

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Transl Perioper Pain Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 11.

Published in final edited form as:

Transl Perioper Pain Med. 2019; 6(4): 98-103. doi:10.31480/2330-4871/095.

## Water Soluble G-protein Coupled Receptor Enabled Biosensors

## Renyu Liu, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, A. T. Charlie Johnson, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Jeffery G. Saven, PhD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, USA

## Abstract

This article will briefly overview our efforts in the engineering of water soluble variants of a Gprotein coupled receptor (GPCR) and its novel applications to develop biosensors using such water soluble variants of GPCR. While the technologies using water soluble GPCR are still under development, they offer new tools and strategies to study the function of GPCR, explore potential new compounds for potential clinical usage, and monitor endogenous peptides in various physiological and pathological conditions.

This article will briefly overview our efforts in the engineering of water soluble variants of a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR). GPCR is a category of proteins that govern many physiological and pathological processes in the human body. A GPCR consists of 7 helical domains spanning across a membrane. It can be activated with agonists and blocked with antagonists. It is estimated that about 35% of all the medications approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States and by European regulatory agencies have modes of action that involve GPCRs. This represents over 700 medications targeting various diseases and medical conditions [1,2]. Many cutting edge technologies have been developed to study GPCRs to reveal their signaling pathways and to discover new medications for clinical use. Such approaches include high resolution structural analysis for binding site analysis and drug design, receptor engineering, cheminformatics, virtual screening, high-fidelity screening, and many other molecular pharmacology methodologies [3–6].

Many medications used in perioperative and pain medicine target GPCRs. These include beta-adrenergic receptors (epinephrine, a medication used in various situation to treat shock status, anaphylaxis, asthmatic attack etc.), dopamine receptors (dopamine, a medication to treat hypotension), vasopressin receptors (vasopressin, a medication to treat various hypotensive shock), and opioid receptors (morphine, a medication to treat severe acute and chronic pain) as well as many others. Opioid receptors are a group of receptors with high structural similarity to other GPCR family members. Despite the problems of addiction,

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Corresponding Author:** Renyu Liu, MD, PhD, Associate professor, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 336 John Morgan Building, 3620 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA, RenYu.Liu@pennmedicine.upenn.edu.

diversion, and many serious side effects, the therapeutic use of opioids continues to play a major role in medicine, especially during the perioperative period for acute pain treatment and in pain medicine for chronic pain management [7–9]. As a result of this and other factors, the amount of opioid consumption has soared in the United States and many other countries [9–12].

Complications and adverse effects from opioid administration fall within two broad categories: non-life threatening (nausea, vomiting, pruritus, constipation, muscle rigidity, and cognitive impairment, etc) and life threatening (addiction and respiratory depression) [8,13,14]. Many challenges confront scientists, clinicians, lawmakers, and politicians to curb the epidemic of opioid related deaths and injuries [15]. It is thought that both the analgesic and side effects are transduced through the mu opioid receptor (MOR) [13,16]. Therefore, the MOR, a typical GPCR, has been a hot target for investigation. The information derived from this specific receptor can potentially be applicable to other GPCRs. Our multi-disciplinary team has been working on developing novel approaches to study ligand and receptor interactions with a specific focus on MOR [4,6,17–20].

Realizing the inherent difficulty of studying structure and function relationships of membrane bound proteins due to technilogical issues we developed variants of membrane proteins that include a water soluble MOR (wsMOR). These variants can be isolated in large quantities from *E. coli* over-expression systems and be suitable for a wide variety of highresolution biophysical and drug discovery studies [6,19,21–23]. We initiated this work using homology modeling when the crystal structures of opioid receptors were not yet available, and then modified the variants with the best crystal structure information when it became available. Figure 1 indicates our work flow for the receptor engineering process of designing water soluble variants. The final product of the engineering process may result in one or more sequences for the variants. We simulate 3-dimensional structure models to assess stability in a water environment. The molecular dynamics simulation shown in Figure 2A, indicates that one of our engineered wsMOR-TM sequences remains stable in a water environment after 75 ns simulation. The sequence is then encoded for protien expression in E. coli and then purified to demonstrate whether the product is suitable for ligand interaction explorations. Figure 2B shows our purification process for one of the variants we have designed. Based on the outcome of the expression and characterization, we may return to the engineering process to modify the mutations and obtain new vairiants to test new hypotheses. Figure 3 shows one of our variants, named as wsMOR-G, that we are characterizing in an attempt to demonstrate that the receptor can tolerate additional mutations on the surface of the protein. This variant can be over-expressed and purified from E. coli. Our prelimianary data indicates that its affinity (dissociation constant) with morphine is around  $K_D = 3.5$  nM.

In an attempt to demonstrate that the approach we used to engineer wsMOR is expandable to other GPCRs, we are using adregergic receptor as another target for a feasibility test. We will report these results in other occasions. These efforts cleary indicated that our water solubilization approach can potentially be used with other GPCRs for structure function studies.

Transl Perioper Pain Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 11.

## Graphene Enabled Biosensors Using wsMOR

Since there are no membranes or membrane surrogates required, it is possible to use the wsMOR for direct opioid interaction and activation monitoring purposes using biosensor enabled technologies. Using these advances in obtaining functional forms of wsMOR, we were able to manipulate the receptor outside of a biomembrane and develope a novel, all-electronic biosensor for opioids. It consists of wsMOR chemically bonded to a graphene field-effect transistor to read out ligand binding as indicated in Figure 5.

A shadow mask process was developed to fabricate arrays of hundreds of graphene transistors with average mobility of ~1500 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and yield exceeding 98%. We have demonstrated that the biosensor exhibits high sensitivity and selectivity for opioid ligands, including small molecules and large endorphin peptides with a detection limit of 10 pg/mL [18,19]. This opens the novel possibility of monitoring opioid and opioid interactions using electronic readouts in a real time manner. Theoretically it is also possible to monitor G protein interactions with the receptor using this system. We are devoting our effects to reveal how the receptor interacts with G proteins to elucidate factors that may affect G protein assocation and dissociation.

## Surface Plasma Resonance (SPR) Enabled Biosensors Using wsMOR

We are also developing a surface plasmon based sensor platform to immobolize the engineered wsMOR for the purpose of thermodynamic analsyis of opioid-MOR interactions. SPR enabled biosensors have been the classic method for investigating ligand protein interactions in a lipid and lable free system. However, immobilizing a membrane protein such as a GPCR, onto an SPR biosensor has been very challenging. Our wsMORs made immobolization of the receptor onto the sensor much simpler. Figure 5a demonstrates the key components of system. As long as the receptor is successfully immobolized on the sensorchip, the interacton of the ligand with the receptor can be detected for the purpose of calculating both association and dissociation constants. As noted in the figure, the interaction of the receptor with G protien can also be monitored. Such interactions can be explored in the presence or absence of opioid ligand. Figure 5b shows typical concentration dependent SPR signals of morphine interaction. Our preliminary data indicates the K<sub>D</sub> for morphine for the above mentioned wsMOR-G is around 3 nM.

In conclusion, varaints of wsMOR offer valuable tools for exploring ligand and G protein interactions with the receptor in a lipid and labeling free system.

## Funding Statement

R.L. acknowledges NIH Grant R01 (1R01GM111421). J.G.S. acknowledges additional support from the Penn Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter (NSF DMR-1720530) and NSF CHE 1709518. Peptide modelling and design employed the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by NSF grant no. ACI-1053575, under grant no. TG-CHE110041. J.P. and A.T.C.J. acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through grant EFRI 2-DARE 1542879.

## References

- Hauser AS, Attwood MM, Rask-Andersen M, Schioth HB & Gloriam DE Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. Nat Rev Drug Discov 16, 829–842, doi:10.1038/nrd. 2017.178 (2017). [PubMed: 29075003]
- Sriram K & Insel PA G Protein-Coupled Receptors as Targets for Approved Drugs: How Many Targets and How Many Drugs? Mol Pharmacol 93, 251–258, doi:10.1124/mol.117.111062 (2018). [PubMed: 29298813]
- Basith S et al. Exploring G Protein-Coupled Receptors (GPCRs) Ligand Space via Cheminformatics Approaches: Impact on Rational Drug Design. Front Pharmacol 9, 128, doi:10.3389/fphar. 2018.00128 (2018). [PubMed: 29593527]
- Cui X, Yeliseev A & Liu R Ligand interaction, binding site and G protein activation of the mu opioid receptor. Eur J Pharmacol 702, 309–315, doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.01.060 (2013). [PubMed: 23415745]
- 5. Manglik A et al. Crystal structure of the micro-opioid receptor bound to a morphinan antagonist. Nature 485, 321–326, doi:10.1038/nature10954 (2012). [PubMed: 22437502]
- Perez-Aguilar JM et al. A computationally designed water-soluble variant of a G-protein-coupled receptor: the human mu opioid receptor. PLoS One 8, e66009, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066009 (2013). [PubMed: 23799068]
- 7. Benyamin R et al. Opioid complications and side effects. Pain physician 11, S105–120 (2008). [PubMed: 18443635]
- Smith HS Opioid-related issues "popping" up again. Pain physician 11(2 Suppl), S1–4 (2008). [PubMed: 18443634]
- Manchikanti L & Singh A Therapeutic opioids: a ten-year perspective on the complexities and complications of the escalating use, abuse, and nonmedical use of opioids. Pain physician 11, S63– 88 (2008). [PubMed: 18443641]
- Kuehn BM Opioid prescriptions soar: increase in legitimate use as well as abuse. Jama 297, 249– 251 (2007). [PubMed: 17227967]
- 11. Eisenberg E & Adler R Consumption of opioids in a hospital setting--what can we learn from a 10 year follow-up? Isr Med Assoc J 6, 19–23 (2004). [PubMed: 14740504]
- Giraudon I, Lowitz K, Dargan PI, Wood DM & Dart RC Prescription Opioid Abuse in the United Kingdom. Br J Clin Pharmacol, doi:10.1111/bcp.12133 (2013).
- 13. Zollner C & Stein C Opioids. Handb Exp Pharmacol, 31-63 (2007). [PubMed: 17087119]
- Baca C & Grant K Mortality from opioid analgesics must not be ignored. Pain 128, 288; author reply 288–289 (2007). [PubMed: 17182184]
- 15. Kuehn BM Efforts aim to curb opioid deaths, injuries. Jama 301, 1213–1215 (2009). [PubMed: 19318643]
- Goodsell DS The molecular perspective: morphine. Stem Cells 23, 144–145 (2005). [PubMed: 15625131]
- Goldsmith BR et al. Biomimetic chemical sensors using nanoelectronic readout of olfactory receptor proteins. ACS Nano 5, 5408–5416, doi:10.1021/nn200489j (2011). [PubMed: 21696137]
- Lerner MB et al. Scalable production of highly sensitive nanosensors based on graphene functionalized with a designed G protein-coupled receptor. Nano Lett 14, 2709–2714, doi:10.1021/ nl5006349 (2014). [PubMed: 24742304]
- Ping J et al. All-Electronic Quantification of Neuropeptide-Receptor Interaction Using a Bias-Free Functionalized Graphene Microelectrode. ACS Nano 12, 4218–4223, doi:10.1021/acsnano. 7b07474 (2018). [PubMed: 29634231]
- Ping J, Xi J, Saven JG, Liu R & Johnson ATC Quantifying the effect of ionic screening with protein-decorated graphene transistors. Biosens Bioelectron 89, 689–692, doi:10.1016/j.bios. 2015.11.052 (2017). [PubMed: 26626969]
- Slovic AM, Kono H, Lear JD, Saven JG & DeGrado WF Computational design of water-soluble analogues of the potassium channel KcsA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 1828–1833 (2004). [PubMed: 14766985]

Transl Perioper Pain Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 11.

- Cochran FV et al. Computational de novo design and characterization of a four-helix bundle protein that selectively binds a nonbiological cofactor. J Am Chem Soc 127, 1346–1347 (2005). [PubMed: 15686346]
- Kang SG & Saven JG Computational protein design: structure, function and combinatorial diversity. Curr Opin Chem Biol 11, 329–334 (2007). [PubMed: 17524729]



#### Figure 1:

The work-flow of the water-soluble G-protein coupled receptor engineering process. From A for sequence alignment if there is no available crystal structure, to B for a homology modeling. If the crystal structure is readily available, the process could start from here to identify solvent exposed residues on the surface of the transmembrane domain. After the eligible hydrophobic residues are identified, we will move to the step D for the initial computational design, then move to structural analysis and molecular dynamics simulation (MD) to ensure the engineered protein could stay in aqua solution without significant disturbance of the structure. The product of the engineering will be one or a set of sequences that can be tested in expression system. Image courtesy of Prof. Jose Manuel Perez-Aguilar.

Transl Perioper Pain Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 11.



### Figure 2:

2A indicates one of our engineered water soluble variants opioid receptor undergoing molecular dynamics simulation evaluations to see whether it could remain structurally stable in a water environment. 2B, a Coomasie stained polyacrylamide electrophoresis gel, shows how one of the engineered variants of water soluble human mu opioid receptor can be expressed and purified. Lane 12 is the crude material from *E. Coli*, lanes 1–11 demonstrate various attempts at purifying the protein. Lane 13 is the marker of the gel.



#### Figure 3:

The sequence comparison of a water-soluble variant of human mu opioid receptor (MOR), named as wsMOR-G. with the sequences of native mouse and human MOR. Some of the well conserved residues among them are colored in blue or red. Image courtesy of Prof. Jose Manuel Perez-Aguilar.





#### Figure 4:

Illustration of the graphene enabled biosensor system for ligand and G protein interactions with mu opioid receptor (MOR). Morphine was shown as an example of MOR full agonist. Water soluble MOR (wsMOR) was immobilized on the surface of a thin layer of graphene, the faradaic current may change in the presence of the different concentrations of morphine or G protein. Based on the changes of the faradaic current changes, the affinity of morphine or the G protein with the receptor (wsMOR) can be derived in a very sensitive manner. Image courtesy of Prof. Jinglei Ping.



#### Figure 5:

Illustration of the Surface Plasmon resonance enabled biosensor system for ligand and G protein interactions with mu opioid receptor (MOR). Water soluble MOR was immobilized on the surface of a sensor chip for the surface Plasmon resonance. A model opioid molecule and the G protein model were shown in the image for potential interactions (Figure 5A). Characteristic response can be detected with the detector as show in Figure 5B for a sample response output from various concentrations of morphine.