

Research Article

The Impact of Root Temperature on Photosynthesis and Isoprene Emission in Three Different Plant Species

Mauro Medori,^{1,2} Lucia Michelini,^{1,3} Isabel Nogues,¹ Francesco Loreto,⁴ and Carlo Calfapietra¹

¹ Institute of Agro-Environmental & Forest Biology (IBAF), National Research Council (CNR), Via Salaria km 29,300, 00015 Monterotondo Scalo, Rome, Italy

² Department for Innovation in Biological, Agro-food and Forest systems (DIBAF), University of Tuscia,

- Via S. Camillo De Lellis snc, 01100 Viterbo, Italy
- ³ Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals, Environment (DAFNAE), University of Padova,
- Agripolis, Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro, Italy

⁴ Institute of Plant Protection (IPP), National Research Council (CNR), Via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Carlo Calfapietra, carlo.calfapietra@ibaf.cnr.it

Received 13 March 2012; Accepted 1 April 2012

Academic Editors: A. Bosabalidis and J. S. Carrion

Copyright © 2012 Mauro Medori et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Most of the perennial plant species, particularly trees, emit volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) such as isoprene and monoterpenes, which in several cases have been demonstrated to protect against thermal shock and more generally against oxidative stress. In this paper, we show the response of three strong isoprene emitter species, namely, *Phragmites australis, Populus x euramericana*, and *Salix phylicifolia* exposed to artificial or natural warming of the root system in different conditions. This aspect has not been investigated so far while it is well known that warming the air around a plant stimulates considerably isoprene emission, as also shown in this paper. In the green house experiments where the warming corresponded with high stress conditions, as confirmed by higher activities of the main antioxidant enzymes, we found that isoprene uncoupled from photosynthesis at a certain stage of the warming treatment and that even when photosynthesis approached to zero isoprene emission was still ongoing. In the field experiment, in a typical cold-limited environment, warming did not affect isoprene emission whereas it increased significantly CO₂ assimilation. Our findings suggest that the increase of isoprene could be a good marker of heat stress, whereas the decrease of isoprene a good marker of accelerated foliar senescence, two hypotheses that should be better investigated in the future.

1. Introduction

Isoprene is the most important biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) emitted by plants at terrestrial level. Isoprene emission accounts for about a third of total BVOC emission [1] and has well-known effects on plant biology and atmospheric chemistry. From the biological standpoint, isoprene emission allows plants to withstand higher temperatures and stronger oxidative pressure, probably because it strengthens membranes and quenches reactive oxidative species (ROS) [2]. In the atmosphere, isoprene may contribute to form ozone and particles, especially when reacting with anthropogenic pollutants [3]. Isoprene emission is controlled by environmental factors, mainly temperature and light availability [4]. Temperature has a dramatic impact on isoprene emission, which grows exponentially with temperature increase. This is mainly due to temperature-dependent activation of isoprene synthase [5] which, in the presence of adequate substrate availability, enhances synthesis of the volatile molecule. The Q₁₀ of isoprene is 2–4 for air temperatures ranging between 25 and 35° C [4, 6]. Therefore, isoprene emission is expected to increase dramatically because of global warming, especially in temperate and boreal areas [7]. However, global warming is caused by the accumulation in the atmosphere of greenhouse gases, principally CO_2 . CO_2 rise enhances photosynthesis but has a surprisingly negative effect on isoprene biosynthesis and emission [4, 8, 9]. This seems to be caused by inefficient competition of the isoprene biosynthetic pathway with respiratory processes for a common substrate, phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) [8, 10, 11]. The increase of atmospheric CO_2 may offset the temperature-driven stimulation of isoprene emission at global scale [12], but other models indicate that the impact of rising temperature might still lead to a higher isoprene load into the atmosphere, especially when some other indirect effects of rising CO_2 , such as the increase of biomass and leaf area density, are also considered [13].

Global warming will not only affect air temperature; it will also produce an increase of soil temperature which may have controversial consequences on soil ecosystems and soil organic matters. At the level of plant metabolism, the increase of temperature in the rhizosphere is expected to increase root respiration [14, 15]. However, the existence of acclimatory responses has been invoked to account for experimental observations about no or low respiratory increase under rising soil temperature [16].

The impact of rising root temperature on foliar emission of isoprene has, to our knowledge, never been studied to date. We hypothesize that increasing root temperature does not affect primarily isoprene emission at leaf level, unless heat propagates throughout the stem until leaf level. Such an indirect effect might be more easily observed in aquatic plants that have a developed aerenchyma to facilitate gas-exchange under anoxic conditions [17]. On the other hand, as rising temperature is expected to stimulate respiration of different organs of the plant, PEP may be preferentially allocated to respiration and isoprene emission may decrease because of substrate limitation. We carried out experiments to test the impact of rising root temperature on isoprene emission by three strong isoprene-emitting plants with laboratory and field experiments. A plant species that has large aerenchyma as adaptation mechanism to the anoxic conditions (Phrag*mites australis*) and two typical hygrophylic trees (*Populus x* euramericana and Salix phylicifolia) were used.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Treatment Conditions. Experiments with common reed (*Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud) and hybrid poplar (*Populus x euramericana*) were carried out in a greenhouse of National Research Council (CNR) research area in Montelibretti (Rome) in 2009 and 2010, respectively. Inside the greenhouse, the air temperature was set at 25°C and the relative humidity at $60 \pm 10\%$ throughout the experiment. The mean photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) during measurements was ranging between 800 and 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. Light was not supplied artificially and thus varied depending on meteorological conditions. Experiments were carried out during spring and summer, with daylight > 12 h over the entire period.

Rhizomes (each one having 3 buds) of *Phragmites australis* were grown in four pots containing each 10 L of tap water and agriperlite substrate until root and stem emission. The rate water/agriperlite was 4/1. In each pot, six individual rhizomes were placed. The water was oxygenated pumping air at a rate of 5 L min⁻¹ into the pots. After leaf emersion, a 1/4 strength Hoagland's nutrient solution [18] was supplemented to tap water. The nutrient solution was subsequently made more concentrated to nourish a rapidly increasing biomass. At the end of this 45 d-long preliminary phase (i.e., at day 0 of true experiment), a full-strength Hoagland's nutrient solution, pH 7.6, was used. Two groups were then formed; two pots (hereafter named control treatment) were grown for other two months maintaining the hydroponic solution at 25°C, while the other two pots (warming treatment) were grown with the root system into water at a constant temperature of 40°C. The solution temperature was increased circulating the water into a heated water bath before reaching the pots. The temperature of the solution inside the pots was monitored continuously with a thermometer.

Shoot cuttings of similar size of hybrid poplar (*Populus x euramericana*) were also grown using a hydroponic system in 4 pots containing each 10 L of Hoagland's nutrient solution. In each pot, 8 cuttings were placed which were immediately exposed to control or warmed water. In particular, two pots were maintained at 27° C (control treatment), while in the other two pots, the temperature of the solution was increased to 38° C (warming treatment) by circulating the water into a heated water bath before reaching the pot.

As for common reed, in each pot, air was insufflated at a rate of 5 Lmin^{-1} to prevent anoxia of the root system.

Finally, field-grown *Salix phylicifolia* plants naturally growing in a hot spring situated in Hveragerdi Thermal Park (Iceland) were measured. During the field experiment, the mean air temperature was 10°C and maximum photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) ranged between 500 and 1200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. Five plants growing inside the hot spring, whose roots were submerged by water at a temperature of 54°C, were compared with four plants growing nearby the park with an average temperature of the pond of 18°C during the measurements (June 2010).

2.2. Gas Exchange Measurements. In the laboratory experiments with Phragmites australis, gas exchange measurements were carried out starting on day 9 from the beginning of the warming treatment (i.e., when the first mature leaves were available) and subsequently 20, 27, and 34 days after starting the warming treatment. In the laboratory experiment with Populus x euramericana, five measurements were carried out between the end of May and August, covering a 72-d long period starting on day 30 (i.e., when the first mature leaves were available) and subsequently 38, 45, 75, and 102 days after the beginning of the warming treatment. In the field experiment with Salix phylicifolia, only measurements were carried out in one day, on plants permanently grown under different root temperature regimes. In all cases, only mature, fully expanded leaves in which isoprene emission is expected to be maximal [19] were selected for measurements. Measurements were replicated in four different plants of common reed and willow, and in five plants of poplar. One leaf per plant was measured.

The leaf was clamped in a 6 cm² gas exchange cuvette and exposed to a 500 mL min⁻¹ flow of synthetic air made by mixing 80% N₂, 20% O₂, and 400 ppm CO₂. The cylinders of the three gases were of pure grade and the synthetic air did not contain trace gases, mainly hydrocarbons, that could have disturbed the measurement of isoprene. A portable infrared gas analyzer (LI-6400; Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) was used to determine CO2 and H2O exchange (photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration, and intercellular CO_2 concentration) and to control the environmental factors. Measurements were carried out under a PPFD of $1000 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, at a leaf temperature of 30°C, and at a relative humidity between 50 and 60%. These are the conditions at which basal emission of isoprene is generally recorded [20]. In phragmites leaves, the measurements were repeated changing the air temperature from 20 to 35°C. To collect isoprene emission, the outlet of the leaf cuvette was connected to a tube filled with 200 mg Tenax. A pump was used to draw through the tube 5 L of the air flowing over the leaf inside the cuvette, at a rate of 200 mL min⁻¹. Trapped compounds were immediately thermally desorbed at 275°C for 10 min and cryofocused in a cold trap for 3 minutes at -10°C. The cold trap was then flash heated at 300°C and the isoprene was injected trough a transfer line in a MS-5HP column with a internal diameter (id) of 0.25 mm (J&W Scientific USA, Agilent Technologies). The column temperature was held first at 40°C for 1 min, increasing to 210°C at a rate of 5°C/min and rising to a final temperature of 250°C at a rate of 20°C/min. The carrier gas was helium with constant pressure. The samples were analyzed by Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GCMS-MSD 5975C, Agilent). Isoprene emission was measured by comparing sample peak with peaks from a gaseous standard (100 ppb, RIVOIRA SPA, Chivasso, TO, Italy).

2.3. Biochemical Analyses. Biochemical analyses were carried out on *Populus x euramericana* samples, comparing control and warming samples (12 replicates each) after 50 days of treatment. Samples were cut and immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen. Reduced ascorbate (ASC), dehydroascorbate (DHA), as well as total and oxidized glutathione (GSSG), and the activity of the antioxidant enzyme catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and glutathione reductase (GR) were measured.

For preparing the leaf extracts used in the determination of ASC, DHA, total glutathione, and GSSG, the leaf tissue (about 100 mg fresh weight) was dissolved in 1.5 mL 3% perchloric acid and the mixture was centrifuged (5000 rpm, for 20 min) at 4°C. The pH was adjusted to 7 by adding 300–400 μ L of a sodium carbonate solution. ASC/DHA content was determined using the spectrophotometer method described previously [21]. For ASC, initial absorbance of a 50 μ L aliquot of extract was measured at 265 nm in 100 mM K-phosphate buffer (pH 6.1), then measured again 1 min after the addition of ascorbate oxidase (1 U mL⁻¹). DHA content was determined in another 50 μ L aliquot. Initial absorbance was recorded as for ASC, and then the sample was measured again following the addition of 2 mM DL-dithiothreitol (DTT). An extinction coefficient of 14 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for ASC at 265 nm was used in calculations [22]. Total glutathione was determined enzymatically. The reaction mixture contained: 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTNB, 0.5 mM NADPH, and 0.05 mL of leaf extract solution. After equilibration for 3 minutes at 25°C, the reaction was started by adding 2 units of glutathione reductase. The formation of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid was continuously recorded at 412 nm with a UV-vis spectrophotometer [23]. The total amount of glutathione in the samples was determined from a standard curve obtained by plotting the known amount of GSH versus the rate of change of absorbance at 412 nm. Samples for GSSG determination were incubated at room temperature with $20\,\mu\text{L}$ of 4-vinyl pyridine per $1000\,\mu\text{L}$ sample for 1 h. Incubation with 4-vinyl pyridine conjugates any GSH present in the sample so that only GSSG is recycled to GSH without interference by GSH.

For the measurements of enzyme activities leaf tissues were homogenized with 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.8 in a prechilled mortar. The homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 5000 rpm. Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity was determined spectrophotometrically by a decrease in absorbance of ASC at 265 nm ($\varepsilon = 14 \text{ mM cm}^{-1}$) [22]. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7, 5 mM ascorbic acid, 0.5 mM H₂O₂, and enzyme extract. Addition of H₂O₂ started the reaction. Activity was expressed as μ mol ASC min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹. CAT activity was determined by consumption of H_2O_2 [24]. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7, 15 mM H_2O_2 , and 20 μ L of enzyme extract. The consumption of H₂O₂ was monitored spectrophotometrically at 240 nm ($\varepsilon = 0.0435 \text{ mM cm}^{-1}$). The activity was expressed as μ mol H₂O₂ min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. Gluthatione reductase (GR) was assayed by monitoring the glutathione-dependent oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm [23]. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM NADPH, and $50 \mu \text{L}$ of enzyme extract. Reaction was initiated by adding 0.1 mL of 100 mM GSSG (oxidised glutathione). Protein concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 [25]. All assays were performed at 25°C.

2.4. Statistical Analyses. Differences between control and warming treatment were compared with *t*-test. All analyses were conducted with SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software, Inc.). Differences were considered significant at level $*P \le 0.05$, $**P \le 0.01$, $***P \le 0.001$.

3. Results

3.1. Phragmites australis. Net Photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance (gs) of plants in the warming treatment was higher than in control plants in the first part of the experiment (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). However, due to the low number of replicates differences were not statistically



FIGURE 1: Time course of (a) CO_2 Assimilation (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (b) Stomatal conductance (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (c) Isoprene emission (nmol m⁻² s⁻¹), (d) percentage of assimilated carbon lost as isoprene (%), ±S.E. for *Phragmites australis* grown in a hydroponic system with water at different temperatures at 9, 14, 21, and 27 days after start of treatment. Measurements started after 9 days from the beginning of the warming treatment, that is, as soon as the first mature leaves were available.

significant. In control plants, A increased throughout the experiment up to a value around $18 \,\mu \text{mol} \,\text{m}^{-2} \,\text{s}^{-1}$, while in the warming treatment, A and gs remained quite constant throughout the experiment. Isoprene emission was not different between the two treatments at the beginning of the experimental period (Figure 1(c)) and dropped in both treatments after 20 days. The percent of photosynthetic carbon reemitted as isoprene was higher in control than in the warming treatment at the beginning of the experiment, but then dropped in both cases to a similarly low value by the end of the experiment (Figure 1(d)).

Figure 2 shows that increasing the air temperature inside the cuvette from 20°C to 35°C induced a stimulation in isoprene emission. This stimulation was significantly higher in the warming treatment than in control plants, in fact at the highest temperature (35°C), isoprene emitted by plants of the warming treatment was more than double than in control plants.

3.2. Populus x euramericana. Gas exchange measurements on *Populus x euramericana* were taken starting 30 d after the beginning of the warming treatment. After the initial date of measurement, comparable A, gs, and isoprene emission were found between the warming and the control treatments (Figure 3). Subsequent measurements showed a strongly negative effect of the warming treatment on A and gs. A transient inhibition of A and gs also occurred in control plants 75 d after treatment, but in the warming treatment the



FIGURE 2: Relationship between isoprene emission rate and leaf temperature, \pm S.E of *Phragmites australis* grown in a hydroponic system with water at different temperatures. * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$.

effect was stronger, leading to the death of all plants in August. Isoprene emission also decreased during the warming treatment, whereas in control plants, the emission of isoprene after 75 d from the beginning of the treatment was as high as at the beginning of the experiment. Because of the resilience of isoprene emission, a much larger amount of photosynthetic carbon was allocated into isoprene by the end of the experiment, in control leaves.

The warming treatment also caused a general increase in the antioxidant enzymes (statistically significant in APX and GR, Figure 4) and in the antioxidant metabolites (Table 1) of poplar leaves, as assessed after 50 d of experiment. In particular, the warming treatment increased the total ASC pool by 25% and the total GSH pool by 60%. The increase of the ratio ASC/DHA in plants exposed to the warming treatment was particularly significant when assessed statistically (P < 0.0001), reflecting also a decrease of DHA pool in these plants.

3.3. Salix phylicifolia. Photosynthesis and gs of Salix phylicifolia plants that were grown close to a natural hot spring under a naturally warmer root environment were higher than in plants growing at lower root temperature (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). However, the difference was not statistically significant for gs (P = 0.10).

The emission of isoprene was not affected by the warming treatment (Figure 5(c)). Consequently, the amount of photosynthetic carbon that was lost as isoprene was lower in the warming treatment than in control plants (Figure 5(d)).

4. Discussion

It is well known that isoprene emission is regulated by several environmental variables and that temperature is probably the

TABLE 1: Contents of the ascorbate and glutathione related to the fresh weight: reduced ascorbate (ASC), oxidised ascorbate (DHA), total ascorbate (all expressed as μ mol g⁻¹ f.w.), and ascorbate ratio (ASC/DHA); reduced glutathione (GSH), oxidised glutathione (GSSG), total glutathione (all expressed as μ mol g⁻¹ f.w.), and glutathione ratio (GSH/GSSG) in poplar leaves under control and warming treatments. Mean ± SE is shown. Differences between means of control and treated leaves are shown with the *P* value. Significant value is with *P* < 0.05. The activities of antioxidant enzymes were related to the leaf protein content whereas the ascorbate and glutathione contents were related to the fresh weight.

	Control	Warming	P value
ASC	7.55 ± 0.38	10.01 ± 0.65	0.004
DHA	2.82 ± 0.43	2.76 ± 0.19	0.678
Total ASC	10.36 ± 0.57	12.76 ± 0.68	0.0145
ASC/DHA Ratio	2.68 ± 0.16	3.63 ± 0.09	0.0001
GSH	0.11 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.05	0.0823
GSSG	0.18 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.02	0.011
Total GSH	0.29 ± 0.02	0.47 ± 0.04	0.002
GSH/GSSG Ratio	0.64 ± 0.28	0.86 ± 0.24	0.5452

most important [4]. However, in most studies, this has been observed under changing air conditions, while the effect of changing temperature in soil or soil water has never been investigated.

Isoprene emission rates of *P. australis* were similarly affected over the experimental period in control and in the warming treatment. The slight increase at the beginning of the experiment and the following sharp decrease of isoprene emission, therefore, was not caused by root warming. The decrease of isoprene emission was not associated to inhibition of A. In fact, A remained steady in the warming treatment and even remarkably increased in control plants. Despite isoprene biosynthesis being prevalently from direct shunting of photosynthetic metabolites [2], it is not rare that isoprene and A become uncoupled because of environmental changes such as under super or sub-ambient CO_2 [4, 11] or high temperatures [26–28].

Our data clearly indicate that altering the root temperature can dramatically change the dependence of isoprene emission on air temperature (Figure 2). The emission of isoprene is very sensitive to the temperature [29–32]. Similarly, our results indicate an upregulation of the capacity to produce and emit isoprene in response to higher temperature of the root environment.

Our experimental system allowed us to control the air temperature of the leaf inside the cuvette but we do not know whether the warming of the root system also increased the temperature of the aboveground biomass. This could be expected especially in a species with large aerenchyma like *P. australis.* In any case, it might be hypothesized that the increased temperature dependency of isoprene emission by leaves exposed to root warming indicate a general adaptation mechanism that helps plants coping with high temperatures. Indeed, it has been shown that isoprene can improve



FIGURE 3: Time course of (a) CO₂ Assimilation (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (b) Stomatal conductance (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (c) Isoprene emission (nmol m⁻² s⁻¹), (d) percentage of assimilated carbon lost as isoprene (%), ±S.E. for *Populus x euramericana* grown in a hydroponic system with water at different temperatures at 30, 38, 45, 75, and 102 days after start of treatment. * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$. Measurements started after 30 days from the beginning of the warming treatment, that is, as soon as the first mature leaves were available.

the thermotolerance at temperatures significantly higher than 40°C especially in plants growing in environments characterized by frequent temperature changes [33]. Isoprene probably plays a double role, stabilizing membranes and scavenging reactive oxygen species within the leaves [2]. In particular, when coping with heat stress, isoprene stabilizes thylakoid membranes improving the functionality of the photosynthetic complexes thereby embedded [26, 34, 35].

The differences between treatments showed in Figure 2 were not evidenced in Figure 1(c), probably because in the first case temperature curves were performed at a different development stage of plants or because we manipulated the air temperature around the leaf in the first case while just the water temperature and thus the root temperature in the second case.

On *P. x euramericana* plants, a 10°C increase of the water temperature in the root system reduced photosynthesis and stomatal conductance on average by 40%. This is a totally different effect than observed in the aquatic species P. australis. However, the effect was again noticed also in control plants, though at a later stage. Plants often show an onset of a premature senescence in response to warming stress [36]. The increase in antioxidant enzyme activities that we observed suggests that stressed plants had an effective system for detoxifying active oxygen species. On the other hand, the warming treatment may have affected the normal ontogeny of leaves, accelerating leaf development. On the basis of our results, it can be suggested that severe warming stress is responsible for premature senescence as indicated by the increase of enzyme activities (Figure 4) and has caused irreversible inhibition of photosynthesis (Figure 3). Significant increases in the activities of APX during the late stage of leaf development have been already described in sweetpotato leaves [37]. In our case, the increase in APX and



FIGURE 4: Activities of antioxidant enzymes related to the leaf protein content: (a) ascorbate peroxidase, (b) glutathione reductase, and (c) catalase (all expressed as μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein) in poplar control leaves and in leaves exposed to "warming." Mean ± SE is shown for *Populus x euramericana* grown in a hydroponic system with water at different temperatures at 50 days after start of treatment. Differences between means of control and "warming"—treated leaves are shown. * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$.

CAT activities may be also related to senescence. This would be in agreement with the decrease in photosynthesis typical of leaf senescence and that can be observed in hot water treated plants until July.

Elevated root temperature could have simply anticipated a possibly age-related limitation of photosynthesis, simply by insufficient carbon acquisition through stomatal closure, or because of rapid decay of Rubisco properties. However, whereas all plants grown in hot water died 75 d after starting the treatments, control plants were able to survive along the experimental period and in fact recovered the original rates of A and gs by the end of treatment. Interestingly, recovery of photosynthetic properties was preceded by a significant increase of isoprene emission in control leaves, perhaps indicating the activation of molecular mechanisms related to general defense against environmental stress, or more likely scavenging reactive oxygen and nitrogen species [38, 39].

Hartikainen et al. [40] showed that isoprene emission tended to drop under elevated temperature in one genotype of aspen whereas the second genotype was able to sustain higher isoprene level under warming treatment. In other studies, isoprene was inhibited by very high temperature, but under the recovery period, both isoprene emission and photosynthesis turned back to control values [28, 41]. This was assumed to indicate denaturation of isoprene synthase [41], but Loreto et al. [28] challenged this view, suggesting instead that isoprene could be temporarily inhibited by substrate limitation. Since the reduction of isoprene emission was associated with photosynthesis inhibition on both control and warming treatment during the first days of the experiments, photosynthesis limitations may be a more likely explanation for the temporary reduction of isoprene. It is known that alternative sources of carbon can be activated for isoprene biosynthesis under stress conditions that make photosynthesis an inefficient source [42-44]. The activation of these sources might have occurred under the warming treatment, therefore, explaining the burst of isoprene 75 d after this treatment.

From the data collected in Iceland, it is evident that *Salix phylicifolia* plants grown close to the hot springs are well adapted to the extreme conditions represented by high temperature. In fact, the proximity to the hot springs seems to have produced a benefit in terms of higher assimilation rates. This might be due to both warmer temperature, in an environment typically limited by low temperatures, and



FIGURE 5: Time course of (a) CO₂ Assimilation (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (b) Stomatal conductance (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (c) Isoprene emission (nmol m⁻² s⁻¹), (d) percentage of assimilated carbon lost as isoprene (%), ± S.E. for *Salix phylicifolia* grown close to the hot-spring (warming) and far away the hot water (control). * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$.

by possible higher levels of CO_2 . We might hypothesize the steam was rich not only of water vapor but also of CO_2 which might have caused a stimulation of CO_2 uptake. Our results agree with McLeod et al. [45], who also showed higher values both for photosynthesis rates and stomatal conductance for *Salix nigra* plants treated with 40°C hot water.

It is interesting to remark that isoprene emission was not stimulated by the proximity with the hot spring.

This uncoupling between isoprene emission and photosynthesis may be due to long-term acclimation of isoprene biosynthesis to growth conditions, or to the absence of stress conditions that would stimulate isoprene biosynthesis [39]. However, it cannot be excluded that a more robust experimental dataset would reveal that a slight increase of isoprene emission also occurs under this condition.

In conclusion, our experiments reveal that root warming does not lead to unequivocal changes of isoprene emissions, and of photosynthetic parameters. In the three scrutinized cases, we found that isoprene uncoupled from photosynthesis at a certain stage of the warming treatment. We take the increase of isoprene as a marker of heat stress, and the decrease of isoprene as a marker of accelerated foliar senescence, two hypotheses that should be more accurately tested with the help of biochemical and genetic markers in the future.

Acknowledgments

The experiments were carried out under the framework of the "EC-Programme "CAREX," A European platform for research on life in extreme environments" who also funded the field campaign in Iceland. The authors thank Marco Giorgetti and Giuseppe Santarelli for technical assistance in establishing the warming-water experiment in the greenhouse as well as Nicolas Walter and Viggo Th. Marteinsson for the organization and the logistics of the field campaign in Iceland.

References

[1] A. Guenther, T. Karl, P. Harley, C. Wiedinmyer, P. I. Palmer, and C. Geron, "Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature)," *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 3181–3210, 2006.

- [2] F. Loreto and J. P. Schnitzler, "Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs," *Trends in Plant Science*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 154–166, 2010.
- [3] R. Atkinson and J. Arey, "Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of biogenic volatile organic compounds: a review," *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. S197–S219, 2003.
- [4] F. Loreto and T. D. Sharkey, "A gas-exchange study of photosynthesis and isoprene emission in *Quercus rubra* L.," *Planta*, vol. 182, no. 4, pp. 523–531, 1990.
- [5] D. J. Monson, G. G. Mateer, and F. R. Menter, "Boundary-layer transition and global skin friction measurement with an oilfringe imaging technique," SAE paper 932550, 1993.
- [6] A. Guenther, J. Greenberg, P. Harley et al., "Leaf, branch, stand and landscape scale measurements of volatile organic compound fluxes from U.S. woodlands," *Tree Physiology*, vol. 16, no. 1-2, pp. 17–24, 1996.
- [7] H. Liao, W. T. Chen, and J. H. Seinfeld, "Role of climate change in global predictions of future tropospheric ozone and aerosols," *Journal of Geophysical Research D*, vol. 111, no. 12, Article ID D12304, 2006.
- [8] T. N. Rosenstiel, M. J. Potosnak, K. L. Griffin, R. Fall, and R. K. Monson, "Increased CO₂ uncouples growth from isoprene emission in an agriforest ecosystem," *Nature*, vol. 421, no. 6920, pp. 256–259, 2003.
- [9] C. Calfapietra, G. Scarascia Mugnozza, D. F. Karnosky, F. Loreto, and T. D. Sharkey, "Isoprene emission rates under elevated CO_2 and O_3 in two field-grown aspen clones differing in their sensitivity to O_3 ," *New Phytologist*, vol. 179, no. 1, pp. 55–61, 2008.
- [10] F. Loreto, M. Centritto, C. Barta, C. Calfapietra, S. Fares, and R. K. Monson, "The relationship between isoprene emission rate and dark respiration rate in white poplar (*Populus alba* L.) leaves," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 662– 669, 2007.
- [11] M. Possell and C. N. Hewitt, "Isoprene emissions from plants are mediated by atmospheric CO₂ concentrations," *Global Change Biology*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 1595–1610, 2011.
- [12] A. Arneth, U. Niinemets, S. Pressley et al., "Process-based estimates of terrestrial ecosystem isoprene emissions: incorporating the effects of a direct CO₂-isoprene interaction," *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 31–53, 2007.
- [13] C. L. Heald, M. J. Wilkinson, R. K. Monson, C. A. Alo, G. Wang, and A. Guenther, "Response of isoprene emission to ambient CO₂ changes and implications for global budgets," *Global Change Biology*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1127–1140, 2009.
- [14] J. A. Palta and P. S. Nobel, "Root respiration for Agave deserti: influence of temperature, water status and root age on daily patterns," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 181–186, 1989.
- [15] R. D. Boone, K. J. Nadelhoffer, J. D. Canary, and J. P. Kaye, "Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration," *Nature*, vol. 396, no. 6711, pp. 570–572, 1998.
- [16] O. K. Atkin, C. Holly, and M. C. Ball, "Acclimation of snow gum (*Eucalyptus pauciflora*) leaf respiration to seasonal and diurnal variations in temperature: the importance of changes in the capacity and temperature sensitivity of respiration," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 15–26, 2000.
- [17] H. Brix, B. K. Sorrell, and H. H. Schierup, "Gas fluxes achieved by in situ convective flow in *Phragmites australis*," *Aquatic Botany*, vol. 54, no. 2-3, pp. 151–163, 1996.
- [18] D. I. Arnon and D. R. Hoagland, "Crop production in artificial culture solutions and in soils with special reference to factors

influencing yields and absorption of inorganic nutrients," *Soil Science*, vol. 50, pp. 463–483, 1940.

- [19] A. E. Wiberley, A. R. Linskey, T. G. Falbel, and T. D. Sharkey, "Development of the capacity for isoprene emission in kudzu," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 898–905, 2005.
- [20] A. B. Guenther, P. R. Zimmerman, P. C. Harley, R. K. Monson, and R. Fall, "Isoprene and monoterpene emission rate variability: model evaluations and sensitivity analyses," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 98, no. 7, pp. 12609–12617, 1993.
- [21] U. Takahama and T. Oniki, "Regulation of peroxidasedependent oxidation of phenolics in the apoplast of spinach leaves by ascorbate," *Plant and Cell Physiology*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 379–387, 1992.
- [22] Y. Nakano and K. Asada, "Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts," *Plant* and Cell Physiology, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 867–880, 1981.
- [23] F. Tietze, "Enzymic method for quantitative determination of nanogram amounts of total and oxidized glutathione: applications to mammalian blood and other tissues," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 502–522, 1969.
- [24] R. S. Dhindsa, P. Plumb-dhindsa, and T. A. Thorpe, "Leaf senescence: correlated with increased levels of membrane permeability and lipid peroxidation, and decreased levels of superoxide dismutase and catalase," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 93–101, 1981.
- [25] M. M. Bradford, "A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.
- [26] E. L. Singsaas, M. Lerdau, K. Winter, and T. D. Sharkey, "Isoprene increases thermotolerance of isoprene-emitting species," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 1413–1420, 1997.
- [27] V. Velikova and F. Loreto, "On the relationship between isoprene emission and thermotolerance in *Phragmites australis* leaves exposed to high temperatures and during the recovery from a heat stress," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 318–327, 2005.
- [28] F. Loreto, C. Barta, F. Brilli, and I. Nogues, "On the induction of volatile organic compound emissions by plants as consequence of wounding or fluctuations of light and temperature," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1820–1828, 2006.
- [29] A. B. Guenther, R. K. Monson, and R. R. Fall, "Isoprene and monoterpene emission rate variability: observations with eucalyptus and emission rate algorithm development," *Journal* of *Geophysical Research*, vol. 96, no. D6, pp. 10799–10808, 1991.
- [30] R. K. Monson and R. R. Fall, "Isoprene emission from aspen leaves–influence of environment and relation to photosynthesis and photorespiration," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 267–274, 1989.
- [31] T. D. Sharkey and F. Loreto, "Water stress, temperature, and light effects on the capacity for isoprene emission and photosynthesis of kudzu leaves," *Oecologia*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 328– 333, 1993.
- [32] I. Filella, M. J. Wilkinson, J. Llusià, C. N. Hewitt, and J. Peñuelas, "Volatile organic compounds emissions in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) in response to temperature changes," *Physiologia Plantarum*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 58–66, 2007.
- [33] T. D. Sharkey, X. Chen, and S. Yeh, "Isoprene increases thermotolerance of fosmidomycin-fed leaves," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 125, no. 4, pp. 2001–2006, 2001.
- [34] M. E. Siwko, S. J. Marrink, A. H. de Vries, A. Kozubek, A. J. M. Schoot Uiterkamp, and A. E. Mark, "Does isoprene protect

plant membranes from thermal shock? A molecular dynamics study," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1768, no. 2, pp. 198–206, 2007.

- [35] V. Velikova, Z. Várkonyi, M. Szabó et al., "Increased thermostability of thylakoid membranes in isoprene-emitting leaves probed with three biophysical techniques," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 157, no. 2, pp. 905–916, 2011.
- [36] Z. Z. Xu and G. S. Zhou, "Combined effects of water stress and high temperature on photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and lipid peroxidation of a perennial grass *Leymus chinensis*," *Planta*, vol. 224, no. 5, pp. 1080–1090, 2006.
- [37] Y. H. Kim, C. Y. Kim, H. S. Lee, and S. S. Kwak, "Changes in activities of antioxidant enzymes and their gene expression during leaf development of sweetpotato," *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 235–241, 2009.
- [38] F. Loreto, M. Mannozzi, C. Maris, P. Nascetti, F. Ferranti, and S. Pasqualini, "Ozone quenching properties of isoprene and its antioxidant role in leaves," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 993–1000, 2001.
- [39] C. E. Vickers, J. Gershenzon, M. T. Lerdau, and F. Loreto, "A unified mechanism of action for volatile isoprenoids in plant abiotic stress," *Nature Chemical Biology*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 283– 291, 2009.
- [40] K. Hartikainen, A. M. Nerg, M. Kivimenp et al., "Emissions of volatile organic compounds and leaf structural characteristics of European aspen (*Populus tremula*) grown under elevated ozone and temperature," *Tree Physiology*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1163–1173, 2009.
- [41] E. L. Singsaas and T. D. Sharkey, "The effects of high temperature on isoprene synthesis in oak leaves," *Plant, Cell* and Environment, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 751–757, 2000.
- [42] J. L. Funk, J. E. Mak, and M. T. Lerdau, "Stress-induced changes in carbon sources for isoprene production in *Populus deltoides*," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 747– 755, 2004.
- [43] F. Brilli, C. Barta, A. Fortunati, M. Lerdau, F. Loreto, and M. Centritto, "Response of isoprene emission and carbon metabolism to drought in white poplar (*Populus alba*) saplings," *New Phytologist*, vol. 175, no. 2, pp. 244–254, 2007.
- [44] T. D. Sharkey, F. Loreto, and C. F. Delwiche, "The biochemistry of isoprene emission from leaves during photosynthesis," in *Trace Gas Emissions from Plants*, T. D. Sharkey, E. A. Holland, and H. A. Mooney,, Eds., pp. 153–184, Academic Press, 1991.
- [45] K. W. McLeod, L. A. Donovan, N. J. Stumpff, and K. C. Sherrod, "Biomass, photosynthesis and water use efficiency of woody swamp species subjected to flooding and elevated water temperature," *Tree Physiology*, vol. 2, no. 1-2-3, pp. 341–346, 1986.