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Background \& objectives: Surveillance of risk factors is important to plan suitable control measures for non-communicable diseases (NCDs). The objective of this study was to assess the behavioural, physical and biochemical risk factors for NCDs in Vellore Corporation and Kaniyambadi, a rural block in Vellore district, Tamil Nadu, India.
Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out among 6196 adults aged 30-64 yr, with 3799 participants from rural and 2397 from urban areas. The World Health Organization-STEPS method was used to record behavioural risk factors, anthropometry, blood pressure, fasting blood glucose and lipid profile. Multiple logistic regression was used to assess associations between risk factors.

Results: The proportion of tobacco users (current smoking or daily use of smokeless tobacco) was 23 per cent in the rural sample and 18 per cent in the urban, with rates of smoking being similar. Ever consumption of alcohol was 62 per cent among rural men and 42 per cent among urban men. Low physical activity was seen among 63 per cent of the urban and 43 per cent of the rural sample. Consumption of fruits and vegetables was equally poor in both. In the urban sample, 54 per cent were overweight, 29 per cent had hypertension and 24 per cent diabetes as compared to 31,17 and 11 per cent, respectively, in the rural sample. Physical inactivity was associated with hypertension, body mass index (BMI) $\geq \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$, central obesity and dyslipidaemia after adjusting for other factors. Increasing age, male sex, BMI $\geq \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$ and central obesity were independently associated with both hypertension and diabetes.
Interpretation \& conclusions: Diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, physical inactivity and overweight were higher in the urban area as compared to the rural area which had higher rates of smokeless tobacco use and alcohol consumption. Smoking and inadequate consumption of fruits and vegetables were equally prevalent in both the urban and rural samples. There is an urgent need to address behavioural risk factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity and inadequate intake of fruits and vegetables through primary prevention.
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The burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes and coronary heart disease (CHD) is increasing both globally and in India ${ }^{1}$. The rising
prevalence of behavioural and anthropometric risk factors for these lifestyle diseases is postulated to be the cause for the alarming increase of NCDs. Documenting
the burden of disease and risk factors and monitoring trends through surveillance is recommended as part of the control measures for these diseases ${ }^{2}$. In a vast country like India, although the general trend indicates an increase in common adverse factors, there are regional differences as well, influenced by diet, culture, socioeconomic status, etc. States such as Kerala and Tamil Nadu which have been performing higher in terms of health indicators such as reproductive and child health and have high indices of development, are possibly at higher risk of threats due to lifestyle diseases, with a study in Kerala showing rates of some risk factors to be similar to that in the United States ${ }^{3}$.

In view of the difficulties in comparing data from different studies using separate indicators and methods, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended the use of a standard methodology, the STEPS method ${ }^{4}$, to conduct periodic surveys of NCD-related risk factors. The use of standard indicators for reporting the results from such STEPS surveys has made comparisons across studies easier. One such national survey, which included Tamil Nadu, was the Integrated Disease Surveillance Project (IDSP) risk factor survey in 2007-20085. While behavioural and physical parameters were measured during the IDSP survey, biochemical parameters were not measured. Another nationally representative study was the Indian Council of Medical Research-India Diabetes (ICMRINDIAB) study, which collected information on multiple risk factors but used capillary blood glucose for diagnosing diabetes ${ }^{6}$. Some other examples of similar surveys conducted in India with the STEPS methodology are the WHO-ICMR six-site survey and studies in Gujarat and Maharashtra ${ }^{3,7-9}$.

This study was aimed at assessing the prevalence of risk factors for NCDs among adults aged 30-64 yr in urban and rural areas of Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India, with a view to setting up a population which can be followed up in the future for surveillance. As a previous study had been carried out in this area in 1991-1994 ${ }^{10}$, the need was felt to review the trends of risk factors in this area. The primary objective was to estimate behavioural (use of tobacco, alcohol, physical activity, intake of fruits and vegetables), physical [body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), blood pressure] and biochemical risk factors (fasting plasma glucose, lipid profile). The secondary objectives were to estimate associations between socio-demographic, behavioural, physical and biochemical risk factors.

## Material \& Methods

The study was conducted between June 2010 and December 2012 in nine villages of a rural block in Vellore district, Tamil Nadu, and in 48 wards of the Vellore Corporation using a cluster design. Nine villages were first selected by simple random sampling out of 23 villages in the same rural block, which had been surveyed in 1991-1994 for NCD risk factors. All individuals in the selected nine clusters (villages) aged 30-64 yr, identified by a household census listing survey, were invited to participate in the study.

In the urban area, 48 of the 60 clusters (wards) were selected from the four zones of the city by selecting the first 12 consecutive ward numbers in each zone. In each ward, one street was selected by simple random sampling from a list of numbered streets, and all the members belonging to the first 40 consecutive households in the street were invited to participate. The age group of $30-64 \mathrm{yr}$ was chosen to enable comparison with the previous survey in this region and was similar to the recommended age for WHO-STEPS surveys (25-64 yr). The Tamil translation of the WHO-STEPS questionnaire ${ }^{4}$ was used.
Inclusion \& exclusion criteria: All residents of the selected clusters - nine villages and 48 urban streets, whose age was between 30 and 64 yr , identified through a household listing survey, were considered eligible for the study. Those who were currently living away from the family (e.g., men in the army, household members staying elsewhere for work) were not included.

Definitions and methods: The definitions used in the analysis corresponding to the indicators of the WHOSTEPS analysis guide ${ }^{4}$ were as follows:
(i) Behavioural risk factors (STEP 1 variables): Ever use of alcohol, current smoking/use of smokeless tobacco (daily or less than daily), low physical activity as defined by the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire component of the WHO-STEPS questionnaire ${ }^{4}$ and $<5$ servings/day of fruits and vegetables (one serving $=80 \mathrm{~g}$ translated into different units of standard cups depending on the fruit or vegetable) ${ }^{4}$.
(ii) Physical measurements (STEP 2 variables): Overweight (BMI 25-29.9 $\left.\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{11}$, obesity (BMI $\left.\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{11}$, abdominal obesity ( $\mathrm{WC} \geq 80 \mathrm{~cm}$ for women and $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men) ${ }^{12}$, hypertension [blood pressure (BP) $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or on medication $]^{13}$.
(iii) Biochemical measurements (STEP 3 variables): Diabetes mellitus (fasting blood glucose, $\mathrm{FBG} \geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication $)^{4}$, hypercholesterolaemia (total cholesterol $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication), hypertriglyceridaemia ( $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ( $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for men and $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for women) $)^{4,14}$.

Metabolic syndrome was defined according to a recent joint definition of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and other organizations ${ }^{15}$ as the presence of at least three of the following five risk factors: systolic blood pressure $\geq 130$ and/or diastolic $\geq 85 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ (or on antihypertensive medication); WC $\geq 80 \mathrm{~cm}$ for women and $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men (population specific definition) ${ }^{12}$; triglycerides $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (or on medication); $\mathrm{FBG} \geq 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (or on medication); HDL cholesterol $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in males and $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in females.

Height was measured using a SECA 213 stadiometer (Hamburg, Germany), weight using digital weighing machines (Essae, Bangalore, India, accuracy 0.01 kg , standardized periodically with standard weights), waist circumference by a flexible measuring tape and blood pressure using an automated monitor (Omron HEM 7080, Kyoto, Japan). Blood samples ( 10 ml ) were collected after overnight fasting for at least eight hours. Plasma glucose was tested using the enzymatic calorimetric glucose oxidaseperoxidase method ${ }^{16}$, serum cholesterol and HDL using enzymatic colorimetric high-performance CHOD$\mathrm{PAP}^{17}$ and triglycerides using colorimetric glycerol oxidase/peroxidase method ${ }^{18}$. Quality control for the biochemical tests was through the External Quality Assurance programme ${ }^{19}$.

Sample size: As this study was part of a larger study to estimate the prevalence of CHD, the sample size was calculated to estimate this rate. Assuming a prevalence of CHD to be six per cent in the urban area (based on a previous ICMR survey in the region, unpublished), with an error of six per cent, power 80 per cent, design effect of 1.1 and a non-response rate of 20 per cent, the sample size was 3000 . For the rural area, assuming the rate of CHD as 1.7 per cent, error of 0.6 per cent, design effect of 2 and non-response rate of 25 per cent, the sample size was calculated to be 5000 .

Quality and reliability of the data collected by trained social workers and field workers were checked through weekly checking of 10 per cent of the clinical forms by a co-investigator and periodic
field visits to monitor interviews. Double data entry was done using EpiData version 3.1 (The EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) and analyzed using SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Frequencies of outcomes were calculated by age and sex groups. Adjustment for cluster sampling was done in calculating confidence intervals (CIs) for the main outcomes ${ }^{20}$. Chi-square test was used to compare proportions and $t$ test for means. Associations between socio-demographic, behavioural, physical and biochemical risk factors were analyzed by multiple logistic regression.

The study was conducted after approval of the protocol from the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the Institution carrying out the project.

## Results

Of the 6196 participants interviewed, 3799 were from rural and 2397 from urban areas, constituting 83 and 77 per cent of the eligible population (defined as the population aged 30-64 yr), respectively. Biochemical results were available for 3095 rural and 1857 urban participants (response rates of 68 and $60 \%$, respectively among eligible). While the age distribution of males and females was similar, females were more likely to be illiterate, less educated and widowed than males (Table I). The urban participants had greater education and a higher proportion of scheduled caste participants. Urban women were more likely to be homemakers than rural women. As compared to the general population in the rural area aged 30-64 yr, the sex distribution of the interviewed participants showed a slight skew towards females (males in the general population was $47 \%$ while they formed $44 \%$ of the interviewed group). This skew was more in the urban areas where males formed 49 per cent of the general population but only 44 per cent of the interviewed population. The literacy rates, as well as the distribution of religion and occupation of the study population were comparable to the general population in both rural and urban areas.

The distribution of behavioural risk factors, abnormal physical measurements and biochemical risk factors is shown in Tables II and III and mean values in Table IV. Males were more likely to use tobacco and alcohol, have hypertension and high triglyceride values, whereas females were more likely to be inactive, overweight/obese, centrally obese and have low HDL ( $P<0.001$ ). The proportion with $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was 45.4 per cent ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 40.8-49.9) among urban males and

| Table I. Distribution of socio-demographic factors in the study population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-demographic factor | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
|  | Males (\%) | Females (\%) | Both (\%) | Males (\%) | Females (\%) | Both (\%) |
| Age (yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 225 (13.5) | 338 (15.9) | 563 (14.8) | 161 (15.2) | 213 (15.9) | 374 (15.6) |
| 35-39 | 257 (15.4) | 368 (17.3) | 625 (16.5) | 180 (17) | 282 (21.1) | 462 (19.3) |
| 40-44 | 251 (15.1) | 349 (16.4) | 600 (15.8) | 186 (17.6) | 208 (15.5) | 394 (16.4) |
| 45-49 | 283 (16.9) | 323 (15.2) | 606 (16) | 178 (16.8) | 209 (15.6) | 387 (16.1) |
| 50-54 | 247 (14.8) | 285 (13.4) | 532 (14) | 134 (12.7) | 156 (11.7) | 290 (12.1) |
| 55-59 | 196 (11.8) | 243 (11.4) | 439 (11.6) | 106 (10) | 135 (10.1) | 241 (10.1) |
| 60-64 | 208 (12.5) | 226 (10.6) | 434 (11.4) | 113 (10.7) | 136 (10.2) | 249 (10.4) |
| Total | 1667 | 2132 | 3799 | 1058 | 1341 | 2397 |
| Education (yr)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 8$ | 845 (50.9) | 1701 (80.3) | 2546 (67.4) | 433 (41.2) | 769 (57.7) | 1202 (50.4) |
| >8 | 815 (49.1) | 417 (19.7) | 1232 (32.6) | 619 (58.8) | 563 (42.3) | 1182 (49.6) |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 1352 (81.3) | 1049 (49.3) | 2401 (63.3) | 880 (83.2) | 944 (70.6) | 1824 (76.1) |
| Illiterate | 311 (18.7) | 1080 (50.7) | 1391 (36.7) | 178 (16.9) | 394 (29.5) | 572 (23.9) |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled castes | 212 (12.7) | 310 (14.5) | 522 (13.7) | 196 (18.6) | 261 (19.5) | 457 (19.1) |
| Scheduled tribes | 5 (0.3) | 8 (0.4) | 13 (0.3) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 1450 (87) | 1814 (85.1) | 3264 (85.9) | 859 (81.4) | 1075 (80.5) | 1934 (80.9) |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 496 (30) | 359 (16.9) | 855 (23) | 13 (1.2) | 8 (0.6) | 21 (0.9) |
| Manual labour | 320 (19.4) | 608 (28.7) | 928 (24) | 108 (10.2) | 93 (7) | 201 (8.4) |
| Unemployed/homemakers | 62 (3.8) | 971 (45.7) | 1033 (27) | 51 (4.8) | 949 (70.9) | 1000 (41.8) |
| Others | 773 (46.8) | 185 (8.7) | 958 (26) | 883 (83.8) | 288 (21.5) | 1171 (48.9) |
| ${ }^{*} P<0.001$ between males and females |  |  |  |  |  |  |

61 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 56.9-65.1) among urban females, while it was 26.4 per cent ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 21.4-31.3) and 34.6 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 27.4-41.7) among rural males and females, respectively (Table II). Considering $23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ as the cut-off for defining overweight, as suggested by the WHO for Asians ${ }^{14}, 83.5$ per cent rural males, 85 per cent rural females, 91 per cent urban males and 94.1 per cent of urban females were overweight (Table II).

Comparing urban-rural differences, use of alcohol and tobacco was more common in the rural area, while obesity, abdominal obesity, hypertension, diabetes and abnormal cholesterol values were higher in the urban areas (Table II). The prevalence of hypertension among rural participants was 17.2 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 13.3-21.2) and among urban was 28.5 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 26.3-30.8), whereas diabetes rates among rural and urban participants were 11.2 per cent ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 8.5-13.9$ )
and 23.6 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 21.2-25.9), respectively (Tables II and III). The proportion of persons who were already on treatment for hypertension was 5.1 per cent in the rural area and 14.5 per cent in the urban area, while 5.9 per cent in the rural and 15.3 per cent among the urban participants were on antidiabetic medication.

The proportion with total cholesterol $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication was 34.9 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 27.9$41.8)$ in the rural area and 44.3 per cent ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 40.9-47.8) in the urban area. Only two males and seven females in the rural area $(0.2 \%, 7 / 3096)$ were on treatment for dyslipidaemia, whereas in the urban area, 33 males and 32 females ( $3.6 \%, 65 / 1825$ ) were on treatment. Among the rural participants, 16 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 13.5-18.5) had triglycerides $\geq 180 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ as compared to 20.7 per cent ( $95 \%$ CI: 17.8-23.5) of the urban (Table III). The proportion with triglycerides

| Table II. Distribution of behavioural and physical risk factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk factor | Rural males (\%) | Rural females (\%) | All rural (\%) | Urban males (\%) | Urban females (\%) | All urban (\%) | $P$ value |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male versus female | Rural versus urban |
| Current smoking | 416/1666 (25.0) | 0/2131 (0) | 416/3797 (11) | 277/1058 (26.2) | 0/1339 (0) | 277/2397 (11.6) | $<0.001$ | 0.466 |
| Current daily smokeless tobacco | 241/1666 (14.5) | 212/2129 (10) | 453/3795 (11.9) | 76/1057 (7.2) | 81/1339 (6) | 157/2396 (6.6) | $\begin{aligned} & <0.001 \text { (rural) } \\ & 0.280 \text { (urban) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| Any current tobacco use | 637/1666 (38.2) | 211/2131 (9.9) | 849/3797 (22.5) | 342/1058 (32.3) | 81/1339 (6) | 423/2397 (17.6) | <0.001 | $<0.001$ |
| Ever use of alcohol | 1025/1667 (61.5) | 35/2132 (1.6) | 1060/3799 (27.9) | 441/1057 (41.8) | 2/1339 (0.1) | 443/2396 (18.5) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Physical activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 648/1648 (39.3) | 987/2126 (46.4) | 1636/3774 (43.3) | 576/1057 (54.5) | 920/1334 (69) | 1496/2390 (62.6) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Moderate | 503/1648 (30.5) | 666/2126 (31.3) | 1169/3774 (31) | 361/1057 (34.2) | 311/1334 (23.3) | 672/2390 (28.1) | $\begin{gathered} 0.596 \text { (rural) } \\ <0.001 \text { (urban) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.052 |
| High | 497/1648 (30.2) | 473/2126 (22.2) | 970/3774 (25.7) | 119/1057 (11.3) | 103/1334 (7.7) | 222/2390 (9.3) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Greater than or equal to five fruit and vegetable servings/ day | 10/1658 (0.6) | 4/2124 (0.2) | 14/3782 (0.4) | 1/1047 (0.1) | 5/1332 (0.4) | 6/2379 (0.3) | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \text { (rural) } \\ 0.238 \text { (urban) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.497 |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<23$ | 234/1422 (16.5) | 283/1893 (14.9) | 517/3315 (15.6) | 73/809 (9) | 67/1127 (5.9) | 140/1936 (7.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.237 \text { (rural) } \\ & 0.010 \text { (urban) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| 23-24.9 | 813/1422 (57.2) | 956/1893 (50.5) | 1769/3315 (53.4) | 369/809 (45.6) | 373/1127 (33.1) | 742/1936 (38.3) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| 25-29.9 | 324/1422 (22.8) | 482/1893 (25.5) | 806/3315 (24.3) | 281/809 (34.7) | 430/1127 (38.2) | 711/1936 (36.7) | $\begin{gathered} 0.075 \text { (rural) } \\ 0.124 \text { (urban) } \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| $\geq 30$ | 51/1422 (3.6) | 172/1893 (9.1) | 223/3315 (6.7) | 86/809 (10.6) | 257/1127 (22.8) | 343/1936 (17.7) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Waist circumference <br> $\geq 80 \mathrm{~cm}$ in women, <br> $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ in men | 399/1417 (28.2) | 1026/1857 (55.3) | 1425/3274 (43.5) | 434/805 (53.9) | 964/1111 (86.8) | 1398/1916 (73) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| BP $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or on medication | 284/1423 (20.0) | 287/1893 (15.2) | 571/3316 (17.2) | 255/822 (31.0) | 304/1137 (26.7) | 559/1959 (28.5) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| $\geq 3$ risk factors ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110/1516 (7.3) | 86/2002 (4.3) | 196/3518 (5.6) | 140/885 (15.8) | 148/1182 (12.5) | 288/2067 (13.9) | $\begin{aligned} & <0.001 \text { (rural) } \\ & 0.032 \text { (urban) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Current daily smoking, $<5$ daily fruits and vegetable servings, low physical activity, overweight ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or on medication; BP , blood pressu BMI, body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\geq 500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was similar in both rural ( $0.8 \%$ ) and urban areas (1\%). Approximately, three-fourths of the participants had low HDL values $(72.1 \%$ in the rural and $87.5 \%$ in the urban area).

Rural females had the lowest mean intake of fruits and vegetables while urban females had the least physical activity (Table IV). Urban participants had significantly higher mean BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure and biochemical parameters than the rural (Table IV).

Of those with hypertension in the rural area, only 37.7 per cent of males and 33.1 per cent of females were aware of having the condition, while only 30.8 per cent ( $28.5 \%$ males, $33.1 \%$ females) were on treatment. In the urban sample, awareness of hypertension was 54.5 per cent among males and 67.7 per cent among females, with only 54.5 per cent ( $45.1 \%$ males, $62.2 \%$ females) were taking medications. Similarly, of those with diabetes in the rural area, 72.1 per cent males and 64.5 per cent females were aware of it, while only 58 per cent ( $57.6 \%$ males, $58.5 \%$ females) were on medication. In the urban area, awareness of having diabetes was 76.5 per cent among males and 78.2 per cent among females, while 69.5 per cent ( $66.8 \%$ males, $71.7 \%$ females) were on medication.

While clustering of behavioural and physical risk factors (three out of five factors defined in the WHOSTEPS analysis guide: current daily smoking, less than five daily fruits and vegetable servings, low physical activity, BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, blood pressure $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ Hg or on medication) ${ }^{4}$ was seen in 5.6 per cent of rural and 13.9 per cent of urban participants, metabolic syndrome was more common ( $32.3 \%$ rural and $56.7 \%$ urban, Tables II and III). Clustering of behavioural and physical risk factors was higher in males, while females were significantly more likely to have metabolic syndrome (Tables II and III).

Multiple logistic regression revealed that hypertension was significantly associated with increasing age, male sex, urban residence, ever use of alcohol, scheduled caste status, low physical activity, $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, central obesity and a family history of hypertension (Table V). Female sex, middle age (40-59 yr), urban residence, scheduled caste, education above $8^{\text {th }}$ standard and low physical activity were associated with $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ while tobacco users were less likely to be overweight, with similar results for central obesity (Table V). Diabetes was associated with increasing age, male
sex, urban residence, scheduled caste, BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} /$ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, central obesity and family history but not with inactivity or dietary intake of fruits and vegetables (Table VI). Hypercholesterolemia was associated with increasing age, urban residence, low physical activity, abdominal obesity, diabetes and hypertension. Hypertriglyceridaemia and low HDL were not associated with age but were significantly associated with male sex, $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and education less than or equal to eight years (Table VI).

## Discussion

This cross-sectional survey conducted using the WHO-STEPS methodology provided data on risk factors for NCDs in Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India. The prevalence of tobacco use in men (32\% in urban and $38 \%$ in rural areas) was similar to the rates in Tamil Nadu in the IDSP survey ( 29.8 and $40.2 \%$ in urban and rural areas) ${ }^{5}$ but less than the figures from a similar survey in Kerala ( $43 \%$ in urban and $45 \%$ in rural areas $)^{3}$. The proportion of male ever users of alcohol in this study ( $61.5 \%$ rural, $41.8 \%$ urban) was much higher than the rates seen in Tamil Nadu in the IDSP survey ( $39.7 \%$ rural, $31.7 \%$ urban) ${ }^{5}$. The hypertension rates among adults $30-64 \mathrm{yr}$ of age were 28 per cent in the urban area and 17 per cent in the rural area as compared to the pooled estimates of 33 and 25 per cent among adults $\geq 18 \mathrm{yr}$ for India and 32 and 21 per cent specifically for south India ${ }^{21}$. A significant difference in hypertension was observed between urban and rural populations which was not seen in the IDSP survey ${ }^{5}$.

The prevalence of diabetes in this study from Vellore ( $11.2 \%$ rural, $23.6 \%$ urban) was higher than the results for Tamil Nadu in the multi-centric ICMRINDIAB study (7.8\% rural, $13.7 \%$ urban) ${ }^{22}$. The proportion of participants in the current study who reported being on treatment for diabetes (5.9\% rural, $15.3 \%$ urban) was much higher than that reported in the 2007-2008 IDSP survey from Tamil Nadu (1.8\% rural, $4.5 \%$ urban) ${ }^{5}$.

Awareness of the presence of diabetes and hypertension was lesser among rural participants than urban, with the likelihood of being on medication higher for those with diabetes and for females, the results being similar to the survey in Kerala ${ }^{3}$. The high prevalence of diabetes among both rural and urban participants in our study confirmed the higher rates among south Indian populations ${ }^{3,5}$.

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was high in both the rural and urban areas with a marked

| Table III. Distribution of biochemical risk factors among rural and urban participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk factor | Rural males (\%) | Rural females (\%) | All rural (\%) | Urban males (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Urban } \\ \text { females (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | All urban (\%) | $P$ value |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male versus female | Rural versus urban |
| Diabetes (FBG | 165/1329 (12.4) | 183/1774 (10.3) | 348/3103 (11.2) | 196/782 (25.1) | 247/1097 (22.5) | 443/1879 (23.6) | 0.075 (rural) | <0.001 |
| $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.205 (urban) |  |
| High total cholesterol | 466/1324 (35.2) | 614/1772 (34.7) | 1080/3096 (34.9) | 346/750 (46.1) | 463/1075 (43.1) | 809/1825 (44.3) | 0.732 (rural) | <0.001 |
| ( $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.197 (urban) |  |
| High total cholesterol | 114/1324 (8.6) | 123/1772 (6.9) | 237/3096 (7.7) | 92/750 (12.4) | 123/1075 (11.4) | 216/1825 (11.8) | 0.088 (rural) | <0.001 |
| ( $\geq 240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.789 (urban) |  |
| High triglycerides | 406/1323 (30.7) | 359/1772 (20.3) | 765/3095 (24.7) | 287/750 (38.3) | 269/1075 (25) | 556/1825 (30.5) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| ( $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High triglycerides | 275/1323 (20.8) | 219/1772 (12.4) | 494/3095 (16) | 203/750 (27.1) | 174/1075 (16.2) | 377/1825 (20.7) | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |
| ( $\geq 180 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low HDL ( $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in males, $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in females) | 813/1323 (61.5) | 1419/1772 (80.1) | 2232/3095 (72.1) | 624/750 (83.2) | 972/1075 (90.4) | 1596/1825 (87.5) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Metabolic syndrome | 389/1325 (29.4) | 609/1765 (34.5) | 998/3090 (32.3) | 411/765 (53.7) | 633/1077 (58.8) | 1044/1842 (56.7) | 0.003 (rural) | $<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.030 (urban) |  |
| BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; FBG, fasting blood glucose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table IV. Comparison of mean values of risk factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk factor | Rural |  | Urban |  | $P^{*}$ value |  |
|  | Rural males | Rural females | Males | Females | Male versus female | Rural <br> versus urban |
| Behavioural factors: median, mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of beedis/day | 10, $13.8 \pm 8.8$ | 0 | $10,14 \pm 10.3$ | 0 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Number of cigarettes/day | 4, $5.4 \pm 5$ | 0 | 5, 5.7 $\pm 4.5$ | 0 | $<0.001$ | 0.220 |
| Daily total physical activity in minutes | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ 90.8 \pm 124.9 \end{gathered}$ | $30.0,68.9 \pm 111.9$ | $20.0,43 \pm 76.3$ | $8.6,22.2 \pm 47.0$ | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Daily fruit and vegetable servings | $1.07,1.30 \pm 0.9$ | $0.86,1.04 \pm 0.7$ | $1.1,1.32 \pm 0.8$ | $1.0,1.19 \pm 0.8$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Physical measurements: mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $22.5 \pm 3.94$ | $23.4 \pm 4.67$ | $24.5 \pm 4.4$ | $26.5 \pm 5.3$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Waist circumference (cm) | $82.9 \pm 11$ | $81.1 \pm 12.0$ | $90.2 \pm 11.3$ | $92 \pm 10.9$ | <0.001 | $<0.001$ |
| Systolic blood pressure ( mm Hg ) | $119.3 \pm 18.5$ | $113.2 \pm 18.2$ | $122.4 \pm 19.4$ | $116.8 \pm 19.7$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Diastolic blood pressure ( mm Hg ) | $77.8 \pm 12.1$ | $75 \pm 11.0$ | $79.3 \pm 12.5$ | $76.9 \pm 11.4$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Biochemical measurements: mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FBG (mg \%) | $99.4 \pm 39.2$ | $97.9 \pm 34.8$ | $110.7 \pm 51$ | $110.1 \pm 48.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.261 \text { (rural) } \\ & 0.799 \text { (urban) } \end{aligned}$ | $<0.001$ |
| Total cholesterol (mg \%) | $175.0 \pm 45.5$ | $174.6 \pm 42.6$ | $183.9 \pm 40.7$ | $181.6 \pm 41.6$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.762 \text { (rural) } \\ 0.245 \text { (urban) } \end{gathered}$ | $<0.001$ |
| Triglycerides (mg \%) | $137.7 \pm 113.4$ | $112.3 \pm 71.7$ | $153.2 \pm 106.2$ | $124 \pm 78.6$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| HDL (mg \%) | $37.3 \pm 11.8$ | $40 \pm 11.7$ | $30.6 \pm 13.1$ | $33.7 \pm 11.0$ | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |
| *Mann-Whitney test to compare medians of non-normally distributed variables, independent $t$ test to compare means. BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; FBG, fasting blood glucose |  |  |  |  |  |  |

difference between the rural and urban areas, probably reflecting lifestyle differences and economic situation, as was seen by the higher risk among persons with higher education. In our study, 54 per cent were overweight/obese in urban Vellore, compared to 40 per cent in a multi-site survey from urban India ${ }^{23}$ and 36.9 per cent (men) and 38 per cent (women) worldwide ${ }^{24}$. As seen in most studies, overweight and obesity were more common in females, with 61 per cent of urban females in this study having a BMI of $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or more. It is alarming that 40 per cent of rural participants and 70 per cent of urban participants were centrally obese, an important risk factor for diabetes and CHD. The rate of overweight and mean waist circumference in our study were higher than the rates reported in Tamil Nadu in the IDSP report ${ }^{5}$. This reflected the variations within a state, as the
districts in these two surveys were different. The rates of hypercholesterolaemia in our study were higher than reported for Tamil Nadu from the ICMRINDIAB; however, the rates of hypertriglyceridaemia and low HDL were similar ${ }^{25}$. Adjusting for other risk factors, male sex was significantly associated with high triglycerides and female sex with low HDL, as was also seen in the ICMR-INDIAB study ${ }^{25}$. We also found a significant urban-rural variation in hypercholesterolaemia, hypertriglyceridaemia and low HDL, which was not found in the multi-centric lipid study ${ }^{25}$.

Interestingly, scheduled caste status was found to be independently associated with diabetes, hypertension and diabetes, even after controlling for age, sex, education and residence. This points to the need for further confirmative and exploratory studies. The

| Table V. Risk factors for hypertension, overweight and abdominal obesity: logistic regression |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk factor | OR (95\% CI) |  |  |
|  | Blood pressure ( $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or on medication) | BMI ( $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Waist circumference ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ in males and $\geq 80 \mathrm{~cm}$ in females) |
| Age (yr) |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | Referent |  |  |
| 35-39 | 1.55 (1.05-2.27)* | 1.24 (1.00-1.53)* | 1.50 (1.21-1.87)** |
| 40-44 | 2.53 (1.75-3.66)** | $1.57(1.26-1.94)^{* *}$ | 1.82 (1.45-2.27)** |
| 45-49 | 4.06 (2.84-5.80)** | 1.71 (1.38-2.12)** | 2.14 (1.70-2.68)** |
| 50-54 | 6.64 (4.64-9.51)** | 1.61 (1.28-2.02)** | 2.48 (1.95-3.14)** |
| 55-59 | 10.52 (7.29-15.16)******) | 1.44 (1.13-1.83)* | 2.65 (2.06-3.41)** |
| 60-64 | 15.28 (10.62-21.99)*** | 1.18 (0.93-1.51) | 2.55 (1.98-3.29)** |
| Male sex | 1.41 (1.15-1.74)*** | 0.59 (0.51-0.70)** | 0.24 (0.19-0.28)** |
| Education less than or equal to eight years | 0.86 (0.72-1.02) | 0.54 (0.47-0.62)** | 0.59 (0.52-0.69)** |
| Scheduled castes | 1.31 (1.07-1.59)* | 1.26 (1.07-1.49)* | 1.14 (0.96-1.36) |
| Urban residence | 1.44 (1.23-1.69)** | 2.26 (1.99-2.56)** | 3.43 (2.99-3.95)** |
| Any tobacco use | 0.99 (0.81-1.23) | 0.64 (0.53-0.76)** | 0.71 (0.59-0.84)** |
| Ever alcohol users | 1.43 (1.14-1.79)* | 0.99 (0.81-1.19) | 1.06 (0.87-1.28) |
| Physical activity |  |  |  |
| Low | 1.46 (1.17-1.82)*** | 1.53 (1.29-1.81)** | 1.95 (1.65-2.30)** |
| Moderate | 1.23 (0.97-1.56) | 1.07 (0.89-1.27) | 1.20 (1.01-1.43)* |
| Less than five daily servings of fruits and vegetables | 1.46 (0.41-5.26) | 0.75 (0.28-1.99) | 1.97 (0.64-6.06) |
| Family history of hypertension | 1.56 (1.24-1.97)** | - | - |
| BMI ( $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 1.82 (1.50-2.20)** | - | - |
| Abdominal obesity | 2.01 (1.61-2.48)** | - | - |
| ${ }^{*} P<0.05 ;{ }^{* *}<0.001 ;{ }^{* * *}<0.001$. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index |  |  |  |

prevalence of metabolic syndrome in this study ( $32.3 \%$ rural, $56.7 \%$ urban) was higher than other studies in this region ( $36 \%$ among rural women in Thiruvallur using the modified National Cholesterol Education Program, the Adult Treatment Panel-III criteria with waist circumference cut-off $85 \mathrm{~cm}, 25.8 \%$ in Chennai according to the IDF criteria) ${ }^{26,27}$, comparisons being limited by the use of different criteria. One study among an industrial urban male population in Chennai ${ }^{28}$ which used criteria similar to the harmonized criteria ${ }^{15}$ showed a prevalence of 51.4 per cent, comparable to the prevalence in the urban sample in our study. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in our urban sample was also higher than urban Orissa ( $33.5 \%)^{29}$, both studies used the same diagnostic criteria ${ }^{15}$.

The low consumption of fruits and vegetables in Tamil Nadu as compared to other States ${ }^{5}$ was
confirmed in the present study with the lowest consumption seen in rural females. The high prevalence of physical inactivity ( $43.3 \%$ rural, $62.6 \%$ urban) in our study was comparable to the results from Tamil Nadu in the ICMR-INDIAB-5 study ( $55.4 \%$ rural, $71 \%$ urban) ${ }^{30}$.

The response rates in the study were higher for women, reflecting the attitude and motivation of women who were more willing to come for screening. However, this was not due to the process of random selection, as in both the rural and urban areas, all members of the selected households were recruited. The high prevalence of risk factors may also be influenced by a possible bias due to non-response. The generalizability of the results was restricted as only one rural block and one city in Tamil Nadu were studied.

| Table VI. Risk factors for diabetes and dyslipidaemia: multiple logistic regression |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk factor | OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | Diabetes mellitus ( $\mathrm{FBG} \geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) | High cholesterol ( $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or on medication) | High triglycerides ( $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) | HDL ( $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in men, $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in women) |
| Age (yr) |  |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | Referent |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 1.81 (1.11-2.95)* | 1.42 (1.14-1.79)* | 1.00 (0.78-1.29) | 1.03 (0.79-1.33) |
| 40-44 | 4.14 (2.62-6.53)** | 1.79 (1.43-2.26)** | 1.15 (0.89-1.48) | 0.82 (0.63-1.06) |
| 45-49 | 5.13 (3.26-8.08)** | 1.89 (1.50-2.39)** | 1.09 (0.85-1.40) | 0.89 (0.69-1.17) |
| 50-54 | 7.89 (5.01-12.45)** | 2.11 (1.66-2.69)** | 1.20 (0.92-1.56) | 0.95 (0.72-1.26) |
| 55-59 | 9.19 (5.77-14.67)** | 1.79 (1.38-2.32)** | 0.91 (0.68-1.21) | 1.05 (0.77-1.42) |
| 60-64 | $10.08(6.33-16.06)^{* *}$ | 1.75 (1.35-2.27)** | 0.80 (0.60-1.08) | 0.71 (0.53-0.95)* |
| Male sex | 1.29 (1.02-1.63)* | 1.13 (0.95-1.33) | 2.09 (1.74-2.52)** | 0.67 (0.54-0.81)** |
| Education less than or equal to eight years | 0.97 (0.80-1.18) | 1.06 (0.92-1.21) | 1.19 (1.02-1.38)* | 1.19 (1.02-1.38)* |
| Scheduled castes | 1.27 (1.01-1.59)* | 0.79 (0.67-0.95)* | 0.98 (0.81-1.18) | 1.09 (0.88-1.34) |
| Urban residence | 1.69 (1.40-2.04)** | 1.19 (1.04-1.36)* | 0.95 (0.82-1.10) | 2.15 (1.81-2.56)** |
| Any tobacco use | 0.84 (0.66-1.07) | 1.15 (0.97-1.37) | 1.44 (1.19-1.74)** | 1.16 (0.96-1.41) |
| Ever alcohol users | 1.10 (0.85-1.43) | 1.11 (0.91-1.34) | 1.04 (0.85-1.27) | 0.53 (0.43-0.65)** |
| Physical activity |  |  |  |  |
| Low physical activity | 1.18 (0.91-1.53) | 1.49 (1.25-1.77)** | 1.31 (1.08-1.58)* | 1.12 (0.92-1.35) |
| Moderate physical activity | 1.22 (0.93-1.60) | 1.25 (1.05-1.50)* | 1.08 (0.88-1.32) | 1.08 (0.89-1.32) |
| Less than five daily servings of fruits and vegetables | 1.03 (0.28-3.78) | 1.29 (0.47-3.54) | 0.67 (0.24-1.88) | 0.18 (0.02-1.37) |
| Family history of diabetes | 2.80 (2.27-3.45)** | - | - | - |
| BMI ( $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 1.44 (1.16-1.79)*** | 1.10 (0.94-1.29) | 1.27 (1.06-1.51)* | 1.52 (1.24-1.86)** |
| Abdominal obesity | 1.38 (1.07-1.76)* | 1.54 (1.30-1.82)** | 1.81 (1.49-2.19)** | 1.29 (1.06-1.57)* |
| Hypertension | 2.19 (1.82-2.65)** | 1.43 (1.22-1.67)** | 1.41 (1.19-1.67)** | 0.87 (0.71-1.06) |
| Diabetes mellitus | - | 1.24 (1.05-1.47)* | 2.23 (1.87-2.67)** | 1.29 (1.02-1.62)* |
| ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; ${ }^{* *}<0.001$; ${ }^{* * *}<0.001$. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HDL, high-density lipoprotein |  |  |  |  |

In conclusion, the high prevalence of diabetes and hypertension along with the low awareness of individuals about their problems is worrisome. This needs to be effectively addressed by health care professionals. Health education regarding the need for screening should also be accompanied by primary prevention in the form of lifestyle interventions targeting diet, physical activity, smoking and alcohol in both rural and urban areas. Although there is some variation in prevalence estimates obtained from both across and within States in India using the WHOSTEPS method, all evidence points to the high burden of risk factors for NCDs, warranting further concerted efforts for prevention and control.
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