

Citation: Peng Y, Yan S, Chen D, Cui X, Jiao K (2017) *Pdgfrb* is a direct regulatory target of TGFβ signaling in atrioventricular cushion mesenchymal cells. PLoS ONE 12(4): e0175791. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0175791

Editor: Aamir Ahmad, University of South Alabama Mitchell Cancer Institute, UNITED STATES

Received: February 2, 2017

Accepted: March 31, 2017

Published: April 20, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Peng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Support was provided by NIH 1R01HL095783 and NIH 1R03HD082634 [https:// www.nih.gov/grants-funding]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pdgfrb is a direct regulatory target of TGFβ signaling in atrioventricular cushion mesenchymal cells

Yin Peng¹, Shun Yan¹, Dongquan Chen², Xiangqin Cui³, Kai Jiao¹*

1 Division of Research, Department of Genetics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 2 Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Birmingham, Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 3 Department of Birmingham, 3 Diversity of Alabama, 3

* kjiao@uab.edu

Abstract

Cushion formation is the initial step for the development of valvuloseptal structures in mammalian hearts. TGFB signaling plays critical roles in multiple steps of cushion morphogenesis. We used a newly developed conditional immortal atrioventricular cushion mesenchymal cell line, tsA58-AVM, to identify the TGFB regulatory target genes through microarray analysis. Expression of ~1350 genes was significantly altered by TGFβ1 treatment. Subsequent bioinformatic analysis of TGF^β activated genes revealed that PDGF-BB signaling is the top hit as the potential upstream regulator. Among the 37 target molecules, 10 genes known to be involved in valve development and hemostasis were selected for quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (gRT-PCR) analysis. Our results confirmed that they are all upregulated by TGF^{β1} stimulation in tsA58-AVM cells and in primary atrioventricular cushion cells. We focused on examining regulation of Pdgfrb by TGFB1, which encodes a tyrosine kinase receptor for PDGF-BB. We found that the ~150bp Pdafrb promoter can respond to TGF^β stimulation and that this response relies on the two SP1 binding sites within the promoter. Co-immunoprecipitation analysis confirmed SP1 interacts with SMAD2 in a TGFβ-dependent fashion. Furthermore, SMAD2 is associated with the Pdgfrb promoter and this association is diminished by knocking down expression of Sp1. Our data therefore collectively suggest that upon TGFß stimulation, SP1 recruits SMAD2 to the promoter of Pdgfrb to up-regulate its expression and thus Pdgfrb is a direct downstream target of the TGF^β/SMAD2 signaling.

Introduction

Normal development of valvuloseptal structures is essential for a mammalian heart to be properly partitioned into four chambers. Up to 30% of congenital heart defects are caused by malformation of valves [1]. Valvulogenesis in mice is initiated with cushion formation in the atrioventricular (AV) canal region at E9.0 and the outflow tract region at E10.0. Shortly after, a group of endocardial cells in the AV cushion and OFT conal cushion undergo epithelialmesenchyme-transition (EMT) to become cushion mesenchymal cells [1–12]. These cellularized cushions serve as the primordia of valves and septa to ensure unidirectional blood flow in embryos. At later developmental stages, cushions go through complicated remodeling processes to mature into the final valve and septum structures.

Transforming Growth Factor beta (TGFβ) signaling plays critical roles in many biological/ pathological processes, including development of valvuloseptal structures. TGFβ signaling is initiated when homo-dimers of ligands (including TGFβ1, 2 and 3) bind to and bring together the type I and II receptors at cell membranes. The type II receptor phosphorylates (activates) the type I receptor, which subsequently phosphorylates SMAD2 and SMAD3, which are also known as TGFβ Receptor-activated SMADs (R-SMADs). Phosphorylated R-SMADs associate with SMAD4 (co-SMAD) and translocate to the nucleus to regulate transcription of target genes [13–18]. SMAD3 and SMAD4 can directly bind to DNA target sites, called SMAD-Binding Elements (SBEs) [19, 20]. Unlike SMAD3, SMAD2 does not directly interact with SBEs; SMAD2 can be loaded to DNA through interaction with other sequence-specific transcription factors to modulate gene expression [18, 21].

The functions of TGF β signaling in regulating cushion development in the AV canal region have been well documented. In *ex vivo* collagen gel analyses, TGFβ ligands can substitute for the overlying myocardium to activate EMT [22-24]. Inhibition of TGF β signaling with an antisense oligonucleotide against $TGF\beta3$ mRNA or with neutralizing antiserums against TGF β ligands, receptors, or co-receptors blocks EMT [25-28]. Tgfb2^{/-} mice display complex heart defects, including double-outlet-right-ventricle, atrial septal defect, ventricular septal defect, an overriding tricuspid valve and failure in myocardialization [29, 30]. The overriding of tricuspid valve observed in 25% of $Tgfb2^{-}$ mice conclusively demonstrated that TGF β signaling is required for normal AV valve development. A later study further showed that Tgfb2 is required for normal cushion mesenchymal cell differentiation [31]. TGFB1-^{/-} [32] and TGFB3^{/-} [33] mice do not display obvious valvular defects. The discrepancy between in vivo mouse studies and *ex vivo* explant assays are likely due to complementation of by the remaining TGFB ligands present in mice. Our previous study showed that endothelial/endocardial inactivation of *Tgfbr2* leads to a double-inlet-left-ventricle defect, which is at least partially due to abnormal cell proliferation in AV cushion mesenchymal cells [34]. Endothelial inactivation of Acvr1 (Alk2), which encodes a type I receptor capable of mediating both TGF β and Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) signaling, significantly reduced cushion mesenchymal cell formation in the AV canal region [35]. A similar hypocellular AV cushion defect was observed in mice with Tgfbr1 (Alk5) deleted in the endothelial cells [36]. Evaluation of the role of SMAD proteins in valve development has primarily focused on SMAD4. Endothelial deletion of Smad4 led to hypocellular AV cushions [37, 38]. Since SMAD4 is a co-SMAD acting with both TGF β - and BMP- activated R-SMADs, the observed AV defects can be potentially due to the combined effect of impaired TGFB and BMP activities.

Compared to the myocardial cells in mouse embryonic hearts, the number of AV cushion mesenchymal cells is greatly limited. To facility large scale molecular and cellular analyses on these types of cells, we developed a conditional immortal AV cushion mesenchymal cell line, tsA58-AVM [39]. These cells express temperature-sensitive mutant large T antigen under the control of a γ -interferon inducible promoter. They can be maintained and stored like immortal cells under the permissive condition (at 33 °C with γ -interferon), while under the restrictive condition (at 37 °C without γ -interferon), they behave like primary cultured cells. In this study, we used tsA58-AVM cells to identify TGF β regulatory target genes through microarray analysis. Our bioinformatic analysis revealed that platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-BB is the top upstream regulator of TGF β -activated genes in tsA58-AVM cells and our combined functional tests identified *Pdgfrb* as a direct target of the TGF β /SMAD2 signaling cascade.

PDGF-BB refers to the PDGF-B homodimer cytokine, whose activity can be mediated through PDGFRA and PDGFRB tyrosine kinase receptors [40, 41]. Inactivation of *Pdgf-B* or *Pdgfrb* in mice led to complex cardiac defects, including underdeveloped AV valves, impaired development of coronary arteries, abnormal alignment of the outflow tract, and hypoplastic ventricles [42–45]. Functional interaction between PDGF signaling and TGF β /SMAD signaling has been well documented in various cell types (*e.g.* [46–48]). Our study represents the first, to our knowledge, in which a gene encoding a receptor of PDGF, *Pdgfrb*, is shown to be directly regulated by TGF β /SMAD2 signaling.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, DNA transfection and co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP)

Derivation and characterization of tsA58-AVM cells from AV cushion mesenchymal cells were described previously [39]. These cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 5 units/ml γ-interferon (Peprotech) at 33°C. Before experiments, cells were shifted to the restrictive condition $(37^{\circ}C \text{ without } \gamma \text{-interferon})$ for three days to allow the large T antigen to be degraded. Cells were used between 4 and 14 days after being shifted to the restrictive condition. Transfection of DNA and short-inhibitory RNAs (siRNAs) was achieved through electroporation using the Neon transfection system (Invitrogen), as described previously [39]. Electroporation was performed with 1300 volts for 20ms, two pulses. The pre-made Dicer-Substrate siRNAs (DsiRNAs) against mouse Sp1, including mm.Ri.Sp1.13.1 and mm.Ri. Sp1.13.2, and a scrambled DsiRNA were purchased from IDT. The mm.Ri.Sp1.13.1 and mm. Ri.Sp1.13.2 DsiRNAs target bp7562-7586 and bp722-746 of Sp1 cDNA, respectively. For co-IP analyses, tsA58-AVM cells growing under the restrictive condition were treated with 5ng/ml TGFβ1 or BSA for 30 minutes and lysed with lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1% Triton, 0.1% NP40, 0.1% Tween-20, 5mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 x proteinase inhibitor from Roche). The lysate was incubated with a SP1 antibody (1/50, Abcam, ab13370) overnight at 4°C. The next day Protein A/G beads were added to the samples. After a four hour incubation, the beads were spun down and washed three times with lysis buffer. Proteins were then eluted using Laemmli buffer followed by Western analysis using an antibody against SP1 (1/1000) or SMAD2 (1/1000, Cell Signaling, #5339).

Microarray and quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analyses

tsA58-AVM cells grown under the restrictive condition were treated with 5ng/ml TGFβ1 (R&D) or BSA for eight hours. Total RNA was isolated from the cells using the RNeasy-Plus kit (Qiagen). Microarray analysis was performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip-Mouse-Genome-420-2.0 Array by the UAB Heflin Genomic Core Facility, as described in our previous studies [39, 49]. Data were averaged from three independent biological samples for each condition. Isolation of primary AV cushion mesenchymal cells was performed as described in [50]. Briefly, AV canal tissues from E9.5 mouse embryos were isolated, cut to open longitudinally and placed onto hydrated type I collagen gels with the endocardial layer facing down. After 12 hour incubation at 37°C in a cell culture incubator, the muscle layer of the AV explants was carefully removed and the remaining cells were then treated with 5ng/ml TGFβ1 (R&D) or BSA for six hours. Total RNA was isolated from these cells using Arcturus PicoPure kit (Life Technologies). RNA signals were linearly amplified using the Illumina RNA amplification kit (Life Technologies). For qRT-PCR analyses, RNA samples acquired from tsA-V58-AVM cells or primary AV cushion cells were reversely transcribed using SuperScript^{**} III

First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, #18080051) followed by PCR using LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche, #4707516001). qPCR was performed on the Roche LightCycler480 Real Time PCR machine. *Hprt* was used as the loading control for all qRT-PCR studies. The relative amount of PCR product was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method, as performed previously [51]. The qRT-PCR primers are listed in <u>S1 Table</u>. *Hprt* was used as the loading control for all qRT-PCR studies for all qRT-PCR primers are listed in <u>S1 Table</u>.

Luciferase assay

The ~150bp *Pdgfrb* promoter (bp-116 to +25, relative to the transcription start site) [52] was PCR amplified using an upstream primer (5′ –ATCGACGCGTCCACCCTGCTGCTCCACCGC) and a downstream primer (5′ –AGCTCTCGAGGAGCTCACACCACTGTGGGCTTTCTCTG), digested with MluI and XhoI, and cloned into the pGL3-basic luciferase reporter vector (Promega). The mutant constructs, in which the two SP1 binding sites were disrupted individually or in combination, were acquired through PCR-directed mutagenesis using the wild type reporter construct as the parental plasmid. All constructs were confirmed with DNA sequencing. tsA58-AVM cells were electroporated with reporter constructs and then treated with different concentrations of TGF β 1 for 48 hours, at which point luciferase activity was measured using the Luciferase Assay kit (Promega). A plasmid expressing a lacZ reporter under the control of a constitutively active promoter was co-transfected for normalization of transfection efficiency. The beta-gal activity was measured using the Beta-Glo Assay System (Promega). We examined 3–5 independent cell cultures for each condition. For each culture, luciferase activity was measured in triplicate.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative PCR (ChIP qPCR) analysis

ChIP analysis was performed using ChromaFlash High-Sensitivity ChIP Kit (Epigentek, #P-2027-24) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 2x10⁵ tsA58-AVM cells grown under the restrictive condition were treated with 1.0% formaldehyde to cross-link proteins to DNA for 10 minutes at room temperature. Crosslinking reactions were stopped by adding glycine solution (final concentration 0.125M). Cells were spun down and lysed using the lysis buffer (0.2ml) provided in the kit. After incubating for 10 minutes on ice, samples were spun down to acquire chromatin pellet, which was resuspended in 0.2ml ChIP buffer (provided in the kit). Genomic DNA was sheared using Bioruptor XL sonicator (Diagenode) for 10 min at the M2 intensity level to acquire optimal DNA fragment size of ~300bp. 2ul of anti-SMAD2 antibody was added to 50ul antibody buffer (provide in the kit) to produce antibody solution, which was then added to assay-strip wells. After 1 hour incubation at room temperature, DNA-protein samples were then added to the wells that were pre-incubated with the anti-SMAD2 antibody. Precipitation of the DNA-protein complexes was performed overnight at 4°C followed by intensive washing. Samples were then digested with RNAase and Proteinase K. DNA was then purified using the column provided in the kit. DNA samples were analyzed by qPCR using Roche LightCycler480 Real Time PCR machine (UAB Heflin Genomic Core). The relative DNA amount was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The primers to amplify the *Pdgfrb* promoter are 5'-TGAAAACAGACACGCGTCC and 5'-CACACCACTGTGGGCTTTCTC. Pre-immune IgG was used as a negative control.

Statistical tests

The array analysis was performed after quality control and normalization by Robust Multiarray Average (RMA) methods using Partek Genomics Suite (PGS). Group comparison as 1-way ANOVA was conducted assuming non-equal variances. False discovery rate (FDR) p value correction was performed for multi-hypothesis purpose. The gene list (p<0.05) was generated using pathway analysis including canonical pathway, gene-gene interaction network, and upstream regulator/inhibitor, organ-related functional changes, etc., by using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Redwood City, CA) software package. The heatmap was generated by hierarchical clustering methods after r-normalization of intensity. For all other studies, unpaired Student's tests were performed to compare the control and experimental groups with p<0.05 considered as statistical significance. Data were averaged from at least 3 independent biological replicates and were shown as mean ± standard error (SEM).

Results and discussion

Identification of TGFβ regulatory target genes in tsA58-AVM cells

We recently established a conditional immortal AV cushion mesenchymal cell line, tsA58-AVM [39]. To identify regulatory target genes of TGF β signaling, we treated tsA58-AVM cells, under the restrictive condition (37°C, without γ -interferon), with 5ng/ml of TGF β 1 or BSA followed by microarray analysis. The results in S1 Table and Fig 1A shows that expression of ~1,350 genes was significantly altered (False Discovery Rate *P*<0.01) between TGF β -treated and control groups. Many known TGF β downstream targets, such as *Jag1*, *Smad7*, *Snai1*, and Runx1, were identified. We next analyzed the data using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software to reveal the biological functions that are mostly affected by TGF β signaling. The top



PLOS ONE

В

Molecular and Cellular Functions

Name	p-value	#Molecules
Cellular Growth and Proliferation	< 2.39E-07	476
Cellular Movement	< 2.14E-07	292
Cell Death and Survival	< 1.36E-07	396
Cellular Development	< 2.39E-07	422
Cell Cycle	< 2.28E-07	254

Fig 1. Identification of TGFβ regulatory targets in tsA58-AVM cells through microarray analysis. (A) tsA58-AVM cells were treated with 5ng/ml TGFβ1 or BSA for eight hours followed by microarray analysis. Data were averaged from three independent biological samples. This panel shows the heat map generated from microarray data. (B) Data from microarray analysis were analyzed with IPA to reveal the top five highest scoring hits in "Molecular and cellular functions".

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175791.g001

five highest scoring hits in "Molecular and Cellular Functions" are shown in Fig 1B. TGF β 1 treatment altered many genes involved in cellular growth, proliferation, death, survival and movement. This result supports the complex roles of TGF β signaling in regulating AV cushion development and demonstrate the effectiveness of using tsA58-AVM cells to study the molecular/cellular mechanisms regulating cushion mesenchymal cells. This is particularly important given the limited quantity of cushion mesenchymal cells versus cardiomyocytes found in embryonic hearts. Moreover, a major advantage of using tsA58-AVM cells is that they resemble primary cells under the restrictive condition [39].

PDGF-BB is the top upstream regulator of TGF β activated genes in tsA58-AVM cells from "Upstream Regulator" analysis

TGF β signaling can both activate and inhibit gene transcription [16, 17], as observed in our microarray analysis (Fig 1, S2 Table). In this study, we primarily focused on the genes that are activated by the TGFβ1 ligand. We used the IPA software to perform "Upstream Regulator" analysis, which is used to identify the potential upstream regulators that are connected, directly or indirectly, to dataset genes [53]. The top 10 potential upstream regulators are shown in Fig 2A. PDGF-BB is the highest scoring excluding TGFB1, with a *p*-value of overlap as 5.45E-20. A total of 37 genes, which are activated by TGFβ signaling in tsA568-AVM cells, are predicted to be activated, directly or indirectly, by PDGF-BB (S3 Table). Based on the definition of "Upstream Regulator" analysis, upregulation of these genes by TGFB1 treatment can potentially be explained as increased PDGF-BB signaling in these cells. Essential functions of PDGF-BB during atrioventricular valve development was demonstrated in a previous gene inactivation study [42]. We chose to perform qRT-PCR analysis on 10 genes from the 37 to test whether they are truly upregulated by TGF β signaling. These selected genes are known to be involved in heart valve development and/or hemostasis. The result in Fig 2B shows that expression of all these genes was significantly increased by TGFβ treatment in both tsA58-AVM cells and primary AV cushion cells, validating the result acquired from our microarray analysis. The bioinformatic analysis result suggests a potentially functional interaction between TGFβ and PDGF signaling pathways during heart valve development. In future studies we will use mouse genetic approaches to test their interaction.

The Pdgfrb promoter is responsive to TGFβ stimulation

We noticed that Pdgfrb is among the list of 37 genes in S3 Table. Our qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that expression of *Pdgfrb* is increased by ~60% upon TGF β stimulation (Fig 2B). This gene encodes a cell-surface tyrosine kinase that can be activated by PDGF-BB [41], and therefore upregulated expression of this gene will lead to increased PDGF-BB signaling activity in tsA58-AVM cells. It was previously shown that overexpression of TRF1 increased expression of PDGFRB to ~1.6-fold over the control level and PDGFRB is a direct target of TRF1 in mediating its angiogenic activity [54]. This result suggests that the moderate increase in Pdgfrb expression may sufficiently lead to biological effects. As an initial step to determine whether Pdgfrb is a directly regulated by TGF β signaling, we showed that upregulated expression of Pdgfrb by TGF β 1 does not rely on de novo protein synthesis (Fig 3A). Expression of Pdgfrb in cells treated with cycloheximide was still enhanced by TGFB stimulation. Our next step was to use reporter analysis to show that the ~150bp promoter region of Pdgfrb [52] is responsive to TGFβ stimulation in a dose-dependent fashion in tsA58-AVM cells (Fig 3B). In addition to Pdgfrb, expression of several other genes involved in PDGF signaling, including Pdgfa and Pdgfb, were also upregulated by TGF β stimulation (S2 Table). Upregulation of the two genes likely also contributes to the increased PDGF signaling in TGFβ treated cells. How TGFβ/

Α

Upstream Regulator	Molecule Type	Activation z-score	p-value of overlap
PDGF BB	complex	3.187	5.45E-20
TNF	cytokine	6.832	6.13E-20
ERBB2	kinase	4.156	7.18E-17
TP53	transcription regulator	4.238	9.22E-15
IL4	cytokine	2.692	9.98E-15
estrogen receptor	group	-2.258	5.47E-14
HRAS	enzyme	0.457	6.93E-14
dexamethasone	chemical drug	0.163	1.25E-13
beta-estradiol	chemical - endogenous	3.201	3.12E-13
STAT6	transcription regulator	2.967	1.14E-12





the IPA software. (B) tsA58-AVM cells were treated with $5ng/ml TGF\beta1$ or BSA for eight hours followed by qRT-PCR analysis. The level of signals in cells treated with BSA was set at 100%. (C) The primary AV cushion cells were treated with TGF $\beta1$ or BSA for six hours followed by qRT-PCR analysis. In panels B and C, data were averaged from three to five independent cultures with error bars indicating standard error (SEM). #: *p*<0.01, &: *p*<0.05, Student's t test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175791.g002

SMAD signaling regulates expression of *Pdgfb* and *Pdgfa* will be further addressed in future studies.

The SP1 sites within the *Pdgfrb* promoter are required for its responsiveness to TGF β stimulation

We examined the Pdgfrb promoter using multiple cis-element prediction programs and failed to identify any potential SBEs within the promoter. It is well established that the \sim 150bp promoter contains two functional SP1 binding sites and they play essential roles in activating transcription of *Pdgfrb* [52]. The two SP1 target elements binds SP1 in a gel-mobility-shift assay and loss of the two sites eliminates the response of the *Pdgfrb* promoter to overexpression of SP1 [52]. Furthermore, SP1 co-operates with NF-Y to upregulate *Pdgfrb* transcription and the additive effect between the two factors relies on the presence of the two SP1 binding sites [52]. Considering that SP1 physically interacts with TGF β R-SMADs in multiple cell types [55–57], we speculate that SP1 may co-operate with TGF β -R SMADs to regulate *Pdgfrb* transcription. We decided to test whether SP1 recruits TGF β R-SMADs to the *Pdgfrb* promoter to upregulate its expression upon TGF β stimulation. In Fig 4A, we performed co-IP assays and show that SMAD2 is co-purified with SP1 in tsA58-AVM cells. Interaction between SMAD2 and SP1 relies on TGF β treatment; this is expected as only when TGF β signaling is activated, can SMAD2 be phosphorylated and transferred into the nucleus. In Fig 4B, we generated three mutant reporter constructs using the wild type construct as the parental plasmid. The two SP1 binding sites were mutated individually or in combination. Our reporter analysis showed that disruption of each single SP1 site or both of them simultaneously significantly reduced the basal activity of the *Pdgfrb* promoter. Furthermore, mutant reporters were unable to respond to TGF β treatment. The results shown in Fig 4 collectively support the idea that TGF β R-SMADs act through the SP1 sites to upregulate the activity of the *Pdgfrb* promoter.

SMAD2 is associated with the *Pdgfrb* promoter and this association relies on SP1

To directly test whether *Pdgfrb* is a direct regulatory target of TGF β /SMAD2, we performed ChIP-qPCR analysis using an anti-SMAD2 antibody. As shown in Fig 5A, TGF β stimulation significantly increased association of SMAD2 with the *Pdgfrb* promoter. Furthermore, we showed that knocking down expression of SP1 with two independent DsiRNAs significantly reduced association between SMAD2 and the *Pdgfrb* promoter. The efficiency of the two independent siRNAs to knock down SP1 expression was confirmed by Western analysis, shown in Fig 5C.

The interaction between TGF β and PDGF signaling pathways in different cell types has been well documented in the literature (*e.g.* [46–48]). Our current study provides multiple lines of evidence showing for the first time, that TGF β /SMAD2 can directly upregulate expression of *Pdgfrb*. We showed that upregulation of *Pdgfrb* mRNA expression by TGF β does not require de novo protein synthesis. Subsequent reporter, co-IP and ChIP studies suggest that SMAD2 is recruited to the *Pdgfrb* promoter through interaction with SP1. Considering the established function of PDGF signaling in heart valve development [42], we speculate that



Fig 3. TGF β signaling enhances *Pdgfrb* expression in tsA58-AVM cells. (A) tsA58-AVM cells were treated with TGF β 1 (5ng/ml) and/or cycloheximide (40ug/ml) for eight hours followed by qRT-PCR analysis to detect expression of *Pdgfrb*. The level of *Pdgfrb* expression in cells without TGF β and cycloheximide treatment was set at 100%. (B) The *Pdgfrb* promoter region (bp-116 to +25, relative the transcription start site) was inserted into the pGL3-basic luciferase reporter vector (Promega). The reporter construct was then transfected into tsA58-AVM cells, which were then treated with different concentrations of TGF β 1 for 48 hours. Luciferase activity was measured. The level of luciferase activity observed in cells without TGF β 1 treatment was set at 100%. Data were averaged from three to five independent cultures with error bars indicating SEM.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175791.g003

PLOS ONE



Fig 4. The SP1 sites are required for the *Pdgfrb* **promoter to response to TGF** β **stimulation.** (A) tsA58-AVM cells were treated with TGF β 1 (5ng/ml) or BSA for 0.5 hours followed by co-IP analysis using an anti-SP1 antibody. Pre-immune rabbit IgG was used as the control. IP'd samples and inputs were subjected to Western analysis using antibodies to SP1 or SMAD2. (B) The promoter of *Pdgfrb* (bp-116 to +25, relative to the transcription start site) contains two SP1 binding sites. Various reporter constructs (wt, mut 1, mut 2 and mut 1+2) were electroporated into tsA58-AVM cells, which were then treated with or without TGF β 1 (5ng/ml) for 48 hours. Luciferase assay was then performed. The level of luciferase activity measured in cells harboring the wild type promoter construct without TGF β 1 treatment was set at 100%. Data were averaged from four to six independent cultures with error bars indicating SEM. #: p<0.01, Student's t test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175791.g004



Fig 5. Association of the *Pdgfrb* promoter with SMAD2. (A) tsA58-AVM cells were treated with or without TGF β 1 (5ng/ml) for 1 hour followed by ChIP analysis using an anti-SMAD2 antibody. Pre-immune rabbit IgG was used as the control. ChIP'd samples were subjected to qPCR analysis using primers that corresponded to the *Pdgfrb* promoter. Data were normalized against input DNA. (B) tsA58-AVM cells were electroporated with DsiRNAs against *Sp1* or a scrambled control. Cells were then treated with TGF β 1 (5ng/ml) for 1 hour followed by ChIP-qPCR analysis to examine the association between SMAD2 and the *Pdgfrb* promoter. (C) Western analysis was performed to confirm that the two siRNAs can efficiently knock down expression of SP1. Data in panels A and B were averaged from three independent cultures with error bars indicating SEM. #: *p*<0.01, Student's t test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175791.g005

PDGFRB acts as a critical downstream target of TGF β signaling during valvulogenesis. Our study thus reveals a novel mechanism underlying the interaction between TGF β and PDGF signaling pathways. Whether such a mechanism also exists in other cell types and/or during other biological processes warrants further investigation.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Primers used in this study. (DOCX)

S2 Table. The list of genes whose expression is significantly altered by addition of TGFβ1. (XLS)

S3 Table. The list of TGFβ1-activated genes whose expression is predicted to be activated by the PDGF-BB signaling.

(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank the UAB Heflin Genetic Core Facility for performing the microarray analysis. We thank Dr. Q. Wang (UAB) for suggestions on the experiments and manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: KJ.

Formal analysis: DC XC.

Funding acquisition: KJ.

Investigation: YP SY.

References

- Armstrong EJ, Bischoff J. Heart valve development: endothelial cell signaling and differentiation. Circ Res. 2004; 95(5):459–70. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2810618. https://doi.org/10.1161/01. RES.0000141146.95728.da PMID: 15345668
- 2. Barnett JV, Desgrosellier JS. Early events in valvulogenesis: a signaling perspective. Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today. 2003; 69(1):58–72. https://doi.org/10.1002/bdrc.10006 PMID: 12768658
- Schroeder JA, Jackson LF, Lee DC, Camenisch TD. Form and function of developing heart valves: coordination by extracellular matrix and growth factor signaling. J Mol Med. 2003; 81(7):392–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-003-0456-5 PMID: 12827270
- Person AD, Klewer SE, Runyan RB. Cell biology of cardiac cushion development. Int Rev Cytol. 2005; 243:287–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7696(05)43005-3 PMID: 15797462
- Butcher JT, Markwald RR. Valvulogenesis: the moving target. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2007; 362(1484):1489–503. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2440410. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb. 2007.2130 PMID: 17569640
- de la Cruz MV, Markwald RR, Krug EL, Rumenoff L, Sanchez Gomez C, Sadowinski S, et al. Living morphogenesis of the ventricles and congenital pathology of their component parts. Cardiol Young. 2001; 11(6):588–600. PMID: 11813909
- Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Bartelings MM, Deruiter MC, Poelmann RE. Basics of cardiac development for the understanding of congenital heart malformations. Pediatr Res. 2005; 57(2):169–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1203/01.PDR.0000148710.69159.61</u> PMID: 15611355
- Wagner M, Siddiqui MA. Signal transduction in early heart development (II): ventricular chamber specification, trabeculation, and heart valve formation. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2007; 232(7):866–80.
- Lin CJ, Lin CY, Chen CH, Zhou B, Chang CP. Partitioning the heart: mechanisms of cardiac septation and valve development. Development. 2012; 139(18):3277–99. Epub 2012/08/23. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3424040. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.063495 PMID: 22912411
- Hinton RB, Yutzey KE. Heart valve structure and function in development and disease. Annu Rev Physiol. 2011; 73:29–46. Epub 2010/09/03. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4209403. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-physiol-012110-142145 PMID: 20809794
- 11. Markwald RR, Norris RA, Moreno-Rodriguez R, Levine RA. Developmental basis of adult cardiovascular diseases: valvular heart diseases. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010; 1188:177–83. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3371607. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05098.x PMID: 20201901
- Calkoen EE, Hazekamp MG, Blom NA, Elders BB, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Haak MC, et al. Atrioventricular septal defect: From embryonic development to long-term follow-up. International journal of cardiology. 2016; 202:784–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.09.081 PMID: 26476030
- 13. Shi Y, Massague J. Mechanisms of TGF-beta signaling from cell membrane to the nucleus. Cell. 2003; 113(6):685–700. PMID: 12809600
- de Caestecker M. The transforming growth factor-beta superfamily of receptors. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2004; 15(1):1–11. PMID: 14746809

- ten Dijke P, Hill CS. New insights into TGF-beta-Smad signalling. Trends Biochem Sci. 2004; 29 (5):265–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2004.03.008 PMID: 15130563
- Hata A, Chen YG. TGF-beta Signaling from Receptors to Smads. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2016; 8(9).
- Macias MJ, Martin-Malpartida P, Massague J. Structural determinants of Smad function in TGF-beta signaling. Trends Biochem Sci. 2015; 40(6):296–308. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4485443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2015.03.012 PMID: 25935112
- Morikawa M, Koinuma D, Miyazono K, Heldin CH. Genome-wide mechanisms of Smad binding. Oncogene. 2013; 32(13):1609–15. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3615190. https://doi.org/10.1038/ onc.2012.191 PMID: 22614010
- Massague J, Seoane J, Wotton D. Smad transcription factors. Genes Dev. 2005; 19(23):2783–810. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1350705 PMID: 16322555
- Feng XH, Derynck R. Specificity and versatility in tgf-beta signaling through Smads. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2005; 21:659–93. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.022404.142018 PMID: 16212511
- Datto M, Wang XF. The Smads: transcriptional regulation and mouse models. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2000; 11(1–2):37–48. PMID: <u>10708951</u>
- Potts JD, Runyan RB. Epithelial-mesenchymal cell transformation in the embryonic heart can be mediated, in part, by transforming growth factor beta. Dev Biol. 1989; 134(2):392–401. PMID: 2744239
- Nakajima Y, Yamagishi T, Hokari S, Nakamura H. Mechanisms involved in valvuloseptal endocardial cushion formation in early cardiogenesis: roles of transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP). Anat Rec. 2000; 258(2):119–27. PMID: 10645959
- 24. Ramsdell AF, Markwald RR. Induction of endocardial cushion tissue in the avian heart is regulated, in part, by TGFbeta-3-mediated autocrine signaling. Dev Biol. 1997; 188(1):64–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1997.8637</u> PMID: 9245512
- Brown CB, Boyer AS, Runyan RB, Barnett JV. Antibodies to the Type II TGFbeta receptor block cell activation and migration during atrioventricular cushion transformation in the heart. Dev Biol. 1996; 174 (2):248–57. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1996.0070 PMID: 8631497
- Boyer AS, Ayerinskas II, Vincent EB, McKinney LA, Weeks DL, Runyan RB. TGFbeta2 and TGFbeta3 have separate and sequential activities during epithelial-mesenchymal cell transformation in the embryonic heart. Dev Biol. 1999; 208(2):530–45. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1999.9211 PMID: 10191064
- Brown CB, Boyer AS, Runyan RB, Barnett JV. Requirement of type III TGF-beta receptor for endocardial cell transformation in the heart. Science. 1999; 283(5410):2080–2. PMID: 10092230
- Camenisch TD, Molin DG, Person A, Runyan RB, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, McDonald JA, et al. Temporal and distinct TGFbeta ligand requirements during mouse and avian endocardial cushion morphogenesis. Dev Biol. 2002; 248(1):170–81. PMID: 12142029
- Sanford LP, Ormsby I, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Sariola H, Friedman R, Boivin GP, et al. TGFbeta2 knockout mice have multiple developmental defects that are non-overlapping with other TGFbeta knockout phenotypes. Development. 1997; 124(13):2659–70. PMID: 9217007
- Bartram U, Molin DG, Wisse LJ, Mohamad A, Sanford LP, Doetschman T, et al. Double-outlet right ventricle and overriding tricuspid valve reflect disturbances of looping, myocardialization, endocardial cushion differentiation, and apoptosis in TGF-beta(2)-knockout mice. Circulation. 2001; 103(22):2745–52. PMID: 11390347
- Azhar M, Brown K, Gard C, Chen H, Rajan S, Elliott DA, et al. Transforming growth factor Beta2 is required for valve remodeling during heart development. Dev Dyn. 2011; 240(9):2127–41. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3337781. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22702 PMID: 21780244
- Dickson MC, Martin JS, Cousins FM, Kulkarni AB, Karlsson S, Akhurst RJ. Defective haematopoiesis and vasculogenesis in transforming growth factor-beta 1 knock out mice. Development. 1995; 121 (6):1845–54. PMID: 7600998
- Kaartinen V, Voncken JW, Shuler C, Warburton D, Bu D, Heisterkamp N, et al. Abnormal lung development and cleft palate in mice lacking TGF-beta 3 indicates defects of epithelial-mesenchymal interaction. Nat Genet. 1995; 11(4):415–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1295-415 PMID: 7493022
- Jiao K, Langworthy M, Batts L, Brown CB, Moses HL, Baldwin HS. Tgfbeta signaling is required for atrioventricular cushion mesenchyme remodeling during in vivo cardiac development. Development. 2006; 133(22):4585–93. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02597 PMID: 17050629
- 35. Wang J, Sridurongrit S, Dudas M, Thomas P, Nagy A, Schneider MD, et al. Atrioventricular cushion transformation is mediated by ALK2 in the developing mouse heart. Dev Biol. 2005; 286(1):299–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.07.035 PMID: 16140292

- Sridurongrit S, Larsson J, Schwartz R, Ruiz-Lozano P, Kaartinen V. Signaling via the Tgf-beta type I receptor Alk5 in heart development. Dev Biol. 2008; 322(1):208–18. Epub 2008/08/23. PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2677203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.038 PMID: 18718461
- Song L, Zhao M, Wu B, Zhou B, Wang Q, Jiao K. Cell autonomous requirement of endocardial Smad4 during atrioventricular cushion development in mouse embryos. Dev Dyn. 2011; 240(1):211–20. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22493 PMID: 21089072</u>
- Moskowitz IP, Wang J, Peterson MA, Pu WT, Mackinnon AC, Oxburgh L, et al. Transcription factor genes Smad4 and Gata4 cooperatively regulate cardiac valve development. [corrected]. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(10):4006–11. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3053967. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1019025108 PMID: 21330551
- Peng Y, Song L, Li D, Kesterson R, Wang J, Wang L, et al. Sema6D acts downstream of bone morphogenetic protein signalling to promote atrioventricular cushion development in mice. Cardiovasc Res. 2016.
- Paulsson J, Ehnman M, Ostman A. PDGF receptors in tumor biology: prognostic and predictive potential. Future Oncol. 2014; 10(9):1695–708. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon.14.83 PMID: 25145436
- Demoulin JB, Essaghir A. PDGF receptor signaling networks in normal and cancer cells. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2014; 25(3):273–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.03.003</u> PMID: 24703957
- Van den Akker NM, Winkel LC, Nisancioglu MH, Maas S, Wisse LJ, Armulik A, et al. PDGF-B signaling is important for murine cardiac development: its role in developing atrioventricular valves, coronaries, and cardiac innervation. Dev Dyn. 2008; 237(2):494–503. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21436 PMID: 18213589
- Leveen P, Pekny M, Gebre-Medhin S, Swolin B, Larsson E, Betsholtz C. Mice deficient for PDGF B show renal, cardiovascular, and hematological abnormalities. Genes Dev. 1994; 8(16):1875–87. PMID: 7958863
- Hellstrom M, Kalen M, Lindahl P, Abramsson A, Betsholtz C. Role of PDGF-B and PDGFR-beta in recruitment of vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes during embryonic blood vessel formation in the mouse. Development. 1999; 126(14):3047–55. PMID: 10375497
- 45. Bjarnegard M, Enge M, Norlin J, Gustafsdottir S, Fredriksson S, Abramsson A, et al. Endothelium-specific ablation of PDGFB leads to pericyte loss and glomerular, cardiac and placental abnormalities. Development. 2004; 131(8):1847–57. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01080 PMID: 15084468
- 46. Lee JI, Wright JH, Johnson MM, Bauer RL, Sorg K, Yuen S, et al. Role of Smad3 in platelet-derived growth factor-C-induced liver fibrosis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2016; 310(6):C436–45. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4796282. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00423.2014 PMID: 26632601
- 47. Liu C, Li J, Xiang X, Guo L, Tu K, Liu Q, et al. PDGF receptor-alpha promotes TGF-beta signaling in hepatic stellate cells via transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of TGF-beta receptors. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2014; 307(7):G749–59. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4187064. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00138.2014 PMID: 25169976
- 48. Martin-Garrido A, Williams HC, Lee M, Seidel-Rogol B, Ci X, Dong JT, et al. Transforming growth factor beta inhibits platelet derived growth factor-induced vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation via Akt-independent, Smad-mediated cyclin D1 downregulation. PLoS One. 2013; 8(11):e79657. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3827379. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079657 PMID: 24236150
- 49. Peng Y, Song L, Zhao M, Harmelink C, Debenedittis P, Cui X, et al. Critical roles of miRNA-mediated regulation of TGFbeta signalling during mouse cardiogenesis. Cardiovasc Res. 2014; 103(2):258–67. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4110444. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvu126 PMID: 24835278
- Peng Y, Song L, Li D, Kesterson R, Wang J, Wang L, et al. Sema6D acts downstream of bone morphogenetic protein signalling to promote atrioventricular cushion development in mice. Cardiovasc Res. 2016; 112(2):532–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvw200 PMID: 28172500
- Liu Y, Harmelink C, Peng Y, Chen Y, Wang Q, Jiao K. CHD7 interacts with BMP R-SMADs to epigenetically regulate cardiogenesis in mice. Hum Mol Genet. 2014; 23(8):2145–56. Epub 2013/12/03. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3959819. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt610 PMID: 24293546
- Molander C, Hackzell A, Ohta M, Izumi H, Funa K. Sp1 is a key regulator of the PDGF beta-receptor transcription. Molecular biology reports. 2001; 28(4):223–33. PMID: 12153142
- Kramer A, Green J, Pollard J Jr., Tugendreich S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30(4):523–30. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3928520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt703 PMID: 24336805</u>
- El Mai M, Wagner KD, Michiels JF, Ambrosetti D, Borderie A, Destree S, et al. The Telomeric Protein TRF2 Regulates Angiogenesis by Binding and Activating the PDGFRbeta Promoter. Cell Rep. 2014; 9 (3):1047–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.038 PMID: 25437559

- 55. Pardali K, Kurisaki A, Moren A, ten Dijke P, Kardassis D, Moustakas A. Role of Smad proteins and transcription factor Sp1 in p21(Waf1/Cip1) regulation by transforming growth factor-beta. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275(38):29244–56. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M909467199 PMID: 10878024
- 56. Feng XH, Lin X, Derynck R. Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4 cooperate with Sp1 to induce p15(Ink4B) transcription in response to TGF-beta. EMBO J. 2000; 19(19):5178–93. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC302105. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.19.5178 PMID: 11013220
- Jungert K, Buck A, Buchholz M, Wagner M, Adler G, Gress TM, et al. Smad-Sp1 complexes mediate TGFbeta-induced early transcription of oncogenic Smad7 in pancreatic cancer cells. Carcinogenesis. 2006; 27(12):2392–401. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgl078 PMID: 16714330