## **RESEARCH ARTICLE External Structure Community Com**



# Biofilm and planktonic pneumococci demonstrate disparate immunoreactivity to human convalescent sera

Carlos J Sanchez<sup>1†</sup>, Brady J Hurtgen<sup>1,2†</sup>, Anel Lizcano<sup>1</sup>, Pooja Shivshankar<sup>1</sup>, Garry T Cole<sup>2</sup> and Carlos J Orihuela<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Streptococcus pneumoniae (the pneumococcus) is the leading cause of otitis media, communityacquired pneumonia (CAP), sepsis, and meningitis. It is now evident that S. pneumoniae forms biofilms during nasopharyngeal colonization; the former which facilitates persistence, the latter, a prerequisite for subsequent development of invasive disease. Proteomic evaluation of S. pneumoniae suggests the antigen profile available for host-recognition is altered as a consequence of biofilm growth. This has potentially meaningful implications in regards to adaptive immunity and protection from disseminated disease. We therefore examined the antigen profile of biofilm and planktonic pneumococcal cell lysates, tested their reactivity with human convalescent sera and that generated against biofilm pneumococci, and examined whether immunization with biofilm pneumococci protected mice against infectious challenge.

Results: Biofilm pneumococci have dramatically altered protein profiles versus their planktonic counterparts. During invasive disease the humoral immune response is skewed towards the planktonic protein profile. Immunization with biofilm bacteria does not elicit a strong-cross-reactive humoral response against planktonic bacteria nor confer resistance against challenge with a virulent isolate from another serotype. We identified numerous proteins, including Pneumococcal serine-rich repeat protein (PsrP), which may serve as a protective antigens against both colonization and invasive disease.

**Conclusion:** Differential protein production by planktonic and biofilm pneumococci provides a potential explanation for why individuals remain susceptible to invasive disease despite previous colonization events. These findings also strongly suggest that differential protein production during colonization and disease be considered during the selection of antigens for any future protein vaccine.

## Background

Streptococcus pneumoniae (the pneumococcus) is the leading cause of otitis media, community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), sepsis, and meningitis. Primarily a commensal, S. pneumoniae colonizes the nasopharynx of 20-40% of healthy children and 10-20% of healthy adults. In most instances nasopharyngeal colonization is asymptomatic and self-limited. However, in susceptible individuals, in particular infants and the elderly, S. pneumoniae is capable of disseminating to sterile sites and

\* Correspondence: [orihuela@uthscsa.edu](mailto:orihuela@uthscsa.edu)

† Contributed equally <sup>1</sup>

causing opportunistic invasive disease [\[1](#page-10-0)-[4\]](#page-10-0). Worldwide and despite aggressive vaccination policies, the pneumococcus is responsible for approximately 1.6 million childhood deaths per year and is associated with a casefatality rate exceeding 20% in individuals >65 years of age [[5-7](#page-10-0)]. Thus, the disease burden caused by the pneumococcus is tremendous.

It is now evident that S. pneumoniae forms biofilms during colonization and in the middle ear during otitis media. Pneumococcal biofilms have been detected in the nasopharynx and sinuses of individuals with chronic rhinosinusitis, the surface of resected adenoids, occluded tympanostomy tubes and mucosal epithelial cells isolated from the middle-ear of children with persistent otitis media, and biofilm aggregates have been observed



© 2011 Sanchez et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

in nasal lavage fluids collected from experimentally infected mice [\[8-14\]](#page-10-0). In general, bacterial biofilms are a community of surface-attached microorganisms that are surrounded by an extracellular polymeric matrix (EPM) composed of DNA, polysaccharide, and protein [[15-](#page-10-0)[17](#page-11-0)]. Due to their EPM, as well as altered gene transcription, metabolism, and growth rate, biofilm pneumococci have been shown to be resistant to desiccation, host mechanisms of clearance including opsonophagocytosis, and to antimicrobial therapy [[14,](#page-10-0)[16,18-22\]](#page-11-0). Thus, growth within a biofilm presumably facilitates S. pneumoniae persistence during colonization. A notion supported by the finding that S. pneumoniae mutants deficient in biofilm formation *in vitro* were outcompeted by wild type bacteria in the nasopharynx of mice [\[23](#page-11-0)].

Proteomic evaluation of a serotype 3 S. pneumoniae clinical isolate found that the protein profile between planktonic exponential growth-phase bacteria and those in a mature biofilm differed by as much as 30% [[24\]](#page-11-0). Numerous investigators have since shown biofilm-dependent changes in gene-expression and the production of established virulence determinants. These include the candidate protein vaccine antigens: pneumolysin, a cholesterol-dependent cytolysin [[25\]](#page-11-0); pneumococcal serine-rich repeat protein (PsrP), a lung cell and intra-species adhesin [[14,](#page-10-0)[26,27\]](#page-11-0); choline binding protein A (CbpA), an adhesin required for colonization and translocation across the blood brain barrier [[28,29](#page-11-0)], and pneumococcal surface protein A (PspA), an inhibitor of complement deposition [[23](#page-11-0),[30,31\]](#page-11-0). Thus, the antigen profile available for host-recognition is altered as a consequence of the mode of bacterial growth (i.e. biofilm versus planktonic growth) with potentially meaningful implications in regards to adaptive immunity.

For the latter reason, we examined the antigen profile of biofilm and planktonic pneumococcal cell lysates and tested their reactivity with human convalescent sera. Additionally, we examined whether antibodies generated against biofilm pneumococci preferentially recognized cell lysates from either the planktonic or biofilm phenotype and protected against infectious challenge. Our findings show that the humoral immune response developed during invasive disease is strongly skewed towards the planktonic phenotype. Furthermore, that the antibody response generated against biofilm bacteria poorly recognizes planktonic cell lysates and does not confer protection against virulent pneumococci belonging to another serotype. These findings provide a potential explanation for why individuals remain susceptible to invasive disease despite prior colonization and strongly suggest that differential protein production during colonization and disease be considered during the selection of antigens for any future vaccine.

## **Results**

## Differential protein production during biofilm growth

Large-scale proteomic analysis of S. pneumoniae during biofilm growth is currently limited to a single isolate, serotype 3 strain A66.1 [\[24\]](#page-11-0). To examine the protein changes incurred during mature biofilm growth in TIGR4, a serotype 4 isolate, we first separated cell lysates from planktonic and biofilm TIGR4 by 1DGE and visualized proteins by silver stain (Figure [1A](#page-2-0)). As would be expected, extensive differences were observed with numerous unique protein bands present in either the biofilm or planktonic lanes, some bands with enhanced intensity under one growth condition, and other bands demonstrating no change. Following visualization of whole cell lysates by 2DGE and Coomassie blue staining, we confirmed biofilm-growth mediated changes at the individual protein level with numerous spots having reproducible unique and enhanced/diminished protein spots the gels (Figure [1B](#page-2-0)).

To identity those proteins with altered biofilm production, whole cell lysates from biofilm and planktonic pneumococcal cell lysates were separated by 1DGE and proteins within the gel were identified by MALDI-TOF analysis by cross-referencing the detected peptides against the TIGR4 genome. Of note, enumeration of the detected peptides allows for a semi-quantitative analysis [[32\]](#page-11-0), thus we could assess whether the detected proteins were altered during biofilm growth. In total, 123 proteins met our stringent criteria for identification (see methods), 103 (84%) of which demonstrated a ≥2-fold difference in the number of enumerated peptides in a given growth-phenotype (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Strikingly, during biofilm versus planktonic growth, 96 proteins (78%) had diminished production and only 8 proteins (6.5%) had enhanced production. The former included proteins involved in mRNA translation (i.e. Elongation factor Tu and G, 50 s and 30 s ribosomal proteins), virulence (i.e. pneumolysin, enolase, pyruvate oxidase) and assorted metabolic pathways. Thus our findings were in agreement with the overall accepted notion that biofilm bacteria experience reduced protein synthesis, altered virulence determinant production, and have an altered metabolism [\[15,](#page-10-0)[16\]](#page-11-0). The 8 proteins found to be upregulated during TIGR4 biofilm growth included: PsrP; Foldase protein A (PrsA); the manganese ABC transporter PsaA; ArcB, an ornithine carbamolytransferase; AsnA, an asparate ammonia ligase subunit; the CTP synthase PyrG; PrfC, a peptide chain release factor; and SP\_0095, a protein with unknown function.

## Biofilm and planktonic pneumococci have disparate immunoreactivity with antiserum

To determine whether these growth-phase dependent changes altered the immunoreactivity of pneumococci, we compared the ability planktonic and biofilm TIGR4

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

cell lysates to react with convalescent sera from humans who had confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia and sera from mice immunized with ethanol-killed S. pneumoniae biofilm pneumococci. Following immunoblotting with human convalescent sera, robust detection of proteins in the planktonic cell lysates occurred whereas, and in stark contrast, substantially fewer and weaker bands were observed for biofilm cell lysates (Figure [2A](#page-6-0)). Not unexpectedly, considerable variability was observed between human serum samples with those from patient 2 and 3 having the most dramatic reduction in the ability to detect biofilm cell lysates. The opposite effect was observed with sera obtained from biofilm-immunized mice. Mouse antisera strongly recognized proteins in the biofilm cell lysates and was weakly reactive with cell lysates from planktonic pneumococci (Figure [2B](#page-6-0)). These findings demonstrate that the humoral immune response developed against one growth phenotype is indeed poorly reactive against the other due to altered protein production.

#### Identification of proteins produced during biofilm growth that are recognized by convalescent sera

As antigenic proteins produced during biofilm formation may represent novel targets for intervention, we identified pneumococcal proteins enhanced during biofilm growth that were also reactive with human convalescent sera. To do so, planktonic and biofilm whole cell lysates were separated by 2DGE and Western blotting was performed with pooled convalescent sera. Consistent with

our previous immunoblots, 2DGE-transferred membranes with biofilm cell lysates were less immunoreactive than those loaded with planktonic cell lysates when probed with the convalescent human sera (Figure [3A\)](#page-7-0).

By comparing the biofilm 2DGE immunoblots to their corresponding 2DGE Coomassie blue stained gels, we identified 20 protein spots enhanced during biofilm growth that were also immunoreactive (Figure [3B\)](#page-7-0). These spots were excised and a total of 24 proteins were identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Table [2](#page-8-0)). Twelve of these 24 proteins had been previously observed to be produced at lower levels during biofilm growth in the analysis of whole cell lysates (Table [1](#page-4-0)); a finding reflecting the fact that multiple proteins may be present within each 2D-gel spot. Of the remaining 12 proteins only PsrP had been detected as biofilm-growth enhanced during our previous MALDI-TOF analysis (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The remaining 11 proteins had varied roles in assorted housekeeping cellular processes.

## Immunization with biofilm-pneumococci does not protect against disease by other serotypes

Finally, we tested whether immunization with ethanolkilled biofilm pneumococci conferred protection against challenge with the same strain or another belonging to a different serotype (Figure [4](#page-9-0)). Compared to sham-immunized control mice, animals immunized with TIGR4 biofilm cell lysates were protected against the development of bacteremia following challenge with TIGR4. In contrast, no protection was observed for mice challenged with A66.1, a serotype 3 isolate, despite prior immunization with TIGR4. Of note, A66.1 does not carry PsrP (data not shown). The protection observed against TIGR4 was most like due to the fact that the TIGR4 biofilm cell lysates, despite having a different protein profile, contained serotype 4 capsular polysaccharide, a protective antigen. Thus, immunization with biofilmderived cell lysates was insufficient to confer protection against virulent pneumococci belonging to a different serotype.

## **Discussion**

Biofilms are recognized as the primary mode of growth of bacteria in nature. Notably more than half of all human bacterial infections are believed to involve biofilms [[16,18\]](#page-11-0). Consistent with this notion, S. pneumoniae has been observed to form biofilms both in vitro and in *vivo* [[9,12](#page-10-0)-[14,](#page-10-0)[24,30,33,34](#page-11-0)]; although during invasive disease, pneumococci in the bloodstream and sputum seem to be exclusively diplococci. While a large body of work has been published on the characteristics of pneumococcal biofilm formation in vitro as well as the genes involved in this process, little is known about the host immune response to pneumococcal biofilms and how

this differs with respect to planktonic bacteria. This is a significant lapse as pneumococcal biofilms are now recognized to be present in the nasopharynx of colonized humans.

In the present study, we identified the differential protein profile of S. pneumoniae serotype 4, strain TIGR4 in a mature 3-day old biofilm versus during planktonic exponential growth. As expected, we observed considerable differences in the protein profiles of planktonic and biofilm TIGR4 with the vast majority of detected proteins being produced in diminished quantities. Notably, our proteomic findings are in disagreement with those of Allegrucci et al. which described a dramatic increase in the number of detectable proteins in 9 day-old biofilms including phosphoglyceromutase, phosphoglycerate kinase, 30S ribosomal protein S1, translation elongation factor Tu, 50S ribosomal protein L1, enolase, DnaK protein, and pyruvate oxidase, among many other proteins [[24](#page-11-0)]. This discrepancy may be due to the different strains used, the different age of the biofilms examined, alternatively, due to our strict criteria for protein identification combined with the fact that that a large portion of mature biofilm is composed of dead and presumably degraded bacterial components. Importantly, our findings are in agreement with the generally accepted notion that the synthetic and metabolic activity of bacteria are reduced during biofilm growth [[15,](#page-10-0)[16](#page-11-0)], as well as with previous studies examining the transcriptional changes incurred during pneumococcal biofilm growth which showed down-regulation of the genes encoding many of these proteins [[17,25,30,35\]](#page-11-0).

Due to the altered protein profiles, unsurprisingly, but also previously undocumented, convalescent sera only robustly recognized planktonic cell lysates. Likewise, sera from biofilm-immunized mice weakly recognized cell lysates from planktonic pneumococci. Together, these results support the notion that invasive pneumococcal disease is predominantly caused by the planktonic phenotype. They also suggest that the antibody response and potentially the T-cell response generated against S. pneumoniae during nasopharyngeal colonization would be of limited utility against planktonic bacteria during invasive disease. This latter notion is supported by our finding that immunization with ethanol-killed TIGR4 biofilm pneumococci failed to protect against invasive disease caused by a serotype 3 isolate. In regards to the development of a protein vaccine using pneumococcal antigens, our findings strongly advocate that candidate proteins be explored for differences in production during biofilm and planktonic growth, which could affect an antigen's utility as a protective epitope.

The biofilm upregulated proteins that were reactive with convalescent sera included PsrP. Similar to our own findings, Geifing et al., found in an unbiased screen

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1 Comparison of Protein Expression Profiles during Biofilm and Planktonic Growth



## Table 1 Comparison of Protein Expression Profiles during Biofilm and Planktonic Growth (Continued)





<span id="page-6-0"></span>





<span id="page-7-0"></span>

that recombinant PsrP also interacted with human convalescent sera [[36\]](#page-11-0), indicating that PsrP is also produced in vivo during invasive disease. The latter most likely reflects the dual role of PsrP as a bacterial and lung cell adhesin. Importantly, antibodies against PsrP are capable of neutralizing biofilm formation and lung cell attachment in vitro. Furthermore, immunization with recombinant PsrP BR has been shown to protect against invasive disease caused by TIGR4 [[14](#page-10-0),[26,27,37](#page-11-0)]. Unfortunately, epidemiological studies indicated PsrP is present in only 50-60% of all invasive isolates [\[38](#page-11-0)]. Its absence in A66.1 thereby helps to explain the lack of protection that was observed in mice immunized with biofilm TIGR4. Along this line, it would be worthwhile to confirm that immunization of mice with biofilm TIGR4 protects against challenge with a non-serotype 4 PsrP-positive strain.

The remaining proteins with enhanced biofilm production that were also reactive with convalescent sera

might also be protective antigens. In support of this notion, Brady et al. has shown that immunization of rabbits with biofilm S. aureus protected against osteomyelitis in a rabbit model of infection [[39\]](#page-11-0). While the vast majority of the proteins that we identified are involved in metabolism, recent studies have shown that enzymes involved in glycolytic metabolism, including enolase and fructose bisphosphate aldolase, as well ribosomal proteins are localized to the cell surface of S. pneumoniae, S. agalactiae and S. pyogenes and are capable of playing a role in virulence [[40](#page-11-0)-[42\]](#page-11-0). Notably, the majority of proteins within the S. aureus biofilm fraction that was recognized by sera from rabbits with osteomyelitis were also predominately involved in metabolism [[39](#page-11-0)]. Thus, further studies are warranted to determine whether antibodies against these *S. pneumoniae* metabolic proteins might confer protection against colonization and possibly invasive disease.



#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 2 Biofilm proteins present in spots reactive with human convalescent sera identified by MALDI-TOF analyses

\* Identified in comparative analysis of biofilm versus planktonic lysates (Table 1).

elongation factor Ts (tsf) SP\_2214\*

Importantly, incomplete strain coverage by PsrP and other pneumococcal proteins that have been suggested to be vaccine candidates, along with limited efficacy for those that are conserved in all strains such as pneumolysin and CbpA, indicate two or probably three proteins would be minimally required for complete coverage in any efficacious protein vaccine formulation against S. pneumoniae [[43](#page-11-0)].

#### Conclusions

In all, our findings add to the considerable body of evidence that indicates biofilm pneumococci have dramatically altered phenotypes versus planktonic bacteria. Our studies advance this concept and demonstrate that this altered protein profile results in a skewed antibody response during invasive disease, and that biofilm bacteria do not elicit a strong-cross-reactive humoral response against planktonic bacteria. This latter suggests that the adaptive immune response developed towards biofilm bacteria during colonization would have restricted utility during invasive disseminated disease. Our studies also identify PsrP as one possible antigen that may confer protection against both colonization and invasive disease. The other proteins identified as enhanced during biofilm formation and immunogenic

during invasive disease may also represent novel targets for intervention.

#### Methods

All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio under protocol number 09022x-34.

## Strain and bacterial growth conditions

Streptococcus pneumoniae strain TIGR4 is a serotype 4 clinical isolate whose genome has been sequenced and annotated [[44\]](#page-11-0). A66.1 is a serotype 3 isolate that has also been previously described [[24](#page-11-0)]. For planktonic growth, Todd Hewitt Broth (THB) was inoculated with overnight plate cultures and grown to mid-logarithmic phase (OD<sub>620</sub> = 0.5; ~1.0 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) at 37°C in 5% CO2. Mature biofilms were grown under once-through flow conditions as previously described [\[14](#page-10-0)]. Briefly, planktonic seed cultures were used to inoculate 1 meter long silicone tubing (0.89 mm internal diameter, Cole Parmer Inc., Vernon Hills, IL). Bacteria in the line were allowed to attach for 2 hours, after which the flow rate of THB was adjusted to 0.035 ml/minute. Biofilm derived bacteria were harvested after 3 days by pinching

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

the tube along its entire length, thereby removing the bacterial cells.

## One and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and differential protein analysis

For one-dimensional (1DGE) comparative analysis of proteins, whole cell lysates (25 μg) from the biofilm and planktonic pneumococci were separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and silver stained using standard methods. Twodimensional electrophoresis (2DGE) was conducted according to the principles of O'Farrell [[45\]](#page-11-0), and done using the optimized conditions for S. pneumoniae as previously described by Allegrucci et al. [\[24\]](#page-11-0). Briefly, planktonic and biofilm pneumococci were collected, washed, and suspended in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) supplemented with 300 μg/ml phenylmethyslfonylfluoride (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Bacteria were disrupted by sonication on ice using 6, 10 second bursts. Samples were prepared for isoelectric focusing (IEF) using a ReadyPrep 2-D cleanup kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) after which the protein pellet was dissolved in DeStreak rehydration solution (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Protein levels were quantified using a Non-Interfering protein assay (G-Biosciences, Maryland Heights, MO). For each sample, 300 μg of protein were applied to 11-cm Immobiline DryStrips (pH 3-5.6 Non-linear, GE Healthcare) and rehydrated for 17 hours at 4° C with DeStreak rehydration solution containing 0.5% IPG buffer (pH 3-5.6 NL, GE

Healthcare). The rehydrated IPG strips were focused at 20°C for a total of 17 kVh using an Ettan IPGphorII IEF system (GE Healthcare). Prior to the separation by SDS-PAGE, IPG strips were equilibrated using a reducing buffer (75 mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.8), 6 M urea, 29.3% glycerol, 2% SDS, 1.0% dithiothreitol, and 0.002% bromophenol blue) for 15 minutes at room temperature, followed by alkylation with 2.5% (wt/vol) iodoacetamide for an additional 15 minutes. Proteins were separated on pre-cast 8-16% gradient Criterion polyacrylamide gels at 200 V (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Protein spots were visualized by Coomassie blue staining, and gel images were recorded using a ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad).

#### Antiserum against S. pneumonia

Convalescent serum from 3 individuals recently recovered from confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia was a kind gift from Dr. Daniel Musher (Houston, TX). Antibodies against biofilm pneumococci were generated in 6 week old female Balb/c mice by immunization with 20 μg of ethanol-killed biofilm pneumococci emulsified with Freund's Complete Adjuvant (Sigma). After 21 and 42 days, mice were boosted with the same bacterial sample emulsified with Freund's Incomplete Adjuvant (Sigma). Sera from vaccinated mice were collected at day 50 by retro-orbital bleeding.

## Western blotting

1D and 2D gels were electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, blocked in PBS containing 4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.1% Tween-20 (T-PBS) for 1 hour and incubated overnight at  $4 \text{ }^{\circ}C$ with T-PBS containing convalescent sera (1:10,000) from each of the individual patients or from immunized mice. Following overnight incubation, membranes were washed 3 times with T-PBS for 5 minutes and a secondary HRP-conjugated Goat anti-human IgG antibody (Sigma) (1:5,000) or Goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Westgrove, PA) was used for detection of the immunogenic proteins recognized by human convalescent sera or sera from immunized mice by chemiluminesence respectively.

## Protein identification by mass spectrometry

Proteins of interest were excised from SDS-PAGE gels and destained twice in 50% acetonitrile (ACN)/40 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 7.4), prior to digestion. Gel plugs were then dehydrated in 100% ACN and rehydrated with 5-10 μl of 10 ng/μl trypsin (Promega, Madison WI) in 40 mM ammonium bicarbonate/20% ACN and incubated overnight at 30° C. Peptides were extracted in 4 volumes of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in 50% ACN for 1 to 2 hours at room

<span id="page-10-0"></span>temperature, decanted from the gel slice, dried down in an autosampler tube in a speed vacuum without heat, and suspended in 0.1% TFA. Peptides were analyzed by capillary-HPLC-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS/MS) on a Thermo Fisher LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer coupled to an Eksigent NanoLC micro HPLC by means of a PicoView (New Objective, Woburn, MA) nanospray interface. Capillary on-line HPLC separation of tryptic peptides was conducted using the following conditions: column, New Objective PicoFrit, 75 μm id, packed to 11 cm with C18 adsorbent (Vydac 218MSB5); mobile phase A, 0.5% acetic acid/ 0.005% TFA in water; mobile phase B, 90% ACN/0.5% acetic acid/0.005% TFA in water; gradient, 2% B to 42% B in 30 min; flow rate, 0.4 μl/min. A data-dependent acquisition protocol was employed consisting of one survey scan followed by 7 collision-induced dissociation spectra. The un-interpreted CID spectra were searched against the NCBI NR database using Mascot (Matrix Science; 10 processor in-house license). Methionine oxidation was the only variable modification considered. Maximum missed cleavages for trypsin was set at 1, peptide charge at  $2+$  and  $3+$ , peptide tolerance at  $+/-$ 1.5 Da, and MS/MS tolerance at +/- 0.8 Da. Mascot data was then run in Scaffold 3.1 [http://www.proteome](http://www.proteomesoftware.com)[software.com](http://www.proteomesoftware.com) and cross-correlation of the Mascot results was carried out by X! tandem against the NCBI NR subset database. Proteins with an expectation score of  $10^{-3}$ or lower were considered positive identities. Proteins were identified with 3-15 matched peptides and a minimum of 95% sequence coverage.

#### Mouse challenge experiments

At day 56, TIGR4 biofilm- and sham-immunized mice (i.e. receiving only Freund's adjuvant), were challenged intranasally with  $10^7$  CFU of planktonic TIGR4 or A66.1 in 25 μl PBS [[37](#page-11-0)]. On day 2 post-infection, blood was collected from the tail vein of each mouse and bacterial titers determined by serial dilution, plating, and extrapolation from colony counts following overnight incubation. Statistical analysis was performed using a twotailed Student's t-test.

#### Acknowledgements and Funding

This work was supported by National Institute of Health grants AI071118 and AI070891 to GTC, and AI078972 to CJO. CJS was supported by the COSTAR program grant DE14318. We thank Dr. Daniel M. Musher for the gift of human convalescent sera. We also thank Dr. Susan T. Weintraub and Mr. Kevin Hakala at the University of Texas Health Science Center Institutional Mass Spectrometry Core facility for their assistance with the proteomic analyses.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology and South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA.

#### Authors' contributions

CJS and BJH carried out the isolation of protein lysates, 1DGE and 2DGE separations, and immunoblots. AL critically reviewed the MALDI-TOF data. PS developed antiserum against biofilm pneumococci. GTC and CJO participated in the design and coordination of the studies. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author's Information

None

#### Received: 9 September 2011 Accepted: 2 November 2011 Published: 2 November 2011

#### References

- Lexau CA, Lynfield R, Danila R, Pilishvili T, Facklam R, Farley MM, Harrison LH, Schaffner W, Reingold A, Bennett NM, Hadler J, Cieslak PR, Whitney CG, for the Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Team: [Changing](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16249418?dopt=Abstract) [epidemiology of invasive pneumococcal disease among older adults in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16249418?dopt=Abstract) [the era of pediatric pneumococcal conjugate vaccine.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16249418?dopt=Abstract) JAMA 2005, 294(16):2043-2051.
- 2. Overturf GD, Field R, Lam C, Lee S, Powars DR: [Nasopharyngeal carriage of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7399687?dopt=Abstract) [pneumococci in children with sickle cell disease.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7399687?dopt=Abstract) Infect Immun 1980, 28(3):1048-1050.
- 3. Kadioglu A, Weiser JN, Paton JC, Andrew PW: The role of [Streptococcus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18340341?dopt=Abstract) pneumoniae [virulence factors in host respiratory colonization and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18340341?dopt=Abstract) [disease.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18340341?dopt=Abstract) Nat Rev Microbiol 2008, 6(4):288-301.
- 4. Weiser JN: The pneumococcus: why a commensal misbehaves. J Mol Med (Berl) 2010, 88(2):97-102.
- 5. O'Brien KL, Wolfson LJ, Watt JP, Henkle E, Deloria-Knoll M, McCall N, Lee E, Mulholland K, Levine OS, Cherian T: [Burden of disease caused by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19748398?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae [in children younger than 5 years: global](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19748398?dopt=Abstract) [estimates.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19748398?dopt=Abstract) Lancet 2009, 374(9693):893-902.
- 6. Roush SW, Murphy TV: [Historical comparisons of morbidity and mortality](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18000199?dopt=Abstract) [for vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18000199?dopt=Abstract) JAMA 2007, 298(18):2155-2163.
- 7. Maruyama T, Gabazza EC, Morser J, Takagi T, D'Alessandro-Gabazza C, Hirohata S, Nakayama S, Ramirez AY, Fujiwara A, Naito M, Nishikubo K, Yuda H, Yoshida M, Takei Y, Taguchi O: [Community-acquired pneumonia](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20060700?dopt=Abstract) [and nursing home-acquired pneumonia in the very elderly patients.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20060700?dopt=Abstract) Respir Med 2010, 104(4):584-592.
- 8. Hoa M, Syamal M, Sachdeva L, Berk R, Coticchia J: [Demonstration of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19462851?dopt=Abstract) [nasopharyngeal and middle ear mucosal biofilms in an animal model of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19462851?dopt=Abstract) [acute otitis media.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19462851?dopt=Abstract) Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2009, 118(4):292-298.
- 9. Hoa M, Tomovic S, Nistico L, Hall-Stoodley L, Stoodley P, Sachdeva L, Berk R, Coticchia JM: [Identification of adenoid biofilms with middle ear](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19525016?dopt=Abstract) [pathogens in otitis-prone children utilizing SEM and FISH.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19525016?dopt=Abstract) Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2009, 73(9):1242-1248.
- 10. Mehta AJ, Lee JC, Stevens GR, Antonelli PJ: [Opening plugged](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16399191?dopt=Abstract) [tympanostomy tubes: effect of biofilm formation.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16399191?dopt=Abstract) Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2006, 134(1):121-125.
- 11. Nistico L, Kreft R, Gieseke A, Coticchia JM, Burrows A, Khampang P, Liu Y, Kerschner JE, Post JC, Lonergan S, Sampath R, Hu FZ, Ehrlich GD, Stoodley P, Hall-Stoodley L: Adenoid reservoir for pathogenic biofilm bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 49(4):1411-1420.
- 12. Reid SD, Hong W, Dew KE, Winn DR, Pang B, Watt J, Glover DT, Hollingshead SK, Swords WE: Streptococcus pneumoniae Forms Surface-Attached Communities in the Middle Ear of Experimentally Infected Chinchillas. J Infect Dis 2009.
- 13. Sanderson AR, Leid JG, Hunsaker D: [Bacterial biofilms on the sinus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16826045?dopt=Abstract) [mucosa of human subjects with chronic rhinosinusitis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16826045?dopt=Abstract) Laryngoscope 2006, 116(7):1121-1126.
- 14. Sanchez CJ, Shivshankar P, Stol K, Trakhtenbroit S, Sullam PM, Sauer K, Hermans PW, Orihuela CJ: The Pneumococcal serine-rich repeat protein is an intra-species bacterial adhesin that promotes bacterial aggregation in vivo and in biofilms. PLoS Pathog 2010, 6(8).
- 15. Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Lappin-Scott HM: [Microbial biofilms.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8561477?dopt=Abstract) Annu Rev Microbiol 1995, 49:711-745.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>16. Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP: [Bacterial biofilms: a common](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10334980?dopt=Abstract) [cause of persistent infections.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10334980?dopt=Abstract) Science 1999, 284(5418):1318-1322.
- 17. Hall-Stoodley L, Nistico L, Sambanthamoorthy K, Dice B, Nguyen D, Mershon WJ, Johnson C, Hu FZ, Stoodley P, Ehrlich GD, Post JC: [Characterization of biofilm matrix, degradation by DNase treatment and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18842140?dopt=Abstract) [evidence of capsule downregulation in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18842140?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae [clinical isolates.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18842140?dopt=Abstract) BMC Microbiol 2008, 8:173.
- 18. Donlan RM, Costerton JW: [Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11932229?dopt=Abstract) [relevant microorganisms.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11932229?dopt=Abstract) Clin Microbiol Rev 2002, 15(2):167-193.
- 19. Garcia-Castillo M, Morosini MI, Valverde A, Almaraz F, Baquero F, Canton R, del Campo R: [Differences in biofilm development and antibiotic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17142818?dopt=Abstract) susceptibility among [Streptococcus pneumoniae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17142818?dopt=Abstract) isolates from cystic [fibrosis samples and blood cultures.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17142818?dopt=Abstract) J Antimicrob Chemother 2007, 59(2):301-304.
- 20. Stewart PS: [Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacterial biofilms.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12195733?dopt=Abstract) Int J Med Microbiol 2002, 292(2):107-113.
- 21. Stewart PS, Costerton JW: [Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11463434?dopt=Abstract) Lancet 2001, 358(9276):135-138.
- 22. Walsh RL, Camilli A: Streptococcus pneumoniae [is desiccation tolerant and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21610120?dopt=Abstract) [infectious upon rehydration.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21610120?dopt=Abstract) MBio 2011, 2(3):e00092-00011.
- 23. Munoz-Elias EJ, Marcano J, Camilli A: Isolation of [Streptococcus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18794289?dopt=Abstract) pneumoniae [biofilm mutants and their characterization during](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18794289?dopt=Abstract) [nasopharyngeal colonization.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18794289?dopt=Abstract) Infect Immun 2008, 76(11):5049-5061.
- 24. Allegrucci M, Hu FZ, Shen K, Hayes J, Ehrlich GD, Post JC, Sauer K: [Phenotypic characterization of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16547018?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilm [development.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16547018?dopt=Abstract) J Bacteriol 2006, 188(7):2325-2335.
- 25. Oggioni MR, Trappetti C, Kadioglu A, Cassone M, Iannelli F, Ricci S, Andrew PW, Pozzi G: [Switch from planktonic to sessile life: a major event](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16925554?dopt=Abstract) [in pneumococcal pathogenesis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16925554?dopt=Abstract) Mol Microbiol 2006, 61(5):1196-1210.
- 26. Shivshankar P, Boyd AR, Le Saux CJ, Yeh IT, Orihuela CJ: Cellular senescence increases expression of bacterial ligands in the lungs and is positively correlated with increased susceptibility to pneumococcal pneumonia. Aging Cell 2011.
- 27. Shivshankar P, Sanchez C, Rose LF, Orihuela CJ: The [Streptococcus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19627498?dopt=Abstract) pneumoniae [adhesin PsrP binds to Keratin 10 on lung cells.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19627498?dopt=Abstract) Mol Microbiol 2009, 73(4):663-679.
- 28. Orihuela CJ, Mahdavi J, Thornton J, Mann B, Wooldridge KG, Abouseada N, Oldfield NJ, Self T, Ala'Aldeen DA, Tuomanen EI: [Laminin receptor initiates](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19436113?dopt=Abstract) [bacterial contact with the blood brain barrier in experimental meningitis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19436113?dopt=Abstract) [models.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19436113?dopt=Abstract) J Clin Invest 2009, 119(6):1638-1646.
- 29. Zhang JR, Mostov KE, Lamm ME, Nanno M, Shimida S, Ohwaki M, Tuomanen E: [The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor translocates](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11030626?dopt=Abstract) [pneumococci across human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11030626?dopt=Abstract) Cell 2000, 102(6):827-837.
- 30. Moscoso M, Garcia E, Lopez R: [Biofilm formation by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16936041?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae[: role of choline, extracellular DNA, and capsular](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16936041?dopt=Abstract) [polysaccharide in microbial accretion.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16936041?dopt=Abstract) J Bacteriol 2006, 188(22):7785-7795.
- 31. Tu AH, Fulgham RL, McCrory MA, Briles DE, Szalai AJ: [Pneumococcal](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10456922?dopt=Abstract) [surface protein A inhibits complement activation by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10456922?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus [pneumoniae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10456922?dopt=Abstract). Infect Immun 1999, 67(9):4720-4724.
- 32. Zhang B, Su YP, Ai GP, Liu XH, Wang FC, Cheng TM: [Differentially](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14669322?dopt=Abstract) [expressed proteins of gamma-ray irradiated mouse intestinal epithelial](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14669322?dopt=Abstract) [cells by two-dimensional electrophoresis and MALDI-TOF mass](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14669322?dopt=Abstract) [spectrometry.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14669322?dopt=Abstract) World J Gastroenterol 2003, 9(12):2726-2731.
- 33. Camilli R, Pantosti A, Baldassarri L: Contribution of serotype and genetic background to biofilm formation by Streptococcus pneumoniae. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 30(1):97-102.
- 34. Hall-Stoodley L, Hu FZ, Gieseke A, Nistico L, Nguyen D, Hayes J, Forbes M, Greenberg DP, Dice B, Burrows A, Wackym PA, Stoodley P, Post JC, Ehrlich GD, Kerschner JE: [Direct detection of bacterial biofilms on the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16835426?dopt=Abstract) [middle-ear mucosa of children with chronic otitis media.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16835426?dopt=Abstract) JAMA 2006, 296(2):202-211.
- 35. Moscoso M, Garcia E, Lopez R: [Pneumococcal biofilms.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19784927?dopt=Abstract) Int Microbiol 2009, 12(2):77-85.
- 36. Giefing C, Meinke AL, Hanner M, Henics T, Bui MD, Gelbmann D, Lundberg U, Senn BM, Schunn M, Habel A, Henriques-Normark B, Ortqvist A, Kalin M, von Gabain A, Nagy E: [Discovery of a novel class of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18166586?dopt=Abstract) [highly conserved vaccine antigens using genomic scale antigenic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18166586?dopt=Abstract) [fingerprinting of pneumococcus with human antibodies.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18166586?dopt=Abstract) J Exp Med 2008, 205(1):117-131.
- 37. Rose L, Shivshankar P, Hinojosa E, Rodriguez A, Sanchez CJ, Orihuela CJ: [Antibodies against PsrP, a novel](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18507531?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae adhesin, [block adhesion and protect mice against pneumococcal challenge.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18507531?dopt=Abstract) J Infect Dis 2008, 198(3):375-383.
- 38. Munoz-Almagro C, Selva L, Sanchez CJ, Esteva C, de Sevilla MF, Pallares R, Orihuela CJ: [PsrP, a protective pneumococcal antigen, is highly prevalent](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20861332?dopt=Abstract) [in children with pneumonia and is strongly associated with clonal type.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20861332?dopt=Abstract) Clin Vaccine Immunol 2010, 17(11):1672-1678.
- Brady RA, Leid JG, Camper AK, Costerton JW, Shirtliff ME: [Identification of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16714572?dopt=Abstract) Staphylococcus aureus [proteins recognized by the antibody-mediated](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16714572?dopt=Abstract) [immune response to a biofilm infection.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16714572?dopt=Abstract) Infect Immun 2006, 74(6):3415-3426.
- 40. Ling E, Feldman G, Portnoi M, Dagan R, Overweg K, Mulholland F, Chalifa-Caspi V, Wells J, Mizrachi-Nebenzahl Y: [Glycolytic enzymes associated with](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15498039?dopt=Abstract) [the cell surface of Streptococcus pneumoniae are antigenic in humans](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15498039?dopt=Abstract) [and elicit protective immune responses in the mouse.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15498039?dopt=Abstract) Clin Exp Immunol 2004, 138(2):290-298.
- 41. Bergmann S, Rohde M, Chhatwal GS, Hammerschmidt S: [alpha-Enolase of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11442827?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus pneumoniae [is a plasmin\(ogen\)-binding protein displayed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11442827?dopt=Abstract) [on the bacterial cell surface.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11442827?dopt=Abstract) Mol Microbiol 2001, 40(6):1273-1287.
- 42. Blau K, Portnoi M, Shagan M, Kaganovich A, Rom S, Kafka D, Chalifa Caspi V, Porgador A, Givon-Lavi N, Gershoni JM, Dagan R, Mizrachi Nebenzahl Y: [Flamingo cadherin: a putative host receptor for](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17492599?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus [pneumoniae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17492599?dopt=Abstract). J Infect Dis 2007, 195(12):1828-1837.
- 43. Ogunniyi AD, Grabowicz M, Briles DE, Cook J, Paton JC: [Development of a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17088353?dopt=Abstract) [vaccine against invasive pneumococcal disease based on combinations](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17088353?dopt=Abstract) of virulence proteins of [Streptococcus pneumoniae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17088353?dopt=Abstract). Infect Immun 2007, 75(1):350-357.
- 44. Tettelin H, Nelson KE, Paulsen IT, Eisen JA, Read TD, Peterson S, Heidelberg J, DeBoy RT, Haft DH, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Gwinn M, Kolonay JF, Nelson WC, Peterson JD, Umayam LA, White O, Salzberg SL, Lewis MR, Radune D, Holtzapple E, Khouri H, Wolf AM, Utterback TR, Hansen CL, McDonald LA, Feldblyum TV, Angiuoli S, Dickinson T, Hickey EK, et al: [Complete genome sequence of a virulent isolate of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11463916?dopt=Abstract) Streptococcus [pneumoniae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11463916?dopt=Abstract). Science 2001, 293(5529):498-506.
- 45. O'Farrell PH: [High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/236308?dopt=Abstract) J Biol Chem 1975, 250(10):4007-4021.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2180-11-245

Cite this article as: Sanchez et al.: Biofilm and planktonic pneumococci demonstrate disparate immunoreactivity to human convalescent sera. BMC Microbiology 2011 11:245.

#### **Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

( ) **BioMed** Central