

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. patient with lip dermatitis, received their second vaccination, with no recurrence of symptoms.

Two patients had symptoms consistent with anaphylaxis (within 30 minutes, developed hives, flushing, shortness of breath, feeling of impending doom) after dose 1. Neither received epinephrine. Both underwent successful desensitization procedures for their second dose (Table 1, footnote d).<sup>2</sup> Of the patients with a history of anaphylaxis unrelated to the vaccine, 18 received their second dose. Seven of them had symptoms, with 2 patients having hives and 5 with subjective shortness of breath or itching. None required epinephrine.

Twenty-two patients had subjective symptoms including shortness of breath, palpitations, feeling warm, or throat tightness after dose 1; 10 had a previous history of unrelated anaphylaxis. Because of the unclear symptom causation, the initial 6 patients were observed by an allergist for their second dose by means of either a graded vaccine challenge (10% and 90%) or direct challenge, and no patients had a reaction. The rest were instructed to get their second dose normally with no additional observation. Overall, 18 received their second dose. Eight (44%) had a recurrence of symptoms, but all were deemed to be less severe than the initial symptoms with no resultant anaphylaxis.

Subjective neurologic complaints of dizziness, vision changes, numbness, or tingling of mouth or extremities occurred in 10 patients after dose 1. The median onset was 30 minutes (range 15 minutes to 5 days) and duration of 14 hours (range 45 minutes to several weeks), with all symptoms resolved. Seven received their second dose and only 1 had a recurrence of symptoms. One patient with a history of COVID-19 infection causing loss of smell and taste had symptom recurrence after the initial Pfizer vaccine; it was recommended this patient not receive a second dose. One patient with a history of hyperemesis gravidarum had a recurrence of repetitive vomiting the day of the first dose, but no recurrence with the second.

All 51 patients (77.3%) tolerated the second dose and none had what would be considered dose-limiting symptoms that would preclude future vaccine administration. No severe reactions or new cases of anaphylaxis were observed. Patients with nonanaphylactic reactions after dose 1, but with symptoms concerning immunoglobulin E (IgE)—mediated reactions including hives and angioedema, successfully received their second dose without preceding skin testing for risk stratification. The 2 patients with anaphylaxis tolerated their second dose with a graded-dose protocol. Neurologic and gastrointestinal symptoms were also mild and temporary. Our experience does not support extensive skin testing to aid in the decision to give a second dose to patients with mild to moderate symptoms, similar to what others have found.<sup>8–10</sup> The mechanisms of these reactions are unknown, although immediate reactions may be related to non-IgE –mediated mechanisms, whereas delayed symptoms may be owing to vaccine-induced immune response. This presents an opportunity for shared decision-making when discussing the second dose of mRNA vaccine in a patient who had a reaction to the first dose.

> Jacqueline Eastman, MD Theodore Kelbel, MD Amanda Holsworth, DO Timothy Pebbles, PA Nicholas Hartog, MD Spectrum Health Helen DeVos Children's Hospital Grand Rapids, Michigan Nicholas.hartog@spectrumhealth.org

## References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim clinical considerations for use of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines currently authorized in the United States. 2021. Available at: https://www. cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html. Accessed August 1, 2021.
- Shimabukuro T, Nair N. Allergic reactions including anaphylaxis after receipt of the first dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. JAMA. 2021;325(8):780–781.
- 3. Risma KA, Edwards KM, Hummel DS, et al. Potential mechanisms of anaphylaxis to COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(6):2075–2082.
- Greenhawt M, Abrams E, Shaker M, et al. The risk of allergic reaction to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and recommended evaluation and management: a systematic review, meta-analysis, GRADE assessment, and international consensus approach. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(10):3546–3567.
- Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(7):585–594.
- Banerji A, Wickner PG, Saff R, et al. mRNA vaccines to prevent COVID-19 disease and reported allergic reactions: current evidence and suggested approach. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(4):1424–1437.
- Mustafa SS, Ramsey A, Staicu ML. Administration of a second dose of the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine after an immediate hypersensitivity reaction with the first dose: two case reports. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174(8):1177–1178.
- Wolfson AR, Robinson LB, Li L, et al. First-dose mRNA COVID-19 vaccine allergic reactions: limited role for excipient skin testing. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;9 (9):3308–3320.e3.
- Greenhawt M, Shaker M, Golden DBK. PEG/polysorbate skin testing has no utility in the assessment of suspected allergic reactions to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(9):3321–3322.
- Kelso J. Misdiagnosis of systemic allergic reactions to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;127(1):133–134.

# Atopic comorbidity has no impact on severity and course of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in adult patients

Check for updates

In the beginning of the Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, chronic airway diseases were discussed to be risk factors for a severe outcome of COVID-19, as epithelial barrier dysfunction in allergic rhinitis or asthma was suspected to increase susceptibility for SARS-CoV-2 infection, potentially leading to increased symptoms or prolonged recovery.<sup>1,2</sup>

This was based on previous investigations revealing pollen exposure can decrease immune defense against respiratory viruses.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, high airborne pollen concentrations were correlated with increased SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, whereas pollen or particulate matter was not found to serve as transmitters for viral particles.<sup>4,5</sup> Studies have revealed that  $T_H$ 2-dominated diseases are associated with lower viral defense mechanisms owing to a reduced antiviral interferon response, altogether increasing the susceptibility for respiratory viral infections or even systemic infections in patients with atopy.<sup>1,3,4</sup> Several international studies, however none from Germany, have investigated possible effects of atopic disorders on COVID-19 disease and recently even a protective effective was supposed.<sup>6,7</sup>

In a retrospective, questionnaire-based study, we aimed at analyzing the impact of atopic diseases on the course and severity of COVID-19 in adult patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in our

**Disclosures:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Funding: Project funding received by Hautnetz Leipzig/Westsachsen e.V. (registered association).

#### Table 1

Demographic data, reported symptoms and regeneration time in atopic (group 1) and non-atopic (group 2) patients

	Group 1 (atopy)	Group 2 (controls)	Significance
n	53	54	
Female	24 (45.3%)	28 (51.9%)	0.50
Age (y), median (range)	42 (31-52)	43 (33-58)	0.92
Symptomatic	52 (98.1%)	52 (96.3%)	0.57
Asymptomatic	1 (1.9%)	2 (3.7%)	
Quarantine only	50 (94.3%)	51 (94.4%)	0.98
Outpatient care	4 (7.5%)	3 (5.6%)	0.68
Hospitalization	3 (5.7%)	3 (5.6%)	0.30
Oxygen supply	None	2 (3.7%)	0.16
Experienced symptoms		× ,	
Fever	29 (54.7%)	22 (40.7%)	0.15
Smell or taste	33 (62.3%)	35 (64.8%)	0.78
Gastrointestinal	15 (28.3%)	8 (14.8%)	0.09
Skin changes	4 (7.5%)	6 (11.1%)	0.53
General symptoms	47 (88.7%)	42 (77.8%)	0.13
Myalgia	33 (62.3%)	29 (53.7%)	0.37
Headache	35 (66%)	32 (59.3%)	0.47
Rhinorrhea	20 (37.7%)	25 (46.3%)	0.37
Pulmonary symptoms	31 (58.5%)	31 (57.4%)	0.91
Dry cough	26 (49.1%)	27 (50%)	0.92
Productive cough	3 (5.7%)	7 (13%)	0.20
Shortness of breath without oxygen supply	11 (20.8%)	8 (14.8%)	0.42
Shortness of breath with oxygen supply	0	1 (1.9%)	0.32
Self-reported regeneration time			
<2 wk	39 (73.6%)	37 (68.5%)	0.565
>2 wk	14 (26.4%)	17 (31.5%)	

<sup>a</sup>Mann-Whitney U test.

region. Patients were recruited after identification by the local health authorities or when presenting at the Department of Allergology of our university hospital. All subjects had SARS-CoV-2 infection before the local rise of mutant B1.1.7.

A total of 107 patients were included, of whom 53 (49.5%; mean age, 44.4 years) presented a history of symptomatic atopic diseases in the past 12 months whereas 54 subjects without atopic history served as controls (50.5%; mean age, 44.5 years). Characteristics of 107 patients are given in Table 1. Baseline data revealed no significant differences between atopic (group 1) and nonatopic subjects (group 2) with regard to sex or age. In group 1, 8 of 53 patients (15.1%) had atopic dermatitis, 47 of 53 patients (88.7%) had allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and 14 of 53 patients (26.4%) had allergic asthma. All patients had a known sensitization to inhalative allergens. In regard to plant-derived allergens, grass (64.2%) and birch (50.9%) pollens were reported most frequently, and sensitization to nonherbal allergens were most often to mites (34%), cat (30.2%), or dog (18.9%) allergen. In group 1, 5 patients (9.4%) received allergen-specific immunotherapy when COVID-19 infection occurred. In addition, 9 of 53 patients (17%) were treated with local or systemic immunosuppressive medications (n = 3 topical nasal steroids, n = 4 steroid ointment, n = 6 inhalative steroids, n = 1 cyclosporine, n = 1 methotrexate and etanercept). In group 2, only 1 patient had omalizumab owing to chronic urticaria, although no other immunoactive drugs were reported to be taken.

Statistical analysis did not reveal a significant difference in experienced symptoms, treatment regimen, or recovery time between both groups. Furthermore, patients with atopy receiving immunotherapy or immunosuppressive medication did not have any significant differences for any of the parameters investigated. Hospitalization rates were comparable in both groups with n = 3, respectively (5.7% and 5.6%).

In conclusion, our data support the evidence that atopic comorbidities have no unfavorable impact on severity and course of COVID-19. Several studies have analyzed the effect of atopic diseases on the expression of Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) or transmembrane protease 2, which induces the receptor binding of SARS-CoV-2.<sup>6,7</sup> It was found that linterleukin 13 (IL), most often overexpressed in the context of  $T_H2$  inflammation, can significantly down-

regulate ACE2 expression.<sup>2,6,7</sup> Respiratory allergies, elevated limmunoglobulin E (IgE) levels, and topical and inhalative corticosteroids were also associated with a decreased ACE2 expression.<sup>2,6</sup> Altogether, this implicates that a decreased ACE2 expression in atopic manifestations may potentially reduce viral entrance of SARS-CoV-2 and thus lowers susceptibility for COVID-19 infection or disease severity in individuals with atopic background.<sup>2,6,7</sup>

As severe COVID-19 cases have been associated with eosinopenia, previous studies have discussed a potential antiviral role of eosinophils in the immune system.<sup>6,8</sup> In terms of their function in innate immunity, eosinophils are capable of antigen presentation and recognition of viral particles and release of proinflammatory mediators through degranulation and promotion of type 2 cytokines.<sup>8</sup> Atopic diseases are often associated with elevated eosinophil levels, which can be induced by the T<sub>H</sub>2-derived cytokine interleukinIL 5. An increased antiviral immune response in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients with atopy with eosinophilia may be speculated, but further analysis is needed. With regard to most often prescribed medication, inhalative, intranasal, or systemic corticosteroids and allergen-specific immunotherapy have beneficial effects for local viral defense.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, large-cohort analyses of patients with severe asthma have revealed that risk of infection, course of COVID-19 disease, or mortality is not increased when patients require treatment with biologicals.<sup>10</sup> Clinicians should be aware that patients who have atopic diseases might stop taking their effective medication as they fear severe COVID-19 illness, but owing to the potential benefit of these therapies, an unnecessary discontinuation should be avoided, requiring good clinical care and patient education.<sup>9</sup>

> Julia Zarnowski, MD Paula Kage, MD Jan-Christoph Simon, MD Regina Treudler, MD Department of Dermatology Venereology and Allergology University Hospital Leipzig Leipzig, Germany julia.zarnowski@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

### References

- Huntley KS, Fine L, Bernstein JA. Atopic endotypes as a modulating factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection: mechanisms and implications. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.*, 2021;21(3):252–260.
- Jackson DJ, Busse WW, Bacharier LB, et al. Association of respiratory allergy, asthma, and expression of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2. J Allergy Clin Immunol.. 2020;146(1). 203-206.e3.
- Gilles S, Blume C, Wimmer M, et al. Pollen exposure weakens innate defense against respiratory viruses. *Allergy*. 2020;75(3):576–587.
- 4. Damialis A, Gilles S, Sofiev M, et al. Higher airborne pollen concentrations correlated with increased SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, as evidenced from 31 countries across the globe. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2021;118:(12) e2019034118.
- 5. Dunker S, Hornick T, Szczepankiewicz G, et al. No SARS-CoV-2 detected in air samples (pollen and particulate matter) in Leipzig during the first spread. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;755:(1) 142881.

- Kimura H, Francisco D, Conway M, et al. Type 2 inflammation modulates ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in airway epithelial cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;146(1). 80-88.e8.
- Sajuthi SP, DeFord P, Li Y, et al. Type 2 and interferon inflammation regulate SARS-CoV-2 entry factor expression in the airway epithelium. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11 (1):5139.
- Rodrigo-Muñoz JM, Sastre B, Cañas JA, Gil-Martínez M, Redondo N, Del Pozo V. Eosinophil response against classical and emerging respiratory viruses: COVID-19. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2021;31(2):94–107.
- Loft ND, Halling A, Iversen L, et al. Concerns related to the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in adult patients with atopic dermatitis and psoriasis treated with systemic immunomodulatory therapy: a Danish questionnaire survey. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2020;34(12):e773–e776.
- 10. Rial MJ, Valverde M, Del Pozo V, et al. Clinical characteristics in 545 patients with severe asthma on biological treatment during the COVID-19 outbreak. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(1). 487-489.e1.

# Positive associations of pollutants and aeroallergens with allergic rhinitis in adults with asthma

Check for updates

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is a widely prevalent condition (~20%), but there is a knowledge gap in our understanding of the risk factors for AR at a real-world and population level—in particular, exposure to potentially modifiable environmental triggers (eg, pollutants, aeroallergens).<sup>1,2</sup> The existing literature that evaluates the association of pollutants has especially focused on children and the results have been inconsistent.<sup>3,4</sup> We used the national Asthma Specialist Tool to Help Manage Asthma and Improve Quality (Asthma IQ) database<sup>4</sup> to evaluate individual and joint associations of self-reported environmental triggers, specifically pollutants and aeroallergens, with AR in adults in this large-scale, real-world sample.

The Asthma IQ (www.asthmaiq.org), a web-based tool developed by the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI), is intended to help asthma specialists better understand and apply the asthma guidelines of the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program in their practice to improve the quality of asthma care.<sup>5</sup> All data accessed (2008-2016) and analyzed were deidentified and researchers were granted access to the Asthma IQ database through a written request to the AAAAI.

This cross-sectional study was conducted in adults with asthma. The dependent variable evaluated was having AR, treated as a binary variable and defined by the AAAAI Joint Task Force rhinitis practice parameters as 1 or more of the following symptoms: sneezing, nasal congestion, rhinorrhea or postnasal drip, and itchy nose or throat.<sup>6</sup> The exposure variables of interest were self-reported nonallergic and allergic asthma triggers including pollutants (occupational exposures, air pollution, smells, and smoke) and aeroallergens (animals, cockroaches, dust, indoor mold, and outdoor pollens or molds), respectively.

Binary logistic regression models were used to evaluate the associations of triggers (pollutants and aeroallergens) with AR while adjusting for age, race, and body mass index (BMI) (data missing 32%, treated as separate BMI category). However, an important covariate, data on sex, was missing for most of the sample (87.7%). Associations of the number of triggers (as an ordinal variable) with AR were also evaluated. We assessed the interaction between pollutants and aeroallergens by including them and their product in the regression models. A 4-level categorical variable was developed to indicate the combined and unique effects of pollutants and aeroallergens. *P* values less than .05 were used to indicate statistical significance. Analyses were performed using Stata Version 15.0 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas).

A total of 9676 patients were included in the analysis, with a mean age of 46  $(\pm 17)$  years. The age distribution was as follows: 18 to 29 years (21%), 30 to 49 years (37%), 50 to 69 years (34%), and 70 years and older (9%). Most patients were White (72%), 11% were Black, and 10% were Hispanic. Patients with BMI less than 30 represented 27% of the sample, whereas 21% had BMI 25 to 29, 20% had a BMI less than 25, and 32% had a missing BMI.

A total of 3247 (34%) patients had AR. The prevalence of AR did not vary significantly by age group (P = 0.26). There were small but significant differences in the prevalence of AR by race and ethnicity (White: 34%; Black: 30%; Hispanic: 31%; Other: 35%; P = .01).

Self-reported triggers were associated with an increased odds of having AR after controlling for covariates (age, race, BMI) (Table 1). In adjusted analyses, there was a direct dose-response relationship between the number of triggers and the odds of having AR (Table 1).

When triggers were grouped as pollutants or aeroallergens, patients who reported exposure to pollutants were more likely (odds ratio [OR], 1.54; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.25-1.88) to have AR, whereas patients who reported aeroallergens were twice as likely (OR, 2.19; 95% CI, 1.97-2.44) to have AR, after adjusting for covariates.

A statistically significant interaction between pollutants and aeroallergens was found in the adjusted analysis. Compared with participants who reported neither pollutants nor aeroallergens, those who reported both were more than twice as likely to have AR (OR, 2.59; 95% CI, 2.26-2.98).

Allergic rhinitis is a common but complex disease, with an interaction between multiple environmental and genetic factors that contribute to the clinical phenotype.<sup>7</sup> Our findings reveal that self-reported nonallergic and allergic asthma triggers, including both pollutants and aeroallergens, were individually associated with an increased odds of having AR. In addition, we found that the cumulative number of triggers also increased the odds of having AR. Previously, researchers have investigated the associations of environmental triggers is rarely isolated in the real world.<sup>7,8</sup> Furthermore, data on modifiable pollutant triggers is limited, and our findings emphasize the importance of identifying these so that clinicians can

Disclosures: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

**Funding:** The Asthma Specialist Tool to Help Manage Asthma and Improve Quality (Asthma IQ) was funded, in part, by a grant awarded to the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology by Novartis, Inc, and Genentech.