

# Mutations in GMPPB cause congenital myasthenic syndrome and bridge myasthenic disorders with dystroglycanopathies

Katsiaryna Belaya,<sup>1</sup> Pedro M. Rodríguez Cruz,<sup>1,2</sup> Wei Wei Liu,<sup>1</sup> Susan Maxwell,<sup>1</sup> Simon McGowan,<sup>3</sup> Maria E. Farrugia,<sup>4</sup> Richard Petty,<sup>4</sup> Timothy J. Walls,<sup>5</sup> Maryam Sedghi,<sup>6</sup> Keivan Basiri,<sup>7</sup> Wyatt W. Yue,<sup>8</sup> Anna Sarkozy,<sup>9,10</sup> Marta Bertoli,<sup>9</sup> Matthew Pitt,<sup>11</sup> Robin Kennett,<sup>2</sup> Andrew Schaefer,<sup>5</sup> Kate Bushby,<sup>9</sup> Matt Parton,<sup>10</sup> Hanns Lochmüller,<sup>9</sup> Jacqueline Palace,<sup>2</sup> Francesco Muntoni<sup>12</sup> and David Beeson<sup>1</sup>

Congenital myasthenic syndromes are inherited disorders that arise from impaired signal transmission at the neuromuscular junction. Mutations in at least 20 genes are known to lead to the onset of these conditions. Four of these, ALG2, ALG14, DPAGT1 and GFPT1, are involved in glycosylation. Here we identify a fifth glycosylation gene, GMPPB, where mutations cause congenital myasthenic syndrome. First, we identified recessive mutations in seven cases from five kinships defined as congenital myasthenic syndrome using decrement of compound muscle action potentials on repetitive nerve stimulation on electromyography. The mutations were present through the length of the GMPPB, and segregation, in silico analysis, exon trapping, cell transfection followed by western blots and immunostaining were used to determine pathogenicity. GMPPB congenital myasthenic syndrome cases show clinical features characteristic of congenital myasthenic syndrome subtypes that are due to defective glycosylation, with variable weakness of proximal limb muscle groups while facial and eye muscles are largely spared. However, patients with GMPPB congenital myasthenic syndrome had more prominent myopathic features that were detectable on muscle biopsies, electromyography, muscle magnetic resonance imaging, and through elevated serum creatine kinase levels. Mutations in GMPPB have recently been reported to lead to the onset of muscular dystrophy dystrophycanopathy. Analysis of four additional GMPPBassociated muscular dystrophy dystroglycanopathy cases by electromyography found that a defective neuromuscular junction component is not always present. Thus, we find mutations in GMPPB can lead to a wide spectrum of clinical features where deficit in neuromuscular transmission is the major component in a subset of cases. Clinical recognition of GMPPB-associated congenital myasthenic syndrome may be complicated by the presence of myopathic features, but correct diagnosis is important because affected individuals can respond to appropriate treatments.

- 1 Neurosciences Group, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, OX5 9DS, UK
- 2 Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, OX3 9DU, UK
- 3 Computational Biology Research Group, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, OX3 9DS, UK
- 4 Department of Neurology, Institute of Neurological Sciences, Southern General Hospital, Glasgow, UK
- 5 Department of Neurology, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP, UK
- 6 Medical Genetics Laboratory, Alzahra University Hospital, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
- 7 Neurology Department, Neuroscience Research Centre, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
- 8 Structural Genomics Consortium, University of Oxford, OXford, OX3 7DQ, UK
- 9 Institute of Genetic Medicine, John Walton Muscular Dystrophy Research Centre, MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 3BZ, UK

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received February 10, 2015. Revised April 17, 2015. Accepted May 4, 2015. Advance Access publication July 1, 2015

<sup>©</sup> The Author (2015). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

- 10 MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Institute of Neurology and National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, London, UK
- 11 Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, Great Ormond Street Hospital for children NHS foundation trust, London WC1N 3JH
- 12 Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre and MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, WC1N 1EH, UK

Correspondence to: Prof David Beeson, Neurosciences Group, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 9DS, UK E-mail: david.beeson@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

**Keywords:** congenital myasthenic syndrome; glycosylation; GMPPB; neurotransmission defect; dystroglycan **Abbreviations:** CMS = congenital myasthenic syndromes; MDDG = muscular dystrophy dystroglycanopathy

## Introduction

Congenital myasthenic syndromes (CMS) are a rare and heterogeneous group of inherited disorders caused by mutations in genes encoding proteins that are essential for neuromuscular transmission. All CMS share the clinical feature of fatigable weakness, but age at onset, presenting symptoms, distribution of weakness, and response to treatment differ depending on the molecular mechanism that results from the genetic defect (Engel, 2012; Cruz et al., 2014). In most cases impaired transmission can be detected by EMG, and a decrement in the amplitude of compound muscle action potentials in response to repetitive nerve stimulation and/or increased jitter represent crucial diagnostic criteria for these disorders. Mutations in at least 20 different genes are known to lead to the development of CMS (Cruz et al., 2014). Four of these, ALG2, ALG14, DPAGT1 and GFPT1, are recently identified genes encoding proteins involved in glycosylation (Fig. 1) (Senderek et al., 2011; Belaya et al., 2012; Cossins et al., 2013). ALG2, ALG14 and DPAGT1 are involved specifically in N-linked protein glycosylation. GFPT1 is involved in the synthesis of UDP-GlcNAc, a nucleotide sugar used as a building block by several glycosylation pathways, including N- and O-linked glycosylation. These four subtypes of glycosylation-CMS have characteristic clinical features that help to distinguish them from other CMS subtypes. The most commonly affected muscles are proximal limb muscles, with limited ocular or facial involvement. Identified cases may respond to treatment with pyridostigmine or a combination of pyridostigmine and salbutamol. The pathogenic mechanism of these disorders is not entirely understood, but it has been proposed that one contributing pathogenic molecular mechanism underlying the disorders is due to the abnormal glycosylation of acetylcholine receptor (AChR) subunits (Belaya et al., 2012; Cossins et al., 2013; Zoltowska et al., 2013). Glycosylation of AChRs is required for assembly of AChR pentamers and for efficient

export of the receptors to the cell surface (Nomoto *et al.*, 1986; Gehle and Sumikawa, 1991; Gehle *et al.*, 1997; Wanamaker *et al.*, 2003). Disruption of glycosylation leads to a lack of AChRs at the endplate region, and a reduced synaptic response to acetylcholine. Other neuro-muscular junction proteins are likely to be affected by abnormal glycosylation and might further contribute to the phenotype (Selcen *et al.*, 2014).

There remain a number of CMS cases that have a clear neuromuscular transmission defect, but no identified mutation in the known CMS-associated genes. Here, we use whole exome sequencing to identify CMS-causing mutations in GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase B (GMPPB). Mutations in GMPPB have been previously associated with the development of a muscular dystrophy dystroglycanopathy (MDDG), which is caused by the defective Oglycosylation of  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan (Carss *et al.*, 2013). GMPPB-MDDG patients present with a characteristic set of dystrophic features, which are often accompanied by a variable degree of structural brain and eye abnormalities. We identify a spectrum of clinical phenotypes associated with GMPPB mutations that stretched from defective neuromuscular transmission forming the major symptomatic component to GMPPB-MDDG cases in which there is no detectable neuromuscular junction defect.

## Materials and methods

### **Patient data**

Patient consent for use of data was obtained with ethical approval OXREC B: 04.OXB.017 and Oxfordshire REC C 09/H0606/74. Patient neurophysiology, muscle MRI, and serum creatine kinase levels were measured by standard clinical techniques.



Figure 1 Simplified scheme of N-linked and O-linked glycosylation. The scheme shows five glycosylation genes associated with CMS.

### Whole exome sequencing

Patient genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using Nucleon<sup>®</sup> kit (Gen-Probe Life Sciences Ltd). Exome library was captured from 3 µg of genomic DNA using Agilent SureSelect XT Human All Exon v.4 kit. The libraries were sequenced by 100 nt paired-end reads on Illumina HiSeq platform. The obtained sequences were mapped to human genome build hg19 by using Novoalign software (Novocraft Technologies). Variants were called using Samtools program (Li et al., 2009). Variants were filtered out if their population frequency was  $\geq 0.01$  according to 1000 Genomes Project (European subset) (Genomes Project et al., 2010). We used ANNOVAR software to annotate and separate non-synonymous substitutions, indel variants and splicing mutations (Wang et al., 2010). As CMS are usually inherited in an autosomal recessive manner, we focused on all genes that have either one or more homozygous variant, or two or more heterozygous variants in the same gene. We further filtered the obtained variants against an in-house database of 14 exomes from cases with unrelated disorders, and manually removed misaligned or low quality reads.

# Analysis of GMPPB expression and localization

IMAGE clone containing cDNA of *GMPPB* was purchased from Source Bioscience Lifesciences (IRAUp969D1013D). *GMPPB* coding sequence was subcloned into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1-hygro (Invitrogen). Mutations were introduced using site-directed mutagenesis using QuikChange<sup>®</sup> kit from Stratagene. All sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. HEK293 cells were transfected with wild-type or mutant constructs. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were harvested and lysed by rotating in cold lysis buffer [10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton<sup>TM</sup> X-100, mammalian protease inhibitor cocktail form Sigma] for 1h. Cell extracts were centrifuged and resuspended into protein loading buffer. Protein extracts were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membrane was incubated with primary anti-GMPPB antibody (Abcam ab154061) and secondary anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Dako). Detection was performed by using ECL (GE Healthcare).

For the analysis of GMPPB localization, C2C12 muscle cells were transfected with wild-type or mutant GMPPB constructs using the Neon<sup>®</sup> Transfection System (Life Technologies). Transfection was performed according to manufacturer's recommendations using 2  $\mu$ g of each DNA construct. The cells were fixed 24 h after electroporation. Fixed cells were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton in phosphate-buffered saline, blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin, stained with primary anti-GMPPB antibody and secondary anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 488 (Invitrogen). Imaging was performed on the inverted Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope.

#### Exon trapping

We cloned *GMPPB* exons 2, 3, 4 and flanking intronic sequences into the pET01 vector (MoBiTec). The c.130-3C>G mutation was introduced by site-directed mutagenesis using QuikChange<sup>®</sup> kit from Stratagene and confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Control and mutant vector DNA were electroporated into the human rhabdomyosarcoma cell line TE671 using the Neon<sup>®</sup> electroporator (Invitrogen). Total RNA was purified 48 h after transfection, reverse transcribed into cDNA using RETROscript<sup>®</sup> kit (Ambion). Complementary DNA was amplified using primers specific to the vector exons. The amplicons were run on agarose/TBE (Tris-borate-EDTA) gels, visualized under UV/ethidium bromide and then gel purified and sequenced.

## Results

### **Clinical features**

#### Cases I-7

Cases 1-7 demonstrate the hallmarks of myasthenic disorders with fluctuating fatigable muscle weakness and clear decrement of compound muscle action potential on EMG during repetitive nerve stimulation (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Cases 1, 2, 3 and 7 are unrelated individuals of Caucasian descent. Cases 4, 5 and 6 are siblings from a consanguineous marriage of first cousins once removed (of Iranian origin). Typically, presentation was in adolescence or adulthood and included limited march tolerance, inability to run and lift weights, and difficulties in rising from the floor. In retrospect the onset of muscle weakness may be earlier because the majority of cases recall being slower than their peers at school, not excelling in sports, and some delay of motor milestones. Muscle weakness was found to be most prominent in proximal limb muscles, while facial, eye, and bulbar muscles are largely spared. Ptosis is only present in Case 4. The patients reported activity-dependent fatigability and improvement with rest. In addition, they also presented fluctuations of muscle strength over time, from days to weeks or even months. On occasions, deteriorations have followed recognizable precipitants such as viral infections or menstruation but they have also occurred spontaneously. As an example, Case 1 had fluctuations of muscle strength over the course of weeks. At best she is able to walk short distances  $(\sim 20 \text{ m})$  using a walking aid. At worst, she cannot walk at all, is wheelchair bound and needs assistance to stand or transfer. Where tried, patients responded to a treatment with pyridostigmine or a combination of pyridostigmine and salbutamol. Patients reported increased power of proximal muscles and increased tolerance to walking and climbstairs. Neurophysiological abnormalities ing were consistently found in all cases (Table 1). Of note, not all muscle groups showed neuromuscular junction dysfunction on electromyography. For example, Case 3 had significant decrement (50%) on repetitive nerve stimulation of anconeus muscle but no decrement was detected in abductor digiti minimi (Fig. 2). Similarly, Case 7 had a significant decrement (23-30%) in trapezius muscle, whereas there was no observable decrement when performing repetitive nerve stimulation on abductor digiti minimi. These recordings were consistent with the pattern of muscle weakness observed in the affected individuals. Unusual for CMS, where tested, affected individuals had raised creatine kinase levels.

#### Cases 8-11

Cases 8–11 are previously undescribed cases of GMPPB-MDDG that were compared with CMS Cases 1–7. They had previously been diagnosed with a muscular dystrophy, and underlying mutations in *GMPPB* had separately been

identified. Cases 8, 9 and 10 are of Caucasian descent, Case 11 is of Asian descent. Cases 9 and 10 are siblings. There is no known consanguinity in any of the families. Cases 8-11 presented in early childhood (1-2 years of age). Case 8 presented with an episode of generalized sudden weakness, Cases 9 and 10 presented with global developmental delay (although for Case 9 it was initially attributed to a preceding meningitis), and Case 11 presented with seizures. Cases 8, 9 and 11 have weakness in proximal limb muscles, whereas Case 10 has no detectable weakness. Case 8 has fluctuations of muscle strength with infections, and Case 9 reports fatigability, particularly noted when walking uphill. All have elevated creatine kinase levels. Muscle biopsies were obtained from Cases 8, 9 and 11 and showed dystrophic features with reduced  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan. Case 9 had a positive response to pyridostigmine as shown by post-treatment muscle strength measures. The time for keeping his arms outstretched increased from 23 to 102s, and from 12 to 21s in neck flexion. Of note, from being initially unable to rise up from the floor before treatment, he was able to rise to stand in 5.8 s.

# Identification of mutations in GMPPB as a cause of CMS

We performed whole exome sequencing of DNA from Case 1. The resulting data were filtered against the variants listed on 1000 Genome Project, to remove all variants with population frequency of 0.01 or more (Genomes Project et al., 2010). We used ANNOVAR software to annotate and separate non-synonymous substitutions and splicing mutations (Wang et al., 2010). As CMS is usually inherited in an autosomal recessive manner, we focused on all genes that have either one or more homozygous variant, or two or more heterozygous variants in the same gene. We further filtered the obtained variants against an in-house database of 14 exomes from cases with unrelated disorders. This analysis limited the list of possible candidate genes to eight (Supplementary Table 1). The remaining variants were ranked based on their functional annotation and predicted pathogenicity of associated mutations (Supplementary Table 2). The most highly ranked candidate gene resulting from this analysis was GMPPB. GMPPB encodes GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase B-an enzyme involved in glycosylation. Mutations in four other glycosylation genes (ALG2, ALG14, DPAGT1 and GFPT1) are known to lead to the development of CMS, and the symptoms of other glycosylation-CMS patients are similar to the symptoms of Case 1. Therefore, variants in GMPPB were good candidates for a potential cause of CMS. We found that Case 1 had two missense mutations in *GMPPB*: p.Asp27His (c.79G > C) and p.Arg287Trp (c.859C>T) (Table 1). Both mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

The *GMPPB* gene has two isoforms NM\_021971 and NM\_013334. NM\_013334 has eight coding exons and

| Mutation, DNA     c.79G>C     c.78L>T     c.79G>C     c.303C       Mutation, protein     c.859C>T     c.130.3C>G     c.636G>A     homos       Mutation, protein     p.Asp27His     p.Arg261Cys     p.Asp27His     p.Prol       Mutation, protein     p.Asp27Trip     Splicing     p.Var254Met     F       Render     F     F     F     F     F       Age (years)     Presentation     24     15     20     16       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Difficu     cim       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Difficu     cim       Resenting symptoms     Resenting     Resenting     Resenting     cim       Resenting symptoms     Resenting     Resenting     Resenting     Resenting       Resenting symptoms     Resenting <td< th=""><th><ul> <li>&gt;T c.79G&gt;C</li> <li>C&gt;G c.760G&gt;A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>LCys p.Val254Met</li> <li>P.Val254Met</li> <li>P.Val2</li></ul></th><th>c.308C&gt;T<br/>homozygous<br/>p.Pro103Leu<br/>F<br/>16<br/>43<br/>Difficulty in</th><th>c.308C &gt; T<br/>homozygous</th><th>c.308C&gt;T</th><th>c.79G &gt; C<br/>c.907C &gt; T</th><th>c.559C &gt; T<br/>c.578T &gt; C</th><th>c.656T &gt; C</th><th>c.656T &gt; C</th><th>c.64C &gt; T</th></td<> | <ul> <li>&gt;T c.79G&gt;C</li> <li>C&gt;G c.760G&gt;A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>C/50G A</li> <li>LCys p.Val254Met</li> <li>P.Val254Met</li> <li>P.Val2</li></ul> | c.308C>T<br>homozygous<br>p.Pro103Leu<br>F<br>16<br>43<br>Difficulty in | c.308C > T<br>homozygous | c.308C>T       | c.79G > C<br>c.907C > T            | c.559C > T<br>c.578T > C   | c.656T > C           | c.656T > C       | c.64C > T        |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Construction, protein     c.859C>T     c.130-3C>G     c760G>A     homos       Mutation, protein     p.Asp27His     p.Arg261Cys     p.Asp27His     p.Prol       Gender     F     F     F     F     F       Gender     F     F     F     F     F       Gender     F     F     F     F     F       Age (years)     Presentation     24     15     20     16       Versenting symptoms     Lurrent     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Resenting symptoms     Linited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Resenting symptoms     to run.     get up     clim       Resenting symptoms     to following     from the     stalin       Resenting symptoms     to following     No     No     No       Prosinal weakness     No     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     UL     4     4     4     4       Lut     4     3     4     5     4  | C > G c.760G > A<br>ICys p.Asp27His<br>p.Val254Met<br>F<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>20<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>14<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>23   | homozygous<br>p.Pro103Leu<br>F<br>16<br>43<br>Difficulty in             | homozygous               | anonytomod     | c.907C>T                           | c 578T > C                 | V / UU/U             |                  |                  |
| Mutation, protein     p.Asp27His     p.Arg261Cys     p.Asp27His     p.Prol       Gender     F     F     F     F     F       Age (years)     Presentation     24     15     20     16       Presenting symptoms     Lurrent     48     68     28     43       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficultion       Resenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     to     Clim       Presenting symptoms     L     No     No     No     Mid       Mid     No     No     No     No     Mid       No     No     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     0.1     4     4     4     4       Proximal weakness     UL     4     3     4     4  | ICys p.Asp27His<br>p.Val254Met<br>F<br>20<br>20<br>28<br>28<br>28<br>28<br>20<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>23<br>4<br>23<br>14<br>23<br>14  | p.Pro103Leu<br>F<br>16<br>Difficulty in                                 |                          | Incline / gons |                                    |                            | C.860UG > A          | c.860G > A       | c.1000G > A      |
| Gender     F     F     F     F       Age (years)     Presentation     24     15     20     16       Current     48     68     28     43       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       resenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Resenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Current     84       Resenting symptoms     Inificuracia     to run.     gt up     clim       Resenting symptoms     No     No     No     No     Mid       Prosis     No     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     0L     4     4     4     4       Luck     4     4     3     4     4  | P. Val.23-11-tet<br>F<br>20<br>20<br>28<br>28<br>28<br>28<br>40<br>16<br>70<br>No<br>No<br>No<br>34   | F<br>16<br>Difficulty in  | p.Prol 03Leu             | p.Pro103Leu    | p.Asp27His                         | p.Gln187 <sup>a</sup>      | p.lle219Thr          | p.lle219Thr      | p.Pro22Ser       |
| Age (years)     Presentation     24     15     20     16       Presenting symptoms     Current     48     68     28     43       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Resenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       Resenting symptoms     tolerance     to run.     get up     clim       Resenting symptoms     tolerance     to run.     get up     clim       Resenting symptoms     tolerance     to run.     get up     clim       Resenting symptoms     No     No     No     No     Mid       Bulbar weakness     UL     4     4     4     4       Proximal weakness     UL     4     4     4     4   | 20<br>28<br>Unable to<br>get up<br>from the<br>floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>No<br>3 +  | 16<br>43<br>Difficulty in   | ц                        | Σ              | р. сеизозгле<br>F                  | p.lle1 73 I nr<br>F        | p.Arg26/ Gin<br>M    | p.Arg2070IN<br>M | p.Asp334Asn<br>F |
| Presenting symptoms     Current:     48     68     28     43       Presenting symptoms     Current:     48     68     28     43       Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable to     Difficu       total     total     total     Cim       foor and     total     from the     stair       foor and     total     from the     stair       Prosis     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     4+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     L     4-     3+     4+  | 28<br>28<br>Unable to<br>from the<br>floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>No<br>3 +  | 43<br>Difficulty in   |                          | 31             | 75                                 |                            | : <                  |                  |                  |
| Presenting symptoms     Limited march     Unable     Unable to     Difficu       tolerance     to run.     get up     clim       (following     from the     stair       (following     from the     stair       Ptosis     No     No     No       Bulbar weakness     No     No     No       Neck weakness     4+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     L     4-     3+     4+  | n. Unable to<br>get up<br>from the<br>floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>No<br>3 +   | Difficulty in   | 45                       | 35             | 3 4                                | 2                          | 34                   | -<br>36          | 14               |
| tolerance     to run.     get up     clim       (following     (following     from the     stain       (following     (following     from the     stain       Prosis     No     No     No     mid       Bulbar weakness     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     4+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     UL     4-     3+     4+       L     4-     3+     4+     5   | n. get up<br>from the<br>floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>No<br>3 +  | ···· /amanina   | Difficulty in            | Difficulty in  | Unable to                          | Episode of                 | Global               | Global           | Seizures.        |
| (following<br>influenza)     from the<br>influenza)     stair<br>from the<br>floor and<br>cran       Ptosis     No     No     No       Bulbar weakness     No     No     No     Mid       Neck weakness     4+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     UL     4-     3+     4+       L     4-     3+     4+     5  | from the<br>floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>A +<br>A +<br>3 +   | climbing  | climbing                 | climbing       | climb up                           | generalized                | developmental        | developmental    |                  |
| Influenzal     Influenzal     Floor and cranter       Prosis     No     No     No       Bulbar weakness     No     No     No       Neck weakness     4+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     UL     4-     3+     4+  | floor and<br>lift weight<br>No<br>A +<br>+ +<br>3 +   | stairs,   | stairs,                  | stairs,        | ramps.                             | sudden                     | delay.               | delay.           |                  |
| Prosis     No     No     Intrweights.       Bulbar weakness     No     No     No     Mid       Bulbar weakness     14+     5     4+     5       Proximal weakness     UL     4-     3+     4+   | lint weight:<br>No<br>A + +<br>3 +  | cramps.   | cramps.                  | cramps.        |                                    | weakness.                  |                      |                  |                  |
| Bulbar weaknessNoNoNoMidNeck weakness4+54+5Proximal weaknessUL444+LL4-3+43+   | A + 6   | PIIM  | ٩                        | ٩              | Mild, not                          | oZ                         | No                   | No               | No               |
| Bulbar weakness         No         No         No         Mod         Mid           Neck weakness         4+         5         4+         5         4+         5           Proximal weakness         UL         4         4         3+         4+         4+           LL         4-         3+         4         3+         3+         4+   | A 4 No  |   |                          |                | fatigable <sup>a</sup>             |                            |                      |                  |                  |
| Neck weakness         4+         5         4+         5           Proximal weakness         UL         4         4         3+         4+           LL         4-         3+         4         3   | 4 K   | Mild  | No                       | No             | No<br>No                           | No                         | No                   | No               | No               |
| Proximal weakness         UL         4         4         3+         4+           LL         4-         3+         4         3   | + +   | 5   | 5                        | 5              | ++                                 | e                          | 4                    | 4                | e                |
| LL 4- 3+ 4 3  |   | + +   | 4+                       | 5              | 4                                  | 34                         | 4-                   | 5                | ++               |
|   | 4   | ٣   | S                        | ++             | 4                                  | 2–3                        | 4 (flex), 3 (ab/ad), | 5                | 3-4              |
|   |   |   |                          |                |                                    |                            | 5- (knee)            |                  |                  |
| Distal weakness UL 5 5 5 5  | 5   | 5   | 5                        | 5              | 5                                  | ++                         | 4+                   | 5                | 5                |
| LL 5 5 5 5  | 5   | 5   | 5                        | 5              | 5                                  | ++                         | 4                    | S                | 4+               |
| Axial weakness 4 4 5 3 3  | 5   | ñ   | Э                        | 5              | 4+                                 | e                          | 5                    | 5                | 3                |
| Cognitive delay No No No No Port  | ٥   | No  | S                        | Š              | Р                                  | Рo                         | Mild                 | Moderate         | Moderate         |
| M H. W.   |   |   |                          |                | MUM                                | 10LC JUJ                   |                      |                  |                  |
| Muscle, decrement (%) <sup>-</sup> Anconeus, 42% Anconeus, 14% AUM, < 10% APB, Anconeus, 50% Nasali   | us, 14% AUM, <107<br>Anconeus, 5  | , APB, <10%<br>)% Nasalis, <10%   |                          |                | AUM, < 10%<br>Trapezius,<br>23–30% | EUC, 37%<br>Trapezius, 25% | APB, < 10%           | APB, <10%        |                  |
| Trapez  |   | Trapezius, 20%  |                          |                |                                    |                            |                      |                  |                  |
| SFEMG Abnormal Abnormal –   | al Abnormal   | I   | I                        | I              | Abnormal                           | Abnormal                   | Abnormal (subtle)    | Abnormal         | Normal           |
| M   |   |   |                          |                |                                    |                            | ~                    | (subtle)         |                  |
|   | les   | les   | les                      | I              | les                                | les                        | les                  | 02               | I                |
| CK (Normal ≰200) <sup>c</sup> 2800 418 1600 701   | 1600  | 701   | I                        | I              | 2668                               | 3000                       | 3000                 | 2832             | 2500             |
| Biopsy Muscle Quadriceps –  | Quadriceps  | I   | I                        | I              |                                    | Quadriceps                 | Tibialis anterior    | I                |                  |
| Features Dystrophic – Dystrophic –  | Dystrophic  | I   | I                        | I              | Dystrophic                         | Dystrophic                 | Dystrophic           | I                | Dystrophic       |
| Alpha-DG needs defining – Reduced –   | Reduced   | I   | I                        | 1              | Reduced                            | Reduced                    | Reduced              | 1                | Reduced          |
| in the legend   |   |   |                          |                |                                    |                            |                      |                  |                  |
| Muscle MRI – Abnormal – –   | ial –   | I   | I                        | I              | Abnormal                           | I                          | I                    | I                | I                |
| Treatment P, D, S P, S P  | Ч   | ۵   | ₽                        | 4              | P, S                               | I                          | ۵                    | I                | I                |

Table | Clinical details of cases with GMPPB mutations

 ADDI = addression usu minimity on a source minute of the source of the source of the source of the specific reference range for creatine kinase in serum depends on individual laboratories but values > 200 are in general considered abnormal. A



**Figure 2** Neurophysiological examination of Case 3 using repetitive nerve stimulation and concentric needle EMG. Repetitive nerve stimulation studies performed on right anconeus muscle showed 50% amplitude decrement (**A**) in compound muscle action potentials while there was no change in compound muscle action potential amplitude with repetitive stimulation of right abductor digiti minimi muscle (**B**). Concentric needle EMG examination of the right biceps muscle at low force of contraction showed low amplitude, polyphasic motor unit action potentials.

387 amino acids, whereas NM\_021971 has nine coding exons and 360 amino acids. The first seven coding exons of both isoforms are identical. The last eighth exon of NM\_013334 is 131 amino acids long. The middle 27 amino acids of the corresponding sequence are spliced out in NM\_021971 to produce exon 8 and exon 9. It has previously been shown that isoform NM\_021971 is expressed in the skeletal muscle and the brain at much higher levels than isoform NM\_013334 (Carss *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, we did not find any variants in the 27 amino acids specific to isoform NM\_013334 in any of the cases described below. Therefore, we use RefSeq  $NM_{021971}$  for the annotation of all variants described in this study.

We used Sanger sequencing to screen a cohort of suspected CMS cases for the presence of further possible variants in the *GMPPB* gene. We identified six further cases that had either two heterozygous mutations (Cases 2, 3 and 7) or a homozygous mutation (Cases 4, 5 and 6) in *GMPPB*. Case 2 was compound heterozygote for p.Arg261Cys (c.781C>T) and a potential splicing mutation (c.130-3C>G), Case 3 carried p.Asp27His



(c.79G>C) and p.Val254Met (c.760G>A), Case 4 had a homozygous p.Pro103Leu (c.308C>T) mutation, and Case 7 carried p.Asp27His (c.79G>C) and p.Leu303Phe (c.907C > T). Case 4 came from a consanguineous family and had two affected siblings (Cases 5 and 6) and four unaffected siblings. Segregation analysis confirmed that both affected siblings carried a homozygous (c.308C>T)p.Pro103Leu mutation, while two unaffected siblings and both parents carried only one copy of the allele (Fig. 3). Similarly the GMPPB mutations segregated with disease on all available family samples from Cases 1, 2, 3 and 7. Thus, we identified seven cases of CMS with mutations in the GMPPB gene. Three of the described mutations (p.Asp27His, p.Arg287Trp, p.Arg287Gln) are listed in the Exome Variant Server database ([Exome Variant Server, NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project (ESP), Seattle, WA (URL: http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) (Nov 2014)]). The frequency of the mutations in the general population are 0.077% (p.Asp27His), 0.008% (p.Arg287Trp), and 0.015% (p.Arg287Gln), consistent with the notion of the variants being pathogenic.

Mutations in *GMPPB* can cause muscular dystrophy-dystroglycanopathy [MDDGA14 (MIM 615350), MDDGB14 (MIM 615351), MDDGC14 (MIM 615352)] (Carss *et al.*, 2013; Raphael *et al.*, 2014). The clinical spectrum varies, ranging from a relatively mild phenotype restricted to the limb girdles, through congenital muscular dystrophy with mental retardation, to severe congenital muscular dystrophy with structural brain and eye defects. Serum creatine kinase levels are elevated and analysis of muscle biopsies from the affected individuals showed features characteristic of a muscular dystrophy and reduction in the amount of glycosylated  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan. EMG was not performed.

Using Sanger sequencing muscular dystrophy Cases 8–11 were found to have mutations in *GMPPB*. Case 8 has p.Gln187\* and p.Ile193Thr, Cases 9 and 10 have p.Ile219Thr and p.Arg287Gln, and Case 11 has p.Pro22Ser and p.Asp334Asn mutations.

Thus, we identify *GMPPB* as a new gene locus in which mutations can underlie a myasthenic syndrome. We also describe four new MDDG patients due to mutations in *GMPPB*.

### Pathogenicity of GMPPB mutations

GMPPB encodes the enzyme mannose-1-phosphate guanyltransferase beta. It is a cytoplasmic protein that catalyses the formation of GDP-mannose from mannose-1-phosphate and GTP (Ning and Elbein, 2000; Davis *et al.*, 2004). GDP-mannose is used as a building block for multiple glycosylation reactions including N- and O-linked glycosylation. Knockdown of a GMPPB orthologue in zebrafish causes structural muscle and CNS and eye defects, with reduced mobility and reduced glycosylation of  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan (Carss *et al.*, 2013).

To confirm the pathogenicity of the newly identified mutations, we performed a series of in silico and expression level studies. Mutation c.130-3C > G alters the nucleotide at the -3 position upstream of 3' splice site of intron 2 (ENSEMBL transcript ID ENST00000480687). It is located within the pyrimidine tract of the intron within a close proximity of the splice acceptor site. Splice site prediction software Human Splicing Finder v2.4.1 identified that this mutation has the potential to disrupt the wild-type splice site, altering the normal pre-mRNA splicing pattern (Desmet et al., 2009). To test this prediction, we analysed GMPPB RNA splicing using exon trapping. The exon trap vector pET01 (MoBiTec) contains two exons separated by an intron sequence containing a multiple cloning site (Fig. 4). We cloned GMPPB exons 2, 3, 4 and flanking intronic sequences into the pET01 vector, and introduced the c.130-3C > G mutation by site-directed mutagenesis. Results of the exon trap analysis are shown in Fig. 4. The plasmid carrying wild-type sequence was correctly spliced. The plasmid carrying c.130-3C>G mutation produced two products with different sizes: a larger transcript in which exon 2 was skipped, and a shorter transcript in



exon trap vector, and the exon trap vector with inserted *GMPPB* exons 2–4. (**B**) Gel electrophoresis of amplicons generated using vector-specific primers. The wild-type (WT) construct generated one transcript, whereas c.130-3C > G mutant construct generates two shorter transcripts. (**C**) Sequencing data and schematic diagrams showing aberrant splicing from the mutant construct. The nucleotide sequence around each splice site is shown.

which both exon 2 and 3 were skipped. The deletion of exon 2 would lead to the loss of the original start codon. The alternative potential translation start site could be either Met56 in exon 3 for the transcript lacking exon 2, or Met77 in exon 4 for the transcript lacking exons 2 and 3. In either case the mutant transcript would lead to the production of a truncated protein.

Human GMPPB contains an N-terminal pyrophosphorylase domain harbouring the conserved signature motif for nucleotide binding and transfer, and a putative C-terminal hexapeptide repeat domain expected to form a left-handed beta helix structure (Fig. 5). Of the six CMS-causing missense mutations reported here, two (p.Asp27His, p.Pro103Leu) reside in the N-terminal domain close to the conserved sequence motif, and hence may have a direct impact on catalysis. Both mutations were predicted to be damaging by the CADD algorithm, and one mutation (p.Asp27His) was predicted to be damaging by SIFT algorithm (Ng and Henikoff, 2001; Kircher *et al.*, 2014). The other four mutations (Val254Met, p.Arg261Cys, p.Arg287Trp, p.Leu303Phe) are found in the C-terminal domain and may impact on other non-catalytic properties of the protein (e.g. oligomerization, protein–protein interactions) that remain to be determined. These four missense mutations were predicted to be damaging by CADD and SIFT algorithms, and three of them (Val254Met, p.Arg287Trp, p.Leu303Phe) were predicted to be damaging by PolyPhen-2 software (Adzhubei *et al.*, 2010) (Supplementary Table 3).

To determine the effect of the mutations on protein expression, we cloned and expressed wild-type and mutant GMPPB in HEK293 cells. Endogenous levels of GMPPB in HEK293 cells were too low to be detected on the western blot. The construct carrying wild-type GMPPB was well expressed and easily detectable. Two of the newly found mutations caused a drastic reduction of GMPPB expression: no expression was detectable for p.V254M (found in Case 3) and p.R287W (found in Case 1) mutant constructs (Fig. 6A). Additionally, a clear reduction in expression of p.L303F (found in Case 7) was seen.



Figure 5 GMPPB domain structure and conservation. GMPPB is a 360-aa polypeptide and consists of nine exons (shown with blocks on the scheme). It has two predicted PFAM domains: nucleotidyl transferase domain and bacterial transferase hexapeptide domain (shown with yellow blocks). The scheme shows CMS-associated mutations (above GMPPB scheme), and mutations associated with muscular dystrophy (underneath GMPPB scheme). Mutations described in this paper are shown in black, whereas mutations published previously are shown in grey for comparison. Protein alignment was performed in ClustalW2.



Figure 6 Effect of different variants on GMPPB expression and localization. (A) GMPPB constructs were transfected into HEK293 cells, protein lysates were prepared 48 h after transfection and analysed by western blot using anti-GMPPB antibody. (B) GMPPB constructs were transfected into C2C12 cells. Permeabilized cells were stained with anti-GMPPB antibody. Scale bar =  $20 \mu m$ .

In addition, we analysed expression and localization of the mutant GMPPB in the mouse muscle C2C12 cell line. In the majority of transfected cells wild-type GMPPB was spread uniformly in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6B). In agreement with the western blot results, expression of p.V254M and p.R287W was drastically reduced, with only few cells expressing detectable level of protein. The cells that did express p.V254M or p.R287W displayed a punctate pattern

of GMPPB localization, suggesting that these mutations cause protein aggregation. Thus, some missense mutations in GMPPB affect expression and localization, consistent with the notion of the mutations being pathogenic. Other missense mutations not impairing expression or localization are likely to disrupt GMPPB catalytic activity or interaction with potential partners.

### Neuromuscular transmission defect in patients harbouring GMPPB mutations

The GMPPB-CMS cases described above have a clear neurotransmission defect detectable on EMG studies (Table 1 and Fig. 2). To determine whether all *GMPPB* mutations lead to defective neuromuscular transmission and whether the GMPPB-MDDG cases have myasthenic features similar to CMS patients, we performed neurophysiological analysis of GMPPB-MDDG Cases 8–11.

Case 8, with heterozygous mutations in GMPPB c.559C>T (p.Q187\*) and c.578T>C (p.I193T), showed a clear decrement on repetitive nerve stimulation. Minor defects in neuromuscular junction transmission were also observed in Cases 9 and 10 with an increase in jitter and occasional block on SFEMG, although decrement was not detected. By contrast, in Case 11 there was no evidence from EMG or SFEMG of impaired neuromuscular transmission, and no evidence of fatigable weakness on examination. These results demonstrate that some GMPPB mutations may have a clear detrimental effect on neuromuscular transmission (in the case of CMS-associated mutations, and a subset of MDDG-associated mutations), while conversely others may have no detectable effect on signal transmission at the neuromuscular junction (other MDDG patients).

### **Myopathic features of GMPPB-CMS**

Whereas a number of the CMS may show some nonspecific myopathic features on EMG or on muscle biopsy, myopathic features seem to be an integral part of the GMPPB-CMS. Analysed cases (Cases 1–5 and 7) have definitive myopathic changes on concentric needle electromyography (for example see Fig. 2). All tested cases (Cases 1–4 and 7) have elevated levels of serum creatine kinase indicating muscle damage. Cases 1 and 3 have undergone muscle biopsies and all showed dystrophic features. Case 2 and 7 have undergone a muscle MRI study and both showed selective muscle fibro-fatty replacement, as observed in muscular dystrophies (Fig. 7).

The levels of  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan glycosylation in muscle biopsies can be assessed by immunohistochemistry using IIH6 antibody, which specifically recognizes the glycosylated isoform of the protein (Michele *et al.*, 2002). This forms a part of the diagnostic procedure for suspected muscular dystrophy disorders and several GMPPB cases described



**Figure 7** Muscle MRI from Case 2. The muscle MRI study (T<sub>1</sub>-weighted sequences) showed consistent abnormalities in gluteal (**A**), thigh (**B**) and calf (**C**) muscles, with confluent areas of increased signal or end-stage appearance but relative sparing of certain muscles, especially at the distal level, in keeping with the clinical and electrophysiological findings.

in this paper underwent such examinations. All analysed cases (Cases 8, 9 and 11 for muscular dystrophy, and Cases 3 and 7 for CMS) had reduced  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan staining.

Thus, reduction in  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan glycosylation is common to both disorders associated with *GMPPB* mutations, and is likely to underlie the dystrophic features integral to both GMPPB-CMS and GMPPB-MDDG. However, in cases of GMPPB-CMS, it is likely that additional neuromuscular junction proteins are mis-glycosylated, leading to the defective synaptic transmission.

## Discussion

We identify mutations in GMPPB, an enzyme involved in glycosylation, as a new cause of CMS. GMPPB-CMS patients have hallmark myasthenic features—fluctuating

fatigable muscle weakness and decrement of compound muscle action potential on repetitive nerve stimulation. The CMS-associated GMPPB mutations that we describe are predicted to be pathogenic by several different algorithms, and several cause a distinct reduction in the expressed levels of the protein. Clinically, the GMPPB-CMS cases identified (Cases 1-7) share many of the features that are characteristic for other glycosylation-CMS subtypes DPAGT1-(ALG2-, ALG14-, and GFPT1-CMS) (Guergueltcheva et al., 2011; Cossins et al., 2013; Zoltowska et al., 2013). The age of presentation of GMPPB-CMS in adolescence or early adulthood seems later than for most CMS. Muscle weakness is predominantly limited to proximal muscle groups affecting both lower and upper limbs. In common with other CMS due to mutations affecting glycosylation, the facial, eve, and bulbar muscles are largely spared. Ptosis was only present in one of the newly-identified GMPPB-CMS cases, contrasting with many other CMS subtypes where ptosis often serves as an important clinical clue for considering CMS. As in other glycosylation-pathway CMS, affected individuals report a beneficial response to pyridostigmine or pyridostigmine plus salbutamol.

GMPPB-associated muscular dystrophy cases show a reduction in the glycosylation level of  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan (Carss et al., 2013).  $\alpha$ -Dystroglycan is an extracellular protein that is non-covalently linked to the transmembrane  $\beta$ -dystroglycan (Holt et al., 2000).  $\alpha$ -Dystroglycan interacts with several extracellular matrix components, while β-dystroglycan interacts with intracellular cytoskeleton. Thus, the dystroglycan complex provides a link between the extracellular matrix and intracellular machinery. Under normal conditions,  $\alpha$ -dystroglycan is heavily O-glycosylated and this glycosylation is essential for efficient interaction with its extracellular partners (Michele et al., 2002; Michele and Campbell, 2003). Reduction in the glycosylation level disrupts these molecular interactions and contributes to destabilization of the sarcolemma, contributing to muscle damage during contraction. GMPPB-MDDG patients have a set of characteristic dystrophic features and a variable degree of structural brain and eye abnormalities. At the severe end of the spectrum, mutations in GMPPB lead to the congenital muscular dystrophy with brain and eve abnormalities. At the mild end of the spectrum, mutations in GMPPB lead to the limb-girdle muscular dystrophy that is limited to a weakness in the proximal limb muscles.

Similar to GMPPB-MDDG, GMPPB-CMS have a set of myopathic features that are detectable on muscle biopsies, muscle MRI, concentric needle EMG, and through elevated serum creatine kinase levels. Although several CMS subtypes such as slow-channel syndrome (Chaouch *et al.*, 2012), DOK7-CMS (Müller *et al.*, 2007) and GFPT1-CMS (Guergueltcheva *et al.*, 2011) can have mildly raised creatine kinase values, this is rare (apart from in GFPT1-CMS, not seen in over 300 CMS cases analysed in Oxford), and when reported has rarely been more than two to three times normal values. All the GMPPB-CMS patients have a characteristic neuromuscular transmission defect that is detectable by repetitive nerve stimulation EMG studies on the affected group of muscles. A subset of GMPPB-MDDG patients display a neuromuscular transmission defect, whereas other GMPPB-MDDG patients do not. Thus mutations in GMPPB provide a link between myasthenic syndromes and dystroglycanopathies. A relationship between CMS and dystroglycanopathies has previously been proposed (Compton et al., 2008); however, this was for a lethal form of congenital myopathy due to mutations in CNTN1 where affected individuals died at birth or shortly afterwards. The wide spectrum of clinical features with GMPPB mutations is likely due to its ubiquitous expression, and its involvement in the glycosylation of different proteins. It is not clear at present why different mutations in the same gene can lead to the different clinical manifestations.

In summary, we identify mutations in GMPPB as a novel genetic cause of impaired signal transmission at the neuromuscular junction. We find that mutations in GMPPB can lead to overlapping phenotypes with a spectrum of different clinical outcomes. At one end of the spectrum are cases in which fatigable weakness with a characteristic neuromuscular junction transmission defect, is the major symptom, at the other end mutations in GMPPB lead to the onset of muscular dystrophy with no demonstrable effect on the neuromuscular junction. CMS due to GMPPB mutations may frequently remain undiagnosed due to lack of facial features usually associated with myasthenia, the presence of high creatine kinase levels and the restricted muscle groups that show decrement on repetitive nerve stimulation. Recognition of this condition is important as these patients respond symptomatically to appropriate medication and their quality of life can be significantly improved by appropriate treatment.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the High-Throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics (funded by Wellcome Trust grant reference 090532/Z/09/Z and MRC Hub grant G0900747 91070) for the generation of the sequencing data. We thank the cases with congenital myasthenic syndromes and their families for participating in this study and for their consent, which was obtained with ethical approval from Oxfordshire Research Ethics Committees B (04.OXB.017) and C (09/H0606/74).

## Funding

K.B is a fellow of the Wellcome Trust-funded OXION: Ion Channels and Disease Initiative. H.L. is supported by the Medical Research Council UK (reference G1002274, grant ID 98482), by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement No.

305444 (RD-Connect) and 305121 (Neuromics) and K.B is also supported under the latter two grants as well as being an NIHR Senior Investigator. F.M. acknowledges funding from the National Commissioning Group, the MRC Neuromuscular the Muscular Dystrophy Centre. Campaign coordinator, the Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH) Children's Charity and the GOSH Biomedical Research Centre. We are grateful for funding from the Medical Research Council, UK, the Muscular Dystrophy Campaign, and the Myasthenia Gravis Association.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Brain online.

### Web resources

ClustalW2, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/ Exome Variant Server, http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/ OMIM, http://www.omim.org/

### References

- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 2010; 7: 248–9.
- Belaya K, Finlayson S, Slater CR, Cossins J, Liu WW, Maxwell S, et al. Mutations in DPAGT1 cause a limb-girdle congenital myasthenic syndrome with tubular aggregates. Am J Hum Genet 2012; 91: 193–201.
- Carss KJ, Stevens E, Foley AR, Cirak S, Riemersma M, Torelli S, et al. Mutations in GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase B cause congenital and limb-girdle muscular dystrophies associated with hypoglycosylation of alpha-dystroglycan. Am J Hum Genet 2013; 93: 29–41.
- Chaouch A, Müller J, Lochmüller H. A retrospective clinical study of the treatment of slow-channel congenital myasthenic syndrome. J Neurol 2012; 259: 474.
- Compton A, Albrecht DE, Seto JT, Cooper ST, Ilkovski B, Jones KJ, et al. Mutations in contactin-1, a neural adhesion and neuromuscular junction protein, cause a familial form of lethal congenital myopathy. Am J Hum Genet 2008; 83: 714–24.
- Cossins J, Belaya K, Hicks D, Salih MA, Finlayson S, Carboni N, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndromes due to mutations in ALG2 and ALG14. Brain 2013; 136 (Pt 3): 944–56.
- Cruz PM, Palace J, Beeson D. Congenital myasthenic syndromes and the neuromuscular junction. Curr Opin Neurol 2014; 27: 566–75.
- Davis AJ, Perugini MA, Smith BJ, Stewart JD, Ilg T, Hodder AN, et al. Properties of GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase, a critical enzyme and drug target in *Leishmania mexicana*. J Biol Chem 2004; 279: 12462–8.
- Desmet FO, Hamroun D, Lalande M, Collod-Beroud G, Claustres M, Beroud C. Human splicing finder: an online bioinformatics tool to predict splicing signals. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37: e67.
- Engel AG. Current status of the congenital myasthenic syndromes. Neuromuscular Disord 2012; 22: 99–111.

- Gehle VM, Sumikawa K. Site-directed mutagenesis of the conserved N-glycosylation site on the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1991; 11: 17–25.
- Gehle VM, Walcott EC, Nishizaki T, Sumikawa K. N-glycosylation at the conserved sites ensures the expression of properly folded functional ACh receptors. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1997; 45: 219–29.
- Genomes Project C, Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 2010; 467: 1061–73.
- Guergueltcheva V, Muller JS, Dusl M, Senderek J, Oldfors A, Lindbergh C, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndrome with tubular aggregates caused by GFPT1 mutations. J Neurol 2011; 259; 5: 838–50.
- Holt KH, Crosbie RH, Venzke DP, Campbell KP. Biosynthesis of dystroglycan: processing of a precursor propeptide. FEBS Lett 2000; 468: 79-83.
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet 2014; 46: 310–15.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 2009; 25: 2078–9.
- Michele DE, Barresi R, Kanagawa M, Saito F, Cohn RD, Satz JS, et al. Post-translational disruption of dystroglycan-ligand interactions in congenital muscular dystrophies. Nature 2002; 418: 417–22.
- Michele DE, Campbell KP. Dystrophin-glycoprotein complex: posttranslational processing and dystroglycan function. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 15457–60.
- Müller J, Herczegfalvi A, Vilchez J, Colomer J, Bachinski L, Mihaylova V, et al. Phenotypical spectrum of DOK7 mutations in congenital myasthenic syndromes. Brain 2007; 130: 1497–506.
- Ng PC, Henikoff S. Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. Genome Res 2001; 11: 863–74.
- Ning B, Elbein AD. Cloning, expression and characterization of the pig liver GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase. Evidence that GDPmannose and GDP-Glc pyrophosphorylases are different proteins. Eur J Biochem/FEBS 2000; 267: 6866–74.
- Nomoto H, Takahashi N, Nagaki Y, Endo S, Arata Y, Hayashi K. Carbohydrate structures of acetylcholine receptor from Torpedo californica and distribution of oligosaccharides among the subunits. Eur J Biochem/FEBS 1986; 157: 233–42.
- Raphael AR, Couthouis J, Sakamuri S, Siskind C, Vogel H, Day JW, et al. Congenital muscular dystrophy and generalized epilepsy caused by GMPPB mutations. Brain Res 2014; 1575: 66–71.
- Selcen D, Shen XM, Brengman J, Li Y, Stans AA, Wieben E, et al. DPAGT1 myasthenia and myopathy: genetic, phenotypic, and expression studies. Neurology 2014; 82: 1822–30.
- Senderek J, Muller JS, Dusl M, Strom TM, Guergueltcheva V, Diepolder I, et al. Hexosamine biosynthetic pathway mutations cause neuromuscular transmission defect. Am J Hum Genet 2011; 88: 162–72.
- Wanamaker CP, Christianson JC, Green WN. Regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor assembly. Ann NY Acad Sci 2003; 998: 66– 80.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38: e164.
- Zoltowska K, Webster R, Finlayson S, Maxwell S, Cossins J, Muller J, et al. Mutations in GFPT1 that underlie limb-girdle congenital myasthenic syndrome result in reduced cell-surface expression of muscle AChR. Hum Mol Genet 2013; 22: 2905–13.