

Residual Risk Still Remains in Low-Density Lipoprotein

Tsutomu Hirano

Diabetes Center, Ebina general hospital, Kanagawa, Japan

See article vol. 29: 1458-1474

The development of statins has significantly improved the prognosis of patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). Furthermore, the low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol (C)-lowering effect of statins strongly suggests that LDL-C is the main cause of CAD. However, some patients have been reported to still develop CAD even after treatment with statin. Thus, the term "residual risk factor" has become a popular subject of discussion. Residual risk means a risk that cannot be reduced by statin therapy. It is also widely recognized as risk associated with hypertriglyceridemia and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-C. However, whether they really cause residual risk remains undetermined. Randomized Evaluation of Aggressive or Moderate Lipid-Lowering Therapy with Pitavastatin in Coronary Artery Disease (REAL-CAD) is a large-scale secondary intervention study, which was conducted to examine if the high-dose pitavastatin therapy (4 mg/dL) can reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with stable CAD compared with the low-dose pitavastatin therapy (1 mg/dL)¹⁾. The results indicated that high-intensity statin therapy was beneficial for CAD patients compared with low-intensity statin therapy. The switching from low to high doses of pitavastatin resulted in a further reduction in LDL-C. This study demonstrated a significant reduction in the occurrence of MACE in the high-dose statin group. However, it remains unclear whether the reduction was entirely due to a decrease in LDL-C and who the responder to high-dose pitavastatin is. In this issue of The Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis, Ishii *et al*²⁾ reported unexpected data in the REAL-CAD subanalysis that was conducted for a prospective case-cohort substudy within the original REAL-CAD study. Their results indicated the need for further discussion on LDL-C to find out what the residual

risk is.

In this study, the most attractive finding is that high-dose (relative to low-dose) pitavastatin therapy remarkably reduced the MACE risk by 46% in the study patients whose sdLDL-C level at baseline was in the top quartile (>34.3 mg/dL). However, this beneficial effect of high-dose pitavastatin was not observed in patients whose sdLDL-C levels were lower than 34.3 mg/dL at baseline. In contrast, there was no association between baseline LDL-C level and risk reduction of MACE by the high-dose pitavastatin therapy. Many large cohort studies have demonstrated the significant contribution of sdLDL-C to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) beyond LDL-C³⁻⁵⁾. However, little is known about the potentiality of sdLDL-C as a risk marker for ASCVD in secondary prevention studies. This study by Ishii *et al*²⁾ provided additional evidence that sdLDL-C is strongly associated with the occurrence of cardiovascular events in CAD patients. Among the study population, the greatest reduction of sdLDL-C after treatment with high-dose pitavastatin was observed in patients who had higher levels of baseline sdLDL-C, and the same group of patients had the greatest risk reduction of MACE. This indicates that lowering sdLDL-C helps prevent the risk of MACE. Our group previously reported that in stable CAD patients, a higher sdLDL-C level (>35 mg/dL) was associated with worse prognosis, whereas a higher LDL-C level (>100 mg/dL) was not⁶⁾. Altogether, an sdLDL-C level greater than 35 mg/dL is considered to be dangerous for CAD patients even when the LDL-C levels are well-controlled. Similar to sdLDL-C, the reduction of MACE risk by the high-dose pitavastatin therapy was prominent in patients whose triglyceride and TRL-C levels were in the top quartile. However, statin treatment did not reduce the triglyceride or TRL-C levels as it did for sdLDL-C. Many interventional studies using statins have demonstrated that statins significantly reduce the risk of MACE in

Address for correspondence: Tsutomu Hirano, Diabetes Center, Ebina general hospital, Kawaharaguchi 1320, Ebina City, Kanagawa, 243-0433, Japan
E-mail: hirano@med.showa-u.ac.jp

Received: November 26, 2021 Accepted for publication: November 26, 2021

Copyright©2022 Japan Atherosclerosis Society

This article is distributed under the terms of the latest version of CC BY-NC-SA defined by the Creative Commons Attribution License.

subjects with hypertriglyceridemia without altering the triglyceride levels. These clinical evidences suggest that sdLDL-C, rather than triglyceride and TRL-C, is causally associated with MACE, whereas triglyceride and TRL-C are indirectly associated through enhanced sdLDL production pathway⁷⁾.

The REAL-CAD study may justify the “Fire and Forget” strategy, the idea of which is that if the maximum amount of statin is given, the LDL-C levels do not need to be monitored. In contrast, this subanalysis of REAL-CAD reminds us of the need for the “Treat to Target” approach. The LDL-C goals of the ASCVD prevention guidelines are frequently revised. The main reason may be that LDL-C is not a sensitive risk marker for ASVCD. Current research suggests that sdLDL-C is a potential alternative to LDL-C as a new target for treatment. SdLDL-C may be a good indicator in determining the intensity of treatment that cannot be determined via LDL-C. Unfortunately, in this study, sdLDL-C was measured in only 13% of the subjects in the original REAL-CAD study, and there is no available lipid data at the later time of the follow-up duration. Therefore, accurate determination of the cutoff point for sdLDL-C that will prevent the occurrence of subsequent MACE is impossible. Nonetheless, this article by Ishii *et al*²⁾ strongly suggests the need for a “Target to Treat” strategy so as to efficiently select high-risk patients and evaluate the power of lipid-lowering agents. A number of non-statin lipid-lowering drugs, such as PCSK9 inhibitors, ezetimibe, and new fibrate, are currently available. The combination of statins and these drugs is expected to lower sdLDL-C more significantly than high-dose statins alone. With a variety of treatment options, “Fire and Forget” using statin alone is already becoming a thing of the past. Finally, the current study strongly suggests that LDL particles are not equally created⁸⁾ and that true residual risk still remains in LDL.

COI

Tsutomu Hirano receives advisor fee from Denka Co., Ltd and lecture fee from Kowa Co.

References

- 1) Taguchi I, Iimuro S, Iwata H, Takashima H, Abe M, Amiya E, Ogawa T, Ozaki Y, Sakuma I, Nakagawa Y, Hibi K, Hiro T, Fukumoto Y, Hokimoto S, Miyauchi K, Yamazaki T, Ito H, Otsuji Y, Kimura K, Takahashi J, Hirayama A, Yokoi H, Kitagawa K, Urabe T, Okada Y, Terayama Y, Toyoda K, Nagao T, Matsumoto M, Ohashi Y, Kaneko T, Fujita R, Ohtsu H, Ogawa H, Daida H, Shimokawa H, Saito Y, Kimura T, Inoue T, Matsuzaki M, Nagai R: High-Dose Versus Low-Dose Pitavastatin in Japanese Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease (REAL-CAD): A Randomized Superiority Trial. Circulation, 2018; 137: 1997-2009
- 2) Ishii J, Kashiwabara K, Ozaki Y, Takahashi H, Kitagawa F, Nishimura H, Ishii H, Satoshi Iimuro, Hideki Kawai, Muramatsu T, Naruse H, Iwata H, Tanizawa-Motoyama S, Ito H, Watanabe E, Matsuyama Y, Fukumoto Y, Sakuma I, Nakagawa Y, Hibi K, Hiro T, Hokimoto S, Miyauchi K, Ohtsu H, Izawa H, Ogawa H, Daida H, Shimokawa H, Saito Y, Kimura T, Matsuzaki M, Nagai R: Small dense low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular risk in statin-treated patients with coronary artery disease. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2022; 29: 1458-1474
- 3) Hoogeveen RC, Gaubatz JW, Sun W, Dodge RC, Crosby JR, Jiang J, Couper D, Virani SS, Kathiresan S, Boerwinkle E, and Ballantyne CM: Small dense low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol concentrations predict risk for coronary heart disease: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 2014; 34: 1069-1077
- 4) Arai H, Kokubo Y, Watanabe M, Sawamura T, Ito Y, Minagawa A, Okamura T, and Miyamoto Y: Small dense low-density lipoproteins cholesterol can predict incident cardiovascular disease in an urban Japanese cohort: the Suita Study. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2013; 20: 195-203
- 5) Higashioka M, Sakata S, Honda T, Hata J, Yoshida D, Hirakawa Y, Shibata M, Goto K, Kitazono T, Osawa H, and Ninomiya T: Small dense low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and the risk of coronary heart disease in a Japanese community. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2020; 27: 669-682
- 6) Nishikura T, Koba S, Yokota Y, Hirano T, Tsunoda F, Shoji M, Hamazaki Y, Suzuki H, Itoh Y, Katagiri T, Kobayashi Y: Elevated small dense low-density lipoprotein cholesterol as a predictor for future cardiovascular events in patients with stable coronary artery disease. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2014; 21: 755-767
- 7) Hirano T: Pathophysiology of Diabetic Dyslipidemia. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2018; 25: 771-782
- 8) Krauss RM: Low-density lipoprotein particles are not created equal. Arterioscler, Thromb, Vasc Biol, 2014; 34: 959-996