**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

# Identification of gene variants in 130 Han Chinese patients with hypospadias by targeted next-generation sequencing

Wanyu Zhang<sup>1</sup> | Jinxiu Shi<sup>2</sup> | Chenhui Zhang<sup>2</sup> | Xincheng Jiang<sup>3</sup> | Junqi Wang<sup>1</sup> | Wei Wang<sup>1</sup> | Defen Wang<sup>1</sup> | Jihong Ni<sup>1</sup> | Lifen Chen<sup>1</sup> | Wenli Lu<sup>1</sup> | Yuan Xiao<sup>1</sup> Weijing Ye<sup>3</sup> | Zhiya Dong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics, Shanghai-MOST Key Laboratory of Health and Disease Genomics, Chinese National Human Genome Center and Shanghai Industrial Technology Institute (SITI), Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Urology, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

#### Correspondence

Weijing Ye and Zhiya Dong, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. Email: yeweijing@msn.com (WY); dzy831@126.com (ZD)

#### Abstract

**Background:** Hypospadias is a common congenital malformation of male external genitalia, which mainly manifests as an abnormal urethral opening on the ventral side of the penis. The etiology and clinical phenotype of hypospadias is highly heterogeneous, and its clinical diagnosis is challenging. Currently, over 70% of patients have an unknown etiology. Here, we performed a targeted analysis of gene mutations in 130 patients with hypospadias of unknown etiology to find the precise genetic cause. **Methods:** We developed a targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel, encompassing the exon coding regions of 105 genes involved in external genitalia and urogenital tract development and performed sequencing analysis on 130 children with hypospadias of unknown etiology.

**Results:** In total, 25 patients with hypospadias (19.2%) were found to have 20 mutations among the nine genes involved in external genitalia and urogenital tract development, including 16 reported and four novel mutation sites. Twenty-two patients (16.9%) had diagnostic variants. Multiple genetic mutations were identified in three of the 25 patients. Hypospadias combined with micropenis was the most common phenotype (68%) in 25 patients.

**Conclusions:** Higher frequency mutations were identified in SRD5A2 (52%) and AR (24%) in our patient cohort. Middle or posterior hypospadias with micropenis may be significant indicators of genetic variations. Polygenic inheritance may be a rare genetic cause of hypospadias.

#### **KEYWORDS**

candidate gene, hypospadias, next-generation sequencing, pathogenic genes

Wanyu Zhang and Jinxiu Shi contributed equally to this work and share the first authorship.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2019 The Authors. *Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

### **1** | INTRODUCTION

Hypospadias is a condition in which the opening of the urethra is found on the ventral surface of the penis, and is one of the most common congenital malformations. The prevalence of hypospadias varies greatly, ranging from 1 in 125 to 250 male live births in different countries (Singh et al., 2018). It may occur as an isolated defect or may be associated with other genital malformations (e.g., micropenis, cryptorchidism in one or both sides, and bifid scrotum) or with other organ malformations. According to the severity and the location of the urethral opening, hypospadias is often classified as anterior, middle, or posterior (van der Zanden et al., 2012). External genital malformations, as physical indicators of maleness, can have different physiological and psychological effects on children. Early surgical treatment is needed, and the different causes of hypospadias have different surgical requirements. Therefore, it is very necessary to identify the precise cause of hypospadias.

The etiology of hypospadias is complicated, and is thought to be related to genetic, endocrine, and environmental factors (Shih & Graham, 2014). To date, monogenic and chromosomal causes of hypospadias account for about 30% of all cases, indicating the significant role of genetics in the development of hypospadias (Sagodi, Kiss, Kiss-Toth, & Barkai, 2014). In addition, hypospadias has been described in over 200 syndromes (Blaschko, Cunha, & Baskin, 2012). There are three main molecular features of male external genitalia formation: (a) gonadal differentiation, (b) gonadal development, and (c) dependence on endocrine and environmental factors. In the early stages of genital tubercle development, a gonadal differentiation pathway is among the activated pathways, and relies on HOX signaling, WNT/β-catenin signaling, Hedgehog signaling, and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling (Y. Chen et al., 2018; Kojima, Kohri, & Hayashi, 2010). Other genes have been reported to be associated with hypospadias including sex-determining region Y (SRY) (OMIM 480,000), homeobox D13 (HOXD13) (OMIM 142,989), friend of GATA2 (FOG2) (OMIM 603,693), and GATA-type zinc finger protein 4 (GATA4) (OMIM 600,576) (Brauner et al., 2016; Carmichael et al., 2013). Moreover, steroid-5-a-reductase (SRD5A) (OMIM 607,306) converts testosterone into dihydrotestosterone (DHT), which binds to the androgen receptor (AR) (OMIM 313,700) and thereby stimulates the formation of the external genitalia.

The etiology of hypospadias in most patients remains unknown, indicating that there are new candidate genes that have not yet been discovered. The aim of this study was to identify the pathogenic genes responsible for hypospadias in a cohort of 130 patients using next-generation sequencing (NGS) of a targeted gene panel. The gene panel contained 105 hypospadias-associated genes, some of which were not previously reported in patients but were implicated due to their known roles in gonadal and urethral development in male mice.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Ethical compliance

This study was ratified by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Informed consent from the parents or guardians of the subjects was obtained for all examinations.

#### 2.2 | Patients

From May 2017 to March 2018, a total of 130 Chinese patients of age 6 months to 18 years old with variable degrees of hypospadias were enrolled in our cohort to screen for pathogenic genes. All patients were raised as males and had a 46, XY karyotype and SRY gene. Certain patients had hypospadias accompanied by additional genitourinary abnormalities including micropenis, inguinal hernia, unilateral or bilateral cryptorchidism, or bifid scrotum. All patients were being examined at Ruijin Hospital and Renjin Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine.

The clinical evaluation of the enrolled patients included chief complaints, history of present illness, birth history, personal history, family history, maternal pregnancy history, previous operation history, hormone therapy history, living environment, and history of contact with environmental pollutants. Physical examination involved measurement of height, weight, extended penis length, testicular volume size, and male genital evaluation.

Laboratory and imaging examinations included chromosome karyotype analysis and detection of *SRY* fragments, and measurement of blood biochemical and endocrine-related hormone levels (luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, testosterone, DHT, inhibin B, etc.). Gonadotropinreleasing hormone and human chorionic gonadotropin stimulation tests and gonadal ultrasound were performed in some of the patients.

Informed consent was obtained from each participating individual or their parents. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital.

#### 2.3 | Targeted gene panel

Our hypospadias panel included 105 genes (Table 1) involved in gonadal development, gonadal differentiation, and/ or specific syndromes. The targeted genes were obtained by referencing the GeneCards database and by entering the keywords "hypospadias," "disorders of sex development," or "gonad genesis" in PubMed.

3 of 12

| <b>FABLE 1</b> List of 105 genes in | gene panel |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
|-------------------------------------|------------|

| Gonadal differentiation        | HOX signaling   | HOXA4, HOXA13, HOXB6, HOXD13  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
|                                | Hedgehog signaling  | DHH, GLI3, SHH  |
|                                | FGF signaling   | FGF8, FGF10, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3  |
|                                | SOX signaling   | SOX2, SOX3, SOX9, SOX10   |
|                                | BMP signaling   | BMP4, BMP7  |
|                                | Other   | CBX2, CHD7, DGKK, DMRT1, GATA4, GATA5,<br>MAP3K1, NR0B1, NR5A1, RSPO1, SRY, Wnt5a, WT1,<br>ZFPM2  |
| Gonadal development            | Androgen-related  | AR, FKBP4, FOXA1, FLNA, HSD17B3, HSD3B2,<br>SRD5A2  |
|                                | Estrogen-related  | ATF3, CTGF, ESR1, ESR2, ZEB2  |
|                                | Adrenal insufficiency   | CYP11A1   |
|                                | Other   | AKR1C2, AKR1C4, AMH, ARX, ATRX, CYB5A, LHCGR, MAMLD1, POR, STAR   |
| Central causes of hypogonadism | GNRHR, KAL1, LHX3, PROKR2, PROP1,   | TAC3, WDR11   |
| Toxin metabolism               | CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1  |   |
| Syndrome                       | KANSL1, MID1, MID2, SPECC1L   |   |
| Other                          | BBOX1, BNC2, CUL4B, FOXC1, FRAS1, 6<br>LHFPL5, LHX9, LIG4, LMNA, MECP2, N<br>RPL35A, SRCAP, SNAP29, SETD5, SALI | GPC3, HBQ1, HYSP3, HYSP4, IGF2, INSL3, IRF6, KIF7,<br>MECP2, NLGN4X, PITX2, PCNT, PAX2, PAX6, RAD21,<br>L1, TRIM17, TP63, TMLHE, TDRD7, TBX22, WWOX |

#### 2.4 | Next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from the patients' peripheral blood lymphocytes using a Qiagen kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total genomic DNA was sequenced using our targeted panel covering 105 relevant genes. Eight hundred nanograms of genomic DNA was randomly fragmented into 150-250 bp fragments using a Biorupter, and DNA libraries were prepared using the KAPA LTP Library Preparation kit and PCR amplification of the fragmented DNA. iGeneTech was used to synthesize the oligo pool containing 105 gene coding regions, which were then PCR amplified and transcribed to synthesize RNA probes. The sample DNA library was then hybridized with the RNA probes for 24 hr at 65°C and the target fragment was captured by binding to the specific probe after PCR amplification. The final products were sequenced using the Illumina-MiSeq sequencing platform.

### **2.5** | Data analysis and assessment of variants

Variants were checked for quality and depth, then filtered to include those with <1% minor allele frequency according to the GnomAD (East Asian). The NGS results were compared with the human genome reference sequence for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and InDel annotation analysis. Mutations predicted to disrupt protein structure were identified using in silico tools, such as Mutation Taster, Polyphen-2, and SIFT. The ACMG standards and reference to the NCBI, ClinVar, and HGMD databases were used to classify the mutations into five categories: pathogenic, likely pathogenic (LP), variants of uncertain significance (VUS), likely benign, or benign (Amendola et al., 2016). Mutations were verified by Sanger sequencing. Finally, we analyzed the correlations between clinical phenotypes and genotypes.

#### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Genetic variants identified by NGS

The average coverage of samples was 55.31X-2800X. Each variant labeled PASS had a quality value, ranging from 30.77 to 211,299. In total, 25 of 130 participants (19.2%, 25/130) carried genetic variants in the targeted genes. We identified 20 nonsynonymous single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in nine different genes, including 16 reported and four novel mutational sites. The most commonly mutated gene in our study was SRD5A2 (52%, 13/25), followed by AR (24%, 6/25) and PROKR2 (12%, 3/25) (OMIM 607,123). Three patients in our hypospadias cohort had variants in multiple targeted genes (PROKR2/ SRD5A2, PROKR2/AR, AR/TRIM17). These observations contribute to the hypothesis that polygenic inheritance is a rare genetic cause of hypospadias. Moreover, a variant in TRIM17 (OMIM 606,123) was identified for the first time in hypospadias patients. The genetic variants of all patients are shown in Table 2.

| ACMG        | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PM6 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | LP/PM2 PM3 PP3 PP4 PP5 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | VUS/PM2 PP3 PP4 | VUS/PM2 PP3 PP4 | VUS/PM2 PP3 PP4 | VUS/PM2 PP3 PP4 | LP/PM2 PM3 PP3 PP4 PP5 | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 | LP/PM2 PM3 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | LP/PS3 PM1 PP5 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PM3 PP4 | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5 | LP/PM1 PM2 PM6 PP3 PP4 PP5 | LP/PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4 PP5 | LP/PS3 PM1 PP5 |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Origin      | Mother                | Father                 | Mother                | Father                 | Father                | De novo                    | Mother                | Father                 | Father                | Mother                | Mother                | Father                | Father          | Mother          | Mother          | Father          | Father                 | Mother                 | Mother/father         | Father                | Mother                | Mother             | Father                | Mother         | Mother                | Father                 | Mother                | Mother                | De novo                    | Mother                 | Mother         |
| Genotype    | Compound              | Het                    | Compound              | Het                    | Compound              | Het                        | Compound              | Het                    | Compound              | Het                   | Compound              | Het                   | Compound        | Het             | Compound        | Het             | Compound               | Het                    | Hom                   | Compound              | Het                   | Compound           | Het                   | Het            | Compound              | Het                    | Hem                   | Hem                   | Hem                        | Hem                    | Het            |
| GnomAD freq | 0.006427              | 0.000175               | 0.006                 | 0.000175               | 0.00087               | 0.000175                   | 0.006                 | 0.00005851             | 0.006                 | 0.00087               | 0.006                 | 0.00087               | 0.000           | 0.003           | 0.000           | 0.003           | 0.0000585              | 0.000175               | 0.006                 | 0.000                 | 0.006                 | 0.000              | 0.006                 | 0.002597       | 0.00087               | 0.000175               | 0.000                 | 0.000                 | 0.000                      | 0.000                  | 0.002597       |
| Exon        | E4                    | E1                     | E4                    | E1                     | E4                    | E1                         | E4                    | E1                     | E4                    | E4                    | E4                    | E4                    | E1              | E1              | E1              | E1              | E1                     | E1                     | E4                    | E5                    | E4                    | E5                 | E4                    | E2             | E4                    | E1                     | E8                    | E8                    | E3                         | E3                     | E2             |
| Protein     | p.R227Q               | p.Q6X                  | p.R227Q               | p.Q6X                  | p.G203S               | p.Q6X                      | p.R227Q               | p.L20P                 | p.R227Q               | p.G203S               | p.R227Q               | p.G203S               | p.R94M          | p.G66R          | Y09H.q          | p.G66R          | p.L20P                 | p.Q6X                  | p.R227Q               | p.R246W               | p.R227Q               | p.P251S            | p.R227Q               | p.W178S        | p.G203S               | p.Q6X                  | p.A871V               | p.A871V               | p.R608Q                    | p.R608Q                | p.W178S        |
| cDNA        | c.G680A               | c.C16T                 | c.G680A               | c.C16T                 | c.G607A               | c.C16T                     | c.G680A               | c.T59C                 | c.G680A               | c.G607A               | c.G680A               | c.G607A               | c.G281T         | c.G196A         | c.C268T         | c.G196A         | c.T59C                 | c.C16T                 | c.G680A               | c.C736T               | c.G680A               | c.C751T            | c.G680A               | c.G533C        | c.G607A               | c.C16T                 | c.C2612T              | c.C2612T              | c.G1823A                   | c.G1823A               | c.G533C        |
| Transcript  | NM_000348.3           |                        | NM_000348.3           |                        | NM_000348.3           |                            | NM_000348.3           |                        | NM_000348.3           |                       | NM_000348.3           |                       | NM_000348.3     |                 | NM_000348.3     |                 | NM_000348.3            |                        | NM_000348.3           | NM_000348.3           |                       | NM_000348.3        |                       | NM_144773.3    | NM_000348.3           |                        | NM_000044.4           | NM_000044.4           | NM_000044.4                | NM_000044.4            | NM_144773.3    |
| Gene        | SRD5A2                |                        | SRD5A2                |                        | SRD5A2                |                            | SRD5A2                |                        | SRD5A2                |                       | SRD5A2                |                       | SRD5A2          |                 | SRD5A2          |                 | SRD5A2                 |                        | SRD5A2                | SRD5A2                |                       | SRD5A2             |                       | PROKR2         | SRD5A2                |                        | AR                    | AR                    | AR                         | AR                     | PROKR2         |
| ID          | P1                    |                        | P2                    |                        | P3                    |                            | P4                    |                        | P5                    |                       | P6                    |                       | P7              |                 | P8              |                 | 6d                     |                        | P10                   | P11                   |                       | P12                |                       |                | P13                   |                        | P14                   | P15                   | P16                        | P17                    |                |

TABLE 2 Genetic variants identified by targeted NGS

(Continues)

(Continued)

TABLE 2

| E         | Gene                   | Transcript                     | cDNA                     | Protein                | Exon          | GnomAD freq            | Genotype  | Origin  | ACMG                   |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|
| P18       | AR                     | NM_000044.4                    | c.G1789A                 | p.A597T                | E3            | 0.000                  | Hem       | Na      | P/PS3 PM1 PM2 PP4 PP5  |
| P19       | AR                     | NM_000044.4                    | c.A173T                  | p.Q58L                 | E1            | 0.00015                | Hem       | Mother  | LP/PM1 PM2 PP3 PP4     |
|           | TRIM17                 | $NM_001024940$                 | c.C109T                  | p.R37X                 | E2            | 0.000                  | Het       | Father  | VUS/PM2 PP3            |
| P20       | ZFPM2                  | NM_012082.3                    | c.A2107C                 | p.M703L                | E8            | 0.000448               | Het       | Mother  | LP/PS3 PM2 PP4 PP5     |
| P21       | PROKR2                 | NM_144773.3                    | c.G533C                  | p.W178S                | E2            | 0.002597               | Het       | Father  | LP/PS3 PM1 PP5         |
| P22       | BMP4                   | NM_001202.5                    | c.C751T                  | p.H251Y                | E4            | 0.0001                 | Het       | Na      | LP/PM1 PM2 PP3 PP5     |
| P23       | CHD7                   | NM_017780.3                    | c.C2189T                 | p.T730I                | E4            | 0.001                  | Het       | Na      | VUS/PM2 PP3            |
| P24       | HOXD13                 | NM_000523.3                    | c.G32C                   | p.G11A                 | E1            | 0.0008                 | Het       | Mother  | LP/PS3 PM2 PP4 PP5     |
| P25       | GL13                   | NM_000168.5                    | c.C2110T                 | p.Q704X                | E14           | 0.000                  | Het       | De novo | P/PVS1 PM1 PM2 PM6 PP3 |
| Abbreviat | ions: P, pathogenic; L | P, likely pathogenic; VUS, vai | riants of uncertain sign | ifficance; NA, no rela | ited data; NG | S, next-generation seg | luencing. |         |                        |

#### **3.2** | Diagnostic gene mutations

In total, we identified diagnostic gene mutations in 22 patients. The overall diagnostic yield of 16.9% (22/130) was generated by adding the pathogenic and LP variants according the ACMG guidelines (Figure 1).

Among these 21 diagnosed patients, nine showed compound heterozygous mutations, four had a heterozygous mutation, four had a hemizygous mutation, and only one had a homozygous mutation. Additionally, three patients had polygenic mutations. Eleven patients carried *SRD5A2* gene mutations and six patients harbored *AR* gene mutations. Five patients carried rare gene mutations in *ZFPM2*, *PROKR2* (OMIM 607,123), *BMP4* (OMIM 112,262), *HOXD13*, and *GLI3* (OMIM 165,240).

#### 3.3 | Clinical features of 130 patients

From the cohort, 130 patients presented hypospadias with varying degrees of severity, including 25 patients who manifested isolated hypospadias and 105 patients who had multiple hypospadias (with hypospadias accompanied by micropenis, cryptorchidism, and penoscrotal transposition).

## **3.4** | Clinical features of patients with genetic variant

Three types of external genital malformations were found in 25 patients, including hypospadias combined with micropenis (68%), hypospadias, micropenis combined with cryptorchidism (28%), and hypospadias combined with cryptorchidism (4%) (Figure 2a). In addition, posterior hypospadias accounted for 52% of patients, middle hypospadias accounted for 36%, and anterior hypospadias accounted for 12% of patients (Figure 2b). Hypospadias combined with micropenis was the most common malformation. However, all forms of posterior hypospadias, including the combined form, were the most common feature in patients with genetic mutations. Here, seven of 25 patients (28%) also showed thoracic spinal deformity, hydrocele, tricuspid regurgitation, inguinal hernia, tetralogy of Fallot, or finger deformities. Of note, none of the 25 patients had isolated hypospadias. The clinical phenotypes of the 25 patients with variants identified in the targeted genes are shown in Table 3.

Serum testosterone (T) and DHT after human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) stimulation were measured in 17 patients. The T/DHT ratio (after HCG stimulation) of 10 patients with *SRD5A2* gene variants was above the normal threshold of 10, indicating that this ratio has positive predictive value in clinical diagnosis (Maimoun et al., 2011). However, the T/DHT ratio was also higher than normal in three of five patients who were diagnosed with partial androgen insensitivity syndrome



FIGURE 1 Genetic variants identified by targeted next-generation sequencing. Twenty-five patients with genetic variants were identified, 22 of whom carried diagnostic variants

(PAIS). An elevated T/DHT ratio was observed in PAIS and among normal individuals. 5*α*-reductase deficiency, therefore, cannot be diagnosed even if the T/DHT ratio is higher than normal. Instead, it is necessary to diagnose  $5\alpha$ -reductase deficiency-associated hypospadias by genetic sequencing.

#### 4 DISCUSSION

Hypospadias is a common feature related to undervirilization of the male external genitalia. The development of external genitalia and the urogenital tract is complex, involving multiple factors, including coordinated genetics, cellular differentiation, tissue interactions, enzyme activities, and hormonal mediation (Kalfa, Philibert, & Sultan, 2009). The proper diagnosis of hypospadias is often challenging, given the significant heterogeneity of clinical presentations among patients with hypospadias. However, the diagnosis of hypospadias is important, and can facilitate sex assignment, selection of treatment strategies, and a more accurate evaluation of gonadal function. Here, we used a targeted NGS approach to identify 16 reported and four novel mutations in nine genes among 25 patients with various degrees of hypospadias.

SRD5A2 encodes the steroid  $5\alpha$ -reductase type 2 enzyme, which converts testosterone into DHT. In males, DHT induces the differentiation and growth of male external genitalia and development of secondary sexual characteristics during puberty (Yuan et al., 2017). To date, over 130 different mutations throughout the five exons of SRD5A2 have been reported (Human Gene Mutation Database, http://www. hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/validate.php). In our study, mutations in SRD5A2 were the most common among those of the genes that were screened (52%, 13/25); this finding was consistent with that of previous study conducted in China (R. Wang et al., 2013). These observations suggest that the SRD5A2 is a hotspot for gene mutations in Han Chinese individuals with hypospadias. We identified nine different variants in 13 patients, including six previously reported variants (p.R246W, p.R227Q, p.G203S, p.G66R, p.L20P, p.Q6X) and three novel variants (p.P251S, p.R94M, p.H90Y). These mutations were clustered mainly in exons 1 and 4 (Figure 3a). Three novel variants were predicted to have a damaging effect on enzyme function by two in silico prediction tools and were located in highly conserved regions (Figure 3b). In addition, compound heterozygous mutations in the SRD5A2 were identified in 12 of these patients (92.3%, 12/13). The p.R227Q variant was the most frequent variant (34.6%), followed by p.Q6X (19.2%) and p.G203S (15.4%), which is consistent with previous studies suggesting that these three variant positions are mutational hot spots in the Han Chinese population (Cheng et al., 2015; Song, Fan, Zhao, & Gong, 2019; Yuan et al., 2017). The p.Q6X variant is a nonsense mutation occurring in exon 1, which presumably results in the formation of a drastically truncated protein and lack of several important functional regions. Specifically, five patients (P1, P2, P3, P9, P13) who carried a p.Q6X variant displayed relatively severe clinical manifestations, which may be related to the complete loss of enzyme activity. Therefore, residual enzyme activity may be associated with phenotypic severity.

Hypospadias is often caused by PAIS. This is an X-linked recessive disorder caused by AR gene mutations (Su et al., 2017). Testosterone and DHT both bind the AR to activate a transcriptional response within the developing male external genitalia (Matsushita et al., 2018). In our cohort of patients, AR had the second highest number of all variants (24%, 6/25), with four different variants (p.Q58L, p.A597T, p.R608Q, and p.A871V) identified. These variants have all been previously reported and are distributed among multiple AR functional regions: two in the ligand binding domain (LBD), three in the DNA binding domain (DBD), and one in the amino-terminal transactivation domain (NTD). The p.A871V variant located in the LBD could lead to PAIS or mild AIS according to previous studies (Gottlieb, Beitel, Nadarajah, Paliouras, & Trifiro, 2012). Two patients (P14 and P15) who carried the p.A871V variant were diagnosed with PAIS; however, the clinical phenotype of the latter is more severe. Patients in our study with identical AR mutations were observed to display different phenotypes, which may have resulted from somatic mosaicism or other factors, such as genetic background or co-regulatory factors involved in the pathogenesis or phenotype of PAIS. In addition, three patients (P16, P17, and P18) carried AR mutations in the DBD and presented with PAIS, which is consistent with a previous report suggesting that AR mutations in the DBD region may directly underlie PAIS (Giwercman, Ivarsson, Richthoff, Lundin, & Giwercman, 2004). The p.Q58L variant located in the NTD was previously reported to be associated with male infertility and various degrees of hypospadias (Akcay et al., 2014; Kalfa et al., 2013). In addition to a p.Q58L variant in the *AR* gene, P19 also carried a heterozygous p.R37X variant in *TRIM17*. P19 presented with posterior hypospadias, microphallus, and testicular dysgenesis; long-term follow-up is needed to determine whether fertility will be affected in this patient. *TRIM17*, a member of the RING finger family, is expressed in the testis during embryonic development (Reymond et al., 2001). No *TRIM17* gene mutation has been previously found in hypospadias patients. We cannot determine conclusively whether the clinical phenotype of P19 is related to *TRIM17* gene mutation; thus, further studies are needed to clarify this case.

PROKR2 is related to isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH) and Kallmann syndrome (KS), which is an autosomal dominant trait. The p.W178S variant of PROKR2 was identified in three patients, two of them (P12 and P17) with mutations in multiple genes, carrying SRD5A2 and AR gene mutations, respectively. The p.W178S variant has been previously reported in Chinese patients, and according to a functional assay, it is associated with markedly reduced expression and protein instability (Monnier et al., 2009; Y. Wang, Gong, Qin, Liu, & Tian, 2017). It is interesting to identify IHH/KS-related pathogenic gene mutations in patients with hypospadias in our study, but these patients are too young to be diagnosed with IHH. It is worth noting that patients P12 and P17 are characterized by posterior hypospadias, especially P17, who showed penoscrotal transposition. It is possible that there are variants in genes controlling penile development in addition to PROKR2 gene variations. Patient P21 displayed coronal hypospadias which could presumably involve additional undetected variants in genes controlling penile development or gene variations resulting from environmental factors.

ZFPM2 (also known as FOG2) is co-expressed with GATA4 in the heart, brain, and gonads. ZFPM2 has been demonstrated to regulate, with SF-1 and GATA4, the expression of other key genes for sex determination or differentiation, including SOX9 and AMH (Bashamboo et al., 2014). Mutations in ZFPM2 have commonly been shown to be associated with congenital heart disease; however, they have only recently been detected in individuals with hypospadias (Eggers et al., 2016). A heterozygous missense variant p.M703L in ZFPM2 was detected in patient P20, who presented with Tetralogy of Fallot, posterior hypospadias, and micropenis. The p.M703L variant found in our study has been previously reported as a pathogenic variant in congenital heart disease, although it has not been reported to be associated with hypospadias (Tan, Huang, Xu, Yang, & Yang, 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). The p.M703L variant is located in the sixth zinc finger structure. The first and sixth zinc finger structures of ZFPM2 interact with conserved sequences in the N-terminal zinc finger of mammalian GATA4. Therefore, the p.M703L mutation may impair the gonadal development pathway initiated by the

|              | ther  | No         | No              | No              | No              | No       | No              | No          | No              | No         | No              | No           | Thoracic spinal<br>deformity | Lett hydrocele | Mild tricuspid<br>regurgitation | No         | Right hydrocele<br>penoscrotal<br>transposition | No              | Bilateral hydrocele<br>Penoscrotal | transposition | Left inguinal<br>hernia | No           | Tetralogy of Fallot | No<br>(Continues) |
|--------------|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| THU          | (HCG<br>stimula-<br>tion test<br>after)                     | 71.0       | 58.1            | 39.5            | NA              | NA       | NA              | 48.7        | 45.5            | 61.2       | 72.6            | 34.3         | 29.3                         |                | 64.2                            | 5.5        | 5.8   | 11.0            | 18.3                               |               | NA                      | 26.3         | NA                  | 16.7              |
|              | DHT (pg/ml)<br>(HCG stimula-<br>tion test before<br>/after) | 5.68/78.96 | 30.93/48.22     | 44.39/107.08    | 12.99/NA        | NA       | 14.24/NA        | 14.32/95.13 | 11.41/89.74     | 5.85/37.72 | 19.11/17.76     | 38.38/327.73 | 18.80/57.55                  |                | 22.54/247.46                    | NA /226.98 | 12.25/290.42                                    | 18.10/152       | 94.34/157.53                       |               | NA                      | 8.3/76.66    | NA                  | 12.72/244.7       |
|              | T (ng/ml)<br>(HCG stimula-<br>tion test before<br>/after)   | <0.08/5.61 | 0.25/28         | <0.08/4.23      | <0.08/NA        | NA       | <0.08/NA        | <0.08/4.63  | <0.08/4.08      | <0.08/2.31 | 0.12/1.29       | 1.2/11.23    | <0.08/1.69                   |                | 1.21/15.88                      | NA /1.25   | <0.08/1.69                                      | <0.08/1.68      | 1.25/2.89                          |               | NA                      | <0.08/2.02   | 0.55/NA             | <0.08/4.10        |
|              | LH/FSH<br>(mIU/ml)  | 0.1/0.98   | 2.04/3.14       | 0.18/0.47       | NA              | NA       | NA              | <0.07/0.85  | <0.07/0.41      | <0.07/0.58 | <0.07/0.46      | 1.46/1.85    | <0.07/1.16                   |                | 6.5/5.4                         | 1.91/2.29  | <0.07/1.18                                      | 0.13/1.47       | 8.07/34                            |               | NA                      | <0.07/0.49   | 0.6/3.64            | <0.07/0.49        |
|              | Inhibin<br>B (pg/<br>ml)                                    | 107.14     | 107.99          | 112.69          | NA              | NA       | 157             | NA          | 83.81           | NA         | 70.17           | 85.58        | 49.65                        |                | NA                              | 300.15     | 72.78   | 37.3            | 82.77                              |               | NA                      | 28.67        | 74.46               | 93.27             |
|              | Cryptor<br>chidism  | No         | No              | No              | No              | No       | Bilateral       | No          | No              | Bilateral  | No              | No           | No                           |                | Bilateral                       | no         | оп  | Bilateral       | No                                 |               | Bilateral               | No           | No                  | Left              |
| Complication | Micropenis  | Yes        | Yes             | Yes             | Yes             | Yes      | Yes             | Yes         | Yes             | Yes        | Yes             | Yes          | Yes                          |                | Yes                             | Yes        | Yes   | Yes             | Yes                                |               | Yes                     | Yes          | Yes                 | Yes               |
|              | Classification  | Perineal   | Proximal penile | Proximal penile | Proximal penile | Perineal | Proximal penile | Perineal    | Proximal penile | Scrotal    | Proximal penile | Scrotal      | Penoscrotal                  |                | Perineal                        | Coronal    | Scrotal   | Proximal penile | Scrotal                            |               | Perineal                | Scrotal      | Scrotal             | Coronal           |
|              | Age<br>(years)  | 3.25       | 11.83           | 1.08            | 4.58            | 4.25     | 2.17            | 7.92        | 1.25            | 2.83       | 2.1             | 13.58        | 8.42                         |                | 1.42                            | 0.3        | 4.16  | 6.25            | 6                                  |               | 4.25                    | 5            | 13.42               | 2.67              |
|              | Gene  | SRD5A2     | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2   | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2      | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2     | SRD5A2          | SRD5A2       | SRD5A2                       | PRUKK2         | SRD5A2                          | AR         | AR  | AR              | AR<br>PROKR2                       |               | AR                      | AR<br>TRIM17 | ZFPM2               | PROKR2            |
|              | ≘   | P1         | P2              | P3              | P4              | P5       | P6              | P7          | P8              | P9         | P10             | P11          | P12                          |                | P13                             | P14        | P15   | P16             | P17                                |               | P18                     | P19          | P20                 | P21               |

ZHANG ET AL.

(Continued)

TABLE 3

|  |  |                                      |  | Complication                                |                                   |                          |                       |   |   | THD/T                                   |   |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| £  | Gene                                       | Age<br>(years)                       | Classification                                     | Micropenis                                  | Cryptor<br>chidism                | Inhibin<br>B (pg/<br>ml) | LH/FSH<br>(mlU/ml)    | T (ng/ml)<br>(HCG stimula-<br>tion test before<br>/after) | DHT (pg/ml)<br>(HCG stimula-<br>tion test before<br>/after) | (HCG<br>stimula-<br>tion test<br>after) | ther  |
| P22  | BMP4                                       | 3.42                                 | Midshaft   | Yes   | No                                | NA                       | NA                    | NA  | NA  | NA                                      | No  |
| P23  | CHD7                                       | 4.5                                  | Midshaft   | No  | Right                             | NA                       | NA                    | NA  | NA  | NA                                      | No  |
| P24  | HOXD13                                     | Ξ                                    | Scrotal  | Yes   | No                                | 71.79                    | 5.57/8.23             | 6.72/NA   | 394.22/NA   | NA                                      | Penoscrotal<br>transposition<br>Breast<br>development |
| P25  | GL13                                       | 5.83                                 | Coronal  | Yes   | Bilateral                         | 106.5                    | 0.14/3.2<br>bilateral | <0.08/4.35  | 16.34/181.71  | 23.94                                   | Digital deformity                                     |
| <i>Note</i> : Microphal.<br>Abbreviations: N | lus: length of peni<br>IA, no related data | is is more than ?<br>a; NGS, next-ge | 2.5 SD from the average<br>eneration sequencing; I | ge penile length in r<br>DHT, dihvdrotestos | nales of matched <i>i</i> terone. | ıge.                     |                       |   |   |   |   |

*BMP4* is a member of the BMP family, which plays a vital role in embryonic development, including the regulation of the signaling cascades involved in urethral development. A heterozygous missense variant p.H251Y in *BMP4* was detected in patient P22, who presented with middle hypospadias and microphallus. The p.H251Y variant is located in the precursor peptide region of *BMP4*, which may affect the maturation and function of the whole protein. This missense variant of *BMP4* has been reported in Chinese patients with hypospadias in previous studies (T. Chen et al., 2007; H. Wang et al., 2018).

CHD7 is related to CHARGE syndrome or KS, which is of autosomal dominant inheritance. Several studies have found that not all patients carrying SNVs in CHD7 develop the classical CHARGE syndrome phenotype (Van Nostrand et al., 2014). In our study, one CHD7 heterozygous missense p.T730I variant was detected in patient P23, who presented with middle hypospadias and right cryptorchidism. However, no other malformations were consistent with the clinical diagnosis of CHARGE syndrome. Thus, according to ACGM guidelines, this is a VUS. However, this site is located in the SANT domain, which is highly conserved (Boyer, Latek, & Peterson, 2004). This region may be a histone tail binding module, which can bind to DNA or modified histones. In this case, we cannot establish whether the phenotype is related to the CHD7 variants or an atypical phenotype associated with these gene variants.

The HOXD13 is related to hypoplastic synpolydactyly and hypospadias. The HOXD13 is expressed in the mesenchyme of the GT, and plays a major role in the development of the external genitalia and limbs (Tüzel et al., 2007). The p.G11A heterozygous mutation in HOXD13 was found in patient P24, who presented posterior hypospadias and penoscrotal transposition. In vitro studies have shown that the p.G11A mutation causes a significant reduction in protein half-life (Brison et al., 2012). In combination with previous studies, it was found that males with HOXD13 gene heterozygous mutations generally had milder limb deformities, some of whom also had mild hypospadias. However, patient P24 presented scrotal hypospadias, penoscrotal transposition, and breast development during puberty. The phenotypic diversity of HOXD13 heterozygous variants could be attributed to incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity of autosomal dominant inheritance.

*GL13* is a transcription factor and is a downstream targets of the sonic hedgehog pathway during limb and craniofacial development. It may also be related to urethral and genital development. Several SNPs in the *GL13* in Californian patients with hypospadias have been associated with increased risk of hypospadias (Carmichael et al., 2013). We identified a p.Q704X heterozygous mutation





**FIGURE 3** (a) Proportion of mutant alleles according to exon location. (b) Three novel variants in *steroid-5-\alpha-reductase 2* were identified among our patients, all of which affect a highly conserved residue

in *GLI3* in patient P25, who presented with coronal hypospadias, micropenis, bilateral cryptorchidism, and digital deformity. To our knowledge, the current study is the first to identify a *GLI3* gene mutation in a patient with hypospadias, which provides a possible association between *GLI3* and urethral development.

#### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we performed targeted NGS of 105 genes associated with the molecular regulation of external genitalia and urogenital tract development in 130 patients with hypospadias of unknown etiology. A total of 25 patients (19.2%) were found to carry genetic variants in one or more of the nine targeted genes. Twenty-two (16.9%) had diagnostic variants.

The diagnostic rate observed in our study is lower than that observed in other studies (Eggers et al., 2016; H. Wang et al., 2018). Possible reasons include: (a) the panel of this study contains 105 genes, and may have discounted some variant genes or mutations in regulatory regions; (b) the criteria for selecting patients are different; in order to study the genetic factors affecting hypospadias development, the phenotype of hypospadias patients was not strictly screened in this study. Among 130 patients, 25 patients had hypospadias without genetic variation. In addition, the clinical phenotype of hypospadias in some patients is mild, and the probability of gene mutation in these patients is low; (c) the positive rate of gene mutations may be different for patients of different races; (d) this study did not detect DNA copy number variations.

In conclusion, we identified the precise molecular etiology for several patients in our cohort. The most frequently mutated gene in our study was *SRD5A2* (52%, 13/25), followed by *AR* (24%, 6/25). Although the contribution of variants in the other seven candidate genes is not high, it cannot be ignored. Hypospadias combined with micropenis accounted for 68% of all patients, which may represent a significant clinical sign for genetic detection. Specifically, combined with previous studies, we speculate that oligogenicity may be a rare genetic cause of hypospadias. Genetic diagnosis is useful for patients and clinicians alike. It contributes to the clinical understanding of hypospadias, and is invaluable for the genetic counseling of couples contemplating future pregnancies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Chinese National Human Genome Center and Shanghai Industrial Technology Institute (SITI) for experimental support.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

ZD and WY conceived the study, designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and helped to draft the manuscript. WZ designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the results, and drafted the manuscript. WW, DW, JN and XJ participated in the experimental studies and helped in managing the patients. JS and CZ helped to conduct genetic testing and analyze data. JW was responsible for collecting samples and extracting DNA. LC, YX, and WL performed the literature search and conducted data synthesis.

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

We confirm that all of the family members involved in the case report gave their consent for their medical data to be published.

#### ORCID

*Yuan Xiao* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4927-8199 *Zhiya Dong* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2436-7857

#### REFERENCES

- Akcay, T., Fernandez-Cancio, M., Turan, S., Guran, T., Audi, L., & Bereket, A. (2014). AR and SRD5A2 gene mutations in a series of 51 Turkish 46, XY DSD children with a clinical diagnosis of androgen insensitivity. *Andrology*, 2, 572–578. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2047-2927.2014.00215.x
- Amendola, L. M., Jarvik, G. P., Leo, M. C., McLaughlin, H. M., Akkari, Y., Amaral, M. D., ... Rehm, H. L. (2016). Performance of ACMG-AMP Variant-Interpretation Guidelines among Nine Laboratories in the Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 98, 1067–1076. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.03.024
- Bashamboo, A., Brauner, R., Bignon-Topalovic, J., Lortat-Jacob, S., Karageorgou, V., Lourenco, D., ... McElreavey, K. (2014). Mutations in the FOG2/ZFPM2 gene are associated with anomalies of human testis determination. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 23, 3657–3665. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu074
- Blaschko, S. D., Cunha, G. R., & Baskin, L. S. (2012). Molecular mechanisms of external genitalia development. *Differentiation*, 84, 261– 268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.2012.06.003
- Boyer, L. A., Latek, R. R., & Peterson, C. L. (2004). The SANT domain: A unique histone-tail-binding module? *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 5, 158–163. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1314
- Brauner, R., Picard-Dieval, F., Lottmann, H., Rouget, S., Bignon-Topalovic, J., Bashamboo, A., & McElreavey, K. (2016). Familial forms of disorders of sex development may be common if infertility is considered a comorbidity. *BMC Pediatrics*, 16, 195. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12887-016-0737-0
- Brennan, J., & Capel, B. (2004). One tissue, two fates: Molecular genetic events that underlie testis versus ovary development. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 5, 509–521. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1381
- Brison, N., Debeer, P., Fantini, S., Oley, C., Zappavigna, V., Luyten, F. P., & Tylzanowski, P. (2012). An N-terminal G11A mutation in HOXD13 causes synpolydactyly and interferes with Gli3R function during limb pre-patterning<sup>†</sup>. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 21, 2464– 2475. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds060
- Carmichael, S. L., Ma, C., Choudhry, S., Lammer, E. J., Witte, J. S., & Shaw, G. M. (2013). Hypospadias and genes related to genital tubercle and early urethral development. *Journal of Urology*, *190*, 1884–1892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2013.05.061
- Chen, T., Li, Q., Xu, J., Ding, K., Wang, Y., Wang, W., ... Shen, Y. (2007). Mutation screening of BMP4, BMP7, HOXA4 and HOXB6 genes in Chinese patients with hypospadias. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 15(1), 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1038/ sj.ejhg.5201722
- Chen, Y., Yu, H., Pask, A. J., Fujiyama, A., Suzuki, Y., Sugano, S., ... Renfree, M. B. (2018). Hormone-responsive genes in the SHH and WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathways influence urethral closure and phallus growth. *Biology of Reproduction*. https://doi. org/10.1093/biolre/ioy117
- Cheng, J., Lin, R., Zhang, W., Liu, G., Sheng, H., Li, X., ... Liu, L. (2015). Phenotype and molecular characteristics in 45 Chinese children with 5alpha-reductase type 2 deficiency from South China. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 83, 518–526. https://doi.org/10.1111/ cen.12799
- Eggers, S., Sadedin, S., van den Bergen, J. A., Robevska, G., Ohnesorg, T., Hewitt, J., ... Sinclair, A. H. (2016). Disorders of sex development: Insights from targeted gene sequencing of a large international

patient cohort. *Genome Biology*, 17, 243. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1105-y

- Giwercman, Y. L., Ivarsson, S. A., Richthoff, J., Lundin, K. B., & Giwercman, A. (2004). A novel mutation in the D-box of the androgen receptor gene (S597R) in two unrelated individuals is associated with both normal phenotype and severe PAIS. *Hormone Research*, 61(2), 58–62. https://doi.org/10.1159/000075240
- Gottlieb, B., Beitel, L. K., Nadarajah, A., Paliouras, M., & Trifiro, M. (2012). The androgen receptor gene mutations database: 2012 update. *Human Mutation*, 33, 887–894. https://doi.org/10.1002/ humu.22046
- Kalfa, N., Philibert, P., & Sultan, C. (2009). Is hypospadias a genetic, endocrine or environmental disease, or still an unexplained malformation? *International Journal of Andrology*, 32, 187–197. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2008.00899.x
- Kalfa, N., Philibert, P., Werner, R., Audran, F., Bashamboo, A., Lehors, H., ... Sultan, C. (2013). Minor hypospadias: The "tip of the iceberg" of the partial androgen insensitivity syndrome. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e61824. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061824
- Kojima, Y., Kohri, K., & Hayashi, Y. (2010). Genetic pathway of external genitalia formation and molecular etiology of hypospadias. *Journal of Pediatric Urology*, 6, 346–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpurol.2009.11.007
- Maimoun, L., Philibert, P., Cammas, B., Audran, F., Bouchard, P., Fenichel, P., ... Sultan, C. (2011). Phenotypical, biological, and molecular heterogeneity of 5alpha-reductase deficiency: An extensive international experience of 55 patients. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 96, 296–307. https://doi. org/10.1210/jc.2010-1024
- Matsushita, S., Suzuki, K., Murashima, A., Kajioka, D., Acebedo, A. R., Miyagawa, S., ... Yamada, G. (2018). Regulation of masculinization: Androgen signalling for external genitalia development. *Nature Reviews Urology*, 15, 358–368. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41585-018-0008-y
- Monnier, C., Dode, C., Fabre, L., Teixeira, L., Labesse, G., Pin, J. P., ... Rondard, P. (2009). PROKR2 missense mutations associated with Kallmann syndrome impair receptor signalling activity. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 18(1), 75–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ ddn318
- Reymond, A., Meroni, G., Fantozzi, A., Merla, G., Cairo, S., Luzi, L., ... Ballabio, A. (2001). The tripartite motif family identifies cell compartments. *EMBO Journal*, 20, 2140–2151. https://doi.org/10.1093/ emboj/20.9.2140
- Sagodi, L., Kiss, A., Kiss-Toth, E., & Barkai, L. (2014). Prevalence and possible causes of hypospadias. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 155, 978–985. https ://doi.org/10.1556/oh.2014.29858
- Shih, E. M., & Graham, Jr., J. M. (2014). Review of genetic and environmental factors leading to hypospadias. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, 57, 453–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2014. 03.003
- Singh, N., Gupta, D. K., Sharma, S., Sahu, D. K., Mishra, A., Yadav, D. K., ... Singh, A. K. (2018). Single-nucleotide and copynumber variance related to severity of hypospadias. *Pediatric Surgery International*, 34, 991–1008. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00383-018-4330-5
- Song, Y. N., Fan, L. J., Zhao, X., & Gong, C. X. (2019). Clinical phenotype and gene analysis of 86 cases of 5 alpha reductase deficiency. *Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi*, 57, 131–135. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j .issn.0578-1310.2019.02.013

- Su, L., Cheng, J., Yin, X., Liu, G., Lu, Z., Sheng, H., ... Liu, L. (2017). Clinical and molecular characteristics in 15 patients with androgen receptor gene mutations from South China. *Andrologia*, 49. https:// doi.org/10.1111/and.12763
- Tan, Z. P., Huang, C., Xu, Z. B., Yang, J. F., & Yang, Y. F. (2012). Novel ZFPM2/FOG2 variants in patients with double outlet right ventricle. *Clinical Genetics*, 82, 466–471. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2011.01787.x
- Tüzel, E., Şamlı, H., Kuru, İ., Türkmen, S., Demir, Y., Maralcan, G., & Güler, C. (2007). Association of hypospadias with hypoplastic synpolydactyly and role of HOXD13 gene mutations. *Urology*, 70, 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2007.03.013
- van der Zanden, L. F., van Rooij, I. A., Feitz, W. F., Franke, B., Knoers, N. V., & Roeleveld, N. (2012). Aetiology of hypospadias: A systematic review of genes and environment. *Human Reproduction Update*, *18*, 260–283. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dms002
- Van Nostrand, J. L., Brady, C. A., Jung, H., Fuentes, D. R., Kozak, M. M., Johnson, T. M., ... Attardi, L. D. (2014). Inappropriate p53 activation during development induces features of CHARGE syndrome. *Nature*, 514, 228–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13585
- Wang, H., Zhang, L., Wang, N., Zhu, H., Han, B., Sun, F., ... Qiao, J. (2018). Next-generation sequencing reveals genetic landscape in 46, XY disorders of sexual development patients with variable phenotypes. *Human Genetics*, 137, 265–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00439-018-1879-y

- Wang, R., Dong, Z., Wang, W., Xiao, Y., Ni, J., & Wang, D. (2013). Mutation analysis of the SRD5A2, AR and SF-1 genes in 52 Chinese boys with hypospadias. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 26, 887–893. https://doi.org/10.1515/jpem-2012-0316
- Wang, Y., Gong, C., Qin, M., Liu, Y., & Tian, Y. (2017). Clinical and genetic features of 64 young male paediatric patients with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 87, 757–766. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13451
- Yuan, S., Meng, L., Zhang, Y., Tu, C., Du, J., Li, W., ... Tan, Y. Q. (2017). Genotype-phenotype correlation and identification of two novel SRD5A2 mutations in 33 Chinese patients with hypospadias. *Steroids*, 125, 61–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2017.06.010
- Zhang, W., Shen, L., Deng, Z., Ding, Y., Mo, X., Xu, Z., ... Yi, L. (2014). Novel missense variants of ZFPM2/FOG2 identified in conotruncal heart defect patients do not impair interaction with GATA4. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e102379. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102379

**How to cite this article:** Zhang W, Shi J, Zhang C, et al. Identification of gene variants in 130 Han Chinese patients with hypospadias by targeted next-generation sequencing. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2019;7:e827. https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.827