SUBSPECIALTY PROCEDURES

Four-Dimensional Anatomical Spinal Reconstruction in Thoracic Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis

Hideki Sudo, MD, PhD

Published outcomes of this procedure can be found at: *Spine* (*Phila Pa 1976*). 2018 Oct 1; 43(19):E1135-E1142, *J Orthop Res*. 2018 Dec;36(12):3219-3224, and *Sci Rep*. 2021 Jun 16; 11(1):12622.

Investigation performed at the Department of Advanced Medicine for Spine and Spinal Cord Disorders, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

COPYRIGHT © 2022 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



Click the arrow above or go to surgicaltechniques. jbjs.org to view the video article described in this summary.

Abstract

Background: Recent surgical techniques involve 3-dimensional (3D) deformity correction of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS)¹⁻⁴. However, next-generation surgical strategies should ensure that the final corrected spine is not only "non-scoliotic," but has an anatomically correct shape. We developed a 4D anatomical spinal reconstruction technique that involves the use of spatiotemporal deformity prediction to preoperatively calculate the postoperative apex of thoracic kyphosis in order to achieve an anatomically correct spinal curvature⁵⁻⁷.

Description: During the technique, facetectomies are performed at all levels except the lowest instrumented level in order to avoid pseudarthrosis at that site. Two rods are identically bent according to the desired postoperative anatomical thoracic kyphosis, with the apex often anticipated to be between T6 and T8⁵⁻⁷. Two different categories of spinal rod shapes have been created to cover all presenting anatomies. The single-curve rod is utilized when the lowest instrumented vertebra is L1 or above and the thoracolumbar region remains straight. The double-curve rod is utilized when the lowest instrumented vertebra is L2 or L3. With both rod types, the cranial apex is created. There are 11 shapes of pre-bent, notch-free, cobalt-chromium alloy rods available in Japan⁷⁻⁹. Once the 2 spinal rods are connected to all polyaxial screw heads, the rods are simultaneously rotated^{1,2,5,7}.

Alternatives: Typical thoracic AIS exhibits thoracic hypokyphosis. Therefore, correction of the thoracic kyphosis and adjustment of the main thoracic curve are the 2 most important surgical goals for achieving an anatomically correct spine. Furthermore, hypokyphosis of the thoracic spine secondary to pedicle screw instrumentations can be reduced or prevented by utilizing the posterior-approach surgical strategies that we have previously described¹⁻⁴.

Rationale: In a healthy human population, the apex of the thoracic kyphosis is normally located at T6 to T8 as viewed on viewing standing sagittal

Disclosure: The **Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest** form is provided with the online version of the article (http://links.lww.com/JBJSEST/A360).

Copyright © 2022 The Authors. Published by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No</u> <u>Derivatives License 4.0</u> (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.



radiographs¹⁰. However, for some patients with AIS, the postoperative apex of the thoracic kyphosis is almost identical to the apex of the preoperative thoracic scoliosis⁵, which is not anatomically correct. This insufficient correction is often a result of the spinal rods being bent to match the curvature of the scoliosis⁵. In addition, about 70% of cases of thoracic AIS do not have identical preoperative apices of the main thoracic scoliosis and thoracic kyphosis, and about 33% of cases have the apex of the scoliosis at the lower thoracic spine (i.e., T10 and T11)⁵. Performing sufficient multilevel facetectomies and utilizing the proper spinal rod curvature have been reported to greatly improve postoperative sagittal curve correction¹¹⁻¹³. This proposed technique could be especially helpful in cases in which the apex of scoliosis is located in the lower thoracic spine, which is often seen in patients with Lenke 1AR scoliosis¹⁴.

Expected Outcomes: When performed with proper shaping of the spinal rods and multilevel facetectomies, the present technique is expected to result in an anatomically correct thoracic spine. The use of this technique has been reported to increase the proportion of patients with a thoracic kyphosis apex at T6 to T8, from 51.3% preoperatively to 87.2% postoperatively⁵. Furthermore, patients who underwent this procedure with notch-free, pre-bent rods had a significantly higher postoperative thoracic kyphosis than patients who underwent the procedure with conventional, manually bent rods⁷.

Important Tips:

- · Mobilization of the spine by releasing the facet joints is more important than using a rigid implant.
- Two rods are bent identically to the desired postoperative anatomical thoracic kyphosis; the bending is not based on the preoperative scoliosis spinal curvature.
- This technique is applicable for Lenke 1, 1AR, and 2 through 6 curves except for Lenke 5 curves. However, the technique for producing pre-bent rods can also be utilized for Lenke 5 curves because the initial configuration leads to sagittal alignment of the spine.

Acronyms & Abbreviations:

- TL/L = thoracolumbar/lumbar
- UIV = upper instrumented vertebra
- UEV = upper end vertebra
- SD = standard deviation

Hideki Sudo, MD, PhD1

¹Department of Advanced Medicine for Spine and Spinal Cord Disorders, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Email: hidekisudo@yahoo.co.jp

References

1. Sudo H, Ito M, Abe Y, Abumi K, Takahata M, Nagahama K, Hiratsuka S, Kuroki K, Iwasaki N. Surgical treatment of Lenke 1 thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis with maintenance of kyphosis using the simultaneous double-rod rotation technique. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2014 Jun 15;39(14):1163-9. 2. Sudo H, Abe Y, Abumi K, Iwasaki N, Ito M. Surgical treatment of double thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis with a rigid proximal thoracic curve. Eur Spine J. 2016 Feb;25(2):569-77.

3. Clement JL, Chau E, Kimkpe C, Vallade MJ. Restoration of thoracic kyphosis by posterior instrumentation in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: comparative radiographic analysis of two methods of reduction. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).) 2008 Jun 15;33(14):1579-87.

4. Demura S, Yaszay B, Carreau JH, Upasani VV, Bastrom TP, Bartley CE, Newton PO. Maintenance of thoracic kyphosis in the 3D correction of thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis using direct vertebral derotation. Spine Deform. 2013 Jan;1(1):46-50.

5. Sudo H, Abe Y, Kokabu T, Kuroki K, Iwata A, Iwasaki N. Impact of multilevel facetectomy and rod curvature on anatomical spinal reconstruction in thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).) 2018 Oct 1;43(19):E1135-42.

6. Kokabu T, Kanai S, Abe Y, Iwasaki N, Sudo H. Identification of optimized rod shapes to guide anatomical spinal reconstruction for adolescent thoracic idiopathic scoliosis. J Orthop Res. 2018 Dec;36(12):3219-24.

7. Sudo H, Tachi H, Kokabu T, Yamada K, Iwata A, Endo T, Takahata M, Abe Y, Iwasaki N. In vivo deformation of anatomically pre-bent rods in thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Sci Rep. 2021 Jun 16;11(1):12622.



8. Yamada K, Sudo H, Iwasaki N, Chiba A. Mechanical analysis of notch-free pre-bent rods for spinal deformity surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).) 2020 Mar 15; 45(6):E312-8.

9. Tachi H, Kato K, Abe Y, Kokabu T, Yamada K, Iwasaki N, Sudo H. Surgical outcome prediction using a four-dimensional planning simulation system with finite element analysis incorporating pre-bent rods in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: Simulation for spatiotemporal anatomical correction technique. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2021 Oct 12;9:746902.

10. Hasegawa K, Okamoto M, Hatsushikano S, Shimoda H, Ono M, Homma T, Watanabe K. Standing sagittal alignment of the whole axial skeleton with reference to the gravity line in humans. J Anat. 2017 May;230(5):619-30.

11. Sudo H, Abe Y, Kokabu T, Ito M, Abumi K, Ito YM, Iwasaki N. Correlation analysis between change in thoracic kyphosis and multilevel facetectomy and screw density in main thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis surgery. Spine J. 2016 Sep;16(9):1049-54.

12. Kokabu T, Sudo H, Abe Y, Ito M, Ito YM, Iwasaki N. Effects of multilevel facetectomy and screw density on postoperative changes in spinal rod contour in thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis surgery. PLoS One. 2016 Aug 26;11(8):e0161906.

13. Kokabu T, Abe Y, Yamada K, Iwasaki N, Sudo H. Impact of multilevel facetectomy on segmental spinal flexibility in patients with thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2021 Mar;83:105296.

14. Fujimori T, Bastrom TP, Bartley CE, Newton PO; Harms Study Group. Comparison of typical thoracic curves and atypical thoracic curves within the Lenke 1 classification. Spine Deform. 2014 Jul;2(4):308-15.

15. Kim YJ, Lenke LG. Posterior surgery for thoracic scoliosis. In: Heary RF, Albert TJ, editors. Spinal deformities: The Essentials. Thieme Medical Publishers; 2007. p 154-70.