© 2021 The Authors. Orthopaedic Surgery published by Chinese Orthopaedic Association and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

CLINICAL ARTICLE

Core Decompression with Local Administration of Zoledronate and Enriched Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells for Treatment of Non-Traumatic Osteonecrosis of Femoral Head

Hai-yang Ma, MD[†], Ning Ma, MD[†], Yu-feng Liu, MD ^(D), Yi-qun Wan, MD, Gui-qi Liu, MD, Guang-bo Liu, MD, Hao-ye Meng, MD, Huo Li, MD, Xin Wang, MD, Chun-bao Li, MD, Jiang Peng, MD

Institute of Orthopaedics/Beijing Key Laboratory of Regenerative Medicine in Orthopedics/Key Laboratory of Musculoskeletal Trauma & War Injuries PLA, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

Objective: To investigate the efficacy and safety of core decompression (CD) with local administration of zoledronate and enriched bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMCS) for the treatment of non-traumatic osteonecrosis of femoral head (ONFH).

Methods: A total of 17 patients (30 hips) diagnosed with stage II and III ONFH according to the 2019 revised Association for Research on Osseous Circulation (ARCO) staging criteria from 2012 to 2014 were retrospectively reviewed. The patients received the following therapy: the BMMCs and zoledronate were injected into the necrotic zone, respectively, along with CD. The mean age of the patients was 36.8 years; 14 were men and three were women. All patients included had non-traumatic ONFH and a minimum follow-up of 5 years, which ended when total hip arthroplasty (THA) was performed. Imaging modalities, including plain radiography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were taken pre- and postoperatively. Harris hip score (HHS) was used to evaluate the functional outcomes of femoral head necrosis. Kaplan–Meier analysis was adopted to determine the probability of survivorship with THA as the end point in this series of patients. The correlation between radiological progression or THA and related risk factors were further analyzed. All complications were recorded.

Results: With THA as the follow-up endpoint, All patients were followed up for an average of 69.1 \pm 20.5 months (range, 18–95 months). Preoperative imaging found six hips (20%) at ARCO stage II, 14 hips (46.7%) at stage IIIA, 10 hips (33.3%) at stage IIIB. Fourteen hips (46.7%) shown progression radiologically, while six hips (20%) underwent TKA among these patients with hip preservation. The cumulative survival was 80% (95% *Cl*, 0.608–905) at 5 years with THA as the end point. HHS improved from 63.3 \pm 8.7 preoperatively to 74.6 \pm 20.6 postoperatively (*P* = 0.000). Radiological progression was found to be associated with ARCO stage, Japanese Investigation Committee (JIC) type, and corticosteroid exposure (*P* = 0.047; *P* = 0.012; *P* = 0.031). However, no correlation was found between conversion to THA and the known risk factors. No major complication was reported, with only four patients complaining about general weakness and muscle soreness, and all disappeared within 2–3 days.

Address for correspondence Chun-bao Li MD and Jiang Peng MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Institute of Orthopaedics/Beijing Key Laboratory of Regenerative Medicine in Orthopaedics/Key Laboratory of Musculoskeletal Trauma & War Injuries PLA, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China Fax: +8601066938306; Email: lichunbao301@163.com (Li); Tel: +8613910060749 Fax: +8601066939205; Email: pengjiang301@126. com (Peng)

Grant Sources: This study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Funding Numbers: 2016YFC1102104; 81572148; 81972047; 82072517), Chinese PLA General Hospital Translational Foundation (ZH19010), the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing (Funding Number: 7192195), National Program on Key Basic Research Project (Funding Number: 2020-JCJQ-ZS-264), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFA0110600).

Disclosure: There are no conflicts of interest.

 † These authors contributed equally to this work and are considered co-first authors.

The work was performed at Chinese PLA General Hospital.

Received 22 January 2021; accepted 17 May 2021

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conclusions: The novel treatment modality could relieve pain, delay the progression of collapse, which might be an effective and safe method for hip preservation of early and mid-term ONFH. However, the effect of this method may be related to ARCO stage, JIC type, and corticosteroid exposure.

Key words: Bone marrow mononuclear cells; Core decompression; Hip preservation; Osteonecrosis of femoral head; Zoledronate

Introduction

steonecrosis of femoral head (ONFH) has become a challenging and devastating disease that mainly affects voung peoples^{1,2}. ONFH is due to the interruption or damage of the femoral head blood supply, which results in the death of bone marrow and osteocytes, and the following repair procedures lead to structural changes and femoral head collapse³. More than 70% of femoral heads with osteonecrosis collapse require total hip arthroplasty (THA) within 2-3 years of diagnosis if not treated⁴. The epidemiological investigation shows that the Asian population is more susceptible to ONFH⁵. Forty per cent of cases undergoing total hip arthroplasty are attributed to ONFH in the Asian population, compared with about 10% in the United States⁵. Even though THAs have shown good performance in young and active populations, implants longevity and the following implant revisions are still outstanding problems among ONFH patients. Thus, hip preservation is necessary, and a variety of joint-preservation procedures have been introduced⁶.

Core decompression is generally considered to be effective in preventing collapse of the femoral head in the early stage of ONFH^{7,8}. Excessive osteoclast activity could weaken the mechanical strength of the repaired region and play an important role in the pathogenesis of femoral head deformity⁹. Therefore, inhibiting the overactivity of osteoclasts may delay the collapse of the femoral head. Bisphosphonate, as a highly potent osteoclast inhibitor, could inhibit the absorptive action of mature osteoclasts during the repair of the infarcted femoral head and have been traditionally used for treating ONFH¹⁰. Our previous animal studies indicated that bisphosphonate could alter the repair process of ONFH, decrease the femoral head deformity and delay the collapse of femoral head in animal models of ONFH by inhibiting the activity of osteoclasts while suppressing new bone and vessel formation^{11,12}. Clinical studies have also shown that core decompression combined with systemic alendronate administration could relieve pain, prevent the collapse of femoral head, or at least defer the need for THA, even in Ficat IIA and III hips^{10,13}. However, several reports have documented serious complications including osteonecrosis of the jaw and atypical femur fracture as a result of systemic effects¹⁴. Aya et al. demonstrate that local administration of bisphosphonate could act more accurately on the lesion site, bypass the need for restoring blood flow to the necrotic femoral head and

therefore may be preferable to oral or systemic administration¹⁵. In addition to that, local administration of zoledronate could result in preservation of femoral head structure and prevent femoral head deformity in animal ONFH models as well as avoid the complications caused by systemic administration^{3,16–18}.

Hernigou et al. found that osteogenesis was reduced in corticosteroid-induced ONFH as a result of decreased mesenchymal stem cells¹⁹. In a randomized controlled study, Tabatabaee et al. found that the clinical symptoms and imaging findings of the bone marrow stem cell injection group were significantly improved compared with the simple core decompression group²⁰. A systematic review including 48 studies also found that patients receiving core decompression and regenerative techniques could provide a good clinical effect for the treatment of ONFH and an important improvement in terms of survivorship compared with CD alone²¹. Thus, to supplement stem cells or other osteogenesis factors was necessary for the treatment of ONFH. A sufficient number of cells or osteogenesis factors for transplantation were essential for osteogenesis. Recently, it was found that pretreatment with zoledronate could obviously enhance the proliferation and osteogenesis of BMMCs in vitro and in vivo 22.

Thus, we hypothesized that a combination of local administration of zoledronate and enriched BMMCs could reduce complications, prevent or delay femoral head collapse and THA in patients with ONFH. The aim of this study was to: (i) evaluate the efficiency and safety of the above treatments; (ii) explore the related risk factors of this treatment, and (iii) explore the proliferation and osteogenesis of BMMCs *in vivo*.

Materials and Methods

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Ethics Review Committee of PLA General Hospital. Informed consent was obtained preoperatively from all patients who participated in this study.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients with ARCO Stage II, III A, and III B of ONFH; (ii) local administration of zoledronate with enriched BMMCs for treatment of ONFH; (iii) knowledge of preoperative hip function and postoperative hip function; (iv) outcome measures were Harris hip scores (HHS), the ratio of conversion to THA, the ratio of radiological progression, and complications at a minimum follow-up of 5 years; (v) retrospective study. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients with traumatic ONFH; (ii) patients with ARCO stage IV; (iii) patients with dysplastic hips, immune system disorders, metabolic disease, or psychiatric disorders.

Patients

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 17 patients (30 hips) underwent core decompression with local administration of zoledronate and enriched BMMCs from 2012 to 2014 and were retrospectively reviewed. All patients' profiles were assessed by the operating surgeon (first correspondent author) and received a standard preoperative workup, including standard anteroposterior radiographs, computed tomography (CT) scan, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the affected hip. Each hip's ONFH was staged according to the 2019 revised Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO) staging system and classified according to Japanese Investigation Committee (JIC) classification system²³ based on imaging performance and clinical presentation (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

Surgery

Collection and Enrichment of Autologous BMMCs

All patients were operated on by the same senior surgeon (the first correspondent author). After epidural anesthesia and standard prep and draping, bone marrow samples were extracted with a medulla-puncture needle (6–8 cm in length and about 1.5 mm in diameter) and a 20 mL syringe. The puncture needle was inserted into the marrow cavity of the iliac bone. A quantity of 50–60 mL of bone marrow blood was extracted within one puncture from different directions and depths in a fan-like shape and infused into

the sterile blood storage bag containing sodium citrate and glucose. Other entry points were made within 4-5 cm from the former one until 100-180 mL bone marrow blood was collected. The extracted bone marrow blood was mixed with 200 mL autologous peripheral whole blood and then went through cell sorting under aseptic condition on a COBE 2991TM Cell Processor (GAMBRO BCT. Inc., Lakewood, CO, USA) at 3000 r/min, for 5–10 min. The mixed sample were stratified into the plasma laver, the albuginea laver (containing bone marrow mononuclear cells, white blood cells, etc.), and the bone marrow mature cell layer according to the density gradient, while the BMMCs gradually enrich in the layer of bone marrow mononuclear cells. Different fractions of the mixed sample were output one by one and recovered. The blood volume (VE) (mL) of bone marrow blood containing bone marrow mononuclear cell was determined by two parameters: SV (super out volume, mL/min) and RT (super out rate, min). $VE = SV \times RT$. Therefore, the bone marrow mononuclear cell layer was recovered through manual real-time control. Bone marrow mononuclear cells were separated and concentrated into 30-50 mL of bone marrow blood for replantation during surgery, and about 2 mL of the transplanted blood sample was retained for bacteriological test. A quantity of 2-5 mL of bone marrow blood samples were taken from every patient before and after separation respectively, 1-2 mL of which went through blood cell count and the number of bone marrow mononuclear cells was counted before and after separation. According to the changes in the volume of bone marrow blood and the number of bone marrow mononuclear cells before and after enrichment, the enrichment efficiency of bone marrow mononuclear cell separation technology was evaluated. Through separation technology, bone marrow mononuclear cells in bone marrow blood were concentrated from (12.2 ± 3.0) $\times 10^{9}$ /L to (35.2 ± 12.0) $\times 10^{9}$ /L.



Pre-operation Syears

1846

Orthopaedic Surgery Volume 13 • Number 6 • August, 2021 ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD



Fig. 2 MRI of osteonecrosis of the right hip demonstrates that bone marrow edema obviously disappeared; femoral head remained spherical and no progress in necrotic area of femoral head 5 year later. (A) MRI of osteonecrosis of the right hip pre-operation. (B) MRI of osteonecrosis of the right hip 5 years post-operation.

Core Decompression and Injection of Enriched BMMCs and Zoledronate

Patients lied on the orthopaedic traction bed in supine position. At the beginning of core decompression procedure, we carefully identified and evaluated the location, size, and boundary of the necrotic area on AP and lateral radiographs as well as MRI (Figs 1, 2). A 1.0 mm Kirschner wire was drilled into the necrotic lesion of the femoral head 2–3 cm distal to the subchondral bone plate under the surveillance of C-arm. A 3.5 mm (inner diameter of 1.5 mm) trephine was inserted for core decompression along with the above channel. Two to three holes were bored in total. Then, enriched BMMCs and 200 μ g zoledronate were injected into the necrotic zone, followed by injection of 5–10 mL saline. Local administration of meglumine diatrizoate demonstrated that the drug was delivered to the necrotic area of the femoral head under C-arm image intensifier surveillance (Fig. 3). Finally, the holes for core decompression were sealed with bone wax to prevent leakage. Postoperatively, all patients received prophylactic antibiotic therapy of cefazolin for 3 days.



Fig. 3 The meglumine diatrizoate was injected into the femoral head under C-arm image intensifier guidance. It demonstrated that the contrast agent could be distributed into the necrotic area and the venous vessels of femoral head were also displayed.

ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD



Fig. 4 CT of osteonecrosis of right femoral head pre- and post-operation. (A) Obvious necrotic area and bone resorption could be seen pre-operation. (B) The sclerotic zone gradually disappeared at 16 months. (C) No collapse of the femoral head occurred and obvious hardening in the necrotic area was seen. (D) The outline of the femoral head remained intact at 7 years.

Postoperative Rehabilitation

Patients were instructed to walk with crutches and carry protective weight for at least 1 year after operation. Patients were restricted to limited physical activity at the beginning, mainly upper limb strength training with instructions to return to activities gradually. Weight bearing was determined by the surgeon based on the patient's symptom and imaging presentation.

Outcome Assessment and Follow-Up

All patients were required to be followed up postoperatively at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, and every 1 year thereafter. Visiting the outpatient clinic was recommended while the patient's condition was changed. If THA were performed, we would end our follow-up. Postoperative anteroposterior radiograph of the pelvis, MRI, and CT three-dimensional reconstruction of the affected hip joints (Fig. 4) were taken to evaluate the condition of the femoral head. Data points were extracted by querying the electronic medical records of patients. The primary outcomes were HHS and conversion ratio to THA. Secondary outcome was radiological progression. All complications were recorded, including both major complications, such as infection, neoplasm development, drug-related osteonecrosis of the jaw, femur fracture; and minor complications, including superficial wound infection, heterotopic ossification, general weakness, and muscle aches.

The Indicators

Radiological Progression

Radiographs, CT, and MRI were performed to determine ARCO stages of ONFH at the time of each assessment. Radiological progression was decided according to the development of ARCO stage¹⁶. ARCO stage was an international staging, which was based on imaging performance and clinical presentation. The progression of ARCO stage I, II, or III a to ARCO stage III b or IV on radiological appearance was defined as radiological progression. For stage III b, if the femoral head depression was deeper than before, we also defined it as radiological progression.

Harris Hip Score

HHS system has been widely used and is a standard measurement for the hip function. HHS was measured preoperatively and at the last follow-up (if the patient underwent THA, the HHS before THA was adopted). The system²⁴ mainly includes the four aspects of pain, function, absence of deformity, and range of motion. The score standard has a maximum of 100 points. Scores of (90 to 100) are defined as excellent, (80 to 89) good, (70 to 79) fair, or (<70) poor.

Survival Analysis

Conversion ratio to THA was designed as the follow-up end point to evaluate the efficacy of hip preservation. Based on the follow-up end point, the survival curve was drawn and cumulative survival in 5 years was calculated. Additionally, the time interval from the hip preservation surgery to THA was calculated. We also examined the association of conversion to THA with JIC, ARCO staging, ONFH risk factors, or bilateral hip involvement as well as and radiological progression. ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 21.0). Categorical statistics were represented as a number and percentage, while continuous statistics were represented as a mean average and standard deviation. Statistical testing was conducted using Fisher's Exact Test for correlation of categorical variables. Kaplan–Meier analysis was adopted to determine the probability of survivorship in these patients with hip preservation. A P value < 0.05 indicated the difference is statistically significant.

Results

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

A total cohort of 30 hips (17 patients) were identified, of which three patients (17.6%) were female and 14 patients (82.4%) were male. All 17 patients were included based on the aforementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria. The mean age of the cohort was 36.8 ± 7.9 years (range, 26-51) (Table 1). Patients' medical history was evaluated for established risk factors for the development to ONFH. Seven hips (23.3%) had inhaled corticosteroid exposure, 16 hips (53.3%) had a history of tobacco exposure, while 12 hips (40%) had a history of excessive alcohol drinking (Table 2). The ARCO classification found on preoperative imaging was Stage II in six (20%) hips, III A in 14 (46.7%) hips, and III B in 10 hips (33.3%). Type B was seen in 3 (10%) hips, C1 in 3 (10%) hips, and C2 in 24 (80%) hips (Table 2).

Radiographic Results

As radiological progression at the end point, Stage II— 66.7%, Stage IIIA—71.4%, Stage IIIB—20% had no radiological progression; Type B—100%, Type C1—100%, and Type C2—53.3% had no radiological progression.

Clinical Outcomes

The mean patient follow-up was 69.1 ± 20.5 months (range, 18–95 months). The HHS improved from 63.3

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics				
Demographics	Value			
Age, mean \pm SD (range) Gender, <i>n</i> (%)	$36.8\pm7.90\ (2651)$			
Male	14 (82.4)			
Female	3 (17.6)			
BMI, mean \pm SD (range)	24.95 ± 3.34 (20.14–31.28)			
Patients Treated Bilaterally, <i>n</i> (%) Laterality, <i>n</i>	13 (76.5%)			
Left	13			
Right	17			
Follow-up duration, mean \pm SD (range)	69.1 ± 20.5 (18–95)			
Internal time for THA, mean \pm SD (range)	36.7 ± 17.1 (18–56)			

BMI, Body mass index; SD, standard deviation; THA, Total hip arthroplasty.

1849

Orthopaedic Surgery Volume 13 • Number 6 • August, 2021 ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD

TABLE 2 Radiological progre	ession and conversion to THA					
Pre-operative risk factors	Radiological progression	NO progression	P value	Conversion to THA	NO THA	P value
ARCO Stage			0.047			0.196
Stage II	2/6	4/6		0/	6/6	
Stage IIIA	4/14	10/14		3/14	11/14	
Stage IIIB	8/10	2/10		3/10	7/10	
JIC type			0.012			0.220
В	0/3	3/3		0/3	3/3	
C1	0/3	3/3		0/3	3/3	
C2	14/24	10/24		6/24	18/24	
RISK Factors						
Corticosteroid	6/7	1/7	0.031	2/7	5/7	1.000
Smoking	6/16	10/16	0.282	2/16	14/16	0.378
Alcohol drinking	5/12	7/12	0.654	0/12	12/12	0.057
Bilateral hip involvement	13/26	13/26	0.602	6/26	20/26	0.557
THA, Total hip arthroplasty.						

 \pm 8.7 preoperatively to 74.6 \pm 20.6 postoperatively (*P* = 0.001). With THA as the end point, 24 hips (80%) had not converted to THA at final follow-up. Upon further stratification, Stage II—100%, Stage IIIA—78.6%, Stage III B—70% had not converted to THA; Type B—100%, Type C1—100%, and Type C2—75% had not converted to THA (Table 2). With THA as the end point, hip preservation survivorship using the Kaplan-Meier method was 80% (95% *CI*, 0.608%–0.905%) at 5 years (Fig. 5).

We analyzed the correlation between radiological progression and other variables. The correlation between conversion to THA and other variables was also evaluated. Radiological progression was found to be associated with hip ARCO staging and JIC type (P = 0.047; P = 0.012). The



Fig. 5 Kaplan–Meier survival curve for hip preservation with THA as the end point.

correlation of radiological progression with corticosteroid exposure, was also statistically significant (P = 0.031). However, there is no evidence of significant difference between conversion to THA and corticosteroid exposure (P = 1.000) (Table 2).

Complications

There were no major complications, and four patients complained about general weakness and muscle aches within 2–3 days post-operation, but these symptoms soon disappeared.

Discussion

T o the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the result of local administration of zoledronate with CD and enriched BMMCs in the treatment of osteonecrosis of femoral head. This study demonstrated relatively good survivability of the novel procedure in ONFH with no major complications at mid-term follow-up.

Efficacy and Safety

In our treatment group, only 46.7% of femoral heads with osteonecrosis present radiological progression, and 20% of femoral heads require prosthetic replacement. However, the literature reported that 70% of ONFH collapse and THAs were required to perform within 3-4 years of diagnosis⁴. When compared with the reported natural course, local administration of zoledronate with core decompression and enriched BMMCs is effective. The JIC classification is considered reliable and effective to predict the collapse of ONFH^{25,26}. Studies reported a rate of femoral head collapse of 40% for type B, 80% for type C1, and exceeding 90% for type C2 without intervention^{25,27}. The collapse rate was about 60.5% in type C2 hips with other complex preservation surgery²⁷⁻³¹ (Table 3). In the treatment group, the collapse rate was 58.3%. The collapse rate in our study is similar with these studies²⁶⁻³¹. However, higher technical feasibility,

ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD

Study/year						Type					
				A		В		C1		C2	
	Technique	Hips	Hips	Failure	Hips	Failure	Hips	Failure	Hips	Failure	
Seyler et al. (2008) ³¹	CD/NVG/OP1	39	8	1 (12.5%)	12	5 (41.7%)	12	2 (16.7%)	7	5 (71.4%)	
Atsumi et al. (2006) ³⁰	PRO	35	11	0 (0%)	20	0 (0%)	4	2 (50%)			
Onodera et al. (2005) ²⁹	TTRO	37		. ,			19	9 (47.4%)	18	6 (33.3%)	
Nagoya et al. (2004) ²⁸	VIG	35					17	4 (23.5%)	18	15 (83.3%)	
Total		146	19	1 (5.3%)	32	5 (15.6%)	52	17 (32.7%)	43	17 (60.4%)	

CD, core decompression; NVG, nonvascularized graft; OP1:BMP-7, Bone Morphogenetic Protein; PRO, posterior rotational osteotomy; TTRO, transtrochanteric rotational osteotomy; VIG, vascularized iliac graft.

shorter operative duration, and less blood loss are considered to be the advantages of the reported approach. This novel therapeutic approach of CD with local administration of Zoledronate and BMMCS may be beneficial to keep the femoral head spherical structure, or even reverse the disease proceeding³². In this retrospective study, there were no related major or minor complications at mid-term follow-up. Additionally, ARCO staging and JIC type and corticosteroid exposure were found to be relevant factors affecting hip preservation.

Current Status of Bisphosphonate in ONFH Treatment

Alendronate could inhibit the absorptive action of mature osteoclasts and have been traditionally used for treating ONFH¹⁰. Kang et al. reported that CD combined with systemic alendronate administration could delay THA in a minimum 4-year follow-up¹³. A randomized clinical study by Lai et al. indicated that alendronate could prevent early collapse in ONFH¹⁰. However, several reports documented serious complications as a result of systemic effects of this oral drug, such as atypical femur fractures and osteonecrosis of the jaw^{33,34}. Local application of zoledronate could inhibit osteoclast activity, block the pathological progression of ONFH, and enhance the mechanical properties of the bone to prevent femoral head collapse^{16,17,35,36}. Furthermore, bisphosphonate could reduce marrow edema and remodeling rate^{37–39}. Previous animal studies have shown that local administration of zoledronate could keep femoral head structure in animal models. Local administration of drugs could achieve the drug distribution of the entire femoral head, and it may be preferable to oral or systemic administration for accurate targeting at the lesion site¹⁵. In addition, local release of zoledronate could promote bone formation and help avoid complications caused by systemic administration⁴⁰.

Local Administration of Bisphosphonate and Cell Therapy in ONFH Treatment

Studies have demonstrated that core decompression combined with autologous bone marrow transplantation is effective for treating early-stage non-traumatic ONFH⁴¹. Osteogenesis is reduced in femoral head necrotic zone as a result of decreased mesenchymal stem cells¹⁹. Thus, it is necessary to supplement stem cells or other osteogenesis factors. Patients with greater numbers of progenitor cells transplanted during core decompression procedures have a better prognosis reported by Hernigou *et al.*⁴¹. Bone marrow enrichment technology could substantially increase the number of BMMCs or osteogenesis factors available for transplantation. Recent research shows satisfactory results of novel actions of bisphosphonates in osteoblast preservation and osteocyte viability and pre-treatment with bisphosphonate enhancing osteogenesis of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells^{22,42}. Kang *et al.* demonstrated the efficacy with BP + core decompression¹³. A study from HSS hospital concluded that BP + core decompression + MSC are effective in delaying collapse within the first 24 months after diagnosis³².

In this study, we reported a relatively good mid-term outcome with procedure of local administration of core decompression + BP + enriched BMMCs. The outcomes are comparable to that of the other complicated osteotomy surgeries²⁷. Although 14 hips collapsed and had a deterioration, only six hips were converted to THA. The patients' choice to proceed with THA is multivariate and dependent on a careful discussion between the patient and their surgeon. For the young, they tend to postpone THA when presented with other minimally invasive alternatives or when the disease can be tolerable. Therefore, the indications for THA are not entirely objective and we use imaging progression as the main evaluation index. Our results show that the novel method may prevent or at least delay collapse progression. It may offer an alternative for the treatment of ONFH. In our study, the mean follow-up time was more than 5 years (69.1 months). This is also the advantage of the results of this study.

Limitation

There are several limitations of the present study. Our study was retrospective and thus maintains the biases inherent to such a study design. The sample size of this study is not large enough and there was no control group. Thus, future prospective randomized, controlled, multicenter studies are warranted to determine the efficacy of this treatment strategy

ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD

in the long term. Last but not the least, the optimal dosage for local administration of bisphosphonate still needs to be further explored.

Conclusion

Core decompression with local administration of zoledronate and enriched BMMCs could relieve the pain, delay the progression of collapse, and postpone the time of total hip arthroplasty in patients of early and mid-term ONFH. This remedy might provide an alternative to preserve the affected hip. **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

 $T \, {\rm his}$ article was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Chinese PLA General Hospital.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article on the publisher's web-site:

Table S1. Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO)Classification System 2019 revised version.

Table S2. Japanese Investigation Committee (JIC) classification system.

References

1. Zhao DW, Yu M, Hu K, *et al.* Prevalence of nontraumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head and its associated risk factors in the Chinese population: results from a nationally representative survey. Chin Med J, 2015, 128: 2843–2850.

Tan B, Li W, Zeng P, *et al.* Epidemiological study based on China osteonecrosis of the femoral head database. Orthop Surg, 2021, 13: 153–160.
 Kim HK, Randall TS, Bian H, Jenkins J, Garces A, Bauss F. Ibandronate for

prevention of femoral head deformity after ischemic necrosis of the capital femoral epiphysis in immature pigs. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2005, 87: 550–557. **4.** Xia T, Wei W, Zhang C, Ji W, Shen J. Hip preservation experience of avascular necrosis of femoral head according to China-Japan friendship hospital elementing. *The The Structure* **19**: 1000-24110, 45

classification. Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi, 2020, 34: 10–15. 5. Fukushima W, Fujioka M, Kubo T, Tamakoshi A, Nagai M, Hirota Y. Nationwide epidemiologic survey of idiopathic osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2010, 468: 2715–2724.

6. Microsurgery Department of the Orthopedics Branch of the Chinese Medical Doctor Association; Group from the Osteonecrosis and Bone Defect Branch of the Chinese Association of Reparative and Reconstructive Surgery; Microsurgery and Reconstructive Surgery Group of the Orthopedics Branch of the Chinese Medical Association. Chinese guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head in adults. Orthop Surg, 2017, 9: 3–12.

 Zhao DW, Hu YC. Chinese experts' consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head in adults. Orthop Surg, 2012, 4: 125–130.
 Ando W, Sakai T, Fukushima W, et al. Japanese Orthopaedic Association 2019

9. Wang C, Wang X, Xu XL, et al. Bone microstructure and regional distribution of osteoblast and osteoclast activity in the osteonecrotic femoral head. PLoS One, 2014, 9: e96361.

10. Lai KA, Shen WJ, Yang CY, Shao CJ, Hsu JT, Lin RM. The use of alendronate to prevent early collapse of the femoral head in patients with nontraumatic osteonecrosis. A randomized clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2005, 87: 2155–2159.

11. Fan M, Jiang WX, Wang AY, et al. Comparisons of emu necrotic femoral head micro structure repaired in two different methods. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao, 2016, 38: 16–21.

12. Xu XL, Gou WL, WANG C, Peng J, *et al.* Prevention of femoral head collapse due to osteonecrosis in rats by local delivery of zoledronate via polylactic-co-glycolic acid. Orthop J China, 2015, 23: 844–849 (in Chinese).

13. Kang P, Pei F, Shen B, Zhou Z, Yang J. Are the results of multiple drilling and alendronate for osteonecrosis of the femoral head better than those of multiple drilling? A pilot study. Joint Bone Spine, 2012, 79: 67–72.

14. Sharma D, Ivanovski S, Slevin M, et al. Bisphosphonate-related

osteonecrosis of jaw (BRONJ): diagnostic criteria and possible

pathogenic mechanisms of an unexpected anti-angiogenic side effect. Vasc Cell, 2013, 5: 1.

15. Aya-ay J, Athavale S, Morgan-Bagley S, Bian H, Bauss F, Kim HK. Retention, distribution, and effects of intraosseously administered ibandronate in the infarcted femoral head. J Bone Miner Res, 2007, 22: 93–100.

16. Vandermeer JS, Kamiya N, Aya-ay J, Garces A, Browne R, Kim HK. Local administration of ibandronate and bone morphogenetic protein-2 after ischemic osteonecrosis of the immature femoral head: a combined therapy that stimulates bone formation and decreases femoral head deformity. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2011, 93: 905–913.

17. Ma JH, Guo WS, Li ZR, Wang BL. Local Administration of Bisphosphonatesoaked Hydroxyapatite for the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head in rabbit. Chin Med J, 2016, 129: 2559–2566.

18. Little DG, McDonald M, Sharpe IT, Peat R, Williams P, McEvoy T. Zoledronic acid improves femoral head sphericity in a rat model of perthes disease. J Orthop Res, 2005, 23: 862–868.

19. Hernigou P, Beaujean F, Lambotte JC. Decrease in the mesenchymal stemcell pool in the proximal femur in corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 1999, 81: 349–355.

Tabatabaee RM, Saberi S, Parvizi J, Mortazavi SM, Farzan M. Combining concentrated autologous bone marrow stem cells injection with Core decompression improves outcome for patients with early-stage concerns is of the femoral head: a comparative study. J Arthroplasty, 2015, 30: 11–15.
 Andriolo L, Merli G, Tobar C, Altamura SA, Kon E, Filardo G. Regenerative

 Andholo L, Meri G, Tobar C, Artanua SA, Kon E, Friado G. Regenerative therapies increase survivorship of avascular necrosis of the femoral head: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Orthop, 2018, 42: 1689–1704.
 Hu L, Wen Y, Xu J, *et al.* Pretreatment with bisphosphonate enhances Osteogenesis of bone marrow Mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Dev, 2017, 26: 123–132.

23. Yoon BH, Mont MA, Koo KH, *et al*. The 2019 revised version of association research circulation osseous staging system of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. J Arthroplasty, 2020, 35: 933–940.

Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 1969, 51: 737–755.
 Kuroda Y, Tanaka T, Miyagawa T, et al. Classification of osteonecrosis of the femoral head: who should have surgery. Bone Joint Res, 2019, 8: 451–458.
 Takashima K, Sakai T, Hamada H, Takao M, Sugano N. Which classification system is Most useful for classifying osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2018, 476: 1240–1249.

27. Lieberman JR, Engstrom SM, Meneghini RM, SooHoo NF. Which factors influence preservation of the osteonecrotic femoral head. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2012, 470: 525–534.

28. Nagoya S, Nagao M, Takada J, *et al.* Predictive factors for vascularized iliac bone graft for nontraumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head. J Orthop Sci, 2004, 9: 566–570.

29. Onodera S, Majima T, Abe Y, Ito H, Matsuno T, Minami A. Transtrochanteric rotational osteotomy for osteonecrosis of the femoral head: relation between radiographic features and secondary collapse. J Orthop Sci, 2005, 10: 367–373.

 Atsumi T, Kajiwara T, Hiranuma Y, Tamaoki S, Asakura Y. Posterior rotational osteotomy for nontraumatic osteonecrosis with extensive collapsed lesions in young patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2006, 88: 42–47.

31. Seyler TM, Marker DR, Ulrich SD, Fatscher T, Mont MA. Nonvascularized bone grafting defers joint arthroplasty in hip osteonecrosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2008, 466: 1125–1132.

32. Gianakos AL, Moya-Angeler J, Duggal S, et *al*. The efficacy of bisphosphonates with Core decompression and Mesenchymal stem cells compared with bisphosphonates alone in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the hip: a retrospective study. HSS J, 2016, 12: 137–144.

33. Jakobsen T, Baas J, Bechtold JE, Elmengaard B, Søballe K. The effect of soaking allograft in bisphosphonate: a pilot dose-response study. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2010, 468: 867–874.

34. Sørensen M, Barckman J, Bechtold JE, Søballe K, Baas J. Preclinical evaluation of zoledronate to maintain bone allograft and improve implant fixation in revision joint replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2013, 95: 1862–1868.
35. Cheng TL, Murphy CM, Cantrill LC, *et al.* Local delivery of recombinant human bone morphogenetic proteins and bisphosphonate via sucrose acetate isobutyrate can prevent femoral head collapse in Leggcalve-Perthes disease: a pilot study in pigs. Int Orthop, 2014, 38: 1527–1533.

36. Pozzi S, Vallet S, Mukherjee S, et *al.* High-dose zoledronic acid impacts bone remodeling with effects on osteoblastic lineage and bone mechanical properties. Clin Cancer Res, 2009, 15: 5829–5839.

37. Agarwala S, Jain D, Joshi VR, Sule A. Efficacy of alendronate, a bisphosphonate, in the treatment of AVN of the hip. A prospective open-label study. Rheumatology, 2005, 44: 352–359.

ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY VOLUME 13 • NUMBER 6 • AUGUST, 2021 ZOLEDRONATE FOR OSTEONECROSIS OF FEMORAL HEAD

38. Emad Y, Ragab Y, El-Shaarawy N, Rasker JJ. Transient osteoporosis of the bip, complete resolution after treatment with alendronate as observed by MRI description of eight cases and review of the literature. Clin Rheumatol, 2012, 31: 1641-1647.

39. Agarwala S, Vijayvargiya M. Bisphosphonate combination therapy for non-femoral avascular necrosis. J Orthop Surg Res, 2019, 14: 112.

40. Xu XL, Gou WL, Wang AY, *et al.* Basic research and clinical applications of bisphosphonates in bone disease: what have we learned over the last 40 years. J Transl Med, 2013, 11: 303.

41. Hernigou P, Beaujean F. Treatment of osteonecrosis with autologous bone marrow grafting. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2002, 405: 14–23.
42. Bellido T, Plotkin LI. Novel actions of bisphosphonates in bone: preservation of osteoblast and osteocyte viability. Bone, 2011, 49: 50–55.