A predictive web-based nomogram for the early death of patients with lung adenocarcinoma and bone metastasis: a population-based study

Zhehong Li* , Jungiang Wei*, Haiying Cao, Mingze Song, Yafang Zhang and Yu Jin

Abstract

Objective: To identify risk factors and develop predictive web-based nomograms for the early death of patients with bone metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD).

Methods: Patients in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database diagnosed with bone metastasis of LUAD between 2010 and 2016 were included and randomly divided into training and validation sets. Early death-related risk factors (survival time \leq 7 months) were evaluated by logistic regression. Two predictive nomograms were established and validated by calibration curves, receiver operating characteristic curves, and decision curve analysis.

Results: A total of 9189 patients (56.59%) died from all causes within 7 months of being diagnosed, including 8585 patients (56.67%) who died from cancer-specific causes. Age >65 years, sex (men), T stage (T3 and T4), N stage (N2 and N3), brain metastasis, and liver metastasis were risk factors for all-cause and cancer-specific early death. The area under the curves of the nomograms for all-cause and cancer-specific early death prediction were 0.754 and 0.753 (training set) and 0.747 and 0.754 (validation set), respectively. Further analysis showed that the two nomograms performed well.

Conclusions: Our two web-based nomograms for all-cause and cancer-specific early death provide valuable tools for predicting early death in these patients.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative \odot \odot Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Journal of International Medical Research 49(9) 1-18 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605211047771 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr





China

Department of Traumatology and Orthopedics, Affiliated

Hospital of Chengde Medical College, Chengde, Hebei,

Yu Jin, Department of Traumatology and Orthopedics, Affiliated Hospital of Chengde Medical College, No. 36 Nanyingzi Street, Chengde, Hebei 067000, China. Email: cdyxyjy@126.com

Lung adenocarcinoma, bone metastasis, early death, web-based nomogram, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results, risk factor

Date received: 27 June 2021; accepted: 2 September 2021

Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) is a serious threat to human survival and health, with the second highest incidence (11.4% of all cancer types) and mortality rate (18.0% of total cancer deaths) worldwide.¹ The most common type of LC is lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), which accounts for 40% of all LC cases.² Approximately 55% of patients with LC develop distant metastasis, and bone metastasis is the most common type (30%–40% of all patients).^{3,4} Gaining a further understanding of the physiological and pathological status of LC and the implementation of biomarker-based therapies have improved the survival rates of patients.^{5–7} However, approximately 30% to 40% of patients with LC have bone metastasis, and the median survival time of these patients is less than 7 months.⁸ Therefore, it is important to identify highrisk factors associated with early death and provide treatment options for patients with LUAD with bone metastasis. Studies on high-risk factors have been conducted in gastrointestinal tumors and endometrial cancers, but few have been reported in LUAD.⁹⁻¹¹ Furthermore, risk factors for early death in patients with bone metastasis of LUAD have not been well documented. Therefore, analyzing risk factors of early death (survival time <7 months) in patients with LUAD and bone metastasis is clinically significant.⁸ As a new tool, nomograms have been widely used to predict the occurrence and prognosis of diseases, especially various tumors.^{12,13} Web-based nomograms have a more user-friendly operation interface, and accurate evaluation

values can be obtained according to the different disease characteristics of each patient.^{14,15} Therefore, based on the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database, this study aimed to identify risk factors and establish two web-based nomograms for predicting early death in patients with LUAD and bone metastasis.

Materials and methods

Data collection

All patients diagnosed with LUAD and bone metastasis between 2010 and 2016 were selected from the SEER database. Patients who met the following criteria were included in the study: (I) adenocarcinomas of the lung (C34.9, 8140/3), (II) year of diagnosis between 2010 and 2016, and (III) bone metastasis (SEER Combined Mets at DX-bone (2010+)). Patients with incomplete or missing information were excluded. Patient characteristics included the following: race, sex, age, surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, T stage, N stage, brain metastasis, liver metastasis, lung metastasis, cause of death, survival duration (months), and vital status record. Allcause early death and cancer-specific early death were defined as a survival time <7 months from diagnosis to all causes of death and cancer-specific causes of death, respectively. Because public and anonymous data were used, the need for informed consent and ethics committee approval was waived. The reporting of this study conforms to STROBE guidelines.¹⁶

Nomogram construction and statistical analysis

The primary outcomes of this study were all-cause early death and cancer-specific early death. X-tile software was used to obtain the optimal cut-off point for age.¹⁷ All data were randomly divided into training and validation sets at a ratio of 7:3 using the "caret" package.18,19 The chisquare test was used for the comparison of categorical variables between the training set and validation set. The odds ratio (OR) was calculated to quantify the effect of each predictor on all-cause early death and cancer-specific early death. Based on univariate logistic regression analysis (p-value <0.05), a multivariate logistic regression model was used to further analyze the variables. The variables with p-values < 0.05 in the multivariate regression analysis were considered significant risk factors. Based on the significant variables associated with all-cause and cancer-specific early death, two sets of forest maps were established. Significant variables were also included in establishing two nomograms. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, calibration curve analysis, and decision curve analysis (DCA) were used to evaluate the performance of the nomograms. The "DynNom" software package was used to build two network-based nomograms that could accurately calculate the probability of early death. All statistical analyses were conducted using R (www.r-project.org).

Results

Patient characteristics

In our study, 16,239 patients with LUAD with bone metastasis were included and divided into training (n = 11,368) and validation (n = 4871) sets. A total of 9189 (56.59%) patients were defined as all-cause early death. For cancer-specific

survival or death, 15,149 patients with bone metastasis of LUAD were included and divided into training (n = 10,605) and validation (n = 4544) sets. Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Figure 1. Of these, 8185 (56.67%) patients died early from cancer-specific causes. The optimal age cut-off (Figure 2) of patients with LUAD with bone metastasis was 65 and 75 years old for the all-cause death group and cancer-specific death group, respectively. Therefore, we converted age from a continuous variable to a categorical variable (≤ 65 , 66–75, and >75 years old). Most patients were ≤ 65 years (n = 7397, 45.55%) and men (n = 8656, 53.30%). The main primary race was white (n = 12,509,77.03%), followed by black (n = 1953, 12.03%), and others (American Indian/ Alaska Native, Asian/Pacific Islander, n = 1777, 10.94%). The most common T and N stages were T4 (n = 5404, 33.28%)and N2 (n = 7599, 46.79%), respectively. Among these patients, 4302 (26.49%) patients had brain metastases, 3660 (22.54%) had liver metastases, and 5127 (31.57%) had intrapulmonary metastasis. Regarding treatment plans, 9342 (57.53%), 10,651 (65.59%), and 284 (1.75%) patients underwent radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and surgery, respectively. Additional details are shown in Table 1 (all-cause early death) and Table 2 (cancer-specific early death).

Risk factors for early death

The univariate logistic analysis results of 16,239 patients with LUAD (all-cause death group) showed that age, sex, race, T stage, N stage, brain metastasis, liver metastasis, lung metastasis, chemotherapy, and surgery influenced the onset of all-cause early death (p < 0.001). The results of the multivariate logistic analysis showed that age (65–75 and >75), T stage (T2, T3, and T4), N stage (N2 and N3), brain metastasis,



Figure 1. Patient selection and study design flow chart. SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.

and liver metastasis were associated with higher all-cause early death (p < 0.001). Chemotherapy, surgery, and race (others) were protective factors and associated with survival longer than seven months (p < 0.01). The univariate logistic analysis results of 15,149 patients with LUAD (cancer-specific death group) showed that age, sex, race, T stage, N stage, brain metastasis, liver metastasis, lung metastasis, chemotherapy, and surgery influenced the onset of cancer-specific early death (p < 0.01). For cancer-specific death, age (65–75 and >75), T stage (T2, T3, and T4), N stage (N2 and N3), brain metastasis, and liver metastasis were risk factors (p < 0.01). Chemotherapy, surgery, and race (others) were protective factors (p < 0.01). Additional details are shown in Table 3 (all-cause early death) and Table 4 (cancer-specific early death).

Forest plots and nomogram construction

We established two sets of forest plots for all-cause (Figure 3a–b) and cancer-specific



Figure 2. The appropriate cut-off values for age. The cut-off values were assessed by X-tile analysis. The frequency distribution histogram (a) with appropriate cut-off values of age (65 and 75 years old) and survival curve of the three groups (b) for the all-cause early death group. The frequency distribution histogram (c) with appropriate cut-off values of age (65 and 75 years old) and survival curve of the three groups (d) for the cancer-specific early death group.

(Figure 3c–d) early death. Based on the results of multivariate logistic analysis, we constructed two prognostic nomograms, including all significant independent factors of all-cause (Figure 4a) and cancer-specific (Figure 4b) early death. Using these nomograms, the early death probability of each patient can be determined by adding the specific numerical value of each predictive variable.

Performance of nomograms

In the ROC curve analysis, the area under the curve of the nomograms (Figure 5) for all-causes and cancer-specific early death prediction was 0.754 and 0.753 in the training set and 0.747 and 0.754 in the validation set, respectively. In addition, calibration curves showed the robust calibration of all-cause early death nomograms and cancer-specific early death nomograms (Figure 6). DCA showed that the nomograms provide excellent tools to assess the risk of early death after bone metastasis in patients with LUAD (Figure 7).

Web-based nomogram

Two web-based nomograms were constructed to predict the all-cause

	Total set (N = 16,239)		Training set (N = 11,368)		Validation set (N = 4871)			
Variables	n	%	n	%	n	%	χ ^{2#}	p-value
Age (years)							1.321	0.517
≤65	7397	45.55	5210	45.83	2187	44.9		
65–75	5118	31.52	3572	31.42	1546	31.74		
>75	3724	22.93	2586	22.75	1138	23.36		
Race							0.316	0.854
White	12,509	77.03	8746	76.94	3763	77.25		
Black	1953	12.03	1368	12.03	585	12.01		
Other	1777	10.94	1254	11.03	523	10.74		
Sex							0.037	0.848
Women	7583	46.70	5314	46.75	2269	46.58		
Men	8656	53.30	6054	53.25	2602	53.42		
T stage							2.413	0.491
TI	2279	14.03	1599	14.07	680	13.96		
T2	4439	27.34	3071	27.01	1368	28.08		
T3	4117	25.35	2882	25.35	1235	25.35		
T4	5404	33.28	3816	33.57	1588	32.6		
N stage	5101	55.20	5010	55.57	1500	52.0	2.734	0.434
N0	3483	21.45	2438	21.45	1045	21.45	2.751	0.151
NI	1359	8.37	925	8.14	434	8.91		
N2	7599	46.79	5336	46.94	2263	46.46		
N3	3798	23.39	2669	23.48	1129	23.18		
Brain metastasis	5770	23.37	2007	23.40	1127	25.10	1.784	0.182
No	11 027	73.51	8322	73.21	3615	74.21	1.704	0.102
Yes	11,937 4302		3046	26.79	1256	25.79		
	4302	26.49	3040	20.79	1230	25.79	1.037	0.309
Liver metastasis		77 44	0701	77.04	2700	77 07	1.037	0.309
No	12,579	77.46	8781	77.24	3798	77.97		
Yes	3660	22.54	2587	22.76	1073	22.03		
Lung metastasis		(0.40		(0.40		(0, ())	<0.001	0.996
No	11,112	68.43	7779	68.43	3333	68.43		
Yes	5127	31.57	3589	31.57	1538	31.57		
Radiotherapy							6.084	0.014
No	6897	42.47	4757	41.85	2140	43.93		
Yes	9342	57.53	6611	58.15	2731	56.07		
Chemotherapy							0.035	0.852
No	5588	34.41	3917	34.46	1671	34.31		
Yes	10,651	65.59	7451	65.54	3200	65.69		
Surgery							1.995	0.158
No	15,955	98.25	11,180	98.35	4775	98.03		
Yes	284	1.75	188	1.65	96	1.97		
Early death							1.488	0.223
No	7050	43.41	4900	43.I	2150	44.14		
Yes	9189	56.59	6468	56.9	2721	55.86		

Table 1. Demographic and clinicopathological variables for patients with lung adenocarcinoma in the allcause early death group.

#Chi-square test was performed to compare the training set and validation set.

	Total set (N = 15,149)		Training set (N =10,605)		Validation set (N = 4544)			
Variables	n	%	n	%	n	%	χ ^{2#}	p-value
Age (years)							1.078	0.583
≤65	7003	46.23	4874	45.96	2129	46.85		
65–75	4733	31.24	3325	31.35	1408	30.99		
>75	3413	22.53	2406	22.69	1007	22.16		
Race							0.386	0.825
White	11,689	77.16	8178	77.11	3511	77.27		
Black	1799	11.88	1254	11.82	545	11.99		
Other	1661	10.96	1173	11.06	488	10.74		
Sex							0.328	0.567
Women	7084	46.76	4943	46.61	2141	47.12		
Men	8065	53.24	5662	53.39	2403	52.88		
T stage							3.679	0.298
TI	2090	13.80	1436	13.54	654	14.39		
Т2	4148	27.38	2927	27.6	1221	26.87		
T3	3830	25.28	2708	25.54	1122	24.69		
T4	5081	33.54	3534	33.32	1547	34.04		
N stage						•	1.317	0.725
N0	3189	21.05	2240	21.12	949	20.88	1.017	0.7 20
NI	1264	8.34	901	8.5	363	7.99		
N2	7110	46.93	4961	46.78	2149	47.29		
N3	3586	23.67	2503	23.6	1083	23.83		
Brain metastasis	3300	23.07	2303	23.0	1005	23.05	4.723	0.030
No	11,084	73.17	7705	72.65	3379	74.36	1.7 25	0.050
Yes	4065	26.83	2900	27.35	1165	25.64		
Liver metastasis	COOP	20.05	2700	27.55	1105	23.04	7.745	0.005
No	11,682	77.11	8112	76.49	3570	78.57	7.745	0.005
Yes	3467	22.89	2493	23.51	974	21.43		
	3407	22.07	2473	23.31	7/4	21.43	0.408	0.523
Lung metastasis No	10 220	(0.25	7221	68.09	3118	68.62	0.406	0.525
Yes	10,339 4810	68.25	3384		1426			
	4010	31.75	3304	31.91	1420	31.38	0 ())	0.002
Radiotherapy	()()	42.02	4274	41.24	1001	42.02	8.633	0.003
No	6365	42.02	4374	41.24	1991	43.82		
Yes	8784	57.98	623 I	58.76	2553	56.18	0.120	0.710
Chemotherapy	51/0	24.07	2404			24.00	0.130	0.718
No	5162	34.07	3604	33.98	1558	34.29		
Yes	9987	65.93	7001	66.02	2986	65.71	0.040	0.005
Surgery	14.00		10.404		4445		0.049	0.825
No	14,891	98.30	10,426	98.31	4465	98.26		
Yes	258	1.70	179	1.69	79	1.74		
Early death				(a		(a	<0.001	0.997
No	6564	43.33	4595	43.33	1969	43.33		
Yes	8585	56.67	6010	56.67	2575	56.67		

 Table 2. Demographic and clinicopathological variables for patients with lung adenocarcinoma in the cancer-specific early death group.

#Chi-square test was performed to compare the training set and validation set.

	Univariate a	nalysis		Multivariate analysis			
Variables	OR	95%CI	p-value	OR	95%CI	p-value	
Age (years)							
	Reference			Reference			
	1.282	1.716-1.397	<0.001	1.231	1.118–1.355	<0.001	
>75	1.751	1.588–1.930	<0.001	1.374	1.228-1.539	<0.001	
Race							
White	Reference			Reference			
Black	1.108	0.986-1.245	0.086	1.054	0.924-1.201	0.432	
Others	0.42	0.372-0.475	<0.001	0.408	0.356-0.458	<0.001	
Sex							
Women	Reference			Reference			
Men	1.386	1.287–1.494	<0.001	1.485	1.366-1.615	<0.001	
T stage							
ΤI	Reference			Reference			
T2	1.248	1.106-1.409	<0.001	1.248	1.089-1.430	0.001	
Т3	1.397	1.236-1.580	<0.001	1.329	1.154–1.531	<0.001	
T4	1.496	1.330-1.682	<0.001	1.428	1.243-1.641	<0.001	
N stage							
N0	Reference			Reference			
NI	1.002	0.862-1.166	0.975	1.173	0.989-1.392	0.068	
N2	1.385	1.285-1.526	<0.001	1.600	1.432-1.788	<0.001	
N3	1.273	1.140-1.422	<0.001	1.621	1.426-1.843	<0.001	
Brain metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.266	1.164–1.378	<0.001	1.379	1.253-1.519	<0.001	
Liver metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.606	1.466-1.760	<0.001	1.673	1.511-1.853	<0.001	
Lung metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.152	1.063-1.248	0.001	1.030	0.933-1.136	0.558	
Radiotherapy							
No	Reference						
Yes	1.046	0.970-1.128	0.241				
Chemotherapy							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	0.143	0.130-0.157	<0.001	0.138	0.125-0.153	<0.001	
Surgery							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	0.594	0.444–0.794	<0.001	0.617	0.444–0.855	0.004	

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate logistic analysis based on all variables for all-cause early death.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

(https://wenn23.shinyapps.io/pmedlabm/) and cancer-specific (https: //wenn23.sh inyapps.io/pmedlabmcss/) early death of patients with bone metastasis of LUAD. The nomogram forecast is shown on the left side of the page for all-cause early death (Figure 8a). As an example for calculating the risk of all-cause early death, we

	Univariate a	nalysis		Multivariate analysis			
Variables	OR	95%CI	p-value	OR	95%CI	p-value	
Age (years)							
<65 [′]	Reference			Reference			
65–75	1.208	1.105-1.320	<0.001	1.154	1.045-1.275	0.005	
>75	1.716	1.551-1.898	<0.001	1.311	1.167–1.473	<0.001	
Race							
White	Reference			Reference			
Black	1.19	1.053-1.345	0.005	1.148	1.001-1.317	0.049	
Others	0.449	0.396-0.509	<0.001	0.423	0.368-0.488	<0.001	
Sex							
Women	Reference			Reference			
Men	1.344	1.244-1.451	<0.001	1.418	1.301-1.546	<0.001	
T stage							
ті	Reference			Reference			
T2	1.314	1.158-1.492	<0.001	1.302	1.129-1.501	<0.001	
Т3	1.485	1.306-1.689	<0.001	1.385	1.194–1.607	<0.001	
T4	1.59	1.406-1.799	<0.001	1.557	1.346-1.801	<0.001	
N stage							
NŐ	Reference			Reference			
NI	0.958	0.821-1.119	0.589	1.05	0.882-1.251	0.581	
N2	1.374	1.243-1.519	<0.001	1.504	1.340-1.687	<0.001	
N3	1.253	1.117-1.405	<0.001	1.528	1.339–1.744	<0.001	
Brain metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.255	1.150-1.369	<0.001	1.314	1.190-1.450	<0.001	
Liver metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.635	1.489–1.795	<0.001	1.715	1.545-1.903	<0.001	
Lung metastasis							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	1.131	1.041-1.228	0.004	1.007	0.910-1.114	0.897	
Radiotherapy							
No	Reference						
Yes	1.063	0.984-1.150	0.122				
Chemotherapy							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	0.143	0.130-0.159	<0.001	0.14	0.126-0.155	<0.001	
Surgery							
No	Reference			Reference			
Yes	0.485	0.358-0.656	<0.001	0.566	0.402-0.797	0.001	

Table 4. Univariate and multivariate logistic analysis based on all variables for cancer-specific early death.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

included the case of a white 63-year-old female patient with LUAD. Her clinicopathological features were T1 stage, N3 stage, and no brain or liver metastasis. Chemotherapy and surgery were not performed. The patient characteristics are shown on the left side of the web-based nomogram. The graphical summary



Figure 3. Forest plots. Forest plots depicting the efficacy of different prognostic factors for predicting allcause early death by univariate logistic regression analysis (a) and multivariate logistic regression analysis (b). Forest plots depicting the effects of different prognostic factors on cancer-specific early death by univariate logistic regression analysis (c) and multivariate logistic regression analysis (d). Cl, confidence interval.

(Figure 8b) and numerical summary (Figure 8c) showed the probability of allcause early death by line and precise numerical values [early death prediction = 0.753, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.719– 0.784], respectively. For cancer-specific death, the nomogram forecast is shown on the left side of the page (Figure 8d), and the results are displayed on the right side. The graphical summary (Figure 8e) and numerical summary (Figure 8f) showed the probability of early death by line and precise numerical values (early death prediction = 0.749, 95%CI=0.713-0.782), respectively.

Discussion

Previous epidemiological studies revealed that the prognosis of patients with LC and



Figure 4. Nomograms developed in this study. Nomograms for predicting all-cause (a) and cancer-specific (b) early death (**p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001).

bone metastasis is poor.^{1,20} Cho et al. showed that the median time to bone metastasis after LC diagnosis was 2.5 months, and the median survival time of patients with bone metastasis was 7 years.^{8,21} As reported in the study by Klikovits et al., approximately 75% of patients with LUAD developed bone metastasis within 1 month after being diagnosed.²² Coleman reported that the incidence of bone metastases in patients with advanced LC was 30% to 40% at first diagnosis.²³ Sandler et al. concluded that the median survival times after the diagnosis of bone metastases was 6 to 12 months.²⁴ In conclusion, patients with LUAD are prone to bone metastasis during the early stage, and they usually have a poor prognosis.

Studies of metastatic LUAD have usually focused on predicting patient survival.^{25,26} For malignant and advanced



Figure 5. ROC curves. The ROC curves for predicting all-cause early death in the training set (a Blue line, AUC = 0.754) and validation set (a Red line, AUC = 0.747). ROC curves for cancer-specific early death in the training set (b Blue line, AUC = 0.753) and validation set (b Red line, AUC = 0.754). ROC, receiver operating characteristics; AUC, area under the curve.

tumors, only a few studies have investigated early death.^{9–11} Based on different diseases and risk factors, many studies have defined early death.^{27–29} Using a definition of early death that is too long or short is not conducive for clinicians to provide effective treatment. Therefore, we defined early death as a survival time <7 months.⁸ For patients with more aggressive tumors, the risk of early death is higher.³⁰ Therefore, for patients with LUAD and bone metastasis, it is particularly important to calculate the risk of early death to select the most effective treatment. Calculating the risk of early death will facilitate personalized diagnosis and treatment strategies. For patients at high risk, the benefits of treatment in hospitals do not outweigh the associated side effects. In addition, clinicians often overestimate overall survival, which sometimes leads to overtreatment.^{31,32}

In our study, 9189 (56.59%) patients with LUAD and bone metastasis were defined as all-cause early death, and 8585 (56.67%) patients died early from cancerspecific causes. Therefore, we constructed two web-based nomograms for the prediction of early death in these patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to establish an early death risk prediction model with internal validation for patients with LUAD and bone metastasis. In addition, two web-based nomograms derived from the SEER database of patients with bone metastasis of LUAD were established. These web-based nomograms can be used to help physicians formulate personalized treatment and follow-up plans in patients with LUAD and bone metastasis.³³

Retrospective analysis based on the SEER database has shown that radiotherapy is closely related to the prognosis of patients with LUAD with bone metastasis.^{25,26} However, our results showed that radiotherapy did not affect cancer-specific early death. Therefore, according to the different needs of the same patient, the type of nomogram should be adjusted. Furthermore, we found that patients with bone metastasis of LUAD rarely underwent surgery (n = 284, 1.75%), but surgical treatment of the primary tumor improved the prognosis of these patients (OR = 0.617, 95%CI = 0.444–0.855, p-value = 0.004).



Figure 6. Calibration curves. The calibration curves for predicting all-cause early death in the training set (a) and validation set (b). Calibration curves for cancer-specific early death in the training set (c) and validation set (d).

From a clinical perspective, this phenomenon may be related to specific patient conditions. Our study showed that the simultaneous presence of liver metastasis and brain metastasis with bone metastasis was a risk factor for all-cause early death and cancer-specific early death. In contrast, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that intrapulmonary metastasis was not a risk factor (OR = 1.030, 95% CI = 0.933–1.136, p-value = 0.558). Similarly, Nakajima et al. confirmed that the prognostic impact of intrapulmonary metastases in non-small cell lung cancer was less than that of extrapulmonary metastases.³⁴ It is well-established that age, T stage, and N stage influence LC prognosis. Based on our results, we further confirmed that age, T stage, and N stage affected early death after bone metastasis in LUAD. Surprisingly, we identified sex (male) as a risk factor for early death in patients with LUAD and bone metastases, and this was possibly closely related to smoking.^{35,36}

There are still some limitations to our research. First, the nomograms did not include potentially relevant factors. For example, the level of smoking is associated







(b)



Age	Race	Sex	T_stage	N_stage	Brain_mets	Liver_mets	Surgery	Chemotherapy	Prediction	Lower.bound	Upper.bound
≤65	White	Women	T1	N3	No	No	No	No	0.753	0.719	0.784

(d)

(e)



Figure 8. Web-based nomogram. The nomogram forecast is shown on the left side of the page for all-cause early death (a). As an example of calculating the risk of all-cause early death, we included the case of a 63-year-old white female patient with LUAD. Her clinicopathological features were T1 stage, N3 stage, and no brain or liver metastasis. Chemotherapy and surgery were not performed. The line segment of the graphical summary shows the approximate range of all-cause early death risk (b). The numerical summary shows the precise numerical value of all-cause early death risk (c). The nomogram forecast is included on the left side of the page for cancer-specific early death (d). The line segment of the graphical summary shows the approximate range of cancer-specific early death risk (e). The numerical summary shows the precise numerical value of all-cause early death risk (f).

LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; Brain mets, brain metastasis; Liver mets, Liver metastasis.

with the prognosis of LC.³⁷ Second, the included indicators lacked a more detailed classification, especially the specific type of surgery, radiation dose, and chemotherapy drug. Third, due to the lack of data from other databases, our nomograms were not verified externally. Finally, this is a retrospective study, and only patients with complete data were included. Excluding patients with incomplete data from the study inevitably led to bias.

Conclusion

We constructed two nomograms and corresponding network-based nomograms to identify all-cause and cancer-specific early death in patients with LUAD and bone metastasis. The early death prediction models can help oncologists develop better treatment strategies.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the contributions of the SEER database and the 18 registration agencies that provided information on cancer research. We also thank all colleagues involved in the study.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author contributions

YJ designed this study. ZL, JW, HC, MS, and YZ conducted this study and analyzed the data. ZL and JW drafted the manuscript. YJ revised this study. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

ORCID iD

Zhehong Li D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9385-0618

References

- 1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021; 71: 209–249.
- 2. Denisenko TV, Budkevich IN and Zhivotovsky B. Cell death-based treatment of lung adenocarcinoma. *Cell Death Dis* 2018; 9: 117.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin 2020; 70: 7–30.
- 4. Wang G, Chen J, Ma R, et al. Effects of zoledronic acid and ibandronate in the treatment of cancer pain in rats with lung cancer combined with bone metastases. *Oncol Lett* 2018; 16: 1696–1700.
- Arbour KC and Riely GJ. Systemic Therapy for Locally Advanced and Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Review. *JAMA* 2019; 322: 764–774.
- Gay CM, Stewart CA, Park EM, et al. Patterns of transcription factor programs and immune pathway activation define four major subtypes of SCLC with distinct therapeutic vulnerabilities. *Cancer Cell* 2021; 39: 346–360.e7.
- Kumagai S, Koyama S and Nishikawa H. Antitumour immunity regulated by aberrant ERBB family signalling. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2021; 21: 181–197.
- 8. D'Antonio C, Passaro A, Gori B, et al. Bone and brain metastasis in lung cancer: recent advances in therapeutic strategies. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2014; 6: 101–114.
- 9. Zhu Y, Fang X, Wang L, et al. A Predictive Nomogram for Early Death of Metastatic Gastric Cancer: A Retrospective Study in the SEER Database and China. *J Cancer* 2020; 11: 5527–5535.
- 10. Wang X, Mao M, Xu G, et al. The incidence, associated factors, and predictive nomogram for early death in stage IV colorectal cancer. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2019; 34: 1189–1201.
- Song Z, Wang Y, Zhou Y, et al. A Novel Predictive Tool for Determining the Risk of Early Death From Stage IV Endometrial

Carcinoma: A Large Cohort Study. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 620240.

- Balachandran VP, Gonen M, Smith JJ, et al. Nomograms in oncology: more than meets the eye. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16: e173–e180.
- 13. Yap WK, Shih MC, Kuo C, et al. Development and Validation of а Nomogram for Assessing Survival in Patients With Metastatic Lung Cancer Referred for Radiotherapy for Bone Metastases. JAMA Netw Open 2018; 1: e183242.
- 14. Zhang Y, Zheng D, Xie J, et al. Development and Validation of Web-Based Nomograms to Precisely Predict Conditional Risk of Site-Specific Recurrence for Patients With Completely Resected Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: A Multiinstitutional Study. Chest 2018; 154: 501–511.
- Alves-Ribeiro L, Osório F, Amendoeira I, et al. Positive margins prediction in breast cancer conservative surgery: Assessment of a preoperative web-based nomogram. *Breast* 2016; 28: 167–173.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147: 573–577.
- Camp RL, Dolled-Filhart M and Rimm DL. X-tile: a new bio-informatics tool for biomarker assessment and outcome-based cutpoint optimization. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004; 10: 7252–7259.
- Chen B, Zeng Y, Liu B, et al. Risk Factors, Prognostic Factors, and Nomograms for Distant Metastasis in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Osteosarcoma: A Population-Based Study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2021; 12: 672024.
- Liu C, Hu C, Huang J, et al. A Prognostic Nomogram of Colon Cancer With Liver Metastasis: A Study of the US SEER Database and a Chinese Cohort. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11: 591009.
- DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, et al. Cancer statistics for African Americans, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2019; 69: 211–233.

- Cho YJ, Cho YM, Kim SH, et al. Clinical analysis of patients with skeletal metastasis of lung cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2019; 19: 303.
- Klikovits T, Lohinai Z, Fábián K, et al. New insights into the impact of primary lung adenocarcinoma location on metastatic sites and sequence: A multicenter cohort study. *Lung Cancer* 2018; 126: 139–148.
- Coleman RE. Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2001; 27: 165–176.
- Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 355: 2542–2550.
- Campos-Balea B, De Castro Carpeño J, Massutí B, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma: An analysis of the SEER database. *Thorac Cancer* 2020; 11: 3357–3364.
- 26. Zheng XQ, Huang JF, Lin JL, et al. Incidence, prognostic factors, and a nomogram of lung cancer with bone metastasis at initial diagnosis: a population-based study. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2019; 8: 367–379.
- Hrabok M, Engbers JDT, Wiebe S, et al. Primary care electronic medical records can be used to predict risk and identify potentially modifiable factors for early and late death in adult onset epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2021; 62: 51–60.
- Myrdal G, Gustafsson G, Lambe M, et al. Outcome after lung cancer surgery. Factors predicting early mortality and major morbidity. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2001; 20: 694–699.
- Xu Y, Xu G, Wu H, et al. The Nomogram for Early Death in Patients with Bone and Soft Tissue Tumors. J Cancer 2020; 11: 5359–5370.
- Boulahssass R, Gonfrier S, Ferrero JM, et al. Predicting early death in older adults with cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2018; 100: 65–74.
- Pacetti P, Paganini G, Orlandi M, et al. Chemotherapy in the last 30 days of life of advanced cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer* 2015; 23: 3277–3280.
- 32. Baker H. Overtreatment in stage IV lung cancer in the USA. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16: e532.

- 33. Yao JJ, Lin L, Gao TS, et al. Development and Validation of Web-Based Nomograms to Precisely Predict Survival Outcomes of Non-metastatic Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in an Endemic Area. *Cancer Res Treat* 2021; 53: 657–670.
- 34. Nakajima J, Furuse A, Oka T, et al. Excellent survival in a subgroup of patients with intrapulmonary metastasis of lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1996; 61: 158–162; discussion 162–3.
- Münzel T, Hahad O, Kuntic M, et al. Effects of tobacco cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and waterpipe smoking on endothelial function and clinical outcomes. *Eur Heart J* 2020; 41: 4057–4070. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa460.
- Pirozynski M. 100 years of lung cancer. Respir Med 2006; 100: 2073–2084.
- Wender R, Fontham ETH, Barrera E Jr, et al. American Cancer Society lung cancer screening guidelines. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2013; 63: 107–117.