Dig Dis Sci (2011) 56:929–930
DOI 10.1007/s10620-011-1578-1

EDITORIAL

Testing Beneficial Therapy in Human Cirrhosis Using Animal Models of Cirrhosis

John Carl Hoefs · Timothy Morgan · Bernard Joseph Ilagan

Published online: 2 March 2011

The study by Minuk and colleagues entitled "Daily Ciprofloxacin Treatment for Patients with Advanced Liver Disease Awaiting Liver Transplantation Reduces Hospitalizations" [1] tested the hypothesis that patients on the liver transplant list may benefit from antibiotics as a method of enhancing hepatic regeneration and improving liver function. The authors had previously shown in a rat model of acute and subacute liver failure that antibiotics improved survival [2, 3] and that antibiotics appeared to also improve survival in a model of chronic liver injury [4]. This benefit correlated in these animal models with improvement in markers of hepatic regeneration. In the current study, the authors asked whether the same benefit might be found in cirrhotic patients on the liver transplant waiting list.

This single-site, prospective, randomized, double-blind study measured routine markers of hepatic function (albumin, bilirubin, and international normalized ratio for prothrombin time [INR]), markers of hepatic inflammation (aspartate aminotransferase [AST] and alanine aminotransferase [ALT]), and clinical outcomes (hospitalizations, hepatic encephalopathy, etc.) after 1 or 3 months of treatment with placebo or ciprofloxacin 250–500 mg twice daily. Results showed no systematic benefit at 1 or 3 months in markers of hepatic function or inflammation, and a significant improvement in albumin at 1 month was not confirmed at 3 months. However, it should be noted that more precise measurements of hepatic function [5, 6] or markers of regeneration might have shown subclinical benefits that would have been encouraging for further studies. Potential benefits in hospitalizations and clinical outcomes were also assessed. There were fewer hospitalizations for hepatic encephalopathy among subjects receiving ciprofloxacin, but little benefit for other clinical outcomes. As the authors note, antibiotics are helpful in treating encephalopathy and in prophylaxis against spontaneous bacterial peritonitis [7–10]. The effect of antibiotics on improvement in survival among variceal bleeders has been profound [11]. Thus, the reduction in hospitalizations among patients receiving antibiotics in this study is not surprising, and hepatic regeneration is not required to explain this improvement. Thus, the benefits of antibiotics in animal models of acute and chronic liver injury were not confirmed in this clinical trial among patients with advanced cirrhosis on the liver transplant waiting list.

Animal models have been very useful in the evaluation of the pathophysiology of portal hypertension, ascites and hepatic fibrosis [12–17]. Why was so little benefit observed when antibiotics were used in humans with cirrhosis as compared with animal models? The answer partially lies in the difference between changes in pathophysiology in animal models of acute liver injury and humans with cirrhosis, who have dense hepatic fibrosis that develops over long periods of time and resolves slowly when the insult is stopped [18, 19]. By contrast, animal models of cirrhosis generally require continued liver injury to produce cirrhosis, and fibrosis resolves rapidly if the insult is stopped [12–17]. Furthermore, there is often a complex interaction of factors contributing to hepatic injury that includes bacterial products such as endotoxin from the gut that may be less important in man [12–14]. Antibiotics markedly decrease injury in most animal models [12–14]. Therefore, study of the pathophysiology of liver injury and complications of liver disease in animal models has been very

J. C. Hoefs (✉) · T. Morgan · B. J. Ilagan
University of California Irvine Medical Center,
University of California, Irvine, City Tower 400 Rm 810,
Orange, CA 92868, USA
e-mail: jchoefs@uci.edu

valuable, but the regression of liver fibrosis differs between humans and animals. Thus, findings in animal models must be confirmed in clinical settings, and we applaud the authors for testing their hypothesis in humans [1]. Unfortunately, this study shows that antibiotics do not enhance hepatic regeneration or improve clinical outcomes by means of regeneration in patients on the liver transplant list.

According to several recent studies, there is no experimental model that completely reproduces human liver fibrosis [12–14]. Since there are contraindications to serial liver biopsies in humans with liver disease, studies of genetic and molecular aspects of liver injury and fibrosis should continue. An initial approach should involve exploration of the molecular mechanisms of liver fibrosis in different diseases [20]. This might elucidate areas of potential efficacy of ciprofloxacin and other fluoroquinolones. Ultimately, all benefits in animals must be confirmed by clinical trials in man.

References

1. Minuk GY, Hawkins K, Kaita K, et al. Daily ciprofloxacin treatment for patients with advanced liver disease awaiting liver transplantation reduces hospitalizations. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2010 (Epub ahead of print). doi:10.1007/s10620-010-1456-2.
2. Zhang M, Guopei S, Minuk G. Effects of hepatic stimulator substance, herbal medicine, selenium/vitamin E, and ciprofloxacin on cirrhosis in the rat. *Gastroenterology*. 1996;110:1150–1155.
3. Kaita K, Assy N, Gauthier T, Zhang M, Meyers A, Minuk G. The beneficial effects of ciprofloxacin on survival and hepatic regenerative activity in a rat model of fulminant hepatic failure. *Hepatology*. 1998;27:533–536.
4. Minuk G, Gauthier T, Zhang X, Wang G, Burczynski F. Ciprofloxacin prevents the inhibitory effects of acute ethanol exposure on hepatic regeneration in the rat. *Hepatology*. 1995;22:1797–1800.
5. Everson GT, Shiffman ML, Morgan TR, et al. The spectrum of hepatic functional impairment in patients with fibrosis and compensated cirrhosis due to chronic hepatitis c: results from the HALT-C Trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2008;27:798–809.
6. Everson GT, Shiffman ML, Hoefs JC, et al. Quantitative tests of liver function measure hepatic improvement after sustained virologic response: results from the HALT-C Trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;29:589–601.
7. Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, et al. Rifaximin treatment in hepatic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:1071–1081.
8. Fernandez J, Navasa M, Planas R, et al. Primary prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis delays hepatorenal syndrome and improves survival in cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;133:818–824.
9. Rolachon A, Cordier L, Bacq Y, et al. Ciprofloxacin and long-term prevention of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: results of a prospective controlled trial. *Hepatology*. 1995;22:1171–1174.
10. Runyon BA. Management of adult patients with ascites due to cirrhosis: an update. *Hepatology*. 2009;49:2087–2107.
11. Carbonell N, Pauwels A, Serfaty L, Fourdan O, Levy VG, Poupon R. Improved survival after variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis over the past two decades. *Hepatology*. 2004;40:652–659.
12. Tsukamoto H, Matsuoka M, French SW. Experimental models of hepatic fibrosis: a review. *Semin Liver Dis*. 1990;10:56–65.
13. Chang ML, Yeh CT, Chang PY, Chen JC. Comparison of murine cirrhosis models induced by hepatotoxin administration and common bile duct ligation. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2005;11:4167–4172.
14. Iredale JP. Models of liver fibrosis: exploring the dynamic nature of inflammation and repair in a solid organ. *J Clin Invest*. 2007;117:539–548.
15. Groszmann RJ, Abraldes JG. Portal hypertension from bedside to bench. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2005;39:125–130.
16. Abraldes JG, Pasarín M, García-Pagán JC. Animal models of portal hypertension. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2006;12:6577–6584.
17. Runyon BA, Sugano S, Kanel G, Mellencamp MA. A rodent model of cirrhosis, ascites, and bacterial peritonitis. *Gastroenterology*. 1991;100:489–493.
18. Dienstag JL, Goldin RD, Heathcote EJ, et al. Histologic outcome during long-term lamivudine therapy. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;124:105–117.
19. Desmet VJ, Roskdams T. Cirrhosis reversal: a duel between dogma and myth. *J Hepatol*. 2004;40:860–867.
20. Jiao J, Friedman SL, Aloman C. Hepatic fibrosis. *Curr Opinion Gastroenterol*. 2009;25:223–229.