symptom expression and days between PCR tests positive for SARS-CoV-2 and blood sample collection; the *dashed line* indicates onset of symptoms at day 14; significance denotes comparison of samples collected within 14 days of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test with samples collected >14 days after test. Maternal blood (27); cord blood (29); maternal side placenta (11); and fetal side placenta (26). The *single asterisk* represents *P*<.05 using the Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn multiple comparison, or Mann-Whitney test.

IL-1β, interleukin-1 beta; *HKG*, housekeeping gene; *SARS-CoV-2*, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Sherer et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

nondetectable vs detectable nAb titers could not be explained by the length of time since a positive SARS-CoV-2 test (Figure 4, C and D). Furthermore, time since symptom onset did not explain the variation in anti–S-RBD IgG or nAb responses among pregnant women with nondetectable nAb titers compared with pregnant women with detectable nAb titers (Figure 4, E and F). Differences in the number of days between a PCR+ test or symptom onset and sample collection also did not statistically explain variation

in either anti–S-RBD IgG or nAb responses between pregnant and nonpregnant women (Figure 4, C–F). These data suggest that, independent of time, pregnancy reduces the quality of antiviral antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 (pregnant, 17; nonpregnant, 17).

Antibody transfer in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection

To assess whether antibody transfer from mother to fetus was broadly affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection, SARS-CoV-2– specific antibody levels in maternal (n=17) and cord blood (n=17) serum, FcRn expression, and antitetanus IgG titers were assessed in women with SARS-CoV-2 infection (n=22) and women without SARS-CoV-2 infection (n=11). Anti-S and anti–S-RBD IgG titers did not differ between maternal and cord blood serum samples (Figure 5, A and B); however, titers of nAb in maternal serum were significantly greater than in cord blood serum



Maternal and fetal blood and placentas were used to detect lL-6 gene expression relative to the HKGs, 18S and ACTB. **A**–**D**, Maternal blood, cord blood, and maternal and fetal side placental lL-6 expression between pregnant patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (P[+]) and pregnant patients without SARS-CoV-2 infection (P[-]). **E**–**H**, Maternal blood, cord blood, and maternal and fetal side placental lL-6 expression in pregnant women who were asymptomatic (P-A), symptomatic (P-S), or SARS-CoV-2 negative (P-N). I, Maternal blood lL-6 expression analyzed as a function of symptom expression and days between PCR tests positive for SARS-CoV-2 and blood sample collection; the *dashed line* indicates onset of symptoms at day 14. Maternal blood (27); cord blood (29); maternal side placenta (11); and fetal side placenta (26).

IL-6, interleukin 6; HKG, housekeeping gene; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Sherer et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

(P<.05) (Figure 5, C). Semiquantitative protein concentrations of placental FcRn, used as a biomarker of IgG transfer, were not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy (Figure 5, D). To further evaluate whether SARS-CoV-2 infection altered the transfer of other antibodies from mother to fetus, maternal and cord blood serum antitetanus IgG titers were measured and were not inhibited by SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy (Figure 5, E and F). These data suggest that although maternal transfer of SARS-CoV-2—specific nAb may be reduced, SARS-CoV-2 infection does not impact semiquantitative protein concentrations of placental FcRn or maternal transfer of antitetanus IgG.

Discussion Principal findings

Our study provides preliminary evidence that pregnant women exhibit an inflammatory response in maternal blood within 14 days of a PCR+ test, exhibit lower anti–S-RBD IgG titers, and are less likely to have detectable nAb than nonpregnant women. Protein concentrations of placental FcRn, a receptor essential for maternal transfer of antibodies to the fetus, were not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy; however, reduced nAb responses against SARS-CoV-2 were detected in cord blood. These results suggested that, during pregnancy, there is an acute increase in *IL-1* β mRNA expression and reduced antiviral antibody responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Results

The inflammatory response of pregnant women who experienced mild to



Peripheral serum or plasma was used to titer IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike (S), S receptor-binding domain, and whole virus nAbs. **A**, Anti-S IgG; **B**, anti—S-RBD IgG; and **C**, nAb AUC titrations in serum or plasma from pregnant (P) (n=17) and nonpregnant (NP) (n=17) women. The *dashed line* denotes the median AUC for SARS-CoV-2—negative samples. Above each boxplot is the proportion of samples with detectable antibody; the *single asterisk* represents P<.05 using the Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn multiple comparisons, Wilcoxon exact, or chi-square tests. *AUC*, area under curve; *IgG*, immunoglobulin G; *nAb*, neutralizing antibody; *SARS-CoV-2*, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

AUC, area under curve; Iga, infinituriogiobulin G; IIAD, neuralizing antibudy; SARS-COV-2, severe acute respiratory sy

Sherer et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

moderate COVID-19 symptoms was characterized by greater *IL-1\beta*, but not IL-6, mRNA expression as has been reported in severe male and nonpregnant female with COVID-19.23,24 Current studies highlight differences in clinical manifestations between pregnant and nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection, with some studies reporting differences in presenting symptoms, such as lower incidence of fever and cough in pregnant women.^{26,27} There is growing evidence that pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection face greater risk of hospitalization, intensive care unit admission, invasive ventilation, and death than nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection.4,5,28 Studies in SARS-CoV-2 infection report higher frequencies of neutrophils and D-dimer concentrations and lower percentages of lymphocytes, CD4+ and CD8+ ratios, and IgG levels in pregnant women than nonpregnant women.^{29–32} Thus, our study adds to the growing literature demonstrating enhanced inflammatory responses and reduced humoral responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection of pregnant and nonpregnant women.

The antiviral response to SARS-CoV-2 includes development of antibodies that recognize the S-RBD and neutralize virus.33 Detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies maternal and neonatal blood following infection has been reported³⁴⁻³⁸; however, how pregnancy status affect detection (qualitative) and titers (quantitative) of both anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and nAb responses has not been previously investigated. Here, we demonstrated that pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 had lower titers of anti-S-RBD IgG than nonpregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2. Although nAb titers were between similar pregnant and nonpregnant women, pregnant women were significantly less likely to have detectable nAb responses. Furthermore, pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 who had nondetectable nAb responses had significantly lower anti-S-RBD IgG titers. Reduced antiviral antibody responses in pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 were independent of time since infection. Other longitudinal studies evaluating antibody responses across gestational time points illustrate that neutralizing antibody is detectable in only 52.9% of pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection, with no change over gestation; thus, reduced nAb titers in a subset of

pregnant women are independent of time since infection.³⁸ Furthermore, pregnant women with low antibody titers do not present with worse symptoms or experience worse disease outcomes, similar to studies in nonpregnant adults.^{39,40} We hypothesized that reduced antiviral antibody titers could increase the potential for reinfection following pregnancy, especially to variant viruses. Although we observed reduced titers of anti-S-RBD IgG in pregnant women compared with nonpregnant women, other studies report no difference in anti-S-RBD IgG titers between pregnant and nonpregnant women.³⁷ Without complete details about how assays are standardized, it is difficult to compare results. The serologic assays used in this study have been well characterized and validated.^{16,17,41} It is well established that nAb titers are correlated with anti-S-RBD titers in nonpregnant individuals.¹⁷ Our observation that nAb titers and anti-S-RBD titers are correlated not only in nonpregnant women but also in pregnant women is clinically novel and adds to the growing literature in this field.

Despite reduced SARS-CoV-2 nAb titers in cord blood, semiquantitative



A, Comparison between anti—S-RBD IgG and nAb AUC in pregnant women, with additional comparison of anti—S-RBD IgG and nAb responses between pregnant with (nAb titer \geq 1:20) and without (nAb titers <1:20) detectable nAb. **B**, Comparison between anti—S-RBD IgG and nAb AUC in nonpregnant women. **C and D**, Anti—S-RBD IgG AUC and nAb analyzed as a function of detectability of nAb and days between PRC tests positive for SARS-CoV-2 and blood sample. **E and F**, Anti—S-RBD IgG AUC and nAb analyzed as a function of detectability of nAb and days since symptom onset and blood collection; there are missing data points because of unknown symptom onset date (n=4). *Single asterisk* represents *P*<.05 using the Wilcoxon exact test. *AUC*, area under curve; *IgG*, immunoglobulin G; *nAb*, neutralizing antibody; *RBD*, receptor-binding domain; *SARS-CoV-2*, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Shere et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.*

2.0

Р(-)

P (+)



2.0

P (+)

. Р (-) protein concentrations of placental FcRn, responsible for placental IgG transfer, were not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy. Similar results have been found in other cohorts, in which reduced SARS-CoV-2-specific placental antibody transfer is observed in pregnant women with infection, without differences in overall placental FcRn expression between women with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection being reported.36,37 The Fcglycosylation in the third trimester of pregnancy of women with SARS-CoV-2 infection was also perturbed, which could impact the transfer of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies.³⁷ In addition to tetanus-specific antibodies, influenza and pertussis-specific antibody transfer was not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection.³⁷ Overall, these results reiterate that non-SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody transfer is intact in women with SARS-CoV-2 infection but that SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody transfer mechanisms may be compromised by infection.

Clinical implications

These preliminary observations have suggested that pregnant women who are infected with SARS-CoV-2 may have an altered cytokine and humoral response compared with nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection, which must be verified in a larger clinical cohort. Specifically, we reported reduced anti-S-RBD IgG responses and a reduction of nAb production in a subset of pregnant women, suggesting that humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy is reduced in pregnant women compared with nonpregnant individuals. Increased cytokine activation at the maternal-fetal interface can have adverse implications for the developing fetus⁴²; therefore, children born to

mothers infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy should be longitudinally observed to assess long-term outcomes. With mRNA-based vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 now available, the unique biologic state of pregnancy needs be considered.⁴³ None of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidates included pregnant women in their phase III trials. The CDC acknowledges the lack of data for vaccine efficacy in pregnant women and urges women to consult with their healthcare provider before vaccination.⁴⁴ This is a real burden to place on pregnant women. We urge greater use of animal models to assess the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of the approved SARS-CoV-2 vaccine platforms to provide some indication of how pregnancy may or may not alter responses, adverse reactions, and protection from infection and disease.⁴

Limitations

The limitations of this study included the small sample size and significant differences in age, race, and ethnicity between pregnant and nonpregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. These differences were attributable to our reliance on convenience sampling and were a result of differences in participant recruitment, in which sample collection from pregnant women was based on time of delivery and sample collection from nonpregnant women was based on symptom presentation. Although there was a significant difference in age between the cohorts, all women in this study were within reproductive ages.¹⁵ Because of our inability to know precisely when each participant was infected with SARS-CoV-2, we used the number of days between a SARS-CoV-2 PCR test and blood collection as the metric to assess cytokine responses and in

addition used the number of days since symptom onset to evaluate humoral responses over time. These metrics may not accurately represent the time since initial infection, as symptom onset is self-reported and studies have reported PCR positivity for extended periods past the initial infection.^{45,46} Furthermore, differences in blood volume among individuals and throughout gestation in pregnant women could lead to variability in antibody titers.

Conclusions

Our results demonstrated potential differences in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2, including inflammatory and antibody responses to the virus, between pregnant and nonpregnant women. It is well established that immune responses change dramatically during pregnancy to accommodate the developing fetus.⁴ Therefore, understanding the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy on the maternal immune system and how these changes alter maternal and fetal susceptibility to disease are crucial for developing vaccines and other therapeutics for COVID-19. In addition to further investigations of short- and long-term consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy, the safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in pregnant women must be considered.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank patients who enrolled and participated in this research and the nurses and staff at the Johns Hopkins Hospitals for assistance with recruitment and sample collection from patients. We thank the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan, for providing VeroE6TMPRSS2 cells and acknowledge the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and National Institute of Health for severe acute respiratory

A, Anti—S IgG; (**B**) anti—S-RBD IgG; and (**C**) nAb AUC titrations in maternal serum and cord blood serum in pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. **D**, Western blot analysis for the neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) protein in placentas from women with SARS-CoV-2 (+) and without SARS-CoV-2 (-) infection (n=35). **E**, Quantification of FcRn western blot analysis relative to GAPDH was analyzed in placentas from women with SARS-CoV-2 (P[+]) and without SARS-CoV-2 (P[-]) infection (n=35). **F and G**, Maternal and cord blood serum antitetanus IgG titers in SARS-CoV-2—positive and SARS-CoV-2—negative samples in the pregnant cohort; maternal serum (35) and cord blood serum (21).

AUC, area under curve; FcRn, neonatal Fc receptor; IgG, immunoglobulin G; nAb, neutralizing antibody; RBD, receptor-binding domain; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Sherer et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

syndrome—related coronavirus 2, isolate USA-WA1/2020, NR-5228. The authors would also like to thank Janna Shapiro for assistance in figure development.

References

 Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19 data in motion: Wednesday, March 31, 2021. 2021. Available at: https:// coronavirus.jhu.edu/. Accessed Jan. 3, 2021.
 Prochaska E, Jang M, Burd I. COVID-19 in

pregnancy: placental and neonatal involvement. Am J Reprod Immunol 2020;84:e13306.

3. Delahoy MJ, Whitaker M, Chai SJ, et al. Characteristics and maternal and birth outcomes of hospitalized pregnant women with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 13 states, March 1-August 22, 2020. 2020;69: 1347–1354.

4. Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, et al. Update: characteristics of symptomatic women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status -United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69: 1641–7.

5. Lokken EM, Huebner EM, Gray Taylor G, et al. Disease severity, pregnancy outcomes, and maternal deaths among pregnant patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection in Washington State. Am *J* Obstet Gynecol 2021 [Epub ahead of print].

6. Woodworth KR, Olsen EO, Neelam V, et al. Birth and infant outcomes following laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy - SET-NET, 16 jurisdictions, March 29-October 14, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1635–40.

7. Yockey LJ, Iwasaki A. Interferons and proinflammatory cytokines in pregnancy and fetal development. Immunity 2018;49:397–412.

8. Racicot K, Mor G. Risks associated with viral infections during pregnancy. J Clin Invest 2017;127:1591–9.

9. Chudnovets A, Liu J, Narasimhan H, Liu Y, Burd I. Role of inflammation in virus pathogenesis during pregnancy. J Virol 2020;95. e01381–19.

10. Estes ML, McAllister AK. Maternal immune activation: implications for neuropsychiatric disorders. Science 2016;353:772–7.

11. Mor G, Cardenas I. The immune system in pregnancy: a unique complexity. Am *J* Reprod Immunol 2010;63:425–33.

12. Albrecht M, Arck PC. Vertically transferred immunity in neonates: mothers, mechanisms and mediators. Front Immunol 2020;11:555.

13. Flannery DD, Gouma S, Dhudasia MB, et al. Assessment of maternal and neonatal cord blood SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and placental transfer ratios. JAMA Pediatr 2021 [Epub ahead of print].

14. Blair PW, Brown D, Jang M, et al. The clinical course of COVID-19 in the outpatient setting: a prospective cohort study. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021;8:ofab007.

15. World Health Organization. Reproductive health indicators: guidelines for their generation, interpretation and analysis for global monitoring. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006. p.9.
16. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans: a detailed protocol for a serological assay, antigen production, and test setup. Curr Protoc Microbiol 2020;57:e100.

17. Klein SL, Pekosz A, Park HS, et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. J Clin Invest 2020;130:6141–50.

18. Schaecher SR, Stabenow J, Oberle C, et al. An immunosuppressed Syrian golden hamster model for SARS-CoV infection. Virology 2008;380:312–21.

 Chudnovets A, Lei J, Na Q, et al. Dosedependent structural and immunological changes in the placenta and fetal brain in response to systemic inflammation during pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 2020;84:e13248.
 Basu S, Agarwal P, Anupurba S, Shukla R, Kumar A. Elevated plasma and cerebrospinal fluid interleukin-1 beta and tumor necrosis factor-alpha concentration and combined outcome of death or abnormal neuroimaging in preterm neonates with early-onset clinical sepsis. J Perinatol 2015;35:855–61.

21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Symptoms of coronavirus. 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/ symptoms-testing/symptoms.html. Accessed October 30, 2020.

22. Del Valle DM, Kim-Schulze S, Huang HH, et al. An inflammatory cytokine signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival. Nat Med 2020;26:1636–43.

23. Zhu J, Pang J, Ji P, et al. Elevated interleukin-6 is associated with severity of COVID-19: a meta-analysis. J Med Virol 2021;93:35–7.

24. Zeng F, Huang Y, Guo Y, et al. Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: a meta-analysis. Int *J* Infect Dis 2020;96:467–74.

25. Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, et al. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. Science 2020;370:1227–30.

26. Liu F, Liu H, Hou L, et al. Clinico-radiological features and outcomes in pregnant women with COVID-19 pneumonia compared with agematched non-pregnant women. Infect Drug Resist 2020;13:2845–54.

27. Gao YJ, Ye L, Zhang JS, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of pregnant women with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis 2020;20:564.

28. Delahoy MJ, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A, et al. Characteristics and maternal and birth outcomes of hospitalized pregnant women with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 13 states, March 1-August 22, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1347–54.

29. Xu S, Shao F, Bao B, et al. Clinical manifestation and neonatal outcomes of pregnant

patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa283.

30. Wei L, Gao X, Chen S, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of childbearing-age women with COVID-19 in Wuhan: retrospective, single-center study. J Med Internet Res 2020;22:e19642.

31. Cheng B, Jiang T, Zhang L, et al. Clinical characteristics of pregnant women with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa294.

32. Mohr-Sasson A, Chayo J, Bart Y, et al. Laboratory characteristics of pregnant compared to non-pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2020;302:629–34.

33. Amanat F, Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines: status report. Immunity 2020;52:583–9.
34. Zeng H, Xu C, Fan J, et al. Antibodies in infants born to mothers with COVID-19 pneumonia. JAMA 2020;323:1848–9.

35. Flannery DD, Gouma S, Dhudasia MB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among parturient women in Philadelphia. Sci Immunol 2020;5: eabd5709.

36. Edlow AG, Li JZ, Collier AY, et al. Assessment of maternal and neonatal SARS-CoV-2 viral load, transplacental antibody transfer, and placental pathology in pregnancies during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2030455.
37. Atyeo C, Pullen KM, Bordt EA, et al. Compromised SARS-CoV-2-specific placental antibody transfer. Cell 2021;184:628–42.e10.

38. Cosma S, Carosso AR, Corcione S, et al. Longitudinal analysis of antibody response following SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy: from the first trimester to delivery. J Reprod Immunol 2021;144:103285.

39. Zohar T, Alter G. Dissecting antibodymediated protection against SARS-CoV-2. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20:392–4.

40. Wu F, Wang A, Liu M, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-19 recovered patient cohort and their implications. medRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.30.20047365

41. Klumpp-Thomas C, Kalish H, Drew M, et al. Standardization of ELISA protocols for serosurveys of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic using clinical and at-home blood sampling. Nat Commun 2021;12:113.

42. Smith SEP, Li J, Garbett K, Mirnics K, Patterson PH. Maternal immune activation alters fetal brain development through interleukin-6. J Neurosci 2007;27:10695–702.

43. Klein SL, Creisher PS, Burd I. COVID-19 vaccine testing in pregnant females is necessary. J Clin Invest 2021;131:e147553.

44. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Information about COVID-19 vaccines for people who are pregnant or breastfeeding. 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/ 2019-ncov/vaccines/recommendations/pregnancy.html. Accessed December 17, 2020.

45. Wajnberg A, Mansour M, Leven E, et al. Humoral response and PCR positivity in patients with COVID-19 in the New York City region, USA: an observational study. Lancet Microbe 2020;1: e283–9.

46. Suri T, Mittal S, Tiwari P, et al. COVID-19 real-time RT-PCR: does positivity on follow-up RT-PCR always imply infectivity? Am *J* Respir Crit Care Med 2020;202:147.

47. Sherer ML, Posillico CK, Schwarz JM. The psychoneuroimmunology of pregnancy. Front Neuroendocrinol 2018;51:25–35.

Author and article information

From the W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD (Drs Sherer and Creisher, Ms Littlefield, Dr Park, Ms Ganesan, and Drs Pekosz and Klein); Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Integrated Research Center for Fetal Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (Dr Lei, Mss Jang, Reddy, Boyer, Elsayed, and Jones-Beatty, and Drs Satin, Sheffield, and Burd); Division of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (Dr Voegtline); Biostatistics, Data Management and Epidemiology Core, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (Dr Voegtline and Ms Olson); Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore MD (Ms Ursin); Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (Mss Brown and Walch and Drs Antar and Manabe); and Eudowood Neonatal Pulmonary Division,

Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (Dr Golden).

Received Jan. 5, 2021; revised March 17, 2021; accepted March 20, 2021.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health: the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Development (grant numbers R01HD097608 [I.B. and S.L.K.] and R21HD099000 [I.B.]), the National Cancer Institute (grant number U54CA260492 [S.L.K.]), and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant numbers HHSN272201400007C [A.P.] and T32AI007417 [M.L.S. and R.L.U.]).

Corresponding author: Irina Burd, MD, PhD. iburd@ jhmi.edu