Meta-Analysis of Apolipoprotein E Gene Polymorphism and Susceptibility of Myocardial Infarction



Hong Xu, Haiqing Li, Jun Liu, Dan Zhu, Zhe Wang, Anqing Chen*, Qiang Zhao*

Department of Cardiac Surgery, Rui Jin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Abstract

A number of case-control studies have been conducted to clarify the association between ApoE polymorphisms and myocardial infarction (MI); however, the results are inconsistent. This meta-analysis was performed to clarify this issue using all the available evidence. Searching in PubMed retrieved all eligible articles. A total of 33 studies were included in this meta-analysis, including 18752 MI cases and 18963 controls. The pooled analysis based on all included studies showed that the MI patients had a decreased frequency of the $\epsilon 2$ allele (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.70–0.87) and an increased frequency of the $\epsilon 4$ allele (OR = 1.15, 95% CI = 1.10–1.20); The results also showed a decreased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.68–0.90) and in the $\epsilon 2$ vs. $\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.69–0.89), an increased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.12–1.41), in the $\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.12–1.32) and in the $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.59, 95% CI = 1.15–2.19). However, there were no significant associations among polymorphisms and MI for the following genetic models: frequency of the $\epsilon 3$ allele (OR = 0.99, 95% CI = 0.99–1.21). Our results suggested that the $\epsilon 4$ allele of ApoE is a risk factor for the development of MI and the $\epsilon 2$ allele of ApoE is a protective factor in the development of MI.

Citation: Xu H, Li H, Liu J, Zhu D, Wang Z, et al. (2014) Meta-Analysis of Apolipoprotein E Gene Polymorphism and Susceptibility of Myocardial Infarction. PLoS ONE 9(8): e104608. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608

Editor: Giuseppe D. Norata, University of Milan, Italy

Received February 12, 2014; Accepted July 10, 2014; Published August 11, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Xu et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO.81200093) and the 2012 Shanghai College Special Research Funding for Outstanding Young Teachers (NO.82013011900002). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: chenanqing2008@163.com (AC); zq11607@rjh.com.cn (QZ)

Introduction

Myocardial infarction (MI) is a leading cause of death worldwide, and is a multifactorial disease, influenced by genetic and environmental factors [1]. The main risk factors for MI include hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, obesity, and smoking. In addition, recent studies have also shown the importance of genetic factors caused by polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of MI [2–7].

Apolipoprotein E (Apo E) is a serum glycoprotein found in circulating chylomicrons (remnants), very low density lipoproteins, intermediate density lipoproteins and high-density lipoproteins [8]. ApoE is considered as an excellent candidate gene for studying the susceptibility to coronary heart disease (CHD) and MI because of its pivotal roles in the metabolisms of cholesterol and triglyceride [9]. The most extensively studied polymorphism in the ApoE gene codes for three variant alleles: ε_2 , ε_3 and ε_4 , which yield six possible genotypes: $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$, $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ and $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$ in general population [10]. The products of the three alleles differ in their properties such as their affinity for binding low density lipoprotein receptors and lipoprotein particles; therefore, this ApoE polymorphism could affect the serum levels of cholesterol and triglyceride, thus contributing to the progression of atherosclerosis. In fact, ApoE polymorphisms have been found to be associated with many lipid-related diseases and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases [11-14].

Numerous studies have been conducted to explore the association of this ApoE polymorphism and CHD; some of the studies found a significant association between the ApoE ε 4 allele and CHD [15-17]. A meta-analysis conducted in 2004 provided evidence that the £4 allele of ApoE was a risk factor for the development of CHD [18]. Another meta- analysis conducted in 2013 further confirmed this finding in a Chinese population [19]. However, no meta-analysis has been conducted to explore the association between this ApoE gene polymorphism and MI. In spite of the presence of advanced CHD, only a subset of patients develops MI during their life. The reasons for these individual differences in susceptibility to MI are poorly understood. Therefore, it is important to explore the association between ApoE gene polymorphisms and MI. In fact, a number of casecontrol studies have been conducted to clarify the association between ApoE gene polymorphisms and MI [20–52]; however, the results are inconsistent. Therefore, we conducted this metaanalysis including all of the evidence produced to date to explore this issue.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

We searched all published studies in the Pubmed database (up to January 20, 2014) using the following combination of keywords: "Apolipoprotein E" OR "ApoE" AND "acute coronary syndrome" OR "myocardial infarction" AND "polymorphism" OR

"polymorphisms" OR "variants" OR "variant". In addition, manual searches for related articles were also performed to avoid missing any relevant studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for identified articles were as follows: 1) Case-control studies with full text articles on the relationship of ApoE polymorphisms and MI; 2) sufficient data for estimating an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Those not designed as case-control studies, systemic reviews, those not written in English or Chinese, and those that provided no usable data, were excluded.

Data extraction

Two authors independently extracted the data from all included studies using a predesigned data extraction table. The following information was extracted from each included article: first author, year of publication, ethnicity and country, source of controls, total numbers of MI cases and controls, distribution of genotypes and alleles in MI cases and controls, and evidence of conforming to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

Statistical analysis

We firstly used chi-squared (χ^2) test and and I² statistic to assess heterogeneity across studies. A fixed effect model (Mantel– Haenszel) was used in the absence of heterogeneity. Otherwise, the random effect model (DerSimonian–Laird) was adopted. The strength of the association between the ApoE gene polymorphism and MI was assessed by odds ratios (ORs) with the corresponding 95% CI for each study. The ORs and their 95% CIs were assessed for the following seven genetic models: 1) $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3; 2) \epsilon 2\epsilon 3 vs.$ ϵ 3 ϵ 3; 3) ϵ 2 ϵ 4 vs. ϵ 3 ϵ 3; 4) ϵ 3 ϵ 4 vs. ϵ 3 ϵ 3; 5) ϵ 4 ϵ 4 vs. ϵ 3 ϵ 3; 6) ϵ 2 vs. ϵ 3; 7) ϵ 4 vs. ϵ 3. The allele frequencies of ϵ 2, ϵ 3 and ϵ 4 were also assessed using the same method. Cumulative meta-analysis was also performed for the above genetic models. Subgroup analysis for ethnicity (Asian and Caucasian) was also performed. To find potential outliers, influence analysis was performed by omitting each study in turn. A funnel plot, calculated using Begg's and Egger's tests, was adopted for assessing potential publication bias. Statistical analysis was conducted using STATA statistical software (version 11; StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Literature selection and study characteristics

One hundred and thirty two articles were retrieved from PubMed, 79 of which were excluded after screening the titles and abstracts (58 were irrelevant studies, 13 were reviews and eight were not published in English or Chinese). Fifty-three articles were selected for detailed assessment, which excluded a further 20 articles (seven were not case-control studies, eight had no usable data (no case and control numbers according to the genotypes) and five were not about MI). Finally, 33 studies were included in this meta-analysis, which included 18752 MI cases and 18963 controls. The detailed selection procedure is shown in **Figure 1**. There were three studies did not follow the HWE. The detailed characteristics of the included studies are shown in **Table 1**. The present study met the PRISMA statement requirements (**Checklist S1** and **Figure 1**).



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study selection. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608.g001

Table 1. Detailed	characteri	stics of studie	is included i	n this me	ta-analys	is.									
							Genotyp	oes distribu	tion (Cases/	controls)					
	;		:	Study		Total									
ound [neisience]	I cal	country	Etimote	type		Case/Contro	73/73	C3173	C3/C3	+3/73	+3/C3	t 2/ t 2	3	ß	5
[100]10011001[20]	1004	Comment	Concercione		Vac	1001/003	001/2	101000	212/000	11 /1E		00/01	01070	220/201	11 - 1300
Otermann1964[20]	1004	Cootland	Caucasion		Voc		071//	X00/124	110/000	C1/11	062/26	12/29	400/00	1/6/664	007/CTT
[12]TOCI [[11]]	2001	Eranco	Concession			001/023	1/6	10/01	202/035	00/01	301/201	c1/c1	61/02	547/505	150/150
	0001	LIAILCO	Caucasion	5	0		2			10/20	C71 //C1	CI /71			
Eichner 1993[23]	1993	USA	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	114/412	0/2	16/35	67/276	0/4	30/85	1/10	16/41	113/396	31/99
Luc 1994[24]	1994	France	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	574/680	3/6	54/92	352/428	14/14	133/126	18/14	71/112	539/646	165/154
Hergenc 1995'[25]	1995	Turkey	Caucasion	НСС	Yes	50/60	0/0	7/6	41/47	0/2	2/5	0/0	7/8	50/58	2/7
Kim 1995[26]	1995	Korea	Asian	НСС	Yes	97/137	2/1	17/25	57/95	0/4	20/12	1/0	19/30	94/132	21/16
Nakai 1998[27]	1998	Japan	Asian	PCC	Yes	254/422	0/0	10/16	178/327	2/4	52/74	6/1	12/20	240/417	60/79
Scaglione1999[28]	1999	Italy	Caucasion	НСС	No	98/98	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	3/3	84/87	11/8
Lambert 2000[29]	2000	France	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	567/678	3/4	67/100	332/420	0/3	152/138	18/13	70/107	551/658	170/154
Benes2000[30]	2000	Czech	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	114/222	1/0	12/30	71/147	3/2	23/43	4/0	16/32	106/220	30/45
Batalla 2000[31]	2000	Spain	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	220/200	0/0	9/18	174/151	1/1	32/28	4/2	10/19	215/197	37/31
Raslová 2001 [32]	2001	Canada	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	69/69	2/1	8/5	46/47	1/0	11/15	1/1	11/6	65/67	13/16
Bai 2001[33]	2001	China	Asian	PCC	Yes	47/50	0/0	4/5	40/39	0/0	6/3	0/0	4/5	50/47	6/3
Freitas 2002[34]	2002	Australia	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	411/624	3/4	24/67	254/372	9/15	111/147	10/19	36/86	389/586	130/181
Mamotte 2002[35]	2002	Australia	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	359/639	4/4	24/68	217/383	7/16	96/149	11/19	35/88	337/600	114/184
Kolovou 2002[36]	2002	Greece	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	124/240	0/0	3/34	94/159	0/5	27/40	0/2	3/39	124/233	27/47
Keavney 2003[37]	2003	UK	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	4487/5757	NR	440/686	2566/3384	NR	1206/1376	NR	440/686	4212/5446	1206/1376
Kolovou 2003[38]	2003	Greece	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	165/165	0/0	3/16	129/118	1/4	29/23	1/0	4/20	161/157	31/27
Kumar 2003[39]	2003	India	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	35/45	0/2	6/9	12/32	1/0	6/0	10/2	6/2	24/41	17/2
Marques 2003[40]	2003	France	Caucasion	НСС	Yes	400/338	NR	NR	272/228	NR	NR	NR	37/40	272/228	91/70
Keavney 2004[41]	2004	UK	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	4685/3460	NR	440/406	2566/1949	1206/810	NR	NR	1646/1216	3006/2355	1206/810
Ranjith 2004[42]	2004	South Africa	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	195/300	0/3	7/18	139/228	3/3	45/43	1/5	10/24	191/289	49/51
Baum 2006[43]	2006	China	Asian	PCC	Yes	231/331	0/2	13/60	164/203	4/6	46/39	4/1	17/68	223/302	54/46
Aasvee 2006[44]	2006	Estonia	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	71/85	1/1	4/13	45/52	2/3	16/16	3/0	7/17	65/81	21/19
Koch 2008[45]	2008	Germany	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	3657/1211	26/7	402/164	2279/736	63/23	809/263	78/18	491/194	3490/1163	950/304
Kolovou 2009[46]	2009	Greece	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	124/240	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	5/19	106/197	13/24
Bahri 2008[47]	2008	Tunisia	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	80/100	0/0	6/8	61/78	0/1	13/13	0/0	6/9	80/199	13/14
Martinelli 2009[48]	2009	Italy	Caucasion	HCC	Yes	394/287	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	34/25	285/220	76/42
Al-Bustan 2009[49]	2009	Kuwaiti	Caucasion	HCC	No	88/122	4/9	2/2	72/98	2/3	8/9	0/1	6/11	90/33	16/5
Onrat 2012[50]	2012	Turkey	Caucasion	PCC	Yes	36/100	0/0	12/4	72/27	0/0	16/4	0/1	12/4	100/35	16/5
Tanguturi 2013[51]	2013	USA	Caucasion	НСС	Yes	202/210	0/0	8/14	142/167	4/3	37/23	11/3	12/17	187/204	52/29

Table 1. Cont.															
							Genotyp	es distribu	ution (Cases	/controls)					
Study [Reference]	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Study	HWE	Total sample	23/23	£2/£3	£ 3/£3	52 /84	5 3/£3	4 3/ 4 3	23	ũ	4 3
				type		Case/Contro	_								
Zende 2013[52]	2013	India	Caucasion	HCC	No	150/150	6/7	13/16	59/85	7/4	22/14	43/24	26/27	94/115	72/42
HWE, Hardy-Weinberg ec doi:10.1371/journal.pone.	quilibrium; NF .0104608.t001	R, not reported;	Cases, MI patien	ts; HCC, ho:	spital based	d case-control st	udy; PCC, p	opulation b	ased case-co	ntrol study.					

Quantitative data synthesis

The meta-analysis of the included studies showed that there was significant association between the ApoE gene polymorphism and MI. The results showed that the MI patients had a decreased frequency of the ϵ_2 allele (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.70-0.87, **Figure 2**) and an increased frequency of the $\varepsilon 4$ allele (OR = 1.15, 95% CI = 1.10–1.20, **Figure 3**). The results also showed a decreased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.68–0.90, Figure S1), and in the $\epsilon_2 vs. \epsilon_3$ analysis (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.69–0.89, Figure S4), and an increased susceptibility of MI in the $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4 vs. \varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.12 - 1.41, Figure S2) in the $\varepsilon 4 \varepsilon 4 vs. \varepsilon 3 \varepsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.59, 95% CI = 1.15-2.19, Figure S3) and in the ε4 vs. ε3 analysis (OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.12–1.32, Figure S5). However, there were no significant associations among polymorphisms and MI for the following genetic models: frequency of $\varepsilon 3$ allele (OR = 0.99, 95% CI = 0.96-1.02); $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 2$ vs. $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ analysis $(OR = 0.73, 95\% CI = 0.40 - 1.32); \epsilon 2\epsilon 4 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3 analysis$ (OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.99-1.21). The detailed results are shown in Table 2. Cumulative analysis further confirmed the results (Figure 4 and Figure S6).

Tests of heterogeneity and subgroup analysis

Significant between-study heterogeneity existed in the analyses of seven genetic models: $\epsilon 2 \epsilon 2 vs. \epsilon 3 \epsilon 3 (p = 0.005)$; $\epsilon 2 \epsilon 3 vs. \epsilon 3 \epsilon 3 (p = 0.001)$; $\epsilon 3 \epsilon 4 vs. \epsilon 3 \epsilon 3 (p = 0.001)$; $\epsilon 4 \epsilon 4 vs. \epsilon 3 \epsilon 3 (p = 0.04)$, $\epsilon 2 vs. \epsilon 3 (p = 0.02)$ and the $\epsilon 2$ allele frequency (p = 0.001). A random effects model was adopted for these analyses.

Furthermore, we performed subgroup analysis based on ethnicity and found a decreased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.70–0.92) and $\epsilon 2$ allele frequency (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.71–0.88) among Caucasian populations. We also found an increased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.09–1.38), $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (OR = 1.47, 95% CI = 1.07–2.02) and the $\epsilon 4$ allele frequency (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.09–1.19) among Caucasian populations. Among Asian populations, we also found an increased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis and for the $\epsilon 4$ allele frequency; the detailed results are shown in **Table 2**.

Sensitivity analysis

We conducted influence analysis to assess the sensitivity of each individual study on the pooled ORs by sequential omission of each individual study. The results suggested that no individual study significantly affected the pooled ORs in the $\epsilon 2$ allele and $\epsilon 4$ allele frequency analysis (**Figure 5**), and in the $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis, $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis and $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4 vs. \epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis (**Figure S7**).

Publication bias

Funnel plots examined potential publication bias qualitatively and no obvious asymmetry was observed in any genetic model, as shown in **Figure 6**. Furthermore, the results from Begg's and Egger's tests did not provide any evidence of publication bias (**Table S1**).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the association between an ApoE polymorphism and susceptibility of MI. In this meta-analysis, we discovered an increased susceptibility of MI in the ϵ 4 allele frequency analysis. Moreover, the individuals with $\epsilon 2\epsilon$ 4 genotype, $\epsilon 3\epsilon$ 4 genotype and

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Caucasion		
Utermann1984	0.73 (0.56, 0.94)	6.62
Cumming1984	0.71 (0.45, 1.13)	3.63
Lenzen1986	0.71 (0.51, 1.00)	5.26
Eichner 1993	1.31 (0.71, 2.39)	2.49
Luc 1994 —	0.75 (0.55, 1.02)	5.67
Hergenc 1995	1.08 (0.37, 3.16)	0.94
Scaglione1999	1.00 (0.20, 5.08)	0.43
Lambert 2000	0.76 (0.55, 1.04)	5.61
Benes2000	0.98 (0.52, 1.84)	2.32
Batalla 2000	0.50 (0.23, 1.09)	1.63
Raslov 2001	1.83 (0.65, 5.17)	1.00
Freitas 2002	0.64 (0.43, 0.96)	4.32
Mamotte 2002	0.71 (0.47, 1.07)	4.28
Kolovou 2002	0.16 (0.05, 0.52)	0.78
Keavney 2003 🔶	0.82 (0.73, 0.93)	9.33
Kolovou 2003	0.21 (0.07, 0.62)	0.91
Kumar 2003	0.72 (0.26, 1.99)	1.02
Marques 2003	0.78 (0.49, 1.25)	3.57
Keavney 2004	1.01 (0.93, 1.10)	10.00
Ranjith 2004	0.61 (0.29, 1.29)	1.74
Aasvee 2006	0.52 (0.21, 1.30)	1.23
Koch 2008	0.85 (0.72, 1.02)	8.34
Kolovou 2009	0.51 (0.19, 1.40)	1.05
Bahri 2008	0.82 (0.28, 2.39)	0.95
Martinelli 2009	0.99 (0.58, 1.69)	2.95
Al–Bustan 2009	0.58 (0.21, 1.63)	1.02
Onrat2012	2.70 (0.85, 8.59)	0.81
Tanguturi 2013	0.70 (0.33, 1.50)	1.72
Zende 2013	0.92 (0.52, 1.64)	2.68
Subtotal (I-squared = 44.7%, p = 0.005)	0.79 (0.71, 0.88)	92.30
Asian		
Kim 1995	0.84 (0.45, 1.56)	2.41
Nakai 1998	0.99 (0.48, 2.06)	1.84
Bai 2001	0.73 (0.19, 2.87)	0.60
Baum 2006	0.35 (0.20, 0.61)	2.85
Subtotal (I-squared = 54.6%, p = 0.086)	0.65 (0.38, 1.13)	7.70
Overall (I-squared = 47.7%, p = 0.001)	0.78 (0.70, 0.87)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.0485 I	1 20.6	



 $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$ genotype had a significantly higher susceptibility of developing MI compared to those with the $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ genotype. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the $\epsilon 4$ allele of ApoE is an risk factor for the development of MI. These results were consistent with a previous meta-analysis, which showed that $\epsilon 4$ allele of ApoE is a risk factor for the development of CHD [11,12]. In addition, we found a decreased susceptibility of MI in the $\epsilon 2$ allele frequency analysis and in the $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis, which indicate that the $\epsilon 2$ allele is a protective factor in the development of MI. Cumulative meta-analysis also confirmed these findings. Considering the large sample size in the pooled analysis in this meta-analysis, we believe that our results are robust and reliable. ApoE is a multifunctional protein that plays an important role in the metabolism of cholesterol and triglycerides, by binding to its receptors to help mediate clearance of chylomicron and remnant particles [53]. The three common isoforms, $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$, have different receptor-binding abilities and could yield different circulating levels of cholesterol and triglycerides. Compared with $\epsilon 3$ homozygotes, carriers of the $\epsilon 2$ allele have lower circulating cholesterol levels, whereas carriers of the $\epsilon 4$ allele appear to have higher plasma levels of total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [54]. According to these mechanisms, our meta-analysis suggested that carrying the $\epsilon 4$ allele is a risk factor for MI and that the $\epsilon 2$ allele has a protective role in the development of MI. When stratifying the studies by ethnicity, the $\epsilon 4$ allele remained a risk

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Caucasion		
Utermann 1984	0.90 (0.71, 1.13)	4.27
Cumming1984	1.33 (0.98, 1.80)	2.02
Lenzen 1986	1.10 (0.86, 1.40)	3.61
Eichner 1993	1.05 (0.68, 1.63)	1.10
Luc 1994 🔶	1.26 (0.99, 1.60)	3.41
Hergenc 1995	0.35 (0.07, 1.77)	0.17
Scaglione1999	1.38 (0.53, 3.57)	0.21
Lambert 2000	1.28 (1.01, 1.63)	3.43
Benes2000	1.30 (0.79, 2.15)	0.75
Batalla 2000	1.13 (0.68, 1.87)	0.81
Raslov 2001	0.81 (0.37, 1.79)	0.39
Freitas 2002	1.10 (0.86, 1.42)	3.35
Mamotte 2002	1.11 (0.86, 1.44)	3.09
Kolovou 2002	1.19 (0.71, 1.98)	0.76
Keavney 2003 🔶	1.12 (1.03, 1.22)	28.93
Kolovou 2003	1.20 (0.69, 2.08)	0.66
Kumar 2003	9.56 (2.10, 43.54)	0.05
Marques 2003	1.10 (0.78, 1.55)	1.78
Keavney 2004	1.11 (1.01, 1.23)	22.16
Ranjith 2004	1.40 (0.92, 2.14)	1.02
Aasvee 2006	1.39 (0.71, 2.74)	0.40
Koch 2008 🔶	1.05 (0.91, 1.21)	10.94
Kolovou 2009	1.05 (0.52, 2.13)	0.42
Bahri 2008	1.14 (0.51, 2.55)	0.32
Martinelli 2009	1.31 (0.88, 1.97)	1.19
Al-Bustan 2009	3.43 (1.22, 9.67)	0.13
Onrat 2012	2.88 (1.02, 8.10)	0.14
Tanguturi 2013	1.79 (1.10, 2.91)	0.72
Zende 2013	1.64 (1.07, 2.53)	0.94
Subtotal (I–squared = 18.9%, p = 0.184)	1.14 (1.09, 1.19)	97.15
Asian		
Kim 1995	1.74 (0.88, 3.47)	0.35
Nakai 1998	1.26 (0.87, 1.81)	1.46
Bai 2001	1.83 (0.44, 7.69)	0.08
Baum 2006	1.66 (1.09, 2.53)	0.96
Subtotal (I–squared = 0.0%, p = 0.713)	1.47 (1.14, 1.89)	2.85
Overall (I–squared = 19.4%, p = 0.165)	1.15 (1.10, 1.20)	100.00
.023	 43.5	



factor and the $\varepsilon 2$ allele was still protective in the development of MI among Caucasian populations; however, only the $\varepsilon 4$ allele remained as a risk factor for MI among Asian population. This may be due to the small sample size in the analysis among Asian populations; in fact, there were only four studies that included Asian populations [19,20,26,36]. Therefore, further studies are warranted among Asian populations. In addition, genotype distributions in the controls from Scaglione's study [28], Bustan's study [49] and Zende's study [52] were not in agreement with HWE, therefore, the results may be biased. However, sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled results were not significantly

changed after excluding the three studies (data not shown). This may be due to the large sample size even though the three studies were excluded.

Although the primary results of this meta-analysis are suggestive, some limitations still exist. First, between-study heterogeneity existed in some of the genetic model analysis, which may have affected the results of the present meta-analysis, although a random effects model was adopted for these analyses. Second, publication bias may have occurred because our analyses were based wholly on published studies only in English and Chinese. Third, the results of this meta-analysis were based on unadjusted Table 2. Results of meta-analysis of ApoE polymorphism and MI.

	Overall		Caucasion		Asian	
Analysis	OR (95% CI)	P/P _{het}	OR (95% CI)	P/P _{het}	OR (95% CI)	P/P _{het}
ε2ε2 vs. ε3ε3	0.73 (0.40–1.32)	0.29/0.005	0.70 (0.38–1.31)	0.27/0.004	1.07 (0.08–13.78)	0.96/0.18
ε2ε3 vs. ε3ε3	0.79 (0.68–0.90)	0.001/0.001	0.80 (0.70–0.92)	0.001/0.008	0.70 (0.31–1.60)	0.84/0.007
ε2ε4 vs. ε3ε3	1.10 (0.99–1.21)	0.07/0.70	1.10 (1.00–1.21)	0.05/0.63	0.66 (0.26–1.70)	0.39/0.61
ε3ε4 vs. ε3ε3	1.26 (1.12–1.41)	<0.001/0.001	1.23 (1.09–1.38)	0.001/0.001	1.51 (1.14–2.00)	0.004/0.39
ε4ε4 vs. ε3ε3	1.59 (1.15–2.19)	0.005/0.04	1.47 (1.07–2.02)	0.02/0.05	6.95 (1.75–27.65)	0.006/0.85
ε2 vs. ε3	0.78 (0.69–0.89)	<0.001/0.04	0.80 (0.71–0.90)	<0.001/0.04	0.67 (0.37–1.23)	0.20/0.22
ε4 vs. ε3	1.22 (1.12–1.32)	<0.001/0.02	1.20 (1.10–1.30)	<0.001/0.02	1.49 (1.15–1.93)	0.002/<0.001
ε2 allele frequency	0.78 (0.70–0.87)	<0.001/0.001	0.79 (0.71–0.88)	<0.001/0.005	0.65 (0.38–1.13)	0.13/0.09
ε3 allele frequency	0.99 (0.96–1.02)	0.38/1.00	0.99 (0.96–1.02)	0.39/1.00	0.99 (0.86–1.13)	0.22/0.94
ε4 allele frequency	1.15 (1.10–1.20)	0.001/0.17	1.14 (1.09–1.19)	0.001/0.18	1.47 (1.14–1.89)	0.003/0.70

P, p value of the test on the association estimate; Phet, p value of the heterogeneity test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608.t002

estimates because of the lack of adjusted estimates. Currently, some risk factors have been identified for MI, such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, obesity and smoking. A more precise analysis should be performed if these data could be

In conclusion, this comprehensive meta-analysis has evaluated all published data currently available on the association between the ApoE polymorphism and MI. Our meta-analysis suggested that the ϵ 4 allele of ApoE is an risk factor for the development of MI and the ϵ 2 allele of ApoE is a protective factor in the development of MI. This may be explained by the fact that ϵ 4

A. Allele ε2 frequency

extracted from primary articles.

Study ID	OR (95% CI)
Utermann 1984 Curming 1984 Lenzen 1986 Eichner 1993 Luc 1994 Hergenc 1995 Nakai 1998 Scaglione 1999 Lambert 2000 Benes2000 Batalla 2001 Freitas 2002 Mamotte 2002 Kolovou 2003 Kumar 2003 Marques 2003 Kumar 2003 Marques 2003 Kolovou 2009 Baum 2006 Aaswe 2006 Koch 2008 Kolovou 2009 Bahri 2008 Martinelli 2009 Al-Bustan 2009 Orar?2012 Tanguturi 2013 Zende 2013	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.73 \ (0.56, \ 0.94) \\ 0.72 \ (0.58, \ 0.91) \\ 0.72 \ (0.60, \ 0.87) \\ 0.76 \ (0.65, \ 0.83) \\ 0.76 \ (0.65, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.89) \\ 0.76 \ (0.68, \ 0.87) \\ 0.76 \ (0.68, \ 0.85) \\ 0.76 \ (0.68, \ 0.85) \\ 0.76 \ (0.68, \ 0.85) \\ 0.75 \ (0.66, \ 0.85) \\ 0.75 \ (0.66, \ 0.85) \\ 0.75 \ (0.66, \ 0.85) \\ 0.76 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.68, \ 0.86) \\ 0.77 \ (0.69, \ 0.86) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.70, \ 0.87) \\ 0.78 \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ (0.89) \ (0.77) \ $
.559	1 1.79

B. Allele ε4 frequency

Study ID Utermann1984 Cumming1984 Lenzen1986 Eichner 1993 Luc 1994 Hergenc 1995 Kim 1995 Nakai 1998 Scaglione1999 Lambert 2000 Benes2000 Batalla 2000 Raslov"¢ 2001 Bai 2001	OR (95% CI) 0.90 (0.71, 1.13) 1.04 (0.86, 1.25) 1.06 (0.91, 1.23) 1.06 (0.92, 1.22) 1.11 (0.98, 1.25) 1.10 (0.97, 1.24) 1.11 (0.99, 1.25) 1.12 (1.01, 1.26) 1.13 (1.04, 1.28) 1.15 (1.05, 1.28) 1.15 (1.05, 1.27) 1.15 (1.05, 1.27)
Rasidov & 2001 Bai 2001 Freitas 2002 Mamotte 2002 Kolovou 2002 Keavney 2003 Kumar 2003 Marques 2003 Keavney 2004 Ranjith 2004 Baum 2006 Aasvee 2006 Koch 2008 Kolovou 2009 Bahri 2008 Martinelli 2009 Al-Bustan 2009 Onrat?2012 Tanguturi 2013 Zende 2013	1.13 (1.03, 1.27) 1.15 (1.05, 1.26) 1.15 (1.05, 1.26) 1.14 (1.05, 1.26) 1.15 (1.05, 1.25) 1.15 (1.05, 1.20) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.21) 1.14 (1.08, 1.19) 1.14 (1.08, 1.19) 1.14 (1.08, 1.19) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) 1.14 (1.08, 1.19) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) 1.14 (1.09, 1.20) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) 1.14 (1.09, 1.19) 1.15 (1.09, 1.20) 1.15 (1.09, 1.20)
.709	1 1.41

Figure 4. Cumulative meta-analysis of ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk: A) ε2 allele frequency analysis; B) ε4 allele frequency analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608.g004



A Allele ε2 frequency

B. Allele ε4 frequency



Figure 5. Influence analysis of ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk: A) ε2 allele frequency analysis; B) ε4 allele frequency analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608.g005

A. Allele ϵ 2 frequency



Figure 6. Funnel plot of ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk: A) £2 allele frequency analysis; B) £4 allele frequency analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104608.g006

allele of ApoE elevates the plasma levels of total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol while the $\epsilon 2$ allele of ApoE lowers the circulating cholesterol levels. Further studies with larger sample sizes are warranted among Asian populations.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Forest plot for ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk in the genetic model of $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 3$ vs. $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ analysis. (TIF)

Figure S2 Forest plot for ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk in the genetic model of $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4$ vs. $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ analysis. (TIF)

Figure S3 Forest plot for ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk in the genetic model of $\varepsilon 4 \varepsilon 4$ vs. $\varepsilon 3 \varepsilon 3$ analysis. (TIF)

Figure S4 Forest plot for ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk in the genetic model of $\varepsilon 2$ vs. $\varepsilon 3$ analysis. (TIF)

Figure S5 Forest plot for ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk in the genetic model of $\varepsilon 4$ vs. $\varepsilon 3$ analysis. (TIF)

References

- 1. Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, Jamison DT, Murray CJ (2006) Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data. Lancet 367: 1747-1757.
- 2. Gu JY, Li LW (2014) ALDH2 Glu504Lys Polymorphism and Susceptibility to Coronary Artery Disease and Myocardial Infarction in East Asians: A Metaanalysis. Arch Med Res 45: 76-83.
- 3. Wang J, Xu D, Wu X, Zhou C, Wang H, et al. (2011) Polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinases in myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. Heart 97: 1542-1546.
- 4. Ozaki K, Tanaka T (2005) Genome-wide association study to identify SNPs conferring risk of myocardial infarction and their functional analyses. Cell Mol Life Sci 62: 1804-1813.
- 5. Szpakowicz A, Pepinski W, Waszkiewicz E, Maciorkowska D, Skawronska M, et al. (2014) Retraction: polymorphism of 9p21.3 locus is associated with 5-year survival in high-risk patients with myocardial infarction. PLoS One 9: e95513.
- 6. Jin Y, Wang Q, Wang G, Zhang X, Yan B, et al. (2014) Common polymorphisms in the interleukin-6 gene and myocardial infarction risk: a meta-analysis. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 18: 330-340.

Figure S6 Cumulative meta-analysis of ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk: A) \$2\$53 vs. \$3\$53 analysis; B) \$2\$\$64 vs. \$5\$\$63 analysi; C) ɛ4ɛ4 vs. ɛ3ɛ3 analysis. (TIF)

2

3

Figure S7 Influence analysis of ApoE gene polymorphism and MI risk: A) $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysis; B) $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ vs. $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ analysi; C) $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$

vs. ɛ3ɛ3 analysis. (TIF)

B. Allele ε 4 frequency

Table S1 Results of Egger's and Begger's test. (XLS)

Checklist S1 PRISMA Checklist. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Weifeng Qu for her excellent editorial work.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AC HX QZ. Performed the experiments: HX HL JL DZ ZW AC QZ. Analyzed the data: HX HL JL DZ ZW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HX HL JL DZ ZW. Wrote the paper: AC HX QZ.

- 7. Wang Q, Zhou SB, Wang LJ, Lei MM, Wang Y, et al. (2014) Seven functional polymorphisms in the CETP gene and myocardial infarction risk: a metaanalysis and meta-regression. PLoS One 9: e88118.
- 8. Scott J, Knott TJ, Shaw DJ, Brook JD (1985) Localization of genes encoding apolipoproteins CI, CII, and E to the p13-cen region of human chromosome 19. Hum Genet 71: 144-146.
- 9. Stampfer MJ, Sacks FM, Salvini S, Willett WC, Hennekens CH (1991) A prospective study of cholesterol, apolipoproteins, and the risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 325: 373-381.
- 10. Lahiri DK, Sambamurti K, Bennett DA (2004) Apolipoprotein gene and its interaction with the environmentally driven risk factors: molecular, genetic and epidemiological studies of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 25: 651-660.
- 11. Zhang R, Wang X, Tang Z, Liu J, Yang S, et al. (2014) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage: a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. Lipids Health Dis 13: 47.
- 12. Shin MH, Choi JS, Rhee JA, Lee YH, Nam HS, et al. (2014) APOE polymorphism and carotid atherosclerosis in Korean population: the Dong-gu Study and the Namwon Study. Atherosclerosis 232: 180-185.

- Zhu S, Wang Z, Wu X, Shu Y, Lu D (2014) Apolipoprotein E polymorphism is associated with lower extremity deep venous thrombosis: color-flow Doppler ultrasound evaluation. Lipids Health Dis 13: 21.
- Takeuchi F, Isono M, Katsuya T, Yokota M, Yamamoto K, et al. (2012) Association of genetic variants influencing lipid levels with coronary artery disease in Japanese individuals. PLoS One 7: e46385.
- Chaudhary R, Likidilid A, Peerapatdit T, Tresukosol D, Srisuma S, et al. (2012) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism: effects on plasma lipids and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. Cardiovasc Diabetol 11: 36.
- Atabek ME, Ozkul Y, Eklioglu BS, Kurtoglu S, Baykara M (2012) Association between apolipoprotein E polymorphism and subclinic atherosclerosis in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol 4: 8–13.
- Song Y, Stampfer MJ, Liu S (2004) Meta-analysis: apolipoprotein E genotypes and risk for coronary heart disease. Ann Intern Med 141: 137–147.
- Yin YW, Sun QQ, Zhang BB, Hu AM, Liu HL, et al. (2013) Association between apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and the risk of coronary artery disease in Chinese population: evidence from a meta-analysis of 40 studies. PLoS One 8: e66924.
- Utermann G, Hardewig A, Zimmer F (1984) Apolipoprotein E phenotypes in patients with myocardial infarction. Hum Genet 65: 237–241.
- Cumming AM, Robertson FW (1984) Polymorphism at the apoprotein-E locus in relation to risk of coronary disease. Clin Genet 25: 310–313.
- Lenzen HJ, Assmann G, Buchwalsky R, Schulte H (1986) Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and coronary artery disease. Clin Chem 32: 778–781.
- Eichner JE, Kuller LH, Orchard TJ, Grandits GA, McCallum LM, et al. (1993) Relation of apolipoprotein E phenotype to myocardial infarction and mortality from coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 71: 160–165.
- Luc G, Bard JM, Arveiler D, Evans A, Cambou JP, et al. (1994) Impact of apolipoprotein E polymorphism on lipoproteins and risk of myocardial infarction. The ECTIM Study. Arterioscler Thromb 14: 1412–1419.
- Hergenc G, Taga Y, Emerk K, Cirakoglu B (1995) Apolipoprotein E Genotyping in Turkish Myocardial Infarction Survivors and Healthy Controls. J Biomed Sci 2: 46–49.
- Kim IJ, Hong BK, Lee BK, Kwon HM, Kim D, et al. (1999) Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in non-diabetic patients with acute coronary syndrome. Yonsei Med J 40: 377–382.
- Nakai K, Fusazaki T, Zhang T, Shiroto T, Osawa M, et al. (1998) Polymorphism of the apolipoprotein E and angiotensin I converting enzyme genes in Japanese patients with myocardial infarction. Coron Artery Dis 9: 329– 334.
- Scaglione L, Bergerone S, Gambino R, Imazio M, Macchia G, et al. (1999) Role of lipid, apolipoprotein levels and apolipoprotein E genotype in young Italian patients with myocardial infarction. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 9: 118–124.
- 29. Lambert JC, Brousseau T, Defosse V, Evans A, Arveiler D, et al. (2000) Independent association of an APOE gene promoter polymorphism with increased risk of myocardial infarction and decreased APOE plasma concentrations-the ECTIM study. Hum Mol Genet 9: 57–61.
- Benes P, Muzik J, Benedik J, Frelich M, Elbl L, et al. (2000) Single effects of apolipoprotein B, (a), and E polymorphisms and interaction between plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and apolipoprotein(a) genotypes and the risk of coronary artery disease in Czech male caucasians. Mol Genet Metab 69: 137– 143.
- Batalla A, Alvarez R, Reguero JR, Hevia S, Iglesias-Cubero G, et al. (2000) Synergistic effect between apolipoprotein E and angiotensinogen gene polymorphisms in the risk for early myocardial infarction. Clin Chem 46: 1910–1915.
- Raslova K, Smolkova B, Vohnout B, Gasparovic J, Frohlich JJ (2001) Risk factors for atherosclerosis in survivors of myocardial infarction and their spouses: comparison to controls without personal and family history of atherosclerosis. Metabolism 50: 24–29.
- Bai X, Zhao M, Wang B (2001) [Dyslipidemia-related risk factors for myocardial infarction and polymorphism of ApoE gene among myocardial infarction patients and their siblings]. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 81: 340–343.

- Freitas EM, Phan TC, Herbison CE, Christiansen FT, Taylor RR, et al. (2002) The poliovirus receptor related 2 (PRR2) and apolipoprotein E genes and
- coronary heart disease. J Cardiovasc Risk 9: 59–65.
 Mamotte CD, Burke V, Taylor RR, van Bockxmeer FM (2002) Evidence of reduced coronary artery disease risk for apolipoprotein epsilon2/3 heterozygotes. Eur J Intern Med 13: 250–255.
- Kolovou G, Yiannakouris N, Hatzivassiliou M, Malakos J, Daskalova D, et al. (2002) Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with myocardial infarction in Greek patients with coronary artery disease. Curr Med Res Opin 18: 118– 124.
- Kcavney B, Parish S, Palmer A, Clark S, Youngman L, et al. (2003) Large-scale evidence that the cardiotoxicity of smoking is not significantly modified by the apolipoprotein E epsilon2/epsilon3/epsilon4 genotype. Lancet 361: 396–398.
- Kolovou GD, Daskalova D, Hatzivassiliou M, Yiannakouris N, Pilatis ND, et al. (2003) The epsilon 2 and 4 alleles of apolipoprotein E and ischemic vascular events in the Greek population–implications for the interpretation of similar studies. Angiology 54: 51–58.
- Kumar P, Luthra K, Dwivedi M, Behl VK, Pandey RM, et al. (2003) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphisms in patients with premature myocardial infarction: a case-controlled study in Asian Indians in North India. Ann Clin Biochem 40: 382–387.
- 40. Marques-Vidal P, Bongard V, Ruidavets JB, Fauvel J, Perret B, et al. (2003) Effect of apolipoprotein E alleles and angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/ deletion polymorphisms on lipid and lipoprotein markers in middle-aged men and in patients with stable angina pectoris or healed myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 92: 1102–1105.
- 41. Kcavney B, Palmer A, Parish S, Clark S, Youngman L, et al. (2004) Lipidrelated genes and myocardial infarction in 4685 cases and 3460 controls: discrepancies between genotype, blood lipid concentrations, and coronary disease risk. Int J Epidemiol 33: 1002–1013.
- Ranjith N, Pegoraro RJ, Rom L, Rajput MC, Naidoo DP (2004) Lp(a) and apoE polymorphisms in young South African Indians with myocardial infarction. Cardiovasc J S Afr 15: 111–117.
- Baum L, Ng HK, Wong KS, Tomlinson B, Rainer TH, et al. (2006) Associations of apolipoprotein E exon 4 and lipoprotein lipase S447X polymorphisms with acute ischemic stroke and myocardial infarction. Clin Chem Lab Med 44: 274– 281.
- 44. Aasvee K, Jauhiainen M, Kurvinen E, Tur I, Sundvall J, et al. (2006) Determinants of risk factors of atherosclerosis in the postinfarction period: the Tallinn MI study. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 66: 191–199.
- Koch W, Hoppmann P, Schomig A, Kastrati A (2008) Apolipoprotein E gene epsilon2/epsilon3/epsilon4 polymorphism and myocardial infarction: casecontrol study in a large population sample. Int J Cardiol 125: 116–117.
- Kolovou GD, Anagnostopoulou KK, Cokkinos DV (2009) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol 133: 264–265.
- Bahri R, Esteban E, Moral P, Hassine M, Ben Hamda K, et al. (2008) Apolipoprotein gene polymorphisms and plasma levels in healthy Tunisians and patients with coronary artery disease. Lipids Health Dis 7: 46.
- Martinelli N, Olivieri O, Shen GQ, Trabetti E, Pizzolo F, et al. (2009) Additive effect of LRP8/APOER2 R952Q variant to APOE epsilon2/epsilon3/epsilon4 genotype in modulating apolipoprotein E concentration and the risk of myocardial infarction: a case-control study. BMC Med Genet 10: 41.
- Al-Bustan SA, Alkhalaf M, Al-Rashdan I, Al-Otaibi S, Al-Baker E, et al. (2009) Apolipoprotein E, CI and B gene polymorphisms in a sample of patients with coronary heart disease in the Kuwaiti population. Med Princ Pract 18: 294–299.
- Onrat ST, Akci O, Soylemez Z, Onrat E, Avsar A (2012) Prevalence of myocardial infarction polymorphisms in Afyonkarahisar, Western Turkey. Mol Biol Rep 39: 9257–9264.
- Tanguturi P, Pullareddy B, Kumar PS, Murthy DK (2013) Association between apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and myocardial infarction. Biochem Genet 51: 398–405.
- Zende PD, Bankar MP, Kamble PS, Momin AA (2013) Apolipoprotein e gene polymorphism and its effect on plasma lipids in arteriosclerosis. J Clin Diagn Res 7: 2149–2152.
- Eichner JE, Dunn ST, Perveen G, Thompson DM, Stewart KE, et al. (2002) Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and cardiovascular disease: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 155: 487–495.
- Dallongeville J, Lussier-Cacan S, Davignon J (1992) Modulation of plasma triglyceride levels by apoE phenotype: a meta-analysis. J Lipid Res 33: 447–454.