

Partial posterior vitreous detachment as a classification criterion of epiretinal membrane

Abdullah Kaya

Department of Ophthalmology,
Anittepe Military Dispansary,
Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence: Abdullah Kaya
Department of Ophthalmology, Anittepe
Military Dispansary Anittepe Mah, 88,
Cad. Çankaya, Ankara 06280, Turkey
Tel +90 530 140 9305
Email abdullahkayamd@gmail.com

Dear editor

I read with great interest the article “Relationship between variations in posterior vitreous detachment and visual prognosis in idiopathic epiretinal membranes” by Ota et al.¹ The authors have compared visual prognosis of epiretinal membrane (ERM) patients according to type of vitreous detachment. Partial posterior vitreous detachment (P-PVD) was found to be related with poor visual acuity and prognosis. I appreciate the authors for this well-organized study.

Position of vitreous is very important in some retinal diseases. Vitreoretinal traction and adhesion affects prognosis of vitreoretinal interface diseases, macular hole, diabetic retinopathy, and age-related macular degeneration.² Existence of vitreous detachment is a criterion of macular hole. Because of its importance in prognosis, I think vitreous detachment also should be a criterion for classification of ERMs. As stated in this study, P-PVD may cause a rapid worsening of clinical table by impairing structure of retinal composition and accelerating progression of ERM. Thus, revising classification of ERM according to existence of P-PVD may provide a better clinical approach to this disease.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Ota A, Tanaka Y, Toyoda F, et al. Relationship between variations in posterior vitreous detachment and visual prognosis in idiopathic epiretinal membranes. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2016;10:7–11.
2. de Smet MD, Gad Elkareem AM, Zwinderman AH. The vitreous, the retinal interface in ocular health and disease. *Ophthalmologica*. 2013;230(4):165–178.

Author's reply

Akihiro Kakehashi

Department of Ophthalmology, Jichi Medical University,
Saitama Medical Center, Saitama, Japan

Correspondence: Akihiro Kakehashi

Department of Ophthalmology, Jichi Medical University, Saitama Medical
Center, 1-847 Amanuma-cho, Omiya-ku, Saitama 330-8503, Japan
Email kakeaki@omiya.jichi.ac.jp

Dear editor

We appreciate the comments of Abdullah Kaya, MD, about our article "Relationship between variations in posterior vitreous detachment and visual prognosis in idiopathic epiretinal membranes".¹ As Dr Kaya mentioned, it is very important to evaluate the vitreous pathology to determine its prognosis in many vitreoretinal diseases including epiretinal membranes (ERMs). I agree with his idea that vitreous detachment also should be a criterion for classification of ERMs.

Clinical grading of ERMs has been proposed by Gass, and it has been widely used.² However Gass's classification of ERMs is based on the configuration of the ERMs and macular retina but does not include the vitreal pathologies. An ERM with a grade 2 macular pucker is frequently associated with vitreomacular traction syndrome. It is obvious that a grade 2 ERM requires vitreous surgery. The ERMs with vitreomacular traction syndrome were not included in

our article. We think that the vitreous condition, especially a partial posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) without shrinkage (M), which shows weak and chronic anteroposterior vitreous traction on the macula, independently affects the visual prognosis of ERMs regardless of the ERM configuration. ERMs with a partial PVD without shrinkage (M) have a worse visual prognosis than an ERM with no PVD and a complete PVD with collapse. However, it is difficult to detect the mobile vitreous gel on still images using optical coherence tomography. Slit-lamp vitreous biomicroscopy is more suitable for detecting mobile vitreous gel.

We strongly recommend a vitreous examination in which both biomicroscopy and OCT are performed to detect variations of PVD in vitreomacular diseases.³

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Ota A, Tanaka Y, Toyoda F, et al. Relationship between variations in posterior vitreous detachment and visual prognosis in idiopathic epiretinal membranes. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2015;10:7–11.
2. Gass JDM. Macular dysfunction caused by epiretinal membrane contraction. In: *Stereoscopic Atlas of Macular Diseases: Diagnosis and Treatment*. Vol 2. 4th ed. St Louis, MO: Mosby; 1997:938–950.
3. Kakehashi A, Takezawa M, Akiba J. Classification of posterior vitreous detachment. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2014;8:1–10.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.