# Changing trends of chronic myeloid leukemia in greater Mumbai, India over a period of 30 years

Rajesh P. Dikshit, Rajini Nagrani, Balkrishna Yeole<sup>1</sup>, Shravani Koyande<sup>1</sup>, Shripad Banawali<sup>2</sup>

Departments of Epidemiology and <sup>2</sup>Medical Oncology, Tata Memorial Hospital, <sup>1</sup>Population Based Cancer Registry, Indian Cancer Society, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Rajesh P. Dikshit, Dr. E. Borges Marg, Annex Building 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Tata Memorial Hospital, Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India E-mail: dikshitrp@tmc.gov.in

# ABSTRACT

Background: Little is known about burden of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) in India. There is a recent interest to observe incidence and mortality because of advent of new diagnostic and treatment policies for CML. Materials and Methods: We extracted data from the oldest population-based cancer registry of Mumbai for 30 years period from 1976 - 2005 to observe incidence and mortality rates of CML. We classified the data into four age groups 0-14, 15-29, 30-54 and 55-74 to observe incidence rates in the respective age groups. Results: The age specific rates were highest for the age group of 55 - 74 years. No significant change in trends of CML was observed for 30 years period. However, there was a significant reduction in incidence rate for recent 15-years period (Estimated average annual percentage change = -3.9). No significant reduction in mortality rate was observed till 2005. Conclusion: The study demonstrates that age-specific rates for CML are highest in age group of 55-74 years, although they are lower compared to western populations. Significant reduction in incidence of CML in recent periods might be because of reduced misclassification of leukemias. The data of CML has to be observed for another decade to witness reduction in mortality because of changes in treatment management.

Key words: Chronic myeloid leukemia, estimated annual percentage change, imatinib

#### INTRODUCTION

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a relatively rare disease with a worldwide annual incidence rate ranging from less than 1.0 to 2.0 per 100,000 persons. CML represents 14% of all cases of leukemia (Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, 2004). About 3% of childhood leukemias are CML, and 10% of cases of CML occur in children aged 5–20 years.<sup>[1]</sup> Highest rates were reported for Switzerland, USA, Italy, Australia, Germany, and UK. Lower rates were reported for Netherlands, Sweden, China, and India. [2-4] It is slightly more common in males than in females. In general, the male predominance has been estimated to be 1.3-1.4 to 1.<sup>[5]</sup> Most of the CML cases arise from an acquired genetic abnormality, which is a result of translocation between chromosome 22 and chromosome 9, in a bone marrow stem cell, known as the Philadelphia chromosome.<sup>[1]</sup> This translocation results when the Abelson (ABL) gene from

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website:
www.ijmpo.org

DOI:
10.4103/0971-5851.89792

chromosome 9 merges with the breakpoint cluster region (BCR) gene on chromosome 22, resulting in a BCR-ABL fusion gene on 22q11 that encodes for uncontrolled tyrosine kinase activity (Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, 2005).

The other important risk factors for CML are high doses of ionizing radiation and occupational exposure to benzene. This is evident from 20–25 fold increase in the incidence of all the leukemias among atomic bomb survivors. <sup>[6,7]</sup> Limited studies are reported to discuss other risk factors for CML. Alcohol, <sup>[8]</sup> obesity, and adulthood weight gain <sup>[9]</sup> are reported to play important roles in CML risk.

Diagnostic testing plays a key role in the management of CML. Diagnosis of CML has been rapidly changing over the years with sensitive diagnostic tests. Earlier, diagnosis only included complete blood count (CBC), comprising differential and platelet count, marrow aspiration, and marrow biopsy. Recent development in diagnosis for CML involves more sensitive tests such as standard cytogenetics, fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization (FISH) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR).<sup>[6]</sup>

Traditionally, CML was treated with interferon and chemotherapy drugs such as hydroxyurea. The real key breakthroughs in the treatment of this disease came about in the late 1980's and early 1990's with bone marrow transplants, [10,11] followed by the introduction of imatinib in the late 1990's, which has dramatically impacted the survival of patients. Recent studies have reported 10 year survival to be around 80%. [12-15] Such marked improvements in the management of CML have resulted in increasing the survival, and hence, decline in mortality has been reported in certain population mainly from the developed countries. [5] Recently in developing countries, particularly in India, there has been improvement in diagnosis with genetic testing available in some centers from 2001. Similarly, treatment management has also shown improvement with success of imatinib as first line therapy. This might have resulted in reducing misclassification of leukemias and changes in the incidence and mortality of CML. However, there have been few reports on the epidemiology of CML to reflect these changes.

To study the descriptive epidemiology of CML from 1976 to 2005 in Mumbai, we used data of the Mumbai population-based cancer registry, the first cancer registry established in India. We focused our analysis to compare and contrast temporal differences in CML incidence among different age groups.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We obtained the incidence and mortality cases of CML from Mumbai population-based cancer registry records. The Mumbai Cancer registry collects data from different sources on all cancer sites in defined geographical regions of Mumbai. [16] We extracted CML incident cases from Mumbai Population based Cancer Registry database having International Classification of Diseases - 9 (ICD - 9) code 204.1 and to the ages 0-74 years to examine incidence rates over a 30-year time period. We also analyzed mortality rates for the more recent 15-year period (1991-2005). The corresponding population at risk was obtained from census data. [17]

The crude incidence rates were obtained by dividing the incident cases in a defined time period to the population at risk in the same time period. The age-specific rate and age-adjusted rate were estimated as per the method described in cancer incidence in five continents.<sup>[18]</sup> The average annual percentage change over 30 years and for most recent 15 years (1991-2005) was obtained by fitting a log-linear model to age and time.<sup>[19]</sup>

To compare the incidence rates of CML observed in Mumbai with developed countries, we extracted data of CML from Surveillence, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database for the year 2003-2007. The age-adjusted rates truncated for age groups 0-14, 15-29, 30-54, and 55-74 using world standard population were computed to compare the rates in these age groups and were labeled as pediatric, young, adult, and geriatric population, respectively.

# **RESULTS**

The total number of incident CML cases in males over the period of 30 years(1976-2005) was 6.1% of 4264 total leukemia cases [Table 1], whereas the total leukemia cases in females was 2594 of which 6.7% were CML cases [Table 2]. The age-specific incidence rates of CML shows increase in the rates with age for both males and females.

The incidence rate of CML has decreased in recent period (1991–2005) in Mumbai (age 0-74) for both males (EAPC=-2.9; 95% CI=-4.6 to -1.0) and females (EAPC=-2.5; 95% CI=-7.5 to -0.2). Similarly, decrease in incidence rate was observed for overall 30-year period; however, the decrease was not statistically significant [Table 3].

Table 4 shows the age-adjusted mortality rate for males and females for recent period (1991–2005). The mortality rate for males ranged from 0.48 to 0.45 per 100,000 and that of females was 0.41 to 0.31 per 100,000 for 15-year period. The age-adjusted incidence rate (AAR) of CML was higher in pediatric group, almost comparable in young and adult

Table 1: Incident CML cases and age specific incidence rates per 100,000 for pediatric, young, adult and geriatric population stratified by six calendar years from 1976-2005 in Mumbai, India

Period		Age groups										
	Р	ediatric (o-14	.)	Young (15-29) Adult (30-54)			G	Geriatric (55-74)				
	Incident cases	% of total leukemia	ASR	Incident cases	% of total leukemia	ASR	Incident cases	% of total leukemia	ASR	Incident cases	% of total leukemia	ASR
1976-80	1	0.9	0.05	7	15.2	0.33	10	43.5	0.53	17	80.9	3.20
1981-85	1	0.7	0.04	4	6.9	0.17	16	42.1	0.77	12	28.6	1.89
1986-90	1	0.7	0.04	6	8.7	0.24	23	51.1	0.98	13	30.9	1.83
1991-95	3	1.5	0.12	5	5.2	0.18	31	57-4	1.20	16	27.6	2.01
1996-00	5	2.7	0.20	8	6.8	0.24	21	30.9	0.74	19	21.1	2.14
2001-05	1	2.5	0.04	8	17.0	0.22	18	47.4	0.58	15	42.9	1.51

Figures in parenthesis are age group in years; ASR: Age specific rates, per 100,000; CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia; Sex: Male

Table 2: Incident CML cases and age specific incidence rates per 100,000 for pediatric, young, adult and geriatric population stratified by six calendar years from 1976-2005 in Mumbai, India

Period		Age groups										
	Pediatric (0-14)			Young (15-29)			Adult (30-54)			Geriatric (55-74)		
	Incident cases	% of total leukemia	ASR									
1976-80	1	1.7	0.05	6	37-5	0.37	6	33-3	0.47	5	55.5	1.45
1981-85	0	0.0	0.00	6	35.3	0.32	13	54.2	0.87	6	27.3	1.39
1986-90	1	1.1	0.04	7	21.2	0.35	14	51.9	0.81	4	12.1	0.76
1991-95	1	1.0	0.04	1	2.3	0.04	17	51.5	0.87	15	48.4	2.42
1996-00	1	0.9	0.04	4	8.9	0.16	14	26.4	0.64	14	35.0	1.91
2001-05	2	5.1	0.08	6	46.1	0.22	19	65.5	0.80	8	22.2	0.96

Figures in parenthesis are age group in years; ASR: Age specific rates, per 100,000; CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia; Sex: Female

Table 3: EAPC of CML for 30 year period (1976-2005) and for more recent periods (1991-2005) by gender, in Mumbai India,

Gender	Period								
	1976-	-2005	1991-2005						
	EAPC* (net drift)	95% CI†	EAPC* (net drift)	95% CI†					
Male	-0.39	-1.1 to 0.3	-2.9	-4.6 to -1.0					
Female	-0.39	-1.3 to 0.5	-2.5	-7.5 to -0.2					

<sup>\*:</sup> Estimated percentage change obtained by fitting Age + Drift model; †: 95% Confidence interval; EAPC: Estimated annual percentage change

Table 4: Age adjusted (world) mortality rates per 100,000 by gender for CML in Mumbai for recent period (1991-2005)

Gender	AAMR*					
	1991-1995†	1996-2000†	2001-2005†			
Male	0.48	0.46	0.45			
Female	0.41	0.42	0.31			

<sup>\*:</sup> Age adjusted (world) mortality rate per 100,000; †: Calendar period; CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia; AAMR: Age adjusted mortality rates

Table 5: Comparison of age adjusted (world) incidence rates truncated to age groups 0-14, 15-29, 30-54 and 55-74 (AAR), stratified by gender in Mumbai and SEER Registries for Pediatric, young, adult and geriatric populations

Registry	Pediatric		Young		Adult		Geriatric	
	(0–14)*		(15-29)*		(30-54)*		(55-74)*	
	AAR	AAR	AAR	AAR	AAR	AAR	AAR	AAR
	(M)	(F)	(M)	(F)	(M)	(F)	(M)	(F)
SEER	0.03	0.06	0.46	0.40	1.23	1.06	4.17	3.23
Mumbai§	0.11	0.09	0.40	0.23	0.97	0.86	2.08	1.38

<sup>\*:</sup> Figures in parenthesis are age group in years; AAR: Adjusted incidence rates (world) per 100,000 for males (M) and females (F); SEER: Surveillence, epidemiology, and end results; SEER registry data for the year 2003-2007; \$: Mumbai registry data for the year 2001-2005; CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia

population, whereas it was lower in geriatric population (Incidence rate ratio=0.42) in Mumbai compared to that observed by SEER registries [Table 5].

# **DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study are derived from population-based cancer registry, which has registered cancer cases since 1965. There has been no change in the registry catchment area during the study period, with a relatively small percentage of cases (approximately 3%) - registered on the basis of a death certification only. Data of Mumbai population-based cancer registry has shown to be reasonably reliable and complete. [20] The registry data have met the stringent criteria for inclusion in successive Volumes of Cancer Incidence in Five Continents published by International Agency for Research in Cancer (IARC) [18,21]

To study burden and trend of CML in developing countries, we have utilized the demonstrable quality data of Mumbai Cancer Registry. The incidence of CML in developing countries is low (AAR=0.71 in males and 0.53 per 100,000 in females) compared to US (AAR=2.0 in males and 1.1 per 100,000 in females) and many other developed countries. [4,5,16] In contrast to observation from large series of hospital data which suggests that the peak age for occurrence of CML is in younger age group, our population based data suggests that ASR of CML are highest in the age group of 55-74. This is similar to the observation from population based data of developed countries. The age specific rates in older age group are much higher compared to that in pediatric, young and adult populations. This also demonstrates the limitation of hospital data to study burden of disease in a population as it suffers from problem of referal bias. Even though the age specific rates of CML in Mumbai are highest in age group of 55-74 years, they are still lower (less than half) compared to those observed by SEER registries.

However, the AAR observed by Mumbai registry for young and adult population are comparable, whereas higher in pediatric age group compared to those observed by SEER registries. This might be due to the fact that many cases of CML are asymptomatic or are accidentally diagnosed during routine health check-up. As routine health check-up is not

common practice in India, many CML cases might not get registered, compared to developed countries like US where awareness and routine health check-up are common. It is unclear whether the higher incidence of CML in Mumbai in pediatric age group compared to SEER registries is real or due to misclassification of leukemia, which could be due to lack of diagnostic facilities in most of the centers. We also observed decrease in proportion of CML over the years compared to total leukemia, again possibly due to improvement in diagnosis of other leukemia types [Tables 1 and 2].

We observed statistically significant decreasing trends in incidence of CML for both the gender during the recent 15-year period, but not for the whole 30-year period. It is unclear, whether this recent decreasing trend in incidence is because of the recent availability of genetic and molecular testing, which could reduce the misclassification of leukemia, and in particular can differentiate into myeloblastic syndrome and CML. However, it is possible that at least some percentage of myeloblostic syndromes could be classified as CML in absence of more recent diagnostic techniques. The decreasing trend in incidence of CML has been similarly observed by registries in the US, UK, and Denmark, [22-24] while in Poland the trends in incidence of CML were consistent during the period from 1960-1990. [25]

The natural history of CML has changed in recent years, partly due to earlier diagnosis but mostly as a consequence of the availability of effective therapies that have the potential to eradicate the Ph chromosome-positive clone. [26] There are many possible treatments for CML, but since 2001 the standard of care for newly diagnosed patients is imatinib therapy. [27] As more than 90% of patients taking this drug are in stable cytogenetic remission for atleast 5 years, [27,28] this has resulted in increase in survival from CML. [29] The imatinib therapy was started in some centers in Mumbai only in 2003. As the present data are only up to 2005, we have not observed any reduction in mortality from CML; however, the increase in survival due to effective therapy should result in decline in mortality in near future.

The availability of imatinib has changed the management of CML. Although the incidence rates of CML are low, its burden on population in terms of absolute numbers is quite high. Given the availability of reliable and simple diagnosis of CML, the public health goal should be to make sure the availability of proper diagnosis and management of CML across all the centers in urban and rural areas of developing world, so as to reduce the mortality. Currently, there have been limited studies to understand burden of CML in India and developing countries possibly because of current ICD

classification scheme, where CML is classified on 4<sup>th</sup> digit and population-based registries usually produce the tables based on three digit ICD classification. It is important to observe the trends in incidence and mortality of CML over the years before celebrating success of recent diagnostic and management of CML.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Beutler E, Lichtman MA, Coller BS, Kipps TJ, Seligsohn U, editors. Williams hematology. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill: 2001.
- Rohrbacher M, Hasford J. Epidemiology of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2009;22: 295-302.
- Redaelli A, Bell C, Casagrande J, Stephens J, Botteman M, Laskin B, et al. Clinical and epidemiological burden of chronic myeloid leukemia. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2004;4: 85-96.
- National Cancer Registry Programme: Two years report of Population Based Cancer Registries 1999-2000. Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi 2005.
- Altekruse SF, Kosary CL, Krapcho M, Neyman N, Aminou R, Waldron W, et al., editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2007, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, Available from: http://www.seer.cancer.gov/ csr/1975\_2007/, [last cited on Nov 2009] SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, 2010.
- Lee SJ. Chronic myelogenous leukemia. Br J Haematol 2000;111:993-1009.
- McGuire TR, Kazakoff PW. Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach. 4th ed. NY, USA: Elsevier; 1999.
- Gorini G, Stagnaro E, Fontana V, Miligi L, Ramazzoti V, Nanni O, et al. Alcohol consumption and risk of leukemia: A multicentre case control study. Leuk Res 2007;31: 379-86.
- Strom SS, Yamamura Y, Kantarijian HM, Cortes-Franco JE. Obesity, weight gain and risk of chronic myeloid leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:1501-6.
- Biggs JC, Szer J, Crilley P, Aktinson K, Downs K, Dodds A, et al. Treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia with allogeneic bone marrow transplantation after preparation with BuCy2. Blood 1992;80:1352-7.
- Goldman JM, Apperley JF, Jones L, Marcus R, Goolden AW, Batchelor R, et al. Bone marrow transplantation for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 1986;314: 202-7.
- 12. Hochhaus A, Druker B, Sawyers C, Guilhot F, Schiffer CA, Cortes J, et al. Favorable long term follow up results over 6 years for response, survival and safety with imatinib mesylate therapy in chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia after failure of interferon-alpha treatment. Blood 2008;111: 1039-43.
- Hess G, Meyer RG, Schuch B, Bechthold K, El-Kholy I, Huber C. Sustained remissions and low rate of BCR-ABL resistance mutations with imatinib treatment chronic myelogenous leukemia in patients treated in late chronic phase: 15 year follow up. Am J Hematol 2008;83:178-84.
- Palandri F, Iacobucci I, Martinelli G, Amabile M, Poerio A, Testoni N, et al. Long term outcome of complete cytogenetic responders after imatinib 400 mg in late chronic phase, Philadelphia-positive chronic myeloid leukemia: The GIMEMA working Party on CML. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:106-11.
- 15. Baccarani M, Saglio G, Goldman J, Hochhaus A, Simonsson B, Appelbaum F, et al. Evolving concepts in the management of chronic myeloid leukemia: Recommendations from an expert panel on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. Blood

- 2006:108:1809-20.
- Cancer incidence and mortality in Greater Mumbai 2005. Indian Cancer Society, 2009.
- Census of India 2001, Series 14, Maharashtra, Provisional Population Totals, Paper 2, Director of Census Operation, 2001.
- Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR, Storm H, Ferlay J, Heanue M, et al., editors. Cancer Incidence in Five Continents. Vol. 9. Lyon: IARC Scientific Publications No. 160; 2007.
- Clayton D, Schifflers E. Models for temporal variation in cancer rates. I: Age-period and age-cohort models. Stat Med 1987;6:449-67.
- Yeole BB. An assessment of improvement in reliability and completeness of Mumbai cancer registry data from 1964-1997. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2001;2:225-32.
- Parkin DM, Whelan SL, Ferlay J, Storm H, Teppo L, Thomas DM, editors. Cancer incidence in five continents. Vol. 1-8. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2005.
- Xie Y, Davies SM, Xiang Y, Robinson LL, Ross JA. Trends in leukemia incidence and survival in the United States (1973-1998). Cancer 2003;97:2229-35.
- 23. McNally RJ, Roman E, Cartwright RA. Leukemias and lymphomas: Time trends in the UK, 1984-93. Cancer Causes Control 1999;10:35-42.

- Thygesen LC, Nielsen OJ, Johansen C. Trends in adult leukemia incidence and survival in Denmark, 1943–2003. Cancer Causes Control 2009;20:1671-80.
- Kwiatkowski A. Trends in incidence of leukemia in Poland, 1963-90: An epidemiological study. Eur J Cancer Prev 1994;3:277-83.
- 26. Cortes J. Natural History and staging of chronic myelogenous leukemia. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2004;18:569-84.
- 27. Fausel C. Targeted chronic myeloid leukemia therapy: Seeking a cure. J Manag Care Pharm 2007;13:8-12.
- Pinilla-Ibarz J, Bello C. Modern approaches to treating chronic myelogenous leukemia. Curr Oncol Rep 2008;10:365-71.
- Brenner H, Gondos A, Pulte D. Recent trends in long-term survival of patients with chronic myelocytic leukemia: Disclosing the impact of advances in therapy on the population level. Hematologica 2008;93:1544-9.

**How to cite this article:** Dikshit RP, Nagrani R, Yeole B, Koyande S, Banawali S. Changing trends of chronic myeloid leukemia in greater Mumbai, India over a period of 30 years. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol 2011;32:96-100.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.