# Thermal biology of the toad *Rhinella schneideri* in a seminatural environment in southeastern Brazil

Carolina R Noronha-de-Souza<sup>1</sup>, Rafael P Bovo<sup>2</sup>, Luciane H Gargaglioni<sup>3,4</sup>, Denis V Andrade<sup>2,4</sup>, and Kênia C Bícego<sup>3,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology; FFCLRP; University of São Paulo; Ribeirão Preto; São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology; Institute of Bioscience; São Paulo State University; Rio Claro; São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Morphology and Physiology; College of Agricultural and Veterinarian Sciences; São Paulo State University; Jaboticabal; São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>4</sup>National Institute of Science and Technology – Comparative Physiology (INCT- Fisiologia Comparada)

Keywords: body temperature, operative temperature, season, summer, thermal preference, winter

**Abbreviations:**  $d_b$ , accuracy of thermoregulation;  $d_e$ , thermal quality of habitat; *E*, effectiveness of thermoregulation;  $T_b$ , body temperature;  $T_e$ , operative environmental temperature;  $T_{set}$ , preferred body temperature selected in a thermal gradient

The toad, Rhinella schneideri, is a large-bodied anuran amphibian with a broad distribution over South America. R. schneideri is known to be active at night during the warm/rainy months and goes into estivation during the dry/cold months; however, there is no data on the range of body temperatures (T<sub>b</sub>) experienced by this toad in the field, and how environmental factors, thermoregulatory behaviors or activity influence them. By using implantable temperature dataloggers, we provide an examination of T<sub>b</sub> variation during an entire year under a seminatural setting (emulating its natural habitat) monitored with thermosensors. We also used data on preferred T<sub>br</sub> allowing us to express the effectiveness of thermoregulation quantitatively. Paralleling its cycle of activity, R. schneideri exhibited differences in its daily and seasonal profile of T<sub>b</sub> variation. During the active season, toads spent daytime hours in shelters and, therefore, did not explore microhabitats with higher thermal quality, such as open areas in the sun. At nighttime, the thermal suitability of microhabitats shifted as exposed microhabitats experienced greater temperature drops than the more insulated shelter. As toads became active at night, they were driven to the more exposed areas and, as a result, thermoregulatory effectiveness decreased. Our results, therefore, indicate that, during the active season, a compromise between thermoregulation and nocturnal activity may be at play. During the estivation period, R. schneideri spent the entire day cycle inside the shelter. As toads did not engage in nocturnal activity in those areas with low thermal quality, the overall effectiveness of thermoregulation was, indeed, elevated. In conclusion, we showed that daily and seasonal variation in T<sub>b</sub> of an anuran species is highly associated with their respective pattern of activity and may involve important physiological and ecological compromises.

## Introduction

Body temperature ( $T_b$ ) affects behavioral and physiological functions of animals, such as locomotion, foraging and growth.<sup>1</sup> The maintenance of  $T_b$  within narrow and controlled ranges can optimize these functions and, ultimately, affect fitness. In ectotherms, behavioral thermoregulation is a common strategy for buffering temporal and spatial variations in environmental temperature. Thermoregulatory behaviors include shuttling between microhabitats, basking, regulating activity time and adjusting posture.<sup>2-4</sup>

Anuran amphibians have been shown to thermoregulate by behavioral means in natural environments.<sup>3</sup> Behavioral thermoregulation is also observed in laboratory conditions, where anurans show less variation in preferred  $T_b$  in thermal gradients<sup>5-8</sup> than displayed in the field.<sup>8,9</sup> Most anurans, however, offer little

or no resistance to evaporative water loss.<sup>9-12</sup> It is known that thermoregulatory adjustments need to accommodate the potentially conflicting compromises related to water balance<sup>13</sup> and the prominent tendency of amphibians to lose water is thought to prevent a more efficient regulation of T<sub>b</sub>. As a consequence, amphibians, and particularly terrestrial anurans, may have their activities limited, daily and seasonally, by the availability of adequate thermal microhabitats that allow for thermoregulation without serious consequences to water balance.<sup>14-16</sup>

The toad, *Rhinella schneideri*, is a terrestrial large-bodied amphibian (Anura, Bufonidae) with a broad distribution over South America (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay), inhabiting open areas at Chaco, Cerrado (a savannah-like habitat), and Atlantic Forest biomes.<sup>17,18</sup> This toad is also easily found in open and urban areas.<sup>18</sup> During the dry and cold season in Southeastern Brazil, *R. schneideri* estivates buried in shallow

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.

<sup>©</sup> Carolina R Noronha-de-Souza, Rafael P Bovo, Luciane H Gargaglioni, Denis V Andrade, and Kênia C Bícego

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Kênia C Bícego; Email: keniacb@yahoo.com.br; keniacb@fcav.unesp.br

Submitted: 06/10/2015; Revised: 09/15/2015; Accepted: 09/15/2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2015.1096437

burrows excavated in the soil or under rocks, or fallen logs. In the laboratory, during estivation season, this species shows reductions in metabolic and heart rates,<sup>5,19</sup> independent of  $T_b$ ; furthermore, it selects lower preferred  $T_b$  in a thermal gradient during winter.<sup>5</sup>

Due to its large body size and abundance, R. schneideri has been subjected to a number of physiological studies, including some devoted to aspects of its thermal biology. <sup>5,6,19-24</sup> However, we still lack some basic and important information about the thermal biology of this species. In fact, we still do not know the range of T<sub>b</sub>'s experienced by this toad in the field and how environmental factors and thermoregulatory behaviors determine it, or even how T<sub>b</sub> might be related to changes in activity. Herein, we aimed to fill this gap by providing an examination of T<sub>b</sub>, recorded over a year, in a group of toads maintained under seminatural conditions within their original area of occurrence. In doing so, we adopted the protocol described by Hertz et al.<sup>25</sup> to examine daily and seasonal variations in T<sub>b</sub>and environmental temperatures, which, combined with preferred T<sub>b</sub> of this species in a thermal gradient, allowed us to calculate indexes that evaluate the accuracy and effectiveness of thermoregulation.

## **Materials and methods**

#### Animals

*Rhinella schneideri*<sup>26</sup> toads (formerly known as *Bufo paracnemis* and *Chaunus schneideri*<sup>27,28</sup>) of both sexes, weighing 335– 590 g, were captured under the permit issued by the "Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Renováveis" – IBAMA (Animal License n° 025/2005). Toads were fed beef liver twice a week up to 2 d before surgery for implantation of temperature dataloggers (see below). Throughout the entire duration of the experiment (-1 year), toads were frequently inspected for their general appearance, presence of ectoparasites, position inside the arena and any other noticeable occurrence.

#### Location and experimental arena

The experiment was carried out in the municipality of Rio Claro, São Paulo state, southeastern Brazil (22°24'53.648"S, 47°33'54.501"W at 626 m a.s.l.). This location is included within the geoclimatic domain of the Tropical Atlantic.<sup>29</sup> The climate is mesothermic, with dry winters and rainy hot summers, according to the Köppen-Geiger's system.<sup>30</sup> During the experiments, rainfall and relative humidity (RH) data were collected daily by the local meteorological station (CEAPLA, UNESP). Autumn months (March-June) were characterized by 186.8 mm of rainfall (mean 2.00 mm/day), whereas winter months (June-September) had 120.3 mm of rainfall (mean 1.3 mm/day), spring months (September-December) had 389.4 mm of rainfall (mean 4.3 mm/ day) and summer months (December-March) had 361.0 mm of rainfall (mean 4.2 mm/day). Maximum, minimum and mean RH (mean  $\pm$  SEM) were, respectively, 99  $\pm$  0.1, 46  $\pm$  1.4 and 73  $\pm$ 0.8 % during autumn, 96  $\pm$  0.4, 39  $\pm$  1.3 and 66  $\pm$  1% during winter,  $97 \pm 0.3$ ,  $49 \pm 1.9$  and  $69 \pm 1.4\%$  during spring and 97  $\pm$  0.1, 45  $\pm$  1.3 and 67  $\pm$  1.2% during summer. Minimum (p <



**Figure 1.** Schema of the superior view of the experimental arena where toads expended a year implanted with temperature dataloggers. Numbers indicate the shelter (1a and 1b are the 2 entrances to the den) and the water access (2). Shade (under trees and other plants) and open areas can be seen here. See text for details.

0.001;  $F_{(3,314)}$ = 7.7) and mean (p < 0.001;  $F_{(3,314)}$ = 8.33) RH were lower during winter and maximal RH was greater during autumn (p < 0.001;  $F_{(3,314)}$ = 18.3).

The experimental arena (Fig. 1) consisted of an outdoor  $5 \times 10$  m enclosure located next to the Laboratory of Herpetology and Animal Physiology at São Paulo State University. This arena was fenced in by a 0.9 m high cement wall, topped by a metal net fence dome about 3 m high that covered the enclosure entirely. Inside the enclosure, half buried in the soil, there were 2 concrete dens  $(2.7 \times 1.0 \times 0.37 \text{ m})$  to serve as shelters (number 1, Fig. 1). The substrate was planted with grass (*Paspalum notatum*) and the pen was enriched with logs, trees (*Dypsis lutescens, Eugenia uniflora, Schefflera arboricola*) and other plants (*Philodendron bipinnatifidum*). The animals had permanent access to water (number 2, Fig. 1).

# Intra-abdominal implantation of dataloggers

Animals were anesthetized by submergence in an aqueous 0.3% solution of 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (MS-222, Sigma, St. Louis, USA) and a temperature datalogger (TidbiT, Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA) was implanted in the abdominal cavity. To this end, each animal was positioned in lateral decubitus, and an incision was made in the skin and muscles of the left side of the abdomen just large enough to insert the datalogger into the coelomic cavity. After suturing the incision, animals were treated with enrofloxacin (Baytril<sup>®</sup>, Bayer, 3 mg/kg s.c.), a broad-spectrum fluoroquinolone, and an analgesic (Flunixina Meglumina, Banamine<sup>®</sup>; Schering-Plough, 1.0 mg/kg s.c.), and allowed to recover from anesthesia. These procedures lasted approximately 20 minutes. Animals were allowed to recover for at least one week from surgery before being placed in the experimental arena.

## Temperature recordings in the experimental arena

Body temperature of 7 toads was automatically measured by the temperature dataloggers implanted in the abdominal cavity. Dataloggers were calibrated, before and after the experiment, against a mercury thermometer (Labortherm-N, 75 mm) at 5 different temperatures. Before being implanted, the dataloggers were programmed (BoxCar<sup>®</sup> Pro 4.0 for Windows, Onset Computer Corporation) to collect and store the temperature data every 16 min for a period of 12 months, starting 30 d after implantation.

The same dataloggers were used to sample the temperature of representative thermal microhabitats within the experimental arena: i) in a permanently shaded spot; ii) inside the shelter; iii) in the water bowl provided  $(25 \times 54 \times 88.5 \text{ cm})$ ; and iv) in an exposed open area. The dataloggers registered temperatures every 16 min between February of 2005 and January of 2006. Data from all 4 seasons were grouped by daytime (0600–1800) and nighttime (1800–0600) and means were calculated every 32 min.

In order to validate the environmental temperature readings collected by the dataloggers as representative of operative temperatures (T<sub>e</sub>) during the experimental period, we simultaneously recorded temperature using a "naked" datalogger, along with a second datalogger that was implanted inside the body cavity of freshly dead toads.<sup>31</sup> Both the "naked" dataloggers and the dead toads with implanted dataloggers were placed in each of the 4 microhabitats (2 toads of similar size to the experimental animals were used in each: shaded, open, shelter and water) under the same conditions prevalent during the experiment. Recordings lasted for 2 to 3 d each and were repeated under variable climatic conditions. Dead toads were replaced after 48 h, at most, to avoid any thermal effect of putrefaction. We found no significant differences in the heat exchange rates between the 2 conditions (naked datalogger vs within-carcass) for the temperatures recorded inside the shelter and water. Therefore, the raw data, as recorded by the naked dataloggers, were accepted as representative of T<sub>e</sub>'s for these microhabitats. For the permanently shaded and open area microhabitats, however, there was a significant difference between the heat exchange rates for the naked datalogger and the toad carcass (p < 0.001). In these cases, we found that T<sub>e</sub> could be confidently predicted from a linear combination between time of day and ambient temperature (taken from the naked datalogger) (Multiple Linear Regression: Rsqr = 0.904, p < 0.001); thus, allowing us to convert all our ambient temperature readings (from the naked datalogger) for the entire duration of the experiment to T<sub>e</sub>.

## Thermal preference in a thermal gradient chamber

The range of preferred T<sub>b</sub>'s (T<sub>set</sub>), was measured in a thermal gradient, where thermoregulatory costs and constraints are null.<sup>25</sup> The experiments in the thermal gradient during summer and winter presented here were performed by our research group for other purposes (unpublished data) and studies.<sup>5,6</sup> Briefly, the thermal gradient consisted of a chamber (1.00 m long, 0.15 m high and 0.20 m wide) with an aluminum floor. One end of the floor was cooled to  $10\text{--}11^\circ\text{C}$  by a copper pad connected to a refrigerated water bath, and the other end was heated to 38-40°C by another bath or an electrical resistor. Petri dishes filled with tap water (from an artesian well), placed throughout the chamber, provided access to water in all temperature ranges. Each animal, equipped with a temperature probe secured 3 cm into the colon through the cloaca with skin sutures, was placed in the center of the thermal gradient. The thermistor output was continuously displayed on a chart recorder. After an exploratory period of about 5-12 h, the preferred T<sub>b</sub> was recorded continuously and computed each h for 5 (winter) or 10 h (summer). Measurements were taken between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 pm, and we assumed no difference in T<sub>set</sub> between night and day. This assumption was based on the fact that no significant change in preferred T<sub>b</sub> was observed in a 24 h period in the same species<sup>19</sup> by us or by others in a closely-related toad, Rhinella marina.<sup>32,33</sup>

Individual  $T_{set}$  was estimated from the central 50% of recorded temperatures selected in the thermal gradient (25% quartile representing the lower bound and 75% quartile representing the upper bound of  $T_{set}$ ). The range of  $T_{set}$  for the species was calculated by the average of individual lower and upper bounds of  $T_{set}$ , according to Hertz et al.<sup>25</sup>

## Thermoregulatory indexes

Once  $T_b$ ,  $T_e$  and  $T_{set}$  were obtained, the protocol described by Hertz et al.<sup>25</sup> was used to estimate the accuracy of thermoregulation (*db*), thermal quality of the habitat (*de*), and effectiveness of thermoregulation (*E*). Indexes were calculated for day and night for all 4 seasons. Summer and winter  $T_{set}$  were assumed for calculating indexes during spring and summer and during autumn and winter, respectively.

The accuracy of thermoregulation is indexed by  $\overline{db}$ , which represents the extent to which  $T_b$ 's overlap  $T_{set}$ .<sup>24</sup> The db from individual readings were interpreted using the following criteria: if the  $T_b$  reading fell within  $T_{set}$ , db was zero; if  $T_b$  fell below the lower bound of  $T_{set}$ , db was the difference between the lower bound of  $T_{set}$ , and  $T_b$ ; if  $T_b$  fell above the upper bound of  $T_{set}$ ,

	autumn		winter		spring		summer	
	day	night	day	night	day	night	day	night
T <sub>b</sub> T <sub>e</sub>	$20.5\pm0.3$ Shelter	$20.6 \pm 0.2$	$18.1\pm0.2$	$18.8\pm0.2$	$21.6 \pm 0.1$	$21.0 \pm 0.2$	$23.2 \pm 0.2$	$22.5\pm0.2$
	21.1 $\pm$ 0.1 Shaded area	$21.5\pm0.1$	$18.2\pm0.04$	$18.7\pm0.04$	$21.8 \pm 0.03$	$22.1\pm0.03$	$24.3 \pm 0.03$	$23.9 \pm 0.3$
	$20.7\pm0.1$ Water	$21.1 \pm 0.1$	$18.2\pm0.1$	$19.0\pm0.1$	$22.0\pm0.1$	$22.1\pm0.1$	$23.7 \pm 0.04$	$\textbf{22.8} \pm \textbf{0.1}$
	19.9 $\pm$ 0.1 Open area	$20.5 \pm 0.1$	$16.8\pm0.1$	$17.9\pm0.1$	$20.7 \pm 0.1$	$21.3\pm0.1$	$23.3 \pm 0.1$	$\textbf{22.6} \pm \textbf{0.1}$
	$20.7 \pm 0.1$	$15.1\pm0.1$	$19.8\pm0.1$	$13.9\pm0.1$	$21.9 \pm 0.1$	$16.3\pm0.1$	$17.2\pm0.1$	$\textbf{22.6} \pm \textbf{0.1}$
$\overline{db}$	$\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$5.9\pm0.02$	$5.2\pm0.02$	$\textbf{4.5} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$5.0\pm0.02$	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{0.02}$
de	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$5.6\pm0.03$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$4.0\pm0.04$
95% confidence interval of <i>E</i>	0.09 - 0.12	0.26 – 0.28	0.00 - 0.03	0.20 - 0.22	0.01 - 0.05	0.09 – 0.11	0.05 – 0.09	0.11 – 0.15

**Table 1.** Body temperature ( $T_b$ ), environmental temperature ( $T_e$ ), deviation of  $T_b$  ( $\overline{db}$ ) and of  $T_e$  ( $\overline{de}$ ) from  $T_{setr}$  and E, calculated for daytime (0600–1800) and nighttime (1800–0600), during the 4 seasons

*db* was the difference between  $T_b$  and the upper bound of  $T_{set}$ . *db*'s were averaged for day and night, during autumn, winter, spring and summer. High  $\overline{db}$  indicates low accuracy of thermoregulation, since individuals often experience  $T_b$ 's out of the range of  $T_{set}$ .  $\overline{db}$  that approaches zero represents a species that experiences  $T_b$ 's within the ranges of  $T_{set}$ , and has, therefore, a high accuracy of thermoregulation.

The thermal quality of the habitat, from the organism's perspective, is represented by  $\overline{de}$ , and is calculated analogously to  $\overline{db}$ .<sup>25</sup> Environments in which  $\overline{de}$  is zero offer T<sub>e</sub>'s that are exclusively within the range of T<sub>set</sub> and, therefore, have a high thermal quality; the higher the  $\overline{de}$ , the lower the thermal quality of the environment.

 $\overline{db}$  and  $\overline{de}$  were combined to calculate the index *E*, which represents the effectiveness of thermoregulation of the species. The index *E* is calculated as  $1 - (\overline{db}/\overline{de})$ . An *E* value that approaches 1 indicates that animals thermoregulate carefully, and T<sub>b</sub> deviations from preferred range (T<sub>set</sub>) are much smaller than those of T<sub>e</sub>, while a value that approach 0 indicates that animals do not thermoregulate and their deviations from T<sub>set</sub> are similar to those of T<sub>e</sub>. A negative value of *E* indicates that animals, for some reason, do not use microhabitats that offer temperatures within the range of T<sub>set</sub>. In order to compare *E* values from different seasons and time of day, confidence intervals of *E* were calculated by bootstrap resampling from the distribution of T<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>b</sub>, as described by Hertz et al.<sup>25</sup>

## Statistical analyses

All the results are reported as mean  $\pm$  SE. Operative temperature (T<sub>e</sub>) data were reduced to means every 32 minutes, which were used in all analyses; the existence of differences in T<sub>e</sub> among seasons was tested by one-way ANOVA. Within each season, a 2-way ANOVA was performed to test if T<sub>e</sub> differed between time of day and among microhabitats. The T<sub>b</sub> data were averaged for daytime and nighttime in each season for each toad (n = 7) and a 2-way ANOVA was used to test the possible differences between time of day and among seasons. For each individual tested in the thermal gradient, the lower and upper bounds of  $T_{set}$  (25 and 75% quartiles, respectively) were calculated and compared between summer (n = 14) and winter (n = 11) by *t* test. Comparisons of  $\overline{db}$  and  $\overline{de}$  were performed by 2-way ANOVA (factors: season and time of day). In all the analyses, when a significant effect was found, a Tukey test was performed to compare the means. A significant difference was accepted when p < 0.05.

#### Results

### Operative temperatures $(T_e)$

 $T_e$  in the 4 representative microhabitats in the experimental arena (shaded area, shelter, water and open area) differed among seasons, being, as expected, higher during summer and lower during winter (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.001;  $F_{(3,65036)}$ = 5015).

Within each season,  $T_e$  was influenced by time of day and microhabitat (Tables 1 and 2). The Tukey test revealed that, in

**Table 2.** Results of the 2-way ANOVAs testing for the effects of time of day and microhabitat on environmental temperatures ( $T_e$ ) during summer, autumn, winter and spring

Predictor	F	df	Р
autumn		·	
time of day	425.5	1	< 0.001
microhabitat	894.5	3	< 0.001
time of day $ imes$ microhabitat winter	898.4	3	<0.001
time of day	297.5	1	< 0.001
microhabitat	285.2	3	< 0.001
time of day $ imes$ microhabitat spring	1086.9	3	<0.001
time of day	730.0	1	< 0.001
microhabitat	1027.1	3	< 0.001
time of day $ imes$ microhabitat summer	1217.9	3	<0.001
time of day	388	1	< 0.001
microhabitat	1845	3	< 0.001
time of day $ imes$ microhabitat	1258	3	< 0.001

general, daytime temperatures within the shelter, shaded area and water were lower than nighttime temperatures (p < 0.05). In the open area, on the other hand, daytime temperatures were higher than nighttime temperatures (p < 0.05). The temperatures between microhabitats also varied, especially at night, where temperatures in the open area dropped considerably compared to the other microhabitats (p < 0.05).

Hourly mean temperatures were calculated by microhabitat for each season (Fig. 2). Year-round, the shelter presented the lowest daily temperature amplitude, and the open area, the highest. The lowest mean temperature reached in the open area was 12.7, 11.2, 14.4 and 13.9°C for autumn, winter, summer and spring, respectively. These temperatures are at least 3.7°C lower than the minimal temperature reached by other microhabitats, and as much as 6°C higher during summer. At the other end, the highest hourly mean tempera-

ture was also observed in the open area: 25.4, 25.1, 26.3 and 25.8°C for autumn, winter, summer and spring, respectively. The difference between the maximal temperature in the open area and the maximal temperature in the other microhabitats was lowest during summer. During nighttime, the shelter presented the highest hourly mean temperatures.

#### Body temperatures $(T_b)$ in the arena

Body temperature changed throughout the year (2-way ANOVA, p < 0.001,  $F_{(3,48)} = 153.9$ ; interaction factor: p = 0.006;  $F_{(3,48)} = 4.71$ ). Daytime T<sub>b</sub> differed among all seasons, and was higher during summer and lower during winter. Night-time T<sub>b</sub> was also higher during summer and lower during winter, but did not differ between spring and autumn (Tukey test; p < 0.05).

Hourly mean  $T_b$ 's averaged across all individuals are plotted in **Figure 2**. The difference between the highest and the lowest hourly mean temperatures was greatest during summer (4.1°C) and lowest during winter (2.9°C).

## Thermal preference in the thermal gradient $(T_{set})$

The lower bound of  $T_{set}$  did not differ between summer and winter (26.0 ± 0.6 for summer; 24.0 ± 1.1 for winter; p = 0.1). In contrast, the upper bound of  $T_{set}$  was higher during summer (28.4 ± 0.7) compared to winter (25.8 ± 1.0) (p = 0.03).



**Figure 2.** Hourly means of environmental temperatures ( $T_e$ ) at 4 representative microhabitats and body temperatures ( $T_b$ ) of toads, *Rhinella schneideri*, during autumn, winter, spring and summer. The shaded area represents the ranges of preferred  $T_b$  ( $T_{set}$ ) calculated in the laboratory during the summer and winter seasons.

# Comparisons between Te, Tb and Tset

All hourly means of  $T_e$  fell below the upper bounds of  $T_{set}$  while all hourly mean  $T_b$ 's fell below the lower bound of  $T_{set}$  (Fig. 2). Hourly mean  $T_b$ 's closely matched the  $T_e$ 's from the shelter during winter. During summer, on the other hand, mean  $T_b$ 's matched the temperature of the shelter only for some phase of day. During nighttime, mean  $T_b$ 's dropped and matched the temperatures of the open area (Fig. 2).

More than 80% of  $T_e$  and  $T_b$  readings during all seasons fell outside  $T_{set}$  (**Figs. 3 and 4**). The proportions of  $T_e$  and  $T_b$  readings falling inside and outside  $T_{set}$  are shown in **Figures 3 and 4**. A greater proportion of  $T_e$  readings fell within  $T_{set}$  during summer (11.4% for daytime and 9.2% for nighttime) and autumn (11.1% for daytime and 8.5% for nighttime) compared to winter (4.9% for daytime and 1.3% for nighttime) and spring (5.9% for daytime and 1.6% for nighttime) (**Fig. 3**). The proportion of  $T_b$  readings that fell within  $T_{set}$  was also greater during summer (5.1% for daytime and 3.1% for nighttime) and autumn (8.9% for daytime and 0.6% for nighttime) and spring (1.5% for daytime and 0.5% for nighttime) (**Fig. 4**).

## Thermoregulatory indexes

Within each season  $\overline{de}$  and  $\overline{db}$  were calculated for daytime and nighttime (**Table 1**). The thermal quality of the environment, represented by *de*, was influenced by season (p < 0.001, F<sub>(3,65032)</sub>= 2052) and time of day (p < 0.001, F<sub>(1,65032)</sub>= 880;



action factor: p < 0.001;  $F_{(3,104838)} = 27$ ). Toads kept  $T_b$ closer to  $T_{set}$  during summer and autumn. All values of *E* were positive

 $(p < 0.001; F_{(1,104838)} = 11; inter-$ 

and did not exceed 0.28, indicating only moderate thermoregulation (Table 1). During all seasons, E was higher during nighttime compared to daytime. Considering nighttime E, since toads are nocturnal, E indicates a higher degree of thermoregulation during winter and autumn compared to summer and spring.

# Discussion

Our seminatural experimental conditions tried to emulate, as much as possible, the same conditions that R. schneideri is bound to be exposed to in nature. In terms of climatic variables, animals were subjected to same natural weather conditions that free-ranging animals (that do occur in the region) were also exposed. In terms of microhabitat availability, we tried to provide landscape elements that allowed for plenty of thermoregulatory opportunities. No other interventions, other than occasional food supplementation and visual inspection, were introduced during the experiments. Thus, we are confident that if any captivity-related bias was present in our data, its effect is most probably negligible. In agreement with that, we did not observe unnatural behaviors of toads in terms of daily and seasonal activity. Animals were active during the early hours after sundown during the summer and spring and were inactive during day and night during the winter when they spend their time in the provided shelter or,

interaction factor: p < 0.001,  $F_{(3,65032)} = 9.1$ ). Environmental temperatures were closer to  $T_{set}$  (higher thermal quality) during summer and, within each season, during daytime. The accuracy of thermoregulation, represented by *db*, was also influenced by season (p < 0.001;  $F_{(3,104838)} = 7746$ ) and time of day

more rarely, under shallow burrows in the soil covered with plant debris.

Our data show seasonal and daily variation in environmental temperatures, as well as variation between microhabitats tested. In general, the shelter presented stable temperatures throughout the entire daily cycle (i.e., day and night) and, as a result, the nighttime temperatures of the shelter were closer to T<sub>set</sub> compared to other microhabitats. The seasonal cycle of activity of the toads<sup>5,34</sup> was clearly reflected in our body temperature data. For example, during winter when the toads became inactive and retreated into the shelter, their T<sub>b</sub> was practically indistinguishable from the T<sub>e</sub>'s registered for this microhabitat. Thus, the thermal inertia of the shelter is likely to have provided the toads with a stable range of temperatures suitable to endure the estivation period. Indeed, selection of the shelter as the estivation site buffered the Tb of toads from the extreme variation prevalent in the other microhabitats during this season (see Fig. 2). During spring and summer, T<sub>b</sub>'s closely matched the temperature of the shelter only during daytime. At night, when toads were active, T<sub>b</sub>'s dropped further from T<sub>set</sub> and matched the temperatures of the open area, indicating that the toads, as they become active, leave the shelters to explore other microhabitats, even though these microhabitats have lower thermal quality compared to the shelter. Thus, at night, E values during summer and spring are closer to zero compared to winter and autumn, reflecting the engagement of the toads in activities in a low thermal quality environment. During winter, on the other hand, despite being inactive, the choice of microhabitat in which to permanently retreat to for the season allows for T<sub>b</sub> to be closer to T<sub>set</sub> compared to summer and spring, resulting in higher *E* values.

The low thermoregulatory

index of the active toads illustrates clearly the potential compromises between body temperature regulation and other ecologically relevant activities.<sup>35</sup> Indeed, the lower  $T_b$ 's, in relation to the range of  $T_e$ 's, were the result of the toads leaving the shaded/ sheltered area and exploring other microhabitats where lower air temperatures prevailed. Although it is difficult to ascertain the

exact nature of such movements, foraging and reproduction seem to be the most likely candidates. In fact, the beginning of spring is the reproductive period for this species,<sup>36,37</sup> and during spring and summer nights, it was possible to observe insects (possible prey) inside the arena. Finally, another possible explanation for the mismatch between activity  $T_b$  and  $T_{set}$  may be that  $T_{set}$  is a



Volume 2 Issue 4

conservative condition, rather than an adaptation to the current thermal environment.<sup>1</sup> Such an idea, in the specific case of the *Rhinella* group, remains to be tested.

The reduction in activity during the cold and dry season by tropical terrestrial toads seems often related to the control of water loss, rather than the avoidance of extreme temperatures.<sup>15</sup> Rhinella schneideri estivates during winter without forming a cocoon and, in the laboratory, downregulates its heart rate and metabolic rate,<sup>5,19</sup> which may help to save energy and reduce respiratory water loss. In the present study, although winter presented slightly lower RH, rainfall was more than 2 times lower than spring and summer, which may reflect the actual reduction of the levels of humidity within the micro-habitats explored by the toads. Thus, spending all the winter inside the shelter may be a strategy to avoid water loss, as microhabitat selection becomes an effective way to minimize evaporation  $^{14,31,38}$  in toads due to the high permeability of their skin.<sup>39,40</sup> In this context, our results discussed above indicate that the seasonal decrease in the thermal quality of habitats may also be an important component influencing the onset of estivation in this species.

Our study provides a detailed account of seasonal and daily variation in  $T_b$  of an abundant and widespread anuran of the Neotropics under conditions quite similar to their natural habitats. As far as we know, no other study has provided this kind of information for any other anuran species, including those from all other temperate or tropical areas. This lack of information is unfortunate, both in terms of preventing a more refined ecological contextualization for physiological effects of temperature under experimental conditions, as well as the potential effects of temperature change and its possible consequences to the

#### References

- Angilletta MJ, Niewiarowski PH, Navas CA. The evolution of thermal physiology in ectotherms. J Therm Biol 2002; 27:249-68; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0306-4565(01)00094-8
- Cowles RB, Bogert CM. A preliminary study of the thermal requirements of desert reptiles. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 1944; 83:261-94
- Lillywhite HB. Behavioral thermoregulation in the bullfrog, Rana catesbeiana. Copeia 1970; 1970:158-68; http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1441983
- Dreisig H. Control of body temperature in shuttling ectotherms. J Therm Biol 1984; 9:229-33; http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565(84)90001-9
- Bícego-Nahas KC, Gargaglioni LH, Branco LG. Seasonal changes in the preferred body temperature, cardiovascular, and respiratory responses to hypoxia in the toad, Bufo paracnemis. J Exp Zool 2001; 289:359-65; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcz.1017
- Guerra AR, Gargaglioni LH, Noronha-De-Souza CR, Abe AS, Branco LG, Bícego KC. Role of central nitric oxide in behavioral thermoregulation of toads during hypoxia. Physiol Behav 2008; 95:101-7; PMID: 18558414; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2008. 05.004
- Sherman E, Baldwin L, Fernandez G, Deurell E. Fever and thermal tolerance in the toad Bufo marinus. J Therm Biol 1991; 16:297-301; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0306-4565(91)90021-S
- Smits AW. Activity patterns and thermal biology of the toad Bufo boreas halophilus. Copeia; 1984:689-96; http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1445150
- Carey C. Factors affecting body temperatures of toads. Oecologia (Berl.) 1978; 35:197-219; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00344732

conservation of amphibians under a global climate change scenario. In terms of procedure, we demonstrated that it is viable to apply the current procedures for thermoregulatory quantification<sup>25</sup> under a more controlled experimental situation. In the specific case of *R. schneideri*, the adoption of such an approach revealed that nocturnal activity may be an important constraint to T<sub>b</sub> regulation in anurans, even though such activity is largely limited to seasons with a higher thermal quality. On the other hand, during seasons characterized by an overall lower thermal quality (winter/autumn), the onset of inactivity (estivation), combined with microhabitat selection, may allow for a more accurate (although largely passive) maintenance of T<sub>b</sub>.

## Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Jacopo Mortola and Dr Guilherme Gomes for gently helping us with some mathematical corrections and Dr. Augusto S. Abe for the use of space in the laboratory.

## Funding

The study was supported by Conselho Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia (CNPq; 150016/2003–4, KCB; 302045/2012–0, DVA) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP 2013/04190–9; DVA), Brazil.

> California: AmphibiaWeb. Available:http://amphibia web.org/. (Accessed: May 28, 2015)

- Glass ML, Fernandes MS, Soncini R, Glass H, Wasser JS. Effects of dry season dormancy on oxygen uptake, heart rate, and blood pressures in the toad, Bufo paracnemis. J Exp Zool 1997; 279:330-6; PMID: 9360314; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X (19971101)279:4%3c330::AID-JEZ2%3c3.0.CO;2-P
- Bicego KC, Branco LG. Discrete electrolytic lesion of the preoptic area prevents LPS-induced behavioral fever in toads. J Exp Biol 2002; 205:3513-8; PMID: 12364403
- Bícego-Nahas KC, Steiner AA, Cárnio EC, Antunes-Rodrigues J, Branco LG. Antipyretic effect of arginine vasotocin in toads. Am J Physiol 2000; 278:R1408-14
- Bícego KC, Steiner AA, Antunes-Rodrigues J, Branco LG. Indomethacin impairs LPS-induced behavioral fever in toads. J Appl Physiol 2002; 93:512-6; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00121.2002
- Branco LG, Glass ML, Wang T, Hoffmann A. Temperature and central chemoreceptor drive to ventilation in toad (Bufo paracnemis). Respir Physiol 1993; 93:337-46; PMID:8235132; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0034-5687(93)90079-P
- Zena LA, Gargaglioni LH, Bícego KC. Temperature effects on baroreflex control of heart rate in the toad, Rhinella schneideri. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A, Mol Int Physiol 2015; 179:81-8; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.09.027
- Hertz PE, Huey RB, Stevenson RD. Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question. Am Nat 1993; 142:796-818; PMID:19425957; http://dx.doi.org/10. 1086/285573

 Prates I, Navas CA. Cutaneous resistance to evaporative water loss in Brazilian Rhinella (Anura: Bufonidae)

618-22; http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CP-08-128

arboreal frogs. Physiol Zool 1984; 57:329-37

13.

14

15.

16.

17.

12. Young JE, Christian KA, Donnellan S, Tracy CR,

from contrasting environments. Copeia 2009; 2009;

Wygoda ML. Low cutaneous evaporative water loss in

Parry D. Comparative analysis of cutaneous evaporative

water loss in frogs demonstrates correlation with eco-

logical habits. Physiol Biochem Zool 2005; 78:847-56;

PMID:16052451; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/432152

Scarpellini CS, Bícego KC, Tattersall GJ. Thermoregu-

latory consequences of salt loading in the lizard Pogona

vitticeps. J Exp Biol 2015; 218:1166-74; PMID:

Schwarzkopf L, Alford RA. Desiccation and shelter-site

use in a tropical amphibian: comparing toads with

physical models. Functional Ecology 1996; 10:193-

Seebacher F, Alford RA, Movement and microhabitat

use of a terrestrial amphibian (Bufo marinus) on a trop-

ical island: seasonal variation and environmental corre-

lates. J Herpetol 1999; 33:208-14; http://dx.doi.org/

Seebacher F. Alford RA. Shelter microhabitats deter-

mine body temperature and dehydration rates of a ter-

restrial amphibian (Bufo marinus). J Herpetol 2002;

36:69-75; http://dx.doi.org/10.1670/0022-1511(2002)

Haddad CFB, Toledo LF, Prado CPA, Loebmann D,

Gasparini JL, Sazima I. Guia dos Anfíbios da Mata

Atlântica - Diversidade e Biologia. São Paulo: Editora

conservation; web application. 2015. Berkeley,

18. AmphibiaWeb: Information on amphibian biology and

25714566; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.116723

200; http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2389843

036%5b0069:SMDBTA%5d2.0.CO;2

Anolis Books, 1rst ed. 2013.

10.2307/1565716

- 26. Werner F, Herpetologische Nova Zool Anz 1894; 17, 410-15
- Chaparro JC, Pramuk JB, Gluesenkamp AG. A new species of arboreal Rhinella (Anura: Bufonidae) from cloud forest of southeastern Peru. Herpetologica 2007: 63:203-12; http://dx.doi.org/10.1655/0018-0831 (2007)63%5b203:ANSOAR%5d2.0.CO;2
- Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, Sá RO, Channing A, Wilkinson M, Donnellan SC, et al. The Amphibian Tree of Life. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 2006. 297:1-291; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1206/0003-0090(2006)297%5b0001: TATOL%5d2.0.CO;2
- Ab'Saber AN. Os domínios morfoclimáticos na América do Sul: primeira aproximação. Geomorfologia 1977; 53:1-23
- Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 2007; 11:1633-44; http://dx.doi. org/10.5194/hess-11-1633-2007

- Seebacher F, Alford RA. Shelter microhabitats determine body temperature and dehydration rates of a terrestrial amphibian (Bufo marinus). J Herpetol 2002: 36:69-75; http://dx.doi.org/10.1670/0022-1511(2002)036%5b0069:SMDBTA%5d2.0.CO;2
- Mullens DP, Hutchison VH. Diel, seasonal, postprandial and food-deprived thermoregulatory behavior in tropical toads (Bufo marinus). J Therm Biol 1992; 17:63-7; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565(92) 90021-7
- Sievert LM. Thermoregulatory behaviour in the toads Bufo marinus and Bufo cognatus. J Therm Biol 1991; 16:309-12; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565(91) 90023-U
- Vasconcellos MM, Colli GL. Factors Affecting the Population Dynamics of Two Toads (Anura: Bufonidae) in a Seasonal Neotropical Savanna. Copeia 2009; 2:266-76; http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CE-07-099

- Huey RB, Slatkin M. Cost and Benefits of Lizard Thermoregulation. Q Rev Biol 1976; 51:363-84; PMID:981504; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/409470
- Moreira G, Barreto L. Seasonal variation in nocturnal calling activity of a savanna anuran community in central Brazil. Amphibia–Reptilia 1997; 18:49-57; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1163/156853897X00305
- Rossa-Feres DC, Jim J. Distribuição sazonal em comunidades de anfibios anuros na região de Botucatu, São Paulo. Revista Brasileira de Biologia 1994; 54:323-34
- Zug GR, Zug PB. The marine toad, Bufo marinus: a natural history resume of native populations. Smithsonian contributions to zoology 1979; 284
- Tracy CR. A Model of the Dynamic Exchanges of Water and Energy between a Terrestrial Amphibian and Its Environment. Ecological Monograhs 1976; 46:293-326; http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1942256
- Wygoda M. Adaptive Control of Water Loss Resistance in an Arboreal Frog. Herpetologica 1988; 44:251-7