

CASE REPORT

Duplicated vas deferens as an incidental finding during indirect inguinal hernia repair: A case report and literature review

Mohammad Ismaiel, Abdullah Shuaib, Maged Edward, Bader Marafi

Address for Correspondence:

Abdullah Shuaib

Department of General Surgery, Mubarak Al-kabeer Hospital, Kuwait, Kuwait

Email: shuaib.abdullah.77@gmail.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/qmj.2020.12

Submitted: 23 September 2019 Accepted: 31 December 2019

© 2020 Ismaiel, Shuaib, Edward, Marafi, licensee HBKU Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license CC BY 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Ismaiel M, Shuaib A Edward M, Marafi B. Duplicated vas deferens as an incidental finding during indirect inguinal hernia repair: A case report and literature review Qatar Medical Journal 2020:12 http://dx.doi. org/10.5339/qmj.2020.12



ABSTRACT

Duplication of vas deferens is an anomaly that is generally encountered during inquinal hernia repair, orchiopexy, radical prostatectomy, varicocelectomy, and vasectomy. The estimated rate of this anomaly in the United States is 0.01%. This anomaly may predispose to iatrogenic vas deferens injury during inguinal hernia repair. Embryological point of view suggests that the duplication of the mesonephric duct system could result in duplicated vas deferens. Failure to recognize this anomaly may lead to injury, obstruction, or spermatic granuloma. Furthermore, it is important to identify duplicated vas deferens during vasectomy to avoid failure of the procedure. Identification of duplicated vas deferens is important during inquinal hernia surgery, vasectomy, and varicocelectomy. Herein, we report a case of duplicated vas deferens during open indirect inguinal hernia repair.

Keywords: duplicated vas deferens, inquinal hernia, iatrogenic injury of vas

INTRODUCTION

Duplication of the vas deferens is an anomaly that is usually encountered during inquinal hernia repair, orchiopexy, radical prostatectomy, varicocelectomy, and vasectomy. The rate of this anomaly in the United States is estimated to be approximately 0.01%. Identification of the vas deferens during inquinal hernia repair is essential and mandatory to avoid injury.² The anomaly of duplication of the vas deferens should be brought to the attention of surgeons to avoid injury. ² Duplication of the vas deferens may be associated with other renal anomalies.^{3,4} Herein, we report a case of duplicated



Figure 1. Intraoperative identification of duplicated vas deferens. The white arrows point to the duplicated structures.

vas deferens discovered during open indirect inquinal hernia repair.

CASE

A 59-year-old male presented with a right symptomatic reducible inquinal hernia. The patient had no abdominal or urinary symptoms. His previous medical history was uneventful. On clinical examination, a reducible small-sized right inquinal hernia with normal testes was found. The patient had a colonoscopy as a screening test 4 months prior to the surgery, and the result of the procedure was unremarkable. The patient underwent open right indirect inquinal repair (Lichtenstein procedure) under general anesthesia. During dissection of the indirect inquinal hernia sac, two tubular structures were identified as the vas deferens (Figure 1). Examination of the scrotum showed a single testes and epididymis; both vas deferens communicated with the epididymis. We followed the two vas deferens from the deep inquinal ring until they fused in the epididymis of the testis. We performed the Lichtenstein procedure, and the patient tolerated the procedure without postoperative complications. He was discharged the next day and followed up 2 weeks post-operation as a surgical outpatient. The patient reported no complaints during his outpatient visit, and no complications related to the procedure were noted. Due to the financial status of the patient, we were unable to perform a CT scan or MRI of the pelvis to assess whether the duplication was bilateral or unilateral.

DISCUSSION

Duplication of the vas deferens is rare and may predispose a patient to iatrogenic vas deferens injury during inquinal hernia repair. ^{2,5} Duplication of the vas deferens can be explained from an embryological point of view. 6 The vas deferens has been suggested to develop from the mesonephric (Wolffian duct) duct system.⁶ Duplication of this system could lead to duplicated vas deferens.⁶ The anomaly may also be associated with renal anomalies and cystic fibrosis.³ The term 'duplicated vas deferens' should be differentiated from 'double vas deferens'; here, the first term refers to the identification of two separate vas deferens in the spermatic cord while the latter

Table 1. Classification of poly-vas deferens.

'Duplicated' vas deferens in the spermatic cord with no polyorchidism Type I

Multiple vas deferens with polyorchidism

False poly-vas deferens, including 'double' vas deferens, and ureter draining into the ejaculatory system

Table 2. Included articles in the search for similar cases.

Author	Patient's age (years)	Procedure
Breitinger (1)	63	Right inquinal hernia repair
Chintamani (2)	31	Right inguinal hernia repair
Sirasangandle (3)	cadaver	Right inguinal dissection
Liang (4)	56	Right inguinal hernia repair
Karaman (6)	1	Left inguinal hernia repair
Khandelwal (9)	27	Left inguinal hernia repair
Saadeldin (10)	33	Left spermatic cord during vasectomy
Shariat (11)	58	Left side during radical prostatectomy
Erdemir (12)	19	Left spermatic cord dissection during varicocelectomy
Akay (13)	22	Left spermatic cord during varicocelectomy
Lee (5)	22	Left spermatic cord during varicocelectomy
Terawaki (7)	1	Left undescended testes orchidopexy
Estrada (8)	33	Bilateral during varicocelectomy

term describes an ectopic ureter draining into the ejaculatory system and is often associated with ipsilateral renal dysgenesis.⁵ In 2012, Liang proposed a classification for poly-vas deferens (Table 1).4 We reviewed the literature in PubMed and found 13 cases with full articles similar to our case. Articles that only had an abstract and no full text were excluded from our review. Table 2 summarizes all included articles in this work. The diagnosis of duplicated vas deferens was established intraoperatively in all cases.^{1–13} Terawaki reported the duplication of the vas deferens and epididymis, ⁷ and Estrada reported a bilaterally duplicated vas deferens discovered during a vasectomy.8 Identification of the vas deferens in inquinal hernia repair surgery is important to avoid injury; failure to do so can lead to infertility.² Identification of the vas deferens during vasectomy is also important to avoid failure of the procedure. ¹²

spermatozoa, where it can form a nodule around the defect of the extravasation. 1 This nodule could lead to chronic pain and may require microscopic exploration and re-anastomosis of the injured vas deferens.1

CONCLUSION

Duplicated vas deferens is a rare anomaly. Failure to recognize this anomaly may lead to injury, obstruction, infertility, spermatic granuloma, and chronic pain. Identification of the vas deferens is important during inguinal hernia surgery and varicocelectomy. In particular, identification of the vas deferens is important during vasectomy to avoid failure of the procedure.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

This work did not receive funding.

REFERENCES

1. Breitinger MC, Roszkowski EH, Bauermeister AJ, Rosenthal AA. Duplicate Vas Deferens Encountered during Inguinal Hernia Repair: A Case Report and Literature Review. Case Rep Surg [Internet]. 2016;2016((Article ID 8324925)):1 – 4. Available from: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/cris/ 2016/8324925/.

Some delayed post-operative complications of iatrogenic vas deferens injury include chronic pain and

spermatic granuloma. These complications can be explained by the antigenic nature of extraluminal

- 2. Chintamani, Khandelwal R, Tandon M, Kumar Y. Isolated unilateral duplication of vas deferens, a surgical enigma: A case report and review of the literature. Cases J. 2009;2(10):1 - 3.
- 3. Sirasanagandla SR, Nayak SB, Jetti R, Bhat KMR. Unilateral duplication of vas deferens: a cadaveric case report. Anat Cell Biol. 2013;46(1):79.

- Liang MK, Subramanian A, Weedin J, Griffith DP, Awa SS. True duplication of the vas deferens: A case report and review of literature. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2012;44(2):385 – 391.
- Lee JN, Kim BS, Kim HT, Chung SK. A Case of Duplicated Vas Deferens Found Incidentally during Varicocelectomy. World J Mens Health. 2013; 31(3):268.
- 6. Karaman A, Karaman I, Yagiz B, Cavusoglu Y. Partial duplication of vas deferens: How important is it? *J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg.* 2010;15(4):135.
- 7. Terawaki K, Satake R, Takano N, Yonekawa H, Ikebukuro K, Komuro H, et al. A rare case of duplicated vas deferens and epididymis. *J Pediatr Surg Case Reports [Internet]*. 2014;12(2):541 543. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsc.2014.11.005
- Estrada RV, Diana Isela Córdoba Basulto, José Salvador Sapién López, Juan Pablo Flores Tapia SPPO. Duplicidad bilateral de conductos deferentes: comunicación de un caso y revisión de la bibliografía. Rev Espec Médico-Quirúrgicas. 2010;15(3):177 – 179.

- 9. Khandelwal R, Punia S, Vashistha N, Yadav S, Singh A, Desai P, et al. Duplication of vas deferens-A rare anomaly with review of literature. *Int J Surg Case Rep.* 2011;2(8):241 242.
- 10. Saadeldin OA, Abd El Salam MA, Azzazi OA, Mohamed AY. A rare case of duplicated vas deferens discovered accidentally during varicocelectomy. *Andrologia*. 2018;50(9):21 24.
- 11. Shariat SF, Naderi ASA, Miles B, Slawin KM. Anomalies of the wolffian duct derivatives encountered at radical prostatectomy. *Rev Urol.* 2005;7(2):75 80.
- 12. Erdemir F, Parlaktas BS, Yasar A, Uluocak N. Duplicated vas deferens: A rare congenital abnormality. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci [Internet]*. 2008;24(4):210 211. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1607-551X(08) 70119-0.
- 13. Turkeri L, Akay F, Atug F. Partial duplication of the vas deferens at the level of inguinal canal. *Int J Urol.* 2005;12(8):773 775.