

Article



Luteolin Shifts Oxaliplatin-Induced Cell Cycle Arrest at G_0/G_1 to Apoptosis in HCT116 Human Colorectal Carcinoma Cells

Chan Ho Jang[†], Nayoung Moon[†], Jisun Oh and Jong-Sang Kim *¹

School of Food Science and Biotechnology, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea; cksghwkd7@gmail.com (C.H.J.); moonna1008@naver.com (N.M.); j.oh@knu.ac.kr (J.O.)

* Correspondence: vision@knu.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-53-950-5752; Fax: +82-53-950-6750

+ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 8 March 2019; Accepted: 29 March 2019; Published: 2 April 2019



Abstract: Certain antioxidative flavonoids are known to activate nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), a transcription factor that regulates cellular antioxidants and detoxifying response and is reportedly highly activated in many types of cancers. Few studies on the potential undesired effects of flavonoid intake during chemotherapy have been conducted, yet Nrf2 activators could favor cancer cell survival by attenuating chemotherapeutic efficiency. This study aimed to examine if luteolin, an Nrf2 activator, hinders chemotherapeutic activity of oxaliplatin, a potent anticancer agent for colorectal cancer, in HCT116 cells. Luteolin treatment strongly increased the transcriptional activity of the antioxidant response element in HCT116 cells and induced the protein expression of heme oxygenase-1, which were indicative of its Nrf2-inducing potential. Intriguingly, $25 \mu M$ luteolin reduced cell viability through apoptotic induction, which was intensified in p53-expressing cells while 1 μ M oxaliplatin caused cell cycle arrest at G₀/G₁-phase via the p53/p21-dependent mechanism. Moreover, luteolin treatment was found to reduce oxaliplatin-treated p53-null cell viability and colony counts further, thereby demonstrating an additional effect of luteolin in the killing of human colorectal tumor HCT116 cells not expressing functional p53 protein. The findings suggest that luteolin can induce p53-mediated apoptosis regardless of oxaliplatin treatment and may eliminate oxaliplatin-resistant p53-null colorectal cells.

Keywords: flavonoid; luteolin; oxaliplatin; colorectal cancer; anticancer

1. Introduction

The cellular redox status exists in a dynamic balance between production and elimination of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [1–3]. Although a cell undergoing aerobic metabolism is essentially exposed to ROS, the redox balance can be controlled by the cellular antioxidant defense response. However, when ROS production exceeds antioxidant capability, oxidative stress is generated. The intracellular oxidative stress that results from excessive ROS production is associated with cancer development and progression [4–6]. The cellular defense against the oxidative stress is mainly achieved by activation of the nuclear factor E2-related factor 2/antioxidant response element (Nrf2/ARE) signaling pathway [7–9]. Most chemopreventive compounds including dietary phytochemicals have been reported to remove intracellular ROS by increasing the expression of genes involved in the antioxidant defense system, which, in turn, is activated by Nrf2, a transcription factor liberated from Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1), translocated to the nucleus, and subsequently bound to the ARE of target genes [10–12].

Flavonoids are a group of naturally occurring phenolic compounds that occur in a variety of fruits and vegetables [13,14]. Some flavonoids are well known for exerting chemopreventive effects by

upregulating the Nrf2/ARE-mediated cellular defense mechanism against oxidative stress [12,15] and by assisting in the control of cellular redox balance [16–18]. In addition, certain dietary flavonoids, including genistein and kaempferol, can induce cell cycle arrest and/or apoptotic cell death of various types of carcinoma cells [19–24]. Hence, in different regions of the world, numerous cancer patients consume antioxidative flavonoids, even concurrently with a traditional therapeutic regime, such as chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy, in the anticipation of their synergistic roles of these antioxidants in cooperation with anticancer therapy [25,26].

However, it is conceivable that the flavonoid intake during chemotherapeutic treatment could cause undesired consequences by favoring cancer cell survival and promoting cancer cell growth. This effect is reasonable considering the molecular mechanism that dietary flavonoids activate the Nrf2-mediated cellular defense response against oxidative stress and presumably attenuate the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer drugs in cancer cells. Indeed, the constitutive Nrf2 activation has been found in many types of cancer, and the Nrf2 hyperactivation protected tumor cells from oxidative stress [27]. Furthermore, Nrf2 overexpression enhanced the resistance of cancer cells to chemotherapeutic agents, including cisplatin, doxorubicin, and etoposide [28].

In the present study, we were interested in investigating whether Nrf2-activating flavonoids attenuate or augment the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents. To narrow down the scope of the work, a human colorectal cancer HCT116 cell line harboring the p53 gene, which is either wild-type or null, was employed. Among the widely-consumed flavonoids tested in this study, luteolin showed the strongest Nrf2-mediated antioxidative activity and was chosen for the elucidation of the molecular mechanism of Nrf2 activation during chemotherapy. Oxaliplatin, a common chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of colorectal cancer, was concomitantly treated with luteolin in HCT116 cells [29]. The findings would provide insights into understanding the impact of an Nrf2 activator during colorectal cancer chemotherapy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

The flavonoids tested in this study were mostly obtained from Biopurify Phytochemicals Ltd. (Chengdu, Sichuan, China). Epigallocatechin (EGC) and pelargonidin chloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and delphinidin chloride was obtained from Extrasynthese (Genay, France). Oxaliplatin was purchased from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and all other chemicals, unless otherwise stated, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Reagents and Antibodies

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin–streptomycin (10,000 U/mL), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), minimum essential medium non-essential amino acids (MEM NEAA; 100X), and trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)–4Na solution were supplied by Welgene (Gyeongsan, Korea). Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan), luciferase assay system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), and SuperSignal[®] West Pico PLUS chemiluminescent substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA), cytochrome c (AbFrontier, Seoul, Korea), capase-3 and poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) (both from Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Beverly, MA, USA) were bought from the suppliers indicated. Antibodies against p53 and p21 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

2.3. Cell Cultures

HCT116 human colorectal carcinoma cell line carrying wild-type p53 (p53^{+/+}) was purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Korea). The p53^{-/-} HCT116 cell line was a generous gift from Prof. Young Ho Kim (Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea; originally provided by Dr. Bert Vogelstein, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA). Both p53^{+/+} and p53^{-/-} HCT116 cell lines were used for the cell viability, colony formation, cell cycle, and Western blot analyses. For the ARE-luciferase reporter gene assay, both types of HCT116 cells were stably transfected with pGL4.37[luc2P/ARE/Hygro] vector (Promega) using TurboFectTM transcription reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The transfectants, HCT116-ARE cells, were selected by culturing in the presence of 800 μg/mL of hygromycin B (Sigma-Aldrich).

All the cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% (v/v) penicillin–streptomycin, 2% (v/v) HEPES, and 1% (v/v) MEM NEAA in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C. The medium was changed every 2 or 3 days. Cells were routinely passaged using 0.25% (w/v) trypsin EDTA when the cells reached about 90% confluency.

2.4. Cell Viability Assay

 $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^3 cells per well in a 96-well plate. After a 24-h treatment with oxaliplatin (0–2 μ M) or luteolin (5 or 25 μ M) in the absence or presence of 1 μ M oxaliplatin, the medium was removed, and then 100 μ L of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 10 μ L of CCK-8 solution were added to each well. A blank control of 10 μ L of the CCK-8 solution added to 100 μ L PBS alone, was included. The plate was incubated for 1 h, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a SunriseTM microplate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).

2.5. Antioxidant Response Element (ARE)-Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay

 $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116-ARE cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^5 cells per well in a 6-well plate. After a 24-h treatment with luteolin and/or oxaliplatin, cells were harvested in an ice-cold PBS solution and lysed with reporter lysis buffer. Lysates were mixed with luciferase assay reagent (Promega), at room temperature. Each mixture was transferred to individual wells of a 96-well plate and immediately measured using a GlomaxTM 96 microplate luminometer (Promega). Protein was quantified by the Bradford assay, and the resultant values were used to normalize the luciferase data.

2.6. Colony Formation Assay

 $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^2 cells per well in a 6-well plate coated with Matrigel, for the colony formation assay. The cells were treated with 5 or 25 μ M luteolin in the absence or presence of 1 μ M oxaliplatin for 6 days. Cell colonies formed in each well were optically visualized by crystal violet staining. The cell colonies were imaged using an HP Scanjet 5550c (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and counted using ImageJ software version 1.50 for Windows (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.7. Cell Cycle Analysis

 $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells in a 100-mm dish. After a 24-h treatment with luteolin and/or oxaliplatin, cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS, and fixed with 70% cold ethanol at -20 °C overnight. The cells were washed twice with PBS, suspended in PBS, and transferred to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) tubes. Then, 400 µL of propidium iodide (50 µg/mL) was added to the tubes, and the cells were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The cells were analyzed for DNA content by flow cytometry using the FACSAria IIITM cell sorter (BD Biosciences, Franklin, NJ, USA). Staurosporine (2 µM) was used as a positive control to induce apoptosis. p53^{+/+} and p53^{-/-} HCT116 cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells in a 100-mm dish. After a 24-h treatment with luteolin and/or oxaliplatin, cells were harvested in a cold PBS solution, lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, and the protein samples were isolated from the lysates. Identical protein amounts were subjected to 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin and sequentially incubated with primary and secondary antibodies. The antibody-bound proteins were visualized using the SuperSignal[®] West Pico PLUS chemiluminescent substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and ImageQuantTM LAS 4000 Mini (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK). Intensities of protein bands were determined by Image Studio Lite version 5.2 (LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE, USA).

2.9. Statistical Analysis

The data were statistically analyzed using SPSS version 23.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments (n = 3). Comparisons were conducted using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post-hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test or Duncan's multiple-range test. The significant difference at difference or pared with the control was indicated by an asterisk or different alphabetical letters at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxicity of Oxaliplatin

Oxaliplatin is well known for treating colorectal cancer by preventing DNA replication and transcription, causing cell death [29]. To test the cytotoxicity of oxaliplatin, the cell viabilities of $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells treated with oxaliplatin were analyzed by a CCK-8 assay (Supplementary Figure S1). Oxaliplatin at concentrations of $\geq 0.5 \mu$ M reduced the viability of $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells to approximately 90%, whereas $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells needed $\geq 2 \mu$ M oxaliplatin to achieve the same effect, indicating that $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells were more susceptible to oxaliplatin than $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. Hence, 1 μ M oxaliplatin was selected for subsequent studies of flavonoid intake during oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.

3.2. ARE-Luciferase Activity of Flavonoids

All 14 flavonoids were individually tested for their ability to activate the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway, by conducting the ARE-luciferase reporter gene assay in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116–ARE cells (Figure 1). Among the flavonoids tested, at 25 μ M, daidzein, genistein, kaempferol, and luteolin significantly induced the ARE–luciferase reporter in both $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116–ARE cells compared with the control. As a result the ARE-luciferase activity was increased by 10.8- (daidzein), 7.0- (genistein), 5.3- (kaempferol), and 11.3-fold (luteolin) in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116–ARE cells (Figure 1A), and by a corresponding 9.9-, 8.4-, 5.8-, and 13.4-fold in $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116–ARE cells (Figure 1B). These data showed that luteolin preferentially stimulated the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway in both $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116–ARE cells than the other flavonoids.



Figure 1. ARE-luciferase activity for 14 flavonoids in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. ARE-luciferase activity of 14 flavonoids at 5 or 25 μ M in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells (**A**) and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells (**B**) after 24-h treatment. The ARE-luciferase activity was normalized to the total protein content. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments (*, a significant difference compared to the control group at *p* < 0.05).

The potential of luteolin to activate the Nrf2-mediated antioxidant response in HCT116 cells was further examined by determining the expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), an archetypical inducible antioxidant enzyme that is regulated by the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. Luteolin increased the expression of HO-1 in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells only while it induced ARE activity in both $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells (Figure 2A,B), consistent with the findings reported by other studies [10,15–18,30]. On the contrary, HO-1 expressions were not affected by oxaliplatin treatment in both cell lines (Figure 2B).

A

15

10

Fold change





Figure 2. ARE-luciferase activity, HO-1 protein expression, and cell viability in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells in the presence of luteolin and/or oxaliplatin. Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate, a 100-mm dish, or a 96-well plate and treated with 5 or 25 μ M luteolin in the absence or presence of 1 µM oxaliplatin at for 24 h, followed by measurement of the ARE activity, Western blot analysis for HO-1 expressions or cell viability assay. (A) Relative ARE-luciferase activity. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. A significant difference compared to the control group at p < 0.05 was indicated by an asterisk. (B) Representative Western blots of protein expression changes of HO-1. (C) Relative cell viability assayed using a CCK-8 kit. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. A significant difference compared among the groups at p < 0.05 was indicated by different alphabetical letters.

3.3. HCT116 Cell Viability by Luteolin and/or Oxaliplatin Treatment

To examine if Nrf2-activating luteolin compromises the efficacy of oxaliplatin in colorectal cancer cells, the HCT116 cell viability was determined following individual or combinatorial treatment with luteolin at 5 or 25 μ M and oxaliplatin at 1 μ M for 24 h (Figure 2C).

The individual treatment with luteolin at 25 μ M remarkably decreased the viability of both p53^{+/+} and p53^{-/-} HCT116 cells, indicating that luteolin effectively inhibited the cell growth. In addition, oxaliplatin alone and the combination of luteolin and oxaliplatin significantly decreased the p53^{+/+} HCT116 cell viability. However, the survival rate of p53^{-/-} HCT116 cell was not affected by oxaliplatin treatment. The cell viability reduced by luteolin was further decreased by co-treatment with oxaliplatin in the absence of p53 expression. These observations demonstrate that the anticancer efficacy of oxaliplatin was dependent upon the existence of p53 while a cell growth inhibitory effect of luteolin was prominent in both cell types.

3.4. Mechanism of Cell Growth Inhibition by Luteolin and Oxaliplatin

To investigate how luteolin and/or oxaliplatin inhibited cancer cell growth, flow cytometric analysis was performed on $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells (Figure 3). Treatment of HCT116 cells with luteolin at 25 μ M led to a significant increased proportion of cells at the sub-G₀/G₁-phase, an indicator of apoptosis, compared with the control, regardless of oxaliplatin treatment, even though the proportion of cells in sub-G₀/G₁-phase was prominently higher in the $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cell population than $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cell population. It suggests that luteolin induced apoptosis, which was dominantly dependent on functional p53. In contrast, oxaliplatin significantly increased the cell population arrested at the G₀/G₁-phase and decreased the population at the S-phase relative to the control, in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells but not in $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells, suggesting that oxaliplatin induced cell cycle arrest in a p53-dependent manner.



Figure 3. Cell cycle analysis in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. Cells were seeded in a 100-mm dish and treated with 5 or 25 μ M of luteolin in the absence or presence of 1 μ M oxaliplatin for 24 h. Staurosporine (2 μ M) was used as a positive control to induce apoptosis. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments (*, a significant difference compared to the control group at *p* < 0.05).

That both luteolin-induced apoptosis and oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest is p53-dependent, were further verified by Western blot analysis using $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells following 24-h treatment with luteolin and/or oxaliplatin (Figure 4). As expected, p53 protein was abundantly expressed in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells but was barely detected in $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells (Figure 4A). Within $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells, the expressions of p53 and its downstream *gene p21*, a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor that promotes cell cycle arrest, were considerably increased by oxaliplatin and 25 μ M

luteolin (Figure 4B). In addition, luteolin increased the expressions of apoptosis-associated proteins, such as cytochrome c, cleaved caspase-3, and cleaved PARP in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells, regardless of oxaliplatin treatment (Figure 4C,D). Interestingly, the combinatorial treatment of oxaliplatin and luteolin reduced p21 protein expression in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells, but not in $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells, compared to the treatment with oxaliplatin alone (Figure 4B). These observations were supportive of the result mentioned above that the combinatorial treatment of oxaliplatin and luteolin further decreased $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cell viability, which may be attributable to the partial inhibition of oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest by luteolin in a p53-dependent manner while luteolin and oxaliplatin induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, respectively.



Figure 4. Western blot analysis in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. Representative Western blots of p53 in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells (**A**). Cells were seeded in a 100-mm dish and treated with 5 or 25 μ M of luteolin in the absence or presence of 1 μ M oxaliplatin for 24 h. Representative Western blots of protein expression changes of p53 and p21 (**B**), cytochrome c (**C**), and cleaved caspase-3 and poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) (**D**) in $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells.

3.5. Colony-Forming Ability of HCT116 Cells Treated with Luteolin and/or Oxaliplatin

The effects of luteolin, oxaliplatin, and their combination on the proliferative properties of both $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells were examined using a colony formation assay [31]. The cells grown in discrete colonies were treated with luteolin (5 or 25 μ M) in the absence or presence of oxaliplatin (Figure 5B,C). In comparison to the control, the individual treatment with either luteolin at 25 μ M or oxaliplatin significantly inhibited the colony growth in both types of cells; however, the combinatorial treatment did not cause further augmentation of colony growth inhibition by each treatment in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 cells. Interestingly, the growth of colonies formed from $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells was significantly suppressed by the combinatorial treatment of luteolin and oxaliplatin. In summary, oxaliplatin and the high dose of luteolin decreased cancer cell growth individually, but the combinatorial treatments did not synergistically reduce cancer cell proliferation in the presence of functional p53.





Figure 5. Colony-forming ability of $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells in the presence of luteolin and/or oxaliplatin. Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate and treated with luteolin with or without oxaliplatin for 6 days. (A) Colonies were stained with crystal violet, imaged and counted. Representative images of colonies formed in $p53^{+/+}$ HCT116 (left) and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cultures (right). (B) Relative colony formation ability in HCT116 cells was quantified. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. A significant difference compared among the groups at *p* < 0.05 was indicated by different alphabetical letters.

4. Discussion

Based on increasing epidemiological and laboratory evidence, regular intake of flavonoid-rich food is most likely to reduce the risk of colorectal cancer by interfering with different cancer development stages, such as the protection of DNA from oxidative stress, the inhibition of carcinogen activation, and the activation of carcinogen-detoxifying systems [32–37].

However, it has been recently reported that certain dietary flavonoids could promote cancer cell proliferation via activation of the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway [38–40], which is known to be involved in resistance of cancer cells or cancer stem cells to chemotherapy or radiotherapy [27,41–44]. Therefore, it is reasonably predictable that the consumption of Nrf2-activating flavonoids during chemotherapeutic treatment could result in a diminished chemotherapeutic efficacy [29,45].

In the present study, we examined the in vitro effect of luteolin, an Nrf2-activating flavonoid, on HCT116 colorectal cancer cell growth in combination with oxaliplatin treatment. The findings from this study demonstrated that (1) luteolin activated the Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 signaling pathway and promoted p53-dependent and independent apoptotic pathways regardless of the absence or presence of oxaliplatin; (2) oxaliplatin caused cell cycle arrest at the G_0/G_1 -phase in an exclusively p53-dependent manner; (3) luteolin-induced HO-1 upregulation hindered oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest under the existence of p53 protein, and (4) luteolin-induced cell growth inhibition was augmented by oxaliplatin in the absence of functional p53 protein.

Oxaliplatin is one of the chemotherapeutic drugs used for treating several types of cancers, including colorectal cancer, by inducing cell cycle arrest at checkpoints such as the G_0/G_1 -phase, the G_2/M -phase, and metaphase checkpoints via the prevention of DNA replication and transcription

that causes cell death [29,46]. Our results exhibited that oxaliplatin had a differential effect on HCT116 cells, depending on the existence of functional p53; that is, functional p53-expressing cells were more vulnerable to oxaliplatin treatment. Considering that oxaliplatin treatment highly increased cell population arrested at the G_0/G_1 -phase and p21 protein expression only in HCT116 cells, the cell cycle modulating the activity of oxaliplatin in HCT116 cells is likely mediated through the p53–p21 axis.

Conversely, 25 μ M luteolin significantly activated the Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 signaling pathway in both types of HCT116 cells, which was consistent with the previous studies, confirming the strong antioxidative activity of luteolin [47,48]. Moreover, luteolin treatment considerably increased the cell population at the sub-G₀/G₁-phase, particularly in p53-expressing HCT116 cells compared with p53-null HCT116 cells, suggesting that at the concentration used in this study, luteolin caused HCT116 cell death through p53-mediated apoptotic pathways.

Since both oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest and luteolin-induced apoptotic cell death of HCT116 cells seemed p53-dependent, the functional interaction between the two agents was presumed. In this study, we found that the reduction of cell viability which was attributable to apoptotic cell death by the high dose of luteolin was not further influenced by oxaliplatin treatment in the existence of p53. These findings imply that there was no synergistic effect from the combinatorial treatment of oxaliplatin and luteolin in killing HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. In other words, oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest and luteolin-induced apoptosis might involve separate pathways in HCT116 cells. Alternatively, the apoptotic activity of luteolin may dominate the cell cycle, controlling oxaliplatin activity in wild-type, p53-expressing cells.

Moreover, luteolin treatment increased HO-1 expression in p53-expressing HCT116 cells regardless of oxaliplatin treatment, via Nrf2/ARE activation and, subsequently, inhibited oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest, with reduction of p21 expression. It suggests that luteolin may decrease the cell cycle arrest by oxaliplatin, via the activation of the Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 signaling pathway in p53-expressing colorectal cancer cells. There is accumulating evidence that the induction of HO-1 functions negatively by promoting tumor resistance through the reduction of p21 protein expression in colon cancer cells [49]. As multiple studies have demonstrated, it is widely accepted that Nrf2 and p53 are negatively correlated in cell survival and death [50–52]. However, our study implied that p53 could play an important role in Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 activation and apoptotic cell death. Luteolin-induced apoptosis is presumed to be magnified in association with suppression of p21 protein expression, which, at least in part, is achieved by HO-1 upregulation. However, the precise mechanism of an interrelationship between the pathways awaits further study.

One of the interesting observations in this study was that luteolin treatment tended to release oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest in the presence of p53 protein, which is consistent with the apparent reduction of p21 protein expression in p53-expressing HCT116 cells treated with the combination of oxaliplatin and the high dose of luteolin. There is mounting evidence indicating that the manipulation of the cell cycle may prevent or induce an apoptotic response, depending on the cellular context [53,54]. In terms of a p21-dependent signaling pathway, the expression of p53 protein is required for the p21 protein expression, which, in turn, can induce the cell cycle arrest by interacting with the cyclin D/CDK4 complex in human cancer [53,55–57]. Based on the findings from this study, it is thought that luteolin may suppress the p53/p21-mediated pathway by which oxaliplatin induces cell cycle arrest in colorectal cancer cells, thereby switch cell cycle arrest by oxaliplatin into apoptosis.

The p53-null HCT116 cells were found to be less sensitive to oxaliplatin compared with the cells expressing normal p53 proteins. However, luteolin-treated p53-null HCT116 cells appeared to become more vulnerable to oxaliplatin in comparison to the cells not treated with luteolin. This observation is suggestive of the critical function of p53 in apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, in response to luteolin and/or oxaliplatin. Although the molecular participants and their networking related to the functional p53 protein as regards the effectiveness of luteolin, on oxaliplatin-induced chemotherapeutic efficacy remains to be further investigated. Dietary luteolin intake during oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy would at least not be deleterious to the anticancer efficacy of oxaliplatin but, rather, could be

additively working in colorectal cancer, carrying malfunctioning p53 protein by augmenting cancer cell growth inhibition.

The p53 mutations encoding abnormal or malfunctioning p53 proteins frequently occur in many types of cancers, including colon cancer [58–60], and could contribute to cancer progression and development by losing wild-type p53 tumor suppressor activity [59,61]. Hence, studying the role of antioxidative luteolin against or for chemotherapeutic effectiveness of oxaliplatin in accordance with various types of p53 mutations in cancer cells would be worthwhile for practical applications.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we showed for the first time that luteolin, a naturally occurring Nrf2 activator, can enhance the chemotherapeutic effect of oxaliplatin in HCT116 human colorectal cancer cells, potentially by shifting oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest to apoptosis. The present study revealed that luteolin treatment induced Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 activation in HCT116 human colorectal cancer cells. In addition, luteolin and oxaliplatin caused apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, respectively, in a p53-dependent manner, with no synergistic effect. Moreover, luteolin-induced Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 activation negatively regulated oxaliplatin-promoted p53 signal transduction, and oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest was seemingly disturbed by luteolin-induced HO-1 upregulation. Overall, these results suggest that luteolin could strengthen the anticancer activity of oxaliplatin, one of the chemotherapeutic agents, in human colorectal carcinoma cells in a p53-dependent manner. Thus, dietary consumption of flavonoids with antioxidant enzyme-inducing activity may be recommended for colorectal cancer patients carrying wild-type p53 (Figure 6). Furthermore, these findings provide novel insight into the further understanding of the mechanisms underlying the enhanced chemotherapeutic effect of oxaliplatin and luteolin in colorectal cancer. However, further studies, including the in vivo impact of luteolin on oxaliplatin-based chemotherapey are required to validate the current findings.



Figure 6. The apoptotic mechanism of the combinatorial treatment of luteolin and oxaliplatin. Both luteolin-induced apoptosis and oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest in HCT116 human colorectal cancer cells were dependent on p53 function. Luteolin-induced apoptosis might override the oxaliplatin-induced cell cycle arrest, possibly through the increased HO-1 expression in p53-expressing cells. In addition, luteolin-induced p53-null HCT116 cell death could be intensified by oxaliplatin treatment through an unknown mechanism(s).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/11/4/770/s1, Figure S1: Cytotoxicity of oxaliplatin against $p53^{+/+}$ and $p53^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. Cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^3 cells per well in a 96-well plate and treated with various concentrations of oxaliplatin for 24 h. Cytotoxicity was determined by a CCK-8 assay. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments (*, a significant difference compared to the control group at p < 0.05).

Author Contributions: J.-S.K. conceived the study; C.H.J., N.M., and J.O. designed the experiments; C.H.J. and N.M. performed the experiments, and collected and analyzed the data; C.H.J., N.M., J.O., and J.-S.K. interpreted and discussed the data; J.-S.K. provided financial support. C.H.J., J.O., and J.-S.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT), Republic of Korea (Grant No. 2017R1A2B4005087).

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Eun Jung Kim (Kyungpook National University Instrumental Analysis Center, Daegu, South Korea) for her helpful advice and technical assistance with the flow cytometry data analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

References

- 1. Matés, J.M.; Segura, J.A.; Alonso, F.J.; Márquez, J. Intracellular redox status and oxidative stress: Implications for cell proliferation, apoptosis, and carcinogenesis. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2008**, *82*, 273–299. [CrossRef]
- Petrova, B.; Liu, K.; Tian, C.; Kitaoka, M.; Freinkman, E.; Yang, J.; Orr-Weaver, T.L. Dynamic redox balance directs the oocyte-to-embryo transition via developmentally controlled reactive cysteine changes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, *115*, E7978–E7986. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Riera, P.T. *Redox Status. Encyclopedia of Exercise Medicine in Health and Disease;* Mooren, F.C., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2016; pp. 67–83. [CrossRef]
- 4. Liou, G.Y.; Storz, P. Reactive oxygen species in cancer. Free Radic. Res. 2010, 44, 479–496. [CrossRef]
- 5. Mahalingaiah, P.K.S.; Singh, K.P. Chronic oxidative stress increases growth and tumorigenic potential of MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e87371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Waris, G.; Ahsan, H. Reactive oxygen species: Role in the development of cancer and various chronic conditions. *J. Carcinog.* **2006**, *5*, 14–21. [CrossRef]
- Keum, Y.S. Regulation of Nrf2-Mediated Phase II Detoxification and Anti-oxidant Genes. *Biomol. Ther.* 2012, 20, 144–151. [CrossRef]
- 8. Daliu, P.; Santini, A.; Novellino, E. From pharmaceuticals to nutraceuticals: Bridging disease prevention and management. *Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *28*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 9. Santini, A.; Tenore, G.C.; Novellino, E. Nutraceuticals: A paradigm of proactive medicine. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2017**, *96*, 53–61. [CrossRef]
- Durazzo, A. Extractable and Non-extractable polyphenols: An overview. Non-extractable Polyphenols and Carotenoids: Importance in Human Nutrition and Health; Food Chemistry, Function Analysis No. 5; Saura-Calixto, F., Pérez-Jiménez, J., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2018; pp. 37–45. [CrossRef]
- Chikara, S.; Nagaprashantha, L.D.; Singhal, J.; Horne, D.; Awasthi, S.; Singhal, S.S. Oxidative stress and dietary phytochemicals: Role in cancer chemoprevention and treatment. *Cancer Lett.* 2018, 413, 122–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Stepanić, V.; Kujundžić, R.N.; Trošelj, K.G. *Epigenome, cancer prevention and flavonoids and curcumin. Epigentics and Epigenomics*, 1st ed.; Payne, C.J., Ed.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2014; pp. 173–209.
- 13. Haminiuk, C.W.I.; Maciel, G.M.; Plata-Oviedo, M.S.V.; Peralta, R.M. Phenolic compounds in fruits—An overview. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *47*, 2023–2044. [CrossRef]
- 14. Panche, A.N.; Diwan, A.D.; Chandra, S.R. Flavonoids: An overview. J. Nutr. Sci. 2016, 5, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Pung, D.; Su, Z.Y.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, C.; Yang, A.Y.; Zheng, X.; Du, Z.Y.; Zhang, K.; Kong, A.N.T. Epigenetic reactivation of Nrf2 in prostate TRAMP C1 cells by curcumin analogue FN1. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2016, 29, 694–703. [CrossRef]
- 16. Saw, C.L.; Cintrón, M.; Wu, T.Y.; Guo, Y.; Huang, Y.; Jeong, W.S.; Kong, A.N.T. Pharmacodynamics of dietary phytochemical indoles I3C and DIM: Induction of Nrf2-mediated phase II drug metabolizing and antioxidant genes and synergism with isothiocyanates. *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* **2011**, *32*, 289–300. [CrossRef]

- 17. Shu, L.; Cheung, K.L.; Khor, T.O.; Chen, C.; Kong, A.N.T. Phytochemicals: Cancer chemoprevention and suppression of tumor onset and metastasis. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **2010**, *29*, 483–502. [CrossRef]
- 18. Tan, A.C.; Konczak, I.; Sze, D.M.; Ramzan, I. Molecular pathways for cancer chemoprevention by dietary phytochemicals. *Nutr. Cancer* **2011**, *63*, 495–505. [CrossRef]
- 19. Chen, P.; Zhang, J.Y.; Sha, B.B.; Ma, Y.E.; Hu, T.; Ma, Y.C.; Sun, H.; Shi, J.X.; Dong, Z.M.; Li, P. Luteolin inhibits cell proliferation and induces cell apoptosis via down-regulation of mitochondrial membrane potential in esophageal carcinoma cells EC1 and KYSE450. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 27471–27480. [CrossRef]
- Gao, Y.; Yin, J.; Rankin, G.O.; Chen, Y.C. Kaempferol induces G2/M cell cycle arrest via checkpoint kinase 2 and promotes apoptosis via death receptors in human ovarian carcinoma A2780/CP70 cells. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 1095. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ullah, M.F.; Ahmad, A.; Zubair, H.; Khan, H.Y.; Wang, Z.; Sarkar, F.H.; Hadi, S.M. Soy isoflavone genistein induces cell death in breast cancer cells through mobilization of endogenous copper ions and generation of reactive oxygen species. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2011**, *55*, 553–559. [CrossRef]
- 22. Wang, F.; Shan, Y. Sulforaphane retards the growth of UM-UC-3 xenographs, induces apoptosis, and reduces survivin in athymic mice. *Nutr. Res.* **2012**, *32*, 374–380. [CrossRef]
- 23. Yao, H.; Xu, W.; Shi, X.; Zhang, Z. Dietary flavonoids as cancer prevention agents. J. Environ. Sci. Health C Environ. Carcinog. Ecotoxicol. Rev. 2011, 29, 1–31. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.; Wang, C.Z.; Du, G.J.; Qi, L.W.; Calway, T.; He, T.C.; Du, W.; Yuan, C.S. Genistein induces G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis via ATM/p53-dependent pathway in human colon cancer cells. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2013, 43, 289–296. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gutheil, W.G.; Reed, G.; Ray, A.; Anant, S.; Dhar, A. Crocetin: An agent derived from saffron for prevention and therapy for cancer. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *13*, 173–179. [CrossRef]
- 26. Santini, A.; Novellino, E. Nutraceuticals: Shedding light on the grey area between pharmaceuticals and food. *Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *11*, 545–547. [CrossRef]
- 27. Menegon, S.; Columbano, A.; Giordano, S. The dual roles of Nrf2 in cancer. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2016**, 22, 578–593. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.J.; Sun, Z.; Villeneuve, N.F.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, F.; Li, Y.; Chen, W.; Yi, X.; Zheng, W.; Wondrak, G.T.; et al. Nrf2 enhances resistance of cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs, the dark side of Nrf2. *Carcinogenesis* 2008, 29, 1235–1243. [CrossRef]
- 29. Graham, J.; Mushin, M.; Kirkpatrick, P. Oxaliplatin. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2004, 3, 11–12. [CrossRef]
- 30. Marchand, L.L. Cancer preventive effects of flavonoids—A review. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2002**, *56*, 296–301. [CrossRef]
- Choudhury, R.; Roy, S.G.; Tsai, Y.S.; Tripathy, A.; Graves, L.M.; Wang, Z. The splicing activator DAZAP1 ingegrates splicing control into MEK/Erk-regulated cell proliferation and migration. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 3078. [CrossRef]
- 32. Li, Y.H.; Niu, Y.B.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, F.; Liu, C.X.; Fan, L.; Mei, Q.B. Role of phytochemicals in colorectal cancer prevention. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2015**, *21*, 9262–9272. [CrossRef]
- 33. Birt, D.F.; Hendrich, S.; Wang, W. Dietary agents in cancer prevention: Flavonoids and isoflavonoids. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2001**, *90*, 157–177. [CrossRef]
- 34. Galati, G.; O'Brien, P.J. Potential toxicity of flavonoids and other dietary phenolics: Significance for their chemopreventive and anticancer properties. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2004**, *37*, 287–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Galati, G.; Teng, S.; Moridani, M.Y.; Chan, T.S.; O'Brien, P.J. Cancer chemoprevention and apoptosis mechanisms induced by dietary polyphenolics. *Drug Metab. Drug Interact.* **2000**, *17*, 311–349. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.M.; Ma, J.Q.; Xie, W.R.; Liu, S.S.; Feng, Z.J.; Zheng, G.H.; Wang, A.M. Quercetin protects mouse liver against nickel-induced DNA methylation and inflammation associated with the Nrf2/HO-1 and p38/STAT1/NF-kB pathway. *Food Chem. Toxical.* 2015, *82*, 19–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ren, W.; Qiao, Z.; Wang, H.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, L. Flavonoids: Promising anticancer agents. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2003, 23, 519–534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Son, T.G.; Camandola, S.; Mattson, M.P. Hormetic dietary phytochemicals. *Neuromol. Med.* 2008, 10, 236–246. [CrossRef]
- Thimmulappa, R.K.; Mai, K.H.; Srisuma, S.; Kensler, T.W.; Yamamoto, M.; Biswal, S. Identification of Nrf2-regulated genes induced by the chemopreventive agent sulforaphane by oligonucleotide microarray. *Cancer Res.* 2002, *62*, 5196–5203.

- 40. Vargas, A.J.; Burd, R. Hormesis and synergy: Pathways and mechanisms of quercetin in cancer prevention and management. *Nutr. Rev.* **2010**, *68*, 418–428. [CrossRef]
- 41. Choi, B.H.; Kwak, M.K. Shadows of Nrf2 in cancer: Resistance to chemotherapy. *Curr. Opin. Toxicol.* **2016**, *1*, 20–28. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ryoo, I.G.; Lee, S.H.; Kwak, M.K. Redox modulating Nrf2: A potential mediator of cancer stem cell resistance. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* **2016**, 2016, 2428153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Bao, L.J.; Jaramillo, M.C.; Zhang, Z.B.; Zheng, Y.X.; Yao, M.; Zhang, D.D.; Yi, X.F. Nrf2 induces cisplatin resistance through activation of autophage in ovarian carcinoma. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **2014**, *7*, 1502–1513. [PubMed]
- Wang, X.J.; Li, Y.; Luo, L.; Wnag, H.; Chi, Z.; Xin, A.; Li, X.; Tang, X. Oxaliplatin activates the Keap1/Nrf2 antioxidant system conferring protection against the cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2014, 70, 68–77. [CrossRef]
- 45. Tang, W.; Stearns, R.A. Heterotropic cooperativity of cytochrome P450 3A4 and potential—Drug interactions. *Curr. Drug Metab.* **2001**, *2*, 185–198. [CrossRef]
- 46. William-Faltaos, S.; Rouillard, D.; Lechat, P.; Bastian, G. Cell cycle arrest by oxaliplatin on cancer cells. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmarcol.* **2007**, *21*, 165–172. [CrossRef]
- Kang, K.A.; Piao, M.J.; Ryu, Y.S.; Hyun, Y.J.; Park, J.E.; Shilnikova, K.; Zhen, A.X.; Kang, H.K.; Koh, Y.S.; Jeong, Y.J.; et al. Luteolin induces apoptotic cell death via antioxidant activity in human colon cancer cells. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2017, *51*, 1169–1178. [CrossRef]
- Zuo, Q.; Wu, R.; Xiao, X.; Yang, C.; Yang, Y.; Wang, C.; Lin, L.; Kong, A.N.T. The dietary flavone luteolin epigenetically activates the Nrf2 pathway and blocks cell transformation in human colorectal cancer HCT116 cells. *J. Cell Biochem.* 2018, 119, 9573–9582. [CrossRef]
- 49. Busserrolles, J.; Megías, J.; Terencio, M.C.; Alcaraz, M.J. Heme oxygenase-1 inhibits apoptosis in Caco-2 cells with activatin of Akt pathway. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2006**, *38*, 1510–1517. [CrossRef]
- 50. Chen, W.; Jiang, T.; Wang, H.; Tao, S.; Lau, A.; Fang, D.; Zhang, D.D. Does Nrf2 contribute to p53-mediated control of cell survival and death? *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.* **2012**, *17*, 1670–1675. [CrossRef]
- 51. Ji, L.; Wei, Y.; Jiang, T.; Wang, S. Correlation of Nrf2, NQO1, MRP1, cmyc and p53 in colorectal cancer and their relationships to clinicopathologic features and survival. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **2014**, *7*, 1124–1131.
- 52. Rotblat, B.; Melino, G.; Knight, R.A. Nrf2 and p53: Januses in cancer? Oncotarget 2012, 3, 1272–1283. [CrossRef]
- 53. Agarwal, C.; Singh, R.P.; Dhanalakshmi, S.; Tyagi, A.K.; Tecklenburg, M.; Sclafani, R.A.; Agarwal, R. Silibinin upregulates the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors and causes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human colon carcinoma HT-29 cells. *Oncogene* **2003**, *22*, 8271–8282. [CrossRef]
- 54. Pucci, B.; Kasten, M.; Giordano, A. Cell cycle and apoptosis. Neoplasia 2000, 2, 291–299. [CrossRef]
- 55. Ahmad, N.; Adhami, V.M.; Afaq, F.; Feyes, D.K.; Mukhtar, H. Resveratrol causes WAF-1/p21-mediated G₁-phase arrest of cell cycle and induction of apoptosis in human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2001**, *7*, 1466–1473.
- Shen, G.; Xu, C.; Chen, C.; Hebbar, V.; Kong, A.N.T. p53-independent G₁ cell cycle arrest of human colon carcinoma cells HT-29 by sulforaphane is associated with induction of p21^{CIP1} and inhibition of expression of cyclin D1. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 2006, *57*, 317–327. [CrossRef]
- 57. Waldman, T.; Kinzler, K.W.; Vogelstein, B. p21 is necessary for the p53-mediated G₁ arrest in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **1995**, *55*, 5187–5190.
- 58. Bykov, V.J.N.; Eriksson, S.E.; Bianchi, J.; Wiman, K.G. Targeting mutant p53 for efficient cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2018**, *18*, 89–102. [CrossRef]
- 59. Muller, P.A.J.; Vousden, K.H. Mutant p53 in cancer: New functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell.* **2014**, 25, 304–317. [CrossRef]
- 60. Samowitz, W.S.; Slattery, M.L.; Sweeney, C.; Herrick, J.; Wolff, R.K.; Albertsen, H. APC mutations and other genetic and epigenetic changes in colon cancer. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **2007**, *5*, 165–170. [CrossRef]
- Martinez-Balibrea, E.; Martínez-Cardús, A.; Ginés, A.; Ruiz de Porras, V.; Moutinho, C.; Layos, L.; Manzano, J.L.; Bugés, C.; Bystrup, S.; Esteller, M.; et al. Tumor-related molecular mechanisms of oxaliplatin resistance. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2015, 14, 1767–1776. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).