Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome: The Sound of a Mouse Roaring

Joel M. Montgomery,^{1,2} Thomas G. Ksiazek,¹ and Ali S. Khan¹

¹Coordinating Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, Georgia; ²Naval Medical Research Center Detachment, Lima, Peru

(See the article by Ferrés et al., on pages 1563-71.)

In the spring of 1993, a mouse roared. It was an unusual sound that took months to be registered by astute clinicians and the public health system [1]. Today, hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS) is widely recognized as a distinctive clinical entity; it is associated with a precipitous cardiorespiratory decomposition, thrombocytopenia, and atypical lymphocytes on a peripheral blood smear and is transmitted by rodents throughout the Americas [2]. The deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) and Sin Nombre virus were quickly identified as the primary reservoir and etiological agent of disease, respectively, in the originally recognized outbreak in the southwestern United States [3] and, subsequently, in most of North America.

The initial recognition of HPS in the United States hinged on the combined employment of some classically trained virologists and the relatively new skills of molecular biologists at the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)/ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Department of Defense (DoD) laboratories who had studied and refined diagnostic tests and treatments for hantaviruses of little relevance to the United States associated with Korean hemorrhagic fever and other hemorrhagic fevers with renal syndrome in Eurasia [4]. Their pioneering work led to a reclassification of hantaviruses into 2 distinct groups: (1) Old World hantaviruses, which are associated with renal syndrome, and (2) New World hantaviruses, which typically cause cardiopulmonary disease. The initial recognition in North America rapidly led to the description of numerous newly recognized viruses-in excess of 30 species-found throughout the Americas. New World hantaviruses are associated with a plethora of rodent host species in the sigmodontine subfamily, each with a unique rodent-virus species pairing. These viruses cause a spectrum of clinical illnesses designated as "new hantavirusassociated American hemorrhagic fever" and are thought to represent a coevolutionary relationship between New World rodents and their viruses [2, 3, 5, 6].

The recognition of HPS coincided with an Institute of Medicine report on emerging infections that warned about complacency toward infectious diseases in the era of improved sanitation and immunizations [7]. Similar international recognition of these microbial threats led to a global response that was accelerated after an anthrax bioterrorism incident in the United States and that served the world well for the response to severe acute respiratory syndrome [8].

Andes virus (ANDV)-associated HPS in Argentina and Chile has evidenced a unique predilection for limited person-toperson transmission. To date, there have been at least 6 reports supported by epidemiological and/or molecular data suggesting a potential for person-to-person transmission of ANDV [9-14]. The latest is the elegant study by Ferrés et al. [14] presented in this issue of the Journal; it prospectively followed 421 household contacts of patients with laboratory-confirmed ANDV infection to test the hypothesis that ANDV retains the ability to be transmitted from person to person. The authors determined that sex partners of patients with laboratory-confirmed cases were at the greatest risk of infection, with an estimated secondary attack rate of 2.5% and detectable viremia 5-15 days before the onset of symptoms. The biological basis for person-to-person transmission of ANDV requires definitive characterization; however, ANDV is the only hantavirus that has been isolated from human serum and that consistently kills Syrian hamsters [15]. This evidence suggests that

Received 9 February 2007; accepted 12 February 2007; electronically published 16 April 2007.

Potential conflicts of interest: none reported.

The findings and conclusions in this editorial are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Ali S. Khan, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Mailstop A-26, 1600 Clifton Rd., Atlanta, GA 30333 (ask0@cdc.gov).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2007;195:1553–5 This article is in the public domain, and no copyright is claimed. 0022-1899/2007/19511-0001 DOI: 10.1086/516793

infection with ANDV results in a higher viral load [16] and perhaps that humans are a much more permissive host for this particular strain than for other hantaviruses. The data suggest that person-toperson transmission is the result of direct contact during the preclinical phase of infection (which is consistent with the timing of viremia) and that the disease is most likely to have an incubation period of 2-4 weeks and to occur after contact with subsequently severely ill patients. It also explains the paucity of nosocomial transmission, because contact with health care workers is likely to occur much later, during the clinical phase of infection.

We are becoming ever more cognizant that the vast majority of emerging pathogens have zoonotic origins [17]. Through limited person-to-person transmission events, some of these pathogens may be able to establish themselves in a new permissive host or vector. HIV, influenza virus, measles virus, Plasmodium falciparum, and smallpox virus-all pathogens hypothesized to be of zoonotic origin-are but a few examples of human pathogens arising from evolutionary events across millennia. [18-22]. Human dengue and urban yellow fever may be examples of a new reservoir allowing sustained personto-person transmission [23]. Limited person-to-person transmission also characterizes the current panzoonotic H5N1 strain of influenza virus, for which there has been intense scrutiny of the biological mechanism that enables human transmission.

Global pandemic influenza preparedness illustrates a unique challenge for the public health system: responding to diseases that result from the intersection of animals, humans, and the environment. Success will require coordination between the animal and human health sectors [24, 25]. The ongoing H5N1 epizootic, the Rift Valley fever outbreaks in eastern Africa, and the monkeypox outbreak in the United States have illustrated that need. This is an area that has been woefully neglected to date. As the world's population continues to grow, interactions between humans and novel pathogens are likely to increase, resulting in greater numbers of emerging and reemerging diseases [26]. To help understand the ecologies of infectious diseases, the DHHS/CDC, in cooperation with the World Health Organization (WHO) and numerous ministries of health, is currently establishing Global Disease Detection (GDD) centers in 5 of the 6 WHO regions across the globe. These GDD centers will work in concert with the DoD-Global Emerging Infections Surveillance (GEIS) centers as partners within the WHO-Global Outbreak and Alert Response Network (GOARN). The interactions between the DHHS/CDC-GDD centers, the DoD-GEIS centers, and WHO-GOARN will undoubtedly enhance global disease surveillance and host country capacity, reducing the overall time from outbreak recognition to response and disease prevention.

These efforts need to be reinforced with coordinated surveillance and rapid-response activities through interactions between ministries of health, US government agencies (DHHS, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of State, and the DoD), United Nations agencies (WHO and the Food and Agriculture Organization), the World Organization for Animal Health, and academia and other nongovernmental organizations (e.g., Médecins sans Frontières). We also need increasing emphasis on building local capacities and conducting integrative studies across the animal, human, and environmental domains; on discovery research; and on strategic partnerships. Recognizing and preventing the next pandemic-be it of H5N1 influenza, an efficient human-tohuman hantavirus, or an as-yet unidentified pathogen-can be achieved only through strategic animal/human health partnerships and enhanced global disease surveillance.

References

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreak of acute illness—southwestern United States, 1993. MMWR 1993; 42:421–4.

- Peters CJ, Khan AS. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome: the new American hemorrhagic fever. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:1224–31.
- Nichol ST, Spiropoulou CF, Morzunov S, et al. Genetic identification of a hantavirus associated with an outbreak of acute respiratory illness. Science 1993; 262:914–7.
- LeDuc JW. Epidemiology of hemorrhagic fever viruses. Rev Infect Dis 1989; 11(Suppl 4): S730–5.
- Calisher CH, Mills JN, Root JJ, Beaty BJ. Hantaviruses: etiologic agents of rare, but potentially life-threatening zoonotic diseases. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2003; 222:163–6.
- Schmaljohn CS, Hjelle B. Hantaviruses: A global disease problem. Emerg Infect Dis 1997; 3:95–104.
- Institute of Medicine. Emerging infections: microbial threats to health in the United States. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1992.
- Ksiazek TG, Erdman D, Goldsmith CS, et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:1953–66.
- Wells RM, Sosa Estani S, Yadon ZE, et al. An unusual hantavirus outbreak in southern Argentina: person-to-person transmission? Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome Study Group for Patagonia. Emerg Infect Dis 1997; 3:171–4.
- Toro J, Vega JD, Khan AS, et al. An outbreak of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, Chile, 1997. Emerg Infect Dis 1998; 4:687–94.
- Padula PJ, Edelstein A, Miguel SD, López NM, Rossi CM, Rabinovich RD. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome outbreak in Argentina: molecular evidence of person-to-person transmission of Andes virus. Virology **1998**; 241: 323–30.
- 12. Martinez VP, Bellomo C, Juan JS, et al. Personto-person transmission of Andes virus. Emerg Infect Dis **2005**; 11:1848–53.
- Lázaro ME, Cantoni GE, Calanni LM, et al. Clusters of hantavirus infection, southern Argentina. Emerg Infect Dis 2007; 13:104–10.
- 14. Ferrés M, Vial P, Marco C, et al. Prospective evaluation of household contacts of persons with hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome in Chile. J Infect Dis **2007**; 195:1563–71 (in this issue).
- Hooper JW, Larsen T, Custer DM, Schmaljohn CS. A lethal disease model for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. Virology 2001; 289:6–14.
- Galeno H, Mora J, Villagra E, et al. First human isolate of hantavirus (Andes virus) in the Americas. Emerg Infect Dis 2002; 8:657–61.
- Taylor LH, Lathan SM, Woolhouse ME. Risk factors for human disease emergence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2001; 356:983–9.
- Hahn BH, Shaw GM, De Cock KM, Sharp PM. AIDS as a zoonosis: scientific and public health implications. Science 2000; 287:607–14.
- Taubenberger JK, Reid AH, Lourens RM, Wang R, Jin G, Fanning TG. Characterization of the 1918 influenza virus polymerase genes. Nature 2005; 437:889–93.
- 20. Rich SM, Ayala FJ. Progress in malaria re-

search: the case for phylogenetics. Adv Parasitol 2003; 54:255-80.

- 21. Esposito JJ, Sammons SA, Frace AM, et al. Genome sequence diversity and clues to the evolution of variola (smallpox) virus. Science **2006**; 313:807–12.
- 22. Pearce-Duvet JM.The origin of human pathogens: evaluating the role of agriculture and

domestic animals in the evolution of human disease. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc **2006**;81: 369–82.

- Weaver SC. Host range, amplification and arboviral disease emergence. Arch Virol Suppl 2005; 19:33–44.
- 24. Institute of Medicine. Microbial threats to health: emergence, detection, and response.

Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2003.

- Kuiken T, Leighton FA, Fouchier RA, et al. Public health: pathogen surveillance in animals. Science 2005; 309:1680–1.
- Chomel BB, Belotto A, Meslin F-X. Wildlife, exotic pets, and emerging zoonoses. Emerg Infect Dis 2007; 13:6–11.