Review Article

The Key to Unlocking the Chemotherapeutic Potential of PPARy Ligands: Having the Right Combination

Graham Skelhorne-Gross^{1,2} and Christopher J. B. Nicol^{1,2,3}

¹ Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada K7L 3N6

² Cancer Biology and Genetics Division, Cancer Research Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada K7L 3N6

³ Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences (Pharmacology and Toxicology), Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada K7L 3N6

Correspondence should be addressed to Christopher J. B. Nicol, nicolc@queensu.ca

Received 3 February 2012; Accepted 14 March 2012

Academic Editor: Yuji Kamijo

Copyright © 2012 G. Skelhorne-Gross and C. J. B. Nicol. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Despite extensive preclinical evidence that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ activation protects against tumourigenesis, results from a few clinical trials using PPAR γ ligands as monotherapy show modest success. In spite of this, several groups reported exciting results with therapeutic regimens that combine PPAR γ ligands with other compounds: chemotherapeutic agents, retinoid x receptor (RXR) α agonists, statins, or cell-to-cell signaling molecules in preclinical cancer models and human trials. Here we have compiled an extensive review, consolidating the existing literature, which overwhelmingly supports a beneficial effect of treating with PPAR γ ligands in combination with existing chemotherapies versus their monotherapy in cancer. There are many examples in which combination therapy resulted in synergistic/additive effects on apoptosis, differentiation, and the ability to reduce cell growth and tumour burden. There are also studies that indicate that PPAR γ ligand pretreatment overcomes resistance and reduces toxicities. Several mechanisms are explored to explain these protective effects. This paper highlights each of these studies that, collectively, make a very strong case for the use of PPAR γ ligands in combination with other agents in the treatment and management of several cancers.

1. Introduction

Cancer is the leading cause of death worldwide, with the projected number of associated deaths continuing to rise to an estimated 13.1 million people by 2030 [1]. For any given tumour, a concerted evaluation of type, stage, location, and size at the time of diagnosis influence the selection of one or more available treatment interventions, including surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or combinations as appropriate. Accordingly, improved understanding of how chemotherapeutic interventions can be optimized will assist with cancer prevention, as well as treatment and care of cancer patients.

Though many single agent treatments of solid or hematologic tumours are effective, they often select for resistant cells, and ultimately recurrent tumours, which no longer respond to the initial therapy [2]. To minimize the development of resistance, researchers and clinicians have expanded the use of combination drug therapies for some time. This approach favours combining individual classic chemotherapeutic agents aimed at forming new optimized regimens with additive/synergistic protective effects [3-5]. Of course, these combinations must also be chosen wisely to avoid similar synergism in toxicity. To achieve maximal chemotherapeutic potential and satisfy the previous conditions, many groups have explored combinations of traditional chemotherapies with the growing arsenal of targeted pathway-specific drugs [6], including those that activate an emerging target peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)y. This paper is a review of the vast in vitro, in vivo, and human clinical trial studies, irrespective of cancer type, using chemotherapeutic combinations that include PPARyactivating drugs. The aims are to evaluate the novel chemotherapeutic potential of PPARy-activating drugs and provide a guide for further basic and clinical research, in order to optimize chemotherapeutic interventions that will reduce the number of cancer-related deaths worldwide.

PPARy is a candidate tumour suppressor gene and member of the nuclear receptor superfamily [7]. The gene encodes two isoforms, PPARy1 and PPARy2, derived from alternative splicing, which are preferentially expressed in nonadipogenic cell types and cells committed to the adipocyte lineage, respectively [8-10]. PPARy normally associates with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) α and the resulting PPARy; RXR α complex recognizes direct-repeat- (DR-) 1 motifs, referred to as peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPREs), in the promoters of target genes [11]. Complexed PPARy is activated by ligands which include synthetic thiazolidinediones such as the gold standard activator rosiglitazone (ROSI) [12], used widely for >10 years to treat and prevent type II diabetes [13], as well as pioglitazone (PIO), troglitazone (TRO), ciglitazone (CIG), and many natural fatty acids and fatty acid metabolites, such as linoleic acid and signaling molecules like 15-deoxy-D^{12,14}-prostaglandin $J_2(15d-PGJ_2)$ [14].

PPARy ligands are reported to exert antitumourigenic properties in vitro and to induce tumour growth arrest or shrinkage in murine in vivo models [15-19]. Based on this, a few clinical trials have been performed to evaluate the effectiveness of PPARy ligands in human cancer. In the most successful of these trials, three patients with advanced unresectable myxoid and pleiomorphic liposarcoma were treated with TRO. Serial biopsies revealed increased lipid accumulation, indicative of adipocyte differentiation, and a 2- to 4-fold decrease in the percentage of cells expressing the Ki-67 antigen, a marker of proliferation [20]. Unfortunately, further monotherapy trials using PPARy ligands on more common epithelial-based cancers have not been as fortuitous. In separate phase II clinical trials, 22 women with refractory breast cancer and 25 patients with advanced colorectal cancer, respectively, treated with TRO experienced no objective tumour responses [21, 22]. Similarly, ROSI treatment did not prolong time to disease progression compared to placebo in 106 men with prostate carcinoma [23] or affect proliferation in breast tumours during a short pilot study [24].

Despite the limited success as a monotherapy, PPARy agonists have shown tremendous potential for clinical utility when combined with traditional chemotherapeutics, RXR α ligands, statins, and cellular signaling molecules. Substantial evidence suggests that activating PPARy synergistically enhances the protective effects of these agents, reduces their inherent toxicity, and even, in some cases, overcomes resistance. A summary of the preclinical and clinical work combining PPARy ligands with various other compounds is provided in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Extensive literature searches were performed using the US Library of Medicine and National Institute of Health's http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih .gov/pubmed/ for papers using treatment regimens that combined PPARy agonists with other therapeutic agents. Any errors by omission are unintentional.

2. Chemotherapeutic Agents

2.1. Platinum Compounds. Platinum-based compounds have been widely used as chemotherapeutics since the 1970s to

treat cancers of the breast, lung, ovary, testis, head, and neck [25]. These agents exert their cytotoxic effects by crosslinking DNA, which impairs DNA transcription and replication [26]. This damages cells which invoke DNA repair mechanisms and, when those fail, apoptosis [27]. Cisplatin, the first such compound available, is an extremely effective chemotherapeutic, although dosing is limited due to the associated risk of nephrotoxicity [28, 29]. Second and third generation drugs, carboplatin and oxaliplatin, are less damaging to kidneys but are associated with severe neuropathies [30]. PPARy ligands in combination with platinum-based compounds have increased therapeutic efficacy, overcome resistance, and decreased toxicity in multiple cancer models.

Several cancer cell lines, including A549, Calu1, H23, H596, and H1650 non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), Mosher colon cancer, and OVCA420, OVCA429, and ES2 ovarian cancer cells have demonstrated the synergy of combination treatment with platinum-based compounds and therapeutic doses of ROSI. These cells exhibited greater growth reduction, G2-M arrest, and increased apoptosis when treated with the combination than either agent, ROSI or chemotherapeutic, alone. In vivo xenograft mouse models using A549 lung cancer cells also suggest synergy, as low doses of ROSI and carboplatin reduced xenografted tumours to one-third the size of tumours from monotherapy controls [31]. In a separate study, ROSI pretreatment resulted in maximum reduction in mammary tumour volume when combined with cisplatin compared to treatment with cisplatin alone. The mammary tumours from cotreated mice also exhibited more glandular structures suggesting improved differentiation, an indication of less aggressive tumours which, clinically, would have a better prognosis [32]. Interestingly, another study, using TRO in combination with cisplatin in A549 and H522 non-small-cell lung cancer cells, found synergistic effects when TRO treatment followed cisplatin treatment but not vice versa, suggesting that the beneficial effects of PPARy activation might depend on the sequence of drug administration [33]. The combinational regimen may also be effective to treat malignant pleural mesothelioma as TRO and cisplatin have an additive effect on EHMES-10 cells in vitro as well as tumour growth reduction and overall survival in xenograft mouse models, compared to either agent singularly in an animal model [34].

Many tumours, including ovarian and non-small-cell lung, that are initially responsive to platinum-based compounds eventually develop resistance [35]. The accruing resistant tumours grow unabated and are associated with poor prognosis [36]. Resistant tumours use multiple survival strategies including altered drug-uptake pathways, which prevent platinums from reaching DNA, or decreased DNA damage recognition and apoptosis network signaling [26].

Interestingly, combination treatment with PPARy activators may be able to overcome this resistance. In one study, mice with EGFR- and K-Ras-driven lung adenocarcinomas, a model of platinum-resistant lung cancer, were treated with carboplatin, ROSI, or both. Neither monotherapy reduced tumour burden; however, combination therapy resulted in 80% reduction in tumour volume [57]. Microarray analysis from a separate study revealed that ROSI treatment reduces

Statins			 (i) Cytotoxic effects in U87, U138, LN 405 and rat RG II glioblastoma [46] (ii) ↑ Cytotoxic effects in IOMM Lee and KT21-MG1 meningioma [47]
y finding of each study. Cell Signalling		 (i) ↓ Cell numbers in HEY ovarian cancer [43] (ii)↑ Apoptosis in MCF-7, SKBR-3, and MDA-MB-453 breast cancer [44] 	
ns reflect most noteworth RXRa ligands	 (i) † Differentiating and growth-inhibitory effects in lymphoid Su-DHL, Sup-M2, Ramos, Raij, Hodgkin's cell lines, and primary chronic lymphocytic (lymphocid), and U937 and HL-60 (myeloid) leukemia [38] (ii) † Cell viability in MCF-7, MCF-7TR1, SKBR-3, and T-47D breast cancer [41] 	(i) † Growth inhibition in MCF-7, T-47D, ZR-75-1 breast cancer [42]	(i) † Differentiation in harvested liposarcoma cells [45]
TABLE 1: Synergistic effects between PPARy ligands and other agents <i>in vitro</i> . Descriptions reflect most noteworthy finding of each study. -Pased Taxanes Topoisomerase hased Taxanes Cell Signalling Inhibitors Molecules Molecules	 (i) 4 Cell viability in BEL-7402 and Huh-7 Hepatocellular carcinoma [37] (ii) 1 Apoptosis in HT-29 colon cancer [40] 		
PPARy ligands and other (Topoisomerase Tubitions		ų	ц
hergistic effects between l Taxanes	ä	 (i) † Growth inhibition (i) † Growth inhibition in A549 and H522 in A549 and H522 NSCLC [33] *NSCLC [33] *NSCLC [33] *Post-treatment * post-treatment (ii) † Growth inhibition in EHMES-10 mesothelioma [34] 	 (i) 1 Growth inhibition in A549 and H522 NSCLC [33] *post-treatment
TABLE 1: Syn Platinum-Based	Compounds (i) 4 Cell growth in A549, Calu1, H23, H596 and H1650 NSCLC; Mosher colon; and OVCA420, OVCA429 and ES2 ovarian cancer [31]	 (i) † Growth inhibition in A549 and H522 NSCLC [33] *post-treatment (ii) † Growth inhibition in EHMES-10 mesothelioma [34] 	
	Rosiglitazone	Troglitazone	Pioglitazone

	Statins	 (i) 1 Cytotoxic and cytostatic effects in Panc 02 and MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic; C-26 colon; and EMT6 	and MDA-MB-361 breast cancer [49]					
	Cell Signalling Molecules	(i) l Proliferation in HEY ovarian cancer [43]			(i) † Growth inhibitionin HEY ovarian cancer[43]			
	RXR <i>a</i> ligands	(i) 1 Growth inhibition in ZR-75-1 and T-47D breast; and Calu-6 lung cancer [48]	 (ii) Apoptosis in ANBL6 and 8226 Anultiple myeloma [50]; C6, U87MG andGL261 glioblastoma [51],and G361 melanoma [50, 52] 		 (i) 1 Differentiating and growth-inhibitory effects in Su-DHL, Sup-M2, Ramos, Raji, Hodgkin's cell lines, and primary chronic lymphocytic (lymphoid), and U937 and HL-60 (myeloid) leukemia [38] 	(ii) † Apoptosis in ANBL6 and 8226 multiple myeloma [51]		(i) † Apoptosis and † proliferation in MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, T-47D and SKBR-3 breast cancer [56]
TABLE 1: Continued.	Anti-metabolites						br ter	
Тав	Topoisomerase Inhibitors			þ	(i) † Cytotoxicity in Cak-2 renal cell carcinoma [55]		 (i) 1 Cytotoxicity in MCF-7, MCF-7/adr and SKBR-3 breast; H460 lung; SW480 and RT4 colon; and HT1197 bladder cancer 	
	Taxanes			(i) 1 Antiproliferative effects in DRO90-1 and ARO81 anaplastic thyroid carcinoma [53]	(i) † Cytotoxicity in A549 and H460 NSCLC [54]			
	Platinum-Based Compounds							
		Ciglitazone		RS5444	15d-PGJ2		LY 293111	Linoleic Acid

4

	Platinum-based compounds	Taxanes	Topoisomerase inhibitors	Anti-metabolites
Rosiglitazon	 (i) 1 Volume of A549 NSCLC xenografted tumours [31] Rosiglitazone tumours [31] (ii) 1 Volume in KRAS- and EGFRdriven lung tumours without disrupting immune system [57] (iii) 1 Volume and 1 differentiation of DMBA-induced breast tumours; treatment minimized nephrotoxicity [32] 			
Troglitazone	(i) ↓ Volume and ↑ overall survival of EHMES-10 malignant pleural mesothelioma xenografted tumours [34]			
Pioglitazone				<i>Phase 2 clinical trial:</i> (i) 30% of patients with high grade gliomas experienced disease stabilization; treatment well tolerated by all [58]
RS5444		(i) ↓ Volume of DR090-1 and ARO81 anaplastic thyroid carcinoma xenografted tumours [53]		
15d-PGJ ₂		(i) ↓ Volume of A549 and H460 NSCLC xenografted tumours [54]		
LY 293111			Phase 1 clinical trial: (i) ↓ GI toxicity in patients with advanc solid tumours [59]	<i>Phase 1 clinical trial:</i> (i) ↓ Volume of S2-013 pancreatic (i) ↓ GI toxicity in patients with advanced xenografted tumours; minimized side solid tumours [59] effects [60]

expression of five members of the metallothionein gene family [31]: metal-binding proteins that play a crucial role in platinum-drug resistance by sequestering platinum compounds outside the cell [61].

In addition to developed resistance, platinum-based compounds are associated with several morbidities, including nephrotoxicity, myelosuppression, and GI complications [26]. Given this, and the potential for an additional drug, in this case a PPAR γ ligand, to exacerbate the inherent toxicity of platinums, the authors of the aforementioned lung adenocarcinoma study conducted extensive toxicological analysis on their treated mice. Fortunately, compared to monotherapy, combination therapy did not decrease markers of immune function, white blood cell counts, or hematocrit, and BUN and creatinine levels, indicative of kidney damage, were similarly unaffected [57].

Nephrotoxicity, experienced by 28–36% of patients after a single injection of cisplatin [62], may be, in part, exacerbated by TNF- α , a well-known mediator of inflammation [40]. Interestingly, PPARy activators reduce inflammatory responses [63, 64]. Therefore, Tikoo et al. used a DMBAinduced murine breast cancer model to evaluate the ability of ROSI to decrease nephrotoxicity. They found that ROSI pretreatment significantly decreased circulating BUN, creatinine and TNF- α , and minimized tubular damage, suggesting that PPARy activation ameliorated the nephrotoxicity associated with cisplatin treatment [32]. If this holds true in humans, ROSI treatment may allow physicians to use platinum-based compounds at higher, previously toxic, doses that may confer additional therapeutic benefit.

2.2. Taxanes. Taxanes, including paclitaxel and docetaxel, are commonly used chemotherapy agents for a large array of cancers which include ovarian, lung, head and neck, eso-phageal, breast, prostrate, and gastric cancers. Taxanes exert their effects by binding and immobilizing microtubules which prevents cell division [65]. There are multiple side effects associated with taxanes including reduced hematocrit, neuropathy, and myalgias/arthralgias [66].

A novel high-affinity PPARy agonist, and thiazolidinedione derivative, RS5444, demonstrated additive antiproliferative activity on DRO90-1 and ARO81 anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells, a particularly aggressive and dedifferentiated cancer [67]. RS5444 did not induce apoptosis by itself; however, when combined with paclitaxel, the apoptotic fraction of cells doubled. Using IC₂₅ values experimentally derived from *in vitro* experiments, the group found that combination treatment with RS5444 and paclitaxel significantly reduced xenograft tumour volumes compared to either monotherapy alone [53].

Non-small-cell lung cancer is a leading cause of death from malignant disease in industrialized nations with a 5-year survival rate of approximately 15% [68, 69]. Novel therapeutic regimens involving PPARy activators and traditional chemotherapeutics have shown some promise that they may someday improve this rate. An *in vitro* study indicated synergy between multiple PPARy ligands (TRO and PIO) and paclitaxel in A549, H522 non-small-cell lung cancer cells that was dependent upon treatment order, with paclitaxel preceding TRO treatment [33]. Another group confirmed the synergistic effect of combining PPAR γ activation with, this time, docetaxel. In this study, 15d-PJ₂ increased cytotoxicity in A549 and H460 cells *in vitro*. Extending this, they found that 15d-PJ₂ and docetaxel reduced A549 and H460 xenografted tumour volumes by 72%, nearly double the effect of docetaxel alone [54].

2.3. Topoisomerase Inhibitors. Both classes of topoisomerase inhibitors, type I (including irinotecan) and type II, work by binding and incapacitating topoisomerases: enzymes that are critical for DNA supercoiling and strain relief [70]. Ultimately, this binding prevents movement of the DNA replication fork which induces stress responses that can lead to apoptosis or the involvement of DNA damage repair mechanisms [71]. A topoisomerase I inhibitor, irinotecan, has demonstrated activity against a vast range of cancers [72] but is associated with significant GI toxicity and myelosuppression [73]. Budman and Calabro have shown synergistic cytotoxic increases in a variety of cell lines (MCF-7, MCF-7/ adr, and SK-BR-3 breast cancer; H460 lung cancer; SW480 and RT4 colon cancer; HT1197 bladder cancer) between irinotecan and the PPARy ligand LY293111 at clinically attainable doses [6], prompting human studies with this drug combination. To date, a phase I clinical trial has established a dosing schedule that minimized adverse GI events associated with LY293111 and irinotecan [59]. Another topoisomerase I inhibitor, camptothecin, enhanced the cytotoxicity of 15d-PGJ₂ in Cak-2 renal cell carcinoma cells. Interestingly, the authors did not find synergy when 15d-PGJ₂ was combined with other chemotherapeutics including doxorubicin, 5-FU, and cisplatin [55]. This synergism may allow clinicians to reduce the dose of topoisomerase inhibiting agents and thereby reduce associated toxicity, by combining treatment with PPARy ligands.

2.4. Antimetabolites. Antimetabolites, including 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), methotrexate, and others, are structurally similar compounds to vitamins, amino acids, or nucleic acid precursors which become incorporated into cellular macromolecules with disastrous consequences for cells such as inhibition of cell growth and division [74]. They have been used to treat several types of cancer including leukemia, breast, and ovarian but have been associated with myelosuppression, dermatitis, and diarrhea [75]. A phase II clinical trial was undertaken to evaluate the role of capecitabine, a precursor to 5-FU, in combination with PIO to treat recurrent high-grade gliomas. Only 29% of patients experienced disease stabilization after three months; however, the regimen was well tolerated by patients indicating potential for future therapeutic utility [58].

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and colorectal tumours are among the leading forms of cancer contributing to cancer-related deaths [69, 76]. HCC usually requires chemotherapy because tumours are often surgically unresectable due to advanced stage at diagnosis [77]. Treatment of both diseases often involves 5-FU; however, patients often respond poorly as tumours develop multiple drug resistance [78–80] due to multiple mechanisms including increased drug efflux [81]. Interestingly, PPARy may regulate ABC transporters, key proteins involved in drug efflux [82]. Accordingly, activation of PPARy with ROSI, in combination with 5-FU treatment, has been evaluated in HCC and colon cancer. ROSI treatment decreased cell viability in two HCC cell lines (BEL-7402 and Huh-7) by 4- and 2-fold, respectively, compared to treatment with 5-FU alone. The authors also used siRNA to show that this effect was dependent on PPARy [37]. Another group evaluated ROSI treatment with 5-FU in HT-29 colon cancer cells and found that ROSI treatment, at a low dose that did not affect proliferation or cell growth, enhanced 5-FU-induced apoptosis. Again, this effect was PPARy dependent as it was ameliorated by the PPARy antagonist GW9662 [83].

Another antimetabolite, gemcitabine, is a useful chemotherapeutic that arrests cell growth in multiple ways including incorporation into DNA and impeding cell division [84]. Gemcitabine is standard therapy for pancreatic cancer, a disease with a strikingly poor prognosis as most patients die within six months of diagnosis [85]. Gemcitabine only modestly prolongs survival but is useful as a palliative agent for several cancer-related morbidities. Hennig et al. evaluated the ability of the PPARy activator LY293111 to enhance the activity of gemcitabine in an orthotopic pancreatic cancer model. Consistent with previous models, both gemcitabine and LY293111 significantly inhibited tumour growth and reduced the incidence of liver metastasis; however, the combination was more effective than either therapy alone. Furthermore, combination treatment maintained stable body weights, relieved tumour-induced cachexia, and decreased incidence of bowel obstruction [60]. This suggests that this combination may be effective, to not only treat aggressive pancreatic adenocarcinomas but also relieve side effects associated with monotherapy [86].

2.5. RXR α Ligands. The PPAR γ binding partner, RXR α , is also a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily. RXR has three subtypes (α , β , and γ), which are activated by retinoids, a group of vitamin A analogues. After ligand binding, RXR α is able to modulate gene expression by binding retinoid X receptor responsive elements (RXREs), present in the promoter regions of target genes. Similar to PPAR γ , RXR α activation profoundly affects multiple cellular activities that are pertinent to cancer including cellular growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and morphogenesis [87, 88].

Given this, multiple groups have investigated the combined use of PPARy and RXR α ligands. The first report, from Tontonoz et al., indicated that simultaneous treatment of liposarcoma cells, selected from freshly harvested tumours, with both RXR α - and PPARy-specific ligands, synergistically stimulated differentiation. Additionally, the authors showed that PPARy is highly expressed in the major histological types of liposarcoma, suggesting that PPARy-targeting agents, especially combined with RXR α ligands, may be useful therapy for human liposarcoma [45].

Since that time, beneficial effects have been reported for several types of malignancies, including hematological, breast, and lung cancer, for the combined treatment of PPARy ligands and retinoids. Konopleva et al. reported that PPARy is expressed in lymphoid (Su-DHL, Sup-M2, Ramos, Raji, Hodgkin's cell lines, and primary chronic lymphocytic leukemia) and myeloid (U937 and HL-60) cell lines, several of which undergo apoptosis when treated with PPARyligands including ROSI and 15d-PGJ₂. The apoptotic effects of PPARy ligands were enhanced when combined with an RXR α agonist, LG100268, as reflected by mitochondrial depolarization and caspase activation [38]. Similarly, Ray et al. showed that PPARy is expressed in ANBL6 and 8226 human multiple myeloma cell lines and that PPARy ligands induce apoptosis, an effect which is enhanced by combination with 9-cis retinoic acid, a ligand of RXR α [51]. Elstner et al. found that PPARy ligands were potentiated by RXR α ligands in multiple breast cancer cells (MCF-7, T-47D, ZR-75-) [42], work that was later confirmed by multiple groups [41, 56] including one study that also found protective effects in Calu-6 lung cancer cells [48]. One of these studies showed that combination treatment with ROSI and the RXR α ligand 9-cis retinoic acid inhibited cell viability in MCF-7, MCF-7TR1, SKBR-3, and T-47D breast cancer cells but did not affect MCF-10a normal immortalized breast epithelial cells. This exciting work suggests that the cytotoxic effect maybe specific to cancer cells. Mehta et al. took this approach into mouse models and found that the combination of LG10068, an RXRa-specific ligand, and TRO completely inhibited development of mammary tumours at micromolar concentrations in a DMBA-induced breast tumourigenesis model [17].

Many potential mechanisms are postulated to explain the synergistic protective effects of PPAR γ and RXR α ligands. The protective effect at the whole body level may, in part, be mediated at the transcriptional level by the ability of PPAR γ and RXR α ligands to inhibit aromatase secretion [89], enhance expression of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) [90], or downregulate expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [52]. Aromatase catalyzes the rate-limiting step in estrogen biosynthesis [91], which drives breast tumourigenesis by stimulating proliferation of breast tumour cells [92]. GSTs have multiple functions including the detoxification of several xenobiotics and carcinogens [93]. MMPs degrade extracellular matrix proteins carving pathways for migrating cancer cells and releasing sequestered growth factors [94]. Combined RXRa ligand and CIG treatment decreases cell growth and the invasive potential in G361 melanoma and U87MG glioblastoma cells by decreasing expression of matrix metalloproteinases [52].

Other groups have theorized that the synergistic effects of PPAR γ and RXR α ligands may not be directly related to transcriptional effects. Ligands of PPAR γ and RXR α recruit different subsets of transcriptional coactivators [95]; therefore, cotreatment may increase transcription as there is less competition [96]. Additionally, PPAR γ activity may enhance proteosome inhibitors, allowing for RXR α accumulation and the enhancement of PPAR γ : RXR α -mediated transcription [97]. Collectively, this work suggests that combining agents that activate both PPAR γ and RXR α could synergistically enhance the protective effects of either agent alone.

3. Cell Signaling Molecules

Protective synergy with PPARy ligands is not exclusive to traditional chemotherapeutic agents or RXR α ligands. There are a few reports of PPARy activators combining with normal cell signaling molecules, including tumour necrosis factor (TNF) α , tumour necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), and Heregulin to confer an additive or synergistic protective effect. TNF α is a cytokine, chiefly produced by activated macrophages, that is involved in systemic inflammation, and leads to tumour regression [98, 99]. TRAIL, a member of the TNF family, induces apoptosis by binding receptors and recruiting the Fas-associated death domain and caspase-8, triggering apoptosis [100]. Heregulin is a soluble secreted growth factor that activates several classic tumourigenic signal transduction pathways including PI3K/Akt, Ras/MAPK, and JNK [101].

Based on evidence that ROSI upregulates p53 and p21, Mody et al. examined the ability of ROSI pretreatment to sensitize MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to therapies that act on these apoptosis/cell death pathways, such as TNF α . ROSI pretreatment dramatically increased TNF α -mediated growth inhibition by 9-fold versus control TNF α or ROSI alone. The authors also performed microarray analysis to evaluate genetic changes associated with ROSI treatment [39]. This may be a valuable tool to predict other agents which synergize with PPAR γ ligand activity based on shared pathway utilization.

Partridge and Barnes evaluated the ability of multiple PPARy ligands (CIG, TRO, and 15d-PGJ₂) to enhance the efficacy of TRAIL in a drug-resistant ovarian cancer cell line. Drug resistance is a serious problem in ovarian cancer, especially in advanced disease, where survival rates fall to 10–30% [102]. The combined treatment with CIG and TRAIL synergistically reduced proliferation in multiple cell lines, most notably the paclitaxel-resistant HEY ovarian cancer subclone. TRO treatment showed no effect on proliferation on its own; however, when combined with TRAIL, that reduced cell numbers in etoposide-, pemetrexed-, cisplatin-, docetaxel-, and gemcitabine-resistant cell lines. Similarly, 15d-PGJ₂ treatment inhibited growth in all cell lines, especially the HEY cell line which was developed by the authors [43].

Park et al. showed that Heregulin, which paradoxically drives tumourigenesis [103, 104], synergistically increases TRO-mediated breast cancer cell apoptosis and necrosis *in vitro* [44]. In light of previous reports that Heregulin plays a causal role in Tamoxifen- and Gefitinib-resistant breast cancer [105], Park's work provides evidence that combination therapy with Heregulin and PPARy-activators may be a novel strategy for the treatment of resistant or refractory breast cancer [44].

4. Statins

Statins are another important class of drugs acting as inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutarylcoenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, a critical rate-limiting enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis. Statins are commonly used to manage

hypercholesterolemia and cardiovascular diseases and are some of the most frequently prescribed therapeutics for elderly patients. Recently, statins were evaluated for their protective effects in cancer and showed antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic effects in vitro [106-108]. Incubation with lovastatin and CIG for 48hrs exerted additive cytotoxic and cytostatic effects in multiple cancer cell lines (Panc 02 and MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic cancer, C-26 colon cancer, and EMT6 and MDA-MB-361 breast cancer) compared to either treatment alone [49, 50]. Further experiments on human U87, U138, LN 405, and rat RG II glioblastoma cells indicated cytotoxic synergy after 48- and 144-hour treatments with PIO and a variety of statins [46]. Additionally, treatment of two meningioma cell lines (IOMM-Lee and KT21-MG1) with PIO and statins showed significant synergistic cytotoxic effects [47]. It was also suggested that statins may signal through the transcription factor sterol response elementbinding protein (SREBP) to encourage PPARy-mediated upregulation of PTEN [109]. This evidence suggests yet another class of drugs that, combined with PPARy-ligands, show synergistic protective effects in cancer.

5. Areas Needing More Work

The majority of literature in the field supports the view that combination cancer therapy with PPARy ligands and chemotherapeutic agents produce beneficial effects. However, this trend is not universal. Multiple groups have evaluated combinations of chemotherapeutic cocktails that include PPARy ligands and found no synergism. For example, Yamamoto et al. reported that the synergistic toxic effects of 15d-PGJ₂ in renal cell carcinoma were specific to its combination therapy with camptothecin, and not evident with two common chemotherapeutic agents: 5-fluorouracin and cisplatin [55]. Tapia-Perez's group also found that synergism in glioblastoma cells depends on the combination, this time the PPARy ligand, as PIO + statin treatment produced a significant cytotoxic effect although the same was not true for ROSI + statin [46] Clearly, more work is needed to establish which combinations will be effective in which diseases, work that will be further complicated by factors such as dose and treatment timing (pre-, post-, cotreatment, etc.). Interestingly, the same combination regimen may not always be effective, even within the same disease, as Elstner et al. reported that only three (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and ZR-75-1) of the eight (MCF-7, BT20, BT474, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436, SKBR3, T-47D, ZR-75-1) breast cancer cell lines they evaluated were sensitive to combinations of the PPARy ligand TRO and RXRα ligand 9-cis retinoic acid. Interestingly, the sensitive cell lines all express high levels of the apoptosis protein bcl-2 [42]. This underscores the importance of work to evaluate the molecular mechanisms by which combination therapies exert their effects so that, someday, clinicians and researchers may predict treatment efficacy using molecular signatures. Most notably, extensive literature searches did not reveal reports of PPARy ligands impeding the therapeutic efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents.

Synthetic PPARy ligands are generally well-tolerated and nontoxic; however, multiple groups have reported adverse cardiovascular events associated with PPARy ligands, including myocardial hypertrophy and congestive heart failure due to plasma volume expansion and edema, in humans and animal models [110-112]. To address this problem, the FDA convened leading experts in 2010 to carry out more research to definitively show whether PPARy ligands are associated with increased cardiovascular risk. The committee observed no significant difference in acute myocardial infarction and acute heart failure between patients treated with ROSI or PIO versus matched control cases and recommended that further studies be performed to address this issue [113, 114]. While these studies are in progress, research should continue to evaluate PPARy ligands for their efficacy and mechanisms of action given their well-documented protective effects in many diseases, including, but not limited to, cancer. Furthermore, a better understanding of the mechanisms by which activation of PPARy-dependent signaling stops tumourigenesis may provide the basis for future development of more efficacious drugs to prevent and/or reduce cancer-related deaths.

6. Discussion

The studies summarized previously, and in Tables 1 and 2, suggest that the combination of PPARy ligands plus standard chemotherapeutic agents, RXR α agonists, statins, and certain cellular signaling molecules holds promise as a novel therapy for several types of malignancy. In general, combined use of two or more therapeutic compounds is often advantageous because of the potential to use lower clinical doses of each, which decreases nonspecific toxicity. However, here we report several examples of synergistic/additive interactions between agents that activate PPARy as well as reductions in toxicity and the ability to overcome resistance. The results here are largely preclinical, with a select few regimens being evaluated in human subjects and, even in those cases, early clinical trials which, naturally, focused largely on toxicity as opposed to efficacy. However, the volume of preclinical evidence suggests that a breakthrough in the clinical application of combination therapy with PPARy agonists is very possible. Moving forward, studies should continue to evaluate mechanisms by which these regimens induce their therapeutic effects as this will ultimately lead to identification of patient populations with high probabilities of therapeutic efficacy. In summary, the types of combination therapy described here are promising strategies for the chemoprevention, management, and/or treatment of several types of cancer.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, "Cancer Fact Sheet #297," 2012, http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs297/en/.
- [2] D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg, "Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation," *Cell*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 646–674, 2011.
- [3] D. R. Budman, A. Calabro, L. G. Wang et al., "Synergism of cytotoxic effects of vinorelbine and paclitaxel in vitro," *Cancer Investigation*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 695–701, 2000.

- [4] T. C. Chou, R. J. Motzer, Y. Tong, and G. J. Bosl, "Computerized quantitation of synergism and antagonism of taxol, topotecan, and cisplatin against human teratocarcinoma cell growth: a rational approach to clinical protocol design," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 86, no. 20, pp. 1517– 1524, 1994.
- [5] A. A. Adjei, I. I. Budihardjo II, E. K. Rowinsky et al., "Cytotoxic synergy between pyrazoloacridine (NSC 366140) and cisplatin in vitro: inhibition of platinum-DNA adduct removal," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 761–770, 1997.
- [6] D. R. Budman and A. Calabro, "Studies of synergistic and antagonistic combinations of conventional cytotoxic agents with the multiple eicosanoid pathway modulator LY 293111," *Anti-Cancer Drugs*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 877–881, 2004.
- [7] S. A. Kliewer, B. M. Forman, B. Blumberg et al., "Differential expression and activation of a family of murine peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 91, no. 15, pp. 7355–7359, 1994.
- [8] O. Braissant, F. Foufelle, C. Scotto, M. Dauça, and W. Wahli, "Differential expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs): tissue distribution of PPAR-α,-β, and -γ in the adult rat," *Endocrinology*, vol. 137, no. 1, pp. 354–366, 1996.
- [9] S. Jain, S. Pulikuri, Y. Zhu et al., "Differential expression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y (PPARy) and its coactivators steroid receptor coactivator-1 and PPARbinding protein PBP in the brown fat, urinary bladder, colon, and breast of the mouse," *The American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 153, pp. 349–354, 1998.
- [10] L. Michalik, J. Auwerx, J. P. Berger et al., "International union of pharmacology. LXI. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors," *Pharmacological Reviews*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 726– 741, 2006.
- [11] S. A. Kliewer, H. E. Xu, M. H. Lambert, and T. M. Willson, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: from genes to physiology," *Recent Progress in Hormone Research*, vol. 56, pp. 239–263, 2001.
- [12] T. M. Larsen, S. Toubro, and A. Astrup, "PPARy agonists in the treatment of type II diabetes: is increased fatness commensurate with long-term efficacy?" *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, vol. 27, pp. 147–161, 2003.
- [13] C. Rosak, E. Standl, T. Reblin, H. Stammer, and D. K. Seidel, "Rosiglitazone is effective and well-tolerated in a range of therapeutic regimens during daily practice in patients with type 2 diabetes," *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 1040–1047, 2006.
- [14] N. Kubota, Y. Terauchi, H. Miki et al., "PPARy mediates high-fat diet-induced adipocyte hypertrophy and insulin resistance," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 597–609, 1999.
- [15] E. Mueller, P. Sarraf, P. Tontonoz et al., "Terminal differentiation of human breast cancer through PPARy," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 465–470, 1998.
- [16] E. Elstner, C. Müller, K. Koshizuka et al., "Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptory and retinoic acid receptor inhibit growth and induce apoptosis of human breast cancer cells in vitro and in BNX mice," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 15, pp. 8806–8811, 1998.
- [17] R. G. Mehta, E. Williamson, M. K. Patel, and H. P. Koeffler, "A ligand of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y,

retinoids, and prevention of preneoplastic mammary lesions," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 92, no. 5, pp. 418–423, 2000.

- [18] G. M. Pighetti, W. Novosad, C. Nicholson et al., "Therapeutic treatment of DMBA-induced mammary tumors with PPAR ligands," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 21, no. 2A, pp. 825–830, 2001.
- [19] N. Suh, Y. Wang, C. R. Williams et al., "A new ligand for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y (PPAR-y), GW7845, inhibits rat mammary carcinogenesis," *Cancer Research*, vol. 59, no. 22, pp. 5671–5673, 1999.
- [20] G. D. Demetri, C. D. M. Fletcher, E. Mueller et al., "Induction of solid tumor differentiation by the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y ligand troglitazone in patients with liposarcoma," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, vol. 96, no. 7, pp. 3951–3956, 1999.
- [21] H. J. Burstein, G. D. Demetri, E. Mueller, P. Sarraf, B. M. Spiegelman, and E. P. Winer, "Use of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) *y* ligand troglitazone as treatment for refractory breast cancer: a phase II study," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 391– 397, 2003.
- [22] M. H. Kulke, G. D. Demetri, N. E. Sharpless et al., "A phase II study of troglitazone, an activator of the PPARy receptor, in patients with chemotherapy-resistant metastatic colorectal cancer," *Cancer Journal*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 395–399, 2002.
- [23] M. R. Smith, J. Manola, D. S. Kaufman et al., "Rosiglitazone versus placebo for men with prostate carcinoma and a rising serum prostate-specific antigen level after radical prostatectomy and/or radiation therapy," *Cancer*, vol. 101, no. 7, pp. 1569–1574, 2004.
- [24] L. D. Yee, N. Williams, P. Wen et al., "Pilot study of rosiglitazone therapy in women with breast cancer: effects of shortterm therapy on tumor tissue and serum markers," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 246–252, 2007.
- [25] R. A. Alderden and T. W. Hambley, "The discovery and development of cisplatin," *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 724–728, 2006.
- [26] L. Kelland, "The resurgence of platinum-based cancer chemotherapy," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 573–584, 2007.
- [27] Z. H. Siddik, "Cisplatin: mode of cytotoxic action and molecular basis of resistance," *Oncogene*, vol. 22, no. 47, pp. 7265–7279, 2003.
- [28] J. Sastry and S. J. Kellie, "Severe neurotoxicity, ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity following high-dose cisplatin and amifostine," *Pediatric Hematology and Oncology*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 441–445, 2005.
- [29] I. Arany and R. L. Safirstein, "Cisplatin nephrotoxicity," Seminars in Nephrology, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 460–464, 2003.
- [30] R. J. Cersosimo, "Oxaliplatin-associated neuropathy: a review," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 128– 135, 2005.
- [31] G. D. Girnun, E. Naseri, S. B. Vafai et al., "Synergy between PPARy ligands and platinum-based drugs in cancer," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 395–406, 2007.
- [32] K. Tikoo, P. Kumar, and J. Gupta, "Rosiglitazone synergizes anticancer activity of cisplatin and reduces its nephrotoxicity in 7, 12-dimethyl benzaanthracene (DMBA) induced breast cancer rats," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 9, article 107, 2009.
- [33] R. C. Reddy, A. Srirangam, K. Reddy et al., "Chemotherapeutic drugs induce PPAR-y expression and show sequencespecific synergy with PPAR-y ligands in inhibition of

non-small cell lung cancer," *Neoplasia*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 597–603, 2008.

- [34] N. Hamaguchi, H. Hamada, S. Miyoshi et al., "In vitro and in vivo therapeutic efficacy of the PPAR-y agonist troglitazone in combination with cisplatin against malignant pleural mesothelioma cell growth," *Cancer Science*, vol. 101, no. 9, pp. 1955–1964, 2010.
- [35] S. A. Cannistra, "Cancer of the ovary," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 351, no. 24, pp. 2519–2529, 2004.
- [36] D. A. Eberhard, B. E. Johnson, L. C. Amler et al., "Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 23, no. 25, pp. 5900–5909, 2005.
- [37] L. Q. Cao, X. L. Wang, Q. Wang et al., "Rosiglitazone sensitizes hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines to 5-fluorouracil antitumor activity through activation of the PPARy signaling pathway," *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1316–1322, 2009.
- [38] M. Konopleva, E. Elstner, T. J. McQueen et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoid X receptor ligands are potent inducers of differentiation and apoptosis in leukemias," *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 1249–1262, 2004.
- [39] M. Mody, N. Dharker, M. Bloomston et al., "Rosiglitazone sensitizes MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to anti-tumour effects of tumour necrosis factor-α, CH11 and CYC202," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 305–315, 2007.
- [40] B. Zhang, G. Ramesh, C. C. Norbury, and W. B. Reeves, "Cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity is mediated by tumor necrosis factor-α produced by renal parenchymal cells," *Kidney International*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 37–44, 2007.
- [41] D. Bonofiglio, E. Cione, H. Qi et al., "Combined low doses of PPARy and RXR ligands trigger an intrinsic apoptotic pathway in human breast cancer cells," *The American Journal* of *Pathology*, vol. 175, no. 3, pp. 1270–1280, 2009.
- [42] E. Elstner, E. A. Williamson, C. Zang et al., "Novel therapeutic approach: ligands for PPARy and retinoid receptors induce apoptosis in bcl-2-positive human breast cancer cells," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 155– 165, 2002.
- [43] K. Brautigam, J. Biernath-Wupping, D. O. Bauerschlag et al., "Combined treatment with TRAIL and PPARy ligands overcomes chemoresistance of ovarian cancer cell lines," *Journal* of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, vol. 137, no. 5, pp. 875–886, 2011.
- [44] B. H. Park, S. B. Lee, D. B. Stolz, Y. J. Lee, and B. C. Lee, "Synergistic interactions between heregulin and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y (PPARy) agonist in breast cancer cells," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 286, no. 22, pp. 20087–20099, 2011.
- [45] P. Tontonoz, S. Singer, B. M. Forman et al., "Terminal differentiation of human liposarcoma cells induced by ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y and the retinoid X receptor," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 237–241, 1997.
- [46] J. H. Tapia-Perez, E. Kirches, C. Mawrin, R. Firsching, and T. Schneider, "Cytotoxic effect of different statins and thiazolidinediones on malignant glioma cells," *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 1193–1201, 2011.
- [47] S. Gehring, J. H. Tapia-Pérez, E. Kirches et al., "Cytotoxic effects of statins and thiazolidinediones on meningioma

cells," *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 383–393, 2011.

- [48] S. Y. James, F. Lin, S. K. Kolluri, M. I. Dawson, and X. K. Zhang, "Regulation of retinoic acid receptor β expression by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ligands in cancer cells," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 13, pp. 3531–3538, 2003.
- [49] P. Mrowka, E. Glodkowska, D. Nowis et al., "Ciglitazone, an agonist of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y, exerts potentiated cytostatic/cytotoxic effects against tumor cells when combined with lovastatin," *International Journal* of Oncology, vol. 32, pp. 249–255, 2008.
- [50] A. Papi, L. Tatenhorst, D. Terwel et al., "PPARy and RXRy ligands act synergistically as potent antineoplastic agents in vitro and in vivo glioma models," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 109, pp. 1779–1790, 2009.
- [51] D. M. Ray, S. H. Bernstein, and R. P. Phipps, "Human multiple myeloma cells express peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* and undergo apoptosis upon exposure to PPAR*y* ligands," *Clinical Immunology*, vol. 113, pp. 203–213, 2004.
- [52] A. Papi, P. Rocchi, A. M. Ferreri, F. Guerra, and M. Orlandi, "Enhanced effects of PPARy ligands and RXR selective retinoids in combination to inhibit migration and invasiveness in cancer cells," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 21, pp. 1083–1089, 2009.
- [53] J. A. Copland, L. A. Marlow, S. Kurakata et al., "Novel highaffinity PPARy agonist alone and in combination with paclitaxel inhibits human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma tumor growth via p21WAF1/CIP1," *Oncogene*, vol. 25, no. 16, pp. 2304–2317, 2006.
- [54] S. V. Fulzele, A. Chatterjee, M. S. Shaik, T. Jackson, N. Ichite, and M. Singh, "15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 enhances docetaxel anti-tumor activity against A549 and H460 nonsmall-cell lung cancer cell lines and xenograft tumors," *Anti-Cancer Drugs*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 65–78, 2007.
- [55] Y. Yamamoto, M. Fujita, H. Koma et al., "15-Deoxy-Δ12, 14-prostaglandin J2 enhanced the anti-tumor activity of camptothecin against renal cell carcinoma independently of topoisomerase-II and PPARy pathways," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 410, no. 3, pp. 563–567, 2011.
- [56] D. L. Crowe and R. A. Chandraratna, "A retinoid X receptor (RXR)-selective retinoid reveals that RXR- α is potentially a therapeutic target in breast cancer cell lines, and that it potentiates antiproliferative and apoptotic responses to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ligands," *Breast Cancer Research*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. R546–R555, 2004.
- [57] G. D. Girnun, L. Chen, J. Silvaggi et al., "Regression of drugresistant lung cancer by the combination of rosiglitazone and carboplatin," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 14, no. 20, pp. 6478–6486, 2008.
- [58] P. Hau, L. Kunz-Schughart, U. Bogdahn et al., "Low-dose chemotherapy in combination with COX-2 inhibitors and PPAR-y agonists in recurrent high-grade gliomas—a phase II study," *Oncology*, vol. 73, no. 1-2, pp. 21–25, 2008.
- [59] T. Baetz, E. Eisenhauer, L. Siu et al., "A phase I study of oral LY293111 given daily in combination with irinotecan in patients with solid tumours," *Investigational New Drugs*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 217–225, 2007.
- [60] R. Hennig, J. Ventura, R. Segersvard et al., "LY293111 improves efficacy of gemcitabine therapy on pancreatic cancer in a fluorescent orthotopic model in athymic mice," *Neoplasia*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 417–425, 2005.

- [61] M. Knipp, "Metallothioneins and platinum(II) anti-tumor compounds," *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 522–537, 2009.
- [62] D. Lebwohl and R. Canetta, "Clinical development of platinum complexes in cancer therapy: an historical perspective and an update," *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1522–1534, 1998.
- [63] C. Jiang, A. T. Ting, and B. Seed, "PPAR-y agonists inhibit production of monocyte inflammatory cytokines," *Nature*, vol. 391, no. 6662, pp. 82–86, 1998.
- [64] S. Cuzzocrea, B. Pisano, L. Dugo et al., "Rosiglitazone, a ligand of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y, reduces acute inflammation," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 483, no. 1, pp. 79–93, 2004.
- [65] E. K. Rowinsky, N. Onetto, R. M. Canetta, and S. G. Arbuck, "Taxol: the first of the taxanes, an important new class of antitumor agents," *Seminars in Oncology*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 646–662, 1992.
- [66] L. B. Michaud, V. Valero, and G. Hortobagyi, "Risks and benefits of taxanes in breast and ovarian cancer," *Drug Safety*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 401–428, 2000.
- [67] S. I. Sherman, "Thyroid carcinoma," *The Lancet*, vol. 361, no. 9356, pp. 501–511, 2003.
- [68] P. Gargiullo, P. A. Wingo, R. J. Coates, and T. D. Thompson, "Recent trends in mortality rates for four major cancers, by sex and race/ethnicity—United States," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 51, pp. 49–53, 2002.
- [69] Canadian Cancer Society, "Canadian cancer statistics," 2011, http://www.cancer.ca/Canada-wide/About%20cancer/Cancer%20statistics.aspx?sc_lang=en.
- [70] J. C. Wang, "Cellular roles of DNA topoisomerases: a molecular perspective," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 430–440, 2002.
- [71] P. Heisig, "Type II topoisomerases—inhibitors, repair mechanisms and mutations," *Mutagenesis*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 465– 469, 2009.
- [72] L. S. Rosen, "Irinotecan in lymphoma, leukemia, and breast, pancreatic, ovarian, and small-cell lung cancers," *Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 103–109, 1998.
- [73] D. Sandmeier, P. Chaubert, and H. Bouzourene, "Irinotecaninduced colitis," *International Journal of Surgical Pathology*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 215–218, 2005.
- [74] D. B. Longley, D. P. Harkin, and P. G. Johnston, "5-Fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 330–338, 2003.
- [75] F. G. A. Jansman, D. T. Sleijfer, J. C. de Graaf, J. L. L. M. Coenen, and J. R. B. J. Brouwers, "Management of chemotherapy-induced adverse effects in the treatment of colorectal cancer," *Drug Safety*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 353–367, 2001.
- [76] American Cancer Society, "American cancer statistics," 2011, http://www.cancer.org/Cancer/BreastCancer/OverviewGuide/ breast-cancer-overview-key-statistics.
- [77] S.-W. Tong, Y.-X. Yang, H.-D. Hu et al., "Proteomic investigation of 5-fluorouracil resistance in a human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line," *Journal of Cellular Biochemsitry*, vol. 113, pp. 1671–1680, 2012.
- [78] A. F. Sobrero, C. Aschele, and J. R. Bertino, "Fluorouracil in colorectal cancer—a tale of two drugs: implications for biochemical modulation," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 368–381, 1997.
- [79] I. Chau and D. Cunningham, "Chemotherapy in colorectal cancer: new options and new challenges," *British Medical Bulletin*, vol. 64, pp. 159–180, 2002.

- [81] F. Borel, R. Han, A. Visser et al., "Adenosine triphosphatebinding cassette transporter genes up-regulation in untreated hepatocellular carcinoma is mediated by cellular microR-NAs," *Hepatology*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 821–832, 2012.
- [82] T. E. Akiyama, S. Sakai, G. Lambert et al., "Conditional disruption of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y gene in mice results in lowered expression of ABCA1, ABCG1, and apoE in macrophages and reduced cholesterol efflux," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 2607–2619, 2002.
- [83] Y. Q. Zhang, X. Q. Tang, L. Sun et al., "Rosiglitazone enhances fluorouracil-induced apoptosis of HT-29 cells by activating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y*," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1534– 1540, 2007.
- [84] E. Mini, S. Nobili, B. Caciagli, I. Landini, and T. Mazzei, "Cellular pharmacology of gemcitabine," *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 17, supplement 5, pp. v7–v12, 2006.
- [85] D. Goldstein, S. Carroll, M. Apte, and G. Keogh, "Modern management of pancreatic carcinoma," *Internal Medicine Journal*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 475–481, 2004.
- [86] X. Z. Ding, M. S. Talamonti, R. H. Bell Jr., and T. E. Adrian, "A novel anti-pancreatic cancer agent, LY293111," *Anti-Cancer Drugs*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 467–473, 2005.
- [87] P. Chambon, "A decade of molecular biology of retinoic acid receptors," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 940–954, 1996.
- [88] S. A. Kliewer, K. Umesono, D. J. Noonan, R. A. Heyman, and R. M. Evans, "Convergence of 9-cis retinoic acid and peroxisome proliferator signalling pathways through heterodimer formation of their receptors," *Nature*, vol. 358, no. 6389, pp. 771–774, 1992.
- [89] G. L. Rubin, J. H. Duong, C. D. Clyne et al., "Ligands for the peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor *y* and the retinoid X receptor inhibit aromatase cytochrome P450 (CYP19) expression mediated by promoter II in human breast adipose," *Endocrinology*, vol. 143, no. 8, pp. 2863–2871, 2002.
- [90] E. Y. Park, I. J. Cho, and S. G. Kim, "Transactivation of the PPAR-responsive enhancer module in chemopreventive glutathione S-transferase gene by the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y and retinoid X receptor heterodimer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 3701–3713, 2004.
- [91] E. R. Simpson, M. S. Mahendroo, G. D. Means et al., "Aromatase cytochrome P450, the enzyme responsible for estrogen biosynthesis," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 342– 355, 1994.
- [92] W. Yue, J. P. Wang, C. J. Hamilton, L. M. Demers, and R. J. Santen, "In situ aromatization enhances breast tumor estradiol levels and cellular proliferation," *Cancer Research*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 927–932, 1998.
- [93] A. E. Salinas and M. G. Wong, "Glutathione S-transferases a review," *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 279– 309, 1999.
- [94] P. van Lint and C. Libert, "Chemokine and cytokine processing by matrix metalloproteinases and its effect on leukocyte migration and inflammation," *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 1375–1381, 2007.
- [95] W. Yang, C. Rachez, and L. P. Freedman, "Discrete roles for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y and retinoid X

receptor in recruiting nuclear receptor coactivators," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 20, no. 21, pp. 8008–8017, 2000.

- [96] M. Shimizu and H. Moriwaki, "Synergistic effects of PPARy ligands and retinoids in cancer treatment," *PPAR Research*, vol. 2005, Article ID 181047, 10 pages, 2008.
- [97] W. C. Tsao, H. M. Wu, K. H. Chi, Y. H. Chang, and W. W. Lin, "Proteasome inhibitors induce peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor transactivation through RXR accumulation and a protein kinase C-dependent pathway," *Experimental Cell Research*, vol. 304, no. 1, pp. 234–243, 2005.
- [98] E. A. Carswell, L. J. Old, R. L. Kassel, S. Green, N. Fiore, and B. Williamson, "An endotoxin-induced serum factor that causes necrosis of tumors," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 72, pp. 3666–3670, 1975.
- [99] R. M. Locksley, N. Killeen, and M. J. Lenardo, "The TNF and TNF receptor superfamilies: integrating mammalian biology," *Cell*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 487–501, 2001.
- [100] J. L. Bodmer, N. Holler, S. Reynard et al., "TRAIL receptor-2 signals apoptosis through FADD and caspase-8," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 241–243, 2000.
- [101] X. F. Le, C. R. Varela, and R. C. Bast Jr., "Heregulin-induced apoptosis," *Apoptosis*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 483–491, 2002.
- [102] E. E. Partridge and M. N. Barnes, "Epithelial ovarian cancer: prevention, diagnosis, and treatment," *Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 297–320, 1999.
- [103] R. Lupu, M. Cardillo, C. Cho et al., "The significance of heregulin in breast cancer tumor progression and drug resistance," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 57–66, 1996.
- [104] R. Vadlamudi, L. Adam, B. Tseng, L. Costa, and R. Kumar, "Transcriptional up-regulation of paxillin expression by heregulin in human breast cancer cells," *Cancer Research*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 2843–2846, 1999.
- [105] I. R. Hutcheson, J. M. Knowlden, S. E. Hiscox et al., "Heregulin β1 drives gefitinib-resistant growth and invasion in tamoxifen-resistant MCF-7 breast cancer cells," *Breast Cancer Research*, vol. 9, no. 4, article R50, 2007.
- [106] M. Jakobisiak and J. Golab, "Potential antitumor effects of statins (Review)," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1055–1069, 2003.
- [107] M. S. Katz, "Therapy insight: potential of statins for cancer chemoprevention and therapy," *Nature Clinical Practice Oncology*, vol. 2, pp. 82–89, 2005.
- [108] G. Fritz, "HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) as anticancer drugs (review)," *International journal of oncology.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1401–1409, 2005.
- [109] R. E. Teresi, S. M. Planchon, K. A. Waite, and C. Eng, "Regulation of the PTEN promoter by statins and SREBP," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 919–928, 2008.
- [110] K. Arakawa, T. Ishihara, M. Aoto, M. Inamasu, K. Kitamura, and A. Saito, "An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione induces eccentric cardiac hypertrophy by cardiac volume overload in rats," *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*, vol. 31, pp. 8–13, 2004.
- [111] R. W. Nesto, D. Bell, R. O. Bonow et al., "Thiazolidinedione use, fluid retention, and congestive heart failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association," *Circulation*, vol. 108, no. 23, pp. 2941–2948, 2003.
- [112] J. El-Hage, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) agonists: preclinical and clinical cardiac safety considerations," in *Proceedings of the Drug Information Associated Annual Meeting*, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 2006.

PPAR Research

- [113] G. Daniel, "Risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality among commercially-insured patients treated with thiazolidinediones," in *Proceedings of the FDA Joint Meeting of the Endocrinologic and Metabolic Drugs Advisory Committee and the Drug Safety and Risk Management Advisory Committee*, July 2010.
- [114] J. Woodcock, J. M. Sharfstein, and M. Hamburg, "Regulatory action on rosiglitazone by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 363, no. 16, pp. 1489–1491, 2010.