Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Surgery Case Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijscr

Case report

Two cases of contact athletes with anterior cruciate ligament injuries who returned to competition early after conservative treatment with PRP therapy

Shinnosuke Hada ^{a, b, c, *}, Muneaki Ishijima ^b, Hiroyuki Omiya ^d, Yoshimasa Tomita ^a, Masao Hada ^c

^a Dept. of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tokyo Rosai Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

^b Dept. of Orthopaedic Surgery, Juntendo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

^c Hada Medical Clinic, Tokyo, Japan

^d Dept. of Rehabilitation, Tokyo Rosai Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

A R T I C L E I N F O	A B S T R A C T	
A R T I C L E I N F O Keywords: Platelet-rich plasma Autologous biotherapy Anterior cruciate ligament Conservative treatment Return to play	Introduction: Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries in high-impact contact sports athletes are often treated through surgery. Recently, conservative treatment of ACL injuries in athletes using autologous biotherapy such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been reported. We report two cases of aggressive conservative treatment with PRP therapy for contact athletes. <i>Presentation of case:</i> Case 1. A 23-year-old male rugby player with a preinjury Tegner score of 9 underwent three PRP treatments following an ACL injury and returned to preinjury levels of play 4 months postinjury. The pivot shift test had improved to grade 0 at the last follow-up. Case 2. A 34-year-old male mixed martial arts fighter with a preinjury Tegner score of 9 underwent five PRP treatments following an ACL injury and returned to his pre-injury play level 3.5 months postinjury. Both contact athletes returned to competition early and continued to compete without losing stability at the final follow-up. Moreover, their functional score exceeded the median score for athletes after ACL reconstruction, suggesting satisfactory performance. <i>Discussion:</i> Antiinflammatory cytokines and growth factors in PRP may promote graft maturation and alter the natural history of remodeling, resulting in earlier and firmer graft maturation, improving both the duration and quality of the healing process.	
	<i>Conclusion:</i> Two high-impact sports athletes with ACL injuries were treated with aggressive conservative therapy using PRP and returned early to their preinjury play level.	

1. Introduction

The two treatment options for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are surgical and conservative, depending on the patient's activity and background. Conservative and surgical treatments do not differ markedly in the long-term follow-up of the progression of knee osteoarthritis [1,2]. Conversely, in a study of active patients, the recovery of the Tegner activity score was better in the surgical group than in the conservative group [3,4], and the risk of residual instability and meniscal tears with conservative follow-up of ACL injuries was nearly 30 % [4–6]. High-activity young adults are usually recommended surgery, and conservative treatment is rarely preferred, particularly in high-impact sports such as rugby and martial arts, which involve cutting movements and contact forces and high-level athletes. Typically, conservative treatment is recommended for recreational-level patients, lowimpact sports, and middle-aged and older adults [3]. Nevertheless, surgical treatment has a long rehabilitation period before returning to competition, and, in some cases, depending on the athletes' social background, they choose conservative treatment. Although orthotics and physiotherapy has been the mainstay in conservative treatment, results based on the application of autologous biotherapy have gradually started appearing. Specifically, platelet-rich plasma (PRP), prepared by centrifuging autologous blood and concentrating platelets to a high degree [7], has garnered considerable attention and is reportedly

* Corresponding author at: Department of Orthopaedics, Tokyo Rosai Hospital, 4-13-21, Oomoriminami, Ota-ku, Tokyo 143-0013, Japan. *E-mail address:* shada@juntendo.ac.jp (S. Hada).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2022.107268

Received 28 April 2022; Received in revised form 28 May 2022; Accepted 29 May 2022 Available online 1 June 2022

2210-2612/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

applied to the conservative treatment of ACL injuries utilizing the growth factors and antiinflammatory cytokines in platelets to repair damaged tissues [8,9]. Nonetheless, the indications and limitations of PRP remain unclear, and additional cases need to be accumulated. Primarily, it is crucial to determine whether it is feasible for high-impact sports athletes who are at high risk of reinjury to return to play safely and quickly. Here, we report two cases in which aggressive conservative therapy using PRP was applied to ACL injuries in high-impact sports athletes, who returned to the same level of preinjury play. In order to establish effective non-surgical treatment options for ACL injuries in the future, this article examines the effectiveness of PRP treatment in contact athletes. This report is a retrospective study, approved by the Ethics Committee (03-20) and has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria, and written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the use and publication of data for academic purposes. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request [10].

2. Treatment process

PRP was prepared using MyCells® (Kaylight Ltd., Ramat-Hasharon, Israel) and GPSIII® (Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc. Indiana, USA), Peripheral blood (20 mL-52 mL) was collected and centrifuged at room temperature to extract nearly 3-6 mL of PRP. Depending on the patient's condition, PRP was injected into the joint and around the joints as often as necessary. Rehabilitation was started on the day of consultation without any restriction of load or range of motion, and a simple semirigid knee brace was available on the market. The intensity of rehabilitation was increased according to physical findings, muscle recovery, and the player's fear and anxiety, the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) [11] was used as a reference. The KOOS is a 42itemself-reported, joint-specific questionnaire which comprises five subscales: pain (P), symptoms (S), activities of daily living (A), sport and recreation (SP) and quality of life (Q). Each item is scored from 0 (no problems) to 4 (extreme problems). For each subscale, the score was normalized to a 0–100 scale with higher scores indicating better status. The timing of return to play was determined by the Lachman test negative, improvement of the pivot shift test to grade 0 or 1, and all subscales of KOOS exceeded the median score (pain:73.5, Symptoms:62.0, ADL:72.5, unction in Sport and Recreation:52.0, QoL:54.5) in competitive athletes after ACL reconstruction (7.6 months) [11]. The same doctor diagnosed and treated the two cases.

3. Presentation of Case 1

A 23-year-old male rugby player with a preinjury Tegner score [12] of 9 was injured in the right knee by an opponent's tackle and was seen as an outpatient 1 week later. The Lachman test was positive, the pivot shift test was grade 2, and arthrocentesis revealed 60 cm³ of bloody drainage. MRI revealed a median rupture of the ACL and a bone bruise of the lateral femoral condyle (Fig. 1a and b). The patient was offered surgical reconstruction and suggested that surgery would be inevitable owing to sports demands and level. Upon discussing the pros and cons of treatment options, the athlete chose the nonoperative treatment route, reevaluating progress at regular intervals and acknowledging that an episode of instability at any time in the future might lead to surgical reconstruction and possibly further injury to the meniscus and/or cartilage. He had experience with PRP treatment for other injuries and wanted to use it for this injury. He underwent three PRP treatments at 2, 3, and 5 weeks postinjury. He started jogging 9 weeks postinjury, returned to all exercises with a grade 1 pivot shift test at 14 weeks, and returned to the game with a KOOS of 98.8 (P: 100.0, S: 96.4, A: 100.0, SP: 100.0, Q: 93.8) at 18 weeks, recovering to a Tegner score of 9, the preinjury level (Fig. 3a). At 1-year follow-up, the regenerated ACL was clearly visible on MRI (Fig. 1c), and the pivot shift test was grade 0. At the final 3-year follow-up, the patient was still competing without



Fig. 1. a ACL at the time of injury. b Bone bruise. c ACL at 1 year follow-up.

reinjury or compromising.

4. Presentation of Case 2

A 34-year-old male mixed martial arts fighter with a preinjury Tegner score of 9 was injured when thrown by his opponent in a fight. His previous doctor diagnosed an ACL injury and recommended surgery, but for reasons similar to Case 1, he insisted on conservative treatment with PRP. 4 weeks postinjury, he had an outpatient visit to our hospital. The Lachman test was positive, and the pivot shift test was grade 3. MRI revealed a rupture of the ACL on the femoral side and a bone bruise on the lateral femoral condyle and lateral tibial plateau (Fig. 2a and b). The patient underwent five PRP treatments at 1-week intervals from 4 weeks



Fig. 2. a ACL at the time of injury. b Bone bruise. c ACL at 4 months follow-up.

postinjury and resumed low-speed exercises from 6 weeks. At 10 weeks postinjury, the pivot shift test improved to grade 1, and the patient returned to all exercises. At 12 weeks, the KOOS was 84.3 (P: 90.0, S: 82.1, A: 89.7, SP: 75.0, Q: 62.5), and the patient was allowed to return to the match. Consequently, he competed 14 weeks postinjury, and his Tegner score recovered to 9, the preinjury level (Fig. 3b). At 4-month follow-up, the ACL was again clearly visualized on MRI (Fig. 2c), and the pivot shift test was grade 1. At the final 1-year follow-up, the patient had continued to compete without reinjury or compromising.

5. Discussion

Post injury	Treatment	Training level
2 Weeks	PRP	Rest, Icing
3 Weeks	PRP	Upper body training
5 Weeks	PRP	Ergometer
9 Weeks		Lower body Weight training Jogging
12 Weeks		High-intensity exercise Full-speed running Light-contact practice
14 Weeks		Return to all exercises Full-contact practice
18 Weeks		Return to the game

Post injury	Treatment	Training level
4 Weeks	PRP	Upper body training, Walking
5 Weeks	PRP	
6 Weeks	PRP	Side step drill, Footwork
7 Weeks	PRP	Mitts, Bag, Walk-through grappling
8 Weeks	PRP	Jogging Light-contact sparring (Striking only)
9 Weeks		Grappling sparring
10 Weeks		Return to all exercises Full-contact MMA Sparring
14 Weeks		Return to the match

Fig. 3. a Return schedule of Case 1. b Return schedule of Case 2.

а

b

ACL injuries who returned to the same preinjury level as early as 4 and 3.5 months, respectively, and continued to compete without losing stability at the final follow-up. Moreover, their KOOS exceeded the median score for athletes after ACL reconstruction, suggesting satisfactory performance. Although 90.5 % of patients treated conservatively resumed sports 13.8 weeks after an ACL injury, conscious performance was only 58.4 %, indicating that it is hard to combine early return with high performance [13]. In this context, some reports are available on the application of autologous platelet biotherapy, such as PRP, to treat ACL injury. PRP is considered to promote the healing of ligamentous tissues through the action of anti-inflammatory cytokines in platelets, the activation of mesenchymal cells and fibroblasts by various growth factors, such as platelet-derived growth factors, transforming growth factor (TGF)- β , vascular endothelial growth factor, and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), and the extracellular matrix synthesis [8,14,15]. In animal studies, PRP has been reported to promote the repair of damaged ACL [7]. In the athletes' treatment, the administration of PRP to highlevel professional football players with partial ACL tears has been reported to return 81 % of players to their preinjury level in an average of 16 weeks [16]. In addition, PRP has been used in ACL reconstruction surgery, even though reconstructed grafts have been demonstrated to decrease the time to graft maturation by 48% [17] and reduce the incidence of retear by a third [18]. Perhaps, the growth factors in PRP promote graft maturation and alter the natural history of remodeling, resulting in earlier and firmer graft maturation, improving both the duration and quality of the healing process [19,20]. In our cases, highimpact sports athletes returned to the same preinjury level, suggesting that PRP treatment could be an option for aggressive conservative treatment of ACL injuries. The application of PRP treatment to the treatment of ACL injuries may in the future increase the number of cases where surgery can be avoided, depending on the form of the tear and the length of time since injury. Nevertheless, as only two cases are available at present, further studies are warranted to compare our results with those of surgical treatment and conventional conservative treatment.

This report presents cases of two high-impact sports athletes with

6. Conclusion

Two high-impact sports athletes with ACL injuries treated with aggressive conservative therapy using PRP returned early to their preinjury play level. Hence, PRP treatment could be utilized for aggressive conservative treatment of ACL injuries.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

Ethical approval

This report is a retrospective study, approved by the Ethics Committee (03-20) and has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria, and written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the use and publication of data for academic purposes. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request.

Funding

All authors declare that there is no funding regarding this case report.

Guarantor

Shinnnosuke Hada MD, PhD.

Research registration number

Not applicable.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Shinnnosuke Hada MD, PhD: study concept, data collection, data interpretation, and writing the paper Muneaki Ishijima MD, PhD: Study concept and design Hiroyuki Omiya PT; data collection Yoshimasa Tomita MD: Study concept and design Masao Hada MD, PhD; Study concept and design.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding this case report.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our deep appreciation to colleagues and mentors, Dr. Kazuo Kaneko, Dr. Hiroshi Ikeda, Dr. Yuji Takazawa, Dr. Mitsuaki Kubota, Dr. Yoshitomo Saita, Dr. Masataka Nagayama, Dr. Tarou Asakura, Dr. Haruka Kaneko, Dr. Yohei Kobayashi, Dr. Ryo Sadatsuki, Dr. Makoto Urushibara, Dr. Hideyuki Hiraswa, Dr. Yuta Asanuma, Dr. Yuka Igeta, Dr. Ikko Sakamoto, Dr. Kenji Goto, Dr. Yoshinori Hasegawa, and Dr. Keiichirou Kumagai. Finally, I express appreciation to all staff of the hospital for their help.

References

- L.S. Lohmander, P.M. Englund, L.L. Dahl, E.M. Roos, The long-term consequence of anterior cruciate ligament and meniscus injuries: osteoarthritis, Am. J. Sports Med. 35 (2007) 1756–1769.
- [2] P. Neuman, M. Englund, I. Kostogiannis, T. Fridén, R. Harald, L.E. Dahlberg, Prevalence of tibiofemoral osteoarthritis 15 years after nonoperative treatment of anterior cruciate ligament injury: a prospective cohort study, Am. J. Sports Med. 36 (2008) 1717–1725.
- [3] Y. Ishibashi, N. Adachi, H. Koga, E. Kondo, R. Kuroda, T. Mae, et al., Japanese orthopaedic association (JOA) clinical practice guidelines on the management of anterior cruciate ligament injury – secondary publication, J. Orthop. Sci. 25 (2020) 6–45.
- [4] Y. Takazawa, H. Ikeda, Y. Saita, T. Kawasaki, M. Ishijima, M. Nagayama, et al., Return to play of rugby players after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using hamstring autograft: return to sports and graft failure according to age, Arthroscopy 33 (2017) 181–189.
- [5] K. Bak, M. Scavenius, S. Hansen, K. Nørring, K.H. Jensen, U. Jørgensen, Isolated partial rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament. Long-term follow-up of 56 cases, Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 5 (1997) 66–71.
- [6] S.L. Buckley, R.L. Barrack, A.H. Alexander, The natural history of conservatively treated partial anterior cruciate ligament tears, Am. J. Sports Med. 17 (1989) 221–225.
- [7] S.M. Joshi, A.N. Mastrangelo, E.M. Magarian, B.C. Fleming, M.M. Murray, Collagen-platelet composite enhances biomechanical and histologic healing of the porcine anterior cruciate ligament, Am. J. Sports Med. 37 (2009) 2401–2410.
- [8] Y. Kobayashi, Y. Saita, H. Nishio, H. Ikeda, Takazawa, M. Nagao, Leukocyte concentration and composition in platelet- rich plasma (PRP) influences the growth factor and protease concentrations, J. Orthop. Sci. 21 (2016) 683–689.
- [9] K.Y. Chi, L.C. Chen, T.W. Wei, C.H. Yu, Nonoperative choice of anterior cruciate ligament partial tear: ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injection, J. Med. Ultrasound. 27 (2019) 148–150.
- [10] R.A. Agha, T. Franchi, C. Sohrabi, G. Mathew, for the SCARE Group, The SCARE 2020 guideline: updating consensus Surgical CAse REport (SCARE) guidelines, Int. J. Surg. 84 (2020) 226–230.
- [11] M. Salavati, B. Akhbari, F. Mohammadi, M. Mazaheri, M. Khorrami, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS); reliability and validity in competitive athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, Osteoarthr. Cartil. 19 (2011) 406–410.
- [12] Y. Tegner, J. Lysholm, Rating systems in the evaluation of knee ligament injuries, Clin. Orthop. 198 (1985) 43–49.
- [13] Y. Takata, J. Nakase, T. Toratani, H. Numata, T. Oshima, K. Kitaoka, et al., Conscious performance and arthroscopic findings in athletes with anterior cruciate ligament injuries treated via conservative therapy during the competitive season, J. Orthop. Surg. 25 (2017) 1–4.
- [14] M. Hada, M. Kaminski, P. Bockenstedt, J. McDonagh, Covalent crosslinking of von Willebrand factor to fibrin, Blood 68 (1986) 95–101.
- [15] M.W. Nicholas, S.L. Wilson, B. O'Connell, The use of PRP injections in the management of knee osteoarthritis, Cell Tissue Res. 376 (2019) 143–152.
- [16] S. Roberto, A. Oscar, C. Xavier, A. Pedro, S. Gilbert, C. Ramón, Partial anterior cruciate ligament tears treated with intraligamentary plasma rich in growth factors, World J. Orthop. 18 (2014) 373–378.
- [17] A. Weiler, C. Förster, P. Hunt, et al., The influence of locally applied plateletderived growth factor-BB on free tendon graft remodeling after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, Am. J. Sports Med. 32 (2004) 881–891.
- [18] Outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using biologic augmentation in patients 21 years of age and younger. Anthony S. Berdis, D.O., Kodi Veale, P.A.-C., and Paul R. Fleissner Jr., M.D, Arthroscopy 35 (11) (2019) 3107–3113.
- [19] M. Vogrin, M. Rupreht, D. Dinevski, et al., Effects of a platelet gel on early graft revascularization after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, clinical trial, Eur. Surg. Res. 45 (2010) 77–85.
- [20] F. Radice, R. Yánez, V. Gutiérrez, J. Rosales, M. Pinedo, S. Coda, Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging findings in anterior cruciate ligament grafts with and without autologous platelet-derived growth factors, Arthroscopy 26 (2010) 50–57.