

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Changes in Adults' Eating Behaviors During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review

Ashlie N. Johnson, PhD, Raeven Lynn M. Clockston, MPH, BSND, Lindsey Fremling, BS, Emma Clark, MS, Pamela Lundeberg, PhD, Megan Mueller, PhD, Dan J. Graham, PhD

PII: S2212-2672(22)00970-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2022.08.132

Reference: JAND 55543

To appear in: Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

Received Date: 19 November 2021

Revised Date: 20 August 2022

Accepted Date: 31 August 2022

Please cite this article as: Johnson AN, Clockston RLM, Fremling L, Clark E, Lundeberg P, Mueller M, Graham DJ, Changes in Adults' Eating Behaviors During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review, *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2022.08.132.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Copyright © 2022 by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.



Changes in Adults' Eating Behaviors During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A

Narrative Review

Keywords: COVID-19; eating behaviors; review; food consumption; dietary patterns

Word count: Abstract- 267, Manuscript – 12,057

# Authors contact information & ORCIDs:

Ashlie N. Johnson, PhD

Assistant Professor, Linfield University

Department of Psychology

900 SE Baker St

McMinnville, OR United States 97128

770-331-5779

Ajohnson8@linfield.edu

ORCID: 0000-0002-1815-2390

Raeven Lynn M. Clockston, MPH, BSND

Colorado School of Public Health

303-724-0918

Raeven.Clockston@cuanschutz.edu

ORCID: 0000-0002-1922-2952

Lindsey Fremling, BS

Research assistant, Colorado State University

Department of Psychology

Fort Collins, CO United States 80523-1876

303-345-1316

lindseyafremling@gmail.com

# Emma Clark, MS

Graduate Student, Colorado State University

Department of Human Development and Family Studies

1570 Campus Delivery

Fort Collins, CO 80523-1570

720-273-1131

emma.clark@colostate.edu

ORCID: 0000-0002-4922-7666 Pamela Lundeberg, PhD Instructor, Colorado state University Department of Psychology 1876 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO United States 80523-1876 970-339-6205 pamela.lundeberg@colostate.edu ORCID: 0000-0002-6075-482X Megan Mueller, PhD Assistant Professor, Colorado State University Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition 1571 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO United States 80523-1571 (970) 491-106 Megan.mueller@colostate.edu ORCID: 0000-0002-4479-8144 Dan J. Graham, PhD Associate Professor, Colorado State University Department of Psychology 1876 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO United States 80523-1876 970-491-6561 Dan.graham@colostate.edu ORCID: 0000-0001-9993-2585

# **Authors contribution:**

AJ, RC, LF, and EC collected and analyzed articles and wrote the first draft with contributions from PL, MM, and DG.

Corresponding author and reprint contact: Ashlie Johnson, ajohnson8@linfield.edu

**Funding disclosure:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interested disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

1	Changes in Adults' Eating Behaviors During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A
2	Narrative Review
3	Research Snapshot
4	Research Question: Did adults' amount, frequency, and timing of eating, types and healthfulness
5	of foods consumed, occurrence of specified eating behaviors (e.g., binging), and reasons for
6	eating change during the early COVID-19 pandemic?
7	Key Findings: Many eating behaviors remained stable. When changes occurred, eating more
8	likely increased in amount/frequency. Changes in when and how healthfully individuals ate
9	showed mixed results. Consumption of some foods decreased (e.g., meat); others increased (e.g.,
10	fruits). Binging, out-of-control eating, and overeating increased, meal skipping decreased, and
11	restrictive eating had mixed results. Dietary changes were related to changes in mood and
12	environment.
13	Abstract
14	Factors such as regulations and health concerns shifted daily habits, including eating behaviors,
15	during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. This comprehensive narrative review
16	synthesizes research on eating behavior changes during the early months of the COVID-19
17	pandemic (February-June 2020) including changes in amount, rate and timing of food
18	consumption, types and healthfulness of foods consumed, the occurrence of other specified
19	eating behaviors (e.g., restrained eating, binging), and reasons for eating (e.g., stress, cravings),
20	among adults. A literature search using three EBSCOhost databases and Google Scholar was
21	conducted to identify relevant articles made available in 2020. A total of 71 articles representing
22	250,715 individuals from over 30 countries were reviewed. Findings show eating behaviors
23	changed little during the early pandemic for most participants. Among those whose eating

24 behaviors changed, increases in both intake and frequency of eating meals and snacks were more 25 common than decreases. Findings on timing of eating and healthfulness of food consumed 26 showed mixed results. However, when changes occurred in the type of food consumed, increases 27 were more common for snacks, homemade pastries, white bread/pasta, legumes, and 28 fruits/vegetables; decreases were more common for meats, seafood/fish, frozen foods, fast food, 29 dark breads/grains, and dark leafy green vegetables. During the pandemic, binging, uncontrolled 30 eating, and overeating increased, meal skipping decreased, and restrictive eating had mixed 31 findings. Changes in factors such as emotions and mood (e.g., depression), cravings, and 32 environmental factors (e.g., food insecurity) were related to changes in eating behaviors. 33 Findings can inform clinical practitioners in efforts to mitigate disruptions to normal, healthy eating patterns among adults both in and outside of global health catastrophes. 34 35

55

27	
51	

# Introduction

38	The COVID-19 pandemic caused an unprecedented upheaval of daily routines for
39	individuals around the globe. Government efforts to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 have
40	encompassed an array of responses including mass quarantines, stay-at-home restrictions,
41	closures of schools and businesses, and shutdowns of public transportation.
42	Like many other health behaviors, eating is heavily dependent on habit <sup>1,2</sup> and, as such,
43	has been significantly disrupted by COVID-19 and the restrictions used to quell outbreaks. <sup>3</sup> For
44	example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, 51% of individuals in the United States (US) made
45	the transition to working from home, thereby increasing their proximity to a primary food
46	environment for longer periods throughout the day. <sup>3,4</sup> Additionally, unemployment in the US
47	rose from 3.5% to 14.7% during the early phase of the pandemic (between February and April of
48	2020), <sup>5</sup> which for some individuals led to both a greater time spent at home and decreased
49	purchasing power for balanced diets. <sup>6,7</sup> However, for some individuals living in low-income
50	households, the addition of unemployment benefits and federal supplements exceeded their prior
51	wages. <sup>8</sup>
52	The closure of restaurants shifted meal sources, often leading to an increase in cooking
53	behaviors, while the closure of schools produced issues of food access and insecurity for
54	communities facing economic hardship and marginalization. <sup>9,10</sup> Moreover, social isolation efforts
55	and fears around virus exposure limited access to supermarkets and other food retailers. <sup>11,12</sup>
56	While some individuals utilized online ordering, curbside pickups, and food/grocery delivery
57	services to curtail these impacts, these services are not locationally or financially accessible to
58	many individuals around the globe. <sup>13,14</sup>

59 Stockpiling behaviors and breakdowns in the food supply chain also had an impact on 60 peoples' eating behaviors during the pandemic.<sup>13,15</sup> For those who could afford to stockpile food 61 during the pandemic, stockpiling increased their access to the food around them and impacted 62 the types of food they purchased; for those who could not afford to stockpile food during the 63 pandemic, others' stockpiling limited the amount of food available to them.<sup>13,16</sup> Breakdowns in 64 the supply chain also contributed to limitations in the food available during the pandemic.<sup>15</sup>

65 Beyond the regulatory, geographic, and financial obstacles impacting eating behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic, many people have faced psychological and social stressors that 66 can impact their relationship with food and the food environment.<sup>17</sup> A large body of literature 67 shows the impact of emotion, stress, and mood states - both positive and negative - on food 68 selection and eating behaviors.<sup>18–20</sup> Depression, stress, and boredom, among other possible 69 70 reactions to pandemic-induced lifestyle changes, are related to increases in food intake and 71 frequency of eating as well as increases in consuming higher energy foods such as sweets, snacks, and fast food.<sup>21</sup> 72

However, it is unclear how eating behaviors have changed around the globe during the COVID-19 pandemic. For instance, while many factors seem to indicate a trend toward less healthful eating behaviors, it is possible that food selection and healthfulness could improve with increased time available for cooking.<sup>22</sup> Moreover, evidence suggests that the best time to change habits is when other habits are changing as well,<sup>23,24</sup> making the abrupt changes generated by stay-at-home orders fertile ground for eating behavior change among other health behavior changes.

80 Comparisons across similar public health crises and national disasters such as hurricanes 81 and earthquakes show that eating behaviors are vulnerable to change during times of regional or

82	international distress. <sup>25,26</sup> Widespread crises disrupt food systems and the economies that allow
83	individuals to purchase food, often leading to reduced food security and increased malnutrition. <sup>27</sup>
84	Moreover, the heightened stress is related to decreased fruit and vegetable intake and, among
85	emotional eaters, overeating behaviors. <sup>26</sup> In fact, watching news related to disasters such as
86	earthquakes <sup>28</sup> or even reading narratives about devastating hurricanes <sup>29</sup> is associated with
87	changes in eating behavior. Given the ubiquitous impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the
88	concomitant alterations of stress and other mood states, it is plausible that eating behaviors
89	would change as a result.
90	Eating behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic are a critical area of study given the
91	clinical relevance of over- and under-nutrition, both of which could result from dietary changes
92	brought about by the pandemic. Researchers suggest that nutrition can be a key factor in
93	COVID-19 immunity <sup>30,31</sup> and predict that the pandemic will continue to cause a nutritional crisis
94	given factors like job loss and the closing of public food supports. <sup>32</sup>
95	While several mini-reviews have communicated breaking findings throughout the early
96	COVID-19 pandemic timepoints, as of this writing no review comprehensively captured the
97	dietary impact of COVID-19 on a global scale. <sup>33–37</sup> Moreover, recent reviews assessing eating
98	behaviors are narrower in scope, with specific focus areas such as weight changes <sup>38</sup> and feeding
99	children. <sup>39</sup>
100	This narrative review offers a summary of key research question findings regarding
101	changes in eating behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic. Further, challenges to empirically
102	studying eating during a pandemic, areas for future examination, and clinical implications are
103	discussed.

104	Specifically, this review aims to address how the following eating behaviors compared
105	during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic relative to before the pandemic began: the
106	amount, frequency, and timing of food consumption, the types and healthfulness of foods eaten,
107	the occurrence of specified eating behaviors (e.g., restrained eating, binging), and reasons for
108	eating (e.g., emotions, cravings, environmental factors).
109	The scope of this review includes international research published in English and made
110	available in the year 2020 with samples that generalize to adults who have not been diagnosed
111	with eating disorders. The aim is to characterize early-pandemic dietary changes for populations
112	of individuals without pathological eating behaviors around the globe.
113	Methods
114	An initial literature review assessing extant systematic, narrative, and scoping reviews of
115	changes to eating behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic was conducted in October 2020
116	using multiple EBSCOhost databases, including Academic Search Premier, Psychology and
117	
	Behavioral Sciences Collection, and APA PsycInfo in addition to the Google Scholar database.
118	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five
118 119	
	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five
119	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five reviews were identified. <sup>33–37</sup> Some of these reviews assessed eating behaviors in addition to other
119 120	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five reviews were identified. <sup>33–37</sup> Some of these reviews assessed eating behaviors in addition to other health behaviors such as sleep <sup>33,34</sup> and physical activity. <sup>33,35</sup> Given the rapidly evolving context
119 120 121	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five reviews were identified. <sup>33–37</sup> Some of these reviews assessed eating behaviors in addition to other health behaviors such as sleep <sup>33,34</sup> and physical activity. <sup>33,35</sup> Given the rapidly evolving context and continued influx of eating-behavior-related studies, the need for a more comprehensive
<ol> <li>119</li> <li>120</li> <li>121</li> <li>122</li> </ol>	Search terms included "eat*," "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "pandemic" and "review." Five reviews were identified. <sup>33–37</sup> Some of these reviews assessed eating behaviors in addition to other health behaviors such as sleep <sup>33,34</sup> and physical activity. <sup>33,35</sup> Given the rapidly evolving context and continued influx of eating-behavior-related studies, the need for a more comprehensive narrative review was apparent. The present review contributes a global perspective and covers a

Article identification and selection started in October 2020. Searches were performed
using the same databases as the initial literature review and the search terms "COVID,"
"COVID-19," "sars-cov-2," "coronavirus," "pandemic" AND eat*, nutri*, "food", diet*, grocer*.
Additionally, sources referenced in articles accumulated during the database search were
assessed and included as appropriate. Filters were applied to limit results to articles made
available in 2020, and the final search for this review was conducted on February 8, 2021.
Article abstracts were screened to ensure relevance to eating behaviors during the early
COVID-19 pandemic, especially during or following a period of lockdown and social distancing.
The circumstances related to lockdowns varied globally, including the timeframe and extent of

closure, so this was defined in each article relative to the geographic location and study population. A total of 138 relevant articles were gathered and assessed in their entirety for inclusion.

A final sample of 71 articles with 250,715 participants remained after 67 articles were eliminated. Studies were excluded if they: (a) did not measure or explicitly evaluate change in eating behaviors, (b) focused on child and adolescent populations exclusively, (c) focused on elderly populations exclusively, (d) focused on individuals with eating disorders or other health conditions exclusively, (e) were archival, not cross-sectional or not longitudinal, and (f) had not yet published preprints of their full articles. Please see Figure 1 for a detailed visual summary of the articles included and excluded from the present study.

### **Results**

The 71 studies with 250,715 participants included in the final review consist of findings from 32 countries with the United States (US; n=11), the United Kingdom (UK; n=8), Italy (n=5), Spain (n=5), and Turkey (n=5) being the most studied. There were potentially more than

149 32 countries represented in these articles as some samples were listed as coming from locations 150 such as "Europe," "Northern Africa," or simply "Other." Most studies were cross-sectional (66 151 studies; 93%), but 5 (7%) featured longitudinal designs. Nearly all studies (69; 97%; two were 152 unspecified) reported on data collected between March 2020 and June 2020. Table 1 categorizes 153 articles by study outcomes and provides a summary of study characteristics.

# 154 Changes in Amount of Food Consumed

A total of 24 studies addressed changes to total food intake during the COVID-19
pandemic.<sup>13,40-62</sup>

Twelve studies conducted in Poland,<sup>44,62</sup> Italy,<sup>46,55</sup> the UK,<sup>45</sup> the Netherlands,<sup>56</sup> Spain,<sup>52,54</sup> 157 Greece,<sup>54</sup> Chile,<sup>58</sup> Saudi Arabia,<sup>43</sup> Germany,<sup>50</sup> and the United Arab Emirates (UAE)<sup>57</sup> assessed 158 159 self-reported changes in quantity of food consumed during (vs. before) the pandemic using bipolar scales and response options including decreased, no change, and increased. 43-46,50,52,54-160 <sup>58,62</sup> Given the synonymous nature of the items used, an aggregated analysis was conducted to 161 162 capture the composite trends across studies (n=14,401; see Table 2). 163 Across studies included in the aggregated analysis, the largest proportion of participants 164 (44.9%) reported no change in their food intake during the pandemic. The next largest group of 165 respondents (31.0%) indicated an increase in food intake, and the third largest group indicated 166 decreased intake (24.1%). Results from Chenarides et al. (2021) were excluded from the analysis 167 because the item measuring changes in the amount of food consumed included additional response options related to healthy eating and allowed respondents to select multiple answers.<sup>13</sup> 168 169 The question how much has your diet changed since COVID-19 started included the following 170 response options: ate less, ate about the same, ate more, ate less healthy, and ate more healthy. 171 Though excluded, the findings roughly mirrored the general pattern with 59.0% reporting they

ate about the same diet, 21.4% reporting they ate more, and 13.5% reporting they ate less since
COVID-19 started.<sup>13</sup>

174 It should be noted that the Papandreou et al. (2020) study results differed considerably 175 from the other studies that examined change in consumption quantity, with most respondents 176 (69.2%) in this particular sample reporting a decrease in total intake.<sup>54</sup> When this study's results 177 are not included in the analysis, 49.1% of respondents report no change in intake, 33.4% report 178 an increase, and 17.5% report a decrease. See Table 3 for measures and finding for all studies not 179 included in the aggregated analysis.

180 Six studies used unipolar measures assessing only whether participants were eating more during (relative to before) the pandemic.<sup>41,42,51,59–61</sup> Most studies using this type of measure 181 found high proportions of individuals responding "yes" to increased consumption. The highest 182 183 percentage of individuals reporting more food intake came from a sample of adults in Al Madinah city, Saudi Arabia, with 63% reporting increased food intake.<sup>41</sup> A study of Portuguese 184 185 adults had the lowest reported increase with only 31.6% of individuals responding that they ate more during the pandemic.<sup>42</sup> A study based in Italy showed nuanced reporting of increased food 186 187 intake, with 46.1% reporting "Yes, a bit more" and only 6.8% reporting "Yes, much more."<sup>59</sup> 188 This distinction in the reported amount of increase highlights a key limitation of self-reported 189 responses for food consumption; for most measures, it is unclear how much of an increase over 190 normal habits respondents are indicating with their "yes" responses. Different perceptions and 191 responses to forced-choice, binary appraisals of food consumption may lead to a distortion in the 192 overall trends regarding food intake behaviors.

One study from Kenya and Uganda measured whether people ate less.<sup>40</sup> Significant
 increases in the amount of people reporting eating less food than they thought they should were

195 found in the total sample (54% during the COVID-19 period, 23% during normal periods) and 196 both subsamples (Kenya: 56% during COVID-19 period, 24% during normal periods; Uganda: 48% during COVID-19 period, 19% during normal periods). This question was part of a food 197 198 insecurity questionnaire which, taken all together, showed significantly lower rates of food 199 security during the COVID-19 period compared to normal periods before the pandemic.<sup>40</sup> 200 While the remaining four studies used unique measure formats or populations to study 201 changes in food intake, results aligned with the findings obtained via the other measurement 202 strategies. One study of Canadian families showed that the most common eating behavior change 203 was an increase in eating, reported among 57% of mothers, 46% of fathers, and 42% of 204 children.<sup>47</sup> Two studies used validated measures of energy intake. One found that on average, 205 French participants reported eating significantly more (~235 kcal/day) during the first month of 206 lockdown compared to the month before.<sup>53</sup> Similarly, a longitudinal study of Australian adults 207 showed that among females there was a significant increase (19.5%) in average 24-hour energy intake compared to reported levels from 2018 and 2019.<sup>48</sup> Lastly, Herle et al. (2021) gathered 208 209 longitudinal data to assess changes in eating behaviors over the first 8 weeks of lockdown.<sup>49</sup> 210 Using latent profile analyses, they found the most common profile response (64%) to be one of 211 no change in eating behaviors, followed by 16% reporting persistently eating more. About 9% 212 reported persistently eating less, while 8% showed an initial increase followed by a steady 213 decrease and 4% reported no changes in the first week and then a steady increase in consumption over time.49 214

215 Changes in Eating Frequency and Timing

Eating frequency in the context of the present review relates to the number of total meals and snacks consumed daily, and whether this number has changed under the circumstances of the

218	pandemic and the associated periods of home confinement that occurred globally. In total, 20
219	articles discussed the frequency of eating. <sup>41,42,44,48,52,54–56,60,61,63–72</sup> Timing involves the intake of
220	meals and snacks as it relates to the respondent's typical eating schedule over a 24-hour period.
221	There were three articles that presented evidence of how meal timing has been impacted by the
222	COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting disruptions to routines, patterns, and schedules. <sup>54,56,73</sup>
223	Meal skipping was determined to be a distinct, specified behavior and is thus covered in a later
224	section.
225	See Tables 4 and 5 for overviews of findings and measures used.
226	Frequency of meals and snacks
227	Meals. The predominant trend of the 13 studies reviewed <sup>41,42,44,52,54,64–67,69–72</sup> was no
228	change in the number of meals individuals reported consuming during the COVID-19 pandemic
229	compared to prior. <sup>42,54,67,69,71,72</sup> For example, the majority of cross-sectional study participants in
230	Turkey (71%), <sup>71</sup> China (60%), <sup>72</sup> and Italy (58%) <sup>67</sup> reported no change in the number of meals
231	consumed.
232	When the number of meals eaten throughout a given day did change, it largely
233	increased. <sup>41,44,52,64–66,70</sup> For example, an international survey with participants predominantly
234	representing Western Asia, Northern Africa, and Europe found that the number of meals
235	consumed per day significantly increased during the home confinement period (t = $-5.83$ , p <
236	0.001, $d = 0.22$ ). <sup>64</sup> Specifically, there were increases in the number of participants consuming 4
237	meals, 5 meals, and more than 5 meals during confinement compared to prior to confinement.
238	Similarly, studies in the UAE and Middle East and North Africa (MENA) regions found
239	increases in the number of meals consumed per day with those consuming five or more meals per
240	day increasing significantly. <sup>65,66</sup> Furthermore, a study of Spanish adults found that the "pattern"

12

of number of meals among the study population changed with more people consuming five
 meals a day during confinement (23%) compared to before (1%).<sup>52</sup>

A UK-based study (n= 2,002) found that 44% of respondents increased meal frequency (26% reported a little more, 14% more, and 4% a lot more) followed by 34% reporting they ate the same number of meals.<sup>70</sup> These data once again illustrate the nuances in the magnitude of changes in the number of eating occasions that are not visible in measures that only consider overall increase or decrease.

The remaining studies evaluated frequency of meals utilizing measures that are less common in the literature. One study of 1,404 adults in Portugal reported that 55% of participants did not eat at a higher frequency; however, the extent to which eating frequency stayed the same or decreased is unclear.<sup>42</sup>

Snacks. Across the 12 studies that assessed snacking frequency<sup>44,48,54–56,60,61,63,64,68,70,71</sup>. 252 most found that individuals either reported an increase<sup>44,55,60,64,70</sup> or no change<sup>61,63,71</sup> in snacking 253 254 frequency. For example, increased snacking was reported by 56% of a sample from the UK (27% a little more, 21% more, 8% a lot more),<sup>70</sup> 51.8% of a sample from Poland,<sup>60</sup> and 32.7% of a 255 256 sample from Italy (28.0% indicated they don't consume snacks usually, and 28.0% reported no change).<sup>55</sup> Similarly, international samples from Western Asia, Northern Africa, and Europe 257 258 reported a significant increase in the number of snacks and the proportion of participants 259 snacking between meals or late-night snacking during home confinement compared with snacking behavior prior to the pandemic (t = -6.89, p < 0.001, d = 0.30).<sup>64</sup>. Further, while studies 260 from Turkey,<sup>71</sup> Denmark,<sup>61</sup> and Qatar<sup>63</sup> found that the most common response was no change 261 262 (57.5%, 47.5%, and 45.5% respectively), a large proportion of the samples also reported 263 increased snacking (38%, 41.7%, and 35.3%, respectively).

Two studies assessed how the relationship between COVID-19 confinement and snacking frequency might be modified by other factors such as gender and snack healthfulness.<sup>48,68</sup> One longitudinal study of university students in Australia found that levels of snacking were no different between 2018, 2019, and 2020 samples of men, but snacking increased among women.<sup>48</sup> Additionally, in a study focused on Australia, the US, the UK, and Canada, 25.8% of participants reported an increase in healthy snacking, while 43.5% of participants reported an increase in unhealthy snacking.<sup>68</sup>

Two studies measuring snacking frequency found snacking did not increase among their participants; however, the survey did not differentiate whether a 'no increase' response meant that the respondents were eating the same amount as before or less than before.<sup>54,56</sup> For example, one study reported that only 34.1% of a Spanish sample and 40.8% of a Greek sample answered "yes" to a measure of increased snacking.<sup>54</sup>

### 276 Timing of meals and snacks

277 There is limited evidence available illustrating how timing of eating has been impacted 278 by the COVID-19 pandemic. Three studies asked participants to indicate how their eating routines had changed during confinement compared to before.<sup>54,56,73</sup> In a study of adults from 279 280 India (n=422), 50.2% of respondents reported their eating schedule did change, and 11.1% 281 reported that their schedule might have changed.<sup>73</sup> Papandreou et al. (2020) and Poelman et al. 282 (2021) both included measures of meal timing but did not explicitly ask their participants how they modified their meal schedules.<sup>54,56</sup> As previously mentioned, Papandreou et al. (2020) 283 284 measured frequency and timing in the same question. They report that 59.8% of the sample from 285 Spain and 51.7% of the sample from Greece did maintain the same hours and numbers of meals

during the pandemic.<sup>54</sup> Additionally, 16.9% of participants from the Netherlands reported that 286 287 they "ate at different times" during the lockdown period.<sup>56</sup> 288 **Changes in Consumption of Specific Food Types** A total of 38 articles reported on changes in the consumption of specific foods.<sup>13,40,43–</sup> 289 45,47,48,50,51,54,55,57–59,61–63,65–69,71,74–88 Through a thematic analysis of major food categories 290 291 assessed, this review summarizes trends in consumption for the following: fruits and vegetables, 292 breads and grains, meats and seafood, legumes, frozen foods, homemade foods, fast food, "snack" foods, and sweets and/or bakery products. See Table 6 and Table 7 for a summary of 293 294 measures and findings. 295 Fruits and Vegetables 296 Thirty-one studies examined how the consumption of fruits and vegetables changed 297 during the pandemic; 12 studies examined the consumption of fruits and vegetables together<sup>13,43,47,55,58,59,63,75,79,81,86,87</sup> while 20 studies examined fruits separately from 298 vegetables.<sup>40,44,45,50,51,61,62,67–69,71,76–78,80–85</sup> One of these studies examined fruits and vegetables 299 300 both together and separately.<sup>81</sup> 301 Of the 12 studies that measured fruit and vegetable consumption together using a single-302 item measure (increased, decreased, or remained the same during the pandemic), six studies from 303 Brazil, Qatar, the US, the UK, Canada, Italy (2 studies), and Chile found that the largest group of 304 participants (48.4%-69.2%) reported that their consumption of fruits/vegetables did not change during the pandemic.<sup>13,55,58,59,63,75</sup> The second most common response (22.1%-32.4%) was an 305 increase in fruit/vegetable consumption.<sup>13,55,58,59,63</sup> Increased consumption was the most common 306 response in studies from Saudi Arabia and Spain<sup>43,79</sup> and a decrease in consumption was the 307 primary finding for studies from Brazil, Zimbabwe, and the US.<sup>81,86,87</sup>In one study from Canada 308

309	more fathers and children reported eating more (fathers: 32%, children: 24%) rather than less
310	(fathers: 12%, children: 20%) fruits and vegetables during the pandemic; however, more mothers
311	reported eating less (mothers: 22%) rather than more (mothers: 20%).47
312	Fruits. Of the 20 studies investigating fruit consumption independently, ten used a
313	single-item measure (i.e., increased, decreased, or remained the same). <sup>45,50,51,61,62,71,76,81,83,84</sup>
314	Seven of these ten studies (from Poland, Denmark, Spain, Lithuania, Germany, Turkey, and the
315	US) found that the largest group of participants (49.4%-68%) reported no change in fruit
316	consumption during the pandemic. <sup>50,51,61,62,71,76,84</sup> An increase in consumption was the second
317	most frequently reported response (18%-49.1%) for four of these studies <sup>50,51,71,84</sup> whereas a
318	decrease was second most common (20.1%-33.4%) in three studies. <sup>61,62,76</sup> One study conducted
319	in the UK primarily found an increase in consumption <sup>45</sup> and one study from Zimbabwe reported
320	decreases. <sup>81</sup>
321	However, results suggest possible nuances in fruit consumption rates. For example, while
322	fruit consumption in one study of Iranian adults was not significantly different before vs. during
323	the early pandemic, the researchers found a 2.8% increase in families who reported consuming
324	"vitamin A-rich fruits" during the pandemic and a 2.2% decrease in families who reported
325	consuming "fruits" during the pandemic. <sup>83</sup>
326	Findings from studies using other measures of fruit consumption confer mixed results,
327	including studies from Italy, Brazil, Kenya/Uganda, and Canada showing that fruit consumption
328	decreased for more respondents than it increased, 40,67,78,80 studies from the
329	US/UK/Australia/Canada/other, Kuwait, Nigeria, Island of Ireland/Great Britain/US/New
330	Zealand, and Spain showing the opposite pattern, <sup>68,69,77,82,85</sup> and a study from Poland showing no
331	change overall. <sup>44</sup>

332	Vegetables. Nine of the 20 studies investigating vegetable consumption used a single-
333	item measure (i.e., consumption increased, decreased, or remained the same). 45,50,51,61,62,71,76,81,84
334	Of these nine, six studies out of Lithuania, Germany, Denmark, Poland, Spain, and Turkey found
335	that the majority of participants (53.5%-71%) reported no change in their overall vegetable
336	consumption during the pandemic <sup>50,51,61,62,71,84</sup> with the second most frequently reported option
337	being increased consumption (17%-40.5%) in four studies <sup>50,51,71,84</sup> and decreased consumption
338	(19.4%-19.5%) in two studies. <sup>61,62</sup> The primary findings of studies from the UK and Canada
339	were increases in consumption. <sup>45,78</sup> Three studies from Iran, the US, and Zimbabwe assessed
340	specific vegetables with most reporting no change in consumption (i.e., for vitamin A-rich
341	vegetables, tubers, starchy and non-starchy vegetables, dark green leafy vegetables and "other"
342	vegetables) or decreased consumption (i.e., for dark green leafy vegetables, vegetables in
343	general). <sup>76,81,83</sup>
344	Research using other measures of vegetable consumption is similarly mixed, with two
345	studies from Poland and US/UK/Australia/Canada/other reporting no change,44,68 three studies
346	from Kuwait, Kenya/Uganda, and Brazil reporting decreases, 40,69,80 and four studies from Italy,
347	Nigeria, Island of Ireland/Great Britain/US/New Zealand, and Spain showing increases. <sup>67,77,82,85</sup>
348	Bread and Grains
349	Eleven studies examined how the consumption of bread, grains, and/or cereals changed
350	during the pandemic. <sup>13,44,50,55,62,67,69,76,78,81,83</sup>
351	Five studies from Poland, the US, Italy, Germany, Kuwait looked at how the
352	consumption of bread changed during the pandemic and results varied by bread type.44,50,67,69,76

354 or increased consumption.<sup>50,67</sup> White bread consumption tended to either remain stable or

355	increase. <sup>69,76</sup> One study based in Poland found no change in the frequency of consumption of
356	white bread, but a significant decrease in the portion size of white bread. <sup>44</sup> Dark and
357	brown/brown seed bread tended to either remain stable or decrease.69,76
358	Considering grains, consumption tended to remain the same. <sup>13,44,62,78</sup> Studies based in
359	Poland and the US found that the highest portion (59.35%-72.3%) of participants reported no
360	change in their consumption of grains and whole grains. <sup>13,62</sup> Similarly, one Polish study found no
361	significant change in the consumption of buckwheat oats. <sup>44</sup> Conversely, a study based in Canada
362	found that there was a significant decrease in the consumption of refined grains and a significant
363	increase in consumption of whole grains. <sup>78</sup>
364	Four studies asked participants about their consumption of cereals (i.e., pasta,
365	rice). <sup>55,67,81,83</sup> Two studies from Iran and Italy found that consumption of cereals did not
366	change. <sup>55,83</sup> When consumption did change, results were mixed, with one study from Italy
367	finding that more people reported increased intake $(24.7\%)$ than reduced intake $(5.3\%)^{67}$ and one
368	study from Zimbabwe reporting that the highest portion of participants (41.7%) had a decreased
369	consumption of cereals, breads, and tubers. <sup>81</sup> One study from the US found that white rice or
370	pasta was either unchanged (62.5%) or increased (26.8%) and brown rice or whole-grain pasta
371	was either unchanged (76.8%) or decreased (15.1%). <sup>76</sup> Similarly, a study from Poland found no
372	significant change in the consumption of white rice and white pasta. <sup>44</sup>
373	Meats
374	A total of 20 studies examined how the consumption of various types of meat changed
375	during the pandemic. <sup>13,40,43,44,50,51,55,61–63,67,69,71,76–78,81,83–85</sup> Overall, meat consumption remained
376	the same as pre-pandemic levels or decreased.

18

377 Nine of the 20 studies asked participants about how their consumption of meat in general changed during the pandemic.<sup>13,40,43,50,55,63,77,78,81</sup> Studies from Qatar, Germany, Zimbabwe, the 378 379 US, Saudi Arabia, and Italy found that for the majority of participants (45.9%-72.6%) consumption did not change.<sup>13,43,50,55,63,81</sup> The second most common response for studies from 380 the US, Germany, Qatar, Zimbabwe was decreases in meat consumption.<sup>13,50,63,81</sup> The second 381 most common response for studies in Saudi Arabia<sup>43</sup> and Italy<sup>55</sup> was increases in protein 382 383 consumption, though the study from Italy included non-meat proteins. One study from Canada also found increases in protein consumption, including non-meat sources.<sup>78</sup> On the other hand, 384 385 studies from Kenya, Uganda, Nigeria found that fewer respondents reported frequent 386 consumption of meat during the pandemic (16%-22.4%) than before the pandemic (41.6%- $51.2\%)^{40}$  and fewer participants reported having a preference for meat during (22.9%) compared 387 388 to before the pandemic (29.2%).<sup>77</sup> 389 Six studies based in Poland, the US, Kuwait, and Italy assessed changes in the 390 consumption of processed meat during the pandemic and found that the majority of participants reported no change.<sup>44,61,62,67,69,76</sup> When consumption did change, there were both increases<sup>44,69,76</sup> 391 and decreases<sup>62,67</sup> reported. 392 393 Six studies conducted in Poland, Italy, Denmark, Lithuania, Kuwait, and Spain investigated how the consumption of red meat changed during the pandemic.<sup>44,51,61,67,69,84</sup> The 394 395 most common result was no change in red meat consumption; however, when red meat consumption did change, it tended to decrease. 44,51,61,67,69,84 396 Three studies from Kuwait, the US, and Kenya/Uganda<sup>40,69,76</sup> examined how the 397 consumption of poultry products changed during the pandemic, with results indicating no 398

399 change<sup>76</sup> or decreases in consumption.<sup>40,69</sup>

400 Of the more specified measures of meat consumption, no change in intake was the most common response for low-fat meats (Poland),<sup>62</sup> flesh meats (Iran),<sup>83</sup> "red meat, chicken, and 401 fish" (Turkey),<sup>71</sup> and "beef, pork, or lamb" (US)<sup>76</sup>.One study in Italy found that more participants 402 403 reported an increased consumption of both white meat and preserved meat than a reduced 404 consumption<sup>67</sup> and one study in Spain found that there was an increase in the percentage of 405 participants who reported consuming two or more portions of "red meat, hamburgers, sausages, or cold meats" per day during the pandemic (17.3%) compared to 12.9% who did so before the 406 pandemic.<sup>85</sup> Conversely, one study in Iran found a significant decrease in the consumption of 407 organ meats.<sup>83</sup> 408

409 Fish and/or Seafood

A total of 13 studies measured changes in consumption of fish and/or seafood during the 410 pandemic.<sup>40,44,51,61,62,67,69,76,78,83–85,88</sup> Studies from Poland, Denmark, Spain, Lithuania, Iran, and 411 412 the US found that the majority of participants (68.4%-78.3%) reported that their consumption of fish and/or seafood remained the same during the pandemic.<sup>44,51,61,62,76,83,84</sup> When consumption 413 did change, it tended to decrease (14.3%-21%).<sup>51,62,76,84</sup> In fact, studies from Kuwait, China 414 415 (longitudinal), Kenya, and Uganda found that a decrease in consumption was most common.<sup>40,69,88</sup> However, increases in consumption were also reported in Canada and Spain.<sup>78,85</sup> 416 417 One study from Italy found that consumption changed by type of fish with an increase in preserved and frozen fish intake and a decrease in fresh fish intake.<sup>67</sup> 418 419 Legumes 420 Eleven studies examined changes in the consumption of legumes during the

421 pandemic.<sup>44,51,55,61,62,67,80,81,83–85</sup> Overall, findings from Poland, Denmark, Spain, Lithuania,

422 Turkey, and Zimbabwe suggest that intake of legumes remained at similar levels during

423 compared with before the pandemic,<sup>44,51,61,62,71,81,84</sup> although several studies (in Spain, Italy, and
424 Iran) did report increased consumption,<sup>67,83,85</sup> and one study (in Brazil) reported a decrease.<sup>80</sup>
425 One study from Italy looked at proteins and included in this list was meat, fish eggs, cheese, and
426 legumes.<sup>55</sup> They found that for most participants (54%) protein consumption was unchanged,
427 with the second most common response being increased consumption (27.3%).<sup>55</sup>

### 428 Frozen Foods

One longitudinal study<sup>88</sup> from China and five cross-sectional studies<sup>13,63,65,66,80</sup> from the US, Qatar, UAE, MENA, and Brazil measured changes in frozen food consumption with mixed results suggesting an overall decrease<sup>66,88</sup> or no change in intake.<sup>13,63,65</sup> Conversely, in one study out of Brazil there was an increase in participants who reported consuming ready-to-eat frozen food (e.g., frozen pizza, frozen lasagna) more than two days a week during (14.6%) compared to before (10.0%) the pandemic.<sup>80</sup>

### 435 Fast Food

Ten studies examined how the consumption of fast food changed during the
pandemic.<sup>13,44,47,51,61,62,65,66,68,84</sup> Overall, consumption tended to remain the same<sup>51,61,62,84</sup> or
decrease<sup>13,44,65,66,68</sup> in countries including the US, UK, Australia, UAE, MENA, Poland,
Denmark, Spain, and Lithuania. In one study from Canada more people reported eating less
(mothers:42%, fathers: 44%, children: 26%) rather than more (mothers:10%, fathers: 13%,
children: 8%) fast food during the pandemic.<sup>47</sup>

### 442 Homemade Foods

Eight studies examined changes in consumption of homemade foods during the pandemic.<sup>51,58,61,62,65–67,74</sup> In contrast to all other food categories, the overall consumption of homemade foods tended to increase during the pandemic; two studies found increases in the

446	percentage of participants that reported homemade meals as one of their most consumed meals
447	(MENA and UAE). <sup>65,66</sup> One study found a significant increase in the frequency of eating home-
448	cooked meals (Saudi Arabia) and one study found that the majority of participants (59.6%)
449	reported cooking at home more than before the pandemic (Chile). <sup>58,74</sup> Furthermore, a study in
450	Poland found the largest group of participants (48.8%) reported that their consumption of
451	homemade meals remained the same; the second most endorsed option was nearly as common
452	and was increased intake (48.0%). <sup>62</sup>
453	Considering specific homemade foods, three studies from Poland, Denmark, and
454	Lithuania found most participants (50.8%-53.8%) reported no change in their consumption of
455	homemade pastries; however, a considerable percentage of participants (37.7%-39.9%) reported
456	an increase. <sup>51,61,62</sup> Further, in a study out of Italy, more participants reported increased than
457	decreased consumption of both homemade sweets and homemade pizza. <sup>67</sup>
457 458	decreased consumption of both homemade sweets and homemade pizza. <sup>67</sup> Snack Food
458	Snack Food
458 459	Snack Food Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the
458 459 460	Snack Food Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the pandemic. <sup>43,45,47,48,51,54,55,57,59,61–63,67,71,76,80,84,88</sup>
458 459 460 461	Snack Food Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the pandemic. <sup>43,45,47,48,51,54,55,57,59,61-63,67,71,76,80,84,88</sup> One longitudinal <sup>48</sup> and eight cross-sectional <sup>43,47,51,54,55,61,71,84</sup> studies showed that when
458 459 460 461 462	Snack Food Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the pandemic. <sup>43,45,47,48,51,54,55,57,59,61–63,67,71,76,80,84,88</sup> One longitudinal <sup>48</sup> and eight cross-sectional <sup>43,47,51,54,55,61,71,84</sup> studies showed that when measured as an overall category, snack food intake tended to increase or remain stable in
458 459 460 461 462 463	Snack Food Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the pandemic. <sup>43,45,47,48,51,54,55,57,59,61-63,67,71,76,80,84,88</sup> One longitudinal <sup>48</sup> and eight cross-sectional <sup>43,47,51,54,55,61,71,84</sup> studies showed that when measured as an overall category, snack food intake tended to increase or remain stable in Australia, Saudi Arabia, Canada, Lithuania, Spain, Greece, Italy, Denmark, and Turkey.
458 459 460 461 462 463 464	Snack Food         Eighteen studies assessed how the consumption of snack foods changed during the pandemic. <sup>43,45,47,48,51,54,55,57,59,61–63,67,71,76,80,84,88</sup> One longitudinal <sup>48</sup> and eight cross-sectional <sup>43,47,51,54,55,61,71,84</sup> studies showed that when measured as an overall category, snack food intake tended to increase or remain stable in Australia, Saudi Arabia, Canada, Lithuania, Spain, Greece, Italy, Denmark, and Turkey.         Considering specific snack foods, two studies conducted in Poland and the US examined

468 response was increased consumption (23.5%-37.4%) in the studies from  $Italy^{59}$  and  $US^{76}$  and

469	decreased consumption (19.7%) in the study from Poland . <sup>62</sup> One study asked only about
470	increased consumption with 21% of respondents from the UAE reporting increased salty snack
471	intake. <sup>57</sup> However, results from a study conducted in Italy show more participants reported a
472	reduced intake (12.7%) compared to an increased intake (9.3%). <sup>67</sup>
473	Considering savory snacks (i.e., peanuts or other nuts, cream crackers, cheese biscuits
474	and cheese, chips, salty biscuits), consumption tended to remain the same or increase. <sup>45,80</sup> Two
475	studies found the highest proportion of participants (40-49%) reported no change in
476	consumption <sup>43,45</sup> with the second highest portion of participants reporting increased consumption
477	(28%) in the UK <sup>45</sup> and equal portions reporting decreased consumption (30%) and increased
478	consumption (30%) in Saudi Arabia. <sup>43</sup> ( Additionally, a Brazilian study found more participants
479	reported consuming savory snacks more than two days a week during the pandemic (13.2%)
480	compared to before the pandemic $(9.5\%)$ . <sup>80</sup>
481	Two studies measured changes in consumption of sweet snacks (i.e., chocolate, biscuits,
482	cakes, ice cream, cupcakes, cookies). <sup>45,57</sup> One study from the UK found the highest portion of
483	participants (46%) reported that their consumption was unchanged, and the second most
484	common response (28%) was that consumption of sweet snacks increased. <sup>45</sup> Another study from
485	UAE found that only 7.1% of participants reported consuming more sweet snacks during vs.
486	before the pandemic. <sup>57</sup>
487	One study from Qatar assessed snack consumption as the change in intake for both
488	healthier snack foods and unhealthful snacks and found the largest portion of participants

489 (41.1%-57.7%) reported no change in consumption regardless of healthfulness.<sup>63</sup> When

490 consumption did change, it tended to increase for healthy snacks (20.9%) and decrease for

491 unhealthy snacks (32.4%).

492 Finally, a longitudinal study from China examined the consumption of snacks and
493 beverages together and found most participants reported either stable (38%) or decreased
494 consumption (38%).<sup>88</sup>

The results of all 18 studies that measured changes in snack food consumption indicate that, in general, the consumption of snack foods either remained the same or increased during the pandemic. The magnitude of the increased consumption was dependent on the type of snack food.

499 Sweets and/or Bakery Products

A total of 19 studies examined how the consumption of various types of sweets and/or 500 bakery products changed during the pandemic. 43,44,50,51,54,55,59,61-63,67,68,76-78,80,83-85 Overall. 501 502 consumption of sweets and/or bakery products tended to either remain the same or increase. 503 Ten of the 19 studies asked participants about pandemic-related changes in their 504 consumption of sweets in general or as a combined list of sweet foods (i.e., a single item with multiple sweets listed).<sup>43,44,55,59,63,67,68,76,80,83</sup> Studies from Saudi Arabia,<sup>43</sup> Poland,<sup>44</sup> Brazil,<sup>80</sup> 505 Italy,<sup>55</sup> and a large international sample (US/UK/Australia/Canada/Other)<sup>68</sup> found the most 506 507 common response was an increase in sweet consumption (44%-50%). One study from the US, 508 found nearly equal proportions of participants reporting increased (43.9%) or no change (43.5%)in consumption.<sup>76</sup> Studies from Qatar<sup>63</sup> and Italy<sup>59</sup> found the most common response was no 509 510 change in consumption (43.3% - 44%). Of these studies, the second most common response was decreased consumption (28.7%) for one study<sup>63</sup> and increased (42.5%) for the other.<sup>59</sup> Finally, 511 one study from Iran found that there was a significant decrease in sweet consumption<sup>83</sup> and one 512 513 study from Italy found more participants reported a reduced intake (16.7%) compared to an increased intake (11.3%) of sweets during the pandemic.<sup>67</sup> 514

rnal Pre-proot

515	Five studies based in Denmark, <sup>61</sup> Poland, <sup>62</sup> Spain/Greece, <sup>54</sup> Spain, <sup>84</sup> and Lithuania <sup>51</sup>
516	assessed changes in the consumption of pastries during the pandemic. For most participants
517	(55.2%-60.6%) consumption was unchanged. <sup>51,61,62,84</sup> Similarly, when asked if they consumed
518	more pastries during the pandemic, 69.4% of participants from Spain and 62.2% of the
519	participants from Greece responded they had not. <sup>54</sup> When consumption did change there were
520	both reported increases <sup>61,84</sup> and decreases. <sup>51,62</sup>
521	Two studies from an international sample (Nigeria/Turkey/US/Europe) <sup>77</sup> and Spain <sup>85</sup>
522	examined how the consumption of bakery products in general changed during the pandemic. One
523	found fewer participants reported preferring bakery foods during the pandemic (10%) compared
524	to before (20%). <sup>77</sup> The other study found a significant increase in the consumption of bakery
525	foods during the pandemic. <sup>85</sup>
526	Two studies from Poland <sup>62</sup> and Germany <sup>50</sup> examined how the consumption of
527	confectionaries changed during the pandemic. The largest group of participants from Germany
528	(44.5%) reported increased consumption <sup>50</sup> while the largest group of participants from Poland
529	(48.7%) reported no change in consumption. <sup>62</sup>
530	Two studies assessed more specified measures of sweets and/or bakery products. <sup>62,78</sup> In
531	Poland, the most common response was no change in the consumption of sweetened spreads
532	(91.6%), ice cream and pudding (74.9%), and sweetened cereal and/or cereal bars (88.3%). <sup>62</sup>
533	Additionally, one study from Canada found a significant decrease in the consumption of added
534	sugars. <sup>78</sup>
535	Healthy Eating

A total of 21 studies addressed changes in the healthiness of food eaten during the
 pandemic.<sup>40,46,53,55,56,62–64,67,68,70,72,74,85,89–95</sup> See Table 8 for a summary of measures and findings.

538	Seventeen studies used self-report measures to gauge perceived changes in healthy eating
539	(e.g., before and during the pandemic "How would you rate your overall habits of eating healthy
540	foods?").40,46,55,56,63,64,67,68,70,72,74,89-93,95 The most common finding was no change in the
541	healthiness of foods eaten. 46,55,56,63,67,90,92,95 When the second most common responses are
542	considered for studies that had predominately no-change responses, results are almost equally
543	mixed between increases and decreases in healthy eating. One study out of Qatar found large
544	numbers of participants reported improved healthiness of their diets with 44.5% of respondents
545	perceiving a decrease in unhealthy food consumption and 32.3% perceiving an increase in
546	healthy consumption. <sup>63</sup> Concordantly, studies from Italy <sup>46</sup> and France <sup>95</sup> found the second most
547	common response behind no change (47% and 54% respectively) was improved diet quality
548	(34%) <sup>46</sup> and a more balanced diet (29%). <sup>95</sup> However, the second most common response in a
549	study from Italy was a worsening in eating habits (37.2%) <sup>67</sup> and one large international study
550	found more participants reported eating less healthfully (35.6%) than more healthfully (20.7%). <sup>68</sup>
551	When the most common response was a change in self-reported perceptions of healthy
552	eating (meaning more respondents indicated there was a change in the healthiness of their meals
553	than not) changes were divided between increases and decreases in healthy eating. For example,
554	one study from Saudi Arabia found that there was a significant increase in participants rating
555	their food as good/excellent in healthiness (22.3% before to 29.5% during the pandemic). <sup>74</sup>
556	Similarly, a study from Vietnam found that 42.8% of respondents reported eating healthier in
557	2020 than before the pandemic. <sup>91</sup> Conversely, three studies tended towards decreases in
558	healthiness during the pandemic relative to before; one international study with respondents from
559	North Africa, Western Asia, Europe, and 3% "other" countries (not specified by the authors)
560	found that there was a significant increase in participants reporting unhealthy eating from before

to during the pandemic.<sup>64</sup> A study from Scotland showed 40.9% of respondents reported their diet was less healthy in 2020 than it was pre-pandemic<sup>92</sup> and 61.2% of participants from a US study reported greater challenge in adhering to healthy diet plans during the pandemic relative to before.<sup>89</sup> Finally, 55% of a sample from Kenya and Uganda reported they were unable to eat healthy/nutritious foods during the pandemic, compared to 21% before.<sup>40</sup> Findings from the study suggests this limitation was related to participants experiencing higher levels food insecurity during the pandemic.

Emblematic of these mixed results, studies from the UK<sup>70</sup> and US<sup>93</sup> found close to equal proportions of self-reported diet change. In the US, 37% reported no change in overall diet, 32% reported a healthier diet, and 31% reported a worse diet compared to before the pandemic.<sup>93</sup> In the UK, 31% of participants stated there was no change in how often they ate healthy and balanced meals while 35% reported eating healthier meals more frequently and 35% reported less.<sup>70</sup>

574 Six studies used self-report plus researcher categorization of healthiness to assess 575 changes during the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., participants would report their consumption of 576 various categories of foods - fish, vegetables, etc. - and the researchers would use these self-577 report data to code the extent to which the participant followed the Mediterranean diet) with findings showing mixed results.<sup>53,62,67,68,85,94</sup> For example, one study in Italy found that 37.4% 578 579 reported eating more foods deemed to be healthy defined here by their adherence to the Mediterranean diet (e.g., fruits, nuts, etc.) and 35.8% ate healthy foods less.<sup>67</sup> Moreover, one 580 581 study in Poland generated three eating patterns based on consumption of healthy foods (e.g., 582 vegetables) and non-recommended foods (e.g., sweets). The "constant" pattern of relatively 583 stable eating from before to during the pandemic included the largest portion of participants

584 (53%), followed by the "pro-healthy" pattern of eating (27.6%) characterized by increased intake of healthy foods and decreased intake of non-recommended foods.<sup>62</sup> Three studies used validated 585 586 measures of healthiness and found opposing results; a study from the US, UK, Australia, Canada 587 and a small portion of other countries found a significant increase in overall healthy eating (p<.001),<sup>68</sup> whereas studies from France and Vietnam showed a significant decrease in healthy 588 eating compared to before lockdown (p<.001).<sup>53,94</sup> Lastly, one Spanish study measured healthy 589 590 eating as high adherence to the Mediterranean diet, finding that there was an increase from 4.7% to 8% adherence from before to during the pandemic.<sup>85</sup> 591

# 592 Eating Behaviors Not Otherwise Specified

This section reviews 19 articles addressing changes in four categories of key eating behaviors during COVID-19 compared to before: 1) binge eating,<sup>96–99</sup> 2) uncontrolled/out of control eating,<sup>64,100–102</sup> 3) overeating,<sup>70,93</sup> and 4) restrictive eating behaviors including meal skipping and fasting.<sup>40,65–67,69,70,89,93,97,98,100,102–105</sup> See Table 9 for a summary of measures and findings.

# 598 Binge Eating, Uncontrolled Eating, and Overeating

599 Taken together, binge eating, uncontrolled/out-of-control eating, and overeating represent 600 a similar set of disordered eating behaviors. Studying these behaviors in isolation can be hard for 601 researchers as measures are not always consistent across studies. For example, in this review some studies measuring binge eating used full validated measures, <sup>97,98</sup>a selected portion of a 602 questionnaire on eating patterns.<sup>99</sup> and researcher-derived items such as "compared with before 603 the COVID-19 virus crisis, I have binged on food."<sup>96</sup> These differences not only provide a 604 605 challenge to generalize across studies but also make it hard to differentiate between these related 606 constructs. For instance, one study used the following two items to measure binge eating: "In the

607 past month, have you ever eaten so much food in a short period of time that you would be 608 embarrassed if others saw you (binge-eating)?" and "During the times when you ate this way, did you feel you couldn't stop eating or control what or how much you were eating?"<sup>99</sup> These items 609 610 have strong conceptual overlap with out-of-control eating and overeating which are themselves 611 components of binge eating. 612 In the current review, we will be discussing the three constructs of binge eating, out-of-613 control eating, and overeating as described in the original studies. Details about each measure and their corresponding results can be found on Table 9. 614 615 **Binge Eating.** Binge eating is defined as eating a large amount of food in a short period of time while feeling an inability to stop eating.<sup>106</sup> Four articles specifically discussed binge 616 eating and found that it generally increased or remained the same during the pandemic.<sup>96–99</sup> For 617 618 example, in a study from the UK, 49% of participants reported increases in binge eating compared to before the COVID-19 crisis (19% reported less and 33% reported no change).<sup>96</sup> A 619 620 large proportion of participants (34.6%) in a study from Australia also reported increased binge 621 eating behaviors during relative to before COVID-19 (60% reported no change).<sup>98</sup> 622 Changes in binge eating were related to several factors. For instance, a longitudinal study 623 from the US found that binge eating was 2.88 times higher during the pandemic among 624 individuals who experienced pre-pandemic weight stigma.<sup>99</sup> Additionally, in one study from 625 France, increases in binge eating at the start of the pandemic were higher among those who had 626 higher BMI, perceived stress, stress related to lockdown, depression, and anxiety, as well as those who were women and had probable eating disorders.<sup>97</sup> Moreover, anticipated bingeing in 627 628 the next two weeks during lockdown was associated with higher age, depression, stress related to

lockdown, COVID-19 media exposure, and risk of eating disorders and lower BMI, impulse
 regulation, and body satisfaction.<sup>97</sup>

631 Uncontrolled/Out of Control Eating. Uncontrolled eating can be described as the 632 inability to control the amount or type of eating once started, regardless of how much was 633 eaten.<sup>106</sup> A total of four articles included uncontrolled eating as a reported measure of eating behavior change during the pandemic.<sup>64,100–102</sup> Overall, there were increases in uncontrolled 634 635 eating. For example, in one study from North Africa, Western Asia, and Europe, there were more 636 participants reporting eating out of control most of the time (20.4%) and always (9.6%)compared to before the pandemic (9.7% and 2.3% respectively).<sup>64</sup> Similarly, two studies from 637 Turkey found increases in uncontrolled eating behavior compared to before COVID-19;<sup>100,102</sup> 638 639 one reported that increased uncontrolled eating was related to lower income (compared to higher income) and younger age (18-20 years old compared to above 35).<sup>100</sup> Lastly, the majority of 640 641 participants in a study from the UK reported either agreeing (29.4%) or strongly agreeing 642 (23.7%) that it was more difficult to control or regulate their eating during the pandemic than it 643 had been before the pandemic.<sup>101</sup>

644**Overeating.** Two articles discussed overeating.70.93One study from the UK found645increases in overeating during lockdown compared to before, with increases related to being646female, being younger, and a lower education, as well as a higher BMI, having had COVID-19,647and having negative mental health since lockdown or a previous psychiatric diagnosis.648Additionally, a study from the US found equal proportions of respondents reporting more (39%)649and no change (39%) in overeating during the pandemic compared to before the pandemic.650

## 652 Restrictive Eating

653 Six studies assessed changes in restrictive eating (outside of meal skipping) during the COVID-19 pandemic with mixed results.<sup>93,97,98,100,102,104</sup> Two studies from Australia and the US 654 655 found that the majority of participants (59% and 52% respectively) reported no changes in food 656 restriction and restricted eating; however, the next largest portion of participants reported an increase (27.6%) of food restriction in the study from Australia<sup>98</sup> and a decrease (28%) of 657 658 restricted eating in the study from the US.<sup>93</sup> In one study from Turkey, cognitive restraint decreased during the pandemic,<sup>100</sup> and in another study, 9.1% of adults from Turkey reported 659 increased restrained eating during the pandemic.<sup>102</sup> 660 Varying results in restrictive eating could be related to individual differences. One study 661 from France looked at factors related to changes dietary restriction and found anticipated dietary 662 663 restriction was higher among women, those whose BMIs were classified as "underweight" or

"obese," as well as those who had higher stress related to lockdown, were at risk of eating
disorders, had higher levels of body dissatisfaction, lower impulse regulation and higher
endorsements of appearance ideals.<sup>97</sup> Similarly, a study of Lebanese adults showed that higher
restraint scores were predicted by greater fears related to COVID-19, higher BMI, and more
physical activity.<sup>104</sup>

Meal Skipping and Fasting. Ten studies assessed meal skipping and fasting during the pandemic generally finding either no change or a decrease during the pandemic compared to before.<sup>40,65–67,69,70,89,93,103,105</sup> For example, studies from the US and UK showed predominantly no change (both 45%) or a decrease (30-31%) in meal skipping.<sup>70,93</sup> In the same US based study, the majority of individuals (54%) reported no changes in fasting, with 30% of participants reporting less fasting.<sup>93</sup> Still, two studies from the UAE and MENA showed a significant decrease in meal

675	skipping comparing levels before the pandemic (64.4%-65.5%) and during the pandemic
676	(45.1%-46.2%). <sup>65,66</sup> One study out of Kenya and Uganda did find that significantly more
677	individuals skipped meals during the COVID-19 period compared to before. <sup>40</sup> However, there
678	was a significant increase in food insecurity in this sample; skipping meals likely came out of
679	necessity which might be one possible explanation for the disparate findings. <sup>40</sup>
680	There were differences in skipping depending on the meal. For example, one study from
681	Kuwait found there was a decrease in the amount of people skipping the snack between breakfast
682	and lunch and an increase in skipping lunch. <sup>69</sup> Similarly, during the pandemic, participants from
683	Turkey reported skipping breakfast and snacks less and skipping lunch more compared to before
684	the pandemic. <sup>105</sup> Finally, while 17.5% of people in a study from Italy reported skipping meals
685	they normally ate, 23.5% reported they introduced a snack/meal. <sup>67</sup>
686	People cited different reasons for meal skipping. In a US study, parents with financial
687	concerns also reported cutting or skipping meals on more days per month during the pandemic
688	(11.0 $\pm$ 7.5 days/month) compared to before the pandemic (2.9 $\pm$ 2.2 days/month). <sup>103</sup> Another US
689	study also found that reports of meal skipping were related to financial strain (12.1% of
690	participants), but overall, the majority (78.3%) of the sample was not food insecure. <sup>89</sup> Finally,
691	studies from the UAE and MENA found that reasons for skipping meals changed with fewer
692	people reporting skipping meals due to lack of time and more in people citing: aims to lose
693	weight (18.5% up to 23.6%), fasting (10.3% up to 25.7%), lack of appetite (27.7% up to 36.0%),
694	and to reduce food intake (21.7% up to 29.1%). <sup>65,66</sup>
695	

696

## 698 **Reasons for Eating**

699 This section reviews changes in reasons for eating reported during the COVID-19

700 pandemic relative to before the pandemic from a total of 27

701 articles. 40,45,47,53,55,56,59,65,66,69,70,83,89,93,95,97,99-105,107-110

One's reasons for changes in eating behaviors can be related to psychological, social, and emotional states,<sup>111,112</sup> all of which have been altered during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>17</sup> In the studies reviewed here, three general themes encompass participants' reasons for changes in eating behavior: 1) emotions and mood, 2) cravings, weight control or body image, and 3) increased/decreased environmental opportunity. See Table 10 for a summary of measures and findings.

## 708 Emotions and Mood

In general, cross-sectional<sup>45,70,100,107</sup> and longitudinal<sup>102</sup> studies showed increases in emotional eating which were usually related to increased eating. For example, a study from the UK found greater emotional overeating and lower emotional undereating behaviors were associated with increased eating overall.<sup>45</sup> However, emotional eating did not look the same for all respondents; for example, in one study from the UK, 42% of individuals ate more and 26% ate less due to their feelings.<sup>70</sup>

Considering general mood, 48% of participants from a study in France reported mood
was of increased importance to their eating behaviors and this increased importance was
associated with negative diet quality.<sup>53</sup>

The following subsections discuss specific mood and emotion-related reasons for changesin eating.

Depression and anxiety. Ten studies conducted out of France (3),<sup>95,97,109</sup> Turkey (1),<sup>105</sup>
Lebanon (1),<sup>104</sup> the US (1),<sup>99</sup> the UK (1),<sup>101</sup> and Italy (3)<sup>55,59,107</sup> found that depression and anxiety
(and related factors) were related to changes in eating behaviors during the early COVID-19
pandemic. For example, 34.7% of participants from a study based out of Italy reported that
anxiety and depression were the main reasons for changes in their eating habits.<sup>55</sup>

725 Depression was investigated less than anxiety, however findings suggest that increased 726 depression and similar forms of negative mental health were related to specific changes in eating 727 behaviors. A study conducted in France reported that negative changes in depression were associated with negative changes in nutrition.<sup>95</sup> Further, a longitudinal study from the US found 728 729 that experiences of weight stigma and weight-related teasing before the pandemic were related to greater depression scores and eating as a coping mechanism during the pandemic.<sup>99</sup> Two studies 730 731 reported on factors related to depression. One study out of France found that those who reported 732 increased consumption of higher caloric and salty foods had a higher likelihood of lower mental well-being.<sup>109</sup> Additionally, one study from the UK found that psychological distress was 733 associated with difficulties in eating regulation and control.<sup>101</sup> 734

Anxiety was highly related to several different changes in eating behaviors. For example, one study from France found that higher anxiety was related to higher rates of both restriction and binge eating.<sup>97</sup> In a study from Lebanon greater anxiety was associated with higher participant eating concerns.<sup>104</sup> Additionally, one study from Turkey found that higher anxiety was associated with increased consumption of certain types of food (e.g., milk, cheese, meat, bread).<sup>105</sup>

In some cases, eating was the source of anxiety, and in others, food was used to quell
anxiety. For example, one study conducted in Italy found 57.8% of their participants reported

743	feeling anxious about their eating habits. <sup>107</sup> In the same study participants reported eating as a
744	response to anxious feelings (48.7%), eating more to feel better (55.1%), and excluding certain
745	foods that specifically led to anxiety (20.3%). <sup>107</sup> In a similar study from Italy, 42.7% of
746	participants reported that of stress, anxiety, and boredom during quarantine were main reasons
747	for their diet and that they ate more "comfort food" as a response to the anxiety. <sup>59</sup>
748	<b>Stress.</b> Eleven studies representing the US (4), <sup>89,93,99,110</sup> France (3), <sup>95,97,109</sup> Kuwait (1), <sup>69</sup>
749	Poland (1), <sup>108</sup> and the Netherlands (1) <sup>56</sup> investigated stress and found increases in stress
750	eating <sup>89,110</sup> feelings of stress managed by eating, <sup>56,99</sup> the percentage of participants reporting
751	eating in response to stress, <sup>69</sup> and specific eating behaviors (e.g., binging, restricted eating)
752	related to stress. <sup>93,97</sup> For example, 42.7% of participants in a study from Italy reported that
753	increased stress, anxiety, and boredom, was a main reason for their changes in diet over
754	quarantine. <sup>59</sup>
755	Two studies from the US found increases in the frequency of stress eating and <sup>89</sup> the
756	amount of food eaten in response to stress. <sup>110</sup> In fact, there were many dietary changes in
757	response to stress. Two studies from France found that stress was related to increased
758	consumption of high calorie and salty food <sup>109</sup> and negative changes in diet nutrition. <sup>95</sup> Moreover,
759	19.2% of participants from a study from the Netherlands reported more stress was an important
760	reason for eating unhealthier during the lockdown. <sup>56</sup>
761	Stress is also related to maladaptive eating behaviors. For example, in the US higher
762	stress was related to changes in fasting, restricted eating, skipping meals, and overeating <sup>93</sup>
763	Moreover, a study from France found that participants with higher perceived stress and higher
764	stress related to the COVID-19 lockdown had higher instances of binge eating. <sup>97</sup> Higher stress
765	

765 was related to the lockdown was also related to food restriction.<sup>97</sup>

766	Fear and anger. Studies from Lebanon, <sup>104</sup> Turkey, <sup>105</sup> Poland, <sup>108</sup> and Kuwait <sup>69</sup> found that
767	fear of COVID-19 and anger were reasons for eating changes during the pandemic. <sup>69,104,105,108</sup>
768	Specifically, in a study out of Lebanon, fear of COVID-19 was related to higher scores in
769	restrained eating, and anger and fear of COVID-19 were associated with higher eating concern
770	scores. <sup>104</sup> In a study from Turkey, fear of COVID-19 was associated with increased eating of
771	specific foods such as cakes, cookies, cheese, and dried fruit. <sup>105</sup> One study out of Poland
772	explained that fear of limited access to food (reported by 39% of participants) was most strongly
773	predicted by perceived changes in food availability. <sup>108</sup> Finally, in a study out of Kuwait, a greater
774	number of people who reported eating when they felt angry, stressed, unhappy, or bored was
775	larger during the pandemic compared to before. <sup>69</sup>
776	Boredom. Five studies found boredom to be a strong influence on eating behaviors
777	during the COVID-19 pandemic. <sup>55,56,69,104,110</sup> For example, one study from Italy found that
778	boredom was a main reason for changes in eating behaviors <sup>55</sup> and in another study from the US,
779	73% of adults reported eating more when bored. <sup>110</sup> Studies from the Netherlands <sup>56</sup> and
780	Lebanon <sup>104</sup> found that boredom was linked to increased eating concern <sup>104</sup> and was a reason for
781	increased eating during lockdown compared to before. <sup>56</sup>
782	Cravings
783	Three studies found that cravings increased or remained the same during the
784	pandemic.45,101,110 A study based in the UK found 46% of participants reported an increase in

ravings during (vs. before) the pandemic with only 23% reporting a decrease.<sup>45</sup> Craving

intensity was examined in the same study although 41% reported no changes in craving intensity,

the next largest group (36%) reported increased intensity of cravings. Moreover, a higher number

788 of people reported lower craving control during COVID-19 compared to before.<sup>45</sup> These results

parallel findings from the US in which 73% of participants reported an increase in "eating
because [they] crave certain foods" during the pandemic relative to before.<sup>110</sup> Relatedly, in one
study from the UK, most participants reported that they were more preoccupied with food and/or
eating (37.8% agree, 21.8% strongly agree) during the pandemic compared to before.<sup>101</sup>

793 Weight Control and Body Image

794 Four studies found that weight loss intentions, weight control, and body dissatisfaction 795 contributed to changes in eating behaviors.<sup>53,65,66,97</sup> For example, one study conducted in France 796 assessing food choice motives found that higher perceived importance of weight control was related to decreased nutritional quality during the pandemic.<sup>53</sup> Similarly, studies from the UAE 797 798 and MENA found increases in the amount of people citing weight loss intentions as a main 799 reason for skipping meals (18.5%-20.2% before and 23.6%-23.8% during, respectively).<sup>65,66</sup> 800 Relatedly, a study out of France showed that greater feelings of body dissatisfaction and higher 801 endorsement of ideal body stereotypes were related to both increased dietary restriction and binge eating during the pandemic.<sup>97</sup> 802

803 Environmental Factors

804 Thirteen articles reported on environmental factors as reasons for
805 eating.<sup>40,47,55,56,65,66,70,83,89,103,108–110</sup> The specific social and physical environmental factors
806 assessed are presented below.

Eating with Family & Friends. Four studies found that the presence or absence of family and friends influenced individuals' eating during the pandemic.<sup>55,56,109,110</sup> In a study from Italy, 21.3% of participants reported family presence as impacting their eating behaviors.<sup>55</sup> These findings were consistent with a study out of the US in which 59% of participants reported eating more often with friends and family during quarantine compared to before quarantine.<sup>110</sup>

812 Similarly, on study conducted in France found that increased consumption of high calorie and/or 813 salty food was related to being alone during lockdown and having a partner.<sup>109</sup> Moreover, 17.8% of participants from a study out of the Netherlands reported that fewer social contacts and feeling 814 lonely were important reasons for their eating less healthfully during the lockdown.<sup>56</sup> 815 816 **Time.** Changes in available time showed mixed impacts on eating behaviors. Increased 817 time for cooking was reported among 32.7% of individuals from Italy, and increased cooking 818 time was cited as one of the main reasons for changes in eating behaviors during the pandemic.<sup>55</sup> One study from the UK found that 88% of participants had time to eat healthy.<sup>70</sup> This parallels 819 820 find from one study from the Netherlands where participants reported that more time and head 821 space to prepare healthy meals (30.3%) and more time and head space to be conscious about 822 healthy meals (26.3%) were some of the top reasons for eating healthier during lockdown.<sup>56</sup> 823 However, in the same study, more leisure time (31.5%) and more time, head space and

825 lockdown.<sup>56</sup> Lastly, those reporting a lack of time as a main reason for meal skipping decreased
826 during the pandemic.<sup>65,66</sup>

opportunity to bake (19.2%) were some of the top factors for eating unhealthier during

824

Increased Exposure to Food. Food availability and exposure to food cues were also related to changes in eating behaviors during COVID-19. For example, in an Italian sample, 19.3% of participants reported the continuous availability of food as a main reason for eating changes.<sup>55</sup> In a study from the Netherlands, 35.6% of participants endorsed an increase in unhealthy temptations at home as a main reason for less healthful eating during the lockdown; however, this same study also suggested decreased exposures to unhealthy food temptations at work, social events, and dinners were important reasons for eating healthier during the

pandemic.<sup>56</sup> Finally, 65% of participants in a study from the US reported increased eating in
response to the sight and smell of food during COVID-19 compared to before.<sup>110</sup>

836 Lack of Resources: Food Insecurity. Food insecurity and low food availability were 837 further reasons for eating changes during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. In Kenya 838 and Uganda, there was a 39% increase in food insecurity (16% increase in severe insecurity), and 839 this was related to increases in worrying about not having enough food, being unable to eat 840 healthy/nutritious foods, eating only a few kinds of foods, skipping meals, eating less food, 841 running out of food, feeling hungry and not eating, and going without eating for a whole day.<sup>40</sup> 842 Finding of food insecurity in the US were mixed; one study reported that food security lowered by 17% and families with low food security increased by 20% during the pandemic.<sup>103</sup> 843 844 Moreover, this same study explained that increases in skipped meals during the pandemic was related to decreases in available money.<sup>103</sup> However, another study from the US found that 845 78.3% of the sample was not food insecure.<sup>89</sup> Moreover, one study conducted in Canada showed 846 847 low reports of food insecurity in the past month (at the time of the study) and low expectations of food insecurity in the six months to come<sup>47</sup> and a study from Iran showed that food insecurity 848 significantly reduced during the pandemic.<sup>83</sup> Lastly, a study from Poland found 87.4% of 849 850 participants noticed changes in food availability, which was the strongest predictor of fear for 851 limited food access (reported by 39%).<sup>108</sup>

852

## Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused an unprecedented upheaval in the everyday experiences of individuals around the world. The virus and the widely-mandated lockdowns used to prevent its spread left people with limited access to care and support, caused a host of economic and social stressors, and impacted mental health around the world.<sup>17,113</sup> These

combined outcomes as well as the disruptions to daily routines can impact eating behaviors in
unexpected ways. It is important to understand how eating behaviors have changed during the
pandemic not only because of the well-established links between nutrition and a wide-variety of
chronic diseases, but also because of newly-emerging evidence linking diet with COVID-19
susceptibility.<sup>30,31</sup>

862 This narrative review sheds light on how key eating behaviors changed globally during 863 the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic for adults without eating disorders. In general, most 864 studies showed that dietary behaviors were more likely to remain the same during the pandemic 865 than they were to change. However, where behaviors like food intake did change, they tended 866 toward increased consumption. For example, aggregated analysis of total intake showed that 867 44.9% of individuals reported no change in the amount of food consumed during the COVID-19 868 pandemic, and the next most common response (31%) was an increase in consumption. 869 Similarly, frequency of consuming meals and snacks generally remained the same, with the next 870 highest response being an increase in the number of meals and snacks consumed. These results 871 are complicated by the use, in many studies, of simplified measures that assessed only increase, decrease, or no change. 872

Regarding changes to types of foods consumed during the pandemic, intake largely remained the same for most food groups, but trends did vary by food category. For fruits and vegetables, legumes, white breads/pastas, homemade pastries, and snacks (general as well as sweet, savory, and salty), participants reported stable or increased consumption. Decreased consumption was reported for specific vegetables such as dark green leafy vegetables. For dark breads/grains, meats (including red meats and processed meats), seafood/fish, frozen foods, and fast food, participants tended to either report no change or decreased consumption. Many of

880	these increases and decreases could be at least partly explained by reduced access to restaurants
881	during COVID-related lockdowns. <sup>114</sup> Indeed, increased consumption was found for homemade
882	foods in general (and for specific types of homemade foods measured separately, such as pizza
883	and sweets). However, purchasing and consumption behaviors might have shifted more minutely
884	in certain categories due to perceptions of COVID-19 and transmission. For example, people
885	fearing COVID-19 infection may be less likely to buy fruits and vegetables with porous and/or
886	edible skins but may also be keener to purchase and consume peelable vitamin A-rich fruits. <sup>83</sup> In
887	fact, it should be noted that, as detailed above, many other social, psychological, and
888	environmental factors also impacted dietary behaviors during the
889	pandemic. <sup>40,45,47,53,55,56,59,65,66,69,70,83,89,93,95,97,99–105,107–110</sup> As discussed, location and food security,
890	among other factors, influence the types of foods one is able to obtain. These factors are
901	
891	particularly important to consider in the case of fruits and vegetables and fish/seafood as access
891 892	particularly important to consider in the case of fruits and vegetables and fish/seafood as access can differ considerably by region.
892	can differ considerably by region.
892 893	can differ considerably by region. Results concerning changes in healthy eating were decidedly mixed. Most self-reported
892 893 894	can differ considerably by region. Results concerning changes in healthy eating were decidedly mixed. Most self-reported perceptions of changes showed stable levels of healthy eating; however, when eating behaviors
892 893 894 895	can differ considerably by region. Results concerning changes in healthy eating were decidedly mixed. Most self-reported perceptions of changes showed stable levels of healthy eating; however, when eating behaviors did change or were assessed with researcher-generated measures, a similar number of studies
892 893 894 895 896	can differ considerably by region. Results concerning changes in healthy eating were decidedly mixed. Most self-reported perceptions of changes showed stable levels of healthy eating; however, when eating behaviors did change or were assessed with researcher-generated measures, a similar number of studies reported increases and decreases in eating healthy during the pandemic compared to before.

900 prone to biases due to social desirability and the desire to showcase a healthy lifestyle.<sup>115–117</sup>

901 Findings show that binge eating, uncontrolled/out of control eating, and overeating
902 tended to increase during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to before. Mixed findings were

found for restrictive eating. In general, meal skipping and fasting decreased, however this varied
by region; some findings indicate increases in meal skipping, often due to financial concerns and
food insecurity. Of note, there have been several reviews of disordered eating behaviors
published prior to this review that lend more detailed findings and additional context to these
types of behaviors.<sup>118-121</sup>
Considering reasons for eating, emotions, and moods such as depression, stress, fear,

909 anger, and boredom were all related to changes in eating behaviors, often being an increase in 910 consumption. Cravings, weight control, and body image were also associated with eating 911 behavior changes. Eating more frequently with family and friends and increased exposure to 912 food cues were both related to an increase in food consumption, whereas food insecurity was 913 typically related to a decrease in food consumption. Increases in available time showed mixed 914 results, as some individuals reported cooking healthier meals more often and skipping meals less 915 often, while others used their leisure time to bake which was one of the top reported factors for 916 eating less healthfully during the pandemic.

917 The overwhelming majority of the research studies reviewed here show, for most people, 918 eating behaviors did not seem to change a great deal in the early periods of the COVID-19 919 pandemic. This dietary consistency even in the face of a pandemic could be because eating 920 behaviors are largely based in routines and other automatized behaviors.<sup>122</sup> Although daily life 921 changed for many, evidence shows that these habitual behaviors have remained intact.<sup>56</sup>

For those whose eating behaviors did change, they tended to increase in amount and frequency of eating. Similarly, increases were noted for consumption of fruits and vegetables, legumes, white breads/pastas, homemade pastries, and snacks, and for behaviors such as binge eating, uncontrolled eating, overeating and dietary restraint. Notable decreases were found in amount of food for those who were more food insecure and more generally in the consumption
of dark green leafy vegetables, dark breads/grains, meats, seafood/fish, frozen foods, and fast
food.

929 Strengths and Limitations

As of this writing, this is the first comprehensive international narrative review of
changes in eating behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic. This review synthesizes results
from 71 articles from over 30 countries worldwide.

933 The inclusion of data from many countries is a strength of this research but also means 934 that readers must be aware that some data may not represent the patterns of dietary change that 935 may be prevalent in their home regions. For instance, all of the studies that reported on the 936 overall consumption of homemade foods were from countries in the Middle East; this leaves a 937 substantial gap in the current understanding of consumption of homemade foods in other areas of 938 the world. It could be erroneous to assume similar pandemic experiences across different regions 939 and countries; thus, it is important to consider the context of the studies reporting on the various 940 dietary behaviors included in this review when attempting to make inferences about any specific geographic region. 941

Including only studies published or online-published in 2020 lends some benefits in comparability among the studies published during this timeframe; in general, most studies describe the time period between April and June, 2020. However, this scope provides limited understanding of how eating behaviors progress as pandemic life becomes the "new normal." Future reviews and research studies should seek to longitudinally assess changes in eating behaviors over the course of the pandemic to clarify whether the changes in eating behaviors reported relatively early in the pandemic and reviewed here persist, cease, or otherwise change.

949 Moreover, the limited scope of this review necessitated the omission of numerous 950 biopsychosocial correlates of eating behaviors that were undoubtedly influential during the 951 pandemic. Previously existing inequities in health and wellbeing were exacerbated by the 952 COVID-19 crisis particularly in areas of food insecurity.<sup>123</sup> For instance, food insecurity during 953 the COVID-19 pandemic may have influenced people to redistribute their food budgets to 954 maximize their purchase in ways they might not have previously done (e.g., calories/cost, 955 storage-ability, etc.) ultimately resulting in an increased or decreased consumption of certain foods.<sup>40,103,124</sup> It is critical to study the intersecting disadvantages faced by individuals who have 956 957 been socially marginalized, as they are often overlooked in mainstream research despite their 958 relevance to public health policy.<sup>125</sup>

# 959 Challenges to Studying Eating During the COVID-19 Pandemic

960 Disruptions along multiple facets of the research process have generated substantial obstacles for researchers worldwide.<sup>126</sup> Social distancing measures reduced researchers' ability 961 962 to collect data from participants in person; thus, data collected during the pandemic were largely 963 self-reported. Although some self-report measures are the best options available for assessing 964 specific constructs (such as emotions), other self-report measures can be problematic in terms of accuracy, especially regarding nutrition.<sup>127</sup> Qualitative research during the pandemic faced 965 966 challenges with interview processes while quantitative researchers, without standardized 967 pandemic-relevant measures, turned to self-generated items leading to a reduced ability to compare findings across studies.<sup>126</sup> Many researchers encountered low response rates to studies 968 969 even when utilizing more accessible platforms such as online surveys which creates further concerns about sampling bias.<sup>126</sup> Further, there has been a reduction in the timely and expedited 970 approvals from ethics review boards.<sup>128</sup> In addition to logistical obstacles, researchers studying 971

diet during the pandemic faced hurdles in acquiring the finances and technology needed to run
more-involved studies in a timely manner. Lastly, illness or caretaking responsibilities for those
who have become ill create extra constraints on researcher time and availability.

975 The barriers described above are prevalent in the research on eating behaviors, as a 976 paucity of researchers used objective measures in assessing dietary patterns and behaviors. 977 Moreover, truly capturing changes in eating behaviors in an objective way necessitates extant 978 longitudinal designs with comparative data both before and during the pandemic. Only five studies included in this review utilized longitudinal designs.<sup>48,49,88,99,102</sup> Further, conducting 979 980 controlled experiments assessing the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on dietary behaviors 981 was implausible; thus, results from this time period are based in descriptive statistics and cross-982 sectional associations, and causality should not be inferred.

## 983 Implications and Recommendations for Future Research

984 Scientists have speculated that while it has been over 100 years since the last major 985 pandemic (i.e., the 1918 influenza pandemic), the COVID-19 pandemic will likely not be the 986 only pandemic of the 21st century.<sup>129</sup> Research from the COVID-19 pandemic not only benefits 987 current understanding of the pandemic as it continues to wreak havoc around the globe, but also 988 informs public health professionals about key changes in health behaviors that may be impacting 989 the spread of the virus and the effectiveness of mitigation techniques. Similarly, many lessons 990 can be learned to inform the empirical study of eating behaviors in future public health 991 emergencies.

992 One of the pressing questions surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic is about the lasting 993 changes it will make to day-to-day life around the globe. Strategically-designed longitudinal 994 studies benefit understanding of dietary changes over time. Given that many of the studies

995 conducted in 2020 and reviewed here examined earlier timepoints in disease spread and 996 lockdown orders, there exists a dearth of research addressing the long-term effects of the 997 COVID-19 pandemic on eating behaviors around the globe. While this review found that 998 changes in eating behaviors during the pandemic were less prevalent than stable eating patterns, 999 determining how eating changes over the course of the pandemic lends valuable insights 1000 regarding altered patterns of behavior during times of crisis, isolation, and daily routine change. 1001 An important area for future research is developing resilience in healthy eating patterns 1002 during pandemic conditions. In addition to generalized health benefits, consumption of nutritious 1003 foods has been highlighted as an effective tool for personal risk management through bolstered immune system functioning.<sup>30,130</sup> Moreover, further studies should focus on clinical and sub-1004 1005 clinical instances of disordered eating to increase access to care and counseling services as 1006 research shows that these populations might be particularly vulnerable to eating behavior disturbances.<sup>131</sup> Lastly, researchers should continue to address how weakness in the economic 1007 1008 systems due to public health crises impact food insecurity and corresponding changes in eating 1009 behaviors.

1010

## Summary

Although the COVID-19 pandemic has been associated with some changes in what and how people eat, by and large, eating behaviors remained quite stable during the COVID-19 pandemic. When changes did occur, there tended to be increases in the amount and frequency of food intake, consumption of food such as snacks and specified behaviors such as binge eating, uncontrolled eating, and overeating. Decreases were found for foods such as fast food and for meal skipping behaviors. Changes in eating behaviors were typically related to changes in mood, emotion, cravings, and/or the environment. Despite the comprehensive nature of this review,

1018	mar	ny related biopsychosocial variables are not fully explored. Further work is needed to address							
1019	the	the impact of critical factors such as food insecurity. By understanding how health behaviors							
1020	suc	h as eating change under pandemic conditions, public health officials can develop more							
1021	targ	eted campaigns to improve health on a local, national, or international scale.							
1022		References							
1023	1.	Gardner B, De Bruijn GJ, Lally P. A systematic review and meta-analysis of applications of							
1024		the self-report habit index to nutrition and physical activity behaviours. Ann Behav Med.							
1025		2011;42(2):174-187. doi:10.1007/s12160-011-9282-0							
1026	2.	Wood W, Neal DT. Healthy through habit: Interventions for initiating & maintaining health							
1027		behavior change. Behav Sci Policy. 2016;2(1):71-83. doi:10.1353/bsp.2016.0008							
1028	3.	Barber SJ, Kim H. COVID-19 worries and behavior changes in older and younger men and							
1029		women. J Gerontol Ser B. 2021;76(2):17-23. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbaa068							
1030	4.	Parker K, Horowitz J, Minkin R, Arditi T. How the Coronavirus Outbreak Has-and Hasn't-							
1031		Changed the Way Americans Work. Pew Research Center. Published December 9, 2020.							
1032		Accessed August 24, 2021. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/12/09/how-							
1033		the-coronavirus-outbreak-has-and-hasnt-changed-the-way-americans-work/							
1034	5.	Falk G, Romero PD, Carter JA, Nicchitta IA, Nyhof EC. Unemployment Rates During the							
1035		COVID-19 Pandemic. 2021 Congr Res Serv Rep. Accessed August 24, 2021.							

1036 https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/details?prodcode=R46554

1037	6.	Ellison B, McFadden B, Rickard BJ, Wilson NLW. Examining Food Purchase Behavior
1038		and Food Values During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Appl Econ Perspect Policy.
1039		2021;43(1):58-72. doi:10.1002/aepp.13118
1040	7.	Ebel R, Byker-Shanks C. Short-Term Impacts of COVID-19 Public Health Regulation on
1041		Consumer Food Purchases: A Case Study From a Grocery Store in Montana. Front Sustain
1042		Food Syst. 2022;5:1-20. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.708504
1043	8.	Garza Casado M, Glennon B, Lane J, McQuown D, Rich D, Weinberg BA. The Aggregate
1044		Effects of Fiscal Stimulus: Evidence from the COVID-19 Unemployment Supplement.;
1045		2020. doi:10.3386/W27576
1046	9.	Filimonau V, Vi LH, Beer S, Ermolaev VA. The Covid-19 pandemic and food consumption
1047		at home and away: An exploratory study of English households. Socioecon Plann Sci.
1048		Published online July 2021:101125-101125. doi:10.1016/j.seps.2021.101125
1049	10.	Van Lancker W, Parolin Z. COVID-19, school closures, and child poverty: a social crisis in
1050		the making. Lancet Public Health. 2020;5(5):243-244. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30084-

- 1051 0
- 1052 11. Laguna L, Fiszman S, Puerta P, Chaya C, Tárrega A. The impact of COVID-19 lockdown
- 1053 on food priorities. Results from a preliminary study using social media and an online survey
- 1054 with Spanish consumers. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2020;86(July):104028-104028.
- 1055 doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.104028
- 1056 12. O'Hara S, Toussaint EC. Food access in crisis: Food security and COVID-19. *Ecol Econ*.
- 1057 2021;180. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106859

1058	13.	Chenarides L, Grebitus C, Lusk JL, Printezis I. Food consumption behavior during the
1059		COVID-19 pandemic. Agribusiness. 2021;37(1):44-81. doi:10.1002/agr.21679
1060	14.	Li J, Hallsworth AG, Coca-Stefaniak JA. Changing grocery shopping behaviours among
1061		Chinese consumers at the outset of the COVID-19 outbreak. Tijdschr Voor Econ En Soc
1062		Geogr. 2020;111(3):574-583. doi:10.1111/tesg.12420
1063	15.	Hobbs JE. Food supply chains during the COVID-19 pandemic. Can J Agric Econ Can
1064		Agroeconomie. 2020;68(2):171-176. doi:10.1111/CJAG.12237
1065	16.	Hassen T, El Bilali H, Allahyari MS, Berjan S, Fotina O. Food purchase and eating
1066		behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey of Russian adults.
1067		Appetite. 2021;165:105309-105309. doi:10.1016/J.APPET.2021.105309
1068	17.	Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Rubin, Gideon
1069		J. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the
1070		evidence. The Lancet. 2020;395(10227):912-920. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
1071	18.	Devonport TJ, Nicholls W, Fullerton C. A systematic review of the association between
1072		emotions and eating behaviour in normal and overweight adult populations. J Health
1073		Psychol. 2019;24(1):3-24. doi:10.1177/1359105317697813
1074	19.	Hill D, Conner M, Clancy F, Moss R, Wilding S, Bristow M, O'Connor, DB. Stress and
1075		eating behaviours in healthy adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Psychol
1076		Rev. Published online 2021:1-25. doi:10.1080/17437199.2021.1923406

1077	20	Paans NPG	Gibson-Smith D	Bot M. De	pression and	eating sty	les are inder	pendently
10//	20.	$\mathbf{I}$ units $\mathbf{I}$ ( $\mathbf{I}$ $\mathbf{O}$ ,	Oloboli Dillini D	, Dot $m$ Do	pression and	cuting buy	ies are mae	pendentity

- associated with dietary intake. *Appetite*. 2019;134:103-110.
- 1079 doi:10.1016/j.appet.2018.12.030
- 1080 21. Cherikh F, Frey S, Bel C, Attanasi G, Alifano M, Iannelli A. Behavioral food addiction
- 1081 during lockdown: Time for awareness, time to prepare the aftermath. *Obes Surg*.

1082 2020;30(9):3585-3587. doi:10.1007/s11695-020-04649-3

- 1083 22. De Backer C, Teunissen L, Cuykx I, Decorte P, Pabian S. Gerritsen S, Matthys C, Sabbah
- 1084 HA, Royen KV, Bergheim I. An evaluation of the COVID-19 pandemic and perceived
- 1085 social distancing policies in relation to planning, selecting, and preparing healthy meals: An
- 1086 observational study in 38 countries worldwide. *Front Nutr.* 2021;7:375-375.
- 1087 doi:10.3389/fnut.2020.621726
- 1088 23. Noar SM, Chabot M, Zimmerman RS. Applying health behavior theory to multiple
- 1089 behavior change: Considerations and approaches. *Prev Med.* 2008;46(3):275-280.
- 1090 doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2007.08.001
- 1091 24. Spring B, Schneider K, McFadden HG, Vaughn J, Kozak A, Smith M, Moller A, Epstein L,
- 1092 DeMott A, Hedeker D, Siddique J, Lloyd-Jones DM. Multiple behavior changes in diet and
- 1093 activity: A randomized controlled trial using mobile technology. Arch Intern Med.
- 1094 2012;172(10):789-796. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2012.1044
- 1095 25. Carmassi C, Antonio Bertelloni C, Massimetti G, Miniati M, Stratta P, Rossi A, Dell'Osso
- 1096 L. Impact of DSM-5 PTSD and gender on impaired eating behaviors in 512 Italian

- 1097 earthquake survivors. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;225(1-2):64-69.
- 1098 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2014.10.008
- 1099 26. Kuijer RG, Boyce JA. Emotional eating and its effect on eating behaviour after a natural
- 1100 disaster. *Appetite*. 2012;58(3):936-939. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2012.02.046
- 1101 27. Fan S, Si W, Zhang Y. How to prevent a global food and nutrition security crisis under
- 1102 COVID-19? China Agric Econ Rev. 2020;12(3):471-480. doi:10.1108/CAER-04-2020-
- 1103 0065
- 1104 28. Rodgers RF, Franko DL, Brunet A, Herbert CF, Bui E. Disordered eating following
- 1105 exposure to television and internet coverage of the march 2011 Japan earthquake. Int J Eat
- 1106 *Disord*. 2012;45(7):845-849. doi:10.1002/eat.22031
- 1107 29. Snider SE, Mellis AM, Poe LM, Kocher MA, Turner JK, Bickel WK. Reinforcer
- 1108 Pathology: Narrative of hurricane-associated loss increases delay discounting, demand, and
- 1109 consumption of highly palatable snacks in the obese. *Psychol Addict Behav J Soc Psychol*
- 1110 *Addict Behav.* 2020;34(1):136-146. doi:10.1037/adb0000498
- 1111 30. Butler MJ, Barrientos RM. The impact of nutrition on COVID-19 susceptibility and long-
- 1112 term consequences. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:53-54. doi:10.1016/J.BBI.2020.04.040
- 1113 31. Calder PC. Nutrition, immunity and COVID-19. BMJ Nutr Prev Health. 2020;3(1):74-74.
- 1114 doi:10.1136/BMJNPH-2020-000085

1115	32.	Headey DD, Ruel MT. The COVID-19 nutrition crisis: What to expect and how to protect.
1116		In: COVID-19 and Global Food Security. International Food Policy Research Institute
1117		(IFPRI); 2020:38-41.
1118	33.	Arora T, Grey I. Health behaviour changes during COVID-19 and the potential

- 1119 consequences: A mini-review. J Health Psychol. 2020;25(9):1155-1163.
- 1120 doi:10.1177/1359105320937053
- 1121 34. Rodriguez da Silva F, Junior AHL, Brant VM, Lôbo I, Lancha L, Silva A, Túlio de Mello
- 1122 M. The effects of COVID-19 quarantine on eating and sleeping behaviors. *Nutrire*.
- 1123 2020;45(2):1-4. doi:10.1186/s41110-020-00128-y
- 1124 35. Mattioli AV, Sciomer S, Cocchi C, Maffei S, Gallina S. Quarantine during COVID-19
- 1125 outbreak: Changes in diet and physical activity increase the risk of cardiovascular disease.
- 1126 *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2020;30(9):1409-1417. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2020.05.020
- 1127 36. Mehmet N, Özlem A, Mehmet N. Eating habits changes during covid-19 pandemic
- 1128 lockdown. *ESTÜDAM Halk Sağlığı Derg*. 2020;5(COVID-19 Special Issue):169-177.
- 1129 37. Zupo R, Castellana F, Sardone R, Sila A, Giagulli V, Triggiani VA, Cincione RI, Giannelli
- 1130 G, Túlio de Mello M. Preliminary trajectories in dietary behaviors during the COVID-19
- 1131 pandemic: A public health call to action to face obesity. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.
- 1132 2020;17(19):1-15. doi:10.3390/ijerph17197073
- 1133 38. Bakaloudi DR, Barazzoni R, Bischoff SC, Breda J, Wickramasinghe K, Chourdakis M.
- 1134 Impact of the first COVID-19 lockdown on body weight: A combined systematic review
- and a meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr*. Published online 2021. /pmc/articles/PMC8056819/

- 1136 39. Campbell H, Wood AC. Challenges in feeding children posed by the COVID-19 pandemic:
- 1137 A systematic review of changes in dietary intake combined with a dietitian's perspective.

1138 *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2021;10(3):155-165. doi:10.1007/s13668-021-00359-z

- 1139 40. Kansiime MK, Tambo JA, Mugambi I, Bundi M, Kara A, Owuor C. COVID-19
- 1140 implications on household income and food security in Kenya and Uganda: Findings from a
- 1141 rapid assessment. *World Dev.* 2021;137:105199-105199.
- 1142 doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105199
- 1143 41. Aljohani NE. The effect of the lockdown for the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic on
- body weight changes and eating habits in Saudi Arabia. *J Saudi Soc Food Nutr*.

1145 2020;13(1):103-113.

- 1146 42. Antunes R, Frontini R, Amaro N, Salvador R, Matos R, Morouço P, Rebelo-Gonçalves R.
- 1147 Exploring lifestyle habits, physical activity, anxiety and basic psychological needs in a
- sample of portuguese adults during COVID-19. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*
- 1149 2020;17(12):1-13. doi:10.3390/ijerph17124360
- 1150 43. Bakhsh MA, Khawandanah J, Naaman RK, Alashmali S. The impact of COVID-19
- 1151 quarantine on dietary habits and physical activity in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study.
- 1152 *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):1-10. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-11540-y
- 1153 44. Błaszczyk-Bębenek E, Jagielski P, Bolesławska I, Jagielska A, Nitsch-Osuch A, Kawalec
- 1154 P. Nutrition behaviors in polish adults before and during COVID-19 lockdown. *Nutrients*.
- 1155 2020;12(10):1-16. doi:10.3390/nu12103084

- 1156 45. Buckland NJ, Swinnerton LF, Ng K, Price M, Wilkinson L, Myer A, Dalton M.
- 1157 Susceptibility to increased high energy dense sweet and savoury food intake in response to
- 1158 the COVID-19 lockdown: The role of craving control and acceptance coping strategies.
- 1159 *Appetite*. 2021;158(July 2020):105017-105017. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.105017
- 1160 46. Cancello R, Soranna D, Zambra G, Zambon A, Invitti C. Determinants of the lifestyle
- 1161 changes during covid-19 pandemic in the residents of northern italy. *Int J Environ Res*

1162 *Public Health.* 2020;17(17):1-14. doi:10.3390/ijerph17176287

- 1163 47. Carroll N, Sadowski A, Laila A, Hruska V, Nixon M, Ma David, Haines J. The impact of
- 1164 COVID-19 on health behavior, stress, financial and food security among middle to high
- income Canadian families with young children. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(8):1-14.
- 1166 doi:10.3390/nu12082352
- 48. Gallo LA, Gallo TF, Young SL, Moritz KM, Akison LK. The impact of isolation measures
  due to COVID-19 Australian university students. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(1865):1-14.
- 1169 49. Herle M, Smith A, Bub F, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Trajectories of eating behavior during
- 1170 COVID-19 lockdown: Longitudinal analyses of 22,374 adults in the UK. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*.
- 1171 2021;42:158-165. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.01.046
- 1172 50. Huber BC, Steffen J, Schlichtiger J, Brunner S. Altered nutrition behavior during COVID-
- 1173 19 pandemic lockdown in young adults. *Eur J Nutr*. 2021;60(5):2593-2602.
- 1174 doi:10.1007/s00394-020-02435-6
- 1175 51. Kriaucioniene V, Bagdonaviciene L, Rodríguez-Pérez C, Petkeviciene J. Associations
- between changes in health behaviours and body weight during the covid-19 quarantine in

- 1177 lithuania: The lithuanian covidiet study. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(10):1-9.
- 1178 doi:10.3390/nu12103119
- 1179 52. López-Moreno M, López MTI, Miguel M, Garcés-Rimón M. Physical and psychological
- effects related to food habits and lifestyle changes derived from covid-19 home
- 1181 confinement in the spanish population. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11):1-17.
- 1182 doi:10.3390/nu12113445
- 1183 53. Marty L, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Labesse M, Nicklaus S. Food choice motives and the
- 1184 nutritional quality of diet during the COVID-19 lockdown in France. *Appetite*.
- 1185 2021;157(July 2020). doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.105005
- 1186 54. Papandreou C, Arija V, Aretouli E, Tsilidis KK, Bulló M. Comparing eating behaviours,
- and symptoms of depression and anxiety between Spain and Greece during the COVID-19
- 1188 outbreak: Cross-sectional analysis of two different confinement strategies. *Eur Eat Disord*
- 1189 *Rev.* 2020;28(6):836-846. doi:10.1002/erv.2772
- 1190 55. Pellegrini M, Ponzo V, Rosato R, Scumaci E, Goitre I, Benso A, Belcastro S, Crespi C, De
- 1191 Michieli F, Ghigo E, Broglio F, Bo S. Changes in weight and nutritional habits in adults
- 1192 with obesity during the "lockdown" period caused by the COVID-19 virus emergency.
- 1193 *Nutrients*. 2020;12(7):1-11. doi:10.3390/nu12072016
- 1194 56. Poelman MP, Gillebaart M, Schlinkert C, Dijkstra SC, Derksen E, Mensink F, Hermans
- 1195 RCJ, Aardening P, de Ridder D, de Vet E. Eating behavior and food purchases during the
- 1196 COVID-19 lockdown: A cross-sectional study among adults in the Netherlands. *Appetite*.
- 1197 2021;157. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.105002

1198	57.	Radwan H, Al Kitbi M, Al Hilali M, Abbas N, Hamadeh R, Saif ER, Naja F. Diet and
1199		lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown in the United Arab Emirates: Results of a
1200		cross-sectional study. Nutrients. 2020;12(11):3314-3314. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-76807/v1
1201	58.	Reyes-Olavarría D, Latorre-Román PÁ, Guzmán-Guzmán IP, Jerez-Mayorga D, Caamaño-
1202		Navarrete F, Delgado-Floody P. Positive and negative changes in food habits, physical
1203		activity patterns, and weight status during covid-19 confinement: Associated factors in the
1204		chilean population. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(15):1-14.
1205		doi:10.3390/ijerph17155431
1206	59.	Scarmozzino F, Visioli F. Covid-19 and the subsequent lockdown modified dietary habits
1207		of almost half the population in an Italian sample. Foods. 2020;9(5).
1208		doi:10.3390/foods9050675
1209	60.	Sidor A, Rzymski P. Dietary choices and habits during COVID-19 lockdown: Experience
1210		from Poland. Nutrients. 2020;12(6):1-13. doi:10.3390/nu12061657
1211	61.	Giacalone D, Frøst MB, Rodríguez-Pérez C. Reported changes in dietary habits during the
1212		COVID-19 lockdown in the Danish population: The Danish COVIDiet study. Front Nutr.
1213		2020;7:1-8. doi:10.3389/fnut.2020.592112
1214	62.	Górnick M, Ewa Drywien M, Zielinksa M, Hamulka J. Dietary and lifestyle changes during
1215		COVID-19 and the subsequent lockdowns among Polish adults : PLifeCOVID-19 Study.
1216		Nutrients. 2020;12:1-20.
1217	63.	Ben Hassen T, El Bilali H, Allahyari MS. Impact of covid-19 on food behavior and

1218 consumption in qatar. *Sustain Switz*. 2020;12(17):1-18. doi:10.3390/su12176973

1219	64.	Ammar A,	Brach M,	Trabelsi K,	Chtourou H,	Boukhris	O, Masmoudi	i L, Bouazi	zΒ,
------	-----	----------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----

- 1220 Bentlage E, How D, Ahmed M, Müller P, Müller N, Aloui A, Hammouda O. Effects of
- 1221 COVID-19 home confinement on eating behaviour and physical activity : Results of the
- 1222 ECLB-COVID19 international online survey. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(6):1583-1583.
- 1223 65. Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN, Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN,
- 1224 Al Marzouqi A, Stojanovska L, Hashim M, Shaker Obaid RR, Elfeky S, Saleh ST, Shawar
- 1225 ZAM, Al Dhaheri AS. Assessment of eating habits and lifestyle during the coronavirus
- 1226 2019 pandemic in the Middle East and North Africa region: A cross-sectional study. *Br J*
- 1227 Nutr. 2021;126(5):757-766. doi:10.1017/S0007114520004547
- 1228 66. Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN, Marzouqi AAI, Jarrar AH, Jamous D,
- 1229 Magriplis E, Ali HI, Sabbah HAI, Hasan H, Almarzooqi LMR, Stojanovska L, Hashim M,
- 1230 Obaid RRS, Saleh ST, Dhaheri ASAI. Eating habits and lifestyle during covid-19 lockdown
- in the United Arab Emirates: A cross-sectional study. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11):1-20.
- 1232 doi:10.3390/nu12113314
- 1233 67. Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, Pivari F, Soldati L, Attina A, Cinelli G, Leggeri C, Caparello G,
- 1234 Barrea L, Scerbo F, Esposito F, De Lorenzo A. Eating habits and lifestyle changes during
- 1235 COVID-19 lockdown: An Italian survey. J Transl Med. 2020;18(1):1-15.
- 1236 doi:10.1186/s12967-020-02399-5
- 1237 68. Flanagan EW, Beyl RA, Fearnbach SN, Altazan AD, Martin CK, Redman LM. The impact
- 1238 of COVID-19 stay-at-home orders on health behaviors in adults. *Obesity*. 2021;29(2):438-
- 1239 445. doi:10.1002/oby.23066

- 1240 69. Husain W, Ashkanani F. Does COVID-19 change dietary habits and lifestyle behaviours in
- 1241 Kuwait: A community-based cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Prev Med.*
- 1242 2020;25(1):1-13. doi:10.1186/s12199-020-00901-5
- 1243 70. Robinson E, Boyland E, Chisholm A, et al. Obesity, eating behavior and physical activity
- 1244 during COVID-19 lockdown: A study of UK adults. *Appetite*. 2021;156(January).
- 1245 doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104853
- 1246 71. Yılmaz HÖ, Aslan R, Unal C. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on eating habits and food
- 1247 purchasing behaviors of university students. *Kesmas*. 2020;15(3):154-159.
- 1248 doi:10.21109/KESMAS.V15I3.3897
- 1249 72. Wang X, Lei SM, Le S, Yang Y, Zhang B, Yao W, Gao Z, Cheng S. Bidirectional influence
- 1250 of the COVID-19 pandemic lockdowns on health behaviors and quality of life among
- 1251 Chinese adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(15):1-17.
- doi:10.3390/ijerph17155575
- 1253 73. Sutaria M, Keny G, Pratinidhi SA. COVID-19 and its effect on nutrition. *Int J Community*
- 1254 *Med Public Health*. 2020;7(10):4112-4112. doi:10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20204385
- 1255 74. Alhusseini N, Alqahtani A. COVID-19 pandemics impact on eating habits in Saudi Arabia.
  1256 *J Public Health Res.* 2020;9:354-360.
- 1257 75. Bann D, Aase V, Maddock J, Hughes A, Ploubidis G, Richard S, Praveetha P. Changes in
- 1258 the behavioural determinants of health during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic:
- 1259 Gender, socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in 5 British cohort studies. *Health Eval*
- 1260 *Promot.* 2020;47(1):248-250.

76.	Bin Zarah A, Enriquez-Marulanda J, Andrade JM. Relationship between dietary habits,
	food attitudes and food security status among adults living within the united states three

1263 months post-mandated quarantine: A cross-sectional study. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11):1-14.

1264 doi:10.3390/nu12113468

1261

1262

- 1265 77. Celik B, Dane S. The effects of COVID-19 pandemic outbreak on food consumption
- 1266 preferences and their causes. *J Res Med Dent Sci.* 2020;8(3):169-173.
- 1267 78. Lamarche B, Brassard D, Lapointe A, Laramée C, Kearney M, Côté M, Bélanger-Gravel A,
- 1268 Desroches S, Lemieux S, Plante C. Changes in diet quality and food security among adults
- 1269 during the COVID-19-related early lockdown: Results from NutriQuébec. *Am J Clin Nutr*.
- 1270 2021;113(4):984-992. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqaa363
- 1271 79. López-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Casaña J, Casajús J, Smith L, Tully M, Andersen L, López-
- 1272 Sánchez G. COVID-19 confinement and health risk behaviors in Spain. *Front Psychol.*
- 1273 2020;11. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01426
- 1274 80. Malta DC, Szwarcwald CL, Barros MB, Gomes C, Machado Í, Souza Júnior P, Romero D,
- 1275 Lima M, Damacena G, Pina M, Freitas M, Werneck A, Silva D, Azevedo L, Gracie R. The
- 1276 COVD-19 pandemic and changes in adult Brazilian lifestyles: A cross-sectional study.
- 1277 Epidemiol E Serv Saude Rev Sist Unico Saude Bras. 2020;29(4). doi:10.1590/S1679-
- 1278 49742020000400026
- 1279 81. Matsungo TM, Chopera P. Effect of the COVID-19-induced lockdown on nutrition, health
- and lifestyle patterns among adults in Zimbabwe. *BMJ Nutr Prev Health*. 2020;3(2):205-
- 1281 212. doi:10.1136/bmjnph-2020-000124

ourr	na L	$\mathbf{Dr}$	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r}$	$\sim$	
oun			$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{I}}$	$\mathbf{U}$	U.

1282	82.	Murphy B, Benson T, McCloa, A Mooney E,, Elliott C, Dean M, Lavelle F. Changes in
1283		consumers' food practices during the covid-19 lockdown, implications for diet quality and
1284		the food system: A cross-continental comparison. Nutrients. 2021;13(1):1-14.
1285		doi:10.3390/nu13010020
1286	83.	Pakravan-Charvadeh MR, Mohammadi-Nasrabadi F, Gholamrezai S, Vatanparast H, Flora
1287		C, Nabavi-Pelesaraei A. The short-term effects of COVID-19 outbreak on dietary diversity
1288		and food security status of Iranian households (A case study in Tehran province). J Clean
1289		Prod. 2021;281:1-11. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124537
1290	84.	Rodríguez-Pérez C, Molina-Montes E, Verardo V, Artacho R, García-Villanova B, Guerra-
1291		Hernández E, Ruíz-López M. Changes in dietary behaviours during the COVID-19
1292		outbreak confinement in the Spanish COVIDiet study. Nutrients. 2020;12(6):1-19.
1293		doi:10.3390/nu12061730
1294	85.	Sánchez-Sánchez E, Ramírez-Vargas G, Avellaneda-López Y, Orellana-Pecino JI, García-
1295		Marín E, Díaz-Jimenez J. Eating habits and physical activity of the spanish population
1296		during the COVID-19 pandemic period. <i>Nutrients</i> . 2020;12(9):1-12.
1297		doi:10.3390/nu12092826
1298	86.	Sharma SV, Chuang R jye, Rushing M, Naylor B, Ranjit N, Pomeroy M, Markham C.
1299		Social determinants of health - related needs households with children. Prev Chronic Dis

- 1300 *Public Health Res Pract Policy*. 2020;17(E119):1-16.
- 1301 87. Werneck AO, da Silva DR, Malta DC, de Souza-Júnior P, Azevedo L, Barros M,
- 1302 Szwarcwald C. Lifestyle behaviors changes during the COVID-19 pandemic quarantine

1303		among 6,881 brazilian adults with depression and 35,143 without depression. Cienc E
1304		Saude Coletiva. 2020;25:4151-4156. doi:10.1590/1413-812320202510.2.27862020
1305	88.	Zhang J, Zhao A, Ke Y, Huo S, Ma Y, Zhang Y, Ren Z, Li Z, Liu K. Dietary behaviors in
1306		the post-lockdown period and its effects on dietary diversity: The second stage of a
1307		nutrition survey in a longitudinal Chinese study in the COVID-19 era. Nutrients.
1308		2020;12(11):1-12. doi:10.3390/nu12113269
1309	89.	Almandoz JP, Xie L, Schellinger JN, Mathew M, Gazda C, Ofori A, Kukreja S, Messiah
1310		SE. Impact of COVID -19 stay-at-home orders on weight - related behaviours among
1311		patients with obesity. Clin Obes. 2020;10(5):1-9. doi:10.1111/cob.12386
1312	90.	Do BN, Tran TV, Phan DT, Nguyen HC, Nguyen TTP, Nguyen HC, Ha TH, Dao HK,
1313		Trinh MV, Do TV, Nguyen HQ, Vo TT, Nguyen NPT, Tran CQ, Tran KV, Duong TT,
1314		Pham HX, Nguyen LV, Nguyen KT, Chang PWS, van Duong T. Health literacy, ehealth
1315		literacy, adherence to infection prevention and control procedures, lifestyle changes, and
1316		suspected COVID-19 symptoms among health care workers during lockdown: online
1317		survey. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(11). doi:10.2196/22894
1318	91.	Duong TV, Pham KM, Do BN, Kim GB, Dam HB, Le VT, Nguyen TP, Nguyen HT,,
1319		Nguyen TP Le TT, Do HT, Yang SH. Digital healthy diet literacy and self-perceived eating
1320		behavior change during COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate nursing and medical
1321		students: A rapid online survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(19):1-13.

1322 doi:10.3390/ijerph17197185

1323	92.	Ingram J, Maciejewski G, Hand CJ. Changes in diet, sleep, and physical activity are
1324		associated with differences in negative mood during COVID-19 lockdown. Front Psychol.
1325		2020;11(September). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.588604
100 6	•••	
1326	93.	Khubchandani J, Kandiah J, Saiki D. The covid-19 pandemic, stress, and eating practices in
1327		the united states. Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ. 2020;10(4):950-956.
1328		doi:10.3390/ejihpe10040067

- 1329 94. Pham KM, Pham LV, Phan DT, Tran TV, Nguyen HC, Nguyen MH, Nguyen HC, Ha TH,
- 1330Dao HK, Nguyen PB, Trinh MV, Do TV, Nguyen HQ, Nguyen TTP, Nguyen NPT, Tran
- 1331 CQ, Tran KV, Duong TT, Nguyen LV, Do TT, Vo TT, Do BN,, Duong TH Pham TTM, Le
- 1332 TT, Do N, Nguyen HTT, Mai TTT, Ha DT, Ngo HTM, Nguyen KT, Yang SH, Chao JCJ,
- 1333 Duong TV. Healthy dietary intake behavior potentially modifies the negative effect of
- 1334 COVID-19 lockdown on depression: A hospital and health center survey. *Front Nutr*.
- 1335 2020;7(November):1-12. doi:10.3389/fnut.2020.581043
- 1336 95. Rossinot H, Fantin R, Venne J. Behavioral changes during covid-19 confinement in france:
- a web-based study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(22):1-14.
- 1338 doi:10.3390/ijerph17228444
- 1339 96. Robinson E, Gillespie S, Jones A. Weight-related lifestyle behaviours and the COVID-19
- 1340 crisis: An online survey study of UK adults during social lockdown. *Obes Sci Pract*.
- 1341 2020;6(6):735-740. doi:10.1002/osp4.442
- 1342 97. Flaudias V, Iceta S, Zerhouni O, Rodgers RF,Billieux J, Llorca P, Boudesseul J, Chazeron
- 1343 IDE,, Rome L, Maurage P Samalin L, Begue L, Naassil, M, Brousse G, Guillaume S.

1344		COVID-19 pandemic lockdown and problematic eating behaviors in a student population. J
1345		Behav Addict. 2020;9(3):826-835. doi:10.1556/2006.2020.00053
1346	98.	Phillipou A, Meyer D, Neill E, Tan EJ, Toh W, Van RE, Rossell SL. Eating and exercise
1347		behaviors in eating disorders and the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic in
1348		Australia: Initial results from the COLLATE project. Int J Eat Disord. 2020;53(7):1158-
1349		1165. doi:10.1002/eat.23317
1350	99.	Puhl RM, Lessard LM, Larson N, Eisenberg ME, Neumark-Stzainer D. Weight stigma as a
1351		predictor of distress and maladaptive eating behaviors during covid-19: Longitudinal
1352		findings from the eat study. Ann Behav Med. 2020;54(10):738-746.
1353		doi:10.1093/ABM/KAAA077

- 1354 100. Şimsek M, Şen M. Change in people's eating behaviour during Covid-19. *Gaziantep Univ J* 1355 Soc Sci. 2020;19(COVID-19 Special Issue):179-190. doi:10.21547/jss.755139
- 1356 101. Robertson M, Duffy F, Newman E, Prieto Bravo C, Ates HH, Sharpe H. Exploring changes
- in body image, eating and exercise during the COVID-19 lockdown: A UK survey.
- 1358 *Appetite*. 2021;159(December 2020):105062-105062. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.105062
- 1359 102. Elmacloğlu F, Emiroğlu E, Ülker MT, Özyllmaz Klrcali B, Oruç S. Evaluation of
- nutritional behaviour related to COVID-19. *Public Health Nutr.* 2021;24(3):512-518.
- 1361 doi:10.1017/S1368980020004140

1011

- 1362 103. Adams EL, Caccavale LJ, Smith D, Bean MK. Food insecurity, the home food
- 1363 environment, and parent feeding practices in the era of covid-19. *Obesity*.
- 1364 2020;28(11):2056-2063. doi:10.1002/oby.22996

$\sim$	11111	Dr	.n	
U.	սու			U.

1365	104. Haddad C	, Zakhour M.	Bou Kheir M.	Haddad R.	Al Hachach M.	Sacre H.	Salameh P.

- 1366 Association between eating behavior and quarantine/confinement stressors during the
- 1367 coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. *J Eat Disord*. 2020;8(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s40337-020-
- 1368 00317-0
- 1369 105. Kaya S, Uzdil Z, Cakiroğlu FP. Evaluation of the effects of fear and anxiety on nutrition
- 1370 during the COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey. *Public Health Nutr.* 2021;24(2):282-289.
- 1371 doi:10.1017/\$1368980020003845
- 1372 106. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Binge Eating Disorder |
- 1373 NIDDK. Published online 2016. Accessed September 24, 2021.
- 1374 https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/weight-management/binge-eating-
- 1375 disorder/definition-facts
- 1376 107. Di Renzo LD, Gualtieri P, Cinelli G, Bigioni G, Soldati L, Attinà A, Bianco F, Caparello G,
- 1377 Camodeca V, Carrano E, Ferraro S, Giannattasio S, Leggeri C, Rampello T, Presti L,
- 1378 Tarsitano M, De Lorenzo A. Psychological aspects and eating habits during covid-19 home
- 1379 confinement: Results of ehlc-covid-19 Italian online survey. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(7):1-14.
- 1380 doi:10.3390/nu12072152
- 1381 108. Jeżewska-Zychowicz M, Plichta M, Królak M. Consumers' fears regarding food
- 1382 availability and purchasing behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic: The importance of
- 1383 trust and perceived stress. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(9):1-14. doi:10.3390/nu12092852
- 1384 109. Rolland B, Haesebaert F, Zante E, Benyamina A, Haesebaert J, Franck N. Global changes
- 1385 and factors of increase in caloric/salty food intake, screen use, and substance use during the

- early COVID-19 containment phase in the general population in France: Survey study.
- 1387 *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2020;6(3). doi:10.2196/19630
- 1388 110. Zeigler Z, Forbes B, Lopez B, Pedersen G, Welty J, Deyo A, Kerekes M. Self-quarantine
- and weight gain related risk factors during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Obes Res Clin Pract.*
- 1390 2020;14(3):210-216. doi:10.1016/j.orcp.2020.05.004
- 1391 111. Braden A, Musher-Eisenman D, Watford T, Emley E. Eating when depressed, anxious,
- bored, or happy: Are emotional eating types associated with unique psychological and
- 1393 physical health correlates? *Appetite*. 2018;125:410-417. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2018.02.022
- 1394 112. Evers C, Dingemans A, Junghans AF, Boevé A. Feeling bad or feeling good, does emotion
- 1395 affect your consumption of food? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Neurosci*

1396 Biobehav Rev. 2018;92:195-208. doi:10.1016/J.NEUBIOREV.2018.05.028

- 1397 113. Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Beltekian D, Mathieu E, Hasell J, Macdonald B, Giattino C,
- 1398 Appel C, Roser M. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19) Statistics and Research. Our
- 1399 *World Data*. Published online 2020. Accessed May 1, 2021.
- 1400 https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus
- 1401 114. Cohen JFW, Posluszny H, Falbe J. Restaurant dining during the COVID-19 pandemic
- among adults with low-income in the United States. *Appetite*. 2022;173:105976-105976.
- 1403 doi:10.1016/J.APPET.2022.105976
- 1404 115. Durmaz A, Dursun İ, Kabadayi ET. Mitigating the effects of social desirability bias in self-
- 1405 report surveys: Classical and new techniques. In: Applied Social Science Approaches to
- 1406 *Mixed Methods Research*. IGI Global; 2020:146-185.

1407	116. Hebert JR, Clemow L, Pbert L, Ockene IS, Ockene JK. Social desirability bias in dietary
1408	self-report may compromise the validity of dietary intake measures. Int J Epidemiol.
1409	1995;24(2):389-398.

1410 117. Caputo A. Social desirability bias in self-reported well-being measures: Evidence from an
1411 online survey. *Univ Psychol.* 2017;16(2):245-255.

- 1412 118. Schneider J, Pegram G, Gibson B, Talamonti D, Tinoco A, Craddock N, Matheson E,
- 1413 Forshaw, M. A mixed-studies systematic review of the experiences of body image,
- 1414 disordered eating, and eating disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Eat Disord*.
- 1415 Published online March 23, 2022. doi:10.1002/eat.23706
- 1416 119. Trott M, Johnstone J, Pardhan S, Barnett Y, Smith L. Changes in body dysmorphic
- 1417 disorder, eating disorder, and exercise addiction symptomology during the COVID-19
- 1418 pandemic: A longitudinal study of 319 health club users. *Psychiatry Res.* 2021;298:113831.
- 1419 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113831
- 1420 120. Breiner CE, Miller ML, Hormes JM. Changes in eating and exercise behaviors during the
- 1421 COVID-19 pandemic in a community sample: A retrospective report. *Eat Behav*.
- 1422 2021;42:101539. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2021.101539
- 1423 121. Linardon J, Messer M, Rodgers RF, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M. A systematic scoping review of
- 1424 research on COVID-19 impacts on eating disorders: A critical appraisal of the evidence and
- recommendations for the field. Int J Eat Disord. 2022;55(1):3-38. doi:10.1002/eat.23640

1426	122. de Ridder D.	Kroese F.	Evers C.	Adriaanse M.	Gillebaart M.	Healthy	y diet: Health im	pact.

1427 prevalence, correlates, and interventions. *Psychol Health*. 2017;32(8).

1428 doi:10.1080/08870446.2017.1316849

1429 123. Wolfson JA, Leung CW. Food insecurity and COVID-19: Disparities in early effects for us

- 1430 adults. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(6). doi:10.3390/nu12061648
- 1431 124. Hollis-Hansen K, Ferrante MJ, Goldsmith J, Anzman-Frasca S. Family Food Insecurity,
- 1432 Food Acquisition, and Eating Behavior Over 6 Months Into the COVID-19 Pandemic. J
- 1433 *Nutr Educ Behav.* Published online May 13, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2022.04.002
- 1434 125. Dye BA, Duran D, Murray DM, Creswell JW, Richard P, Farhat T, Breen N, Engelgau
- 1435 MM. The importance of evaluating health disparities research. *Am J Public Health*.

1436 2019;109(S1):S34-S40. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2018.304808

- 1437 126. Sharma MK, Anand N, Singh P, Vishwakarma A, Mondal I, Thakur P, Kohli T. Researcher
- burnout: An overlooked aspect in mental health research in times of COVID-19. Asian J

1439 *Psychiatry*. 2020;54:102367-102367. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102367

1440 127. Horner NK, Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML, Lampe JW, Beresford SA, Prentice RL.

1441 Participant characteristics associated with errors in self-reported energy intake from the

- 1442 Women's Health Initiative food-frequency questionnaire. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;76(4).
- 1443 doi:10.1093/ajcn/76.4.766
- 1444 128. Ma X, Wang Y, Gao T, He Q, He Y, Yue, R, You F, Tang J. Challenges and strategies to

research ethics in conducting COVID-19 research. 2020;(March):173-177.

1446 doi:10.1111/jebm.12388

1448 2020;5(30):1-1. doi:10.51291/2377-7478.1626

- 1449 130. Gasmi A, Noor S, Tippairote T, Dadar M, Menzel A, Bjørklund G. Individual risk
- 1450 management strategy and potential therapeutic options for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin*
- 1451 *Immunol.* 2020;215. doi:10.1016/j.clim.2020.108409
- 1452 131. Rodgers RF, Lombardo C, Cerolini S, Franko DL, Omori M, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M,
- 1453 Linardon J, Courtet P, Guillaume S. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on eating
- disorder risk and symptoms. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2020;53(7):1166-1170.
- 1455 doi:10.1002/eat.23318
- 1456 132. Ballard TJ Kepple, AW, Cafiero, C. The Food Insecurity Experience Scale: Developing a
- 1457 *Global Standard for Monitoring Hunger Worldwide*. Food and Agriculture Organization
- 1458 (FAO); 2013:1-38. Accessed March 23, 2022. http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/ess-
- 1459 fs/voices/en/
- 1460 133. Jeżewska-Zychowicz M, Gawęcki J, Wądołowska L, Czarnocińska J. Dietary Habits and
- 1461 *Nutrition Beliefs Questionnaire for People 15–65 Years Old, Version 1.1.* The Committee
- 1462 of Human Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences; 2018. Accessed June 15, 2022.
- 1463 https://moam.info/dietary-habits-and-nutrition-beliefs-questionnaire-and-
- 1464 \_5b8c0c63097c472a328b457a.html
- 1465 134. Segal-Isaacson CJ, Wylie-Rosett J, Gans KM. Validation of a short dietary assessment
   1466 questionnaire: the Rapid Eating and Activity Assessment for Participants short version
- 1467 (REAP-S). *Diabetes Educ*. 2004;30(5):774-781.

#### Journal Pre-proo

1468	135. van Strien T, Frijters JER, Bergers GPA, Defares PB. The Dutch Eating Behavior
1469	Questionnaire (DEBQ) for assessment of restrained, emotional, and external eating
1470	behavior. Int J Eat Disord. 1986;5(2):295-315. doi:10.1002/1098-
1471	108X(198602)5:2<295::AID-EAT2260050209>3.0.CO;2-T
1472	136. Chaltiel D, Adjibade M, Deschamps V, Touvier M, Hercberg S, Julia C, Kesse-Guyot E.
1473	Programme National Nutrition Santé – guidelines score 2 (PNNS-GS2): development and
1474	validation of a diet quality score reflecting the 2017 French dietary guidelines. Br J Nutr.
1475	2019;122(3):331-342. doi:10.1017/S0007114519001181
1476	137. Shams-White MM, Chui K, Deuster PA, McKeown NM, Must A. Investigating Items to
1477	Improve the Validity of the Five-Item Healthy Eating Score Compared with the 2015
1478	Healthy Eating Index in a Military Population. Nutr 2019 Vol 11 Page 251.
1479	2019;11(2):251-251. doi:10.3390/NU11020251
1480	138. Green MA, Li J, Relton C, Strong M, Kearns B, Wu M, Bissell P, Blackburn J, Cooper C,
1481	Goyder E. Cohort profile: The Yorkshire health study. Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45(3):707-
1482	712.
1483	139. USDA (United States Department of Agriculture). U.S. Household Food Security Survey

1484 *Module: Six-Item Short Form.* U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research

1485 Service; 2012. Accessed June 15, 2022. https://www.ers.usda.gov/media/8279/ad2012.pdf.

1486 140. Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint,

1487 disinhibition and hunger. J Psychosom Res. 1985;29(1). doi:10.1016/0022-3999(85)90010-

1488

8

1489	141. Kirac D, Kaspar l	EC, Avcilar T, Cakir O	Ulucan K, Kurtel H,	Deyneli O, Guney A. A new
------	------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------

- 1490 method in investigation of obesity-related eating behaviors `three-factor eating
- 1491 questionnaire'. *Clin Exp Health Sci.* 2015;5(3).
- 1492 142. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatr
- 1493 Scand. 1983;67(6):361-370. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- 1494 143. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc*1495 *Behav.* 1983;24(4):385-396. doi:10.2307/2136404
- 1496 144. Garner DM. Eating disorder inventory-2 manual. Int J Eat Disord. 1991;14(June 1991).
- 1497 145. Garcia FD, Grigioni S, Chelali S, Meyrignac G, Thibaut F, Dechelotte P. Validation of the
- 1498 French version of SCOFF questionnaire for screening of eating disorders among adults.

1499 World J Biol Psychiatry. 2010;11(7). doi:10.3109/15622975.2010.483251

- 1500 146. Stice E, Agras WS. Predicting onset and cessation of bulimic behaviors during adolescence:
- 1501 A longitudinal grouping analysis. *Behav Ther*. 1998;29(2):257-276. doi:10.1016/S0005-
- 1502 7894(98)80006-3
- 1503 147. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report
  1504 questionnaire? *Int J Eat Disord*. 1994;16(4).
- 1505 148. Ahorsu DK, Lin CY, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH. The Fear of COVID-
- 1506 19 Scale: Development and initial validation. Int J Ment Health Addict. Published online
- 1507 2020:1-9. doi:10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8

## Journal Pre-proof

1508	149. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBWW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing
1509	generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(10):1092-1097.
1510	150. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF, Psychology Foundation of Australia. Manual for the
1511	Depression Anxiety Stress Scales. Psychology Foundation of Australia; 1995.
1512	151. Berg KC, Stiles-Shields EC, Swanson SA, Peterson CB, Lebow J, Le Grange D. Diagnostic
1513	concordance of the interview and questionnaire versions of the eating disorder examination.
1514	Int J Eat Disord. 2012;45(7):850-855. doi:10.1002/eat.20948
1515	152. Yanovski SZ, Marcus MD, Wadden TA, Walsh BT. The Questionnaire on Eating and
1516	Weight Patterns-5 (QEWP-5): An Updated Screening Instrument for Binge Eating
1517	Disorder. Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48(3):259-261. doi:10.1002/eat.22372
1518	153. Bucchianeri MM, Eisenberg ME, Wall MM, Piran N, Neumark-Sztainer D. Multiple types
1519	of harassment: Associations with emotional well-being and unhealthy behaviors in
1520	adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2014;54(6). doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.10.205
1521	154. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Löwe B. An ultra-brief screening scale for anxiety
1522	and depression: The PHQ-4. Psychosomatics. 2009;50(6):613-621.
1523	doi:10.1176/appi.psy.50.6.613
1524	155. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a
1525	systematic review of the literature. Psychother Psychosom. 2015;84(3):167-176.
1526	doi:10.1159/000376585

1527	156. Ruddock HK, Christiansen P, Halford JCG, Hardman CA. The development and validation
1528	of the Addiction-like Eating Behaviour Scale. Int J Obes 2005. 2017;41(11):1710-1717.
1529	doi:10.1038/ijo.2017.158
1530	157. Tholin S, Rasmussen F, Tynelius P, Karlsson J. Genetic and environmental influences on
1531	eating behavior: the Swedish Young Male Twins Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81(3):564-
1532	569. doi:10.1093/ajcn/81.3.564
1533	158. Hunot C, Fildes A, Croker H, Llewellyn CH, Wardle J, Beeken RJ. Appetitive traits and
1534	relationships with BMI in adults: Development of the Adult Eating Behaviour
1535	Questionnaire. Appetite. 2016;105. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.05.024
1536	159. Dalton M, Finlayson G, Hill A, Blundell J. Preliminary validation and principal
1537	components analysis of the Control of Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) for the experience of
1538	food craving. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2015;69(12). doi:10.1038/ejcn.2015.57
1539	160. Hamilton M. Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A). J Med Psychol. 1993;61(4).
1540	161. Hamilton M. A Rating Scale for Depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960;23.
1541	doi:10.1136/jnnp.23.1.56
1542	162. Gearhardt AN, Roberto CA, Seamans MJ, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Preliminary

- 1543 validation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale for children. *Eat Behav.* 2013;14(4).
- 1544 doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2013.07.002

1545 163. Struk AA, Carriere JSA, Chevne JA, Danckert J. A Short Boredom Proneness S	1545	163. Struk AA	, Carriere JSA, C	Chevne JA.	Danckert J. A Shor	t Boredom Proneness S	Scale:
--	------	---------------	-------------------	------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------

- 1546 Development and Psychometric Properties. *Assessment*. 2017;24(3):346-359.
- 1547 doi:10.1177/1073191115609996
- 1548 164. Hallit S, Obeid S, Haddad C. Construction of the Lebanese Anxiety Scale (LAS-10): a new
- scale to assess anxiety in adult patients. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract*. 2020;24(3):270-277.
- 1550 doi:10.1080/13651501.2020.1744662
- 1551 165. Buss AH, Perry M. The Aggression Questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1992;63(3):452-
- 1552 459. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.63.3.452
- 1553 166. Steptoe A, Pollard TM, Wardle J. Development of a measure of the motives underlying the
- selection of food: The food choice questionnaire. *Appetite*. 1995;25(3).
- doi:10.1006/appe.1995.0061
- 1556 167. Cottet P, Ferrandi J marc, Lichtlé M christine, Plichon V. La compréhension des moteurs
- des comportements alimentaires : une approche par le food choice questionnaire. In: *12ème Journée Du Marketing Agroalimentaire*. ; 2017.
- 1950 Journee Du Markeung Agroaumentaire: , 2017.
- 1559 168. Salarkia N, Abdollahi M, Amini M, Eslami Amirabadi M. Validation and use of the HFIAS
  1560 questionnaire for measuring household food insecurity in Varamin-2009. *Iran J Endocrinol*
- 1561 *Metab.* 2011;13(4):374-383.
- 1562 169. Kandel DB, Davies M. Epidemiology of depressive mood in adolescents: An empirical
  1563 study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1982;39(10). doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1982.04290100065011

### Journal Pre-proo

1564	170. Errisuriz VL, Pasch KE, Perry CL. Perceived stress and dietary choices: The moderating
1565	role of stress management. Eat Behav. 2016;22. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2016.06.008
1566	171. Jackson B, Cooper ML, Mintz L, Albino A. Motivations to eat: Scale development and
1567	validation. J Res Personal. 2003;37(4). doi:10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00574-3
1568	172. Trousselard M, Steiler D, Dutheil F, Claverie D, Canini F, Fenouillet F, Naughton G,
1569	Stewart-Brown S, Franck N. Validation of the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being
1570	Scale (WEMWBS) in French psychiatric and general populations. Psychiatry Res.
1571	2016;245:282-290. doi:10.1016/J.PSYCHRES.2016.08.050
1572	173. Wadden TA, Foster GD. Weight and Lifestyle Inventory (WALI). Surg Obes Relat Dis.
1573	2006;2(2). doi:10.1016/j.soard.2006.03.017

- 1574 174. Ezzati A, Jiang J, Katz MJ, Sliwinski MJ, Zimmerman ME, Lipton RB. Validation of the
- 1575 Perceived Stress Scale in a community sample of older adults. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*.
- 1576 2014;29(6):645-652. doi:10.1002/gps.4049
- 1577

		Study characteristics	Cl	nang	e ou	tcon	ie va	riab	les
Citation	Location, dates, & design	Sample characteristics <sup>a</sup>	Amount of Food	Frequency of eating	Timing of eating	Types of food eaten	Healthy Eating	Specified Eating Behaviors	Reasons for Eating
	uesign	N=584 parents Sex Parents: 94.5% female, 5.5% male						X	X
	US	Sex Children: 52.1% female, 47.9% male Mean Age Parents: 40.4±7.2 years							
	April 30– May 23, 2020	Mean Age Children: 9.6±3.8 years Race and Ethnicity Parents: 82.7% Caucasian/white, 6% African American, 4.3% Asian, 2.9% American/Indian, 6.5% other, 14.7% Hispanic/Latino							
Adams et	Cross- sectional	<b>Race and Ethnicity Children:</b> 82.4% Caucasian/white, 10.1% African American, 5.0% Asian, 3.3% American/Indian, 9.1%							
al., 2020 <sup>103</sup>		other, 19.0% Hispanic/Latino							
	Riyadh	N=2706				X	Х		
	Saudi Arabia	Sex: 54.2% female, 45.8% male Age: 70.2% aged 18-35 years							
	Alabia	Race and Ethnicity: 92.2% Saudi, 7.8% Non-Saudi							
Alhusseini	May 5– May 15, 2020								
&	Cross-								
Alqahtani,	sectional								
202074	survey	N. 700	NZ	v					
	Saudi Arabia Al	N= 782 Gender: 52.2% females, 47.8% males	Х	Х					
		Age: 41.9% aged 31-40 years							
	April– June 2020								
	Cross-								
Aljohani,	sectional								
$2020^{41}$	survey	NI 100					<b>X</b> 7	<b>X</b> 7	<b>X</b> 7
	US, Texas	N=123 patients with obesity Sex: 87% female, 13% male Mean Age: $51.2 \pm 13$ years					X	Х	Х
	-	Race and Ethnicity: 49.2% Non-Hispanic white, 28.7% Non-							
Almandoz et al., 2020 <sup>89</sup>	May 31, 2020	Hispanic Black, 16.4% Hispanic, 5.7% "other" (multiracial, Asian etc)							

**Table 1.** Study Characteristics and Outcome Variables for 71 Studies Reporting Changes in Eating Behaviors

 During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Lower of Dec. meast	
Journal Pre-prool	

-	Retrospect							
	ive cross-							
	sectional							
	survey							
	40% North	<b>N</b> =1047		X		X	X	
	Africa,	Gender: 53.8% female, 46.2% male						
	36%	Age: 55.1% aged 18-35 years						
	Western							
	Asia, 21%							
	Europe,							
	3% Other							
	April 1–6,							
	2020							
	Cross-							
Ammar et								
al., 2020 <sup>64</sup>	survey							
	Portugal	N=1404	Χ	X				
	8	Sex: 69.6% female, 30.3% male, 0.1% preferred not to specify						
	April 1–	<b>Mean Age:</b> $36.4 \pm 11.7$ years						
	15, 2020							
	- ,							
	Cross-							
Antunes et	sectional							
al., 2020 <sup>42</sup>	survey							
	Saudi	N=2255	Х		Х			
	Arabia	Sex: 64% female, 36% male						
		Age: 24% aged 30-39 years						
	Two	Race and Ethnicity: 91% Saudi, 9% Non-Saudi						
	weeks							
	between							
	June and							
	early July							
	2020							
	Cross-							
Bakhsh et								
al., 2021 <sup>43b</sup>	•				 			
	UK	<b>N</b> =13,283			Х			
		Sex: 49.8% male, 50.2% female						
	May 2020	<b>Age:</b> 19-74 years						
	_							
<b>D</b>	Cross-							
Bann et al.,								
202075	survey							
	Qatar	N=577		Х	Х	Х		
		Gender: 61.39% female, 38.61% male						
		Mean Age: 35.7 years						
	June 14,							
	2020							
Dec 11	Case							
Ben Hassen								
et al.,	sectional							
202063	survey							

Bin Zarah et al., 2020 <sup>76</sup>	US April– June 2020 Cross- sectional survey	N=3133 Sex: 79.4% female, 19.8% male, 0.8% other Age: 30.5% aged 30-49 years Race and Ethnicity: 5.1% African American, 2.9% Asian, 84.5% white, 2.8% Hispanic, 0.4% Native American, 4.3% other			х			
	May 19, 2020 Retrospect	N=312 Gender: 64.1% female, 35.9% male Mean Age: 41.1 ± 13.1 years	Х	X	Х		l	
Błaszczyk- Bębenek et al., 2020 <sup>44</sup>	sectional							
Buckland e	Predomina ntly (82.5%) from UK May 15– June 27, 2020 Cross- t sectional	N=588 Sex: 69% females, 30% male, 1% non-conforming Mean Age: 33.4 ± 12.6 years Race and Ethnicity: 86% White, 7% Asian or Asian British, 3% mixed or multiple ethnic groups, 1% Black, African, Caribbean, or Black British, 1% prefer not to say, and 2% other	X		Х		X	
<u>al., 2021<sup>45</sup></u> Cancello et al., 2020 <sup>46</sup>	Northern Italy April 15– May 4, 2020 Cross- sectional	N=490 Sex: 83.67% female, 16.33% male Age: 65.1% aged 31-60 years	X	I		X		
Carroll et al., 2020 <sup>47</sup>	Canada	Mothers: N=235 Mean Age: 37.5 years Race and Ethnicity: 86.8% Caucasian, 0.9% African American, 3.0% Latin American, 4.7% Asian, 3.0% South/West Asian, 1.3% Other Fathers: N=126 Mean Age: 39.4years Race and Ethnicity: 88.1% Caucasian, 0.0% African American, 2.4% Latin American, 4.0% Asian, 3.2% South/West Asian, 0.8% Other Children: N=310 Mean Age: 5.7 years	X		X		X	

- Celik & Dane, 2020 <sup>77</sup>	Nigeria, Turkey, US, Europe April 25– May 5, 2020 Cross- sectional survey	N=411 Gender: 16.3% women, 73.7% men Age: 20-65 years			X		
Cheikh Ismail et al	United Arab Emirates (UAE) April – May 2020 Cross-	N=1012 Gender: 75.9% female, 24.1% male Age: 29.1% aged 26-35 years		Х	X	X	X
$2020^{66}$	survey						
Cheikh Ismail et al 2021 <sup>65</sup>	Greater Middle East region (and Northern Africa), April 15– April 29, 2020 Cross- .,sectional survey	N= 2970 Sex: 71.6% female, 28.4% male nAge: 29.6% aged 18-25 years		X	X	X	X
Chenarides	US; Detroit, MI and Phoenix, AZ May 13– 30, 2020	N=861 Gender: 53% female, 46% male, 1% nonbinary Mean Age: 53 years Race and Ethnicity: 80.3% White, 11.3% Black	Х		X		l
et al.,	sectional						
2021 <sup>13</sup>	survey Italy	N=602		-			X
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Cinelli, et al., 2020 <sup>107</sup>	April 24– May 18,	Gender: 79.7% female					

-							
	Cross-						
	sectional						
	survey						
	Italy	<b>N</b> = 3533	X	X	X	x	-
	Italy	<b>Gender</b> : 76.1% female, 23.9% male	~	~	~	~	
	April 5–	Mean Age: $40.0 \pm 13.5$ years					
	24, 2020	1010 m 11get 10.0 ± 15.5 years					
Di Renzo,	21, 2020						
Gualtieri,	Cross-						
Pivari, et	sectional						
al., 2020 <sup>67</sup>	survey						
	Vietnam	N=5209 health care workers			Χ		
		Gender: 67.1% women, 32.9% men					
	April 6–	Age: 82.6% aged 21-40 years					
	19, 2020						
	Cross-						
Do et al.,	sectional						
202090	survey						
	Vietnam	N=7616 nursing and medical students			Х		
		Gender: 62.5% women, 37.5% men					
	April 7–	Mean Age: $21.4 \pm 1.8$ years					
	May 31,						
	2020						
	C						
Durana at	Cross-						
Duong et al., 2020 <sup>91</sup>	sectional						
al., 2020	survey Turkey	N=1036				X	X
	Turkey	<b>Gender:</b> 79.8% female, 20.2% male				л	Λ
	May 6–	Mean Age: 33.1 years					
	26, 2020						
Elmacloğlu							
et al.,	Longitudir						
$2021^{102}$	al						
	US	N=7753	Х	Х	Χ		
	(n=4,890),	Sex: 80% female, 20% male					
	United	Mean Age: $51.2 \pm 0.2$ years					
		Race and Ethnicity: 89.6% white					
	(n=1,839),						
	Australia						
	(n=497),						
	Canada						
	(n=154),						
	Other $(n-272)$						
	(n=373)						
	April 3–						
	May 3,						
	2020						
	2020						
	Cross-						
Flanagan et							
al., 2021 <sup>68</sup>	survey						
· · ·	2						

-	France March 26- 27, 2020 Cross-	<b>N=5</b> ,738 students <b>Sex:</b> 74.6% female, 25.4% male - <b>Mean Age:</b> 21.2 years	l			2	K X	C
Flaudias et al., 2020 <sup>97</sup>								
<u>al., 2020</u>			X	X	X			
	May 12–	<u>2018 Males:</u> N=71						
Colle et al	26, 2020	Age range: 19-25 years Race and Ethnicity: 32.4% Asian, 5.6% Asian sub- n continental, 54.9% Caucasian, 2.8% Multi, 4.2% other/not						
$2020^{48}$	al	disclosed					- 1	
Giacalone	Denmark April 24– May 5, 2020 Cross-	N=2462 Gender: 71.1% women, 28.7% men, 0.2% other Age: 37.2% aged 36-50 years	X	Х	Х			
et al., $2020^{61}$	sectional survey							

- Górnick et al., 2020 <sup>62</sup>	May 23, 2020 Cross-	N=2,381 Sex: 89.8% female, 10.2% male Age: 44.8% aged 30-39 years	Х		X	Х		
	Lebanon	<b>N</b> =407					X	X
	April 3– 18, 2020	<b>Gender:</b> 51.3% female, 48.7% male <b>Mean Age:</b> 30.6 ± 10.1 years						
	Cross-							
Haddad et	sectional							
al., 2020 <sup>104</sup>								
	UK	N= 22,374	Х					
		Gender: 76% women, 24% men						
		Age: 32% aged 46-59 years						
	May 29,	Race and Ethnicity: 5% Black, Asian, and minority ethnicity,						
	2020	ref white ethnicity						
Herle et al.,	Longitudir							
$2021^{49}$	al							
2021	German	N= 1,957 students	X	-	X	_		
	federal	Sex: 71.5% female, 28.5% male	~		~			
	state	Mean Age: 23.3±4.0 years						
	Bavaria							
	Two							
	weeks in							
	March and							
	April							
	2020							
	Cross-							
Huber et al.								
2021 <sup>50</sup>	survey							
2021	Kuwait	<b>N</b> =415		X	X	_	X	X
	itu wurt	<b>Gender:</b> 68.7% female, 31.3% male						
	March 30-	<b>Mean Age:</b> $38.5 \pm 12.7$ years						
	April 15,							
	2020							
Husain &	Cross-							
Ashkanani,								
202069	survey			_	_			
	Scotland	N=399				Х		
	5 1	<b>Gender-Sex:</b> 56.4% female, 41.9% male, 1% non-binary, 0.8%						
	5 weeks	trans						
Ingram et	during COVID-19	<b>Mean Age:</b> $32.4 \pm 11.4$ years						
al., $2020^{92}$	restrictions							
un, 2020	resurctions	,						

-	in							
	Scotland							
	Cross-							
	sectional							
	survey <sup>d</sup>							
	Poland	<b>N</b> =1033						Х
		Gender: 50.2% female, 49.8% male						
		- Mean Age: 39.9 ± 13.1 years						
	24, 2020							
Jeżewska-	a							
Zychowicz								
et al., 2020 <sup>108</sup>	sectional							
2020100	survey	V	v	-	X	X	v	X
	Kenya and	N=313	Х		А	А	Λ	А
	Uganda	Gender: 61% female						
	April 18_	Age: 63% youth (18-35 years), 37% "adult"						
	27, 2020	<b>11ge.</b> 0570 youn (10-55 years), 5770 adun						
	27,2020	Uganda:						
	Cross-	N=129						
Kansiime e		Gender: 63% female						
al., 2021 <sup>40</sup>	survey	Age: 38% youth (18-35 years), 62% "adult"						
	Turkey	N=1012					Х	X
		Gender: 81.7% female, 18.3% male						
	April 15–	Mean Age: $28.3 \pm 8.7$ years						
	30, 2020	ç.						
	Cross-							
Kaya et al.,	sectional							
2021105	survey			_		_		
	US	N= 838				Х	Х	Х
		Sex: 52% female, 48% male						
		Age: $34.4 \pm 0.4$ years						
	of April	Race: 63% White, 23% Asian, 7% Black, 5% Multiracial, 3%						
	2020	other						
Khubchand	Cross	Ethnicity: 22% Hispanic, 78% Non-Hispanic						
ani et al.,	sectional							
$2020^{93}$	survey							
2020	Lithuania	<b>N</b> = 2447	X		X			
	Linnuallia	Sex: 87.8% female, 12.2% male			- 1			
	April 14–	Age: 40.1% aged 18-35 years						
	28, 2020							
	-,							
Kriaucionie	e Cross-							
ne et al.,	sectional							
202051	survey							
	Canada,	<b>N</b> = 853			Х			
	Quebec	Sex: 87.2% female, 12.8% male						
		Age: 52.5% aged 50-69 years						
	April 15–							
Lamarche	May 12,							
et al.,	2020							
202178								

-	Cross-				
	sectional				
	survey				
	Spain	N=2,741		X	
		Gender: 51.8% women, 48.2% men			
	March 22-	- <b>Mean Age:</b> $34.2 \pm 13.0$ years			
	April 5,				
	2020				
1	Cross-				
	sectional				
al., 2020 <sup>79</sup>	survey				
	Spain	N=675	X X		
		Gender: 69.9% women, 30.1% men			
	May 28–	<b>Mean Age:</b> 39.1 ± 12.9 years			
	June 21,				
	2020				
López-	Cross-				
Moreno et	sectional				
al., 2020 <sup>52</sup>	survey				
	Brazil	<b>N</b> = 45,161		X	
		Sex: 53.6% female, 46.4% male			
	April 24–	Age: 24.7% aged 18-29 years			
	May 24,				
	2020				
	Cross-				
Malta et al.,	sectional				
202080	survey				
	France		X	X	X
		Gender: 78.5% female, 21.5% male			
		<b>Mean Age:</b> 38.7 ± 11.6 years			
	May 1,				
	2020				
		J			
	Cross-				
Marty et al.,	,sectional				
	survey		_		
	Zimbabwe			X	
		Gender: 63.0% female, 37.0% male			
	May 11–	Age: 48.1% aged 31-40 years			
	25, 2020				
	C				
0	Cross-				
& Chopera,					
	survey				
	Island of	Island of Ireland:		X	
	Ireland,	N=538			
	Great	Gender: 87.5% female, 12.5% male			
	D				
	Britain,	<b>Mean Age:</b> $35.9 \pm 12.5$ years			
	United				
	United States, and	Great Britain:			
	United States, and New				

-		<b>Mean Age:</b> 50.7 ± 15.3 years							
	May-June								
	2020	United States:							
		N=381							
	Cross-	Gender: 53.4% female, 46.1% male, 0.5% other							
	sectional	<b>Mean Age:</b> $53.7 \pm 18.4$ years							
	survey								
	•	New Zealand:							
		<b>N=</b> 480							
		Gender: 51.9% female, 47.7% male, 0.4% other							
		<b>Mean Age:</b> 45.7 ± 17.2 years							
	Iran,	N= 292 families				Х		2	X
	Tehran	<b>Mean Age:</b> 47.5 ± 13.5 years							
	province								
	March								
	2020								
	Cross-								
	sectional								
	survey								
D.I.	using both								
Pakravan-	retrospecti								
Charvadeh									
et al., 2021 <sup>83</sup>	current								
2021	reporting	<b>N</b> = 1841	X	Х	X	X		-	
	Spain and	N= 1841	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ			
	Greece	Spain:							
	Gleece	N=1,002							
	April 23_	Sex: 70.3% women							
	May 3,	Mean Age = $46.1 \pm 13.3$ years							
	2020	Weam rige = 10.1 ± 15.5 years							
		Greece:							
Papandreou	Cross-	N=839							
et al.,	sectional	Sex: 66.7% women							
202054	survey	<b>Mean Age =</b> $42.4 \pm 11.7$ years							
	Italy		Χ	X		X	X	2	X
	2	Gender: 77.3% female, 22.7% male							
	April 14–	Mean Age: 47.9 years							
	21, 2020								
	Retrospect								
	ive cross-								
Pellegrini e	tsectional								
al., 202055									
	Vietnam	N= 8,291					X		
	<b>F</b> .1	<b>Gender:</b> 53% women, 41% men							
	February	<b>Age:</b> $43.6 \pm 16.9$ years							
	14– May								
	31, 2020								
	Crease								
Pham et al.,	Cross-								
$2020^{94}$									
2020	survey							_	

Cross- Phillipou et sectional al., 2020 <sup>98</sup> survey       Netherland N= 1030 s       X       <	x	X
Netherland N= 1030       X	X	X
s Gender: 50.5% female, 49,5% male Mean Age: 49.9 (SD 17.0) years April 22– May 5,		<b>1</b>
May 5,		
2020		
Cross-		
Poelman et sectional		
$\frac{\text{al., } 2021^{56} \text{ survey}}{\text{US (90\% } \text{N} = 584}$	X	X
from <b>Gender:</b> 64.2% female, 34.4% male, 1.4% another gender	Λ	л
Minnesota identity		
) Mean Age: $24.6 \pm 2.0$ years		
Race and Ethnicity: 30.2% white, 16.8% African		
April– American/Black, 17.1% Hispanic, 24.3% Asian American, June 2020 11.6% other		
Julie 2020 11:0% offici		
Puhl et al., Longitudin 2020 <sup>99</sup> al		
$\frac{2020^{99}}{\text{UAE}} = 1000 \text{ M} = 2,060 $		
Gender: 75.1% female, 24.9% male		
May 5– Age: 31.7% between 18 and 30 years, 38.4% between 31 and		
18, 2020 40 years, and 29.9% older than 40 years		
Cross- Radwan et sectional		
al., 2020 <sup>57</sup> survey		
$\frac{\text{dar, 2020 Survey}}{\text{Chile } N=700} X X$		-
Sex: 75.4% women, 24.6% men		
8 weeks of Median Age: 31 years		
May-		
June, 2020		
2020		
Reyes- Cross-		
Olavarría et sectional		
al., 2020 <sup>58</sup> survey		_
UK N=264	Х	Х
Gender: 78% women           May 11-         Age: 42% aged 18–29 years, and 58% aged 30+ years		
June 26, Race and Ethnicity: 92% white		
2020		
Robertson Cross-		
et al., sectional		
$2021^{101}$ survey		

Robinson et	May 22, 2020 t Cross-	N= 2002 Gender: 61.7% female, 37.8% male, .5% prefer not to say or non-binary gender Age: 34.7 (SD 12.3) years Race and Ethnicity: 89.7% white		Х		Х	X	Х
al., 2021 <sup>70</sup>	sectional UK	<b>N</b> = 723		_			X	
		Gender: 67% female Mean Age: 30.7 (SD 9.6) years Race and Ethnicity: 80% white, 20% non-white					Λ	
Robinson et								
	survey							
	Spain	<b>N</b> = 7514			X			
	Ŧ	Gender: 71% female, 29.3% male, .07% other gender						
		- Age: 92% aged 31–65 years						
	April 10,							
	2020 <sup>e</sup>							
Rodríguez-	Cross							
Pérez et al.,								
2020 <sup>84</sup>	survey							
	France	<b>N</b> = 11,391						X
		Gender: 52.1% female, 47.5% male, 0.4% other						
	March 25– 30, 2020	- Mean Age: 47.5 (SD 17.3) years						
	Cross-							
Rolland et	sectional							
	survey							
	France	<b>N</b> = 1454				Х		X
		Gender: 63.5% female, 36.0% male, 0.5% other						
	April 23–	Age: 27.0% aged 25-34 years, 29.4% aged 35-44 years, 28.2% aged 45-54 years, 15.5% aged 55-64 years						
	May 7, 2020	aged 45-54 years, 15.5% aged 55-64 years						
	Cross-							
Rossinot et								
al., 2020 <sup>95</sup>	survey	N. 205		_	<b>X</b> 7	<b>X</b> 7		
	Spain	N= 385			Х	Х		
	May 2020	<b>Gender:</b> 72.8% female, 27.2% male <b>Age:</b> 38.7 (SD = 12.4) years						
Sánchez-	Cross-							
Sánchez et								
al., 202085	survey							
	Italy	<b>N</b> = 1,929	Х		Х			X
a .		Sex: 67% female, 32.9% male, 0.1% not answered						
Scarmozzin o & Visioli, 2020 <sup>59</sup>		<b>Age<sup>f</sup>:</b> 63.1% aged 21-35 years, 9.6% aged 36-50 years, 11.4% aged 51-65 years, 14.4% < 20 years, 1.5% > 65 years						

-	Cross-		
	sectional		
	survey		
	US;	N=1,048 X	
	Houston, Dallas,	Sex: 97.0% female, 3% male Mean Age: 36.7 ± 7.3 years	
		<b>D</b> Race and Ethnicity: 7.1% Black or African American, 85.9%	
		d Mexican American, Latino, or Hispanic, 3.7% Non-Hispanic	
	Southwest	t White, 3.4% other	
	Florida		
	April		
	2020		
S. V	Cross-		
Sharma et	sectional		
al., 2020 <sup>86</sup>	survey		
	Poland	N=1097 X X	
	April 17	Gender: 95.1% female, 4.9% male	
	May 1,	<b>Mean Age:</b> 27.7 ± 9.0 years	
	2020		
Sidor &	Cross-		
Rzymski, 2020 <sup>60</sup>	sectional survey		
2020	Turkey	N= 397	X X
	runey	Gender: 39.8% female, 60.2% male	
	May 18–	Age: 40.3% aged 36-50 years	
	31, 2020		
Şimsek &	Cross-		
Şen,	sectional		
2020100	survey		
	India	N=422 X	
		Sex: 56.4% female, 43.6% males	
	April– July 2020	y <b>Age:</b> 83.9% aged 20-50 years	
	2020		
	Cross-		
Sutaria et	sectional		
al., 2020 <sup>73</sup>	survey	N 2 290 V V	
	China	N=2,289 X X Sex: 48.6% female, 51.4% male	
	March 23-	-Mean Age: $27.5 \pm 12.0$ years	
	April 26,		
	2020		
	Cross-		
Wang et al.			
202072	survey		
		Without Depression:X	
Werneck et	Brozil	N=35,042 Sex: 50.8% women, 49.2% men	
al., $2020^{87}$	DIdZII	Age: 48.2% aged 18-39	
an, 2020			

Journal Pre-proof

-	April 24– May 24, 2020	With Depression: <b>N</b> =6,881 <b>Sex:</b> 68.2% women, 31.8% men				
		Age: 51.8% aged 18-39 years				
	Retrospect					
	ive Cross-					
	sectional					
	survey		37	37		
	Turkey	N=866 students	Х	Х		
	A	Sex: 78.2% female, 21.8% male				
	April 5–6, 2020	Mean Age: $21.2 \pm 1.4$ years				
	2020					
	Cross-					
Yılmaz et	sectional					
al., 2020 <sup>71</sup>	survey					
	US	N=173				X
		Sex: 55.5% female, 44.5% male				
	Not	<b>Mean Age:</b> 28.1 ± 12.5 years				
	specified	Race and Ethnicity: 66% White or Caucasian, 23% Hispanic,				
		4% African American, 4% Asian, 2% Hawaiian				
Zeigler et	Cross-					
al.,	sectional					
2020 <sup>110g</sup>	survey		 			
	China	N= 1994		Х		
		Gender: 62.8% female, 37.2% male				
		Age: 89% aged 18-45 years, 10.8% aged >45 years				
	August					
	2020					
Thong of	Longitudie					
Zhang et al., 2020 <sup>88</sup>	Longitudir al	1				
ai., 2020	ai					

<sup>a</sup> Some studies used terminology regarding sex (female, male) to describe gender. Similarly, some studies used terminology related to gender (women, men) to describe sex. This terminology was not edited in this review; gender and sex statistics are presented as they are described in the original article

<sup>b</sup> References with publication dates of 2021 were made available in the year 2020.

<sup>c</sup> Gallo et al., 2020<sup>48</sup> included three cohorts of Australian university undergraduate students recruited over three different years (2018, 2019, 2020) and compares eating behaviors across men and women separately.

<sup>d</sup> Ingram et al., 2020<sup>92</sup> collected data at three time points described as weeks 1, 3, and 5. However language related to healthy eating refers to levels before and during lockdown. It is assumed the data used is from week 1 and thus this data is being treated as cross-sectional in nature.

<sup>e</sup> Rodríguez-Pérez et al., 2020<sup>84</sup> lists a beginning date, however an end date is not precisely stated. The original reference states "The questionnaire was open from March 20, concretely one week after the Spanish COVID-19 outbreak confinement started. Data from the 3 first weeks of confinement were collected." The current authors have interpreted this as a 3-week long study ending on April 10, 2020.

<sup>f</sup>The original reporting by Scarmozzino & Visioli, 2020<sup>59</sup> did not include an age category that contained age 20.

<sup>g</sup> Study dates for Zeigler et al., 2020<sup>110</sup> are not listed. The manuscript was received on May 12, 2020, and results discuss before and after lockdown, suggesting the study was conducted in the early months of the pandemic.

<b>Table 2.</b> Measures and Findings for the Aggregated Analysis of Amount of Food Eaten from 12
studies During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Citation	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Study <i>n</i>	Findings: n (%)
Bakhsh et al.,	M/I: "Quantity of Consumed Food"	2,255	NC <sup>a</sup> : 878 (38.9%)
202143	RO: Decrease/ no change / increased		I <sup>b</sup> : 894 (39.6%)
			D <sup>c</sup> :483 (21.4%)
Błaszczyk-	M/I: "In Your Opinion, Has Your Diet Changed Due to the	312	NC: 149 (47.8%)
Bębenek et al.,	Social Isolation"		I: 102 (32.7%)
202044	<b>RO:</b> No, I was eating the same kind and quantity of food/ Yes,		D: 61 (19.5%)
	I was eating the same products, but in greater quantities/ Yes, I		( ,
	was eating the same products, but in smaller quantities/ Yes, I		
	have changed my product range without changing the		
	quantities/ Yes, I have changed my product range and I eat		
	more/ Yes, I have changed my product range and I eat less		
Buckland et al.,	M/I: "Has the AMOUNT of food you have eaten changed	559 <sup>d</sup>	NC: 141 (25.2%)
2021 <sup>45</sup>	since the lockdown?"	337	I: 268 (48.0%)
2021	RO: Decrease/ no change / increased		D:150 (26.8%)
		481 <sup>e</sup>	
Cancello et al.,	M/I: "Can you quantify how much you are eating	481	NC: 211 (43.9%)
$2020^{46}$	during lockdown?"		I: 206 (42.8%)
	<b>RO:</b> More than usual/ less than usual/like before		D: 64 (13.3%)
<u></u>	/I don't know	0.001	NG 1000 (51 69/)
Górnick et al.,	<b>M/I:</b> "Has your total food consumption changed since the	2,381	NC: 1229 (51.6%)
$2020^{62}$	beginning of the pandemic (compared to the period before the		I: 816 (34.3%
	pandemic)?"		D: 336 (14.1%)
	<b>RO:</b> I eat more/I eat the same/I eat less		
Huber et al.,	M/I: "How has your diet changed since implementation of	1,957	NC: 1019 (52.1%)
2021 <sup>50</sup>	lockdown?—Overall food amount"		I: 610 (31.2%)
	RO: Less/unchanged/more		D:328 (16.8%)
	et <b>M/I:</b> "Do you think you are eating more or less than before?	675	NC: 318 (47.1%)
al., 2020 <sup>52</sup>	RO: More/less/same"		I: 132 (19.6%)
			D: 225 (33.3%)
Papandreou et	<b>M/I:</b> "Has the amount of food increased during [lockdown]"	Spain: 1,002	Spain:
al., 2020 <sup>54</sup>	RO: Decrease/ same/ increased		NC: 143 (14.3%)
		Greece:839	I: 114 (11.4%)
			D: 745 (74.3%)
		Total:1,841	
			Greece:
			NC: 158 (18.8%)
			I: 152 (18.1%)
			D: 529 (63.1%)
			Total:
			NC: 301 (16.4%)
			I: 266 (14.4%)
			D: 1274 (69.2%)
			=
Pellegrini et al.,	M/I: "Have you changed eating habits during the lockdown	150	NC: 71 (47.3%)
2020 <sup>55</sup>	period?"		I: 60 (40%)
	<b>RO:</b> No, I have maintained my eating habits/ Not too much,		D: 19 (12.7%)
	with a few exceptions/ I eat more than before quarantine/ I eat		2.17 (12.170)
	less than before quarantine		
Poelman et al.,	M/I: "Did you eat more or less than usual [during lockdown]"	1.030	NC: 854 (82.9%)
2021 <sup>56</sup>	<b>RO:</b> More/ no difference/ less	1,030	I: 92 (8.9%)
2021			D:84 (8.2%)
			D.04(0.270)

Radwan et al., 2020 <sup>57</sup>	M/I: "Amount of food consumed during lockdown" RO: Decrease/ same/ increase	2,060	NC: 1061 (51.5%) I: 655 (31.8%) D:344 (16.7%)
Reyes-Olavarría et al., 2020 <sup>58</sup>	M/I: Perceived amount of food consumed <sup>f</sup> RO: Less than before/ same than before/ more than before	700	NC: 237 (51.3%) I: 359 (33.8%) D:104 (14.9%)
Total weighted s	ample size and results	14,401	NC: 6,469 (44.9%) I: 4,460 (31.0%) D: 3,472 (24.1%)
Total weighted s	ample size and results without Papandreou et al., 2020 <sup>54</sup>	12,560	NC: 6,168 (49.1%) I: 4,194 (33.4%) D: 2,198 (17.5%)

<sup>a</sup>NC represents no change in amount of food consumed

<sup>b</sup>I represents an increase in amount of food consumed

<sup>c</sup> D represents a decrease in amount of food consumed

<sup>d</sup> The *n* reported for Buckland et al.,  $2021^{45}$  corresponds to the number of participants who answered the item of interest. The total sample size for the overall study was 588 individuals.

<sup>e</sup> In Cancello et al., 2020<sup>46</sup>, 2% of the total study sample (490 adults) reported having "no idea" about changes in their food intake. The findings reported for this portion of the review reflect the responses of the participants reporting NC, I, or D. In rounding to establish whole numbers of participants given the percentages available in the original reference, sample sizes for all response options necessitated upward rounding. Thus, the total sample size is inflated to 491 participants with 481 represented in the current findings related to changes in food intake.

<sup>f</sup> Reyes-Olavarría et al., 2020<sup>58</sup> reports the measure variable as "Among of consumption food, perception."

# **Table 3.** Measures and Findings for Changes in the Total Amount of Food (Non-Aggregated Studies) During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Citation	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Aljohani, 2020 <sup>41</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> "Has there been an increase in your food	63% Yes
	intake during the pandemic lockdown?"	22.1% No
	RO: Yes/ No/ Maybe/ Sometimes/ Prefer not to	5.75% Maybe
	answer	2.56% Sometimes
		6.52% Prefer not to answer
Antunes et al.,	<b>M/I:</b> "Do you feel you eat more than usual?"	68.4% No
2020 <sup>42</sup>	RO: Yes/No	31.6% Yes
Carroll et al.,	M/I: If responded "yes" to changes in diet, asked in	Most common behavior changes were eating
202047	what ways has their diet (or their children's diet)	more food (mothers, 57%; fathers, 46%;
	changed.	children, 42%),
	RO: Eating more/less food	
Chenarides et al.	, M/I: "How much has your diet changed since	62.9% same
2021 <sup>13a</sup>	COVID-19 started?"	22.8% more
		14.4% less
	RO: Eat more, eat less, eat about the same, ate less	
	healthy, ate more healthy	
	Could select multiple answers	
Gallo et al.,	M/I: 24 hr recall task (Automated Self-	For females, total 24-h energy intake was
2020 <sup>48</sup>	Administered Dietary Assessment Tool (ASA24-	19.5% higher in 2020 compared with
	Australia-2016)	2018/2019 (p<0.01). No difference in males.
	Compared to previous years.	(F)
Giacalone et al.,	<b>M/I:</b> "Do you think that you are eating more than	57.2% reported Yes
2020 <sup>61</sup>	usual during the confinement?"	42.8% reported No
2020	RO: Yes/No	
Herle et al.,	<b>M/I:</b> "Over the past week have you eaten <sup>b</sup> more	LPA profiles
2021 <sup>49</sup>	than usual?" (At the very start of lockdown,	64%, had no change in eating throughout the
_0_1	longitudinally for 8 weeks)	observed period
	<b>RO:</b> Less than usual/ about the same/ more than	9% reported persistently eating less
	usual	16% reported persistently eating more
		8% showed an initial increase in reported eating
		then a steady decrease
		4% reported no changes in 1 <sup>st</sup> week and
		increased consumption over time.
Kansiime et al.,	M/I: The Food Insecurity Experience Scale	Percent of people reporting eating less food
2021 <sup>40</sup>	(FIES) <sup>132</sup>	during a "normal period" (not COVID-19
2021	In FIES: "You ate less than you thought you	period) and during the COVID-19 period. All
	should?"	are significant (p<.01)
	In study: "Ate less amount of food"	In total sample: 23% normal period, 54%
	RO: Yes/No	COVID-19 period
	KO: 105/100	Kenya sample: 24% normal period, 56%
		COVID-19
		Uganda sample: 19% normal period, 48%
		COVID-19 period
Kriaucioniene et	<b>M/I:</b> "Perception of eating more during the	50.6% No
al., $2020^{51}$	quarantine"	49.4% Yes
ui., 2020	RO: Yes/No	12.170 103
Marty et al.,	M/I: Validated food frequency measure to estimate	Average of 1935 kcal/day (SD 656) in 1st month
$2021^{53}$	energy intake.	in lockdown compared to 1700 kcal/day
2021	chergy make.	(SD 596) in the month before $(p < 0.001)$ .
Scarmozzino &	<b>M/I:</b> "Would you say that you are eating more	47.1% No
Visioli, 2020 <sup>59</sup>	during this lockdown?	46.1% Yes, a bit more
v 151011, 2020	uuring uits tockuowit:	TU.1 /0 1 CS, a UIL IIIUIC

	Journal Pre-proc	of
	RO: Yes, much more/ Yes, a bit more/No	6.8% Yes, much more
Sidor & Rzymski, 2020	<ul> <li>M/I: "Did you consume more food than usual during quarantine?"</li> <li>RO: Decidedly yes/ yes / hard to decide / no / decidedly no</li> </ul>	43.5% reported eating more

<sup>a</sup> Chenarides et al., 2021<sup>13</sup> used an item that allowed participants to select multiple answers, two of which were irrelevant to the current findings (those regarding healthiness). The findings reported here reflect only the responses to the items related to food intake amount which were reported by 808 participants (the total sample was 861). Percentages are equal to 100.1% due to rounding.

<sup>b</sup> The original item reflected in Herle et al., 2021<sup>49</sup> was "Over the past week have you eating more than usual?"

Citation	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Aljohani, 2020 <sup>41</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "In the occurrence of having an increase in your food intake, how many meals do you consume a day?"</li> <li>RO: 4-5 meals/6-7 meals/More than 7 meals</li> <li>M/I: "Have you started eating light meals after</li> </ul>	63% reported an increase in their food consumption, and of those who increased their food consumption, 75% ate 6 to 7 meals a day, 14.4% ate more than 7 meals a day, and 9.9% ate 4 to 5 meals a day, respectively.
	dinner (during the lockdown period)?" RO: Yes/No/Sometimes	Percent reporting light meals after dinner 47.9% started eating during lockdown 41.3% did not start eating during lockdown 10.7% started eating sometimes during lockdown
Ammar et al., 2020 <sup>64</sup>	Both measured as before and after lockdown	Percentage of people reporting having a snack between meals or a late-night
	M/I: "How likely are you to have a snack between	snack:
	meals or a late-night snack?" <b>RO:</b> Never/Sometimes/Most of the time /Always	Never: 19.77% before; 14.71% during Sometimes: 59.41% before; 45.56% during
	M/I: "How many main meals do you eat a day?" RO: 1-2/3/4/5/more than 5	Most of the time: 13.85% before; 24.36% during Always: 6.97% before; 15.38% during Overall, there was a significant increase in snacking between meals or late-night (p<.001).
		Percentage of respondents reporting eating certain numbers of main meals: 1-2 meals: 35.15% before; 29.99% during 3 meals: 55.11% before; 46.42% during 4 meals: 6.59% before; 14.52% during 5 meals: 2.39% before; 6.30% during More than 5 meals: 0.76% before; 2.77% during There was a significant increase in the number of meals eaten.
Antunes et al., 2020 <sup>42</sup>	M/I: "Do you feel you eat more often than usual?" RO: Yes/No	45.2% reported a higher food frequency 54.8% reported their food frequency was not higher
Ben Hassen et al., 2020 <sup>63</sup>	M/I: Change of food-related activities during the COVID-19 pandemic. Eating between meals (e.g., snacks). RO: Never /First Time /Much Less /Slightly Less /About the Same /Moderately More /Much More	Percent of respondent reporting on snacking between meals: 4.7% reported never snacking 0.50% reported their first time snacking 6.8% reported snacking much less 7.3% reported snacking slightly less 45.5% reported snacking about the same 23.3% reported snacking moderately more 12% reported snacking much more.
Błaszczyk- Bębenek et al., 2020 <sup>44</sup>	Survey questions were adapted from the Dietary Habits and Nutrition Beliefs Questionnaire for people aged 15-65 years <sup>133</sup>	Percentage of people reporting eating certain numbers of main meals before and after lockdown:

**Table 4.** Measures and Findings for Changes in the Frequency of Eating During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

	<ul> <li>M/I: "How many meals do you usually consume daily?"</li> <li>RO: 1 meal/day/ 2 meals/day/ 3 meals/day/ 4 meals/day/ 5+ meals/day</li> <li>M/I: How often do you snack between the meals?</li> <li>RO: Not listed Never/ 1-3 times/month/</li> <li>Once/week/ Few times/week/ Once/day/ Few times/day</li> </ul>	1 meal/day 0.6% before; 0.3% after (0.3 decrease) 2 meals/day 7.1% before; 4.8% after (2.3% decrease) 3 meals/day 32.1% before; 23.1% after (9% decrease) 4 meals/day 40.4% before; 40.7% after (0.3% increase) 5 meals+/day 19.9% before; 31.1% after (11.2% increase)
		The increase in 5+ meals/day was significant (p<.001) 72.8% before and 77.9% during reporte they regularly snacked (few times per week and more), This was a significant increase (p = 0.0001).
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2020 <sup>66</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How many meals did you eat per day before coronavirus pandemic?</li> <li>RO: 1-2/ 3-4/More than 5</li> <li>M/I: How many meals do you eat per day during coronavirus pandemic?</li> <li>RO: 1-2/ 3-4/More than 5</li> </ul>	Percentage of respondents reporting eat certain numbers of main meals: 1-2 meals: 46.4% before; 36.5% during 3-4 meals: 51.5% before; 56.5% during 5+ meals: 2.1% before; 7.0% during The increase in 5+ meals/day was significant (p<.001)
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2021 <sup>65</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How many meals did you eat per day before coronavirus pandemic?</li> <li>RO: 1-2/ 3-4/More than 5</li> <li>M/I: How many meals do you eat per day during coronavirus pandemic?</li> <li>RO: 1-2/ 3-4/More than 5</li> </ul>	Percentage of respondents reporting eat certain numbers of main meals: 1-2 meals: 45.6% before; 37.5% during 3-4 meals: 52.2% before; 56.2% during 5+ meals 2.2% before; 6.2% during The increase in 5+ meals/day was significant (p<.001)
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020 <sup>67</sup>	M/I: "Did you change the number of daily meals, during this period " RO: No, it didn't/Yes, I skip 1 or more of the main meals (breakfast, lunch, dinner)/Yes, I skip 1 or more of snacks between meals/Yes, I added 1 or more of the main meals/Yes, I added 1 or more of the snacks between meals/Yes, I eat out of the meals <sup>a</sup> .	57.8% did not change their number of daily meals 17.5% skipped a meal or snack 23.5% introduced a meal or snack
Flanagan et al., 2021 <sup>68</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> Changes in dietary behaviors were assessed. The form included questions about cooking and eating out habits and snacking prior to and during the pandemic. Perception of overall healthy eating habits and weight change was asked. The optional long form was a modification of the Rapid Eating Assessment (REAP-s). <sup>134</sup>	<ul><li>25.8% reported an increase in healthy snacking</li><li>43.5% reported an increase in unhealthy snacking</li></ul>
Gallo et al., 2020 <sup>48</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> 24 hr recall task (Automated Self- Administered Dietary Assessment Tool (ASA24- Australia-2016) Compared to previous years.	Among males, there was no difference is the number of snack occasions between 2020 and 2018/2019

		In females, there was an increase to two snack occasions in 2020 compared with one in 2018/2019 ( $p < 0.05$ )
Giacalone et al., 2020 <sup>61</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: "Have you increased the frequency of snacking during the confinement compared to your usual intake?"</li><li>RO: Yes. My snacking frequency is higher/ No. My snacking frequency is lower /My snacking frequency remains as usual"</li></ul>	41.7% snacked more frequently 47.5% snacked as frequently as usual 10.8% snacked less frequently
Husain & Ashkanani, 2020 <sup>69</sup>	M/I: How many times a day do you eat? RO: One time/Two times/Three times/Four times/ Five times /Six or more	No significant changes in meal frequency. Percentage of respondents reporting eating a certain number of times per day: 1 time/day: 1.2% before; 1.0% during 2 times/day: 13.5% before; 10.4% during 3 times/day: 29.9% before; 27.0% during 4 times/day: 31.6% before; 25.1% during 5 times/day: 19.3% before; 21.4% during 6+ times/day: 4.6% before; 15.2% during
López- Moreno et al., 2020 <sup>52</sup>	Asked for before and after lockdown M/I: How many intakes do you make per day of these top five? Check the ones you usually do. RO: Before/During confinement: Breakfast/Mid- morning/Lunch/Snack/Dinner/Bedtime snack	Before 1% reported eating 5 meals/ day During 23% reported eating 5 meals/ day
Papandreou et al., 2020 <sup>54</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) <sup>135</sup> was utilized to assess eating behaviors.	<ul> <li>59.8% of the Spain sample and 51.7% of the Greece sample reported that they follow same hours/number of meals during the pandemic</li> <li>34.1% of the Spain sample and 40.8% of the Greece sample reported that they did increase the number of snacks between</li> </ul>
		meals during the pandemic
Pellegrini et al., 2020 <sup>55</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "During the lockdown period, the number of snacks that you consume in a day":</li> <li>RO: I don't consume snacks usually/ Is less than before quarantine/Is the same as before quarantine/ Is more than before quarantine</li> </ul>	28% "I don't consume snacks usually" 11.3% "is less than before quarantine" 28% "is the same as before quarantine" 32.7% "is more than before quarantine."
Poelman et al., 2021 <sup>56</sup>	M/I: Participants asked to identify if they ate differently than usual (with more awareness, taking more time, during different occasions, more often and snacking more frequently). RO: Fully disagree (1) to fully agree (5). Calculated the number of participants that (fully) agreed on each of the items (score 4 or 5).	<ul><li>14.2% ate more frequently</li><li>22.1% reported eating more sweets and snacks</li></ul>
Robinson et al., 2021 <sup>70</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "Compared to before the COVID-19 lockdown in the UK, I have: Eaten large meals or snacks"</li> <li>RO: A lot less/Less/A little less/The same amount/A little more/More/A lot more</li> </ul>	Compared to before lockdown: 3% ate a lot less large meals or snacks 8% ate less large meals or snacks 10% ate a little less large meals or snacks 34% ate the same amount of large meals or snacks
	<b>M/I:</b> "Compared to before the COVID-19 lockdown in the UK, I have: Snacked"	26% ate a little more large meals or snacks 14% ate more large meals or snacks

	<b>RO:</b> A lot less/Less/A little less/The same amount/A little more/More/A lot more	4% ate a lot more large meals or snacks.
		5% snacked a lot less
		8% snacked less
		10% snacked a little less
		22% snacked the same amount
		27% snacked a little more
		21% snacked more
		8% snacked a lot more
Sidor & Rzymski, 2020 <sup>60</sup>	M/I: "Indicate the number of meals eaten per day during quarantine: RO: One/two/three/four/five/ six or more	51.8% snacked between meals more frequently
		Most frequent number of meals per day
	M/I: "Did you snack more frequently than usual	during quarantine:
	during quarantine? "	three (30.3%)
	<b>RO:</b> decidedly yes /yes/ hard to decide/ no/ decidedly no"	four (39.3%),
		Most frequent number of snacks per day
	M/I: "Indicate the number of snacks eaten per day	during the quarantine:
	during quarantine"	one (28.3%)
	<b>RO:</b> None/one/ two/ three/four or more	two (36.1%)
Wang et al.,	M/I: Food consumption questionnaire adapted from	23.1% reduced their daily eating
202072	the online nutritional survey of Guangdong	frequency
	Nutrition Society and Sun Yat-sen University	17.3% increased their daily eating
	[measure is not in English, but can be found here:	frequency, and 60% reported no changes
	https://www.wjx.cn/m/59273857.aspx]	in eating frequency
	Translated:	
	M/I: "your staple food intake during the pandemic	
	compared to before the pandemic"	
	RO: increase/ reduce/ no significant changes	
Yılmaz et	M/I: Main meal in COVID-19 pandemic	71% no change in the number of main
al., 2020 <sup>71</sup>	RO: Increased/Not changed/Decreased	meals
		23% increased number of main meals
	M/I: Snacks in COVID-19 pandemic	6% decreased in the number of main
	RO: Increased/Not changed/Decreased	meals
	M/I: Mean meal [daily number of meals consumed	57.5% no change in the number of snacks
	before the COVID-19 pandemic]	38% increased the number of snacks
	<b>RO:</b> 1/2/3	4.5% decreased the number of snacks
	M/I: Snacks [daily number of snacks consumed	58.3% consumed two main meals per day
	before the COVID-19 pandemic]	and 43.9% consumed one snack before
	<b>RO:</b> 1/2/3	COVID-19.
		During COVID-19, 23.0% reported an
		increase in the number of meals and 38.09
		an increase of snacks.

<sup>a</sup> The response options stated here are quoted directly from Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020.<sup>67</sup> There was no reporting on the "Yes, I eat out of the meals" response option.

Citation	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Papandreou	M/I: The Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire	59.8% of the Spain sample and 51.7% of the
et al., 202054	(DEBQ) <sup>135</sup> was utilized to assess eating	Greece sample reported that they do follow
	behaviors.	same hours/number of meals during the
		pandemic
Poelman et	M/I: Participants asked to indicate if they ate in	16.9% ate at different times
al., 2021 <sup>56</sup>	a different way than usual during lockdown	19% took more time eating
	(with more awareness, taking more time, during	
	different occasions, more often and snacking	
	more frequently)	
	<b>RO:</b> Fully disagree (1) to fully agree (5).	
	Calculated the number of participants that (fully)	
	agreed on each of the items (score 4 or 5).	
Sutaria et al.,	M/I: "Has your eating schedule changed?"	During the pandemic
202073	RO: Yes/No/ Maybe	50.2% reported eating schedule changed
		during
		38.6% reported no change in eating schedule
		11.1% reported eating schedule "maybe"
		changed

**Table 5.** Measures and Findings for Changes in the Timing of Eating During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

#### Journal Pre-proof

**Table 6.** Measures and Findings for Changes in the Consumption of Fruits and Vegetables, Snacks, Sweets, Seafood and Fast Food During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Citation	Measure/ Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Fruits/ Vegetables	Fruits	Vegetables	Snacks	Sweets and/or bakery products	Fish and/or seafood	Fast Food
Bakhsh et al., 2021 <sup>43</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: fruits and vegetables,sweets (cake, chocolate, and ice cream), savory snacks (chips and salty biscuits)? RO: "Increased Intake, Decreased Intake, or No Change in the Intake."	I <sup>a</sup> =48% U <sup>b</sup> =43% D <sup>c</sup> =9%	N/A	N/A	Frequency of snacking: I=45% U=36% D=19% Savory Snacks: I=30% U=40% D=30%	Sweets: I=44% U=31% D=25%	N/A	N/A
Bann et al., 2020 <sup>75</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How many portions of fruit and vegetables do you eat a day?</li> <li>RO: "From 0 to ≥6; portion guidance was provided"</li> </ul>	Portions of fruits and vegetables consumed pre- pandemic similar to during lockdown	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ben Hassen et al., 2020 <sup>63d</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: Fruits/Vegetables,</li> <li>Candy/Cakes/ Cookies/Pastries, Healthy Snacks, Unhealthy Snacks?</li> <li>RO: "Much More, Moderately More, About the Same, Slightly Less, Much Less, First Time [meaning that their first time consuming the food was during the pandemic], or Never"</li> </ul>	I=32.4% U=60% D=5.8%	N/A	N/A	Healthy Snacks: I=20.9% U=57.7% D=12.7% Unhealthy Snacks: I=12.2% U=41.4% D=32.4%	Candy, cookies, cakes, and pastries: I=24.6% U=43.3% D=28.7%	N/A	N/A
Bin Zarah et al., 2020 <sup>76</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Sweets, Potato Chips or other salty snacks,Starchy Vegetables, Eggs/Chicken/Turkey, Non-Starchy Vegetables/Salad, FruitFish or shellfish? <b>RO:</b> Increased, Decreased, or No Change.	N/A	I=16.4% U=50.2% D=33.4%	Starchy Vegetables I=8.5% U=70% D=21.5% Non-starchy vegetables: I=14.3% U=57.5%	Salty Snacks: I=37.4% U=49.6% D=13%	Sweets such as candy, cookies, pies: I=43.9% U=43.5% D=12.6%	Fish or shellfish: I=14.9% U=68.4% D=16.7%	N/A

			Journal Pre-p	proof				
				D=28.2%				
Błaszczyk -Bębenek et al., 2020 <sup>44</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How frequently have you consumed the following foods before the pandemic and during the pandemic: fishes,fruits, vegetables, fast foods, sweets?</li> <li>RO: "(1) never, (2) 1–3 times a month, (3) once a week, (4) few times a week, (5) once a day, (6) few times a day"</li> <li>M/I: How many portions of each food did</li> </ul>	N/A	Frequency: No significant change Portion Size: No significant change	Frequency: No significant change Portion Size: No significant change	N/A	Sweets Frequency: Significant increase, p=0.0241 Sweets portion Size: Significant	Fishes frequen cy: No significant change Fishes Portion size: No significant change	Frequency: Significant dec rease, p=0.0001 Portion Size: Significant decrease, p<0.0001
	<ul> <li>work now many portions of each food did you consume both before and during the pandemic?</li> <li>RO: "(1) zero, (2) half a portion, (3) one, (4) two, (5) three, (6) four or more"</li> </ul>					increase, p=0.0029	enange	
Buckland et al., 2021 <sup>45</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: sweet snacks, savory snacks, fruit intake, vegetable intake? RO: "I eat a lot less, I eat a lot more, or I eat the same amount"	N/A	I=48% U=36% D=16%	I=49% U=40% D=11%	Sweet Snacks: I=28% U=46% D=26% Savory Snacks: I=28% U=49% D=22%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Carroll et al., 2020 <sup>47e</sup>	M/I: How has your and your child's diets changed during the pandemic? RO: "eating more/fewer fruit and vegetables, eating more/less snack foods, such as chips or cookies, eating more/fewer foods from fast food/take out restaurants."	Mothers: Eating Fewer=22% Eating More=20% Fathers: Eating Fewer=12% Eating More=32% Children: Eating Fewer=20% Eating More=24%	N/A	N/A	D=22% Mothers: Eating Fewer=4% Eating more=66% Fathers: Eating fewer=4% Eating more=58% Children: Eating fewer=6% Eating more=54%	N/A	N/A	Mothers Eating fewer=42% Eating more=10% Fathers: Eating fewer=44% Eating more=13% Children Eating fewer=26% Eating more=8%
Celik & Dane, 2020 <sup>77</sup>	M/I: Which foods did you have a preference to consume both before and during the pandemic? RO:vegetables, fruits, bakery foods	N/A	Before=18.5% During=26.5%	Before=14.4% During=24.6%	N/A	Bakery Foods: Before=20% During=10%	N/A	N/A

			Journal Pre-p	roof				
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2020 <sup>66</sup>	M/I: What meal types were your most consumed meals both before the pandemic and during the pandemic? RO: "fast food"	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Significant decreased consumption, p<0.001
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2021 <sup>65</sup>	M/I: What meal types were your most consumed meals both before the pandemic and during the pandemic? RO: ", fast food"	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Significant decreased consumption, p<0.001
Chenaride s et al., 2021 <sup>13d</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "How much more or less have you consumed these foods since COVID-19 started?" for 10 major food groups: fresh produce,fast food"</li> <li>RO: "A lot more (5), A bit more (4), About the same (3), A little less (2), A lot less (1) and Do not consume"</li> </ul>	I=27.53% U=54.59% D=16.84%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I=16.84% U=21.37% D=47.97%
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020 <sup>67e</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "During this [quarantine] period, which of these foods are you consuming MORE than before?"</li> <li>RO: "fruits, fresh vegetables, frozen vegetables,industrial bakery products/sweets, fish, frozen fish, canned fishsnacks"</li> <li>M/I: "During this [quarantine] period, which of these foods are you consuming LESS than before?"</li> <li>RO: "fruits, fresh vegetables, frozen vegetables,industrial bakery products/sweets, fish, frozen fish, canned fishsnacks"</li> </ul>	N/A	Fresh Fruit Reduced Intake=18% Increased Intake=15.3%	Packaging Vegetables Reduced Intake=6.0% Increased Intake=8.0% Fresh Vegetables Reduced Intake=17.3% Increased Intake=19.3%	Snacks: Reduced Intake=12.7% Increased Intake=9.3%	Packaging Sweets: Reduced Intake=16.7% Increased Intake=11.3%	Preserved Fish: Reduced Intake=4.7% Increased Intake=6.7% Frozen Fish: Reduced Intake=8.0% Increased Intake=9.3% Fresh Fish: Reduced Intake=24.7% Increased Intake=4.7%	N/A
Flanagan et al., 2021 <sup>68</sup>	M/I: In an average week, how frequently did you engage in each of the following behaviors both before and during the pandemic: consuming less than two fruits and vegetables per day, eating fast food two or more times,eating sweets and desserts,? RO: "usually/often, sometimes, or rarely/never"	N/A	Significant decrease in frequency of eating less than 2 serving per day, p<0.001	No significant change in the frequency of eating less than 2 servings per day	N/A	Significant increase in the frequency of eating sweets or desserts, p<0.001	N/A	Significant decrease in the frequency of eating two or more meals from fast food, p<0.001
Gallo et al., 2020 <sup>48</sup>	M/I: Which foods did you consume in the last 24 hours?	N/A	N/A	N/A	Significant increase in two snack occasions	N/A	N/A	N/A

			Journal Pre-	proof				
	<b>RO:</b> "Foods and beverages were entered by typing in specific search terms and selecting items from a returned list" Results compared to 2018 and 2019 studies				compared to one and energy density attributed to snacks for females			
Giacalone et al., 2020 <sup>61</sup>	M/I: How has your frequency of snaking changed during the pandemic? How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Vegetables, Fruit,Fish, Pastries (commercial), Fast Food? <b>RO:</b> Higher, Lower, or As Before.	N/A	I=11.1% U=64.0% D=24.9%	I=11.3% U=69.2% D=19.5%	I=41.7% U=47.5% D=10.8%	Pastries commercial: I=21.1% U=60.6% D=18.4%	I=15.8% U=75.8% D=8.4%	I=15.1% U=59.5% D=25.4%
Górnick et al., 2020 <sup>62</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: Has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: vegetables, fruits,fish and seafood, fast foods, salty snacks, confectionary, sweetened spreads, commercial pastry, ice-cream and puddings, sweetened cereals and/or cereal bars,?</li> <li>RO: "I eat more/I eat the same/I eat less/I didn't eat before and during the pandemic"</li> </ul>		I=15.2% U=64.7% D=20.1%		Salty Snacks: I=18.1% U=62.2% D=19.7%	Confectionary: I=32.5% U=48.7% D=18.8% Sweetened Spreads: I=3.7% U=91.6% D=4.7% Commercial Pastry: I=10.9% U=59.6% D=29.4% Ice Cream and Pudding: I=10.0% U=74.9% D=15.0% Sweetened Cereal and/or Cereal Bars: I=5.4% U=88.3% D=6.3%	I=6.8% U=76.2% D=17.0%	I=8.1% U=55.3% D=36.6%
Huber et al., 2021 <sup>50</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: Confectionaries,Fruits, Vegetables?	N/A	I=33% U=52% D=14.5%	I=31.5% U=53.5% D=15%	N/A	Confectionarie s: I=44.5% U=27.5%	N/A	N/A

			Journal Pre-p	proof				
	RO: Increased, Decreased, or Unchanged.					D=27.5%		
Husain & Ashkanani , 2020 <sup>69</sup>	M/I: How frequently do you eat each of the following foods: fruitvegetablesfish and seafood? RO: "Never, less than 1/w, 1-2/w, 3-4/w,5-6/w, 7 or more, I do not know, or none. The answer alternatives for fruits and vegetables were less than 1/d, 1/d, 2/d,3/d, 4 or more, none, or I do not know"	N/A	Before: None=8% Less than 1/d=31.1% 1/d=37.8% 2/d=14.9% 3/d=4.1% 4 or more=2.2% I do not know=1.9% During: None=9.2% Less than 1/d=29.2% 1/d=35.4% 2/d=18.3% 3/d=4.3% 4 or more=1.7% I do not know=1.9%	Before: None=7% Less than 1/d=22.4% 1/d=36.4% 2/d=20.5% 3/d=7.7% 4 or more=4.1% I do not know=1.9% During: None=8.2% Less than 1/d=23.1% 1/d=33.7% 2/d=21.4% 3/d=9.2% 4 or more=2.2% I do not know=2.2%	N/A	N/A	Before: Never=10.6% Less than 1/w=31.6% 1-2/w=47.0% 3-4/w=8.7% 5-6/w=0.2% 7 or more=0.5% I don't know=1.4% During: Never=26.5% Less than 1/w=33.0% 1-2/w=34.5% 3-4/w=4.3% 5-6/w=0.2% 7 or more=0.2% I don't know=1.2%	N/A
Kansiime et al., 2021 <sup>40e</sup>	M/I: How often did you consume the following foods both before the pandemic and during the pandemic: fruits, vegetables, fish and seafood? RO: "rarely (once or twice a month), sometimes (3–10 times a month), and often (>10 times a month)" "frequent consumption variables that are equal to one if a respondent selected 'often (>10 times a month)' and zero otherwise, were computed."	N/A	Percent of participants who reported a frequent consumption (>10 times per month) Kenya: Before=57.6% During=22.4% Uganda: Before=60.8% During=28.8%	Percent of participants	N/A	N/A	Percent of participants who reported a frequent consumption (>10 times per month) Kenya: Before=22.4% During=6.4% Uganda: Before=28.8% During=12.8%	N/A
Kriaucioni ene et al., 2020 <sup>51</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: Vegetables, Fruits,Fish-Seafood,Commercial Pastries, Fast FoodSnacking? RO: Higher, Lower or As usual	N/A	I=22.1% U=63.2% D=14.7%	I=18.8% U=66.2% D=15.0%	I=45.1% U=45.1% D=9.8%	Commercial pastries such as cookies, custards, sweets: I=18.9% U=55.2%	I=7.5% U=78.3% D=14.3%	I=6.7% U=51.9% D=41.3%

Journal Pre-proof										
Lamarche et al., 2021 <sup>78</sup>	M/I: Which of the following foods did you eat in the last 24 hours? <b>RO:</b> total vegetables,seafoods and plant proteins, added sugars, whole fruits,total fruits	N/A	Whole fruits: Significant reduced consumption Total fruits: Significant reduced consumption	Total vegetables: Significant increased consumption Greens and beans: Significant increased consumption	N/A	D=26.0% Added Sugars: Significant reduced consumption	Seafood and plant proteins: Significant increased consumption	N/A		
López- Bueno et al., 2020 <sup>79</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "How many fresh fruit and vegetables do you usually eat daily?"</li> <li>RO: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or more than 5</li> <li>Reporting a consumption of less than three fresh fruit or vegetables a day was considered a health risk behavior.</li> </ul>	Significant decrease in consuming fewer than three fresh fruits or vegetables a day, p=0.011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Malta et al., 2020 <sup>80</sup>	M/I: "Before the pandemic how many days a week did you usually eat any of the following foods: greens and vegetables, fruit,savory snacks, chocolate/sweet biscuits/pieces of tart?" "During the pandemic, how frequently do you eat these foods now?" <b>RO:</b> 5 days or more (considered to be regular consumption); 2 to 4 days; one day or less	N/A	Regular consumption of fruit: Before=32.8% During=31.9%	Regular consumption of greens and vegetables: Before=37.3% During=33.0%	Savory snacks more than 2 days: Before=9.5% During=13.2%	Chocolate/swe et biscuits/pieces of tart more than 2 days: Before=41.3% After=47.1%	N/A	N/A		
Matsungo & Chopera, 2020 <sup>81d,e</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: Dark Green Leafy Vegetables, Other Vegetables, Other Vitamin-A Rich Fruits, Other Fruits? RO: "1=less/decreased, 2=same/did not change, 3=more/increased, or 4=not applicable "	Other vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables: I=12.5% U=25% D=58.4%	Other Fruits: I=12.5% U=20.9% D=62.6%	Dark green leafy vegetables I=29.2% U=33.4% D=29.2% Other vegetables I=12.5% U=37.5% D=45.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

			Journal Pre-p	roof				
Murphy et al., 2021 <sup>82</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> How many portions of fruit and vegetables did you consume per day both before and during the pandemic?	N/A	Significant increase in the portions of fruit consumed per day	Significant increase in the portions of vegetables consumed per day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pakravan- Charvadeh et al., 2020 <sup>83</sup>	M/I: Which of the following foods did you consume before the pandemic? Which of the following foods did you consume during the pandemic? Cereals, Vitamin A-Rich Vegetables and Tubers,Dark Green Leafy Vegetables, Other Vegetables, Vitamin A-rich fruits, Other fruits (i.e., wild fruits and 100% fruit juices made from fruits), Fruits,Fish,Sweets <b>RO:</b> Yes or No	N/A	Vitamin-A rich fruits/"other" fruits/ fruits: No significant change	Vegetables: Significant decreased consumption, p=0.05 Dark Green Leafy Vegetables: Significant decreased consumption, p=0.001 Vitamin-A rich vegetables and tubers/" other" vegetables: No significant change	N/A	Sweets: Significant decreased consumption, p=0.001	Fish: No significant change	N/A
Papandreo u et al., 2020 <sup>54</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: Did you consume more pastries during the pandemic?</li> <li>RO: "No, less than 3 pieces per week, or more than 3 pieces per week"</li> <li>M/I: Did the number of snacks you consumed between meals increase during the pandemic?</li> <li>RO: "Yes or no"</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Spain: Yes=34.1% No=65.9% Greece: Yes=40.8% No=59.2%	Pastries: Spain: No= $69.4\%$ Less than 3/w=19.7% More than 3/w=10.9% Greece: No= $62.2\%$ Less than 3/w=12.6% More than 3/w=25.2%	N/A	N/A

			Journal Pre-p	roof				
Pellegrini et al., 2020 <sup>55</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: Has your consumption changed: the number of snacks you consume a day, fruits and vegetables, sweets?</li> <li>RO: "I don't consume those foods usually, is less than before quarantine, is the same as before quarantine, is more than before quarantine"</li> </ul>	I=27.3% U=54% D=18%	N/A	N/A	Don't Consume=28 % I=32.7% U=28% D=11.3%	Sweets: Don't Consume=16 % I=50% U=22% D=12%	N/A	N/A
Radwan et al., 2020 <sup>57</sup>	M/I: Which of the following foods did you consume more of during the pandemic? RO: "salty snacks, sweet snacks"	N/A	N/A	N/A	Salty Snacks: 21% reported consuming more during COVID Sweet snacks: 7.1% reported consuming more during COVID	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reyes- Olavarría et al., 2020 <sup>58</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: How has your consumption of vegetables and fruits changed during the pandemic?</li><li>RO: "Less than before/same than before/more than before"</li></ul>	I=30.9% U=48.4% D=20.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rodríguez -Pérez et al., 2020 <sup>84</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How has your fast-food frequency changed during the pandemic?</li> <li>How has your snacking frequency changed during the pandemic?</li> <li>How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Vegetables, Fruits,Fish, Non-Homemade Pastries?</li> <li>RO: Higher, Lower, As Before</li> </ul>	N/A	I=18.3% U=67.6% D=13.6%	I=16.7% U=71% D=11.8%	I=37.6% U=46.7% D=15.7%	Non- homemade pastries I=21% U=59% D=20%	I=8% U=72% D=21%	I=5.1% U=60.0% D=34.9%
Sánchez- Sánchez et al., 2020 <sup>85</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "How many portions of vegetables do you consume every day? (Garnishes and accompaniments would be ½ portion, 1 portion is equal to 200g)"</li> <li>RO: "1 or less, 2 or more, none of them in salad or raw, or 2 or more, some of them in salad or raw."</li> </ul>	N/A	Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	Significant increased consumption, p=0.032	N/A	Industrial Bakery Foods: Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	N/A
	<b>M/I:</b> "How many pieces of fruit, including fruit juice, do you consume a day? <b>RO:</b> "2 or less per day, 3 or more per day"							

	M/I: "How many portions of fish/seafood do							
	you consume per week? (1 dish, piece, or portion = 100–150 g of fish or 4–5 pieces or 200 g of seafood)"							
	<b>RO:</b> "2 or less portions per week, 3 or more portions per week"							
	<ul><li>M/I: "How many times per week do you consume industrial bakery (non-home made) foods, like biscuits, puddings, sweets, or cakes?"</li><li>RO: "1 or less portions per week, 2 or more portions per week"</li></ul>							
Scarmozzi no & Visioli,	<b>M/I:</b> "Have you changed your fresh fruit and vegetables consumption during the lockdown?"	I=21.2% I (canned)=0.9	N/A	N/A	Salty or sweet snacks: I=23.5%	Sweet food (chocolate, spreads, cakes,	N/A	N/A
2020 <sup>59</sup>	<b>RO:</b> "Yes, it increased, I increased only the consumption of canned fruits and vegetables, No, I have been eating more or less the usual amount, or Yes, I decreased it"	% U=69.2% D=8.7%			U=57.6% D=18.9%	ice creams) I=42.5% U=44.0% D=13.5%		
	<ul> <li>M/I: "Have you changed your consumption of other kinds of sweet food (chocolate, spreads, cakes, ice creams) during the lockdown?"</li> <li>RO: "Yes, it increased, I have been consuming more or less the usual amount, or Yes, I decreased it"</li> </ul>							
	<b>M/I:</b> "Have you changed your consumption of sweet or salty snacks during the lockdown?"							
	<b>RO:</b> "Yes, it increased, I have been consuming more or less the usual amount, or Yes, I decreased it"							
S. V Sharma et al., 2020 <sup>86</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: How has your consumption of fruits and vegetables changed because of COVID- 19?</li><li>RO: Increased, Decreased, Stayed the Same</li></ul>	I=30.2% U=28.4% D=41.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Werneck et al., 2020 <sup>87</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> How frequently did you consume fruit or vegetables both before the pandemic and during the pandemic quarantine?	Participants without depression: Low frequency	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Reporting eating fruits or vegetables less than five days a week was classified as low frequency	of fruit or vegetable Before=77.5%						

During=78.1%

		Participants with depression: Low frequency of fruit or vegetable Before=81.6% During=81.6%						
Yılmaz et al., 2020 <sup>71</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic: Vegetables, Fruits, Snacks RO: Increased, Decreased, or Unchanged.	N/A	I=49.1% U=49.4% D=1.5%	I=40.5% U=58.4% D=1.0%	I=38% U=57.5% D=4.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zhang et al., 2020 <sup>88</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following food types changed during the pandemic: seafood snacks and beverages? <b>RO:</b> Increase, Unchanged, or Decreased	N/A	N/A	N/A	Consuming Snacks and beverages: I=25% U=38% D=38%	N/A	Seafood: I=9.5% U=37.5% D=53%	N/A
<sup>a</sup> I repre	esents an increase in consumption				2-3070			

<sup>b</sup> U represents consumption was unchanged

<sup>c</sup> D represents a decrease in consumption

<sup>d</sup> Findings are presented only for response options related to an increase, decrease, or no change, thus percentages reported will not add to equal 100%. Remaining percentages align with the alternative response options noted in the measures section including "never" and "first time" for Ben Hassen et al., 2020, "do not consume" for Chenarides et al., 2021, and "not applicable" for Matsungo & Chopera, 2020.

<sup>e</sup> Studies where values were estimated from a figure

Table 7. Measures and Findings for Changes in the Consumption of Frozen Foods, Dairy Products, Homemade Foods, Legumes, Breads, Grains, and
Meats During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Citation	Measure/ Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Frozen Food	Dairy Products	Homemade Foods	Legumes	<b>Breads/Grains</b>	Meats
Alhusseini & Alqahtani, 2020 <sup>74</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "[Before the pandemic] How often do you eat home-cooked meals per week?"</li> <li>"[During the pandemic] How often do you eat home-cooked meals per week?"</li> <li>RO: "0, 1-2 times/week, 3-6 times/week, or daily"</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	Significant increase, p<0.001	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bakhsh et al., 2021 <sup>43</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:dairy products, meat, fish, poultry,?</li><li>RO: "Increased Intake, Decreased Intake, or No Change in the Intake."</li></ul>	N/A	I <sup>a</sup> =26% U <sup>b</sup> =12% D <sup>c</sup> =62%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Meat/Fish/ Poultry: I=34% U=57% D=9%
Ben Hassen et al., 2020 <sup>63d</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Meat,Packaged Frozen Foods?</li> <li>RO: "Much More, Moderately More, About the Same, Slightly Less, Much Less, First Time [meaning that their first time consuming the food was during the pandemic], or Never"</li> </ul>	I=14.8% U=47.8 % D=21.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Meat General: I=11.2% U=72.6% D=12.5%
Bin Zarah et al., 2020 <sup>76</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Sweets,Brown Rice or Whole Grain Pasta, White Rice or Pasta, Dark Bread, White Bread, Cold Breakfast Cereals, Processed Meats, Beef/Pork/Lamb, Eggs/Chicken/Turkey, Dairy and Dairy Alternatives? <b>RO:</b> Increased, Decreased, or No Change.	N/A	Dairy and dairy alternatives: I=8.3% U=70.1% D=21.6%	N/A	N/A	Brown rice or whole-grain pasta: I=8.1% U=76.8% D=15.1% White rice or pasta: I=26.8% U=62.5% D=10.7% Dark Bread: I=8.7% U=77.2% D=14.1% White Bread: I=19%	Processed Meat: I=19.9% U=63.7% D=16.4% Beef, Pork, or Lamb: I=20.4% U=64.3% D=15.3% Eggs, Chicken, or Turkey: I=11.1% U=57.9% D=31%

## Journal Pre-proof

						U=70.4% D=10.6% Cold Breakfast cereals : I=22.3% U=67.4% D=10.3%	
Błaszczyk -Bębenek et al., 2020 <sup>44</sup>	M/I: How frequently have you consumed the following foods before the pandemic and during the pandemic: white bread, whole meal bread, white rice/white pasta, buckwheat/oats,milk, fermented milk, fresh cheeses, cheeses, cold meats, red meats, white meats, pulses,tinned meats? RO: "(1) never, (2) 1–3 times a month, (3) once a week, (4) few times a week, (5) once a day, (6) few times a day" M/I: How many portions of each food did you consume both before and during the pandemic? RO: "(1) zero, (2) half a portion, (3) one, (4) two, (5) three, (6) four or more"	N/A	Frequency milk/fermented milk/ cheese/fresh cheese/butter: No significant change Portion size milk/fermented milk/cheese/fresh cheese/butter: No significant change	N/A	Pulses frequency: No significant change Pulses Portion size: No significant change	<ul> <li>D=10.5%</li> <li>White bread Portion Size: Significant decrease, p=0.040</li> <li>White bread/Whole meal bread/white rice, white pasta/Buckwheat oats Frequency: No significant change</li> <li>Whole meal bread/white rice, white pasta/Buckwheat oats: Portion size: No significant change</li> </ul>	Tinned meats Frequency: Significant increase, p=0.0004 Tinned Meats Portion size: Significant increase, p=0.0390 Red Meats/White Meats/Cold Meats frequency: No significant change Red Meats Portion size: Significant decre ase, p=0.0199 White Meats/Cold Meats Portion size: No Significant Change
Celik & Dane, 2020 <sup>77</sup>	M/I: Which foods did you have a preference to consume both before and during the pandemic? RO: Meat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Meat General: Before=29.2% During=22.9%
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2020 <sup>66</sup>	M/I: What meal types were your most consumed meals both before the pandemic and during the pandemic?	Significant decreased consumption, p=0.032	N/A	Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	N/A	N/A	N/A

			Journal Pre-proof				
	<b>RO:</b> "Homemade, frozen ready-to-eat meals"						
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2021 <sup>65</sup>	M/I: What meal types were your most consumed meals both before the pandemic and during the pandemic? RO: "Homemade, frozen ready-to-eat meals,"	No significant change	N/A	Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chenaride s et al., 2021 <sup>13d</sup>	M/I: "How much more or less have you consumed these foods since COVID-19 started?' for 10 major food groups:dairy, meat, grains,frozen food," RO: "A lot more (5), A bit more (4), About the same (3), A little less (2), A lot less (1) and Do not consume"	I=25.43% U=53.19% D=16.49%	I=19.16% U=65.27% D=12.66%	N/A	N/A	Grains: I=28.69% U=59.35% D=10.22%	Meat General: I=19.86% U=54.36% D=22.18%
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020 <sup>67e</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "During this [quarantine] period, which of these foods are you consuming MORE than before?"</li> <li>RO: "Nonepasta and cereals, bread, homemade pizza, homemade pastries,ham and processed meat, dairy products, cheese, cow's milk and yogurtLegumes, white meat, red meat"</li> <li>M/I: "During this [quarantine] period, which of these foods are you consuming LESS than before?"</li> <li>RO: "Nonepasta and cereals/ bread/homemade pizza/homemade pizza/homemade pastriesprocessed meat/dairy products/ cheese/cow's milk and yogurt,legumes/white meat"</li> </ul>	N/A	Dairy Products: Reduced Intake=4% Increased Intake=13.3% Milk and yogurt: Reduced Intake=4.0% Increased Intake=9.3%	Homemade Sweets: Reduced Intake=4% Increased Intake=45.4% Homemade Pizza: Reduced Intake=4.7% Increased Intake=35.4%	Reduced Intake=4.7% Increased Intake=15.3%	Fresh Bread: Reduced Intake=9.3% Increased Intake=17.3% Cereals: Reduced Intake=5.3% Increased Intake=24.7%	Preserved Meat: Reduced intake=12.7% Increased intake=13.3% Processed Meat: Reduced intake=6.7% Increased intake=3.3% Red Meat: Reduced intake=8.0% Increased intake=8.7% White Meat: Reduced Intake=4.7% Increased Intake=12.0%
Giacalone et al., 2020 <sup>61</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemicRed Meat, Legumes, Pastries (homemade)? RO: Higher, Lower, or As Before.	N/A	N/A	Homemade Pastries: I=38.1% U=53.8% D=8.1%	I=7.1% U=84.4% D=8.6%	N/A	Red Meat: I=11.5% U=76.2% D=12.3%
Górnick et al., 2020 <sup>62</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> Has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:whole grain cereal products, low fat meat and/or	N/A	I=20.8% U=71.0% D=8.2%	Homemade Pastry: I=39.9% U=51.1%	I=13.9% U=77.7% D=8.5%	Whole Grain Products: I=16.3% U=72.3%	Low Fat Meat and/or Eggs: I=15.7% U=74.7%

			Journal Pre-proof					
	eggs, milk and milk products, processed meats, homemade meals,?			D=9.0%		D=11.4%	D=9.7%	
	<b>RO:</b> "I eat more/I eat the same/I eat less/I didn't eat before and during the pandemic"			Homemade Meals: I=48.0% U=48.8% D=3.1%			Processed Meat: I=10.9% U=71.4% D=17.7%	
Huber et al., 2021 <sup>50</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Bread, Meat, Dairy? RO: Increased, Decreased, or Unchanged.	N/A	I=25% U=58.5% D=16.5%	N/A	N/A	Bread: I=32.5% U=40% D=27.5%	Meat General: I=16% U=56.5% D=27.5%	
Husain & Ashkanani , 2020 <sup>69</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: How frequently do you eat each of the following foods: red meat, chicken, processed meat?</li> <li>RO: "Never, less than 1/w, 1-2/w, 3-4/w,5-6/w, 7 or more, I do not know, or none.</li> <li>M/I: What type of milk do you consume most frequently?</li> <li>RO: "None/whole milk/semi-skimmed/skimmed/soy milk/almond milk/other (rice/goat milk)/do not know"</li> <li>M/I: What type of bread do you consume most frequently?</li> <li>RO: "Other/white/brown or brown seeds/ whole wheat/[non-brown] seeds/None"</li> </ul>	N/A	Before: None=23.4% Whole milk=29.9% Semi- skimmed=23.9% Skimmed=13.5% Soy milk=1.0% Almond milk=5.1% Other (rice/goat)=2.7% During: None=23.9% Whole milk=30.8% Semi- skimmed=24.8% Skimmed=11.3% Soy milk=1.2% Almond milk=3.6% Other (rice/goat)=3.1%	N/A	N/A	Before: Other=2.4% White=42.9% Brown/brown seeds=44.1% Whole wheat=9.4% Seeds=0.0% None=1.2% During: Other=1.7% White=48.0% Brown/brown seeds=39.5% Whole wheat=9.6% Seeds=0.0% None=1.2%	Processed Meat: Before: Never= $68.4\%$ Less than $1/w=17.8\%$ $1-2/w=9.2\%$ $3-4/w=3.1\%$ $5-6/w=0.5\%$ 7 or more= $0.0\%$ I don't know= $1.0\%$ During: Never= $69.4\%$ Less than $1/w=16.1\%$ $1-2/w=7.0\%$ $3-4/w=5.1\%$ $5-6/w=1.0\%$ 7 or more= $0.2\%$ I don't know= $1.2\%$ Red Meat: Before: Never= $7.7\%$ Less than $1/w=17.3\%$ $1-2/w=49.4\%$ $3-4/w=22.7\%$ $5-6/w=1.2\%$ 7 or more= $0.7\%$ I don't know= $1\%$ During: Never= $10.1\%$	

Journal I To proor	

							Less than 1/w=20.7% 1-2/w=47.5% 3-4/w=18.1% 5-6/w=2.2% 7 or more=0.5% I don't know=1%
							Chicken: Before: Never= $3.6\%$ Less than 1/w=4.3% 1-2/w=35.9% 3-4/w=41.4% 5-6/w=10.6% 7 or more= $3.1\%$ I don't know= $1\%$ During: Never= $4.8\%$ Less than 1/w=6.5% 1-2/w=34.5% 3-4/w=39.5% 5-6/w=11.3% 7 or more= $2.7\%$ I don't know= $0.7\%$
Kansiime et al., 2021 <sup>40e</sup>	M/I: How often did you consume the following foods both before the pandemic and during the pandemic:, meat (goat, beef, mutton, etc.), and poultry products? <b>RO:</b> "rarely (once or twice a month), sometimes (3–10 times a month), and often (>10 times a month)"	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Meat General: Percent of participants who reported a frequent consumption (>10 times per month)
							Kenya: Before=41.6% During=16%
							Uganda: Before=51.2% During=22.4%

							Poultry: Percent of participants who reported a frequent consumption (>10 times per month) Kenya: Before=38.4% During=19.2%
							Uganda: Before=41.6%
Kriaucioni ene et al., 2020 <sup>51</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Pulses,Red Meats/Hamburgers/SausagesHomemade pastries such as cookies, custards, sweets, or cakes? RO: Higher, Lower or As usual	N/A	N/A	Homemade pastries such as cookies, custards, sweets, or cakes: I=37.7% U=50.8% D=11.5%	Pulses: I=9.1% U=82.4% D=8.5%	N/A	During=28.8% Red meats, hamburgers, sausages: I=12.2% U=69.9% D=17.9%
Lamarche et al., 2021 <sup>78</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: Which of the following foods did you eat in the last 24 hours?</li><li>RO: Whole grains,refined grains,total dairy,total proteins?</li></ul>	N/A	Total diary: Significant increased consumption	N/A	N/A	Refined Grains: Significant reduced consumption	Total proteins: Significant increased consumption
						Whole Grains: Significant increased consumption	
Malta et al., 2020 <sup>80</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "Before the pandemic how many days a week did you usually eat any of the following foods:beans, frozen food?"</li> <li>"During the pandemic, how frequently do you eat these foods now?"</li> <li>RO: "5 days or more; 2 to 4 days; one day or less"</li> </ul>	Frozen Food more than 2 days: Before=10.0% During=14.6%	N/A	N/A	Regular consumption of beans: Before=43.3% During=40.9%	N/A	N/A
Matsungo & Chopera, 2020 <sup>81d,e</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Meat and Meat Groups, Cereal Breads and Tubers, Dairy ProductsPulses/legumes?	N/A	I=8.3% U=41.7% D=45.9%	N/A	I=16.7% U=37.5% D=33.4%	Cereal, breads, and tubers: I=16.7% U=37.5% D=41.7%	Meat General: I=8.3% U=45.9% D=41.7%

			Journal Pre-proof				
	<b>RO:</b> "1=less/decreased, 2=same/did not change, 3=more/increased, or 4=not applicable "						
Pakravan- Charvadeh et al., 2021 <sup>83</sup>	M/I: Which of the following foods did you consume before the pandemic? Which of the following foods did you consume during the pandemic? CerealsOrgan Meat, Flesh Meats, Meats,Legumes/Nuts/Seeds, Milk and Milk Products RO: Yes or No	N/A	Milk and Milk Products: No significant change	N/A	Legumes, Nuts, and Seeds: Significant increased consumption, p=0.001	Cereals: No significant change	Organ Meat: Significant decreased consumption, p=0.001 Flesh Meat: No significant change
Pellegrini et al., 2020 <sup>55</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: Has your consumption changed: the number of snacks you consume a day, cereals (pasta, rice, other), sources of protein (meat, fish, eggs, cheese, legumes),?</li> <li>RO: "I don't consume those foods usually, is less than before quarantine, is the same as before quarantine, is more than before quarantine"</li> </ul>		N/A	N/A	protein (meat, fish, eggs, cheese, legumes): Don't Consume=0.7% I=27.3% U=54% D=18%	cereals (pasta, rice, other): Don't Consume=2.7% I=28% U=53.3% D=16%	protein (meat, fish, eggs, cheese, legumes): Don't Consume=0.7% I=27.3% U=54% D=18%
Reyes- Olavarría et al., 2020 <sup>58</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: Was cooking performed more than before, less than before, or maintained?</li><li>RO: "More than before/same [as] before/less than before"</li></ul>	N/A	N/A	I=59.6% U=34.7 D=5.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rodríguez -Pérez et al., 2020 <sup>84</sup>	<ul><li>M/I: How has your fast-food frequency changed during the pandemic?</li><li>How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Red Meat, Legumes?</li><li>RO: Higher, Lower, As Before</li></ul>	N/A	N/A	N/A	I=15% U=78% D=8%	N/A	Red Meat: I=8% U=68% D=24%
Sánchez- Sánchez et al., 2020 <sup>85</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "How many portions of butter, margarine, or cream do you consume every day? Individual portion=2g"</li> <li>RO: "1 or less per day, 2 or more per day."</li> <li>M/I: "How many portions of legumes do you consume per week? (1 dish or portion is 150 g):</li> <li>RO: "2 or less portions per week, 3 or more portions per week"</li> </ul>	N/A	Butter, Margarine, or Cream: Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	N/A	Significant increased consumption, p<0.001	N/A	Red meat, Hamburger, Sausages, or Cold Meat: Significant increased consumption, p<0.001
	<b>M/I:</b> "How many portions of red meat, hamburgers, sausages, or cold meat do you consume every day? (Portion 100–150 g)"						

			Journal Pre-proc	of			
	<b>RO:</b> "1 or less per day, 2 or more per day"						
Yılmaz et al., 2020 <sup>71</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> How has your consumption of the following foods changed during the pandemic:Red Meat/Chicken/Fish, Dairy Products?	N/A	I=41.0% U=58.5% D=0.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Red meat, chicken, and fish: I=32.1% U=65.8%
	<b>RO:</b> Increased, Decreased, or Unchanged.						D=2.1%
Zhang et al., 2020 <sup>88</sup>	M/I: How has your consumption of the following food types changed during the pandemic: frozen food, imported frozen food? RO: Increase, Unchanged, or Decreased	Frozen Food: I=19% U=40% D=41%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Imported Frozen Food: I=3.3% U=40%					
		D=57%					
<sup>a</sup> I repres	ents an increase in consumption						
<sup>b</sup> U repre	esents consumption was unchanged						
<sup>c</sup> D repre	sents a decrease in consumption						

<sup>c</sup> D represents a decrease in consumption

<sup>d</sup> Findings are presented only for response options related to an increase, decrease, or no change, thus percentages reported will not add to equal 100%. Remaining percentages align with the alternative response options noted in the measures section including "never" and "first time" for Ben Hassen et al., 2020, "do not consume" for Chenarides et al., 2021, and "not applicable" for Matsungo & Chopera, 2020.

<sup>e</sup> Studies where values were estimated from a figure

Citation	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Alhusseini & Alqahtani, 2020 <sup>74</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "How would you rate your overall habits of eating healthy foods?" (Before and during COVID-19).</li> <li>RO: poor/ fair/ good/ very good/ excellent</li> </ul>	Statistically significant increase in respondents rating of their eating healthy food as very good/excellent (22.3% to 29.5%; p<0.001).
Almandoz et al., 2020 <sup>89</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> "As a result of COVID-19, do you find it is easier or more difficult to stick to healthy diet menus and plans?"	61.2% reported greater challenge in following healthy diet plans. 25% reported no change.
Ammar et al., 2020 <sup>64</sup>	M/I: "How likely are you to have an unhealthy diet/food?" Referenced for before and after confinement. Never/sometimes/most of the times/ always	Significantly higher reports of unhealthy eating during confinement (t = -3.46, p < 0.001, d = 0.14). Consuming unhealthy food increased for responses to most of the time (23.3% vs. 18.4%) and always (10.9% vs. 6.2%).
Ben Hassen et al., 2020 <sup>63a</sup>	M/I: Change of eating or drinking habits during the COVID-19 pandemic for the healthy foods, unhealthy foods (e.g., fast food), healthy snacks, unhealthy snacks RO: Never/first time/much less/slightly less/ about the same/ moderately more/ much more	<ul> <li>54.1% about the same healthy foods</li> <li>16.6% much more healthy foods</li> <li>15.7% moderately more healthy foods</li> <li>6.3% slightly less healthy foods</li> <li>2.8% much less healthy foods</li> <li>4.4% never</li> <li>0.2% first time</li> <li>22.5% about the same unhealthy foods</li> <li>3.5% much more unhealthy foods</li> <li>6.3% moderately more unhealthy foods</li> <li>11% slightly less unhealthy foods</li> <li>33.5% much less unhealthy foods</li> <li>22.2% never</li> <li>1.0% first time</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>57.7% about the same healthy snacks</li> <li>6.8% much more healthy snacks</li> <li>14.1% moderately more healthy snacks</li> <li>8% slightly less healthy snacks</li> <li>4.7% much less healthy snacks</li> <li>8.5% never</li> <li>0.2% first time</li> <li>41.4% about the same unhealthy snacks</li> <li>2.8% much more unhealthy snacks</li> <li>9.4% moderately more unhealthy snacks</li> <li>12.6% slightly less unhealthy snacks</li> <li>19.8% much less unhealthy snacks</li> </ul>

**Table 8.** Measures and Findings for Changes in Healthy Eating During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

13.6% never

		0.3% first time
Cancello et al., 2020 <sup>46</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> "How do you evaluate the quality of your nutrition compared to before isolation for covid19?"	<ul><li>47% reported diet quality was like before</li><li>34% reported improved diet quality</li><li>19% reported worsened diet quality</li></ul>
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020 <sup>67</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: "Did your lifestyle and eating habits change<sup>b</sup> during the COVID-19 pandemic period?"</li> <li>M/I: "During this period, which of these foods are you consuming MORE than before?"</li> <li>M/I: "During this period, which of these foods are you consuming LESS than before?"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>46.1% no change in lifestyle/eating habits</li> <li>37.2% COVID-19 made habits worse</li> <li>16.7% COVID-19 made habits improve</li> <li>37.4% reported eating more healthy food;</li> <li>35.8% ate less. (Defined as adherence to the Mediterranean diet)</li> </ul>
	Healthy food defined as fruit, vegetables, nuts and legumes Junk food is defined as packaged sweets and baked products, sweet beverages, savory snacks and dressing sauces	29.8% reported a decrease in "junk food" consumption. Percent increase not reported
Do et al., 2020 <sup>90</sup>	M/I & RO: "Reported their current eating (less healthy versus unchanged or healthier) behaviors as compared with that before the pandemic"	5042 (96.8%) ate at an "unchanged or healthier" level [no distinction made]
Duong et al., 2020 <sup>91</sup>	M/I & RO: Participants rated their eating behavior as less healthy, unchanged, and healthier	42.8% reported healthier eating behavior compared to before the pandemic. (Less healthy and unchanged eating behaviors were assessed together)
Flanagan et al., 2021 <sup>68</sup>	<ul> <li>M/I: Perception of overall healthy eating habits and weight change</li> <li>M/I: Optional long form was a modification of the Rapid Eating Assessment (REAP-s)<sup>134</sup></li> </ul>	20.7% perceived they were eating healthier and 35.6% reported eating less healthy The REAP-s increased (0.81±0.04, p<0.001), indicating overall healthier eating
Górnick et al., 2020 <sup>62</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> Three patterns created: (1) Prohealthy- increased healthy foods & decreased non- recommended foods (2) Constant-relatively stable dietary patterns (3) Unhealthy-increased consumption of non-recommended foods & Decreased consumption of healthy ones	53.0%- Constant eating pattern 27.6- Prohealthy eating pattern 19.4%- Unhealthy eating pattern
	Healthy foods- based on plant food (vegetables, legumes, fruits), healthy fats, and rich protein- low fat food.	
Ingram et al., 2020 <sup>92</sup>	<b>M/I &amp; RO:</b> "diet: 1 = 'a lot more unhealthy', 3 = 'about the same', 5 = 'a lot more healthy'"	<ul><li>34.1% diet remained the same.</li><li>28.1% diet was a little more unhealthy</li><li>12.8% diet was a lot unhealthier</li><li>18.8% diet was a little more healthy</li><li>6.3% diet was a lot healthier</li></ul>
Kansiime et al., 2021 <sup>40</sup>	<b>M/I:</b> The Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) <sup>132</sup>	Percent of people reporting unable to eat health/nutritious food before and during the pandemic. All are significant (p<.01)

		In total sample: 21% before, 55% during Kenya sample: 23% before, 56% during Uganda sample: 16% before, 51% during
Khubchanda	M/I & RO: "overall perception of diet quality	32% their diet is healthier than before
ni et al.,	change" healthier than before the pandemic/	31% report their diet was worse than before
$2020^{93}$	same as before the pandemic/worse	37% reporting no change in overall diet.
	than before the pandemic.	<u> </u>
Marty et al.,	<b>M/I:</b> Simplified PNNS-GS2 (sPNNS-GS2; an	Scores on the sPNNS-GS2 significantly
202153	index to reflect the 2017 French main dietary	decreased during lockdown compared to $h_{2}$ for $(0.8 \text{ m} + 2 \text{ more stingly } - (0.01))$
	recommendations) <sup>136</sup>	before (0.8 vs 1.2 respectively; p<.001)
	"Less healthy food groups which consumption	
	should be limited, i.e., red meat, processed meat,	
	sugary foods, sweet-tasting beverages, alcoholic	
	beverages, salt"	
	'healthier food groups carrying a positive score,	
	i.e., fruits and vegetables, nuts, legumes, whole-	
	grain food, milk and dairy products, fish and	
	seafood	
Pellegrini et	<b>M/I:</b> "During the lockdown period, the healthy	56% reported "I have not changed habits
al., 2020 <sup>55</sup>	foods that you prepare/consume:"	with respect to the type of food"
		28% reported "I don't pay attention to how
		healthy a food is. I consume/prepare foods that give me satisfaction.".
		16% reported "I consume/prepare more
		healthy foods, paying attention to the
		seasoning"
Pham et al.,	M/I: Healthy intake- 5-item Healthy Eating	Being under the lockdown associated with
202094	Score (HES-5) <sup>137</sup>	lower healthy dietary intake scores (p <
		0.001).
Poelman et	M/I & RO: Participants healthy eating pre-	Pre-lockdown, most perceived their eating as
al., 2021 <sup>56</sup>	lockdown on a five-point Likert scale (fully	healthy (81%) with 16.1% being neutral and
	agree - full disagree).	2.9 % reporting eating unhealthily.
	M/I & RO: If they "found it easier or more	82.7% no change in difficulty to eat healthy,
	difficult than usual to make healthy food	10.8% more difficult to eat healthy
	choices" and "if they ate healthier or less healthy	6.5% easier to eat healthy
	than usual"	
		83.3% reported no difference in healthiness
		of food
		9.6 % reported eating healthier
		7.1% reported eating unhealthier
Robinson et	<b>M/I:</b> 'Compared to before the COVID-19 lock-	Below are the percent of people who agreed
al., 2021 <sup>70</sup>	down in the UK, I have ' followed by several	to the question 'compared to before the
	items on barriers/facilitators to healthy eating	COVID- 19 lockdown in the UK, I have'
	<b>RO:</b> 7-point response scale $1 = A$ lot less	770 been able to proceed be laber for d
	frequently/ $4 =$ The same amount/ $7 =$ A lot more frequently	77% been able to access healthy food
	frequently	79% been able to afford healthy food 77% been able to plan healthy meals
	M/I: Short 13 item food frequency questionnaire	83% known how to eat healthily in the
	(SFFQ) <sup>138c</sup>	current circumstances

		88% had time to eat healthily
		83% had unhealthy food in the house
		55% been motivated to eat healthily
		49% fallen into unhealthy habits
		43% been supported by others to eat
		healthily
		Nearly equal proportions said that their
		eating a healthy and balanced diet remained
		the same (31%), was less (17% a little less,
		12% less, 6% a lot less), and was more (17%
		a little more, 12% more, 6% a lot more)
		Lower diet quality was related to higher
		BMI, lower education, being white, younger
		and a male.
Rossinot et	M/I: Self-evaluation of the change during the	54.1% unchanged
al., 2020 <sup>95</sup>	lockdown of their diet	28.7% more balanced
	RO: Less balanced/ no change/ more balanced	17.1% less balanced
Sánchez-	M/I: Prevention with Mediterranean Diet	Adherence to Mediterranean diet increased
Sánchez et	questionnaire Healthy defined as high adherence	(8% vs 4.7%).
al., 2020 <sup>85</sup>		
Wang et al.,	M/I: Not listed	23% of adults reported changed
202072		their diets to be healthier

<sup>a</sup> Findings related to healthy foods and unhealthy snacks from Ben Hassen et al., 2020<sup>63</sup> have percentages that do not add to be 100%. These results are presented in accordance with the original study.

<sup>b</sup> The original item from Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020<sup>67</sup> reads "Did your lifestyle and eating habits changed during the COVID-19 pandemic period?"

<sup>c</sup> Measure source as cited in Robinson et al., 2021<sup>70</sup> "Participants completed a UK-based short 13 item food frequency questionnaire (SFFQ) in which consumption frequencies of 'healthy' and 'unhealthy' key food groups (e.g. fruit, vegetables, wholegrains, sugary drinks, processed meat) during the last week are measured (Green et al., 2016)."

<b>Table 9.</b> Measures and Findings for Changes in Other Eating Behaviors During the Initial	
Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic	

Citation	Behavior	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Adams et al., 2020 <sup>103</sup>	Meal Skipping	<b>M/I:</b> Whether/ how often they cut meal sizes or skipped meals in the past 30 days because there was not enough money for food. <b>RO:</b> number of days/month $\geq$ 3 days considered food insecure	Parents reported cutting or skipping meals because of not enough money more often during the pandemic ( $11.0 \pm 7.5$ days/month) compared to before COVID-19 ( $2.9 \pm 2.2$ days/month)
Almandoz et al., 2020 <sup>89</sup>	Meal Skipping	<b>M/I:</b> Food security: The 6-item US Adult Food Security Survey Module <sup>139</sup>	12.1% of participants reported skipping meals (although 78.3% of the sample was not food insecure)
		M/I: Skipping meals? RO: Yes/No	
Ammar et al., 2020 <sup>64</sup>	Uncontrolle d Eating	M/I: Asked as before and during the pandemic "How often have you found yourself eating out of control" RO: Never/sometimes/ most of	Eating out of control was significantly higher during home confinement (p<0.001) 20.4% of participants indicated eating out of control most of the time during home
		the time/always	confinement compared to 9.7% before confinement
			9.6% of participants indicated they were always eating out of control during home confinement compared to 2.3% before confinement
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2020 <sup>66</sup>	Meal Skipping	M/I: Meal skipping? RO: Yes/no	46.2% of people reported skipping meals during the pandemic compared to 65.5% skipping meals before the pandemic
		<ul><li>M/I: Main reasons for skipping meals?</li><li>RO: To reduce food intake, lack of time, to lose weight, lack of appetite, fasting</li></ul>	People reported the main reason they skipped meals during and before the pandemic was: To reduce food intake (29.1% during, 21.7% before)
			Losing weight (23.6% during, 18.5% before) Lack of time (30.6% during, 62.3% before) Lack of appetite (36% during, 27.7% before) Fasting (25.7% during, 10.3% before)
Cheikh Ismail et al., 2021 <sup>65</sup>	Meal Skipping	<b>M/I:</b> Meal skipping? <b>RO:</b> Yes/no	45.1% of participants skipped meals during the pandemic compared to 64.4% of participants skipping meals from before the
		<ul><li>M/I: Main reasons for skipping meals?</li><li>RO: To reduce food intake, lack of time, to lose weight, lack of appetite, fasting</li></ul>	pandemic (p<0.001) People reported the main reason they skipped meals during and before the pandemic was: To reduce food intake (27.7% during, 18.6% before) Losing weight (23.8% during, 20.2% before) Lack of time (27% during, 60.8% before)

			Lack of appetite (37.9% during, 30.9% before) Fasting (26.4% during, 10% before)
Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020 <sup>67</sup>	Meal Skipping	M/I: "Did you change the number of daily meals, during this period " RO: No, it didn't/Yes, I skip 1 or more of the main meals (breakfast, lunch, dinner)/Yes, I skip 1 or more of snacks between meals/Yes, I added 1 or more of the main meals/Yes, I added 1 or more of the snacks between	17.5% reported skipping more snacks or meals 23.5% reported introducing more meals/snacks
Elmacloğlu et al., 2021 <sup>102</sup>	Uncontrolle d Eating Restrictive Eating	meals/Yes, I eat out of the meals. <sup>a</sup> <b>M/I:</b> Uncontrolled eating, cognitive restriction, and emotional eating behavior: Eighteen items in total <sup>140,141</sup>	Uncontrolled eating behavior significantly increased during the pandemic in "normal" and "overweight" individuals compared to before (p<.001).
		RO: 1=absolutely true; 2=mostly true; 3=mostly false; and 4=absolutely false	Compared to men, women's uncontrolled eating and cognitive restriction were higher during the pandemic compared to before. 22.14 % of participants reported increases in uncontrolled eating behavior
			9.12% of participants reported increases in restrictive eating behaviors
Flaudias et al., 2020 <sup>97</sup>	Binge Eating Restrictive Eating	<b>M/I:</b> Depression and Anxiety: The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) <sup>142</sup>	Binge eating (past 7 days) higher in women, those with higher BMI, greater perceived stress, higher stress related to lockdown, more anxiety, more depression, and probable eating disorders
		<ul> <li>M/I: Perceived stress: The 10- item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS- 10)<sup>143</sup></li> <li>M/I: Eating behaviors: The body dissatisfaction and impulse</li> </ul>	Dietary restriction (past 7 days) higher in women, younger students, those who are classified as "underweight" or "obese." Having scholarship associated with less restriction
		regulation subscales of the Eating Disorder Inventory, 2 <sup>nd</sup> edition (EDI-2) <sup>144</sup> an eating disorder screening tool (Sick, Control, One, Fat, Food [SCOFF]) <sup>145</sup> and the Ideal Body Stereotype Scale (IBSS) <sup>146b</sup>	Higher stress related to the lockdown and anxiety was associated with a higher likelihood of current dietary restriction (past 7 days; p<.001) and anticipated restriction (next 2 weeks; p<.01).
			Higher eating disorder risk, body dissatisfaction, and endorsement of appearance ideals linked to report higher dietary restriction (p<.001).

			Anticipated bingeing (next 2 weeks) associated with higher age, depression, stress related to lockdown, and COVID media exposure, being "underweight," risk for eating disorder, and lower impulse regulation and body dissatisfaction.
			Anticipated dietary restriction (next 2 weeks) was higher for those who were younger, women, at risk of eating disorders, had high levels of body dissatisfaction, endorsement of appearance ideals, low impulse control and BMIs classified as "underweight" and "obese."
Haddad et al., 2020 <sup>104</sup>	Restrictive Eating	<b>M/I:</b> The Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDEQ) <sup>147</sup>	Greater fear of COVID-19, higher BMI, and physical activity predicted higher restraint scores p<.001.
		<ul> <li>M/I: 10 questions related to fear of COVID-19 (e.g., "Thinking about COVID-19 makes me feel anxious")</li> <li>RO: 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely) Higher scores indicate increased fear.</li> </ul>	
Husain & Ashkanani, 2020 <sup>69</sup>	Meal Skipping	M/I & RO: "Skipping meal breakfast; skipping meal snack (breakfast and lunch); skipping meal lunch; skipping meal snack between lunch and dinner; skipping meal dinner; none skipping meal"	Changes in meal skipping were seen for: Breakfast: 41.7% during, 38.8% before Snack between breakfast and lunch: 25.8% during, 32.3% before Lunch: 13.7% during, 8.9% before Snack between lunch and dinner: 20.2% during, 28.7% before
Kansiime et al., 2021 <sup>40</sup>	Meal Skipping	<ul> <li>M/I: Food security: The food insecurity experience scale (FIES)<sup>132</sup></li> <li>M/I: Skipped a meal</li> </ul>	Meal skipping significantly increased in samples from Kenya (19% before, 42% during) and Uganda (12% before, 27% during). This was an indicator of food insecurity, which rose significantly in both
Kaya et al., 2021 <sup>105</sup>	Meal Skipping	<ul> <li>RO: Yes/no</li> <li>M/I: Fear: The fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S)<sup>148</sup></li> <li>M/I: Anxiety: The Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 test (GAD- 7)<sup>149</sup></li> </ul>	samples. There was a significant decrease in skipping breakfast (19.9% before to 16.7% during) and snacks (54.7% before to 35.6% during) and a significant increase in skipping lunch (35.6% before to 49.2% during).
		<b>M/I:</b> Eating: Questions about skipping meals (not specified)	

Khubchandan i et al., 2020 <sup>93</sup>	Overeating Restrictive Eating Meal Skipping	<ul> <li>M/I: Stress: 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)<sup>143</sup></li> <li>M/I: Dietary behaviors: Four questions about dietary behaviors (e.g., overeating, fasting, etc.)</li> <li>RO: More than before the pandemic/same as before the pandemic/less than before the pandemic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>39% reported overeating at the same level</li> <li>39% reported overeating more</li> <li>22% reported overeating less</li> <li>52% reported no changes in restricted eating</li> <li>20% reported an increase in their restricted eating</li> <li>28% reported a decrease in their restricted eating</li> <li>45% reported no changes in meal skipping</li> <li>25% reported skipping meals more</li> <li>30% reported skipping meals less</li> </ul>
			<ul><li>54% reported no changes in fasting</li><li>16% reported increased fasting</li><li>30% reported less fasting</li><li>Changes in overeating, restricted eating, meal skipping, and fasting were related to higher stress scores.</li></ul>
Phillipou et al., 2020 <sup>98</sup>	Binge Eating Restrictive Eating	<ul> <li>M/I: Current negative mood states (over the past week): The Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS-21)<sup>150</sup></li> <li>M/I: Restricted eating and binge eating questions adapted from the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q)<sup>151</sup></li> <li>RO: 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1- a lot more to 5-a lot less</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>60% reported no changes in binge eating behaviors</li> <li>34.6% reported increased binge eating behaviors</li> <li>5% reported decreased binge eating behaviors</li> <li>59% reported no change in level of food restriction</li> <li>27.6% reported a greater level of food restriction</li> <li>13% reported less food restriction</li> </ul>
Puhl et al., 2020 <sup>99</sup>	Binge Eating	M/I: Binge eating: Two questions adapted from the Questionnaire on Eating and Weight Patterns- Revised <sup>152</sup> "In the past month, have you ever eaten so much food in a short period of time that you would be embarrassed if others saw you (binge-eating)?" and "During the times when you ate this way, did you feel you couldn't stop eating or control what or how much you were eating?" <b>RO:</b> yes/no	Those who experienced pre-pandemic weigh stigma had 2.88 times higher odds of binge eating during the pandemic.
		<b>M/I:</b> Weight stigma <sup>153</sup> : asked how often they teased you about your weight (1 = Never, 2 = Less than once a year, $3 = A$ few times a	

		year, $4 = A$ few times a month, and $5 = At$ least once a week)	
Robertson et al., 2021 <sup>101</sup>	Uncontrolle d Eating	<ul> <li>M/I: Perceived changes in eating: "I have found it more difficult to regulate or control my eating"; "I have become more preoccupied with food/eating"</li> <li>M/I: Psychological distress: The 4-item version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-4)<sup>154</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>29.4% of participants agreed and 23.7% strongly agreed that it was more difficult to control or regulate eating during the pandemic compared to before</li> <li>37.8% of participants agreed and 21.8% strongly agreed they were more preoccupied with food and eating during the pandemic compared to before</li> </ul>
			Both difficulty with controlling eating and preoccupation with food were significantly related to psychological distress.
Robinson et al., 2021 <sup>70</sup>	Overeating Meal Skipping	<ul> <li>M/I: 10 questions on mental/physical health: "Compared to before the COVID- 19 lock-down in the UK, I have  (e.g., 'Felt lonely', 'Had conflict/arguments with others')"</li> <li>RO: 7-point scale ranging from 1 = A lot less frequency to 7 = A lore more frequently</li> <li>M/I: The 5 item WHO well-being scale<sup>155</sup></li> <li>M/I: Overeating: The Appetitive Drive subscale of the Addiction- Like Eating Behavior Scale<sup>156</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Overeating during COVID-19 was associated with lower age and education, being female, higher BMI, having a previous psychiatric diagnosis, having had COVID, and experiencing negative mental health since lockdown</li> <li>45% reported no change in meal skipping 23% reported increased meal skipping (12% a little more, 7% more, 4% a lot more).</li> <li>31% reported decreased meal skipping (9% a little less, 11% less, 11% a lot less)</li> <li>48% reported no change in fasting 19% reported increased fasting (8% a little more, 6% more, 5% a lot more).</li> <li>33% reported decreased fasting (9% a little more, 6% more, 5% a lot more).</li> </ul>
Robinson et al., 2020 <sup>96</sup>	Binge Eating	M/I: Binge Eating: "Compared with before the COVID-19 virus crisis, I have binged on food." RO: 7-point scale from 1- a lot less to 7- a lot more. 1-3 was coded as reduced behavior, 4 was no change, and 5-7 were increased behavior	less, 12% less, 12% a lot less) 49% reported increased binging 33% of participants reported no changes in binging 19% reported decreased bingeing
Şimsek & Şen, 2020 <sup>100</sup>	Uncontrolle d Eating Restrictive Eating	<b>M/I:</b> Eating behaviors: 20 questions from the three-factor eating questionnaire <sup>157</sup>	There were significant increases in uncontrolled eating during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to before Participants with a lower income had greater uncontrolled eating compared to those with a higher income

There was a significant decrease in cognitive restraint behavior during compared to before COVID-19

There was lower cognitive restraint and higher uncontrolled eating in participants aged 18-20 compared to those above 35.

<sup>a</sup> The response options stated here are quoted directly from Di Renzo, Gualtieri, Pivari, et al., 2020.<sup>67</sup> There was no reporting on the "Yes, I eat out of the meals" response option.

<sup>b</sup> As cited in Flaudias et al., 2020<sup>97</sup>

Journal Prespinos

Citation	Reason	Measure/Items (M/I) & Response Options (RO)	Findings
Adams et al., 2020 <sup>103</sup>	Food Insecurity	M/I: Whether/ how often they cut meal sizes or skipped meals in the past 30 days because there	Food security lowered by 17% and there was a 20% increase in families with very low food security during the pandemic.
		was not enough money for food <sup>139</sup> <b>RO:</b> Number of days/month	There were increases in meal skipping related to lack of money from an average of 2.9 $\pm$
		$\geq$ 3 days considered food insecure	2.2 days/month before the pandemic and 11.0 $\pm$ 7.5 during the pandemic.
Almandoz et al., 2020 <sup>89</sup>	Stress	M/I: Do you stress eat more? RO: Yes/No	61.2% reported stress eating
,	Food Insecurity	<b>M/I:</b> 6-item US Adult Food Security Survey Module <sup>139</sup>	78.3% of the sample was not food insecure
Buckland et al., 2021 <sup>45</sup>	Emotional Eating	M/I: Food responsiveness, emotional eating: The Adult Eating Behavior questionnaire	Greater emotional overeating and lower emotional undereating were significantly associated with higher increased overall
	Craving	(AEBQ) <sup>158</sup>	eating
		<b>M/I:</b> Cravings: The Control of Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) <sup>159</sup>	46% of participants reported increased food cravings; 23% reporting less cravings
			41% of participants reported no changes and 36% reported increases in craving intensity during COVID-19 compared to before
			Greater craving frequency and intensity and lower craving control were significantly associated with higher increased overall eating
Carroll et al., 2020 <sup>47</sup>	Food Insecurity	M/I: "During the past month, was there a time when you were worried you would not be able to pay the mortgage, rent or other bills on time?" <b>RO:</b> Yes/no/I don't know	5% of fathers and 10% of mothers reported concerns about food security in the past month or over the next 6 months
		<b>M/I:</b> "Are you worried about not being able to pay the mortgage, rent or other bills on time over the next 6 months?"	

**Table 10.** Measures and Findings for Reasons for Changes in Eating During the Initial Months

 of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Cheikh Ismail et al., 2020 <sup>66</sup>	Weight Control	M/I: Meal skipping? RO: yes/no	People reported the main reason they skipped meals during and before the pandemic was: Losing weight (23.6% during, 18.5% before)
2020	Time	<ul><li>M/I: Main reasons for skipping meals?</li><li>RO: to reduce food intake, lack of time, to lose weight, lack of appetite, fasting</li></ul>	Lack of time (30.6% during, 62.3% before)
Cheikh	Weight	M/I: Meal skipping?	People reported the main reason they skipped
Ismail et al., 2021 <sup>65</sup>	Control	RO: yes/no	meals during and before the pandemic was: Losing weight (23.8% during, 20.2% before)
	Time	<b>M/I:</b> Main reasons for skipping meals?	Lack of time (27.0% during, 60.8% before)
		RO: to reduce food intake, lack	
		of time, to lose weight, lack of	
		appetite, fasting	
Di Renzo,	Emotional	M/I: Anxiety: 14 item Hamilton	57.8% of participants reported feeling
Gualtieri, Cinelli, et al.,	eating	Anxiety Rating Scale <sup>160</sup>	anxious due to their eating habits
2020 <sup>107</sup>	Anxiety	<b>M/I:</b> Depression: 17 item Hamilton Depression Scale <sup>161</sup>	48.7% reported using food to respond to anxious feelings
		<b>M/I:</b> Emotional eating: 25 item Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS) <sup>162</sup>	55.1% reported increasing their food intake to feel better
		(IIAS)	20.3% reported exclusion of foods that led to anxious feelings
Elmacloğlu et al., 2021 <sup>102</sup>	Emotional Eating	<b>M/I:</b> Uncontrolled eating, cognitive	In normal individuals, emotional eating behavior increased significantly during the
		restriction, and emotional eating behavior: Eighteen items in	pandemic compared to before
		total <sup>140,141</sup>	Women's emotional eating scores were
		<b>RO:</b> 1=absolutely true; 2=mostly true; 3=mostly false; and 4=absolutely false	higher than men during the pandemic compared to before.
Flaudias et	Depression	M/I: Depression and	Binge eating in the past 7 days was higher in
al., 2020 <sup>97</sup>	Amistry	Anxiety: The Hospital Anxiety	those with higher BMI, perceived stress,
	Anxiety	and Depression Scale (HADS) <sup>142</sup>	stress related to lockdown, anxiety, and depression, those with probable eating
	Stress	1	disorders, and women
		M/I: Perceived stress: The 10-	
	Body Image	item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) <sup>143</sup>	Higher stress related to the lockdown and anxiety were associated with a higher likelihood of dietary restriction over the past
		<b>M/I:</b> Eating behaviors: The body dissatisfaction and impulse	7 days.
		regulation subscales of the Eating Disorder Inventory, 2 <sup>nd</sup> edition (EDI-2) <sup>144</sup> an eating disorder screening tool (Sick,	Higher endorsement of appearance ideals and body dissatisfaction ( $p < 0.001$ ) associated with a higher likelihood of dietary restriction (past 7 days).

		Control, One, Fat, Food [SCOFF]) <sup>145</sup> and the Ideal Body Stereotype Scale (IBSS) <sup>146a</sup>	Lower body dissatisfaction was related to increased intentions to binge eat in the coming 2 weeks (p<.001).
Haddad et al., 2020 <sup>104</sup>	Anxiety Fear and	<b>M/I:</b> The Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDEQ) <sup>147</sup>	Greater anxiety, fear of COVID-19, anger, and boredom were significantly associated with higher eating concerns scores
	Anger		
	Boredom	<b>M/I:</b> 10 questions related to fear of COVID-19 (e.g., "Thinking about COVID-19 makes me feel anxious")	Greater fear of COVID-19 predicted higher restraint scores
		<b>RO:</b> 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely) Higher scores indicate increased fear.	
		<b>M/I:</b> Short boredom proneness scale (SBPS) <sup>163</sup>	
		<b>M/I:</b> Lebanese anxiety scale (LAS) <sup>164</sup>	
		<b>M/I:</b> Anger subscale of the Buss-Perry scale <sup>165</sup>	
Husain &	Stress	M/I: "Do you eat when you feel stressed, unhappy, angry, or	Participants reported eating when stressed, unhappy, angry, or bored (before and during
Ashkanani, 2020 <sup>69</sup>	Anger	bored?" Reported in reference to before and during the pandemic	the pandemic):
	Boredom	RO: Never/rarely/occasionally/ usually	Never: 24.1% before and 23.6% during Rarely: 24.8% before and 21% during
			Occasionally: 35.9% before 34.5% during Usually 15.2% before and 21% during
Jeżewska- Zychowicz et	Stress	<b>M/I:</b> "Have you noticed changes in the availability of food in	Higher levels of perceived stress were related greater fears of limited access to food and
al., 2020 <sup>108</sup>	Fear	stores over the last month?" <b>RO:</b> No, I did not notice any	purchasing of larger quantities of food during the pandemic.
	Food Access	changes / yes	and harmoniter
		<b>M/I:</b> Fear of limited access to food as the pandemic spreads <b>RO:</b> Definitely not/ rather not/	87.4% of respondents reported perceiving changes in food availability at the onset of the pandemic.
		neither no nor yes/ rather yes/ definitely yes	43.7% reported that they were "definitely" or "rather" not worried about limited food access as the pandemic spreads whereas 39%
		<b>M/I:</b> Perceived Stress Scale 4 (PSS-4) <sup>143</sup>	reported fears to some degree.
			Perceived changes in food availability were the strongest predictor of fear for limited food access.
Kansiime et al., 2021 <sup>40</sup>	Food Security	<b>M/I:</b> Food security: The food insecurity experience scale (FIES) <sup>132</sup>	In the total sample, there were significant increases in food insecurity indicators including worrying about not having enough

			food, being unable to eat healthy/nutritious foods, eating only a few kinds of foods, skipping meals, eating less food, running out of food, feeling hungry and not eating, and going the whole day without eating.
			In the total sample here were significant increases in the amount of people who were food insecure (39% increase) and severely insecure (16% increase)
Kaya et al., 2021 <sup>105</sup>	Anxiety Fear	<ul> <li>M/I: Fear: The fear of COVID- 19 Scale (FCV-19S)<sup>148</sup></li> <li>M/I: Anxiety: The Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 test (GAD- 7)<sup>149</sup></li> </ul>	Anxiety was positively related to consumption of milk, cheese, kefir, meat, poultry, fish, legumes, dried fruits, nuts-seed, bread, rice-pasta, cake-cookies and general desserts.
			Fear of COVID was positively related to consumption of yoghurt, cheese, kefir, cake-cookies, dried fruit and nuts-seeds.
Khubchanda ni et al., 2020 <sup>93</sup>	Stress	M/I: Stress: 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) <sup>143</sup> M/I: Dietary behaviors: Four	High stress scores were related to worsening diet and changes in restricted eating, fasting, skipping meals, and overeating.
		questions about dietary behaviors (e.g., overeating, fasting, etc.) <b>RO</b> : More than before the pandemic/same as before the pandemic/less than before the pandemic	Stress scores were lowest among those that had no changes in these behaviors.
Marty et al., 2021 <sup>53</sup>	Mood Weight Control	<b>M/I:</b> Food choice motives: French version of the Food Choice Questionnaire developed in English <sup>166</sup> and adapted <sup>167</sup>	48% of participants reported an increase in the importance of mood during COVID compared to before and this was associated with worsened dietary nutritional quality
			29% of the participants reported an increase in importance of weight control and this was associated with lower dietary nutritional quality
Pakravan- Charvadeh et al., 2021 <sup>83</sup>	Food Security	<b>M/I:</b> Food Security: modified version of the HFIAS, <sup>168</sup> validated in Iran	There was a reduction in food insecurity overall p<.001
		RO: 9 scales rated over the past 4 weeks with response options including never/ rarely/ sometimes/ often with total scores ranging from 0-27. Higher scores indicate higher household food insecurity	Food security was negatively related to factors such as higher family size, and number of educated family members. Food security was positively related to factors such as personal savings and nutrition knowledge.
Pellegrini et al., 2020 <sup>55</sup>	Depression	<b>M/I:</b> "Which of the following conditions mainly impact on	Participants reported the following as mainly impacting their eating behaviors:

	Anxiety Boredom	your eating habits? (you can choose more than 1 option)"	<ul><li>34.7% reported anxiety/depression</li><li>36% of participants reported boredom</li><li>21.3% reported family presence</li><li>32.7% reported increased time for cooking</li></ul>
	Family and Friends		19.3% reported continuous availability of food
	Time		
	Exposure		
Poelman et al., 2021 <sup>56</sup>	Stress Boredom	<b>M/I:</b> Eating behavior reasons: Participants were asked to indicate the two most important reasons for eating either healthier or less healthy from a	Percent of participants that reported the following relevant factors related to eating healthier during lockdown: 30.3% reported more time/ headspace to prepare a healthy meal 26.3% reported more time/head space to be conscious about healthy nutrition
	Friends and		
	family	list	
	Time		30.3% reported a need to improve resistance 17.2% Facing less unhealthy temptations at
	Exposure		work 25.3% Facing less unhealthy temptations at social events 24.2% Facing less unhealthy temptations
			24.2% Facing less unhealthy temptations when going out for dinner
			Percent of participants that reported the following relevant factors related to eating unhealthier during lockdown:
			19.2% reported more stress 21.9% reported being bored
			<ul><li>5.5% reported less social control</li><li>17.8% reported fewer social contacts/feeling</li></ul>
			lonely 5.5% reported more time/head space to
			prepare an extensive meal 19.2% reported more time/head space and
			opportunities to bake 31.5% reported more leisure time 35.6% reported facing more unhealthy temptations at home
Puhl et al., 2020 <sup>99</sup>	Depression	<b>M/I:</b> General weight teasing and weight stigma: assessed with a tool developed by the	Participants who experienced weight stigma and weight teasing prior to the COVID-19 pandemic reported greater depression, stress
	Stress	researchers to ask participants about the frequency they are teased about their weight	and eating to cope during the pandemic.
		<b>M/I:</b> Depressive symptoms: Six- item scale <sup>169</sup>	
		<b>M/I &amp; RO:</b> Perceived stress <sup>170</sup> Average level of stress was	

		indicated in the past 30 days, with response options ranging from 1 (Not at all stressed) to 10 (Very stressed)	
		<b>M/I:</b> Eating as a coping strategy: The five-item coping subscale of the Motivations to Eat Scale <sup>171</sup>	
Robertson et al., 2021 <sup>101</sup>	Psychologica 1 Distress	<b>M/I:</b> Perceived changes in eating: "I have found it more difficult to	Psychological distress was significantly related to difficulty in eating control and regulation ( $p < 0.001$ )
	Cravings	regulate or control my eating"; "I have become more preoccupied with food/eating" <b>M/I:</b> Psychological distress: The 4-item version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ- 4) <sup>154</sup>	Psychological distress was significantly related to preoccupations with food/eating (p < 0.001) where 37.8% "agreed" and 21.8% "strongly agreed"
Robinson et al., 2021 <sup>70</sup>	Emotional Eating	<b>M/I:</b> 10 questions on mental/physical health: "Compared to before the	42% of participants reported eating more due to their feelings
	Time	COVID-19 lock-down in the UK, I have (e.g., 'Felt lonely', 'Had conflict/arguments with others')" <b>RO:</b> 7-point scale ranging from 1 = A lot less frequency to 7 = A lore more frequently	<ul><li>26% of participants reported eating less due to their feelings</li><li>COVID mental health decline was significantly related to overeating</li><li>88% reported having time to eat healthily</li></ul>
		<ul><li>M/I: The 5 item WHO wellbeing scale<sup>155</sup></li><li>M/I: Overeating: The Appetitive</li></ul>	
		Drive subscale of the Addiction- Like Eating Behavior Scale <sup>156</sup>	
Rolland et	Mental Well-	M/I: Warwick-Edinburgh	Increased consumption of caloric/salty foods
al., 2020 <sup>109</sup>	being	Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) <sup>172</sup>	was related to lower mental well-being, higher general stress, and current/past
	General Stress	M/I: Stress visual numeric scale	psychiatric disorders.
	Family and friends	<b>M/I:</b> "How many people share your accommodation during the lockdown (including you)?"	Increased consumption of caloric/salty foods was related to having a partner and being lockdown alone
Rossinot et al., 2020 <sup>95</sup>	Depression Stress	<b>M/I</b> "Since the beginning of the lockdown, are you feeling more anxious? Depressed? Irritable?"	Negative changes in nutrition were related to negative changes in mental health (depression, stress, irritability)

		<b>RO</b> :0-more depressed, stressed, irritable/ 3- no change.	
		<b>M/I &amp; RO:</b> "self-evaluation of the change during the lockdown of their diet (less balanced, no change, more balanced)"	
Scarmozzino & Visioli, 2020 <sup>59</sup>	Anxiety	<b>M/I:</b> Measures are all in Italian and can be found through this link: https://clikka.net/0flBP	42.7% of participants reporting an increase in "comfort food" consumption reported it was due to higher anxiety levels
Şimsek & Şen, 2020 <sup>100</sup>	Emotional Eating	<b>M/I:</b> Eating behaviors: 20 questions from the three-factor eating questionnaire <sup>157</sup>	Emotional eating significantly increased during compared to before the pandemic
			No significant differences in emotional eating by age
Zeigler et al., 2020 <sup>110</sup>	Stress	<b>M/I:</b> Eating: The Weight and Lifestyle Inventory (WALI) <sup>173</sup>	52% reported eating more in response to stress
	Boredom	<b>M/I:</b> Stress: The Perceived	73% reported eating more when bored 73% reported eating more due to craving
	Cravings	Stress Scale <sup>174</sup>	certain foods 59% reported eating more often with friends
	Family and friends		and family 65% reported eating more in response to sight and smell of food
	Exposure		C
As cited in Fla	uudias et al., 202	2097	

**Figure 1.** Flow Diagram of the Articles Included and Excluded from the Present Review of Changes in Adults' Eating Behaviors During the Initial Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic

