RESEARCH ARTICLE

Two-fold increase in the HIV viral load suppression rate along with decreased incidence over six years in Ndhiwa sub-county, Kenya

Nolwenn Conan ¹ Mahmoud Badawi ¹ Menard L. Chihana ¹ Stephen Wanjala ²
Leonard Kingwara ³ Christopher Mambula ⁴ Catherine Ngugi ³ Gordon Okomo ⁵
$Valarie \ Opollo^6 \ \ \ \ Leon \ Salumu^4 \ \ \ \ Robin \ Nesbitt^1 \ \ \ \ Elisabeth \ Szumilin^4 \ \ \ \ Helena \ Huerga^1$

¹Epicentre, Paris, France

²Médecins Sans Frontières, Nairobi, Kenya ³National AIDS and STIs Control Programme, Nairobi, Kenya

⁴Médecins sans Frontières, Paris, France ⁵Ministry of Health, Homa Bay, Kenya ⁶Kenya Medical Research Institution Research, Kisumu, Kenya

Correspondence Nolwenn Conan, 14 - 34 Avenue Jean Jaurès, 75019 Paris, France. Email: nolwenn.conan@epicentre.msf.org

Abstract

Background: HIV-positive individuals who maintain an undetectable viral load cannot transmit the virus to others. In 2012, an HIV population-based survey was conducted in Ndhiwa sub-county (Kenya) to provide information on the HIV local epidemic. We carried out a second survey 6 years after the first one, to assess progress in HIV diagnosis and care and differences in the HIV prevalence and incidence between the two surveys.

Methods: A cross-sectional, population-based survey using cluster sampling and geospatial random selection was implemented in 2018, using the same design as 2012. Consenting participants aged 15–59 years were interviewed and tested for HIV at home. HIV-positive individuals received viral load testing (viral suppression defined as <1000 copies/ml) and Lag-Avidity EIA assay (to measure recent infection). The 90–90–90 UNAIDS indicators were also assessed.

Results: Overall, 6029 individuals were included in 2018. HIV prevalence was 16.9%. Viral suppression among all HIV-positive was 88.3% in 2018 (vs. 39.9% in 2012, p < 0.001). HIV incidence was 0.75% in 2018 vs. 1.90% in 2012 (p = 0.07). In 2018, the 90–90–90 indicators were 93%–97%–95% (vs. 60%–68%–83% in 2012).

Conclusion: A two-fold increase in the HIV viral load suppression rate along with a decreasing trend in incidence was observed over 6 years in Ndhiwa sub-county. Achieving high rates of viral suppression in HIV populations that can lead to reducing HIV transmission in sub-Saharan contexts is feasible. Nevertheless, we will need further efforts to sustain this progress.

K E Y W O R D S ART, HIV diagnosis, HIV care, incidence, prevalence, viral load

INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, progress toward HIV epidemic control has accelerated to meet the 90–90–90 targets set by the Joint

United Nation Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) for 2020: that 90% of all population living with HIV (PLHIV) know their HIV status (first 90); 90% of people aware of their HIV-positive status receive sustained antiretroviral therapy

Sustainable Development Goal: Good health and wellbeing.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2021 Epicentre. Tropical Medicine & International Health published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd (ART) (second 90); and that 90% of all people receiving ART have viral load (VL) suppression (third 90), which equates 73% of all HIV positive individuals with suppressed viral load [1]. The scale of the HIV epidemic remains immense; an estimated 38.0 million people are living with HIV, and a further 1.7 million people acquired HIV in 2019, although, as a global HIV research and program community, 'we know how to treat HIV and how to prevent people from becoming infected' [2]. The expansion of testing and treatment and the understanding that individuals with sustained viral suppression do not transmit HIV [3–5] galvanised governments and programs to strive towards these targets.

Key advances in HIV research have changed the approach to epidemic control. The HPTN052 clinical trial [3] and mathematical models [6, 7] showed that HIV incidence reduction would be feasible if all HIV-infected individuals accessed early diagnosis and treatment. WHO recommends initiating ART regardless of CD4 count since 2015 [8]. Subsequent cluster-randomised trials showed mixed results regarding the real-world impact of enhanced and community testing on HIV incidence, despite increased testing and access to ART [9, 10].

Kenya has been working towards improving HIV diagnosis and care and reaching the 90-90-90 UNAIDS targets. In 2016, the country adopted the 'universal test and treat' (UTT) strategy [11]. Nevertheless, while the last two 90s had been achieved among people aged 15–64 years nationally in 2018 (96.0% of individuals knowing their HIV-positive status were on ART and 90.6% of those on ART were virologically suppressed), the country had fallen short of the first 90, with overall 79.5% of individuals testing HIV-positive reportedly knowing their status [12]. Moreover, among all PLHIV aged 15-64 years, approximately 71.6% had suppressed viral load at a national level. In 2018, overall HIV incidence among those aged 15-64 years in Kenya was estimated at 0.14%, translating to 36,000 new infections annually [12]. The overall national prevalence among people 15-64 years was 4.9% in 2018, with a wide geographical variation ranging from less than 0.1% in Garissa County to 19.6% in Homa Bay County, and double the proportion of women living with HIV compared to men (6.6% vs. 3.1%) [12].

In 2018, we conducted an HIV population-based survey in Ndhiwa, a sub-county of Homa Bay County, to measure HIV diagnosis and care indicators, HIV prevalence, and HIV incidence, and to understand the HIV epidemic at sub-county level. This was a follow-up survey after a previous one conducted 6 years before, in 2012. Between the two surveys, the Ministry of Health (MOH) and Médecins sans Frontières (MSF) and other partners intensively expanded access to HIV diagnosis and care, including ART initiation and viral load testing. We report the results of the second survey conducted in 2018 and compare the results with those of 2012 in order to assess progress in HIV diagnosis and care (UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets and viral load suppression among all PLHIV) and differences in the HIV prevalence and incidence between the two surveys.

METHODS

Survey design and population

The cross-sectional survey conducted between October 2018 and January 2019 used a two-stage cluster sampling design, with enumeration areas (EA) selected with population proportional to estimated population size in the first stage and random geospatial selection of 25 households per EA in the second stage. The same design was used in 2012 [13]. Dwellings that were vacant, destroyed, or not found were replaced by a reserve list of residences. All individuals aged 15-59 years living in the households selected were eligible for the study, and those who consented to participate were interviewed and tested for HIV at home. Although minors aged 15-17 years did not need guardian consent to participate in the survey [14], they were encouraged to disclose their status, regardless of the result, to their parent/guardian. The interview was based on the Demographic Health Survey program survey instruments [15] and included questions on sociodemographic characteristics, previous HIV testing, and HIV treatment. ART intake and start date were verified with the health passport of participants.

Survey setting and program

Ndhiwa Sub-County is one of eight sub-counties of Homa Bay County, with an estimated population of 185,625 people in 2012 [16]. The results of the first HIV population survey conducted in 2012 were used as baseline and to determine priority interventions in the cascade of care for MSF and MoH in the sub-county (Figure 1).

A community mobilisation activity started in October 2014, with the development of community health advisory boards (CHAB), engaging representatives from community groups, including women, youth, people with disabilities, and different sectors such as business, education, and religious leaders. The CHABs served as a forum to develop, validate, and mobilise approaches to testing, linkage to care, and promote access to care. Different testing strategies were implemented, such as door-to-door HIV counseling and testing (HCT), moonlight (night-time) HCT targeting men who could not attend services during the day, as well as fixed and mobile HCT. Meanwhile, to improve access and quality of care, MSF supported further decentralisation of care to dispensaries and enhanced laboratory capacity by setting up an efficient sample transportation network, and additional human resources, as well as ongoing training and mentorship. Finally, several activities as part of the HIV prevention program were implemented in the sub-county such as yearly re-testing among HIV negative people, health talks in secondary schools, and voluntary medical male circumcision among men with a priority for those aged between 15 and 24 years that was supported by the Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation.

				Timelin	е		
Activities	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Survey	Aug-Nov						Oct 18 -Jan 19
Mobilization			α - Oct				Ω ~ Sep
HIV Testing				α - Apr			Ω ~ Sep
Voluntary medical male circumcision				α - Apr	Ω ~ Sep		
Decentralization of care			α - Apr				
IPD Homa Bay			α - Apr				
IPD Ndhiwa			α - Apr				Ω ~ Mar
D. Clinic							α - Jun

Legend: α : start; Ω : end

FIGURE 1 Activity timeline

Laboratory procedures

HIV testing was performed after pre-counseling using whole blood obtained by finger-prick at the participant's home. Rapid testing was done following a serial algorithm using Determine Rapid HIV-1/2 Antibody as screening test followed, if positive, by Unigold Rapid HIV test kit for confirmation [17, 18]. Additional blood was collected from all HIV-positive participants to perform viral load testing on the COBAS Amplirep/Cobas Taqman platform (Roche Diagnostic System, Branchburg, New Jersey, USA), with a limit of detection of 20 copies/ml [19]. Limiting Antigen Avidity Enzyme Immunosorbent Assay (LAg-Avidity EIA) was conducted among all HIV-positive participants with a viral load >75 copies/ml. In 2012, LAg-Avidity EIA was conducted among all HIV-positive participants, and patients found to be negative with the rapid test algorithm underwent Nucleic Acid Amplification Testing (NAAT) to identify acute HIV infection. All these additional tests were performed at the Kenya Medical Research Institution HIV research laboratory, Kisumu.

Data collection and analysis

Data were collected on paper, entered into EpiData 3.1 (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark), and analyzed using Stata SE version 14 and 15 (StataCorp, College station, Texas, USA). Descriptive statistics were weighted and adjusted for the sampling design and accounted for the probability of selection of clusters by our sampling procedure. All characteristics and outcomes are presented with a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). *p*-Values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Categorical variables were compared with chi-square or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were categorised as binary or ordinal before testing. ART coverage was defined as participants who reported being on ART at the time of the survey. We considered individuals with a viral load below 1000 copies/ml as virally suppressed. HIV incidence was estimated using a recent infection testing algorithm that

classified individuals as recently infected if the LAg-Avidity assay showed a normalised optical density of 1.5 or below and the individual had a detectable viral load. An additional criterion for recent infection in 2012 was not being on ART and in 2018 not being HIV diagnosed or initiated on ART more than 6 months prior to the study. The 2012 survey also included as recent infections the individuals serologically negative diagnosed through NAAT. In the 2018 survey, the mean duration of recent infection (time spent 'recently' infected within some time 'T' after infection detected by the LAg-Avidity assay) used for the HIV incidence estimation was 130 days (95% CI: 118-143). In 2012, the mean duration of recent infection used was 158 days as 28 additional days were added for the NAAT [13]. The false recency rate, which is the context-specific probability that an individual who is infected for longer than 'T' will be classified as recent, was estimated at 0.5% (95% CI: 0.21-0.79) in both surveys). We used the Incidence Calculator tool designed by the South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis to estimate the incidence in 2018 and calculate the incidence difference between 2012 and 2018 [20].

The 2018 survey protocol, informed consent forms, and questionnaires were approved by the Kenya Medical Research Institute Scientific and Ethical Review Committee (reference 633) and the MSF Ethics Review Board (ID 1859).

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics

The 2018 survey inclusion rate was 93% (6029/6474), 95.7% (3510/3666) among women and 89.7% (2519/2808) among men. The median age was 28 years [IQR 19–38] and 58.2% (3510/6029) of the participants were women. In 2012, the participants' inclusion rate and sex and age profile were similar: 90%, the median age 29 years and 61.7% women. The participants' sociodemographic characteristics were also similar across surveys. The majority were married or living together at both time points, with primary school education and resident of Ndhiwa for more than 10 years (Table 1).

CONAN ET AL.

HIV prevalence and incidence

In 2018, the overall HIV prevalence was 16.9%, higher in women (20.9%) than in men (11.3%; p < 0.001; Table 2). HIV prevalence was lower in 2018 than in 2012, overall (24.1% in 2012, p < 0.001), among women (26.7% in 2012, p < 0.001), and among men (19.8% in 2012, p < 0.001; Figure 2a and b). HIV prevalence by sex among participants aged 15–29 years was also lower in 2018 than in 2012: 11.1% and 22.3% among women, respectively (p < 0.001), and 1.8% and 7.6% among men, respectively (p < 0.001).

In 2018, the incidence was estimated at 0.75/100 personyears (95% CI: 0.05–1.46), equivalent to 75 new cases/10,000 persons and per year in the sub-county. HIV incidence was higher among women than men (Table 2). A downward trend in HIV incidence was observed in 2018 from 1.90/100 person-years in 2012, with the incidence difference estimated at -1.15% (-0.08 to 2.37), p = 0.07.

TABLE 1Sociodemographic characteristics of participants, 2012 and2018 surveys

	2012		2018	
	n/N	%	n/N	%
Age				
15-19	1271/6124	20.8	1611/6029	26.7
20-24	948/6124	15.5	972/6029	16.1
25–29	958/6124	15.6	777/6029	12.9
30-34	696/6124	11.4	738/6029	12.2
35-39	639/6124	10.4	549/6029	9.1
40-44	467/6124	7.6	476/6029	7.9
45-49	405/6124	6.6	347/6029	5.8
50-54	389/6124	6.4	253/6029	4.2
55-59	351/6124	5.7	306/6029	5.1
Gender				
Women	3778/6124	61.7	3510/6029	58.2
Men	2346/6124	38.3	2519/6029	41.7
Marital Status				
Never married	1307/6088	21.5	1984/6024	32.9
Married/living together	4160/6088	68.3	3594/6024	59.7
Divorced/ separated	107/6088	1.8	66/6024	1.1
Widowed	514/6088	8.5	380/6024	3.6
Education				
No schooling	252/6120	4.1	119/6028	2.0
Primary	4820/6120	78.8	3854/6028	63.9
Post-primary/ vocational	N/A		123/6028	2.0
Secondary/ tertiary	1048/6120	17.1	1932/6028	2.0
Same residence in the	last 10 years			
	4684/6124	76.5	4983/6029	82.7

HIV diagnosis and care (UNAIDS 90–90–90 indicators)

In 2018, the HIV diagnosis and care 90-90-90 indicators were 93%-97%-95% (Table 3). There was a substantial improvement in 2018 over 2012: 60%-68%-83% (p < 0.001 for each proportion).

This increase was consistent across 90–90–90 target results stratified by age and by sex and was >90% in women and men in 2018. The largest improvement was the HIVpositive status awareness among men, which increased from 54.9% in 2012 to 94.4% in 2018, p < 0.001. Stratifying by age, all three 90s were surpassed in 2018, except HIV-positive status awareness among people aged 15–29 years, which was 86.5% (47.5% in 2012, p < 0.001).

Viral load suppression among the HIV-positive

In 2018, viral load suppression among all HIV-positive individuals was 88.3% (higher than 39.9% in 2012, p < 0.001). There was no statistical difference in viral suppression between women and men (88.8% and 87.1%, respectively; p = 0.46) and it was higher than the proportions found in 2012 for both groups (39.8% and 40.2%, respectively, p < 0.001). Viral load suppression was lower in individuals 15–29 years old than in those aged 30–44 years and 45– 59 years (80.6%, 89.0%, and 94.0%, respectively; p < 0.01). Nevertheless, this age category (15–29 years) showed the biggest increase in viral load suppression from 23.7% in 2012 (p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

The 2018 HIV population-based survey showed very high proportions of HIV-positive people diagnosed, on treatment, and virally suppressed in a context with high HIV prevalence. A tremendous improvement in HIV diagnosis and care UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets and viral load suppression among all PLHIV was observed since 2012. Also, in 2018 a lower HIV prevalence was observed among young people (aged 15–29 years) compared to 2012, which is in line with the lower incidence found in 2018. These findings have led us to think that HIV transmission has decreased in the years prior to the 2018 survey.

HIV diagnosis and care UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets have been successfully achieved in women and men in Ndhiwa sub-county. To note, HIV-positive status awareness was equally high in men (similar proportion to women), which is not a common finding in other surveys [13, 21–23]. HIVpositive status awareness was higher in our survey than other population-based surveys from Malawi, Kenya, South Africa, Zimbabwe, and Swaziland [21, 23–25]. Although we observed a significant increase in the proportion of HIVpositive young people (aged 15–29 years) aware of their status in 2018 compared to 2012, this group retains the highest

TABLE 2 HIV prevalence and incidence in 2012 and 2018 surveys

	2012 survey		2018 survey [*]	
	HIV prevalence	HIV incidence	HIV prevalence	HIV incidence
Total	24.1 (23.0–25.2)	1.90 (1.11–2.70)	16.9 (16.0–17.9)	0.75 (0.05-1.46)
Sex				
Women	26.7 (25.3–28.3)	2.47 (1.36-3.58)	20.9 (19.6–22.3)	1.30 (0.12–2.48)
Men	19.8 (18.2–21.6)	1.06 (0.18–1.94)	11.3 (10.1–12.6)	0.08 (0.00-0.60)

*HIV prevalence DEFF = 1.7; HIV incidence DEFF = 2.



FIGURE 2 HIV-prevalence by 5-year age group among women (a) and among men (b), 2012 and 2018 surveys

proportion of undiagnosed HIV-positive individuals compared to older individuals. Even though our results cannot be causally linked to the specific strategies implemented based on the two survey results alone, some activities may have contributed to the progress in HIV diagnosis and care between the two surveys: HIV testing at community level, decentralisation of HIV care, enhancement of the laboratory capacity, training and mentorship of health staff, and community engagement and awareness. Probably one of the most important factors that can explain the higher proportion of HIV-positive people on ART and virally suppressed is the implementation of universal test and treat strategy replacing previous recommendations to initiate ART only when CD4 was below 350 cells/ μ l. Three randomised control trials recently published have shown that viral load suppression was higher in the intervention group (with universal ART and community intervention) compared to the control group (with national guideline-restricted ART) [10, 26, 27].

We found an impressive proportion of viral load suppression (88%) in the HIV-positive population, similar to the one found in Homa Bay, where Ndhiwa sub-county is located [12]. Individuals with a maintained viral load suppression have zero risks of sexually transmitting HIV [3-5]; therefore, we can expect that if this level is maintained or increased, HIV transmission in the Ndhiwa population will continue to decrease. This is also supported by the lower HIV incidence and HIV prevalence among young people found in 2018. These results are very promising for remote high prevalence settings. However, special attention should be paid to the younger age group, which has higher proportions of individuals unaware of their HIV-positive status and consequently lower of viral suppression. In Homa Bay county, where Ndhiwa sub-county is located, the median age for first sexual intercourse is the lowest in the country at 15 years among girls and boys [3]. This is a key age group to reach and treat at an early age. Also, despite the good results, one in ten individuals are still at risk of transmitting the virus. These findings show that closing the gaps entirely and maintaining the progress towards epidemic control will require sustaining this effort, continued community engagement, and expanding programs that work, such as scale-up of new testing, as it has been proposed in Tanzania [28].

The 2018 survey shows a lower HIV prevalence in the 15–29 age group, particularly among women. These results are in line with the incidence findings that showed a trend toward reduction between 2012 and 2018, overall and by sex. The overall HIV prevalence difference could also be due to higher mortality among the HIV-positive or a movement population between 2012 and 2018. Nevertheless, we think that high mortality among HIV-positive people is unlikely given the good HIV diagnosis and care indicators found and the large majority of the participants reported that they were living in Ndhiwa sub-county for more than 10 years. While we observed a declining trend in HIV incidence, the incidence is still high in Ndhiwa sub-county (particularly among young women) and is more than four times higher than the national incidence estimated at 0.15

	2012 survey result	ts			2018 survey result.	S		
	Status awareness	ART coverage	VL<1,000 copies/ml	VLS among HIV positive	Status awareness	ART coverage	VL<1,000 copies/ml	VLS among HIV positive
	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
Total	59.6 (57.1-62.1)	68.2 (65.0–71.2)	82.5 (79.2-85.4)	39.9 (37.4-42.6)	93.4 (91.7–94.8)	96.9 (95.6–97.8)	95.2 (93.6–96.5)	88.3 (86.1–90.1)
Sex								
Men	54.9 (50.3-59.4)	75.4 (69.7-80.4)	83.8 (77.8-88.4)*	39.8 (36.7-43.0)	94.4(91.0-96.5)	96.6 (93.6–98.2)	92.5 (88.5–95.2)*	88.8 (86.2–90.9)
Women	61.8 (58.7–64.8)	65.3 (61.4–68.9)	81.9 (77.8-85.4)	40.2 (35.7-45.0)	93.0 (90.9–94.7)	97.0 (95.4–98.1)	96.3 (94.5–97.5)	87.1 (82.6–90.6)
Age								
15 - 29	47.5 (43.3–51.8)	49.4 (43.2–55.6)	68.3 (59.5–76.0)	23.7 (20.2–27.7)	86.5 (81.5–90.3)	96.6 (92.9–98.4)	93.8 (89.4–96.4)	80.6 (74.9-85.3)
30-44	64.1 (60.2-67.8)	70.4 (65.7–74.8)	84.6 (79.8-88.4)	43.9 (39.8–47.9)	95.2 (93.0–96.7)	96.5 (94.5–97.8)	94.7 (92.3–96.4)	89.0 (86.1–91.4)
45-59	71.4 (66.1–76.2)	85.5(80.1 - 89.5)	88.7 (83.3-92.5)	58.9 (53.2-64.3)	96.2(92.8-98.0)	98.2 (95.3–99.3)	97.7 (94.7–96.5)	$94.0\ (90.1-96.4)$

CONAN ET AL.

new cases/100 person-years for women aged 15-64 years and 0.13 new cases/100 person-years for men aged 15-64 years [12].

Our study has some limitations. First, HIV status awareness and ART intake were self-reported. This may have led to the underestimation of HIV awareness if participants falsely reported not knowing their HIV positive status as shown in the Kenya AIDS indicator survey of 2012 [29]. However, after 4 years of the intervention of MSF in the area, participants may have been more open to acknowledging their HIV-positive status in 2018 than in 2012 and ART reporting was also established based on documentation from the individual health passport. Moreover, there is evidence that the method of identifying ART use has little impact on estimates of ART coverage, viral suppression rate and HIV incidence [30]. Second, HIV incidence was estimated cross-sectionally in the survey population using recent infection testing algorithm results. Although the same recency tests were used in 2012, the algorithm used in 2018 was slightly different from the one used in 2012 due to implementing the universal test and treat strategy. Therefore, the incidence difference estimate needs to be interpreted with caution. A strength of the population-based surveys was the high inclusion rates. We believe that the results are therefore representative of Ndhiwa sub-county population.

CONCLUSION

The HIV viral load suppression rate doubled over six years in Ndhiwa sub-county, Kenya, and the trand in incidence decreased. Our survey demonstrates that it is feasible to achieve high rates of viral suppression in HIV populations that can lead to reduced HIV transmission in sub-Saharan contexts with high HIV prevalence by implementing universal HIV treatment, reinforcing HIV testing, engaging the community, and decentralizing HIV care to the primary level. However, several challenges remain: increasing HIVpositive status awareness among young adults, achieving viral suppression among HIV-positive people who are not virally suppressed, maintaining viral suppression among HIV-positive who are virally suppressed, and preventing new infections among HIV-negative individuals. We will need further efforts that ensure equity and equality of access to HIV preventive methods as well as to HIV diagnosis and treatment all along the continuum of care to sustain this progress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the survey participants and their communities for their participation. We are also grateful to the survey team in the field for their tremendous work and motivation under high-pressure conditions, and to the whole MSF team in Homa Bay, Nairobi, and Paris for their continuous support during the preparation and implementation of the survey. We thank the Ministry of Health for giving us the approval to conduct the survey.

REFERENCES

- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. 90–90–90 An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Mar 19]. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/ resources/documents/2017/90-90-90
- UNAIDS data 2020 | UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2020 Sep 23]. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2020/unaids-data.
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2019 Oct 14];365(6):493–505. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21767103
- 4. LeMessurier J, Traversy G, Varsaneux O, Weekes M, Avey MT, Niragira O, et al. Risk of sexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus with antiretroviral therapy, suppressed viral load and condom use: A systematic review. CMAJ. 2018;190(46):E1350–60.
- Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, Vernazza P, Collins S, Degen O, et al. Risk of HIV transmission through condomless sex in serodifferent gay couples with the HIV-positive partner taking suppressive antiretroviral therapy (PARTNER): final results of a multicentre, prospective, observational study. Lancet. 2019;393(10189):2428–38.
- Bacaër N, Pretorius C, Auvert B. An Age-structured model for the potential impact of generalized access to antiretrovirals on the South African HIV epidemic. Bull Math Biol. 2010;72(8):2180–98.
- Granich RM, Gilks CF, Dye C, De Cock KM, Williams BG. Universal voluntary HIV testing with immediate antiretroviral therapy as a strategy for elimination of HIV transmission: a mathematical model. Lancet. 2009;373:48–57.
- World Health Organization. Guideline on When to Start Antiretroviral Therapy and on Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for HIV. 2015 [cited 2021 Sep 16];(September):1–76. Available from: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK327115/
- Hayes R, Ayles H, Beyers N, Sabapathy K, Floyd S, Shanaube K, et al. HPTN 071 (PopART): Rationale and design of a cluster-randomised trial of the population impact of an HIV combination prevention intervention including universal testing and treatment – a study protocol for a cluster randomised trial. Trials. 2014;15:57. Available from: Trials.
- Havlir DV, Balzer LB, Charlebois ED, Clark TD, Kwarisiima D, Ayieko J, et al. HIV testing and treatment with the use of a community health approach in rural Africa. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(3):219–29.
- Ministry of Health. Guidelines on use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection in Kenya. 2016 [cited 2021 Sep 16]; Available from: https://faces.ucsf.edu/sites/g/files/tkssra4711/f/ ARTGuidelines2016.pdf
- 12. Kenya PHIA project [Internet]. [cited 2020 Sep 23]. Available from: https://phia.icap.columbia.edu/countries/kenya/
- Maman D, Zeh C, Mukui I, Kirubi B, Masson S, Opolo V, et al. Cascade of HIV care and population viral suppression in a high-burden region of Kenya. Aids [Internet]. 2015;29(12):1557–65. Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4502986/pdf/aids-29-1557.pdf
- National AIDS and STI Control Programme (NASCOP). The Kenya HIV Testing Services Guidelines [Internet]. 2015. Available from: https://www.fast-trackcities.org/sites/default/files/KenyaHIVTesting ServicesGuidelines%282015%29.pdf
- The DHS program demographic and health survey (DHS) [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jul 2]. Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/whatwe-do/survey-Types/dHs.cfm
- County Government of Homa Bay "A County of Choice". First county integrated development plan 2013-2017 [Internet]. [cited 2020 Dec 20]. Available from: https://www.homabay.go.ke/wp-content/uploa ds/2016/01/CIDP-DOCUMENT-HOMA-BAY-COUNTY-GOVER NMENT.OCT_.2013.pdf
- 17. Lyamuya EF, Aboud S, Urassa WK, Sufi J, Mbwana J, Ndugulile F, et al. Evaluation of simple rapid HIV assays and development of

national rapid HIV test algorithms in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9(1):19.

- Piwowar-manning E, Si MTA, Tustin N, Hew MLTA, Kamwendo D, Chipungu C, et al. Validation of rapid HIV antibody tests in 5 African countries. J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care. 2011;9(3):170–2.
- US Food and Drug Administration. COBAS* AmpliPrep/COBAS* TaqMan* HIV-1 Test, Summary of Safety and Effectiveness [Internet]. Vol. 92. 2007 [cited 2015 Jan 26]. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/ downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/Appro vedProducts/PremarketApprovalsPMAs/UCM092879.pdf
- 20. Nodes » SACEMA [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 29]. Available from: http://www.sacema.org/
- Maman D, Chilima B, Masiku C, Ayouba A, Masson S, Szumilin E, et al. Closer to 90–90-90. The cascade of care after 10 years of ART scale-up in rural Malawi: A population study. J Int AIDS Soc [Internet]. 2016;19(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC4760102/pdf/JIAS-19-20673.pdf
- 22. Huerga H, Van Cutsem G, Ben Farhat J, Puren A, Bouhenia M, Wiesner L, et al. Progress towards the UNAIDS 90–90-90 goals by age and gender in a rural area of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: A household-based community cross-sectional survey. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):4–11.
- 23. Conan N, Coulborn RM, Simons E, Mapfumo A, Apollo T, Garone DB, et al. Successes and gaps in the HIV cascade of care of a high HIV prevalence setting in Zimbabwe: a population-based survey. J Int AIDS Soc [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 26];23(9):e25613. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25613
- Bicego GT, Nkambule R, Peterson I, Reed J, Donnell D, Ginindza H, et al. Recent patterns in population-based HIV prevalence in Swaziland. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):1–7.
- 25. Kimanga DO, Ogola S, Umuro M, Ng'ang'a A, Kimondo L, Murithi P, et al. Prevalence and incidence of HIV infection, trends, and risk factors among persons aged 15-64 years in Kenya: results from a nationally representative study. Immune J Acquir Syndr Defic. 2014;66(Suppl 1):S13–26.
- Makhema J, Wirth KE, Holme MP, Gaolathe T, Mmalane M, Kadima E, et al. Universal testing, expanded treatment, and incidence of HIV infection in Botswana. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(3):230–42.
- Hayes RJ, Donnell D, Floyd S, Mandla N, Bwalya J, Sabapathy K, et al. Effect of universal testing and treatment on HIV incidence — HPTN 071 (PopART). N Engl J Med. 2019;381(3):207–18.
- Mackellar D, Steiner C, Rwabiyago OE, Cham HJ, Pals S, Maruyama H. Threefold increases in population HIV viral load suppression among men and. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(30):658–63.
- De Cock KM, Barker JL, Baggaley R, El Sadr WM. Where are the positives? HIV testing in sub-Saharan Africa in the era of test and treat. AIDS (London, England). 2019;33(2):349–52.
- 30. Huerga H, Shiferie F, Grebe E, Giuliani R, Ben FJ, Van-Cutsem G, et al. A comparison of self-report and antiretroviral detection to inform estimates of antiretroviral therapy coverage, viral load suppression and HIV incidence in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. BMC Infect Dis [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 28];17(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5623964/pdf/ 12879_2017_Article_2740.pdf

How to cite this article: Conan N, Badawi M, Chihana ML, Wanjala S, Kingwara L, Mambula C, et al. Two-fold increase in the HIV viral load suppression rate along with decreased incidence over six years in Ndhiwa sub-county, Kenya. Trop Med Int Health. 2021;26:1609–1615. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13688</u>