

Citation: Jouval F, Latreille AC, Bureau S, Adjeroud M, Penin L (2019) Multiscale variability in coral recruitment in the Mascarene Islands: From centimetric to geographical scale. PLoS ONE 14(3): e0214163. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214163

Editor: Heather M. Patterson, Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, AUSTRALIA

Received: December 23, 2018

Accepted: March 7, 2019

Published: March 22, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Jouval et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 2590918).

Funding: This work was supported by: Western Indian Ocean Marine Scientific Association, MARG I_2015_19 (WIOMSA) (https://www.wiomsa.org) to FJ; Direction des Relations Internationales, Université de La Réunion (https://www.univreunion.fr/page-accueil-lien-direct-services/dridirection-des-relations-internationales) AAP DRI 2017 n°1 to LP; Direction des Relations RESEARCH ARTICLE

Multiscale variability in coral recruitment in the Mascarene Islands: From centimetric to geographical scale

Florian Jouval¹*, Anne Catherine Latreille², Sophie Bureau¹, Mehdi Adjeroud³, Lucie Penin¹

1 UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, Université de La Réunion, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies & Laboratoire d'Excellence CORAIL, La Réunion, France, 2 UMR 249 PIMIT, Université de La Réunion, INSERM, CNRS, IRD, Plateforme Technologique CYROI, La Réunion, France, 3 UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) & Laboratoire d'Excellence CORAIL, Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan, France

* florian.jouval@univ-reunion.fr

Abstract

Coral recruitment refers to the processes allowing maintenance and renewal of coral communities. Recruitment success is therefore indispensable for coral reef recovery after disturbances. Recruitment processes are governed by a variety of factors occurring at all spatial and temporal scales, from centimetres to hundreds of kilometres. In the present context of rising disturbances, it is thus of major importance to better understand the relative importance of different scales in this variation, and when possible, the factors associated with these scales. Multiscale spatio-temporal variability of scleractinian coral recruitment was investigated at two of the Mascarene Islands: Reunion and Rodrigues. Recruitment rates and taxonomic composition were examined during three consecutive six-month periods from regional to micro-local scales (i.e. from hundreds of kilometres to few centimetres) and between two protection levels (no-take zones and general protection zones). Very low recruitment rates were observed. Rodrigues displayed lower recruitment rates than Reunion. Recruit assemblage was dominated by Pocilloporidae (77.9%), followed by Acroporidae (9.9%) and Poritidae (5.2%). No protection effect was identified on coral recruitment, despite differences in recruitment rates among sites within islands. Recruits were patchily distributed within sites but no aggregative effect was detected, i.e. the preferentially colonised tiles were not spatially grouped. Recruits settled mainly on the sides of the tiles, especially at Rodrigues, which could be attributed to the high concentration of suspended matter. The variability of recruitment patterns at various spatial scales emphasises the importance of micro- to macro-local variations of the environment in the dynamics and maintenance of coral populations. High temporal variability was also detected, between seasons and years, which may be related to the early 2016 bleaching event at Rodrigues. The low recruitment rates and the absence of protection effect raise questions about the potential for recovery from disturbances of coral reefs in the Mascarene Islands.

Internationales, Université de La Réunion, AAP DRI 2018 n°1 (https://www.univ-reunion.fr/pageaccueil-lien-direct-services/dri-direction-desrelations-internationales) to LP; CALIBIOME Fonds Européen de Développement Régional (FEDER), PO FEDER 2014-2020 (www.feder.public.lu/) to LP & MA. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Coral recruitment processes, i.e. settlement of larvae and early post-settlement events occurring in the first weeks and months of benthic life largely shape coral reef community structure [1], allowing maintenance and renewal of coral communities through replacement of dead adults [2,3]. An essential component of reef resilience, recruitment largely influences reef recovery following mass mortalities induced by large-scale disturbances [1,4,5]. Coral recruitment success is thus necessary for coral reef recovery after disturbances, and recruitment failure is a known factor of phase shift [2,6].

Recruitment processes are governed by a variety of factors occurring at all spatial and temporal scales, from centimetres to hundreds of kilometres, and from seasons to decades. This creates spatio-temporal variability in measures of recruitment descriptors at all scales that have been investigated so far [7-11]. Among factors that can be cited, recruitment depends upon spawning of adults, that is generally initiated by increasing sea temperature [12], moon phase [13] and wind fields [14]. Fertilization success and embryo survival are influenced by local environmental factors [15,16]. Larval dispersal is mainly driven by larval survival and pelagic larval duration, associated with oceanographic conditions experienced by larvae, like tidal or wind-driven currents [17]. Larval settlement and survival depend on local (metric scale) and micro-local (centimetric scale) parameters such as the presence of biological inducers or competitors [18–21], light [22], sedimentation [23] or herbivorous grazing [24]. At large geographic scales, the biological and ecological processes that sustain coral communities may be affected by disturbances such as cyclones, bleaching events or outbreaks of the coral predator Acanthaster planci [25,26]. Moreover, anthropogenic impacts interfere with recruitment processes at the local scale. For example, stressors such as pollution, eutrophication, sedimentation or overfishing have been linked to deleterious effects on coral recruitment [11,27–29]. In the present context of rising disturbance frequency and intensity, coral reefs will have to recover more often than in previous decades [30-32]. It is thus of capital importance to better understand the relative importance of different scales in the spatial and temporal variation of recruitment, and where possible, the factors associated with these variations.

A growing body of literature describes spatio-temporal variability of coral recruitment. Even if long-term studies are scarce (but see [33]), important year to year variation has been documented [1,33,34]. Seasonal variation is also well established, with summer periods typically more favourable for coral settlement [e.g. 8,34,35]. Regarding spatial variability, regional variation appears to be important; six-fold differences were observed among reef regions on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) [1], and five-fold differences reported among islands of the Society Archipelago (French Polynesia [36]). Within a region or an island, at the scale of a few kilometres, some reefs or sites also typically receive more recruits than others, and these largescale patterns seem to be consistent in time, among seasons and years [1,34,36,37]. At a much smaller scale, settlement and recruitment vary among micro-habitats as described by differences in recruitment rates among tile orientations [34,37,38]. Between these two scales, local variation patterns, i.e. within-site variation, at the scale of the metre, and its consistency in time has rarely been investigated (but see [39]). This overlooked scale of variation deserves better investigation since many biotic and abiotic factors like competition, predation, light or hydrodynamic conditions vary greatly at this scale (e.g. [24,29,38,40]).

In recent decades, management tools, such as marine protected areas (MPAs), have been developed around the world to limit the anthropogenic impacts on the marine environment. In general, these MPAs delimit different areas in which certain activities, and fishing in particular, are allowed or prohibited [41]. While fishing bans generally improve fish biomass and diversity when enforced [42,43], their effects on coral abundance, while generally positive, are

more tenuous [44,45]. Mechanisms involved in positive effects on corals include lower damage from fishing gear [46] and higher rates of herbivory, tipping the coral-algal competition balance towards coral success [43]. Increases in coral recruitment rates can be expected in this context of lower competition with turf and macroalgae, which are known to limit settlement and survivorship [29,47–49]. In contrast, higher herbivorous fish biomass in MPAs can be linked with higher incidental predation of early stage corals by herbivores [50], but see Mumby [51]. While some studies have compared recruitment rates inside and outside MPAs (e.g. [10,11,52,53]), few have concluded a positive effect of MPAs on coral recruitment (but see [54]).

The present study aims to evaluate (i) the temporal variability of coral recruitment at interannual and seasonal scales, as well as the spatial variation of coral recruitment between two islands of the Mascarene Archipelago (hundreds of kms), among sites of each island (km), among tiles within sites (m), and within settlement tiles (cm); as well as (ii) the effects of MPA status (fishing ban) on coral recruitment. Recruitment rates and taxonomic composition were thus examined during three consecutive six-month periods at regional (between two islands, Reunion and Rodrigues), island (between four sites at each island), local (between 20 artificial settlement tiles at each site) and micro-local levels through the orientation of recruits on artificial settlement tiles. The variation of recruitment rates and composition were also examined within and outside no-take zones (NTZs) at both Reunion and Rodrigues reefs.

Material and methods

Ethics statements

Fieldwork was conducted within the Réserve Naturelle Marine de La Réunion (MPA) at Reunion, under research authorization N°2014–27 DEAL/SEB/UBIO, and within South East Marine Protected Area at Rodrigues, under Rodrigues Regional Assembly research authorization N°RA 402/17 Vol II.

Study sites

Reunion and Rodrigues islands are part of the Mascarene Islands, along with Mauritius, in the South-Western Indian Ocean (SWIO). Reunion (21° 07' S, 55° 32' E), one of the overseas French territories, is a volcanic island (ca. 70 km long and 50 km wide) located 700 km east of Madagascar. Fringing reefs line the island in a 12 km² area along 25 km of coastline on its west and south coasts [55]. Rodrigues (19° 43' S, 63° 25' E) is a small isolated island that is part of the Republic of Mauritius (18.3 km long and 6.5 km wide), and is the easternmost of the Mascarene Islands. It is surrounded by an almost continuous 90 km long reef rim and constitutes a reef area of ca. 200 km² [56]. Deleterious effects of coral bleaching are growing stronger over the decades in the Mascarene Islands (see [57] at Rodrigues). Reunion and Rodrigues reefs are not immune to other anthropogenic stress either. Increased urbanisation of the Reunion coastline during the last decades drove noticeable eutrophication in the reef environment [58] and a decrease in reef fish stocks in some areas [59]. These phenomena have led to an increase in the relative cover of algae compared to corals [58,60,61]. Consequently, a multiple-use MPA (Réserve Naturelle Marine de La Réunion) was set up in 2007 to address the deterioration of reefs. This MPA, covering an area of over 35 km^2 , is divided into three levels of protection: open areas for human activities (general protection zones or GPZs), restricted areas where only some traditional and commercial fishing activities are allowed, and sanctuaries where no activity is allowed, thus corresponding to NTZs (Fig 1). Human pressure is less documented for the Rodrigues reef. In response to overfishing 10 years ago, the local government of Rodrigues implemented four MPAs to the north of the island, but only partial management has



Fig 1. Location of the sampling sites (black dots) on Reunion and Rodrigues reefs. Light grey: land; dark grey: reef flat; orange: MPAs boundaries; red: NTZs boundaries. Underlined sampling sites belong to NTZs. SS: Sanctuaire Sud; SB: Souris Blanche; VS: Varangue Sud; MA: Marine; IF: Ile aux Fous; RB: Rivière Banane; MO: Mourouk; PE: Port Sud-Est.

been set up and fishing still occurs in these areas [62,63]. In addition, the South East Marine Protected Area (SEMPA) is an area in the south-eastern of Rodrigues designated as an MPA in 2009. It includes both inner and outer lagoon covering a total 43.7 km² divided into different multiple-use zones, including NTZs where fishing is prohibited (Fig 1).

Sampling strategy

The sampling strategy used four sites on the outer reef slopes at both Reunion and Rodrigues islands, including two sites within a NTZ and two sites outside NTZ for each island (Fig 1). Eight sites were thus sampled and coded as follows: Reunion (SS: Sanctuaire Sud and VS: Varangue Sud in the NTZ; SB: Souris Blanche and MA: Marine in GPZs); Rodrigues (MO: Mourouk and PE: Port Sud-Est in the NTZ; IF: Ile aux Fous and RB: Rivière Banane in GPZs). At all sites, the abundance and taxonomic composition of coral recruits was characterised at 12 m depth on unglazed terracotta settlement tiles [64–68]. For each site, 20 individual tiles (ca. $10 \times 10 \times 2$ cm) were immersed for six months over two austral summer periods (October 2015-March 2016, October 2016-March 2017) and one winter period (April-September 2016). Tiles were deployed and spatially referenced within each site at Reunion by measuring distances and azimuth between each tile and a reference point. Typical distance between two consecutive tiles was 1-2 m, while most distant tiles were around 15 to 20 m apart from each other. At the end of the immersion periods, the tiles were retrieved, bleached and sun dried to expose the skeleton of coral recruits (coral spats) for identification and counting under a dissecting microscope. Recruits of the families Acroporidae, Pocilloporidae and Poritidae were discriminated according to morphological traits [69]. Other recruits were assigned to the category 'others' if not associated with one of the previously cited families, or to the category 'broken' when the skeleton was too damaged for identification [34].

Data analyses

In all the analyses described hereafter, abundance of recruits counted per tile was expressed as recruitment rate per m² (recruitsm⁻²). Spatio-temporal variation of overall recruitment rates (all taxa combined) was evaluated at three different spatial scales: between islands, between protection levels (i.e. within NTZ or outside MPA), and between sites, for three periods (two summers and one winter) using an ANOVA model (Eq 1).

$$T_{ijkl} = m + I_i + Pr_j + S_k + Pe_l + (IPe)_{il} + (PrPe)_{il} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$
(Eq1)

where *i*, *j*, *k* and *l* stand for the island, the protection levels, the sites and the periods, respectively, and ε is the residual variance (within sites). The spatial factors correspond to a three-level nested design with protection levels within islands and sites within protection levels. Sites were considered as a random factor. Prior to analyses, normality and homogeneity of the variance of the data set were tested using Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests, respectively. Recruitment rate variable was log(x+1) transformed to meet the assumptions of normality. To analyse differences between levels of the significant factors, Student Pairwise t-tests (SPT) were used.

Spatio-temporal variation of the taxonomic composition was also evaluated at the three different spatial scales (between islands, between protection levels and between sites) for three periods using Chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact test when assumptions for Chi-squared test were not met.

The spatial variation of coral recruitment between tiles was determined by evaluating the effect of geographical distance between pairs of tiles using a Mantel test. These tests will be referred to as Mantel 1 for overall recruitment rates and Mantel 2 for taxonomic composition. Temporal variations of overall recruitment rates were then tested using a Spearman rank correlation test to compare the overall recruitment rates between all period pairs, for each site.

Spatio-temporal variation of recruitment within tiles was assessed by comparing the orientation of recruits over the different surfaces of the tiles (upper, sides and lower) between islands, protection levels and periods independently for four taxonomic categories





Fig 2. Recruitment rates observed during three consecutive 6-month periods on artificial settlement tiles at 12 m depth among reef slope sites on Rodrigues and Reunion reefs (recruitsm⁻² ± SE). P1: period 1, i.e. summer 2015–2016 (October 2015–March 2016); P2: winter 2016 (April–September 2016); P3: summer 2016–2017 (October 2016–March 2017). NTZs are underlined. No recruits were observed at Rodrigues (at any site) or at SB site during winter 2016. SS: Sanctuaire Sud; SB: Souris Blanche; VS: Varangue Sud; MA: Marine; IF: Ile aux Fous; RB: Rivière Banane; MO: Mourouk; PE: Port Sud-Est.

(Acroporidae, Pocilloporidae, Poritidae and other families) using Chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact test when assumptions for Chi-squared test were not met.

Results

Spatio-temporal variations of recruitment rates

Recruitment rates ranged from 48 to 150 recruitsm⁻² during the first summer (October 2015– March 2016) at Reunion while fewer than 7 recruitsm⁻² were recorded over the same period at Rodrigues (Fig 2). Over the following summer (October 2016–March 2017), recruitment rates were slightly lower at Reunion (20–98 recruitsm⁻²) compared to the previous summer, while they were higher than observed the year before at Rodrigues, reaching 11–40 recruitsm⁻². During the winter (April–September 2016), recruitment rates were extremely low, with fewer than 11 recruitsm⁻² recorded at Reunion and no recruits at all at Rodrigues. Recruitment rates (all taxa pooled) varied significantly between islands (ANOVA, P < 0.0001; Table 1) and among periods (ANOVA, P < 0.0001; Table 1). Moreover, the island × period interaction was significant (ANOVA, P < 0.0001; Table 1). These differences between periods were mainly due to recruitment variation between both summers and the winter (SPT, P < 0.0001; Fig 2), while there were no significant differences between the two summers (SPT, P > 0.05; Fig 2). Also, recruitment rates were slightly higher in the NTZ in Rodrigues compared to the GPZ, while it was the contrary at Reunion (Fig 2). Nevertheless, effects of protection level or protection × period interaction on recruitment rates were not significant (ANOVA, P > 0.05; Table 1).

A significant inter-site variability of recruitment rates was recorded (ANOVA, P < 0.001; Table 1). While no differences were found between Rodrigues sites, post-hoc tests revealed significant differences between each pair of Reunion sites except between MA and VS, and MA and SS (SPT, 0.0001 < P < 0.05; Fig 2).

Moreover, an important variability of recruitment rates among individual tiles was observed within each site at Reunion, whatever the protection level (Fig 3). However, no aggregative effects were highlighted among groups of tiles; the geographical distances between tiles were not related to recruitment rates observed on tiles (Mantel 1, P > 0.05; Fig 3). From a temporal point of view, there was no relationship in recruitment rates on individual tiles within each site between the two summers (2015–2016 and 2016–2017; Spearman rank tests, P > 0.05; Fig 3). This means highest recruitment rates were not observed on the same tile spots over the two consecutive summers.

Spatio-temporal variations of taxonomic composition

The relative contribution of the different families varied significantly between islands (Chisquared test, P < 0.0001; Fig 4). During the two summers, a large dominance of Pocilloporidae (86% on average) was observed at Reunion (Fig 4). At Rodrigues, a shift of the dominant family between summers was noted. Poritidae recruits dominated the first summer (October 2015–March 2016, 80%) while Acroporidae recruits dominated the second summer (October 2016–March 2017; 69%). Consistently, taxonomic composition only varied significantly between the two summers at Rodrigues (Fisher's exact test, P < 0.04; Fig 4) and not at Reunion (Fisher's exact test, P > 0.05). During the winter, all recruits recorded at Reunion (n = 10) belonged to the Pocilloporidae family.

At both islands, taxonomic composition did not differ significantly between NTZs and GPZs (Fisher's exact tests, P > 0.05; Fig 4). Moreover, no difference of taxonomic composition was observed for each pair of sites within both islands (Fisher's exact tests, P > 0.05; Fig 4).

As with recruitment rates, taxonomic composition was not related to the relative position of tiles within sites (Mantel 2, P > 0.05; Fig 3), implying that tiles close to each other did not display more similar relative proportions of taxa than distant ones.

Spatio-temporal variations of the orientation of recruits

Orientation of recruits on tiles varied significantly between islands (Fisher's exact test, P < 0.01). At Rodrigues, recruits settled mainly on the sides of the tiles, to a lesser extent on

Factor	Df	Mean Square	F	P-value
Island	1	90.378	75.9253	2.20E-16
Protection (within Island)	2	5.153	4.3292	0.67
Site (within Protection)	4	5.864	4.926	5.92E-04
Period	2	48.318	40.5911	2.20E-16
Island x Period	2	26.175	21.9896	3.50E-10
Protection (within Island) x Period	4	2.046	1.719	0.14
Residuals	2204	1.19		

Table 1. ANOVA table of the analyses of spatio-temporal variations of coral recruitment rates.

Df: degrees of freedom; F: F-statistic.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214163.t001









the upper side and never on the lower surfaces where light availability was the lowest. At Reunion, recruitment was more evenly distributed, although recruitment rates were higher on the sides of the tiles than on other orientations. The orientation of recruits on tiles was not influenced by the protection level nor by the time period for both islands (Fisher's exact tests, P > 0.05).

Discussion

Abundance of recruits

The present study is the first published on coral recruitment within the Mascarene Islands. Results reveal that overall recruitment rates measured on outer reef slopes at Reunion and Rodrigues islands from 2015 to 2017 were low, not exceeding 150 recruitsm⁻² at Reunion and 40 recruitsm⁻² at Rodrigues, during the summer. When compared to other reefs in the SWIO, recruitment rates from the present study were much lower than those on the Nyali reef in Kenya (908 recruitsm⁻² y⁻¹; [53]), along the coast of South Africa (1000 recruitsm⁻² during peak settlement; [70]), or on the reefs of Vamizi island in Mozambique (1130 recruitsm⁻² y⁻¹; [10]). However, the recruitment rates at Reunion and Rodrigues islands were similar to those recorded at Coral Gardens in Kenya (101 recruitsm⁻² y⁻¹; [53]). Recruitment rates observed in this study were also comparable to levels observed in Moorea, French Polynesia [34,66,71] and in some locations of the Red Sea [8]. Even if artificial settlement tiles have been commonly used as a standardised assay of coral recruitment, the comparison of coral recruitment on artificial materials is challenging, due to varying methodology, as noted by Edmunds [72].

Variations of recruitment through protection level

Contrary to what was expected, no significant differences in recruitment rates were found between the NTZs and GPZs. Preservation of fish stocks by NTZ implementation is known to

foster herbivore communities and consequently to promote recovery potential and thus resilience of coral reefs [73–75]. Indeed, herbivory is one of the major factors controlling algal development, which inhibits coral recruitment through competition for space and smothering of recruits by trapped sediments [76]. However, herbivorous grazers, like fish and urchins, can also exert incidental predation on young coral stages [24,77–79]. Overall, most authors consider increasing herbivory as beneficial for coral recruitment (see reviews by [44,80]).

Lack of protection effect on coral recruitment rates in the studied locations may have several causes. The NTZs of both Reunion and Rodrigues islands were set up less than 10 years ago and the direct role of fishing pressure reduction on coral recruitment might not be effective yet. Absence of positive effects on the coral communities in recently protected areas has been previously highlighted [81,82]. In addition, Graham et al. [44] noted that spatial differences in recruitment rates may not necessarily align with NTZs placement and therefore, even if NTZs are effective at enhancing coral cover, this would not necessarily translate into higher local recruitment. These results support the idea that coral recruitment is sensitive mainly to large-scale disturbances whose deleterious effects cannot be directly mitigated by the establishment of a NTZ. In this context, it may be worthwhile to investigate the benefits of placing NTZs in areas of high recruitment, as they are more likely to recover from disturbances than areas with low recruitment rates.

Spatial variability of recruitment

We found significant differences in recruitment rates among Reunion sites while both recruitment rates and taxonomic composition were similar in all sites at Rodrigues. This was surprising as sites at Reunion were all facing west, but sites at Rodrigues faced south-east and north. Thus, differences in recruitment rates could not be attributed to site configuration alone. At Rodrigues, the similarity in taxonomic composition may be mainly due to the low number of recruits.

Rodrigues is a very small and isolated island (about 600 km eastward from Mauritius and several thousand kilometres away from the land towards the other cardinal points). Reefs of the Mascarene Islands are under the influence of the South Equatorial Current (SEC) flowing east to west. Larval dispersal simulations highlighted connections within the Mascarene Islands from Rodrigues to Reunion [83,84]. This is consistent with some recent genetic studies conducted on Pocillopora damicornis type beta (Pocilloporidae) in the SWIO highlighting that unidirectional gene flows occur, even if limited, from east to west [85]. Moreover, Gélin et al. [86] showed that populations of *P. damicornis* type beta from the south of Reunion were genetically differentiated from those located in the north and the west of the island. The authors attributed this genetic isolation to a particular connection between the southern reefs of Reunion and Mauritius or Rodrigues reefs through the SEC. However, it was also suggested that the complex currents occurring along the west coast of Reunion might prevent non-local larvae from reaching the western reef, and instead only retain local larvae [86]. Thus, it could be interesting to (i) add sites on the south coast of Reunion to determine whether recruitment rates and taxonomic composition are closer to those observed at Rodrigues and (ii) to combine recruitment and genetic analyses to determine the origin of the recruits and to better understand gene flow occurring among the Mascarene Islands.

Among the different settlement tiles of each site, recruitment rates and taxonomic composition varied greatly, reflecting a patchy distribution, as previously observed in French Polynesia [34] and on the GBR [87]. This variability has also been observed in the structure of adult and juvenile corals in many reefs (e.g. [88,89]), and is likely to be related to the strong environmental heterogeneity encountered at small scales in reef ecosystems [90] and induced by different factors, such as local-scale hydrodynamic regimes [91], disturbance history [92] or predation [50]. However, no aggregative effect was revealed among spatial groups of tiles when tested within sites at Reunion. This means that tiles with high numbers of recruits were not located in the same area of the site, or particularly close to each other. In parallel, tiles close to each other did not display more similar relative proportions of taxa than distant ones. In terms of methodology, this shows that spatial variability at the scale of the site is correctly sampled. At the same spatial scale, highest recruitment rates were not observed on the same tile spots over the two consecutive summers, suggesting that processes responsible for spatial variation at this scale are not spatially consistent among years.

Within tiles, higher recruitment rates were found on the sides of the tiles deployed both at Reunion and Rodrigues islands, compared to the upper and lower surfaces. These observations were especially true at Rodrigues, where recruits rarely settled on the upper and lower faces of tiles. This may be linked to the higher concentration of suspended matter at Rodrigues than at Reunion (Jouval, pers. obs.). Indeed, this could (i) increase sedimentation on the upper surface of tiles, preventing the recruits from settling on this face and (ii) limit light penetration through the water column, which can prevent recruits from settling on the shady (lower) surface of the tiles. The effects of sedimentation and light availability on coral larvae settlement are often invoked as factors influencing the position of recruits over tiles [11,38,93]. The very weak presence of Pocilloporidae recruits on the upper faces of tiles, while being the most represented recruits in our study, illustrates their sensitivity to sedimentation, as previously observed [94]. The low proportion of recruits found on the upper surfaces of the tiles at both islands may also be a consequence of grazing by herbivorous fish and/or urchins [34,71]. Larval sensitivity to high-intensity light highlighted by other studies (e.g. [95,96]) is unlikely to have inhibited settlement of recruits on upper surfaces of the tiles in our study because of the depth of immersion of the tiles.

Temporal variability of recruitment

At each spatial scale, from regional (between islands) to micro-local (within tiles), a strong period effect was highlighted both on recruitment rates and taxonomic composition, linked to the very low recruitment rates during the winter (April-September 2016), with only Pocilloporidae recruits at Reunion and the complete absence of recruits at Rodrigues. These results were consistent with observations made in the Indo-Pacific, where larval settlement is highly seasonal (e.g. reviewed in [97], [34] in French Polynesia, [98] on the Great Barrier Reef). The dominance of Pocilloporidae recruits on Reunion reefs was consistent with many observations across tropical and sub-tropical reefs of the Indo-Pacific [34,50,53,70,99]. The presence of some Pocilloporidae recruits during the winter at Reunion may have resulted from local asexual reproduction of adult colonies, already documented for this family, by polyp bail-out (Seriatopora hystrix, [100]) or by the production of parthenogenetic larvae (Pocillopora damicornis, [101]). In particular, at Reunion, Gélin et al. [86] suggested that the lagoonal species P. damicornis produces parthenogenetic larvae, but information is lacking for other Pocilloporidae species present on reef slopes. During the two summers (October to March), recruitment rates were higher and the taxonomic composition more diversified compared to the winter (April to September). On Rodrigues reef, recruits of Poritidae were dominant in the first summer, but Acroporidae recruits were highest in the second, while recruitment rates were slightly higher. The first summer studied corresponded to the massive bleaching event of 2016 that affected coral communities of Reunion and Rodrigues islands, thus potentially modifying coral recruitment rates and taxonomic composition. Indeed, numerous studies have revealed that adults of the Acroporidae family are among the most susceptible to bleaching

events [102–104]. The 2016 coral bleaching greatly affected adults of Acroporidae species, especially *Acropora abrotanoides*, which was dominant in some sites at Rodrigues, leading to the death of the majority of them (Jouval, pers. obs.). Since recruitment at Rodrigues is likely to rely mainly on local coral populations, based on the observations about connectivity and currents, this could explain the greater representation of Poritidae recruits in the year of bleaching in Rodrigues. Nevertheless, these observations are related to an overall very low number of recruits at Rodrigues, and thus need to be considered with caution. On the tiles, the highest or lowest recruitment rates were not detected on the same tile from one period to the next. Spatial patterns of variation of recruitment rates within sites were not consistent over the three consecutive periods studied.

Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that recruitment rates were low in the Mascarene Islands, especially at Rodrigues. Recruitment patterns varied greatly at several spatial scales: between islands, and between and within tiles. To a lesser extent, recruitment rates also varied between sites only at Reunion. Both recruitment rates and taxonomic composition displayed great seasonal variation, with very low recruitment rates during the winter. These observations suggested the importance of studying a minimum number of sites, each represented by several replicates (i.e. tiles), to correctly assess the spatio-temporal variability of recruitment patterns between or within reefs. The spatio-temporal variations of recruitment patterns described here have direct implications in terms of conservation, showing that some sites present higher overall recruitment rates, potentially giving these sites a higher capacity for recovery following disturbances. Our study did not highlight any effect of protection on coral recruitment. With low recruitment rates, versatile taxonomic composition over time, and high concentration of suspended matter, Rodrigues appears to be particularly vulnerable to large-scale disturbances.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the first author's PhD thesis. We thank Lionel Bigot and Patrick Frouin who helped in the field, and TSMOI for technical field support at Reunion. We also thank Rodrigues Regional Assembly for research authorization, and SHOALS Rodrigues and SEMPA team for technical field support at Rodrigues, especially Jovani Raffin, Runolph Raffaut, Reshad Jhangeer-Khan, Fulbert Jérôme Joseph, Jean-Rex Pierre-Louis and Jean Lindsay Azie.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Florian Jouval, Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

Data curation: Florian Jouval, Sophie Bureau.

Formal analysis: Florian Jouval, Anne Catherine Latreille.

Funding acquisition: Florian Jouval, Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

Investigation: Florian Jouval.

Methodology: Florian Jouval, Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

Project administration: Lucie Penin.

Supervision: Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

Validation: Florian Jouval, Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

Visualization: Florian Jouval.

Writing - original draft: Florian Jouval.

Writing – review & editing: Florian Jouval, Anne Catherine Latreille, Sophie Bureau, Mehdi Adjeroud, Lucie Penin.

References

- Hughes TP, Baird AH, Dinsdale EA, Moltschaniwskyj NA, Pratchett MS, Tanner JE, et al. Patterns of recruitment and abundance of corals along the Great Barrier Reef. Nature. 1999; 397: 59–63. https:// doi.org/10.1038/16237
- Hughes TP, Baird AH, Dinsdale EA, Moltschaniwskyj NA, Pratchett MS, Tanner JE, et al. Supply-side ecology works both ways: The link between benthic adults, fecundity, and larval recruits. Ecology. 2000; 81: 2241–2249. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[2241:SSEWBW]2.0.CO;2
- 3. Harrison PL, Wallace CC. Reproduction, dispersal and recruitment of scleractinian corals. In: Dubinsky Z, editor. Coral Reefs Ecosystems of the World. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1990. pp. 133–207.
- Graham NAJ, Bellwood DR, Cinner JE, Hughes TP, Norström A V., Nyström M. Managing resilience to reverse phase shifts in coral reefs. Front Ecol Environ. 2013; 11: 541–548. https://doi.org/10.1890/ 120305
- Golbuu Y, Victor S, Penland L, Idip D, Emaurois C, Okaji K, et al. Palau's coral reefs show differential habitat recovery following the 1998-bleaching event. Coral Reefs. 2007; 26: 319–332. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00338-007-0200-7
- 6. Hughes TP, Tanner JE. Recruitment failure, life histories, and long-term decline of Caribbean corals. Ecology. 2000; 81: 2250–2263. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[2250:RFLHAL]2.0.CO;2
- Dunstan PK, Johnson CR. Spatio-temporal variation in coral recruitment at different scales on Heron Reef, southern Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs. 1998; 17: 71–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s003380050098
- Glassom D, Zakai D, Chadwick-Furman NE. Coral recruitment: A spatio-temporal analysis along the coastline of Eilat, northern Red Sea. Mar Biol. 2004; 144: 641–651. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1243-0
- Green DH, Edmunds PJ. Spatio-temporal variability of coral recruitment on shallow reefs in St. John, US Virgin Islands. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2011; 397: 220–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.12. 004
- Sola E, da Silva IM, Glassom D. Spatio-temporal patterns of coral recruitment at Vamizi Island, Quirimbas Archipelago, Mozambique. African J Mar Sci. 2015; 37: 557–565. <u>https://doi.org/10.2989/</u> 1814232X.2015.1113201
- 11. Chui APY, Ang P. Recruitment failure of scleractinian corals in a subtropical marginal environment: Three-year monitoring in a Hong Kong marine park. Mar Pollut Bull. Elsevier; 2017; 124: 668–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.005 PMID: 28629813
- Nozawa Y, Tokeshi M, Nojima S. Reproduction and recruitment of scleractinian corals in a high-latitude coral community, Amakusa, southwestern Japan. Mar Biol. 2006; 149: 1047–1058. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0285-5</u>
- Guest JR, Baird AH, Clifton KE, Heyward AJ. From molecules to moonbeams: Spawning synchrony in coral reef organisms. Invertebr Reprod Dev. 2008; 51: 145–149. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07924259</u>. 2008.9652264
- van Woesik R. Calm before the spawn: global coral spawning patterns are explained by regional wind fields. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2010; 277: 715–722. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1524</u> PMID: 19892757
- Heyward AJ, Negri AP. Turbulence, Cleavage, and the Naked Embryo: A Case for Coral Clones. Science. 2012. pp. 1064–1064. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216055 PMID: 22383841
- Oliver J, Babcock RC. Aspects of the fertilization ecology of broadcast spawning corals: sperm dilution effects and *in situ* measurements of fertilization. Biol Bull. 1992; 183: 409–417. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1542017</u> PMID: 29300507
- Harii S, Kayanne H. Larval dispersal, recruitment, and adult distribution of the brooding stony octocoral Heliopora coerulea on Ishigaki Island, southwest Japan. Coral Reefs. 2003; 22: 188–196. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-003-0302-9</u>
- Vermeij MJA. Substrate composition and adult distribution determine recruitment patterns in a Caribbean brooding coral. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2005; 295: 123–133. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps295123

- Vermeij MJA, Dailer ML, Smith CM. Crustose coralline algae can suppress macroalgal growth and recruitment on hawaiian coral reefs. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011; 422: 1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/</u> meps08964
- Heyward AJ, Negri AP. Natural inducers for coral larval metamorphosis. Coral Reefs. 1999; 18: 273– 279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380050193
- Maida M, Sammarco P, Coll J. Effects of soft corals on scleractinian coral recruitment. I: Directional allelopathy and inhibition of settlement. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1995; 121: 191–202. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps121191
- Babcock RC, Mundy C. Coral recruitment: Consequences of settlement choice for early growth and survivorship in two scleractinians. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 1996; 206: 179–201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-0981(96)02622-6</u>
- Salinas-de-León P, Dryden C, Smith DJ, Bell JJ. Temporal and spatial variability in coral recruitment on two Indonesian coral reefs: Consistently lower recruitment to a degraded reef. Mar Biol. 2013; 160: 97–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2066-7
- Penin L, Michonneau F, Carroll AG, Adjeroud M. Effects of predators and grazers exclusion on early post-settlement coral mortality. Hydrobiologia. 2011; 663: 259–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-010-0569-0
- 25. Kayal M, Vercelloni J, Lison de Loma T, Bosserelle P, Chancerelle Y, Geoffroy S, et al. Predator crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) outbreak, mass mortality of corals, and cascading effects on reef fish and benthic communities. PLoS One. 2012; 7: e47363. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047363</u> PMID: 23056635
- De'ath G, Fabricius KE, Sweatman H, Puotinen M. The 27 –year decline of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. PNAS. 2012; 109: 17995–17999. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.</u> 1208909109 PMID: 23027961
- 27. Hughes TP, Connell JH. Multiple stressors on coral reefs: a long-term perspective. Limnol Oceanogr. 1999; 44: 932–940. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.3_part_2.0932
- Abelson A, Olinky R, Gaines S. Coral recruitment to the reefs of Eilat, Red Sea: Temporal and spatial variation, and possible effects of anthropogenic disturbances. Mar Pollut Bull. 2005; 50: 576–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.02.021 PMID: 15907500
- 29. Birrell CL, McCook LJ, Willis BL. Effects of algal turfs and sediment on coral settlement. Mar Pollut Bull. 2005; 51: 408–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.10.022 PMID: 15757739
- **30.** Bellwood DR, Hughes TP, Folke C, Nyström M. Confronting the coral reef crisis. Nature. 2004; 429: 827–833. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02691 PMID: 15215854
- Bruno JF, Selig ER. Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: timing, extent, and subregional comparisons. PLoS One. 2007; 2: e711. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000711</u> PMID: 17684557
- **32.** Edmunds PJ, Adjeroud M, Baskett ML, Baums IB, Budd AF, Carpenter RC, et al. Persistence and change in community composition of reef corals through present, past, and future climates. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e107525. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107525 PMID: 25272143
- Adjeroud M, Kayal M, Iborra-Cantonnet C, Vercelloni J, Bosserelle P, Liao V, et al. Recovery of coral assemblages despite acute and recurrent disturbances on a South Central Pacific reef. Sci Rep. 2018; 8: 9680. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27891-3 PMID: 29946062
- **34.** Adjeroud M, Penin L, Carroll AG. Spatio-temporal heterogeneity in coral recruitment around Moorea, French Polynesia: Implications for population maintenance. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2007; 341: 204–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.048
- **35.** Wallace C. Seasonal peaks and annual fluctuations in recruitment of juvenile scleractinian corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1985; 21: 289–298. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps021289
- Penin L, Adjeroud M. Relative importance of recruitment and post-settlement processes in the maintenance of coral assemblages in an insular, fragmented reef system. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2013; 473: 149–162. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10101
- Fisk DA, Harriott VJ. Spatial and temporal variation in coral recruitment on the Great Barrier Reef: implications for dispersal hypotheses. Mar Biol. 1990; 107: 485–490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF01313433</u>
- Maida M, Coll JC, Sammarco PW. Shedding new light on scleractinian coral recruitment. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 1994; 180: 189–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(94)90066-3
- Luter HM, Duckworth AR, Wolff CW, Evans-Illidge E, Whalan S. Recruitment variability of coral reef sessile communities of the far north Great Barrier Reef. PLoS One. 2016; 11: 1–17. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0153184 PMID: 27049650

- Baird AH, Hughes TP. Competitive dominance by tabular corals: An experimental analysis of recruitment and survival of understorey assemblages. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2000; 251: 117–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(00)00209-4 PMID: 10958904
- 41. Edgar GJ, Russ GR, Babcock RC. Marine protected areas. In: Connell SD, Gillanders BM, editors. Marine Ecology. Oxford University Press; 2007. pp. 534–565.
- 42. Halpern B. The impact of marine reserves: do reserves work and does reserve size matter? Ecol Appl. 2003; 13: S117–S137.
- **43.** Lester SE, Halpern BS, Grorud-colvert K, Lubchenco J, Ruttenberg BI, Gaines SD, et al. Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2009; 384: 33–46. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08029
- Graham NAJ, Ainsworth TD, Baird AH, Ban NC, Bay LK, Cinner JE, et al. From microbes to people: tractable benefits of no-take areas for coral reefs. Oceanogr Mar Biol An Annu Rev. 2011; 49: 105–136.
- 45. Selig ER, Bruno JF. A global analysis of the effectiveness of marine protected areas in preventing coral loss. PLoS One. 2010; 5: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009278 PMID: 20174644
- 46. Baird AH, Campbell SJ, Anggoro AW, Ardiwijaya RL, Fadli N, Herdiana Y, et al. Acehnese reefs in the wake of the Asian tsunami. Curr Biol. 2005; 15: 1926–1930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.09.036 PMID: 16271869
- Kuffner IB, Paul VJ. Effects of the benthic cyanobacterium Lyngbya majuscula on larval recruitment of the reef corals Acropora surculosa and Pocillopora damicornis. Coral Reefs. 2004; 23: 455–458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-004-0416-8
- Kuffner IB, Walters LJ, Becerro Ma., Paul VJ, Ritson-Williams R, Beach KS. Inhibition of coral recruitment by macroalgae and cyanobacteria. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2006; 323: 107–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps323107</u>
- Arnold SN, Steneck RS, Mumby PJ. Running the gauntlet: Inhibitory effects of algal turfs on the processes of coral recruitment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2010; 414: 91–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/</u> meps08724
- Penin L, Michonneau F, Baird AH, Connolly SR, Pratchett MS, Kayal M, et al. Early post-settlement mortality and the structure of coral assemblages. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2010; 408: 55–64. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.3354/meps08554</u>
- Mumby PJ. Herbivory versus corallivory: are parrotfish good or bad for Caribbean coral reefs? Coral Reefs. 2009; 28: 683–690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0501-0
- Machendiranathan M, Senthilnathan L, Ranith R, Saravanakumar A, Thangaradjou T. Coral recruits settlement in Gulf of Mannar Marine Biosphere Reserve, India. Reg Stud Mar Sci. 2017; 15: 10–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2017.06.004
- Mangubhai S, Harrison PL, Obura DO. Patterns of coral larval settlement on lagoon reefs in the Mombasa Marine National Park and Reserve, Kenya. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; 348: 149–159. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07090
- Mumby PJ, Harborne AR, Williams J, Kappel C V., Brumbaugh DR, Micheli F, et al. Trophic cascade facilitates coral recruitment in a marine reserve. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. National Academy of Sciences; 2007; 104: 8362–8367. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702602104 PMID: 17488824
- 55. Camoin GF, Colonna M, Montaggioni LF, Casanova J, Faure G, Thomassin BA. Holocene sea level changes and reef development in the southwestern Indian Ocean. Coral Reefs. 1997; 16: 247–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380050080
- 56. Rees SA, Opdyke BN, Wilson PA, Fifield LK. Coral reef sedimentation on Rodrigues and the Western Indian Ocean and its impact on the carbon cycle. Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2005; 363: 101–120. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2004.1481 PMID: 15598626
- Hardman ER, Stampfli NS, Lucy H, Perrine S, Perry A, Raffin JSJ. The impacts of coral bleaching in Rodrigues, Western Indian Ocean. Atoll Res Bull. 2007; 1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00775630.</u> 555.1
- 58. Naim O. Seasonal response of a fringing reef community to eutrophication (Reunion Island, Western Indian Ocean). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1993; 99: 137–151.
- 59. Chabanet P, Dufour V, Galzin R. Disturbance impact on reef fish communities in Reunion Island (Indian Ocean). J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 1995; 188: 29–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(94)00184-F
- Chazottes V, Le Campion-Alsumard T, Peyrot-Clausade M, Cuet P. The effects of eutrophicationrelated alterations to coral reef communities on agents and rates of bioerosion (Reunion Island, Indian Ocean). Coral Reefs. 2002; 21: 375–390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-002-0259-0
- Naim O, Tourrand C, Ballesteros E, Semple S, Bigot L, Cauvin B, et al. Fringing Reefs of Reunion Island and Eutrophication Effects. Part 2: Long-Term Monitoring of Primary Producers. Atoll Res Bull. 2013; 597: 1–36. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00775630.597

- 62. Hardman ER, Green JM, Desire MS, Perrine S. Movement of sonically tagged bluespine unicornfish, Naso unicornis, in relation to marine reserve boundaries in Rodrigues, western Indian Ocean. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst. 2010; 20: 357–361. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.1102
- 63. Pasnin O, Attwood C, Klaus R. Marine systematic conservation planning for Rodrigues Island, western Indian Ocean. Ocean Coast Manag. Elsevier Ltd; 2016; 130: 213–220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.06.014</u>
- Mundy CN. An appraisal of methods used in coral recruitment studies. Coral Reefs. 2000; 19: 124– 131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380000081
- Harriott VJ, Fisk DA. A comparison of settlement plate types for experiments on the recruitment of scleractinian corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1987; 37: 201–208. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps037201
- Edmunds PJ, Leichter JJ, Adjeroud M. Landscape-scale variation in coral recruitment in Moorea, French Polynesia. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2010; 414: 75–89. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08728
- Hsu C-M, de Palmas S, Kuo C-Y, Denis V, Chen CA. Identification of Scleractinian coral recruits using fluorescent censusing and DNA barcoding techniques. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e107366. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0107366 PMID: 25211345
- Lukoschek V, Cross P, Torda G, Zimmerman R, Willis BL. The importance of coral larval recruitment for the recovery of reefs impacted by cyclone Yasi in the Central Great Barrier Reef. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e65363. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065363 PMID: 23755223
- 69. Babcock RC, Baird AH, Piromvaragorn S, Thomson DP, Willis BL. Identification of scleractinian coral recruits from Indo-Pacific reefs. Zool Stud. 2003; 42: 211–226.
- Glassom D, Celliers L, Schleyer MH. Coral recruitment patterns at Sodwana Bay, South Africa. Coral Reefs. Springer-Verlag; 2006; 25: 485–492. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-006-0117-6
- Gleason MG. Coral recruitment in Moorea, French Polynesia: The importance of patch type and temporal variation. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 1996; 207: 79–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(96)</u> 02647-0
- 72. Edmunds PJ. Unusually high coral recruitment during the 2016 El Niño in Mo'orea, French Polynesia. PLoS One. 2017; 12: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185167 PMID: 29016624
- Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR, Card M, Connolly SR, Folke C, et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. Science. 2003; 301: 929–933. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/</u> science.1085046 PMID: 12920289
- 74. Mumby PJ, Harborne AR. Marine reserves enhance the recovery of corals on Caribbean reefs. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e8657. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008657 PMID: 20066158
- 75. West JM, Salm R V. Resistance and resilience to coral bleaching: implications for coral reef conservation and management. Conserv Biol. 2003; 17: 956–967. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003</u>. 02055.x
- 76. Birrell CL, McCook LJ, Willis BL, Harrington L. Chemical effects of macroalgae on larval settlement of the broadcast spawning coral *Acropora millepora*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2008; 362: 129–137. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps07524
- 77. Hughes TP, Rodrigues MJ, Bellwood DR, Ceccarelli D, Hoegh-Guldberg O, McCook L, et al. Phase shifts, herbivory, and the resilience of coral reefs to climate change. Curr Biol. 2007; 17: 360–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.049 PMID: 17291763
- 78. Birrell CL, McCook LJ, Willis BL, Diaz-Pulido GA. Effects of benthic algae on the replenishment of corals and the implications for the resilience of coral reefs. Oceanography and Marine Biology. 2008. pp. 25–63. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420065756.ch2
- 79. Christiansen NA, Ward S, Harii S, Tibbetts IR. Grazing by a small fish affects the early stages of a postsettlement stony coral. Coral Reefs. 2009; 28: 47–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-008-0429-9
- Adjeroud M, Kayal M, Penin L. Importance of recruitment processes in the dynamics and resilience of coral reef assemblages. In: Rossi S, Bramanti L, Gori A, Orejas C, editors. Marine Animal Forests. Springer; 2017. pp. 549–569. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17001-5
- Huntington BE, Karnauskas M, Lirman D. Corals fail to recover at a Caribbean marine reserve despite ten years of reserve designation. Coral Reefs. 2011; 30: 1077–1085. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0809-4</u>
- McClanahan TR, Ateweberhan M, Omukoto J. Long-term changes in coral colony size distributions on Kenyan reefs under different management regimes and across the 1998 bleaching event. Mar Biol. 2008; 153: 755–768. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0844-4
- 83. Crochelet E, Chabanet P, Pothin K, Lagabrielle E, Roberts J, Pennober G, et al. Validation of a fish larvae dispersal model with otolith data in the Western Indian Ocean and implications for marine spatial planning in data-poor regions. Ocean and Coastal Management. Elsevier Ltd; 2013. pp. 13–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.10.002

- Crochelet E, Roberts J, Lagabrielle E, Obura D, Petit M, Chabanet P. A model-based assessment of reef larvae dispersal in the Western Indian Ocean reveals regional connectivity patterns—Potential implications for conservation policies. Reg Stud Mar Sci. Elsevier Ltd; 2016; 7: 159–167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2016.06.007</u>
- 85. Gélin P, Pirog A, Fauvelot C, Magalon H. High genetic differentiation and low connectivity in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* type β at different spatial scales in the Southwestern Indian Ocean and the Tropical Southwestern Pacific. Mar Biol. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2018; 165: 167. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00227-018-3428-6
- 86. Gélin P, Fauvelot C, Mehn V, Bureau S, Rouzé H, Magalon H. Superclone expansion, long-distance clonal dispersal and local genetic structuring in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* type β in Reunion Island, South Western Indian Ocean. PLoS One. 2017; 12: 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0169692 PMID: 28068406
- Trapon ML, Pratchett MS, Hoey AS. Spatial variation in abundance, size and orientation of juvenile corals related to the biomass of parrotfishes on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e57788. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057788 PMID: 23469067
- Penin L, Adjeroud M, Pratchett MS, Hughes TP. Spatial distribution of juvenile and adult corals around Moorea (French Polynesia): Implications for population regulation. Bull Mar Sci. 2007; 80: 379–389.
- Ruiz-Zárate MA, Arias-González JE. Spatial study of juvenile corals in the Northern region of the Mesoamerican Barrier Reef System (MBRS). Coral Reefs. 2004; 23: 584–594. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-004-0420-z</u>
- Adjeroud M, Fernandez JM, Carroll AG, Harrison PL, Penin L. Spatial patterns and recruitment processes of coral assemblages among contrasting environmental conditions in the southwestern lagoon of New Caledonia. Mar Pollut Bull. Elsevier Ltd; 2010; 61: 375–386. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> marpolbul.2010.06.015 PMID: 20621316
- Bode M, Bode L, Armsworth PR. Larval dispersal reveals regional sources and sinks in the Great Barrier Reef. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2006; 308: 17–25. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps308017
- 92. Connell JH, Hughes TP, Wallace CC. A 30-year study of coral abundance, recruitment, and disturbance at several scales in space and time. Ecol Monogr. 1997; 67: 461–488. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0461:AYSOCA]2.0.CO;2</u>
- Nozawa Y, Tanaka K, Reimer JD. Reconsideration of the surface structure of settlement plates used in coral recruitment studies. Zool Stud. 2011; 50: 53–60.
- 94. Price N. Habitat selection, facilitation, and biotic settlement cues affect distribution and performance of coral recruits in French Polynesia. Oecologia. 2010; 163: 747–758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1578-4 PMID: 20169452</u>
- Suzuki G, Hayashibara T, Shirayama Y, Fukami H. Evidence of species-specific habitat selectivity of Acropora corals based on identification of new recruits by two molecular markers. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2008; 355: 149–159. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07253
- Wellington GM, Fitt WK. Influence of UV radiation on the survival of larvae from broadcast-spawning reef corals. Mar Biol. 2003; 143: 1185–1192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1150-4
- 97. Guest JR, Baird AH, Goh BP, Chou LM. Seasonal reproduction in equatorial reef corals. Invertebr Reprod Dev. 2005; 48: 207–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/07924259.2005.9652186
- Baird AH, Guest JR, Willis BL. Systematic and biogeographical patterns in the reproductive biology of scleractinian corals. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2009; 40: 551–571. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ecolsys.110308.120220
- Bauman AG, Guest JR, Dunshea G, Low J, Todd PA, Steinberg PD. Coral settlement on a highly disturbed equatorial reef system. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2015; 10: e0127874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127874</u> PMID: 25992562
- Sammarco PW. Polyp bail-out: an escape response to environmental stress and a new means of reproduction in corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1982; 10: 57–65. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps010057
- 101. Combosch DJ, Vollmer S V. Mixed asexual and sexual reproduction in the Indo-Pacific reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. Ecol Evol. 2013; 3: 3379–3387. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.721 PMID: 24223276
- 102. Gleason DF, Wellington GM. Ultraviolet radiation and coral bleaching. Nature. 1993; 365: 836–838. https://doi.org/10.1038/365836a0
- **103.** Brown BE, Suharsono. Damage and recovery of coral reefs affected by El Niño related seawater warming in the Thousand Islands, Indonesia. Coral Reefs. 1990; 8: 163–170.
- 104. Edwards AJ, Clark S, Zahir H, Rajasuriya A, Naseer A, Rubens J. Coral bleaching and mortality on artificial and natural reefs in Maldives in 1998, sea surface temperature anomalies and initial recovery. Mar Pollut Bull. 2001; 42: 7–15. PMID: <u>11382986</u>