



Review

Estrogen and Androgen Blockade for Advanced Prostate Cancer in the Era of Precision Medicine

Tetsuya Fujimura¹, Kenichi Takayama², Satoru Takahashi³ and Satoshi Inoue^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Urology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo 162-8655, Japan; tfujimura-jua@umin.ac.jp
- ² Department of Functional Biogerontology, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo 173-0015, Japan; ktakayama-tky@umin.ac.jp
- ³ Department of Urology, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo 173-8610, Japan; takahashi.satoru@nihon-u.ac.jp
- * Correspondence: sinoue@tmig.or.jp; Tel.: +81-3-3964-3241

Received: 27 December 2017; Accepted: 19 January 2018; Published: 23 January 2018

Abstract: Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been widely prescribed for patients with advanced prostate cancer (PC) to control key signaling pathways via androgen receptor (AR) and AR-collaborative transcriptional factors; however, PC gradually acquires a lethal phenotype and results in castration-resistant PC (CRPC) during ADT. Therefore, new therapeutic strategies are required in clinical practice. In addition, ARs; estrogen receptors (ERs; ER α and ER β); and estrogen-related receptors (ERRs; ERR α , ERR β , and ERR γ) have been reported to be involved in the development or regulation of PC. Recent investigations have revealed the role of associated molecules, such as *KLF5*, *FOXO1*, *PDGFA*, *VEGF-A*, *WNT5A*, *TGF* β 1, and *micro-RNA* 135*a* of PC, via ERs and ERRs. Selective ER modulators (SERMs) have been developed. Recently, estrogen and androgen blockade (EAB) using a combination of toremifene and ADT has been demonstrated to improve biochemical recurrence rate in treatment-naïve bone metastatic PC. In the future, the suitability of ADT alone or EAB for individuals may be evaluated by making clinical decisions on the basis of information obtained from RT-PCR, gene-panel, or liquid biopsy to create a "personalized medicine" or "precision medicine". In this review, we summarize ER and ERR signaling pathways, molecular diagnosis, and SERMs as candidates for advanced PC treatment.

Keywords: prostate cancer; androgen receptor; estrogen-related receptor; stem cell; androgen deprivation therapy (ADT); selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs); personalized medicine; precision medicine

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is the second-most frequently diagnosed cancer in men worldwide, with 1.1 million new cases estimated to have occurred in 2012 [1]. PC is the fifth leading cause of death due to cancer worldwide, with the highest mortality rates reported in the Caribbean (29.3 per 100,000) and Southern (24.4 per 100,000) and Middle Africa (24.2 per 100,000) [1]. Mortality rates due to PC have decreased in most of the developed countries, including those in the North America, Oceania, and Northern and Western Europe [1]. In contrast, mortality rates have increased in some Asian and Central and Eastern European countries, such as Korea, China (Hong Kong), and Russia. Chemoprevention, prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening for early detection, and innovative treatments for advanced PC are necessary to reduce the resultant mortality due to PC.

Systematic treatment for advanced or metastatic PC includes androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) or chemotherapy. Conventional ADT involved surgical or medical castration and the administration of anti-androgen agents, such as bicalutamide, flutamide, and nilutamide. Recently, new anti-androgen

2 of 15

agents, such as enzalutamide and abiraterone, have been approved for castration-resistant PC (CRPC) [2]. Docetaxel and cabazitaxel are also available for CRPC. However, effects of these new agents are transitory. Moreover, they are relatively expensive, costing 10,759\$ for 160 mg of enzalutamide; 9817\$ for 1000 mg of abiraterone per month; 1919\$ for 120 mg of docetaxel; and 10,639\$ for 40 mg of cabazitaxel, respectively (https://www.drugs.com/price-guide/). To improve cancer-specific survival (CSS) and reduce the cost incurred on drugs used for advanced PC treatment, durable and economic therapeutic strategies are warranted worldwide. Here, we reviewed the literature pertaining to endocrine therapy and proposed a new therapeutic strategy involving estrogen and androgen signal blockade (EAB) for advanced PC.

2. Initiating Endocrine Therapy for PC

Pioneering work by Huggins [3] established diethylstilbestrol (DES) administration as a low-cost but effective treatment for metastatic PC. However, due to adverse side effects associated with DES treatment, including the exacerbation of heart failure, vascular complications, and gynecomastia, DES therapies have been replaced with the application of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone analogs and anti-androgen agents, such as lueprolide, goserelin, bicalutamide, and flutamide, since the past two decades. The precise functional network of androgen receptors (ARs), co-factors, and micro-RNA (miR) has been clarified using next generation sequencing in these lines [4,5]. Recently, the use of selective estrogen modulators (SERMs) for advanced PC treatment has reemerged due to the discovery of various nuclear receptors and their functional analyses in context of PC.

3. Nuclear Receptors Associated with Endocrine Therapy for PC

Initially, the action of estrogens was believed to be mediated via the blockade of the pituitary–testicular axis, which effectively decreased circulating androgen levels and induced tumor regression. This concept was supported by immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization studies conducted in the 1990s, which could not identify any detectable estrogen receptor (ER) levels in the epithelial compartments of the human prostatic tissue [6]. The classical ER, ER α , is dominantly expressed in the stromal compartment but not in the glandular epithelium of the normal human prostate [6–8]. Estrogens actions on prostatic epithelium have been considered to be exerted via ER α -mediated paracrine mechanism. Conversely, the exposure of humans or rodents to estrogens induced proliferative changes and squamous metaplasia in their prostates [9–11]. Noble strain rats treated with androgen plus estrogens over a long period have been reported to show high PC incidence [12]. The mechanism underlying estrogen action was clarified in the past two decades owing to the successful cloning of nuclear receptors, such as ER α , ER β , and estrogen-related receptors (ERRs: ERR α , ERR β , and ERR γ) from 1980 to 1996 [13–16]. Evidence suggests an overlap between ERR and ER biology.

In the 1990s, the status of ER α expression in PC remained controversial [17–23]. ER α protein expression was more frequently observed in higher-grade metastatic cancers than in low-to-moderate grade tumors [17]. Conversely, other investigators noted the presence of ER α expression in well-differentiated adenocarcinoma but not in poorly differentiated tumors and metastatic lesions [24–26]. In our study, over 70% of the malignant epithelium showed no ER α expression, whereas distinct ER α immunoreactivity was identified in 7% of the cancerous loci in 50 patients with localized PC [27]. Previous researchers have reported variable expression patterns of ER α in PC [28].

Novel ER β cloned from a rat prostate cDNA library was found to be localized in the epithelial compartment of the rat prostate [14]. ER α and ER β are paralogs and they share 86%, 23%, 17%, and 100% amino acid identity in DNA-binding domains (DBDs), N-termini, C-termini, and ligand-biding domains (LBDs), respectively. However, because of the divergence in their LBDs, the two ER subtypes bind ligands (agonists or antagonists) with different affinities [29]. Although several studies have investigated the expression of the second ER, ER β , in normal and malignant epithelium of the human prostate, the available data on ER β protein expression in the

human prostate remains controversial [17-23,30,31]. While one study reported a decrease in the ER β protein expression in PC, another reported an increase. This discrepancy may be attributed to the presence of C-terminal truncated splice variant of ER β , ER β 1-ER β 5 [32] and the specificity of ER β antibody [33]. The expression of ER β 3 is limited to the testis, whereas ER β 1, ER β 2, ER β 4, and ER β 5 are expressed in the prostate [32]. Anderson showed the schematic view of antibody epitopes and ER β isoforms, and validated 13 commercially available or in-house produced ER β antibodies [33]. Out of the 13 ER β -targeting antibodies, PPZ0506 and 14C8 appeared to specifically target ER β in formalin fixed paraffin embedded-treated cell lines [33].

Next, cDNA for ERR α was isolated by screening cDNA libraries with probes corresponding to the DBD of human ER α [16]. ERR α has a high homology to ER α at DBD and also recognizes estrogen response element (ERE) [34–38]. ERR α mRNA was highly expressed in the heart and skeletal muscle and expressed to a lesser degree in the kidney, pancreas, small intestine, and colon in humans [39]. ERR α is now established to be associated with unfavorable biomarkers in human breast cancer [40,41]. Moreover, ERR α and ERR γ are associated with unfavorable and favorable biomarkers, respectively, in human PC [42,43].

The steroid and xenobiotic receptor (SXR), also known as the human pregnane X receptor, constitutes members of the nuclear receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors [44,45]. The elimination of dihydrotestosterone (DHT), which is the active androgen in the prostate, is critical for successful endocrine therapy for PC. Testosterone is inactivated in the liver and prostate by Cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes [46–48]. SXR regulates CYP3A4 and CYP2B6, which are responsible for the hydroxylation of testosterone in the liver and prostate [48–50]. SXR and its target genes CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 participate in the regulation of PC via intra-prostatic testosterone metabolism [49–52].

4. Clinicopathological Features of Nuclear Receptors in PC

wtER β expression was significantly lower in cancers than in benign epithelium and inversely correlated with the Gleason grade. In contrast, ER β cx (ER β 2) was significantly more expressed in high-grade cancers than in low-grade tumors. The CSS of patients with lower wtER β expression was significantly worse than that of patients with higher wtER β expression. ER β cx (ER β 2) expression was correlated with the Gleason grade and inversely correlated with EB β expression [27]. Higher ER β cx (ER β 2) expression was significantly correlated with poor CSS.

This finding was corroborated by the results of the following investigations of ER β splice variants. Tissue microarrays constructed using samples from 566 men who had undergone radical prostatectomy were analyzed using immunohistochemistry for wtERβ, ERβ2, ERβ5, and G-protein-coupled receptor-30 (GPR 30) [53]. Intense cytoplasmic wtER β staining was independently associated with time to recurrence (Hazards ratio (HR) 1.7, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.1–2.6, p = 0.01) and PC-specific mortality (HR 3.9, 95% CI 1.8–24.9, p = 0.01). Similarly, intense nuclear ER β 2 staining was independently associated with PC-specific mortality (HR 3.9, 95% CI 1.1–13.4, p = 0.03) [53]. Samples obtained from 100 patients with cT3N0M0 PC were evaluated to determine the expression of AR, ER α , and wtER β as well as the clinical outcomes, including biochemical recurrence (BCR), progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS) [54]. BCR was defined with two consecutive PSA values of ≥ 0.2 ng/mL. Disease progression was diagnosed by digital rectal examination, computed tomography, or bone scintigraphy. AR expression was not associated with any of the above outcomes; however, patients with high ER α or low wtER β immunoreactivity scores than those with negative ER α or high wtER β immunoreactivity scores showed 6.03-, 10.93-, and 10.53-times greater hazards for BCR, PFS, and OS, respectively. Nuclear ER β 2 and cytoplasmic ER β 5 expression served as significant prognostic factors for BCR and PFS in 144 men with localized PC, who had undergone radical prostatectomy [54].

ERR α expression was elevated in PC, particularly in those with a higher Gleason grade, compared with benign epithelium foci, and higher ERR α expression acted as a significant prognostic predictor of

CSS [42]. In contrast, ERRγ expression decreased in PC and acted as a preferable prognostic marker of PC [43].

SXR immunoreactivity was significantly lower in cancerous lesions than in the benign foci of specimens obtained from radical prostatectomy. CSS in patients with high SXR expression was significantly increased, and the combined data of SXR and CYP3A4 showed that a higher expression of SXR and CYP3A4 was correlated with better CSS [49]. In addition, CYP2B6 was abundantly localized in the cytoplasm of normal epithelial cells compared with that of PC cells. CYP2B6 immunoreactivity was inversely correlated with higher Gleason grade. Patients with decreased CYP2B6 expression showed poor CSS [51]. CYP2B6 overexpression in LNCaP cells significantly decreased testosterone-induced proliferation. Thus, SXR, CYP3A4, and CYP2B6 may regulate PC progression via testosterone metabolism.

5. Functional Analysis of Nuclear Receptors and Associated Factors for Endocrine Therapy

We summarize the pathway of the nuclear receptors associated with endocrine therapy for PC (Figure 1). In the classical pathway, ER α binds to EREs to regulate its gene transcription [55]. ER α also participates in several non-classical pathways, including ERE-independent gene transcription via protein–protein interactions with transcription factors, and rapid non-genotropic pathways. ER α functions have been investigated in two mouse models of aggressive PC: Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (PTEN)-deficient and Hi-MYC mice [56]. ER α promoted cell proliferation in PTEN-deficient tumors by regulating phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways and glucose sensitivity.



Figure 1. Nuclear receptors associated with prostate cancer progression including androgen receptor (AR), estrogen receptor (ER), and estrogen-related receptor (ERR).

Various genes of cell proliferation and bone metastasis gens are known to be recruited by ERβ [57]. E2 imparts paradoxical effects in PC because E2 biphasically regulates prostate tumor growth by suppressing *Forkhead Box O1* (*FOXO1*) and *Platelet Derived Growth Factor Subunit A* (*PDGFA*) expression

levels through ERβ and Kruppel Like Factor (KLF) 5 pathways [58,59]. E2 treatment decreased KLF5-dependent *FOXO1* transcription in PC cells through ERβ, inhibiting apoptosis and increasing tumor weight in mouse xenograft models [59]. In contrast, when mice were treated with higher doses of E2, prostate tumor growth was suppressed through ERβ and KLF5 pathways. In fact, E2 inhibited *PDGFA* transcription and suppressed angiogenesis through ERβ and KLF5 pathways. PDGFA recovered angiogenesis inhibited by E2. Both *PDFGA* and *FOXO1* expressions were markedly suppressed by higher doses of E2, and angiogenesis was insufficient for prostate tumor growth, leading to the suppression of tumor growth [59]. ERβ and NFκB also regulate PC activating *Interleukin* (*IL*)-12, *Growth differentiation gene* (*GDF*)-1, *IL-8*, and *Receptor-like tyrosine kinase* (*RYK*) [60].

ER β activation is a target for treating early stage PC to prevent cancer progression [61,62]. RNA sequencing and immunohistochemistry were conducted to compare gene expression profiles in the ventral prostate of young (2-month-old) and aging (18-month-old) ER β /ER β mice and their wild-type littermates. ER β modulates AR signaling by repressing AR driver RORc and increasing AR co-repressor dachshund family (DACH 1/2). ER β loss resulted in the upregulation of genes whose expression is associated with poor prognosis in PC, accompanied with the downregulation of tumor-suppressive or tumor-preventive genes. ER β agonist (LY3201) treatment resulted in the nuclear import of PTEN and repression of AR signaling.

ER β activation is also a treatment option for CRPC [62]. The influence of the ER β -specific ligand 8 β -VE2 was investigated using three kinds of VCaP cells through pretreatment, under ADT, and under maximum ADT. 8 β -VE2 treatment reduced the overexpression of AR as well as AR splice variants (ARVs) lacking the ligand binding domain in VCaP cells under maximum ADT.

ERR α has been reported to promote several traits of cancer progression, such as proliferation [63–65], epithelial–mesenchymal transition [66], resistance to hypoxia [67], angiogenesis [68], and cell migration [69] in prostate, breast, or colon cancer. ERR α was also involved in the bone tumor progression of CRPC [70]. Increased ERR α levels in tumor cells led to rapid tumor progression, with both bone destruction and formation accompanied with osteoclasts and osteoblasts. *Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGF-A), Wnt Family Member 5A (WNT5A),* and *Transforming Growth Factor Beta 1 (TGF\beta1)* were upregulated by *ERR\alpha* expression in tumor cells. In addition, *ERR\alpha* regulated tumor stromal microenvironment by stimulating pro-metastatic factor *periostin* expression. With no natural ligands of ERR α involved miR-135a, modulated ERR α function [71,72]. miR-135a downregulated ERR α expression through specific sequences of its 3'-untranslated region (UTR) and also decreased the cell invasive potential of ERR α pathway [72].

6. SERMs for PC

SERMs are involved in major therapeutic advancements in clinical practice for breast cancer, osteoporosis, and PC. The first-generation clomiphene, the second-generation toremifene and raloxifene, and the third-generation ospemifene and bazedoxifene have been used in diseases affecting women [73]. SERMs are economical, costing 90\$ for 50 mg of clomiphene; 1313\$ for 60 mg of toremifene; and 16\$ for 60 mg of raloxifene per month, respectively. SERMs are synthetic ligands for ERs that can exhibit either estrogenic or anti-estrogenic effects, depending on the tissue type [73]. SERMs have tissue-specific agonist–antagonist activity [74]. For example, raloxifene exhibited diverse activities via ER depending on ER α or ER β expression in the target organ [75]. When ERE-luciferase (ERE-LUC) and either ER α or ER β were co-transfected into HEK 293 cells, toremifene acted as a potent antagonist of the 17 β -estradiol-stimulated transactivation of ER α (at 1 μ M) and ER β (at 5 μ M), respectively [74]. In contrast, toremifene (0.1 μ M) served as a potent antagonist of ER α (95% inhibition), but not of ER β (20% inhibition). Thus, toremifene is a more selective antagonist of ER α , the activation of which is implicated in prostate epithelial growth, than of ER β [74]. Toremifene significantly reduced PC incidence in a transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate model [76]. Toremifene treatment resulted in a significant reduction in prostate tumor growth and PC3M (a human PC cell line) proliferation, expressing ERα [77].

Details of clinical investigations of SERMs are summarized in Table 1 [78–82]. A phase II trial of toremifene was conducted in 15 patients with CRPC [78]. The patients received toremifene at a dose of 300 to 600 mg/m^2 , which is a relatively high dosage. The treatment was well-tolerated and toxicity was mild; however, no objective responses were achieved [78]. Another study used toremifene at a dose of 20 to 60 mg to prevent PC incidence in men with high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) [79]. A total of 514 patients with HGPIN and no PC evidence on screening biopsy were randomized to 20, 40, or 60 mg toremifene dose or to a placebo daily for 12 months. The patients underwent re-biopsy at 6 and 12 months. The cumulative risk of PC was decreased in patients on 20 mg toremifene compared with that in placebo controls (24.4% vs. 31.2%, p < 0.05). The annualized rate of prevention was 6.8 cancers/100 treated men. In patients with no biopsy evidence of cancer at baseline and at 6 months, the 12-month incidence of PC was decreased by 48.2% with 20 mg toremifene as compared with the placebo controls (9.1% vs. 17.4%, p < 0.05). Thus, 20-mg dose was found to be most effective, but the cumulative (40 mg, 29.2%; 60 mg, 28.1%) and 12-month incidences of PC (40 mg, 14.3%; 60 mg, 13.0%) were lower for each toremifene dose than those for the placebo. In addition, in a 3-year phase III, double-blinded, multicenter trial, 1590 men with HGPIN and negative PC findings on the prostate biopsy were randomly assigned to a toremifene citrate (20 mg) treatment or placebo group, with equal number of patients in each group [81]. Cancer was detected in 34.7% and 32.3% of the men in the placebo and treatment groups, respectively, without any difference (p = 0.39, log-rank test) in PC-free survival. The 3-year Kaplan-Meier PC-free survival estimate was 54.9% (99% CI, 43.3–66.5%) in the placebo group and 59.5% (99% CI, 48.1–70.9%) in the treatment group. Some previous studies had focused on adverse events associated with ADT, including osteoporosis and lipid metabolism [82,83]. However, no study has yet demonstrated the inhibitory effect for PC or for serum PSA changes.

Years (References)	Subjective	Objective	Design	Treatments	Number	Results
2001 [78]	CRPC	Cancer Control	Experimental	ADT+ Toremifene 300–640 mg/m ²	15	No cancer inhibitory effect
2006 [79]	HGPIN	Cancer prevention	RCT	Toremifene 20, 40, 60 mg	514	Cancer prevention in 20 mg group
2008 [80]	РС	Osteoporosis prevention	RCT	Toremifene 80 mg	197	Increased bone density
2008 [82]	РС	Lipid profile improvement	RCT	Toremifene 80 mg	1389	Decreased T Cho, LDL, HDL, TG
2013 [81]	HGPIN	Cancer prevention	RCT	Toremifene 20 mg	1467	Not significant cancer prevention
2006 [84]	CRPC	Cancer Control	Experimental	Raloxifene 60 mg	13	Partial effect (5 of 13 patients)
2017 [85]	CRPC	Cancer Control	Experimental	Raloxifene 60 mg + Bicaltamide 50 mg	18	Partial effect (4 of 18 patients)
2008 [86]	CRPC	Cancer Control	Experimental	Fulvestrant 500 mg, 250 mg	20	No patients reduced >50% PSA reduction
2015 [87]	Hormone naïve PC	Testosterone reduction	RCT	ADT, ADT+ GTx-758 1000 mg, or ADT+ GTx-758 2000 mg	164	Superior testosterone reduction in GTx-756 group
2015 [88]	Hormone naïve PC	Cancer Control	RCT	ADT, ADT+ Toremifene 60 mg, or ADT+ Raloxifene 60 mg	15	ADT+ toremifene significantly improved BCR

Table 1. Clinical trials of selective estrogen receptors modulators for prostatic diseases
--

PC; Prostate cancer, CRPC; Castration-resistant prostate cancer, ADT; Androgen deprivation therapy, HGPIN; high-grade prostatic intra-epithelial neoplasia, RCT; randomized clinical trial, T Cho; Total cholesterol, LDL; Low density lipoprotein, HDL; High density lipoprotein, TG; Total glyceride, BCR; Biochemical recurrence.

Raloxifene, an ER agonist in the bone tissue [73], has been developed for osteoporosis treatment in women, which demonstrated some tumor-inhibitory effects against CRPC in a pilot study [84]. Raloxifene inhibited androgen-independent PC growth in 5 of 13 patients (28%). Another investigation was conducted using a combination of raloxifene and bicalutamide to evaluate treatment toxicity [85], in which 18 men with CRPC were administered a combination of bicalutamide (50 mg) and raloxifene (60 mg) over 28-day cycles. Although none of the patients required dose reduction, the resultant clinical benefit was limited. Only 4 of the 18 patients experienced >50% PSA reduction, with a median PFS of 1.9 months (1.8–2.8 months).

Fulvestrant is a pure estrogen antagonist with no agonist activity [86,89]. Fulvestrant was administered via intramuscular injection at a dose of 500 mg on day 0, followed by 250 mg on day 14, day 28, and monthly thereafter in 20 patients with CRPC [86]. Median time to progression was 4.3 months, and median OS was 19.4 months. No patient showed >50% PSA reduction or any radiological improvement.

Only one study has been conducted in patients with treatment-naïve PC [87], in which 164 patients were randomized to oral GTx-758, ER α agonist, 1000 mg/day, 2000 mg/day, or leuprolide depot. Although leuprolide reduced the total testosterone level to <50 ng/dL in most patients compared with GTx-758, GTx-758 was superior in lowering the free testosterone level and PSA. GTx-758 reduced the side effects of estrogen deficiency, such as hot flushes, bone loss, and insulin resistance, but increased the incidence of venous thromboembolic events. Therefore, the oncological outcomes of SERMs have not yet been comprehensively investigated for use in patients with treatment-naïve PC.

We thus hypothesized that additional SERMs may prolong the durability of ADT because androgen and estrogen signaling drive PC progression. In our study, we conducted a prospective randomized clinical phase IIA trial to investigate the effects of SERMs (toremifene and raloxifene) in combination with ADT in treatment-naïve bone metastatic PC [88]. Men with treatment-naïve bone metastatic PC were randomly assigned into receive ADT, toremifene (60 mg) plus ADT (TOPADT), or raloxifene (60 mg) plus ADT (RAPADT). A total of 15 men, 5 each, were allocated to one of the three treatment arms. The basal serum PSA level was 198 ng/mL (median; range, 30–8428). Bone metastases were graded as 1 (n = 11), 2 (n = 3), and 3 (n = 1) depending on the extent of the disease. During the median follow-up period of 1370 days (range, 431-1983), BCR occurred in 3, 0, and 2 men in the ADT, TOPADT, and RAPADT groups, respectively. The 5-year BCR-free rate was 30%, 100%, and 53% in the ADT, TOPADT, and RAPADT groups, respectively (p = 0.04, ADT vs. TOPADT; p = 0.48, ADT vs. RAPADT; and p = 0.12, TOPADT vs. RAPADT). Although ER β agonists were expected to have tumor-inhibitory effect, the study did not prove a distinct tumor-inhibitory effect. Further additional ER β agonists are warranted with respect to their potential role in the inhibition of human PC. Thus, we for the first time demonstrated clinical benefits of EAB in patients with treatment-naïve bone metastatic PC.

To date, anti-cancer effects of EAB have not yet been completely investigated in treatment-naïve PC patients. We assumed that the concurrent use of SERMs can prolong the duration of ADT efficacy in treatment-naïve men with bone metastatic PC. In the future, additional clinical trials with larger cohorts will be warranted to confirm our promising phase IIA findings. Furthermore, functional investigations are required to clarify the mechanism underlying EAB in treatment-naïve PC.

7. Molecular Diagnosis for Precision Medicine

Determination of the therapeutic strategy for breast cancer depends on the expression patterns of ER α , progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER 2) in needle biopsy samples [90]. Therapeutic strategies for non-small cell lung cancer are based on mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), Kirsten murine sarcoma virus (KRAS), or Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) [91]. However, pretreatment diagnosis using the estimated gene expression is not yet prevalent in patients with PC. Molecular diagnosis using immunohistochemistry; fluorescent in situ hybridization; and DNA, RNA, and/or micro-RNA analyses have been discussed in recent

articles [92–100]. Studies in which gene sets of SC-like cells, micro-RNA, or cell-cycle progression markers reflect more aggressive diseases are limited to localized PC. A recent study has revealed that of the analysis of mutations, such as *ATM Serine/Threonine Kinase (ATM)* and *Breast Cancer 1/2 (BRCA1/2)*, or the expression of specific genes can indicate the risk, metastatic potential, or radio sensitivity of PC [100,101]; however, investigations regarding metastatic PC through molecular diagnosis are limited.

We have previously defined an AR transcriptional network in PC cells using Chromatin immunoprecipitation on chip (ChIP–chip) and 5'-cap analysis of gene expression (CAGE) analyses [102,103] as well as functional analysis of AR-related genes, including *Amyroid precursor protein* (*APP*), Octamer-Binding Transcription Factor 1 (*Oct1*), *Tripartite Motif Containing 36* (*TRIM36*), and *Forkhead Box P1* (*FOXP1*), in PC [104–106]. Following experiments revealed the association between BCR and the expression of *AR*, *ERa*, *Sox2*, *CRP*, and *Her2* as well as the association between CSS and the expression of *AR*, *Oct1*, *TRIM36*, *Sox2*, *Klf4*, *c-Myc*, and *ERa* in patients with metastatic PC using laser microdissection technique (LMD) [107].

Various nuclear receptors; their related co-regulators; and miR, including ARs, ER α , ER β , and ERRs participate in the development or regulation of metastatic PC. Here, we proposed a new therapeutic strategy for metastatic PC (Figure 2). In summary, some patients with homogeneous PC cells sensitive to ADT alone, while others with heterogeneous PC cells, including ER α , ER β , and ERR α , were eligible for EAB. In conclusion, we assume that an initial precision medicine induces dormancy in PC cells. In the future, there is a scope of precision medicine contributing to a longer and active life in patients with metastatic PC through the use of LMD of biopsy specimens, genomic analysis, or liquid biopsy of blood samples.



Figure 2. Time course of advanced prostate cancer.

In classical pathways down-stream genes of AR, ER, or ERR are activated through binding AR, ER, or ERR with androgen-responsive elements (ARE), estrogen-responsive elements (ERE), or estrogen-related receptor elements (ERRE), respectively. AR is directly regulated by micro-RNA (miR)s. ER α and ERR α contribute prostate cancer (PC) progression via *phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase*

(Pl3K), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGF-A), Wnt Family Member 5A (WNT5A), and Transforming Growth Factor Beta 1 (TGF β 1) VEGF/WNT5A/TGF pathways, respectively. Estradiol and GS-1405, ER β agonist, suppress Forkhead Box O1 (FOXO1) and Platelet Derived Growth Factor Subunit A (PDGFA) expression levels through ER β and Kruppel Like Factor (KLF) 5 pathways, and inhibit PC growth via apoptosis, anoikis, and angiogenesis. ER β and NF κ B also regulate PC activating Interleuikin (IL)-12, Growth differentiation gene (GDF)-1, IL-8, and Receptor-like tyrosine kinase (RYK).

Conventionally most of all patients with advanced prostate cancer (PC) receive androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) after initial diagnostic prostate biopsy irrespective of PC cell type. Consequently PC become castration resistant status with distant metastasis.

Precision or personalized medicine can separate patients according to types of PC cells; AR positive, AR, ER α , ER β , and ERR α positive, or chemo-naïve type. Precision medicine changes advanced PC cells to dormant cells and provide patients with long and active life.

Acknowledgments: Supported by grants from Japan Society for Promotion of Science. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

Author Contributions: Tetsuya Fujimura, Kenichi Takayama, Satoru Takahashi and Satoshi Inoue conceived and designed the draft.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Torre, L.A.; Bray, F.; Siegel, R.L.; Ferlay, J.; Lortet-Tieulent, J.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2015**, 65, 87–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gillessen, S.; Attard, G.; Beer, T.M.; Beltran, H.; Bossi, A.; Bristow, R.; Carver, B.; Castellano, D.; Chung, B.H.; Clarke, N.; et al. Management of Patients with Advanced Prostate Cancer: The Report of the Advanced Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference APCCC 2017. *Eur. Urol.* 2017, *73*, 178–211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huggins, C.; Hodges, C.V. Studies on prostatic cancer. I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 1972, 22, 232–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Takayama, K.I.; Misawa, A.; Inoue, S. Significance of microRNAs in Androgen Signaling and Prostate Cancer Progression. *Cancers* **2017**, *9*, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Obinata, D.; Takayama, K.; Takahashi, S.; Inoue, S. Crosstalk of the Androgen Receptor with Transcriptional Collaborators: Potential Therapeutic Targets for Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* 2017, *9*, 22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Ehara, H.; Koji, T.; Deguchi, T.; Yoshii, A.; Nakano, M.; Nakane, P.K.; Kawada, Y. Expression of estrogen receptor in diseased human prostate assessed by non-radioactive in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry. *Prostate* **1995**, *27*, 304–313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Kirschenbaum, A.; Ren, M.; Erenburg, I.; Schachter, B.; Levine, A.C. Estrogen receptor messenger RNA expression in human benign prostatic hyperplasia: Detection, localization, and modulation with a long-acting gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist. *J. Androl.* **1994**, *15*, 528–533. [PubMed]
- 8. Hiramatsu, M.; Maehara, I.; Orikasa, S.; Sasano, H. Immunolocalization of oestrogen and progesterone receptors in prostatic hyperplasia and carcinoma. *Histopathology* **1996**, *28*, 163–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sugimura, Y.; Cunha, G.R.; Yonemura, C.U.; Kawamura, J. Temporal and spatial factors in diethylstilbestrol-induced squamous metaplasia of the developing human prostate. *Hum. Pathol.* 1988, 19, 133–139. [CrossRef]
- 10. Triche, T.J.; Harkin, J.C. An ultrastructural study of hormonally induced squamous metaplasia in the coagulating gland of the mouse prostate. *Lab. Investig. J. Tech. Methods Pathol.* **1971**, *25*, 596–606.
- 11. Levine, A.C.; Kirschenbaum, A.; Droller, M.; Gabrilove, J.L. Effect of the addition of estrogen to medical castration on prostatic size, symptoms, histology and serum prostate specific antigen in 4 men with benign prostatic hypertrophy. *J. Urol.* **1991**, *146*, 790–793. [CrossRef]

- 12. Noble, R.L. Production of Nb rat carcinoma of the dorsal prostate and response of estrogen-dependent transplants to sex hormones and tamoxifen. *Cancer Res.* **1980**, *40*, 3547–3550. [PubMed]
- 13. Jensen, E.V.; Jacobson, H.I.; Smith, S.; Jungblut, P.W.; De Sombre, E.R. The use of estrogen antagonists in hormone receptor studies. *Gynecol. Investig.* **1972**, *3*, 108–123. [CrossRef]
- 14. Kuiper, G.G.; Enmark, E.; Pelto-Huikko, M.; Nilsson, S.; Gustafsson, J.A. Cloning of a novel receptor expressed in rat prostate and ovary. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 5925–5930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Mosselman, S.; Polman, J.; Dijkema, R. ER β: Identification and characterization of a novel human estrogen receptor. *FEBS Lett.* **1996**, *392*, 49–53. [CrossRef]
- 16. Giguere, V.; Yang, N.; Segui, P.; Evans, R.M. Identification of a new class of steroid hormone receptors. *Nature* **1988**, *331*, 91–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Bonkhoff, H.; Fixemer, T.; Hunsicker, I.; Remberger, K. Estrogen receptor expression in prostate cancer and premalignant prostatic lesions. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1999**, *155*, 641–647. [CrossRef]
- Royuela, M.; de Miguel, M.P.; Bethencourt, F.R.; Sanchez-Chapado, M.; Fraile, B.; Arenas, M.I.; Paniagua, R. Estrogen receptors α and β in the normal, hyperplastic and carcinomatous human prostate. *J. Endocrinol.* 2001, *168*, 447–454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leav, I.; Lau, K.M.; Adams, J.Y.; McNeal, J.E.; Taplin, M.E.; Wang, J.; Singh, H.; Ho, S.M. Comparative studies of the estrogen receptors β and α and the androgen receptor in normal human prostate glands, dysplasia, and in primary and metastatic carcinoma. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2001, *159*, 79–92. [CrossRef]
- Pasquali, D.; Rossi, V.; Esposito, D.; Abbondanza, C.; Puca, G.A.; Bellastella, A.; Sinisi, A.A. Loss of estrogen receptor β expression in malignant human prostate cells in primary cultures and in prostate cancer tissues. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2001, *86*, 2051–2055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pasquali, D.; Staibano, S.; Prezioso, D.; Franco, R.; Esposito, D.; Notaro, A.; De Rosa, G.; Bellastella, A.; Sinisi, A.A. Estrogen receptor β expression in human prostate tissue. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2001, 178, 47–50. [CrossRef]
- Horvath, L.G.; Henshall, S.M.; Lee, C.S.; Head, D.R.; Quinn, D.I.; Makela, S.; Delprado, W.; Golovsky, D.; Brenner, P.C.; O'Neill, G.; et al. Frequent loss of estrogen receptor-β expression in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001, *61*, 5331–5335. [PubMed]
- Latil, A.; Bieche, I.; Vidaud, D.; Lidereau, R.; Berthon, P.; Cussenot, O.; Vidaud, M. Evaluation of androgen, estrogen (ER α and ER β), and progesterone receptor expression in human prostate cancer by real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assays. *Cancer Res.* 2001, *61*, 1919–1926. [PubMed]
- Castagnetta, L.A.; Miceli, M.D.; Sorci, C.M.; Pfeffer, U.; Farruggio, R.; Oliveri, G.; Calabro, M.; Carruba, G. Growth of LNCaP human prostate cancer cells is stimulated by estradiol via its own receptor. *Endocrinology* 1995, 136, 2309–2319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Hobisch, A.; Hittmair, A.; Daxenbichler, G.; Wille, S.; Radmayr, C.; Hobisch-Hagen, P.; Bartsch, G.; Klocker, H.; Culig, Z. Metastatic lesions from prostate cancer do not express oestrogen and progesterone receptors. *J. Pathol.* **1997**, *182*, 356–361. [CrossRef]
- 26. Konishi, N.; Nakaoka, S.; Hiasa, Y.; Kitahori, Y.; Ohshima, M.; Samma, S.; Okajima, E. Immunohistochemical evaluation of estrogen receptor status in benign prostatic hypertrophy and in prostate carcinoma and the relationship to efficacy of endocrine therapy. *Oncology* **1993**, *50*, 259–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Ogawa, S.; Ouchi, Y.; Kitamura, T.; Muramatsu, M.; Inoue, S. Differential expression of estrogen receptor β (ERβ) and its C-terminal truncated splice variant ERβcx as prognostic predictors in human prostatic cancer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2001, 289, 692–699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Prins, G.S.; Korach, K.S. The role of estrogens and estrogen receptors in normal prostate growth and disease. *Steroids* **2008**, *73*, 233–244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen receptor β—A new dimension in estrogen mechanism of action. *J. Endocrinol.* **1999**, 163, 379–383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lau, K.M.; LaSpina, M.; Long, J.; Ho, S.M. Expression of estrogen receptor (ER)-α and ER-β in normal and malignant prostatic epithelial cells: Regulation by methylation and involvement in growth regulation. *Cancer Res.* 2000, 60, 3175–3182. [PubMed]

- Nelson, A.W.; Groen, A.J.; Miller, J.L.; Warren, A.Y.; Holmes, K.A.; Tarulli, G.A.; Tilley, W.D.; Katzenellenbogen, B.S.; Hawse, J.R.; Gnanapragasam, V.J.; et al. Comprehensive assessment of estrogen receptor β antibodies in cancer cell line models and tissue reveals critical limitations in reagent specificity. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2017, 440, 138–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moore, J.T.; McKee, D.D.; Slentz-Kesler, K.; Moore, L.B.; Jones, S.A.; Horne, E.L.; Su, J.L.; Kliewer, S.A.; Lehmann, J.M.; Willson, T.M. Cloning and characterization of human estrogen receptor β isoforms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1998, 247, 75–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Andersson, S.; Sundberg, M.; Pristovsek, N.; Ibrahim, A.; Jonsson, P.; Katona, B.; Clausson, C.M.; Zieba, A.; Ramstrom, M.; Soderberg, O.; et al. Insufficient antibody validation challenges oestrogen receptor β research. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 15840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, N.; Shigeta, H.; Shi, H.; Teng, C.T. Estrogen-related receptor, hERR1, modulates estrogen receptor-mediated response of human lactoferrin gene promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1996, 271, 5795–5804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kraus, R.J.; Ariazi, E.A.; Farrell, M.L.; Mertz, J.E. Estrogen-related receptor α 1 actively antagonizes estrogen receptor-regulated transcription in MCF-7 mammary cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 24826–24834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheung, C.P.; Yu, S.; Wong, K.B.; Chan, L.W.; Lai, F.M.; Wang, X.; Suetsugi, M.; Chen, S.; Chan, F.L. Expression and functional study of estrogen receptor-related receptors in human prostatic cells and tissues. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 90, 1830–1844. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vanacker, J.M.; Bonnelye, E.; Chopin-Delannoy, S.; Delmarre, C.; Cavailles, V.; Laudet, V. Transcriptional activities of the orphan nuclear receptor ERR α (estrogen receptor-related receptor-α). *Mol. Endocrinol.* 1999, 13, 764–773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Zhang, Z.; Teng, C.T. Estrogen receptor α and estrogen receptor-related receptor α1 compete for binding and coactivator. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **2001**, *172*, 223–233. [CrossRef]
- Shi, H.; Shigeta, H.; Yang, N.; Fu, K.; O'Brian, G.; Teng, C.T. Human estrogen receptor-like 1 (ESRL1) gene: Genomic organization, chromosomal localization, and promoter characterization. *Genomics* 1997, 44, 52–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ariazi, E.A.; Clark, G.M.; Mertz, J.E. Estrogen-related receptor α and estrogen-related receptor γ associate with unfavorable and favorable biomarkers, respectively, in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2002, 62, 6510–6518. [PubMed]
- Suzuki, T.; Miki, Y.; Moriya, T.; Shimada, N.; Ishida, T.; Hirakawa, H.; Ohuchi, N.; Sasano, H. Estrogen-related receptor α in human breast carcinoma as a potent prognostic factor. *Cancer Res.* 2004, 64, 4670–4676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Kumagai, J.; Ogushi, T.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ouchi, Y.; Kitamura, T.; Muramatsu, M.; Inoue, S. Increased expression of estrogen-related receptor α (ERRα) is a negative prognostic predictor in human prostate cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2007, *120*, 2325–2330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Ijichi, N.; Ikeda, K.; Kumagai, J.; Murata, T.; Takayama, K.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ouchi, Y.; et al. Differential expression of estrogen-related receptors β and γ (ERRβ and ERRγ) and their clinical significance in human prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **2010**, *101*, 646–651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Di Masi, A.; De Marinis, E.; Ascenzi, P.; Marino, M. Nuclear receptors CAR and PXR: Molecular, functional, and biomedical aspects. *Mol. Asp. Med.* **2009**, *30*, 297–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Blumberg, B.; Sabbagh, W., Jr.; Juguilon, H.; Bolado, J., Jr.; van Meter, C.M.; Ong, E.S.; Evans, R.M. SXR, a novel steroid and xenobiotic-sensing nuclear receptor. *Genes Dev.* **1998**, *12*, 3195–3205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Isaacs, J.T.; McDermott, I.R.; Coffey, D.S. Characterization of two new enzymatic activities of the rat ventral prostate: 5 α-androstane-3 β, 17 β-diol 6 α-hydroxylase and 5 α-androstane-3 β, 17 β-diol 7 α-hydroxylase. *Steroids* **1979**, *33*, 675–692. [CrossRef]
- 47. Isaacs, J.T.; McDermott, I.R.; Coffey, D.S. The identification and characterization of a new C19O3 steroid metabolite in the rat ventral prostate: 5 α-androstane-3 β, 6 α, 17 β-triol. *Steroids* **1979**, *33*, 639–657. [CrossRef]
- Waxman, D.J.; Lapenson, D.P.; Aoyama, T.; Gelboin, H.V.; Gonzalez, F.J.; Korzekwa, K. Steroid hormone hydroxylase specificities of eleven cDNA-expressed human cytochrome P450s. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1991, 290, 160–166. [CrossRef]

- 49. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Tanaka, T.; Zhang, W.; Azuma, K.; Takayama, K.; Obinata, D.; Murata, T.; Horie-Inoue, K.; et al. Clinical significance of steroid and xenobiotic receptor and its targeted gene CYP3A4 in human prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **2012**, *103*, 176–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Kumagai, J.; Murata, T.; Takayama, K.; Ogushi, T.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ouchi, Y.; Kitamura, T.; et al. Expression of cytochrome P450 3A4 and its clinical significance in human prostate cancer. *Urology* **2009**, *74*, 391–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Kumagai, J.; Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Ogushi, T.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ouchi, Y.; Kitamura, T.; Muramatsu, M.; Blumberg, B.; et al. Cytochrome P450 2B6 is a growth-inhibitory and prognostic factor for prostate cancer. *Prostate* **2007**, *67*, 1029–1037. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, B.; Cheng, Q.; Ou, Z.; Lee, J.H.; Xu, M.; Kochhar, U.; Ren, S.; Huang, M.; Pflug, B.R.; Xie, W. Pregnane X receptor as a therapeutic target to inhibit androgen activity. *Endocrinology* 2010, 151, 5721–5729. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 53. Schade, G.R.; Holt, S.K.; Zhang, X.; Song, D.; Wright, J.L.; Zhao, S.; Kolb, S.; Lam, H.M.; Levin, L.; Leung, Y.K.; et al. Prostate Cancer Expression Profiles of Cytoplasmic ERβ1 and Nuclear ERβ2 are Associated with Poor Outcomes following Radical Prostatectomy. *J. Urol.* **2016**, *195*, 1760–1766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Megas, G.; Chrisofos, M.; Anastasiou, I.; Tsitlidou, A.; Choreftaki, T.; Deliveliotis, C. Estrogen receptor (α and β) but not androgen receptor expression is correlated with recurrence, progression and survival in post prostatectomy T3N0M0 locally advanced prostate cancer in an urban Greek population. *Asian J. Androl.* 2015, *17*, 98–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. McDevitt, M.A.; Glidewell-Kenney, C.; Jimenez, M.A.; Ahearn, P.C.; Weiss, J.; Jameson, J.L.; Levine, J.E. New insights into the classical and non-classical actions of estrogen: Evidence from estrogen receptor knock-out and knock-in mice. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **2008**, *290*, 24–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Takizawa, I.; Lawrence, M.G.; Balanathan, P.; Rebello, R.; Pearson, H.B.; Garg, E.; Pedersen, J.; Pouliot, N.; Nadon, R.; Watt, M.J.; et al. Estrogen receptor α drives proliferation in PTEN-deficient prostate carcinoma by stimulating survival signaling, MYC expression and altering glucose sensitivity. *Oncotarget* 2015, *6*, 604–616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Dey, P.; Jonsson, P.; Hartman, J.; Williams, C.; Strom, A.; Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen receptors β1 and β2 have opposing roles in regulating proliferation and bone metastasis genes in the prostate cancer cell line PC3. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2012**, *26*, 1991–2003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Nakajima, Y.; Akaogi, K.; Suzuki, T.; Osakabe, A.; Yamaguchi, C.; Sunahara, N.; Ishida, J.; Kako, K.; Ogawa, S.; Fujimura, T.; et al. Estrogen regulates tumor growth through a nonclassical pathway that includes the transcription factors ERβ and KLF5. *Sci. Signal.* **2011**, *4*, ra22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Nakajima, Y.; Osakabe, A.; Waku, T.; Suzuki, T.; Akaogi, K.; Fujimura, T.; Homma, Y.; Inoue, S.; Yanagisawa, J. Estrogen Exhibits a Biphasic Effect on Prostate Tumor Growth through the Estrogen Receptor β-KLF5 Pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2016**, *36*, 144–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leung, Y.K.; Gao, Y.; Lau, K.M.; Zhang, X.; Ho, S.M. ICI 182,780-regulated gene expression in DU145 prostate cancer cells is mediated by estrogen receptor-β/NFκB crosstalk. *Neoplasia* 2006, *8*, 242–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Wu, W.F.; Maneix, L.; Insunza, J.; Nalvarte, I.; Antonson, P.; Kere, J.; Yu, N.Y.; Tohonen, V.; Katayama, S.; Einarsdottir, E.; et al. Estrogen receptor β, a regulator of androgen receptor signaling in the mouse ventral prostate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2017**, *114*, E3816–E3822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gehrig, J.; Kaulfuss, S.; Jarry, H.; Bremmer, F.; Stettner, M.; Burfeind, P.; Thelen, P. Prospects of estrogen receptor β activation in the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 34971–34979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Bianco, S.; Sailland, J.; Vanacker, J.M. ERRs and cancers: Effects on metabolism and on proliferation and migration capacities. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2012**, *130*, 180–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Bianco, S.; Lanvin, O.; Tribollet, V.; Macari, C.; North, S.; Vanacker, J.M. Modulating estrogen receptor-related receptor-α activity inhibits cell proliferation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 23286–23292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Bernatchez, G.; Giroux, V.; Lassalle, T.; Carpentier, A.C.; Rivard, N.; Carrier, J.C. ERRα metabolic nuclear receptor controls growth of colon cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* **2013**, *34*, 2253–2261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Wu, Y.M.; Chen, Z.J.; Liu, H.; Wei, W.D.; Lu, L.L.; Yang, X.L.; Liang, W.T.; Liu, T.; Liu, H.L.; Du, J.; et al. Inhibition of ERRα suppresses epithelial mesenchymal transition of triple negative breast cancer cells by directly targeting fibronectin. *Oncotarget* 2015, *6*, 25588–25601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zou, C.; Yu, S.; Xu, Z.; Wu, D.; Ng, C.F.; Yao, X.; Yew, D.T.; Vanacker, J.M.; Chan, F.L. ERRα augments HIF-1 signalling by directly interacting with HIF-1α in normoxic and hypoxic prostate cancer cells. *J. Pathol.* 2014, 233, 61–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Fradet, A.; Sorel, H.; Bouazza, L.; Goehrig, D.; Depalle, B.; Bellahcene, A.; Castronovo, V.; Follet, H.; Descotes, F.; Aubin, J.E.; et al. Dual function of ERRα in breast cancer and bone metastasis formation: Implication of VEGF and osteoprotegerin. *Cancer Res.* **2011**, *71*, 5728–5738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Dwyer, M.A.; Joseph, J.D.; Wade, H.E.; Eaton, M.L.; Kunder, R.S.; Kazmin, D.; Chang, C.Y.; McDonnell, D.P. WNT11 expression is induced by estrogen-related receptor α and β-catenin and acts in an autocrine manner to increase cancer cell migration. *Cancer Res.* **2010**, *70*, 9298–9308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Fradet, A.; Bouchet, M.; Delliaux, C.; Gervais, M.; Kan, C.; Benetollo, C.; Pantano, F.; Vargas, G.; Bouazza, L.; Croset, M.; et al. Estrogen related receptor α in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells promotes tumor progression in bone. *Oncotarget* 2016, *7*, 77071–77086. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Kroiss, A.; Vincent, S.; Decaussin-Petrucci, M.; Meugnier, E.; Viallet, J.; Ruffion, A.; Chalmel, F.; Samarut, J.; Allioli, N. Androgen-regulated microRNA-135a decreases prostate cancer cell migration and invasion through downregulating ROCK1 and ROCK2. *Oncogene* **2015**, *34*, 2846–2855. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Tribollet, V.; Barenton, B.; Kroiss, A.; Vincent, S.; Zhang, L.; Forcet, C.; Cerutti, C.; Perian, S.; Allioli, N.; Samarut, J.; et al. miR-135a Inhibits the Invasion of Cancer Cells via Suppression of ERRα. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0156445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Lonard, D.M.; Smith, C.L. Molecular perspectives on selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs): Progress in understanding their tissue-specific agonist and antagonist actions. *Steroids* **2002**, *67*, 15–24. [CrossRef]
- 74. Taneja, S.S.; Smith, M.R.; Dalton, J.T.; Raghow, S.; Barnette, G.; Steiner, M.; Veverka, K.A. Toremifene—A promising therapy for the prevention of prostate cancer and complications of androgen deprivation therapy. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2006**, *15*, 293–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Dutertre, M.; Smith, C.L. Molecular mechanisms of selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) action. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2000**, 295, 431–437. [PubMed]
- 76. Raghow, S.; Hooshdaran, M.Z.; Katiyar, S.; Steiner, M.S. Toremifene prevents prostate cancer in the transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate model. *Cancer Res.* **2002**, *62*, 1370–1376. [PubMed]
- 77. Hariri, W.; Sudha, T.; Bharali, D.J.; Cui, H.; Mousa, S.A. Nano-Targeted Delivery of Toremifene, an Estrogen Receptor-α Blocker in Prostate Cancer. *Pharm. Res.* 2015, *32*, 2764–2774. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Stein, S.; Zoltick, B.; Peacock, T.; Holroyde, C.; Haller, D.; Armstead, B.; Malkowicz, S.B.; Vaughn, D.J. Phase II trial of toremifene in androgen-independent prostate cancer: A Penn cancer clinical trials group trial. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* 2001, 24, 283–285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Price, D.; Stein, B.; Sieber, P.; Tutrone, R.; Bailen, J.; Goluboff, E.; Burzon, D.; Bostwick, D.; Steiner, M. Toremifene for the prevention of prostate cancer in men with high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia: Results of a double-blind, placebo controlled, phase IIB clinical trial. *J. Urol.* 2006, *176*, 965–971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smith, M.R.; Malkowicz, S.B.; Chu, F.; Forrest, J.; Price, D.; Sieber, P.; Barnette, K.G.; Rodriguez, D.; Steiner, M.S. Toremifene increases bone mineral density in men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer: Interim analysis of a multicenter phase 3 clinical study. *J. Urol.* 2008, 179, 152–155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 81. Taneja, S.S.; Morton, R.; Barnette, G.; Sieber, P.; Hancock, M.L.; Steiner, M. Prostate cancer diagnosis among men with isolated high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia enrolled onto a 3-year prospective phase III clinical trial of oral toremifene. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2013**, *31*, 523–529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Smith, M.R.; Malkowicz, S.B.; Chu, F.; Forrest, J.; Sieber, P.; Barnette, K.G.; Rodriquez, D.; Steiner, M.S. Toremifene improves lipid profiles in men receiving androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer: Interim analysis of a multicenter phase III study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2008**, *26*, 1824–1829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Draper, M.W.; Flowers, D.E.; Huster, W.J.; Neild, J.A.; Harper, K.D.; Arnaud, C. A controlled trial of raloxifene (LY139481) HCl: Impact on bone turnover and serum lipid profile in healthy postmenopausal women. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **1996**, *11*, 835–842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 84. Shazer, R.L.; Jain, A.; Galkin, A.V.; Cinman, N.; Nguyen, K.N.; Natale, R.B.; Gross, M.; Green, L.; Bender, L.I.; Holden, S.; et al. Raloxifene, an oestrogen-receptor-β-targeted therapy, inhibits androgen-independent prostate cancer growth: Results from preclinical studies and a pilot phase II clinical trial. *BJU Int.* 2006, *97*, 691–697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Ho, T.H.; Nunez-Nateras, R.; Hou, Y.X.; Bryce, A.H.; Northfelt, D.W.; Dueck, A.C.; Wong, B.; Stanton, M.L.; Joseph, R.W.; Castle, E.P. A Study of Combination Bicalutamide and Raloxifene for Patients With Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Clin. Genitourin. Cancer* **2017**, *15*, 196–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chadha, M.K.; Ashraf, U.; Lawrence, D.; Tian, L.; Levine, E.; Silliman, C.; Escott, P.; Payne, V.; Trump, D.L. Phase II study of fulvestrant (Faslodex) in castration resistant prostate cancer. *Prostate* 2008, 68, 1461–1466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Yu, E.Y.; Getzenberg, R.H.; Coss, C.C.; Gittelman, M.M.; Keane, T.; Tutrone, R.; Belkoff, L.; Given, R.; Bass, J.; Chu, F.; et al. Selective estrogen receptor α agonist GTx-758 decreases testosterone with reduced side effects of androgen deprivation therapy in men with advanced prostate cancer. *Eur. Urol.* 2015, *67*, 334–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Kume, H.; Urano, T.; Takayama, K.; Yamada, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Fukuhara, H.; Nakagawa, T.; Inoue, S.; et al. Toremifene, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, significantly improved biochemical recurrence in bone metastatic prostate cancer: A randomized controlled phase II a trial. *BMC Cancer* 2015, *15*, 836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Howell, A.; Osborne, C.K.; Morris, C.; Wakeling, A.E. ICI 182,780 (Faslodex): Development of a novel, "pure" antiestrogen. *Cancer* 2000, *89*, 817–825. [CrossRef]
- Carlson, R.W.; Allred, D.C.; Anderson, B.O.; Burstein, H.J.; Edge, S.B.; Farrar, W.B.; Forero, A.; Giordano, S.H.; Goldstein, L.J.; Gradishar, W.J.; et al. Metastatic breast cancer, version 1.2012: Featured updates to the NCCN guidelines. J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw. 2012, 10, 821–829. [CrossRef]
- 91. Rothschild, S.I. Targeted Therapies in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer-Beyond EGFR and ALK. *Cancers* 2015, 7, 930–949. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Choudhury, A.D.; Eeles, R.; Freedland, S.J.; Isaacs, W.B.; Pomerantz, M.M.; Schalken, J.A.; Tammela, T.L.; Visakorpi, T. The role of genetic markers in the management of prostate cancer. *Eur. Urol.* **2012**, *62*, 577–587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Markert, E.K.; Mizuno, H.; Vazquez, A.; Levine, A.J. Molecular classification of prostate cancer using curated expression signatures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, *108*, 21276–21281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Martens-Uzunova, E.S.; Jalava, S.E.; Dits, N.F.; van Leenders, G.J.; Moller, S.; Trapman, J.; Bangma, C.H.; Litman, T.; Visakorpi, T.; Jenster, G. Diagnostic and prognostic signatures from the small non-coding RNA transcriptome in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* **2012**, *31*, 978–991. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Cuzick, J.; Swanson, G.P.; Fisher, G.; Brothman, A.R.; Berney, D.M.; Reid, J.E.; Mesher, D.; Speights, V.O.; Stankiewicz, E.; Foster, C.S.; et al. Prognostic value of an RNA expression signature derived from cell cycle proliferation genes in patients with prostate cancer: A retrospective study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011, 12, 245–255. [CrossRef]
- 96. Sterbis, J.R.; Gao, C.; Furusato, B.; Chen, Y.; Shaheduzzaman, S.; Ravindranath, L.; Osborn, D.J.; Rosner, I.L.; Dobi, A.; McLeod, D.G.; et al. Higher expression of the androgen-regulated gene PSA/HK3 mRNA in prostate cancer tissues predicts biochemical recurrence-free survival. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008, 14, 758–763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nonn, L.; Vaishnav, A.; Gallagher, L.; Gann, P.H. mRNA and micro-RNA expression analysis in laser-capture microdissected prostate biopsies: Valuable tool for risk assessment and prevention trials. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 2010, *88*, 45–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rogerson, L.; Darby, S.; Jabbar, T.; Mathers, M.E.; Leung, H.Y.; Robson, C.N.; Sahadevan, K.; O'Toole, K.; Gnanapragasam, V.J. Application of transcript profiling in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded diagnostic prostate cancer needle biopsies. *BJU Int.* 2008, *102*, 364–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Bancroft, E.K.; Page, E.C.; Castro, E.; Lilja, H.; Vickers, A.; Sjoberg, D.; Assel, M.; Foster, C.S.; Mitchell, G.; Drew, K.; et al. Targeted prostate cancer screening in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: Results from the initial screening round of the IMPACT study. *Eur. Urol.* **2014**, *66*, 489–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 100. Na, R.; Zheng, S.L.; Han, M.; Yu, H.; Jiang, D.; Shah, S.; Ewing, C.M.; Zhang, L.; Novakovic, K.; Petkewicz, J.; et al. Germline Mutations in ATM and BRCA1/2 Distinguish Risk for Lethal and Indolent Prostate Cancer and are Associated with Early Age at Death. *Eur. Urol.* 2017, *71*, 740–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Rubicz, R.; Zhao, S.; Wright, J.L.; Coleman, I.; Grasso, C.; Geybels, M.S.; Leonardson, A.; Kolb, S.; April, C.; Bibikova, M.; et al. Gene expression panel predicts metastatic-lethal prostate cancer outcomes in men diagnosed with clinically localized prostate cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* 2017, *11*, 140–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Takayama, K.; Inoue, S. Transcriptional network of androgen receptor in prostate cancer progression. *Int. J. Urol.* **2013**, *20*, 756–768. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Takayama, K.; Kaneshiro, K.; Tsutsumi, S.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ikeda, K.; Urano, T.; Ijichi, N.; Ouchi, Y.; Shirahige, K.; Aburatani, H.; et al. Identification of novel androgen response genes in prostate cancer cells by coupling chromatin immunoprecipitation and genomic microarray analysis. *Oncogene* 2007, 26, 4453–4463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Takayama, K.; Tsutsumi, S.; Suzuki, T.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ikeda, K.; Kaneshiro, K.; Fujimura, T.; Kumagai, J.; Urano, T.; Sakaki, Y.; et al. Amyloid precursor protein is a primary androgen target gene that promotes prostate cancer growth. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 137–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Takayama, K.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Ikeda, K.; Urano, T.; Murakami, K.; Hayashizaki, Y.; Ouchi, Y.; Inoue, S. FOXP1 is an androgen-responsive transcription factor that negatively regulates androgen receptor signaling in prostate cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 374, 388–393. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Obinata, D.; Takayama, K.; Urano, T.; Murata, T.; Kumagai, J.; Fujimura, T.; Ikeda, K.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Homma, Y.; Ouchi, Y.; et al. Oct1 regulates cell growth of LNCaP cells and is a prognostic factor for prostate cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2012, *130*, 1021–1028. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Fujimura, T.; Takahashi, S.; Urano, T.; Takayama, K.; Sugihara, T.; Obinata, D.; Yamada, Y.; Kumagai, J.; Kume, H.; Ouchi, Y.; et al. Expression of androgen and estrogen signaling components and stem cell markers to predict cancer progression and cancer-specific survival in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 4625–4635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).