



OPEN ACCESS

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Andreas Obermair, Queensland Centre for Gynaecological Cancer Research, The University of Queensland Centre for Clinical Research, Herston, Queensland, Australia; ao@surgicalperformance.com

Received 30 March 2022

Accepted 1 April 2022




Published Online First 27 April 2022



© IGCS and ESGO 2022.
Re-use permitted under CC BY.
Published by BMJ.

To cite: Obermair A, McNally O, Farrell R, et al. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2022;**32**:961–962.

Are endometrial cancer clinical practice management guidelines sufficiently consumer centric?

Andreas Obermair ¹, Orla McNally,^{2,3} Rhonda Farrell,^{4,5} Reitan Ribeiro ^{6,7}, Joseph Soon-Yau Ng ^{8,9}

Clinical practice management guidelines for early-stage endometrial cancer suggest surgical staging, including histological assessment of lymph nodes.¹ Unfortunately, these recommendations are not supported by level 1, randomized evidence on the effectiveness but on evidence from cohort studies (level 2 evidence). If guidelines were consumer centric, they would acknowledge the paucity of high-level evidence and allow for alternative treatments, at least for some patient groups.

Surgical staging is a surgical credo that—despite lack of randomized evidence—has remained standard practice for more than three decades. Since its adoption in 1988 by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), surgical practice has transitioned into sentinel node biopsy using indocyanine green and near-infrared imaging.² Although high-level evidence is available to suggest that sentinel node biopsy has high diagnostic accuracy,³ the evidence for surgical staging is poor when patient-centered outcomes are considered.⁴ Clinical management guidelines currently do not offer patients an informed choice between a hysterectomy with or without lymph node dissection.

WHY PATIENTS NEED A DEFINITIVE SENTINEL NODE BIOPSY TRIAL IN ENDOMETRIAL CANCER?

The international ENDO-3 trial aims to deal with this knowledge gap. It randomizes patients to hysterectomy with or without sentinel node biopsy.⁵ The main trial outcome is disease-free survival, complemented by important short-term, patient-centered outcomes.

Some patients voice strong opinions for or against surgical staging through sentinel node biopsy even before specific information is provided. Some have a strong preference for lymph node removal (“*I want peace of mind*”, “*I want all my cancer removed*”) and fear that not removing lymph nodes puts them at risk. Others voice equally strong concerns against it (“*I want my lymphatic system intact to enjoy excellent general health*”; “*I am scared I will develop lymphedema*”).

High-level evidence informing patients of the benefits and disadvantages of sentinel node biopsy are not yet available. ENDO-3 will provide patients with the necessary high-level information relating to the advantages and potential detriments of sentinel node biopsy compared with no lymphadenectomy, thus quantifying key risks, including the requirement for full lymphadenectomy; the need for post-operative treatment; operative blood loss and adverse events including lymphedema; and the impact on survival probability.

ENDO-3 will address the knowledge gap that has been present for over 30 years and will be critical to inform both clinicians and patients. It will ensure that the choice of surgical management of endometrial cancer by clinicians and patients is supported by robust evidence leading to optimal health outcomes.

Author affiliations

¹Queensland Centre for Gynaecological Cancer Research, The University of Queensland Centre for Clinical Research, Herston, Queensland, Australia

²Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, The Royal Women's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

³Department of Gynaecology and Cancer Services, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

⁴Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, Chris O'Brien Lifehouse, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

⁵Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

⁶Department of Surgery, Erasto Gaertner Hospital, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

⁷Department of Surgery, Pontificia Universidade Catolica do Parana, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National University of Singapore, Singapore

⁹Department of Gynecologic Oncology, National University Cancer Institute, Singapore

Twitter Joseph Soon-Yau Ng @drjoeng

Contributors All authors were involved in the development and writing of this commentary and give final approval of the version to be published.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Commentary

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Andreas Obermair <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2199-1117>

Reitan Ribeiro <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4323-3854>

Joseph Soon-Yau Ng <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8901-9212>

REFERENCES

- 1 NCCN. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. In: *Uterine neoplasms*. version 1, 2021.
- 2 Frumovitz M, Plante M, Lee PS, *et al*. Near-infrared fluorescence for detection of sentinel lymph nodes in women with cervical and uterine cancers (FILM): a randomised, phase 3, multicentre, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2018;19:1394–403.
- 3 Cusimano MC, Vicus D, Pulman K, *et al*. Assessment of sentinel lymph node biopsy vs lymphadenectomy for intermediate- and high-grade endometrial cancer staging. *JAMA Surg* 2021;156:157–64.
- 4 Obermair HM, O'Hara M, Obermair A, *et al*. Paucity of data evaluating patient centred outcomes following sentinel lymph node dissection in endometrial cancer: a systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol Rep* 2021;36.
- 5 Obermair A, Nicklin J, GebSKI V, *et al*. A phase III randomized clinical trial comparing sentinel node biopsy with no retroperitoneal node dissection in apparent early-stage endometrial cancer - ENDO-3: ANZGOG trial 1911/2020. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2021;31:1595–601.