

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of three classification methods of antral follicle count and fertility to the timed artificial insemination in cattle

Fabio Morotti¹ 💿, Suellen Miguez-Gonzalez¹ 💿, Marcela Bortoletto Cerezetti¹ 💿, Marcelo Marcondes Seneda^{1,2}* 💿

¹Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina, PR, Brasil

²Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia para Cadeia Produtiva do Leite, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina, PR, Brasil

How to cite: Morotti F, Miguez-Gonzalez S, Cerezetti MB, Seneda MM. Evaluation of three classification methods of antral follicle count and fertility to the timed artificial insemination in cattle. Anim Reprod. 2022;19(1):e20210121. https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-3143-AR2021-0121

Abstract

The controversial data about antral follicle count (AFC) may be partially explained by the different criteria used to determine what is high, intermediate and low AFC. This study evaluated different classification methods for AFC groups, relating them to the conception rate, dominant follicle size and body condition score (BCS) in cows submitted to timed artificial insemination (TAI). Nelore cows (Bos indicus; n = 935), received a reproductive program consisting of TAI and natural breeding. Conception rate, BCS and dominant follicle size during TAI were evaluated by three AFC methodologies: i) mean and standard deviation: low (\leq 15 follicles); intermediate (\geq 16 to \leq 44 follicles) or high (\geq 45 follicles); ii) quartiles: low (\leq 15 follicles); intermediate (\geq 16 to \leq 39 follicles), or high (\geq 40 follicles); and iii) AFC score: I (low; \leq 15 follicles); II (intermediate; \geq 16 to \leq 30 follicles); III (high; \geq 31 to \leq 44 follicles) or IV (very high; \geq 45 follicles). Data were analyzed by a GLIMMIX and Tukey test or binary logistic regression model (P \leq 0.05). The conception rate to TAI was influenced (P < 0.05) by AFC in the three methods classification, being the highest conception rate observed in the low AFC group regardless of method utilized: Mean (low 61.73%^a, intermediate 54.02%^{ab} and high 49.48%^b), Quartiles (low 61.73%^a, intermediate 53.59%^{ab} and 51.46%^b) and Score (I 61.73%^a, II 54.80%^{ab}, III 53.23%^{ab} and IV 49.48%^b). There were variations (P < 0.05) in the conception rate within the 2.50 to 2.75 BCS range for all AFC classification methods, with the low AFC females presenting the best results, regardless of the method used. Also, females with low AFC showed larger (P < 0.05) diameters of dominant follicles at the TAI regardless of method. The different methodologies used (Mean, Quartile and Score) to AFC classification showed a consistency between the main findings, and we believe that this standardization will facilitate the interpretation of data involving AFC.

Keywords: antral follicle, cattle, insemination, fertility.

Introduction

The antral follicle count (AFC) has been associated with several parameters linked to fertility in cattle, such as anti-Mullerian hormone dosage (Ireland et al., 2008), progesterone concentration and endometrial thickness (Jimenez-Krassel et al., 2009), oocyte quality (Ireland et al., 2009), superovulation response (Ireland et al., 2007; Silva-Santos et al., 2014), reproductive performance to timed artificial insemination (TAI) (Morotti et al., 2018; Moraes et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2020) and *in vitro* embryo production (IVEP) (Silva-Santos et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2016), pregnancy rate and productive and reproductive longevity (Mossa et al., 2012; Ribeiro et al., 2014; Jimenez-Krassel et al., 2017).

*Corresponding author: marcelo.seneda@uel.br

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.



Copyright © The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: November 26, 2021. Accepted: March 23, 2022.

Financial support: The funding for this research was received from National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq; grant numbers #403862/2016-7 and #308460/2018-9).

Studies have shown that females with high AFC (\geq 25 follicles) result in better reproductive performance when submitted to reproductive programs involving artificial insemination (Evans et al., 2012; Mossa et al., 2012; Martinez et al., 2016) and *in vivo* and *in vitro* embryo production both *Bos taurus* (Ireland et al., 2007, 2008, 2011; Jimenez-Krassel et al., 2009; Silva-Santos et al., 2014) and *Bos indicus* cattle (Santos et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2020). However, this reproductive characteristic is still poorly understood and has not been a consensus in the main results among studies (Ireland et al., 2011; Evans et al., 2012; Morotti et al., 2015, 2017). For example, it has been reported that cows with high AFC exhibited better pregnancy rates (Evans et al., 2012; Mossa et al., 2012), but on the other hand studies showed that low AFC resulted in a larger diameter of the ovulatory follicles both *Bos indicus* (Morotti et al., 2018; Lima et al., 2020) and in *Bos taurus* cattle (Bonato et al., 2022), in addition to a higher conception rate (Jimenez-Krassel et al., 2017; Morotti et al., 2018; Moraes et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2020).

Despite several researches, there are still many controversies about the population of antral follicles regardless of the bovine subspecies. Most of the doubts about this topic could be clarified using a standardized methodology regarding the criteria that establish the number of antral follicles for the low, intermediate and high AFC groups in the herd. Some studies established a cutoff without specifically detailing criteria for classification of antral follicle categories (Ireland et al., 2008, 2009; Cushman et al., 2009; Mossa et al., 2012; Jimenez-Krassel et al., 2015, 2017). In some studies, the AFC groups were classified based on the general mean and standard deviation of number of antral follicles from all females evaluated (Santos et al., 2016; Morotti et al., 2018). Another methodology defined the AFC groups by calculating the quartiles of general population of females evaluated, with the low count being defined as AFC \leq in the 1st quartile and high count being defined as AFC \geq in the 3rd quartile (Droher et al., 2017; Moraes et al., 2019).

A joint analysis of the main classification methods of the AFC groups would allow an overall assessment of the main findings to identify whether there are important differences in interpretation among the methods. In addition, it would be strategic to suggest a simpler and more standardized way of defining the AFC classifications in a score as it has been used to assess the body condition score (Lowman et al., 1976; Machado et al., 2008; Pfeifer et al., 2017) or to assess reproductive tract in heifers (Andersen et al., 1991).

Thus, these findings indicate that the determination of AFC groups in bovine females can be very variable depending on the methodology employed. In addition, this context reinforces the need for standardization in AFC classification methods, as well as assessing whether there is consistency in reproductive outcomes when different AFC classification methods are used. Therefore, the objectives this study were I) to evaluate the use of different classification methods for AFC groups, relating them to the conception rate, dominant follicle size and body condition score, and II) to compare the pregnancy rate and gestational loss occurring during the breeding season according to AFC. In our study, we hypothesized that the AFC classification methods discussed in this study are consistent in terms of the main reproductive findings, with low AFC females have better fertility than high count in the reproductive program during the breeding season.

Methods

This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation at the State University of Londrina, and it was approved under number 5898.2014.76.

Location, animals and management

This study was conducted during the beef cattle breeding season in two commercial farms in southern Brazil, which were located at 23° 42′ 35″ and 51° 45′ 52″ (Farm I) and 24° 39′ 01″ and 50° 51′ 02″ (Farm II). The climate is subtropical and humid (Cfa) according

to the Köppen classification with an average temperature of 19.5 °C and an average annual rainfall of 1500 mm. We conducted the experiment with Nelore (*Bos taurus indicus*; n = 935), multiparous females aged between 48 and 120 months, between 40 and 60 days postpartum and with body condition scores (BCS) between 2.5 and 3.5 (scale 1 to 5) (Machado et al., 2008). The conditions for handling the animals were similar between the farms, with the animals being maintained in a continuous grazing system of *Urochloa brizantha* and provided with a mineral mixture diet and water *ad libitum*. Prior to the study, each female was subjected to evaluation of the reproductive tract through palpation and transrectal ultrasound to ensure that only healthy females with no history of reproductive failures were included in the study.

Hormonal protocol for TAI

All animals were submitted to a conventional ovulation synchronization protocol on a random day of the estrous cycle, designated Day 0 (D0). The protocol consisted of the insertion of an intravaginal progesterone device (P4; DIB®, Zoetis, Brazil) and intramuscular administration (i.m.) of 2 mg of estradiol benzoate (EB; Estrogin®, Farmavet, Brazil). On day 8, the devices were removed, and the animals received applications (i.m.) of 1 mg of estradiol cypionate (EC; ECP®, Pfizer, Brazil), 300 IU equine chorionic gonadotropin (eCG; Novormon®, Syntex AS, Argentina) and 250 µg of cloprostenol (PGF2a; Ciosin®, MSD Animal Health, Brazil), as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Hormonal treatment for timed artificial insemination (TAI) and experimental design to assess the relationship between antral follicle count (AFC) according to classifications by mean, quartiles and score regarding the pregnancy rate in Nelore cows. P4: progesterone; EB: estradiol benzoate; EC: estradiol cypionate; eCG: equine chorionic gonadotropin; PGF2a: cloprostenol.

All inseminations were performed by a single technician approximately 48 hours after the removal of the intravaginal P4 devices (D10). Conventional frozen-thawed semen was utilized at a temperature of 36 °C for 30 seconds from four bulls with known fertility according to the instructions established by CBRA (2013), and the semen was acquired from a single semen center. Next, after ten days of insemination, Nelore bulls were placed among the batch of cows at a ratio of 1:25 cows with the purpose of performing natural breeding with females that presented estrus. Previously, all bulls had been tested by andrological examinations (CBRA, 2013), and they remained with the females until the end of the breeding season (Day 90). All females were submitted to ultrasound examination to determine their pregnancy status to the 30 and 60 days after artificial insemination and at the end of the breeding season (Day 90). Pregnancy loss was calculated specifically for inseminated cows through differences in diagnoses of pregnancies between 60 and 90 days.

Antral follicle count, body condition score and diameter of dominant follicle

To determine the number of antral follicles (AFCs), the ovaries (right and left) of each animal were examined using transrectal ultrasound (Modelo A5V Vet, SonoScape, China) equipped with a linear, rectal 7.5-MHz frequency transducer, and all antral follicles (follicles \geq 3 mm) were counted as described previously (Burns et al., 2005; Ireland et al., 2008; Morotti et al., 2018) at the beginning of the TAI protocol. In all TAI rounds, the batches of synchronized females were subjected to the same management and feeding practices, and the AFC groups were established only for data analysis.

The evaluation of BCS was performed using visual and tactile evaluation in (D0) by a single evaluator. The evaluation scale was based on the methodology of Machado et al. (2008) on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 - cachectic and 5 - obese) and considering tissue reserves, with emphasis being placed on fat and muscle coverage associated with specific anatomical regions, such as the ribs, spinal and transverse processes of the spine, lumbar vertebrae, iliac and ischial tuberosities, sacrum bone and base of the tail.

To assess the relationship between the diameter of the dominant follicle and the AFC, immediately before the insemination procedure (performed on Day 10), the cows (n = 200) were evaluated by transrectal ultrasound to determine and measure the diameter of the dominant follicle in both ovaries. The average follicular diameter was calculated from two linear cross-sectional measurements of the follicular antrum captured on the ultrasound monitor, with the dominant follicle being the one with an average diameter \geq 8 mm (Figueiredo et al., 1997).

Experimental design

To evaluate the different AFC classification methods, all the animals were simultaneously classified by three methodologies as described in Figure 2: I - Mean and Standard Deviation, II - Quartiles, and III - Score. For the classification of the AFC groups according to the mean, the mean number (M) and standard deviation (SD) were calculated from the total antral follicle population of the 935 cows included in this study. Low-count cows were defined based on the population mean of antral follicles (\approx 30 follicles) minus one SD (\approx 15 follicles), wherein the low AFC group had \leq 15 follicles (n = 243). High counting was defined based on the population mean of antral follicles (\approx 30 follicles) plus one SD (\approx 15 follicles), wherein the high AFC group had \geq 45 follicles (n = 194). Then, cows within a range of \geq 16 to \leq 44 follicles were defined as the intermediate AFC group (n = 498).

Considering the classification of the groups according to the Quartiles, the first quartile (Q1 = 15 follicles, consisting of up to 25% of the animals) and the third quartile (Q3 = 40 follicles, consisting of up to 75% of the animals) were calculated from the total number of antral follicles of all animals. Therefore, females with low AFC were defined as those with lower AFC up to the value of Q1 (\leq 15 follicles, n = 243), cows with high AFC were defined as those with \geq 40 follicles (n = 274; 25% of the females with the highest AFC) and cows with intermediate AFC were defined as females with follicular counts between Q1 and Q3 (\geq 16 to \leq 39 follicles, n = 418).

The third classification method includes a suggestion for the present study regarding the classification of animals according to AFC scores. In this method, each female of the evaluated herd was categorized in score I, II, III and IV after considering the sum of the antral follicles of the left and right ovaries. Score I was defined ranging from 1 to 15 follicles (low AFC, n = 243), Score II was established \geq 16 to \leq 30 follicles (intermediate AFC, n = 250), Score III was established \geq 31 to \leq 44 follicles (high AFC, n = 248) and Score IV was defined to \geq 45 follicles counted (very high AFC, n = 194). The proposal to classify cows into four groups was to maintain

a more homogeneous distribution of the animals in each group, in addition to being one of the first strategies proposed by Burns et al. (2005).

Determine the antral follicle count (AFC; \geq 3 mm) of all females of the herd				
Mean ± SD	Quartile	Score		
> Calculate mean and standard deviation	Calculate the first and third quartiles	AFC groups defined as:		
from the herd.	from the herd.	Low)		
AFC groups defined as:	AFC groups defined as:	$AFC \leq 15$ follicles		
Low	Low			
Mean - 1 SD = X	First quartile = Q1	II (Intermediate)		
AFC ≤ X follicles	AFC \leq Q1 follicles	AFC \ge 16 to \le 30 follicles		
High	<u>High</u>	III (High)		
Mean + 1 SD = Z	Third quartile = Q3			
$AFC \ge Z$ follicles	AFC ≥ Q3 follicles	AFC \ge 31 to \ge 44 follicles		
Intermediate	Intermediate	IV (Very high)		
AFC > X to < Z follicles	AFC > Q1 to < Q3 follicles	AFC ≥ 45 follicles		

Figure 2. Illustrative scheme of the three methodologies employed (Mean and Standard Deviation, Quartiles and Score) for classification of antral follicle count (AFC; follicles \geq 3 mm) groups in a single *Bos indicus* cattle subjected to a reproductive program.

Statistical analyses

The number of antral follicles and the diameter of the dominant follicles at TAI were analyzed by a GLIMMIX procedure, including the AFC group and farm as the main effects and the BCS as the covariate. In the presence of a significant effect, the means were compared by the Tukey test. The conception rate to TAI was analyzed by a binary logistic regression model, including as main effects the AFC group, the farm and the bull utilized in the insemination. The BCS was included as a covariate of the model. Using the same regression model, gestational loss was analyzed (AFC group as main effect), as well as cumulative pregnancy (AFC group as the main effect and farm and BCS groups as covariates). All data from this study were analyzed using the MINITAB18® statistical software program, version 18.1.1. For significance and interactions, $P \le 0.05$ was utilized, and statistical tendency was determined using a P-value ≤ 0.10 . The data are presented as means \pm SD or as proportions for the descriptive statistical analysis.

Results

The overall pregnancy rates of the study were 55.08% (515/935) at 30 days from TAI and 86.84% (812/935) at the end of the breeding season. In the three methodologies of classifying females according to the number of antral follicles, conception at 30 days was influenced (P < 0.05) by AFC groups and bull but not (P > 0.1) by farm, BCS and interaction AFC*bull (Table 1). Figure 3 shows the conception of the cows according to each bull used in the experiment.

The conception rate for natural breeding (return estrus), the pregnancy rate accumulated at the end of the breeding season and the gestational loss did not change (P > 0.05) due to the AFC group, the farm or the BCS, regardless of the methodology for AFC classification used (Table 1).

Table 1. Conception rate and cumulative pregnancy from Nelore cows submitted to timed artificial insemination (TAI) using different methodologies (Mean and Standard Deviation, Quartiles and Score) for the classification of antral follicle count (AFC) groups.

Methods for group division		Animals	AFC	Conception from TAI in 30 days	Conceptio n from NM in 60 days	Gestationa l loss up to 90 days	Cumulativ e pregnancy
		(N)	(Mean ± SD)	% (n/N)	% (n/N)	% (n/N)	(TAI + Bull) % (n/N)
Mean ± SD —	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	243	11.30 ± 2.81 °	61.73 ° (150)	32.92 (80)	7.33 (11/150)	90.12 (219/243)
	Intermediate (16-44 follicles)	498	30.19 ± 7.67 ^b	54.02 ^{ab} (269)	34.74 (173)	4.46 (12/269)	86.35 (430/498)
	High (≥ 45 follicles)	194	52.77 ± 7.70 ª	49.48 ^b (96)	38.14 (74)	7.29 (7/96)	84.02 (163/194)
	P-value	-	< 0.0001	0.008	0.13	0.29	0.07
Quartile s —	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	243	11.30 ± 2.81 °	61.73 ^a (150)	32.92 (80)	7.33 (11/150)	90.12 (219/243)
	Intermediate (16-39 follicles)	418	27.99±6.30 ^b	53.59 ^{ab} (224)	34.69 (145)	5.36 (12/224)	85.41 (357/418)
	High (≥ 40 follicles)	274	49.53 ± 8.25 ª	51.46 ^b (141)	37.23 (102)	4.96 (7/141)	86.13 (236/274)
	P-value	-	< 0.0001	0.01	0.12	0.41	0.12
Score	l (≤ 15 follicles)	243	11.30 ± 2.81 ^d	61.73 ª (150)	32.92 (80)	7.33 (11/150)	90.12 (219/243)
	ll (16-30 follicles)	250	23.63 ± 3.93 °	54.80 ^{ab} (137)	32.80 (82)	4.37 (6/137)	85.20 (213/250)
	III (31-44 follicles)	248	36.79 ± 3.94 ^b	53.23 ^{ab} (132)	36.69 (91)	4.54 (6/132)	86.82 (217/248)
	IV (\geq 45 follicles)	194	52.76 ± 7.70 ª	49.48 ^b (96)	38.14 (74)	7.29 (7/96)	84.02 (163/194)
	P-value	-	< 0.0001	0.02	0.17	0.48	0.15
	Total/Mean	935	29.97 ± 15.63	55.08 (515/935)	34.97 (327)	5.82 (30/515)	86.84 (812/935)

Values followed by lower case letters (a, b) and within the same column differ statistically (P < 0.05) between the AFC groups. NM: Natural mating.



Figure 3. Effect of the bull on the conception rate in beef cows submitted to timed artificial insemination. Bars with different letters (a, b) differ (P = 0.01) among bulls.

The diameter of the dominant follicle at the time of TAI differed (P < 0.05) among the AFC groups, regardless of the methodology used (mean, quartiles and score) for the classification of females (Table 2), and in general groups with low AFC resulted in larger follicle diameter at TAI (P < 0.05) when compared to the high AFC group.

Meth	nods for group division	Animals (N)	Diameter of dominant follicle (Mean ± SD)
Mean ± SD	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	61	11.83 ± 2.39 ª
	Intermediate (16-44 follicles)	104	11.25 ± 2.62 ^{ab}
	High (≥ 45 follicles)	35	10.34 ± 2.46 ^b
	P-value	200	0.03
Quartiles	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	61	11.83 ± 2.39 ª
	Intermediate (16-39 follicles)	81	11.34 ± 2.62 ^{ab}
	High (≥ 40 follicles)	58	10.45 ± 2.50 ^b
	P-value	200	0.01
Score	I (≤ 15 follicles)	61	11.83 ± 2.39 ^{ab}
	II (16-30 follicles)	51	12.03 ± 2.46 ^a
	III (31-44 follicles)	53	10.55 ± 2.67 ^{bc}
	IV (≥ 45 follicles)	35	10.34 ± 2.46 °
	P-value	200	0.002

Table 2. Diameters of dominant follicles (mm) in Nelore cows (n = 200) with different antral follicle counts (AFCs) submitted to timed artificial insemination (TAI).

Values followed by lower case letters (a, b), within the same column and to same methods differ statistically (P < 0.05) between groups with different follicular counts.

There were no differences (P > 0.1) in the conception rate between different BCS ranges (2.50 to 2.75 vs. 3.00 to 3.50). However, cows with 2.50 to 2.75 BCS resulted in significant variations (P < 0.05) in the conception rate and in general, the high count showed the lower conception rates compared to low count, regardless of the AFC classification methods employed in the study (Table 3).

Table 3. Conception rate to timed artificial insemination (TAI) from Nelore cows with different antral follicle counts (AFCs), classified by different methodologies (Mean and Standard Deviation, Quartiles and Score), according to the range of body condition scores (BCSs).

BCS range				
Meth	nods for group division	2.5 to 2.75%	3.0 to 3.50%	Overall conception % (n/N)
		(n/N)	(n/N)	
Mean ± SD	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	62.37 ^a (116/186)	59.65 (34/57)	61.73 ª (150/243)
	Intermediate (16-44 follicles)	53.64 ^b (184/343)	54.84 (85/155)	54.02 ^{ab} (269/498)
	High (≥ 45 follicles)	46.46 ^b (59/127)	52.22 (37/67)	49.48 ^b (96/194)
	P-value	0.008	0.74	0.01
Quartiles	Low (≤ 15 follicles)	62.37 ^a (116/186)	59.65 (34/57)	61.73 ª (150/243)
	Intermediate (16-39 follicles)	53.58 ^b (157/293)	53.60 (67/125)	53.59 ^{ab} (224/418)
	High (≥ 40 follicles)	48.59 ^b (86/177)	56.70 (55/97)	51.46 ^b (141/274)
	P-value	0.01	0.66	0.02
Score	I (≤ 15 follicles)	62.37 ^a (116/186)	59.65 (34/57)	61.73 ª (150/243)
	ll (16-30 follicles)	55.87 ^{ab} (100/179)	52.11 (37/71)	54.80 ^{ab} (137/250)
	III (31-44 follicles)	51.22 ^b (84/164)	57.14 (48/84)	53.23 ^{ab} (132/248)
	IV (≥ 45 follicles)	46.46 ^b (59/127)	55.22 (37/67)	49.48 ^b (96/194)
	P-value	0.01	0.84	0.02
	Total/Mean	54.72 (359/656)	55.91 (156/279)	55.08 (515/935)

Values followed by lower case letters (a, b), within the same column and to same methods differ statistically (P < 0.05) between the different body condition scores (BCS).

Discussion

The present study examined three distinct methodologies for AFC classification (Mean, Quartiles, and Scores) on the conception rate to TAI, related them with possible influence of BCS and diameter of the dominant follicle in the insemination time. Based on our results, we suggest the use of classification by score, as it did not result in important differences in relation to other AFC classification methods, in addition to representing a simpler and more practical application strategy. In addition, in Nelore cattle, this study is the first to investigate the relationship between AFC and pregnancy rate after natural breeding (breeding with bull on return of estrus after TAI), with gestational loss and with the cumulative pregnancy rate at the end of the breeding season.

The results obtained in this study show that regardless of the methodology used, there is a consensus regarding the main findings among AFC groups and fertility after TAI. This finding is highly relevant because it minimizes the concern regarding the controversial aspects of AFC and fertility being attributed to the different methodologies employed (Ireland et al., 2011; Morotti et al., 2015, 2017; Zangirolamo et al., 2018).

We demonstrated that independent of the methodologies adopted for AFC classification, the relationship between the conception rate and AFC groups seems to follow the same standard. Additionally, the present study proposes that the classification of the AFC groups be presented in the form of a score due to objectivity in application. This strategy would result in a standardization among studies, researchers, and field technicians, favoring data analysis and increasing the reliability of findings. In addition, this strategy would facilitate the practical application of this reproductive characteristic in commercial reproductive programs, as has been proposed with BCS by Lowman et al. (1976), Machado et al. (2008) and Ayres et al. (2009). In yet another aspect, it is worth noting that the AFC classification by mean and standard deviation and quartiles may vary in function of the global population of antral follicles in the herd, and therefore the cutoff points established in this study may not be suitable to be applied in other studies, needing to extract these values from each herd. When using the AFC classification in score, the proposal is that regardless of the subspecies, race or aptitude of the herd analyzed, each female is categorized within one of the scores proposed in this study.

Regardless of the AFC classification methodology that is employed (Mean, Quartiles or Score), Nelore females with a low number of antral follicles exhibited the higher conception rate after receiving the TAI protocol when compared to the high AFC group. Although the bull demonstrated an effect on the pregnancy rate at TAI, no interaction with AFC was observed, which supports that these effects occurred in isolation in the present study. In Nelore females, it has been demonstrated that a low AFC results in a higher pregnancy rate at TAI (Morotti et al., 2018; Moraes et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2020).

The conception rate after natural breeding, gestational loss and pregnancy rate at the end of the breeding season did not differ among the AFC groups, regardless of the classification methodology that was employed. Although the hypothesis has not been fully supported, it is worth noting that increased conception at the beginning of the reproductive season has numerous advantages and is one of the goals of reproductive programs (Marques et al., 2015; Baruselli et al., 2018; Vaz et al., 2020). Thus, the AFC classification can be used as a reproductive strategy to increase the proportion of pregnancy insemination in the herd at the beginning of the breeding season.

Regarding the size of the dominant follicle, low-count females also exhibited larger diameters in relation to high-count animals, regardless of the methodology employed. This result is in agreement with that reported by Morotti et al. (2018) and Lima et al. (2020). The larger diameter of the dominant follicle has been considered an important feature linked to the fertility of *Bos indicus* females in TAI. This importance is due to the positive relationship of the follicular diameter at insemination and the greater ovulatory potential, the greater size of the corpus luteum and the concentration of progesterone, as well as a higher probability of pregnancy (Meneghetti et al., 2009; Sá et al., 2010; Pfeifer et al., 2012, 2015). Thus, it is suggested that AFC may be a tool to increase the pregnancy rate in the herd, improving reproductive efficiency.

Considering that AFC is a highly repeatable characteristic, that its variation is associated with reproductive performance and that there is an advantage of low AFC when TAI is performed, strategies for enhancing the reproductive performance of *Bos indicus* cattle can be suggested. For example, it could be suggested that females of low and medium AFC be directed to the first breeding programs of insemination within the breeding season, favoring a more efficient use of the TAI tool. Furthermore, considering that females of low AFC have larger diameters of dominant follicles, it would be possible to suggest a more costly semen targeting

in these animals to obtain better economic viability and efficiency in the use of semen, similar to that proposed by Silveira et al. (2018) and Rosa et al. (2019).

In the present study, there was a relationship between AFC and BCS, and although cows with BCS > 3.00 did not show variation in TAI conception rates according to AFC groups, the range from 2.50 to 2.75 showed significant variation, with females with low AFC presenting a higher conception rate compared to the high count, regardless of the classification method used. A relationship between AFC, BCS and TAI performance was also investigated by Moraes et al. (2019); however, in contrast to the results of the present study, the authors showed that this relationship occurred for females with BCS > 3.00, which was also favorable for the low AFC group in relation to high count, as indicated by the present study. Research elucidating this relationship between AFC and BCS has not been reported. In dairy cattle, for example, it is believed that this relationship may be related to a greater susceptibility of females with high AFC to productive and health challenges, as observed by Jimenez-Krassel et al. (2017). In addition, in both dairy and beef cattle, a possible interaction with factors related to metabolic and hormonal disorders in the postpartum period is noted, as well as factors related to nutritional management and energy balance in the pre- and postpartum period (Soca et al., 2014; Meteer et al., 2015). Therefore, the relationship between metabolic status and ovarian activity is possibly affected by the ovarian follicular population.

Finally, the present study observed that the classification of AFC through the three methodologies (Mean, Quartiles and Score) appeared to be reliable without variations among the main investigated results. The findings of this study may help to answer some of the questions that have been raised. In addition, the possibility of employing the AFC classification through the scoring methodology makes the process of implementing this reproductive characteristic simpler, more practical and more reliable, facilitating the use of AFC as a reproductive tool, similar to the way that BCS is used. Additionally, the AFC classification proved to be a strategic tool because it shows that in the first 40 days of the breeding season using TAI, the higher proportion of pregnancies was observed in females with lower follicular counts compared to those with high AFC. Although the relationship between AFC and BCS has not been elucidated to date, females with lower BCS are more likely to exhibit pregnancy success, and a larger diameter of the dominant follicle at the time of insemination is associated with the best results of pregnancy following TAI in low-count *Bos indicus* animals.

Conclusion

The different methodologies employed (Mean, Quartile and Score) were presented as viable strategies in the classification of *Bos indicus* females according to the number of antral follicles. The methods demonstrated similarities among the main findings, indicating reliability regardless of the methodology that was utilized; therefore, for practical reasons, this study suggests the use of the score methodology. The rate of pregnancy due to the natural breeding, the gestational loss and the pregnancy rate at the end of the breeding season were not related to the AFC. However, females with low AFC showed larger diameters of dominant follicles at the time of insemination and exhibited a better pregnancy rate at the beginning of the breeding season compared to those with high AFC. In addition, AFC showed a relationship with lower body condition score in females.

References

- Andersen K, LeFever D, Brinks J, Odde K. The use of reproductive tract scoring in beef heifers. Agri Practice. 1991;12:19-26.
- Ayres H, Ferreira RM, Torres-Júnior JRS, Demétrio CGB, Lima CG, Baruselli PS. Validation of body condition score as a predictor of subcutaneous fat in Nelore (*Bos indicus*) cows. Livest Sci. 2009;123(2):175-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2008.11.004.
- Baruselli PS, Ferreira RM, Colli MHA, Elliff FM, Sá MF Fo, Vieira L, et al. Timed artificial insemination: current challenges and recent advances in reproductive efficiency in beef and dairy herds in Brazil. Anim Reprod. 2018;14(3):558-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.21451/1984-3143-AR999.

- Bonato DV, Ferreira EB, Gomes DN, Bonato FGC, Droher RG, Morotti F, Seneda MM. Follicular dynamics, luteal characteristics, and progesterone concentrations in synchronized lactating Holstein cows with high and low antral follicle counts. Theriogenology. 2022;179:223-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.12.006. PMid:34896744.
- Burns DS, Jimenez-Krassel F, Ireland JL, Knight PG, Ireland JJ. Numbers of antral follicles during follicular waves in cattle: evidence for high variation among animals, very high repeatability in individuals, and an inverse association with serum follicle-stimulating hormone concentrations. Biol Reprod. 2005;73(1):54-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.104.036277. PMid:15744026.
- Colégio Brasileiro de Reprodução Animal CBRA. Manual para exame andrológico e avaliação de sêmen animal. Belo Horizonte: CBRA; 2013.
- Cushman RA, Allan MF, Kuehn LA, Snelling WM, Cupp AS, Freetly HC. Evaluation of antral follicle count and ovarian morphology in crossbred beef cows: investigation of influence of stage of the estrous cycle, age, and birth weight. J Anim Sci. 2009;87(6):1971-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2008-1728. PMid:19286826.
- Droher RG, Morotti F, Sanches TK, Seneda MM. Efeitos da contagem de folículos antrais sobre a taxa de concepção de vacas Holandesas. Rev Acad Ciênc Anim. 2017;15(2):27-8.
- Evans AC, Mossa F, Walsh SW, Scheetz D, Jimenez-Krassel F, Ireland JL, Smith GW, Ireland JJ. Effects of maternal environment during gestation on ovarian folliculogenesis and consequences for fertility in bovine offspring. Reprod Domest Anim. 2012;47(Suppl. 4):31-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2012.02052.x. PMid:22827347.
- Figueiredo RA, Barros CM, Pinheiro OL, Soler JM. Ovarian follicular dynamics in Nelore breed (*Bos indicus*) cattle. Theriogenology. 1997;47(8):1489-505. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(97)00156-8. PMid:16728093.
- Garcia SM, Morotti F, Cavalieri FLB, Lunardelli PA, Santos AO, Membrive CMB, Castilho C, Puelker RZ, Silva JOF, Zangirolamo AF, Seneda MM. Synchronization of stage of follicle development before OPU improves embryo production in cows with large antral follicle counts. Anim Reprod Sci. 2020;221:106601. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2020.106601. PMid:32961391.
- Ireland J, Zielak-Steciwko A, Jimenez-Krassel F, Folger J, Bettegowda A, Scheetz D, Walsh S, Mossa F, Knight PG, Smith GW, Lonergan P, Evans AC. Variation in the ovarian reserve is linked to alterations in intrafollicular estradiol production and ovarian biomarkers of follicular differentiation and oocyte quality in cattle. Biol Reprod. 2009;80(5):954-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.073791. PMid:19164170.
- Ireland JJ, Smith GW, Scheetz D, Jimenez-Krassel F, Folger JK, Ireland JL, Mossa F, Lonergan P, Evans AC. Does size matter in females? An overview of the impact of the high variation in the ovarian reserve on ovarian function and fertility, utility of anti-Mullerian hormone as a diagnostic marker for fertility and causes of variation in the ovarian reserve in cattle. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2011;23(1):1-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/RD10226. PMid:21366975.
- Ireland JJ, Ward F, Jimenez-Krassel F, Ireland JL, Smith GW, Lonergan P, Evans AC. Follicle numbers are highly repeatable within individual animals but are inversely correlated with FSH concentrations and the proportion of good-quality embryos after ovarian stimulation in cattle. Hum Reprod. 2007;22(6):1687-95. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dem071. PMid:17468258.
- Ireland JL, Scheetz D, Jimenez-Krassel F, Themmen AP, Ward F, Lonergan P, Smith GW, Perez GI, Evans AC, Ireland JJ. Antral follicle count reliably predicts number of morphologically healthy oocytes and follicles in ovaries of young adult cattle. Biol Reprod. 2008;79(6):1219-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.071670. PMid:18768912.
- Jimenez-Krassel F, Folger JK, Ireland JL, Smith GW, Hou X, Davis JS, Lonergan P, Evans AC, Ireland JJ. Evidence that high variation in ovarian reserves of healthy young adults has a negative impact on the corpus luteum and endometrium during estrous cycles in cattle. Biol Reprod. 2009;80(6):1272-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.075093. PMid:19211804.
- Jimenez-Krassel F, Scheetz DM, Neuder LM, Ireland JL, Pursley JR, Smith GW, Tempelman RJ, Ferris T, Roudebush WE, Mossa F, Lonergan P, Evans AC, Ireland JJ. Concentration of anti-Mullerian hormone in dairy heifers is positively associated with productive herd life. J Dairy Sci. 2015;98(5):3036-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8130. PMid:25726106.
- Jimenez-Krassel F, Scheetz DM, Neuder LM, Pursley JR, Ireland JJ. A single ultrasound determination of ≥25 follicles ≥3 mm in diameter in dairy heifers is predictive of a reduced productive herd life. J Dairy Sci. 2017;100(6):5019-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12277. PMid:28390729.

- Lima MA, Morotti F, Bayeux BM, de Rezende RG, Botigelli RC, De Bem THC, Fontes PK, Nogueira MFG, Meirelles FV, Baruselli PS, Silveira JC, Perecin F, Seneda MM. Ovarian follicular dynamics, progesterone concentrations, pregnancy rates and transcriptional patterns in *Bos indicus* females with a high or low antral follicle count. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):19557. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76601-5. PMid:33177637.
- Lowman B, Scott N, Somerville S. Condition scoring of cattle. Edinburgh: East of Scotland College of Agriculture; 1976. (Bulletin, No. 6).
- Machado R, Corrêa R, Barbosa R, Bergamaschi M. Escore da condição corporal e sua aplicação no manejo reprodutivo de ruminantes. São Carlos: Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste; 2008. (Circular Técnica).
- Marques MO, Morotti F, Silva CB, Ribeiro M Jr, Silva RC, Baruselli PS, Seneda MM. Influence of categoryheifers, primiparous and multiparous lactating cows-in a large-scale resynchronization fixed-time artificial insemination program. J Vet Sci. 2015;16(3):367-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2015.16.3.367. PMid:25797292.
- Martinez MF, Sanderson N, Quirke LD, Lawrence SB, Juengel JL. Association between antral follicle count and reproductive measures in New Zealand lactating dairy cows maintained in a pasture-based production system. Theriogenology. 2016;85(3):466-75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.09.026. PMid:26489910.
- Meneghetti M, Sá OG Fo, Peres RF, Lamb GC, Vasconcelos JL. Fixed-time artificial insemination with estradiol and progesterone for *Bos indicus* cows I: basis for development of protocols. Theriogenology. 2009;72(2):179-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.02.010. PMid:19344942.
- Meteer WC, Shike DW, Cardoso FC. Prepartum and postpartum nutritional management to optimize fertility in beef cattle. Acta Sci Vet. 2015;43(1286):2932-43.
- Moraes FLZ, Morotti F, Costa CB, Lunardelli PA, Seneda MM. Relationships between antral follicle count, body condition, and pregnancy rates after timed-Al in *Bos indicus* cattle. Theriogenology. 2019;136:10-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.06.024. PMid:31234052.
- Morotti F, Barreiros T, Machado F, González S, Marinho L, Seneda M. Is the number of antral follicles an interesting selection criterium for fertility in cattle. Anim Reprod. 2015;12:479-86.
- Morotti F, Moretti R, Santos GMG, Silva-Santos KC, Cerqueira PHR, Seneda MM. Ovarian follicular dynamics and conception rate in *Bos indicus* cows with different antral follicle counts subjected to timed artificial insemination. Anim Reprod Sci. 2018;188:170-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2017.12.001. PMid:29224967.
- Morotti F, Zangirolamo AF, Silva NC, Silva CB, Rosa CO, Seneda MM. Antral follicle count in cattle: advantages, challenges, and controversy. Anim Reprod. 2017;14(3):514-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.21451/1984-3143-AR994.
- Mossa F, Walsh SW, Butler ST, Berry DP, Carter F, Lonergan P, Smith GW, Ireland JJ, Evans AC. Low numbers of ovarian follicles ≥ 3mm in diameter are associated with low fertility in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2012;95(5):2355-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4325. PMid:22541464.
- Pfeifer LF, Castro NA, Melo VT, Neves PM, Cestaro JP, Schneider A. Timed artificial insemination in blocks: a new alternative to improve fertility in lactating beef cows. Anim Reprod Sci. 2015;163:89-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2015.10.002. PMid:26481047.
- Pfeifer LF, Castro NA, Neves PM, Cestaro JP, Siqueira LG. Development and validation of an objective method for the assessment of body condition scores and selection of beef cows for timed artificial insemination. Livest Sci. 2017;197:82-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2017.01.011.
- Pfeifer LFM, Leal SCBS, Schneider A, Schmitt E, Corrêa MN. Leal SdCBdS, Schneider A, Schmitt E, Corrêa MN. Effect of the ovulatory follicle diameter and progesterone concentration on the pregnancy rate of fixed-time inseminated lactating beef cows. Rev Bras Zootec. 2012;41(4):1004-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982012000400024.
- Ribeiro ES, Bisinotto RS, Lima FS, Greco LF, Morrison A, Kumar A, Thatcher WW, Santos JE. Plasma anti-Müllerian hormone in adult dairy cows and associations with fertility. J Dairy Sci. 2014;97(11):6888-900. http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-7908. PMid:25173464.
- Rosa CO, Bonato DV, Souza AK, Morotti F, Francisco RC, Basso AC, Martins MIM, Seneda MM. Improvement on the efficiency of doses per conception by using a semen extender in timed artificial insemination. Livest Sci. 2019;221:77-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.01.014.

- Sá MF Fo, Crespilho AM, Santos JE, Perry GA, Baruselli PS. Ovarian follicle diameter at timed insemination and estrous response influence likelihood of ovulation and pregnancy after estrous synchronization with progesterone or progestin-based protocols in suckled *Bos indicus* cows. Anim Reprod Sci. 2010;120(1-4):23-30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2010.03.007. PMid:20395079.
- Santos GMG, Silva-Santos KC, Barreiros TRR, Morotti F, Sanches BV, de Moraes FLZ, Blaschi W, Seneda MM. High numbers of antral follicles are positively associated with in vitro embryo production but not the conception rate for FTAI in Nelore cattle. Anim Reprod Sci. 2016;165:17-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2015.11.024. PMid:26711683.
- Silva-Santos KC, Santos GM, Koetz C Jr, Morotti F, Siloto LS, Marcantonio TN, Urbano MR, Oliveira RL, Lima DC, Seneda MM. Antral follicle populations and embryo production in vitro and in vivo of *Bos indicus*-taurus donors from weaning to yearling ages. Reprod Domest Anim. 2014;49(2):228-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rda.12255. PMid:24456094.
- Silveira E, Bortolloti L, Morotti F, Silva-Santos K, Santos G, Andrade E, Seneda MM. Insemination of four cows per dose of frozen semen with a fixed-time artificial insemination protocol. Anim Reprod. 2018;10(2):124-6.
- Soca P, Carriquiry M, Claramunt M, Ruprechter G, Meikle A. Metabolic and endocrine profiles of primiparous beef cows grazing native grassland. 2. Effects of body condition score at calving, type of suckling restriction and flushing on plasmatic and productive parameters. Anim Prod Sci. 2014;54(7):862-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AN13251.
- Vaz R, Lobato J, Restle J, Conceição V, Ferreira O, Costa J, Eloy LR. Performance of calves born in different periods of the calving season in intensive beef cattle farming. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec. 2020;72(5):1874-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-4162-11883.
- Zangirolamo AF, Morotti F, Silva NC, Sanches TK, Seneda MM. Ovarian antral follicle populations and embryo production in cattle. Anim Reprod. 2018;15(3):310-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.21451/1984-3143-AR2018-0072. PMid:34178154.

Author contributions

FM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing; SMG and MBC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft; MMS: Funding acquisition, Resources, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.