Indian Heart Journal 73 (2021) 446-450

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Indian Heart Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ihj

Original Article

Study of pre-hospital care of out of hospital cardiac arrest victims and their outcome in a tertiary care hospital in India



IHJ Indian Heart Journal

Rachana Bhat ^a, Prithvishree Ravindra ^{a, *}, Ankit Kumar Sahu ^b, Roshan Mathew ^b, William Wilson ^a

^a Department of Emergency Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India ^b Department of Emergency Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 November 2020 Received in revised form 20 January 2021 Accepted 13 February 2021 Available online 17 February 2021

Keywords: Prehospital system Cardiopulmonary resuscitation Out-of- hospital cardiac arrest Registry India

ABSTRACT

Background: India does not have a formal cardiac arrest registry or a centralized emergency medical system. In this study, we aimed to assess the prehospital care received by the patients with OHCA and predict the factors that could influence their outcome.

Methods: Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients presenting to the emergency department in a tertiary care centre were included in the study. Prehospital care was assessed in terms of bystander cardiopul-monary resuscitation (CPR), mode of transport, resuscitation in ambulance. OHCA outcomes like Return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), survival to hospital discharge and favourable neurological outcome at discharge were assessed.

Results: Among 205 patients, the majority were male (71.2%) and were above 60 years of age (49.3%); Predominantly non-traumatic (82.4%). 30.7% of the patients had sustained cardiac arrest in transit to the hospital. 41.5% of patients reached hospital by means other than ambulance. Only 9.8% patients had received bystander CPR. Only 12.5% ambulances had BLS trained personnel. AED was used only in 1% of patients. The initial rhythm at presentation to the hospital was non-shockable (96.5%). Return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) was achieved in 17 (8.3%) patients, of which only 3 (1.4%) patients survived till discharge. The initial shockable rhythm was a significant predictor of ROSC (OR 18.97 95%CI 3.83–93.89; p < 0.001) and survival to discharge (OR 42.67; 95%CI 7.69–234.32; p < 0.001).

Conclusion: The outcome of OHCA in India is dismal. The pre-hospital care received by the OHCA victim needs attention. Low by-stander CPR rate, under-utilised and under-equipped EMS system are the challenges.

© 2021 Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The global incidence of out of hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is estimated to be 55 per 100,000 person-years,¹ making it an important public health challenge. The data from India regarding OHCA is lacking as there is no national cardiac arrest registry at present. The challenges pertaining to OHCA in India are unique and multifaceted. The awareness among the community regarding cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and AED is low.^{2,3} Bystander CPR rate has been found to be 1.3%,⁴ much lower than most countries. The emergency medical services (EMS) are fragmented and not accessible throughout the country. Most ambulances are used as a transport vehicle to reach the hospital, without simultaneously delivering emergency care in the ambulance. The Emergency Medicine paramedic courses have been initiated in a few institutes in the last decade, though a robust system of EMS is yet to be developed.

Our study objective was to assess the prehospital care received by the patients presenting to the Emergency Department with OHCA in a tertiary care hospital in India and to predict the factors that could influence their outcome.



^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Emergency Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, PIN code - 576104, India.

E-mail addresses: rachana2806@gmail.com (R. Bhat), prithvishree@gmail.com (P. Ravindra), ankitkumarsahu13@gmail.com (A.K. Sahu), roshmat15@gmail.com (R. Mathew), drwillwilson@gmail.com (W. Wilson).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2021.02.004

^{0019-4832/© 2021} Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

2. Methods

The study was a prospective observational study conducted at a private tertiary care teaching institute in South India. The Hospital is located in a tier two city with a population density of about 329 per square km and caters to referral of patients from multiple districts in 100 square km radius. Every patient presenting to the hospital with OHCA during the period from Feb 2019 to January 2020 were considered for the study. Based on estimation of proportion of good outcome in patients with OHCA anticipating 35% ROSC with 7.5% absolute precision, sample size required was estimated as162. Cases with age less than 18 years or where the legally acceptable representative denied consent for the study were excluded. The primary objective of the study was to assess the prehospital care received by victims of OHCA including bystander CPR, EMS utilisation & interventions, AED use. The secondary objective was to assess the factors influencing ROSC and survival to hospital discharge.

After informed consent from the legally acceptable representative, details of patients presenting to the Emergency Department with OHCA were collected. The patients attaining ROSC were followed up to discharge/death. CPC was calculated at discharge to assess the neurological outcome. During the course of hospital stay, details such as initiation of targeted temperature management, time to revascularization (if applicable), final diagnosis was noted.

The data was entered in MS EXCEL spreadsheet and analysis was done using SPSS (version 23; IBM, Armonk, NY). Categorical variables were presented in number and percentage (%) and continuous variables were presented as mean (standard deviation) and median (interquartile range). Logistic regression analyses were used to predict the effect of different variables on the ROSC, 24-h survival, and survival to discharge. The variables included in the logistic regression model were age, gender, arrival time to hospital, witnessed arrest, By-stander CPR received, duration of cardiac arrest, traumatic/non-traumatic cardiac arrest and type of rhythm (shockable or non-shockable). A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.1. Patients and public involvement

OHCA patients were included in the study, with an objective to assess the prehospital care they received and how it affected their survival. All the data collected were related to patients' demographic and clinical profile, different aspects of prehospital care delivery and outcomes of OHCA, which would help the scientific community and administrators to focus on this neglected aspect of health. Results will be disseminated by publishing the data in the scientific journals and then sharing with the appropriate public authorities for necessary actions.

3. Results

The study included 205 patients with OHCA presenting to the Emergency Medicine department of a tertiary care hospital. The Modified Utstein template is provided in Fig. 1. 56.6% (n = 116) of the patients sustained cardiac arrest at home and 30.7% (n = 63) of the patients sustained cardiac arrest in transit. The demographic characteristics, comorbidity profile and the initial presenting symptoms of the patients are provided in Table 1.

Pre-hospital factors such as place of arrest, mode of transport to hospital and bystander CPR were analysed and details mentioned in Table 2. A significant percentage of our patients (41.5%) reached the hospital by means other than the ambulance. Of the available data, median ambulance response time was 10(IQR: 10–20) minutes. It is worthy to note that only 9.8% (n = 20) patients received bystander CPR and of which 7.3% (n = 15) patients received CPR while being transported in the ambulance. Of the 20 patients, two received rescue breaths along with chest compressions and 18 patients received hands only CPR. AED was used only in one percent of the patients. No patients had received prehospital shocks during resuscitation or achieved prehospital ROSC.

Among the patients with witnessed arrest (31.2%, n = 64), the median time of cardiac arrest before arrival to our hospital was 30 (IQR: 15-41.25) minutes. With respect to the initial rhythm on presentation, shockable rhythm was seen in seven patients (3.4%); ventricular fibrillation in 6 patients and pulseless ventricular tachycardia in one patient. 96.6% (n = 198) had non shockable rhythm of which 13 patients (6.3%) had pulseless electrical activity (PEA) and the rest 90.3% (n = 185) had asystole at presentation. Return of Spontaneous Circulation (ROSC) was achieved in 17 (8.3%) patients. The final diagnoses of patients based on clinical gestalt and post-mortem reports are provided in Fig. 2. Amongst the patients who achieved ROSC, ten patients (4.8%, 10/205) survived more than 24 h and only three (1.4%) could survive till hospital discharge of which one had a cerebral performance score (CPC) of 3 and two had a score of 4. Four out of seven patients (57%; p = 0.001) with initial shockable rhythm achieved ROSC as compared to 13 out of 198 (6.6%) with non-shockable rhythm (p = 0.001). Of the seven patients who presented with shockable rhythm, the rhythm degenerated to asystole in three patients in spite of in-hospital ACLS interventions and ROSC could not be achieved.

Factors associated with ROSC, survival to hospital discharge and favourable neurological outcome at discharge were analysed with logistic regression analysis and it was found that the duration of cardiac arrest and shockable rhythm at presentation had a statistically significant association with ROSC (Table 3). Non-traumatic cardiac arrest had a higher incidence of ROSC than traumatic cardiac arrest, although it was not statistically significant. Longer the duration of cardiac arrest, lower the odds of ROSC (OR 0.937; 95% CI 0.88–0.99; p-0.047) whereas initial shockable rhythm was associated with higher odds of survival (OR 18.97 95%CI 3.83–93.89; p < 0.001). Similarly, patients with initial shockable rhythm had statistically significant and higher odds of survival for more than 24 h of ROSC (OR 42.67; 95%CI 7.69–234.32; p < 0.001).

4. Discussion

Our study aimed to understand the pre-hospital care received by the OHCA victims and factors that could influence ROSC in a developing country like India. Our study is one of the first in India which addresses the pre-hospital care received by OHCA victims. We report that the majority of OHCA happened at home (56.6%); however, a significant proportion (30.8%) happened during transit (24.9% in ambulances, 5.9% in private vehicles). This is in line with the findings of the Cardiac Arrest Resuscitation Outcome (CARO) study which had 92% of the arrests at home.⁴ The Swedish Cardiac arrest registry also found that the majority of older adults suffered a cardiac arrest at home followed by in transit (ambulance) to the hospital.⁵ In our study we noted that a significant percentage (41.4%) of cardiac arrest victims were transported in means other than ambulance. Wijeshkara et al found that only 1.4%-19.4% of ED patients utilised EMS to get to the ED.⁶ A systematic review & metaanalysis by Yan et al showed that prehospital stage was the most impactful stage for care of OHCA patients and where efficient CPR from EMS translated to highest incidence of ROSC (36.3%; 95% CI 23.8–48.9%).⁷ This emphasizes the need to educate the community regarding the importance of using an ambulance and EMS systems, so that earlier AED use and ACLS interventions can be administered.⁸



Fig. 1. Utstein template. OHCA: Out of hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC: Return of spontaneous circulation; CPC: Cerebral performance category'.

Continuing with the quality of the EMSservices available to our patient group, only 9.8% received CPR, with only 12.5% of ambulances having Basic Life Support (BLS) trained personnel and 10% (n = 12/120) having AED. The remaining ambulances were not manned by any personnel having a formal life support training. Ramanujam et al in a single centre study in Chennai found that 85% of the trauma victims did not receive any formal prehospital interventions, further emphasizing the lack of prehospital EMS facilities available although in a different patient group.⁹ Our study also found that the AED use was limited and there were no prehospital shocks administered or prehospital ROSC. This underlines the fact that ambulances are being used as mere transport vehicle rather than a device to deliver pre-hospital care. BLS ambulance of >4 per neighbourhood and Advanced Life Support (ALS) ambulances of >1.5 per neighbourhood have been linked with successful ROSC.¹⁰ Considering that a significant proportion of cardiac arrests are happening in the ambulance during transit, it is essential to realise the importance of having trained EMS personnel in fully BLS and ALS equipped ambulance and develop a stronger EMS system in the country.

It is well established that by-stander CPR is one of the cornerstones in improving OHCA results with better survival and discharge rates as compared to no bystander CPR.^{11,12} Amongst the sparse data available from India, the CARO study reported bystander CPR rate of 1.3%⁴ Our study found that bystander CPR was done only in 9.8% of our patients which falls way below the impact goal of bystander response of 62% set by the AHA-ECC.¹³The recent Sweden registry which reported bystander CPR rates of

R. Bhat, P. Ravindra, A.K. Sahu et al.

Table 1

Basic Characteristics of the included patients.

Characteristics	
Age ^a	58.4(17.1)
Age Group ^b	
18–39 years	32 (15.6%)
40–59 years	72 (35.1%)
> 59 years	101 (49.3%)
Gender ^b	
Male	146 (71.2%)
Female	59 (28.8%)
Initial presentation ^b	
Unresponsive	64 (31.2%)
Breathlessness	37 (18%)
Trauma	36 (17.6%)
Chest pain	24 (11.7%)
Giddiness/syncope	18 (8.8%)
Fever	6 (2.9%)
Bleeding	4 (2%)
Hanging	4 (2%)
Headache	3 (1.5%)
Abdominal Pain	3 (1.5%)
Drowning	3 (1.5%)
Poisoning	2 (1%)
Electrocution	1 (0.5%)
Co-morbid illness ^b	
Hypertension	71 (34.6%)
Diabetes	59 (28.8%)
Coronary artery disease	38 (18.5%)
Asthma/COPD	14 (6.8%)
Cerebrovascular accident	11 (5.3%)
Chronic Kidney disease	7 (3.4%)
Heart failure	7 (3.4%)
Malignancy	5 (2.4%)
Others ^c	9 (4.3%)
Type of arrest ^b	
Non-traumatic cardiac arrest	169 (82.4%)
Traumatic cardiac arrest	36 (17.6%)

^a Mean (SD).

^b N (%).

^c **Others:** Chronic liver disease (2), Seizure (1), Deep vein thrombosis/ Pulmonary embolism (1), Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (1).

26–54% across the adult age groups.⁵ To bridge this gap, we need to increase the knowledge in the community about CPR by having widespread training programs throughout the country aimed at dispelling myths and educational campaigns and also initiate school based training.¹⁴

Among the available final diagnoses assessed of the OHCA victims, majority had cardiovascular cause. This is consistent with studies elsewhere showing cardiovascular cause as the leading diagnoses among the victims.¹⁵ In our study, 15.6% had clinical/ post-mortem final diagnosis as acute coronary syndrome. It has been shown that reduced transport times may benefit patients with OHCA and STEMI also translating to significantly better neurological outcomes.¹⁶ This emphasises the need for transport using the EMS with an AED with BLS/ALS trained personnel, along with STEMI alert system. Haemorrhagic shock was the cause of death for 6.8% of the patients, which also included isolated limb injuries. The resuscitation of these patients in transit including simple measures such as compression of the bleeding site and fluid resuscitation may have improved outcome.

We found that 8.3% had ROSC, 4.9% had 24-h survival and 1.4% survived to discharge. The factors positively influencing outcome included initial shockable rhythm and shorter duration of arrest. None of the discharged patients had favourable CPC (CPC 1, 2).

Indian Heart Journal 73 (2021) 446-450

Та	ble	2	
_			

Pre-nospital response system.	
Place of arrest Home In transit Road Workplace Railway station Restaurant	116 (56.6%) 63 (30.7%) 22 (10.7%) 2 (1%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%)
Pre hospital response	
Witnessed	64 (31.2%)
By-stander CPR	20 (9.8%)
AED used	2 (1%)
Mode of arrival to study centre	
Ambulance	120 (58.5%)
Personal vehicle	76 (37.1%)
Three-wheeler	8 (3.9%)
Bus	1 (0.5%)
Who Brought	
Bystander	185 (90.2%)
Relatives	162(79%)
Colleagues/Friends	14(6.8%)
Civilian	9(4.4%)
First responders	20 (9.8%)
Paramedics	14(6.8%)
Police	4 (2%)
Fire Rescuer	2 (1%)



Fig. 2. Final diagnoses of patients of OHCA.

CARO study found that 32.5% had ROSC, 8.8% had survival to discharge and 3.8% had favourable CPC. They concluded that there is a need for creating a centralised medical emergency body to oversee the setting up of EMS.⁴ This re-emphasises the importance of community training to initiate early CPR, stronger EMS system, early AED use to improve the outcomes of OHCA in India.

4.1. Limitation

The information such as ambulance response time was recorded based on recall and hence was inaccurate & missing in few cases. The number of patients who had 24-h survival and survived to discharge were less, the study may not have been able to detect all the factors influencing these outcomes.

Table 3

Factors affecting ROSC, 24-h survival and survival to discharge.

Factors	ROSC	24-h survival	Survival to hospital discharge
Age	0.98 (0.96-1.02)	0.98(0.95-1.02)	0.954 (0.893-1.020)
	p = 0.457	p = 0.541	p = 0.168
Gender ¹	1.83 (0.66-5.06)	1.69(0.46-6.24)	1.241 (0.11-13.95)
	p = 0.244	p = 0.42	p = 0.861
Arrival time ²	0.41 (0.12-1.2)	0.33(0.07-1.63)	_
(Night vs Morning)	p = 0.127	p = 0.176	
Witnessed ³	2.09 (0.76-5.7)	2.31(0.64-8.26)	1.103 (0.098-12.392)
	p = 0.148	p = 0.2	p = 0.937
Bystander CPR ⁴	1.25 (0.26-5.93)	2.46(0.49-12.47)	_
	p = 0.771	p = 0.278	
Duration of CA	0.94 (0.88-0.99)	0.98(0.92-1.04)	1.002 (0.911-1.101)
	p = 0.047	p = 0.558	p = 0.972
Non-traumatic vs Traumatic ⁵	3.66 (0.47-28.52)	1.96 (0.24–16.04)	_
	p = 0.216	p = 0.527	
Shockable ⁶	18.97 (3.83–93.89)	42.67(7.69-234.32)	16.33(1.29-205.89)
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	p = 0.031

Reference variables: 1: Male 2: Morning duty hours (8 am-8 pm) 3: Unwitnessed 4: No CPR 5: Traumatic CA 6: Non shockable.

5. Conclusion

The pre-hospital care received by the OHCA victim in India needs immediate attention. Low by-stander CPR rate, underutilised and under-equipped EMS system are the challenges that we face. Community education and training programs along with strengthening the EMS systems are the way forward to improve care of OHCA victims in India.

Publication, presentation or awards at a meeting

The data has been uploaded in Medrix pre-print database.

This research work has not been published or presented at any conference or meetings

Sources of support and funding

None of the authors received any financial support for conducting this study.

Author contribution statement

Conceptualisation: **RB**, **PR**, Data curation: **WW**, **RB**, **PR**, Formal analysis: **AS**, **RM**, Investigation: **RB**, **PR**, **WW**, Methodology: **RB**, **PR**, Software: **AS**, **RM**, Supervision: **RB**, **PR**, Writing – original draft: **RB**, **PR**, **RM**, **WW**, Writing – review and editing: **RB**, **RM**

Declaration of competing interest

None of the authors have any conflicts of interests.

Acknowledgement

We would also like to acknowledge the support & contribution of the Department of Emergency Medicine & the Institute for data collection.

References

- Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JGP, Koster RW. Resuscitation [Internet]. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. 81. 2010 Nov 1 (11):1479–87. Available from: https:// www.resuscitationjournal.com/article/S0300-9572(10)00432-6/abstract. Accessed May 16, 2020.
- Aroor AR, Saya RP, Attar NR, Saya GK, Ravinanthanan M. Awareness about basic life support and emergency medical services and its associated factors among students in a tertiary care hospital in South India. J Emerg Trauma Shock

[Internet]. 2014;7(3), 166–9. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4126115/. Accessed May 18, 2020.

- Joseph N, Narayanan T, Zakaria SB, et al. Awareness, attitudes and practices of first aid among school teachers in Mangalore, south India. J Prim Health Care. 2015.
- Krishna CK, Showkat HI, Taktani M, Khatri V. Out of hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation outcome in North India — CARO study. World J Emerg Med [Internet]. 2017;8(3), 200–5. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC5496828/. Accessed May 11, 2020.
- Herlitz J, Svensson L, Engdahl J, et al. Characteristics of cardiac arrest and resuscitation by age group: an analysis from the Swedish Cardiac Arrest Registry. Nov 1 [cited 2020 May 18] *Am J Emerg Med [Internet]*. 2007;25(9), 1025–31. Available from: https://www.ajemjournal.com/article/S0735-6757(07)00202-1/abstract.
- Wijesekera O, Reed A, Chastain PS, et al. Epidemiology of emergency medical services (EMS) utilization in four Indian emergency departments. *Prehospital Disaster Med.* 2016 Dec;31(6):675–679.
- Yan S, Gan Y, Jiang N, et al. The global survival rate among adult out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients who received cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care [Internet] https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-020-2773-2; 2020. Feb 22 [cited 2020 May 16];24(1):61. Available from:.
- Modi PD, Solanki R, Nagdev TS, Yadav PD, Bharucha NK, Desai A, et al. Public Awareness of the Emergency Medical Services in Maharashtra, India: A Questionnaire-based Survey. Cureus [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 16];10(9). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6239430/.
- Ramanujam P, Aschkenasy M. Identifying the need for pre-hospital and emergency care in the developing World : a case study in Chennai. *India*. 2007;55:6.
- Richard Chocron, Thomas Loeb, Lionel Lamhaut, et al. Ambulance density and outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation [Internet]*. 2019 Mar 5;139(10), 1262–71. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10. 1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035113. Accessed May 11, 2020.
- Wissenberg M, Lippert FK, Folke F, et al. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA [Internet]*. 2013 Oct 2;310(13), 1377–84. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/ fullarticle/1745678. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Song J, Guo W, Lu X, Kang X, Song Y, Gong D. The effect of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation on the survival of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* [*Internet*]. 2018 Oct 11;19(26). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC6182861/. Accessed May , 2020.
- About CPR & ECC. cpr.heart.org [Internet] https://cpr.heart.org/en/resources/ about-cpr-and-ecc. Accessed May 12, 2020. Available from:.
- Nambiar M, Nedungalaparambil NM, Aslesh OP. Is current training in basic and advanced cardiac life support (BLS & ACLS) effective? A study of BLS & ACLS knowledge amongst healthcare professionals of North-Kerala. World J Emerg Med. 2016;7(4):263–269.
- Holmgren C, Abdon NJ, Bergfeldt L, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: causes according to autopsy and electrocardiography - analysis of 781 patients with neither hospital care nor prescribed medication during the preceding two years. *Resuscitation*. 2020 May;150:65–71.
- Wengenmayer T, Staudacher DL. Need for Speed in Out-Of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. Resuscitation [Internet]; 2019 Nov 1, 144:187–8. Available from: https://www.resuscitationjournal.com/article/S0300-9572(19)30594-5/ abstract. Accessed May 12, 2020.