

Technical issues in ultrasound-guided ethanol ablation for thyroid lesions

ULTRA SONO GRAPHY

So Yeong Jeong, Jung Hwan Baek

Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

We read with great interest the article published by Cho et al. entitled "Ultrasound-guided ethanol ablation for cystic thyroid nodules: effectiveness of small amounts of ethanol in a single session" [1]. In this article, the authors evaluated whether low-dose (less than 5 mL) ethanol injections were effective for treating cystic and predominantly cystic thyroid nodules. All patients were treated by single-session ethanol ablation (EA).

The authors used a low-dose technique and achieved excellent treatment results with a low complication rate. The methodology of this study is sound and followed the recommendations of the 2018 Korean EA guideline [2]. Their data are important to support future revised EA guidelines; however, there are several technical issues that need to be considered. First, the terminology of "low-dose" (less than 5 mL of ethanol) is vague. The authors used various amounts of ethanol, ranging from 1 to 5 mL. Given the wide range of included thyroid lesions (range, 4.4 to 77.2 mL), a future validation study should be conducted to provide clear guidance on the precise amount of ethanol that should be used. Considering the very low complication rate of EA, even when a dose of more than 5 mL is used, the advantage of low-dose EA is questionable. We would therefore ask, what is the advantage of a low dose in real-world practice?

Second, the results of this study [1] showed that volume reductions after EA were much lower in predominantly cystic nodules than in cystic lesions (65.1% vs. 90.1%). However, in previous studies, EA achieved somewhat higher volume reduction rates for predominantly cystic nodules (74.9%–82.4%) [3]. Therefore, the use of a low dose of ethanol could be related to insufficient volume reduction. Moreover, the authors performed complete aspiration of the injected ethanol, whereas Park et al. [4] reported a higher therapeutic success rate in a retention group than in an aspiration group (82.5% vs. 68.8%), especially in predominantly cystic nodules. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct further studies to determine the appropriate amount of ethanol and to compare the two EA techniques (ethanol aspiration and ethanol retention) for predominantly cystic thyroid nodules. Furthermore, the ethanol retention times in the study varied substantially (range, 0.9 to 13.0 minutes) [1], which was an inevitable limitation of its retrospective design.

Finally, the follow-up period in this study was rather short (mean, 10.5 months) with considerable variation (1–66 months). Suh et al. [5] suggested that the recurrence rate after EA is much higher with a longer follow-up period. Therefore, long-term results are necessary to justify the conclusions of this study.

In conclusion, Cho et al. [1] reported a low-dose ethanol method for the treatment of symptomatic cystic and predominantly cystic thyroid nodules. Although the results were acceptable, the use of a low dose in patients with predominantly cystic thyroid nodules should be carefully considered.

ORCID: So Yeong Jeong: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4705-0008; Jung Hwan Baek: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0480-4754

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.14366/usg.21012 pISSN: 2288-5919 • eISSN: 2288-5943 Ultrasonography 2021;40:621-622

Received: January 17, 2021 Revised: January 17, 2021 Accepted: April 13, 2021

Correspondence to:

Jung Hwan Baek, MD, PhD, Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Korea

Tel. +82-2-3010-4348 Fax. +82-2-476-4719 E-mail: radbaek@naver.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2021 Korean Society of Ultrasound in Medicine (KSUM)



How to cite this article:

Jeong SY, Baek JH. Technical issues in ultrasound-guided ethanol ablation for thyroid lesions. Ultrasonography. 2021 Oct;40(4):621-622.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

- 1. Cho W, Sim JS, Jung SL. Ultrasound-guided ethanol ablation for cystic thyroid nodules: effectiveness of small amounts of ethanol in a single session. Ultrasonography 2021;40:417-427.
- Hahn SY, Shin JH, Na DG, Ha EJ, Ahn HS, Lim HK, et al. Ethanol ablation of the thyroid nodules: 2018 consensus statement by the Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology. Korean J Radiol 2019;20:609-620.
- Baek JH, Ha EJ, Choi YJ, Sung JY, Kim JK, Shong YK. Radiofrequency versus ethanol ablation for treating predominantly cystic thyroid nodules: a randomized clinical trial. Korean J Radiol 2015;16:1332-1340.
- 4. Park HS, Yim Y, Baek JH, Choi YJ, Shong YK, Lee JH. Ethanol ablation as a treatment strategy for benign cystic thyroid nodules: a comparison of the ethanol retention and aspiration techniques. Ultrasonography 2019;38:166-171.
- 5. Suh CH, Baek JH, Ha EJ, Choi YJ, Lee JH, Kim JK, et al. Ethanol ablation of predominantly cystic thyroid nodules: evaluation of recurrence rate and factors related to recurrence. Clin Radiol 2015;70:42-47.