METABOLIC SURGERY



Metabolic Surgery for Type 2 Diabetes: Changing the Landscape of Diabetes Care

William T. Cefalu,¹ Francesco Rubino,² and David E. Cummings³

Diabetes Care 2016;39:857-860 | DOI: 10.2337/dc16-0686

The accelerating pandemic of diabetes is recognized as one of the greatest global public health threats of our time (1). When one reviews the latest estimates for diabetes prevalence and projections worldwide, it is easy to appreciate the magnitude of the problem facing us not only today but also for generations to come. Given the microvascular and macrovascular complications associated with this disease, as well as the resulting morbidity and mortality, the personal, medical, and societal costs are enormous (2,3). In addition, despite continuing advances in diabetes pharmacotherapy, fewer than half of adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) attain therapeutic goals designed to reduce long-term risks of complications, especially for glycemic control (4–6), and lifestyle interventions are disappointing in the long term (7). In facing these challenges, it is imperative that interventions that may interdict the disease process and complement existing therapies be expeditiously advanced into clinical practice while also balancing the costs attributed to each intervention.

This month's issue of *Diabetes Care* includes 11 articles that report the latest data supporting bariatric/metabolic surgery as a new treatment option in the management of T2D. In the centerpiece of this collection, Rubino et al. (8) report new evidence-based guidelines for surgical treatment of T2D, writing on behalf of 48 voting delegates (75% are nonsurgeons) of the 2nd Diabetes Surgery Summit (DSS-II), an international consensus conference organized in collaboration with major diabetes organizations. These recommendations, endorsed thus far by 45 international professional societies [see Table 1, Rubino et al. (8)], reflect a large body of evidence demonstrating that several gastrointestinal (GI) operations initially designed to promote weight loss (bariatric surgery) can improve glucose homeostasis more effectively than any known pharmaceutical or behavioral approach (9–24), causing durable remission in many patients with T2D (25,26). Formally ratified by an unprecedented array of societies representing diverse medical and surgical specialties from around the world, these new guidelines can serve as a global reference for the use and study of GI surgery as an intentional treatment option for T2D ("metabolic surgery") (8).

Interest in the use of metabolic surgery has been growing over the past decade, fueled by experimental evidence showing that rearrangements of GI anatomy similar to those in bariatric operations can directly affect glucose homeostasis, and not only through weight loss (27,28). Attempts to elucidate the exact mechanisms by which GI surgery ameliorates T2D have implicated changes in gut hormones, bile acid metabolism, intestinal nutrient sensing and metabolism, gut microbiota, and other factors (27–37). On the basis of such biological and clinical evidence, the first Diabetes Surgery Summit (DSS-I), held in Rome, Italy, in 2007, recognized the legitimacy of studying surgery as a treatment for T2D, encouraging randomized clinical trials (RCTs) to compare surgery versus various medical/lifestyle interventions head to head (28,38). Since DSS-I, RCTs have consistently demonstrated that metabolic surgery achieves superior glycemic control and reduction of cardiovascular risk factors in obese patients with T2D compared with various medical/lifestyle interventions (9–24).

However, despite recent obesity recommendations to expand the use of bariatric surgery in people with T2D (39), until now, surgery has not been considered as a common option. Consequently, most diabetes care providers and patients with the disease are inadequately informed about the indications, benefits, and limitations of metabolic surgery.

¹Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA

³VA Puget Sound Health Care System and Diabetes and Obesity Center of Excellence, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

© 2016 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.

See accompanying articles, pp. 861, 878, 884, 893, 902, 912, 924, 934, 941, 949, and 954.

²Division of Diabetes and Nutritional Sciences, King's College London, London, U.K.

Corresponding author: William T. Cefalu, cefaluwt@ pbrc.edu.

The new guidelines provide much needed guidance for general practitioners, endocrinologists, and diabetes specialists about the use of metabolic surgery in the treatment of obese patients with T2D (8). Compared with previous guidelines for bariatric surgery (40), which used only BMI thresholds to select surgical candidates, the DSS-II recommendations introduce the use of diabetes-related parameters to help identify clinical scenarios where surgical treatment of T2D should be prioritized. In addition to helping clinicians select surgical candidates, the recommendations from DSS-II also inform the preoperative workup and postoperative follow-up of patients undergoing metabolic surgery for T2D.

The report from DSS-II in this issue of *Diabetes Care* reflects the most comprehensive and rigorous assessment of the available evidence on the subject to date, provides a thorough analysis of current knowledge gaps in the field, and identifies priorities for research designed to further refine the role of surgery in the diabetes management algorithm.

Recognizing the need to inform diabetes care providers about the rationale, mechanisms, outcomes, and cost-effectiveness of surgical treatment for T2D, this month's issue of Diabetes Care features the latest data on metabolic surgery. The topics range from an overview of the global burden of the disease to mechanisms by which surgery might improve glucose control, effects on micro- and macrovascular complications, and ethnic considerations in evaluating response. In addition, special populations for metabolic surgery (individuals with BMI <35 kg/m², type 1 diabetes [T1D], and adolescents) are considered. This collection derives from a selection of themes presented at the joint 3rd World Congress on Interventional Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes and the DSS-II, held in September 2015 (8,41-50).

To put perspective on the global epidemic, Zimmet and Alberti (41) provide an eloquent narrative on the burden of diabetes. Specifically, they suggest that extant epidemiological data predict "an inexorable and unsustainable increase in global health expenditure attributable to diabetes" and stress the need for prevention. In addition, they make the case that future research must be aimed at important emerging factors, such as the maternal environment and other early-life contributors, and changing trends in global demography to help design prevention programs. They also emphasize that, at this time, we all need a better understanding of the role of metabolic surgery in not only helping to optimize the management of patients with T2D but also addressing individuals at higher risk, particularly in developing nations. Unfortunately, these areas of the world suffer enormous disease burden but currently lack the resources to even consider surgery as a treatment option for T2D.

One of the many issues that remains unanswered for metabolic surgery pertains to the exact mechanisms of action. Specifically, we now recognize that postoperative improvements in metabolic control occur rapidly and out of proportion to weight loss, yet the physiological and molecular mechanisms underlying these beneficial glycemic effects remain incompletely elucidated. To address this dilemma, we feature two narratives in this issue of Diabetes Care that provide further mechanistic insights into metabolic surgery. Holst et al. (42) provide a very focused overview of the underlying mechanisms by which the GI tract helps regulate postprandial glucose profiles. Specifically, they discuss the intrinsic factors along with the metabolic, endocrine, and neural signals generated by the gut or associated with vagal activity to influence secretion of gut hormones that modulate postprandial glucose excursions (i.e., incretins). In the second article featuring mechanisms, Batterham and Cummings (43) review existing concepts regarding how metabolic surgery exerts its effects on T2D. They provide evidence to demonstrate that metabolic surgical procedures such as Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and vertical sleeve gastrectomy increase circulating levels of glucagon-like peptide 1, bile acids, and fibroblast growth factor 19, while also altering intestinal nutrient sensing, absorption, and metabolism, and the gut microbiome. The authors opine that these changes contribute to the antidiabetes effects of surgery, along with well-known secondary consequences of weight loss (43).

Before we can fully appreciate the role of metabolic surgery in becoming a readily available, viable option in our treatment algorithm and expand the appropriate candidate pool, we need to fully understand the efficacy, complications, longterm clinical outcomes, and costs. In particular, it will be important to clarify the financial implications to patients, providers, and insurers (both private and government sectors) and to appreciate that these barriers may be too hard to overcome in resource-poor areas of the world. Although we have excellent short- to medium-term level 1 evidence (up to 5 years) regarding the impact of metabolic surgery compared with medical/lifestyle interventions for glycemic control and weight loss, there is a paucity of long-term outcomes data from RCTs relating to microvascular and macrovascular complications. Published reports on such outcomes are currently limited to observational studies and nonrandomized clinical trials. In this issue, we present two articles related to the assessment of outcomes. Schauer et al. (44) review the evidence to date regarding glycemic control, weight loss, and complications resulting from metabolic surgery. Adams et al. (45) follow this review and provide relevant background information regarding the overall management of diabetes and related complications. They also discuss research focusing on longterm vascular outcomes associated with bariatric/metabolic surgery. Both articles provide the latest data required to fully understand the clinical effects and modulation of disease processes resulting from metabolic surgery.

Other areas addressed in this special collection involve expanding the use of metabolic surgery to populations that have traditionally not been considered candidates according to older recommendations. For example, as stated by Cummings and Cohen (46), consideration of bariatric surgery has been essentially dictated for the past 25 years by National Institutes of Health recommendations that suggested these operations be restricted to individuals with BMI \geq 35 kg/m² (40). However, arguments are now being made that because baseline BMI does not predict surgical benefits on glycemia or hard outcomes (51) and because bariatric procedures markedly improve T2D and promote remission in part through weight-independent mechanisms (29,43) appropriate candidates for metabolic surgery might indeed include individuals with T2D and BMI < 35 kg/m². Thus, given the review of data in their article, the authors suggest that the existing evidence supports "new guidelines from the 2nd Diabetes Surgery Summit that advocate for the consideration of bariatric/metabolic surgery as one option, along with lifestyle and medical therapy, to treat T2D among patients with a BMI < 35 kg/m²" (46). Other populations for whom bariatric/metabolic surgery has been proposed also include adolescents with T2D and patients with T1D. For example, Shah et al. (47) provide comment that increasing interest in consideration of bariatric/metabolic surgery for adolescents with T2D has occurred in large part due to evidence for the efficacy and benefits demonstrated in adult subjects with T2D. In their article, they provide a nice overview of the concerns regarding T2D in youth and discuss the outcomes and complications of bariatric/metabolic surgery in adolescents. In addition, Kirwan et al. (48) reviewed 17 publications—10 case series and 7 case reports-where bariatric surgery was performed in individuals with T1D and severe obesity. They report that surgery provided many benefits (substantial weight loss and significant improvements in insulin requirements and glycemic status) in these studies and suggest that "short-term results of bariatric surgery in patients with T1D are encouraging, but larger and longerterm studies are needed" (48).

Other considerations for bariatric/ metabolic surgery presented in this collection include a discussion of the efficacy of metabolic surgery based on ethnicity. In this regard, Morton (49) comments that because the burden of obesity and diabetes vary depending on ethnicity, there may be differences regarding indications and outcomes for different ethnic groups following metabolic surgery. He suggests that "while there appears to be evidence for variation in weight loss and complications for different ethnic groups, comorbidity remission, particularly for diabetes, appears to be free of ethnic disparity following metabolic surgery" (49).

The last issue considered in this special collection is one that involves a discussion of conceptual and practical barriers to the appropriate use of bariatric/metabolic surgery. Thus, Rubin et al. (50) provide the summary report of a Policy Lab hosted at the 3rd World

Congress on Interventional Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes on 29 September 2015. Despite increasing recognition of the efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness of metabolic surgery, significant barriers to appropriate use of surgical procedures still prevent access to surgery for those who need it. They identify four "building blocks" to facilitate policy changes, as follows: "1) communicating the scale of the costs and harms associated with rising prevalence of type 2 diabetes, 2) properly articulating the role of bariatric/metabolic surgery for certain population groups, 3) identifying new funding sources for bariatric/metabolic surgery, and 4) incorporating surgery into the appropriate clinical pathways" (50). Even from the perspectives of the U.K. and the U.S., where studies have concluded that metabolic surgery has a very high likelihood of being cost-effective for people with T2D, the "up-front" costs of metabolic surgery were recognized as representing a challenge to limited health care budgets. These costs must, however, be carefully weighed against the current and future costs of T2D.

As can be appreciated, the present issue of Diabetes Care provides the most comprehensive and up-to-date collection of articles dealing with metabolic surgery. The area has grown tremendously in recent times, and the evidence for the benefits of metabolic surgery has outpaced guidelines regarding appropriate candidates. As in the past, Diabetes Care remains extremely honored to be the journal to host these articles and to disseminate the latest research that may improve care for our patients. These publications go a long way to providing guidance on current clinical care and informing the next steps for research in this area. The global diabetes burden is enormous, and as a medical community, we do not have the answers at this time to fully address the issue on a worldwide basis. However, it is an exciting time for those of us in diabetes research, and the ability to be part of a paradigm change in the understanding, approach, and management of the disease will keep us focused on the next steps to address the larger issue of prevention. Until then, it will continue to be the charge of *Diabetes Care* to provide the latest and most up-to-date information.

Funding. W.T.C. is supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant 1U54GM-104940, which funds the Louisiana Clinical & Translational Science Center, and NIH grant P50-AT-002776. D.E.C. is supported by NIH grants RO1 DK103842, RO1 DK084324, RO1 DK089528, and U34 DK107917.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Duality of Interest. W.T.C. has served as principal investigator on clinical research grants received by his institutions from AstraZeneca, Janssen, MannKind Corp., and Sanofi and has served as a consultant for Intarcia Therapeutics and Sanofi. F.R. served as the director for the 3rd World Congress on Interventional Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes and as codirector of the DSS-II. He is also a member of the scientific advisory board of NGM Biopharmaceuticals and a consultant for Fractyl Laboratories. He has received honoraria for lectures from Johnson & Johnson, Medtronic, and Sanofi. D.E.C. is a principal investigator on the Comparison of Surgery vs. Medicine for Indian Diabetes (COSMID) trial, which is funded by Johnson & Johnson, and the Alliance of Randomized Trials of Medicine vs Metabolic Surgery in Type 2 Diabetes (ARMMS-T2D) trial, which is funded by Johnson & Johnson and Covidien, in conjunction with NIH. He is also on the scientific advisory boards of Metavention and Fractyl. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. International Diabetes Federation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas*. 7th ed. Available from http:// www.diabetesatlas.org. Accessed 20 February 2016

2. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2197–2223

3. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 [published correction appears in Lancet 2013;381:628]. Lancet 2012;380: 2224–2260

 Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC. Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. JAMA 2004;291:335–342

5. Ali MK, Bullard KM, Saaddine JB, Cowie CC, Imperatore G, Gregg EW. Achievement of goals in U.S. diabetes care, 1999-2010. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1613–1624

6. Wong K, Glovaci D, Malik S, et al. Comparison of demographic factors and cardiovascular risk factor control among U.S. adults with type 2 diabetes by insulin treatment classification. J Diabetes Complications 2012;26:169–174

7. Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, et al.; Look AHEAD Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2013;369: 145–154

8. Rubino F, Nathan DM, Eckel RH, et al.; 2nd Diabetes Surgery Summit. Metabolic surgery in the treatment algorithm for type 2 diabetes: a

joint statement by international diabetes organizations. Diabetes Care 2016;39:861-877

9. Dixon JB, O'Brien PE, Playfair J, et al. Adjustable gastric banding and conventional therapy for type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2008;299:316–323

10. Mingrone G, Panunzi S, De Gaetano A, et al. Bariatric surgery versus conventional medical therapy for type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1577–1585

11. Schauer PR, Kashyap SR, Wolski K, et al. Bariatric surgery versus intensive medical therapy in obese patients with diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1567–1576

12. Ikramuddin S, Korner J, Lee WJ, et al. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass vs intensive medical management for the control of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia: the Diabetes Surgery Study randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;309:2240–2249

13. Courcoulas AP, Goodpaster BH, Eagleton JK, et al. Surgical vs medical treatments for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg 2014;149:707–715

14. Halperin F, Ding SA, Simonson DC, et al. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery or lifestyle with intensive medical management in patients with type 2 diabetes: feasibility and 1-year results of a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg 2014;149:716–726

15. Liang Z, Wu Q, Chen B, Yu P, Zhao H, Ouyang X. Effect of laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on type 2 diabetes mellitus with hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2013;101:50–56

16. Wentworth JM, Playfair J, Laurie C, et al. Multidisciplinary diabetes care with and without bariatric surgery in overweight people: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:545–552

17. Parikh M, Chung M, Sheth S, et al. Randomized pilot trial of bariatric surgery versus intensive medical weight management on diabetes remission in type 2 diabetic patients who do NOT meet NIH criteria for surgery and the role of soluble RAGE as a novel biomarker of success. Ann Surg 2014;260:617–622; discussion 622–624

18. Schauer PR, Bhatt DL, Kirwan JP, et al.; STAMPEDE Investigators. Bariatric surgery versus intensive medical therapy for diabetes–3-year outcomes. N Engl J Med 2014;370:2002–2013

19. Mingrone G, Panunzi S, De Gaetano A, et al. Bariatric-metabolic surgery versus conventional medical treatment in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: 5 year follow-up of an open-label, single-centre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2015;386:964–973

20. Ikramuddin S, Billington CJ, Lee WJ, et al. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass for diabetes (the Diabetes Surgery Study): 2-year outcomes of a 5-year, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015;3:413–422

21. Ding SA, Simonson DC, Wewalka M, et al. Adjustable gastric band surgery or medical management in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:2546–2556

22. Cummings DE, Arterburn DE, Westbrook EO, et al. Gastric bypass surgery vs. intensive lifestyle and medical intervention for type 2 diabetes: the CROSSROADS randomized controlled trial. Diabetologia 2016;59:945–953

23. Courcoulas AP, Belle SH, Neiberg RH, et al. Three-year outcomes of bariatric surgery vs lifestyle intervention for type 2 diabetes mellitus treatment: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg 2015;150:931–940

24. Gloy VL, Briel M, Bhatt DL, et al. Bariatric surgery versus non-surgical treatment for obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2013;347: f5934

25. Sjöström L, Peltonen M, Jacobson P, et al. Association of bariatric surgery with long-term remission of type 2 diabetes and with microvascular and macrovascular complications. JAMA 2014;311:2297–2304

26. Carlsson LM, Peltonen M, Ahlin S, et al. Bariatric surgery and prevention of type 2 diabetes in Swedish obese subjects. N Engl J Med 2012;367:695–704

27. Rubino F, Marescaux J. Effect of duodenaljejunal exclusion in a non-obese animal model of type 2 diabetes: a new perspective for an old disease. Ann Surg 2004;239:1–11

28. Rubino F, Schauer PR, Kaplan LM, Cummings DE. Metabolic surgery to treat type 2 diabetes: clinical outcomes and mechanisms of action. Annu Rev Med 2010;61:393–411

29. Thaler JP, Cummings DE. Minireview: Hormonal and metabolic mechanisms of diabetes remission after gastrointestinal surgery. Endocrinology 2009;150:2518–2525

30. Madsbad S, Dirksen C, Holst JJ. Mechanisms of changes in glucose metabolism and bodyweight after bariatric surgery. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:152–164

31. Salehi M, Woods SC, D'Alessio DA. Gastric bypass alters both glucose-dependent and glucose-independent regulation of islet hormone secretion. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2015; 23:2046–2052

32. Tremaroli V, Karlsson F, Werling M, et al. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and vertical banded gastroplasty induce long-term changes on the human gut microbiome contributing to fat mass regulation. Cell Metab 2015;22:228–238

33. Dirksen C, Jørgensen NB, Bojsen-Møller KN, et al. Mechanisms of improved glycaemic control after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Diabetologia 2012;55:1890–1901

34. Breen DM, Rasmussen BA, Kokorovic A, Wang R, Cheung GW, Lam TK. Jejunal nutrient sensing is required for duodenal-jejunal bypass surgery to rapidly lower glucose concentrations in uncontrolled diabetes. Nat Med 2012;18: 950–955

35. Ryan KK, Tremaroli V, Clemmensen C, et al. FXR is a molecular target for the effects of vertical sleeve gastrectomy. Nature 2014;509: 183–188 36. Liou AP, Paziuk M, Luevano JM Jr, Machineni S, Turnbaugh PJ, Kaplan LM. Conserved shifts in the gut microbiota due to gastric bypass reduce host weight and adiposity. Sci Transl Med 2013;5:178ra41

37. Saeidi N, Meoli L, Nestoridi E, et al. Reprogramming of intestinal glucose metabolism and glycemic control in rats after gastric bypass. Science 2013;341:406–410

38. Rubino F, Kaplan LM, Schauer PR, Cummings DE; Diabetes Surgery Summit Delegates. The Diabetes Surgery Summit consensus conference: recommendations for the evaluation and use of gastrointestinal surgery to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus. Ann Surg 2010;251:399–405

39. Cummings DE, Cohen RV. Beyond BMI: the need for new guidelines governing the use of bariatric and metabolic surgery. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:175–181

40. Consensus Development Conference Panel. NIH conference. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity. Ann Intern Med 1991;115:956–961 41. Zimmet PZ, Alberti KGMM. Epidemiology of diabetes—status of a pandemic and issues around metabolic surgery. Diabetes Care 2016; 39:878–883

42. Holst JJ, Gribble F, Horowitz M, Rayner CK. Roles of the gut in glucose homeostasis. Diabetes Care 2016;39:884–892

43. Batterham RL, Cummings DE. Mechanisms of diabetes improvement following bariatric/ metabolic surgery. Diabetes Care 2016;39: 893–901

44. Schauer PR, Mingrone G, Ikramuddin S, Wolfe B. Clinical outcomes of metabolic surgery: efficacy of glycemic control, weight loss, remission of disease. Diabetes Care 2016;39: 902–911

45. Adams TD, Arterburn DE, Nathan DM, Eckel RH. Clinical outcomes of metabolic surgery: microvascular and macrovascular complications. Diabetes Care 2016;39:912–923

46. Cummings DE, Cohen RV. Bariatric/metabolic surgery to treat type 2 diabetes in patients with a BMI <35 kg/m². Diabetes Care 2016; 39:924–933

47. Shah AS, D'Alessio D, Ford-Adams ME, Desai AP, Inge TH. Bariatric surgery: a potential treatment for type 2 diabetes in youth. Diabetes Care 2016;39:934–940

48. Kirwan JP, Aminian A, Kashyap SR, Burguera B, Brethauer SA, Schauer PR. Bariatric surgery in obese patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2016;39:941–948

49. Morton JM. Ethnic considerations for metabolic surgery. Diabetes Care 2016;39: 949–953

50. Rubin JK, Hinrichs-Krapels S, Hesketh R, Martin A, Herman WH, Rubino F. Identifying barriers to appropriate use of metabolic/bariatric surgery for type 2 diabetes treatment: policy lab results. Diabetes Care 2016;39:954–963

51. Sjöström L. Review of the key results from the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) trial - a prospective controlled intervention study of bariatric surgery. J Intern Med 2013;273:219–234