#### Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

# Treatment of tardive dyskinesia with VMAT-2 inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

## Marco Solmi<sup>1</sup> Giorgio Pigato<sup>2</sup> John M Kane<sup>3,4</sup> Christoph U Correll<sup>3-5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neuroscience Department, Psychiatry Unit, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Psychiatry Unit, Padua Hospital, Padua, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Northwell Health, The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Glen Oaks, NY, USA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Molecular Medicine, Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, Hempstead, NY, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

Correspondence: Christoph U Correll Division of Psychiatry Research, The Zucker Hillside Hospital, 75-59 263rd Street, Glen Oaks, NY 11004, USA Tel +1 718 470 4812 Email ccorrell@northwell.edu



**Aim:** The aim of this study was to summarize the characteristics, efficacy, and safety of vesicular monoamine transporter-2 (VMAT-2) inhibitors for treating tardive dyskinesia (TD).

**Materials and methods:** We conducted a literature search in PubMed, Cochrane Database, and <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u>, screening for systematic reviews, meta-analyses or double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials (DBRPCTs) reporting efficacy or safety data of VMAT-2 inhibitors (tetrabenazine, deutetrabenazine, and valbenazine) in patients with TD. A random effects meta-analysis of efficacy and safety data from DBRPCTs was performed.

Results: Two acute, 12-week DBRPCTs with deutetrabenazine 12-48 mg/day (n=413) and 4 acute, 4-6-week double-blind trials with valbenazine 12.5-100 mg/day (n=488) were metaanalyzable, without meta-analyzable, high-quality data for tetrabenazine. Regarding reduction in total Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) scores (primary outcome), both deutetrabenazine (k=2, n=413, standardized mean difference [SMD] =-0.40, 95% confidence interval [CI] = -0.19, -0.62, p < 0.001; weighted mean difference (WMD) = -1.44, 95% CI = -0.67, -2.19,p < 0.001) and valbenazine (k=4, n=421, SMD = -0.58, 95% CI = -0.26, -0.91, p < 0.001; WMD =-2.07, 95% CI =-1.08, -3.05, p < 0.001) significantly outperformed placebo. Results were confirmed regarding responder rates ( $\geq$ 50% AIMS total score reduction; deutetrabenazine: risk ratio [RR] =2.13, 95% CI =1.10, 4.12, p=0.024, number-needed-to-treat [NNT] =7, 95% CI=3,333, p=0.046; valbenazine: RR = 3.05,95% CI=1.81, 5.11, p<0.001, NNT = 4,95% CI=3,  $6, p \le 0.001$ ). Less consistent results emerged from patient-rated global impression-based response (p=0.15) and clinical global impression for deutetrabenazine (p=0.088), and for clinical global impression change for valbenazine (p=0.67). In an open-label extension (OLE) study of deutetrabenazine ( $\leq$ 54 weeks) and a dose-blinded valbenazine study ( $\leq$ 48 weeks), responder rates increased over time. With valbenazine, discontinuation effects were studied, showing TD symptom recurrence towards baseline severity levels within 4 weeks after valbenazine withdrawal. No increased cumulative or specific adverse (AEs) events versus placebo (acute trials) in extension versus acute trial data were observed.

**Conclusion:** The 2 VMAT-2 inhibitors, valbenazine and deutetrabenazine, are effective in treating TD, both acutely and long-term, without concerns about increased risk of depression or suicide in the TD population. No head-to-head comparison among VMAT-2 inhibitors and no high-quality, meta-analyzable data are available for tetrabenazine in patients with TD. **Keywords:** tetrabenazine, deutetrabenazine, valbenazine, tardive dyskinesia, VMAT-2

# Introduction

Tardive dyskinesia (TD) is a severe<sup>1</sup> and potentially irreversible adverse effect of firstand second-generation antipsychotics (FGAs and SGAs), with a cumulative annual incidence of  $5.4\%^2$  to  $7.7\%^3$  with FGAs compared to  $0.8\%^2$  to  $3.0\%^3$  with SGAs in

Drug Design, Development and Therapy 2018:12 1215–1238

1215

© 2018 Solmi et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). adults, and approximately a 3- to 5-fold higher incidence in the elderly, with both FGAs (25%-30%)<sup>4,5</sup> and SGAs (5%–7%).<sup>6</sup> A recent meta-analysis found across 41 studies and 11,493 patients (77% diagnosed with schizophrenia) pooled TD prevalence of 25.3% in patients treated with antipsychotics, with a lower frequency with current SGA treatment (20.7%) than FGA treatment (30.0%), and the lowest prevalence in SGA-treated patients without a documented lifetime history of FGA treatment (7.2%).7 Proposed risk factors for TD include both unmodifiable patient-related and illness-related risk factors (higher age, female sex, Caucasian race, African descent, longer illness duration, intellectual disability and brain damage, negative symptoms in schizophrenia, mood disorder diagnosis, cognitive symptoms in mood disorders, and gene polymorphisms involving antipsychotic metabolism and dopamine functioning) as well as modifiable comorbidity-related and treatment-related factors (diabetes, smoking, alcohol and substance abuse, FGA vs SGA treatment, higher cumulative or current antipsychotic dose or higher antipsychotic plasma levels, early neuromotor syndromes [parkinsonian side effects, acute dystonia], anticholinergic co-treatment, akathisia, and subtle/emergent dyskinesia).2,3,7-10

TD can be measured with Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS),<sup>11</sup> a 12-item scale with items 1–7 assessing involuntary movements across body regions, with a score ranging from 0 (no dyskinesia) to 4 (severe, maximal amplitude, and persistence during observation of abnormal movements), or with other less frequently used instruments/ scales, such as the Extrapyramidal Symptom Rating Scale.<sup>12</sup>

Although still not conclusively established, 3 main (nonmutually exclusive) pathogenetic hypotheses of TD have been proposed. These include oxidative stress,<sup>13</sup> glutamatemediated toxicity within striatopallidal or nigrostriatal GABA signaling pathways with decreased inhibitory activity in nigrostriatal circuits, and dopamine receptor hypersensitivity following receptor upregulation due to antidopaminergic treatment.<sup>14</sup> The latter theory is supported by the worsening or un-masking of TD after abrupt cessation of dopamine D2 blocking agents.<sup>15</sup>

TD should ideally be prevented. Strategies include antipsychotic prescription only when indicated clinically, avoiding parkinsonian side effects, using conservative maintenance doses, and preferring SGAs over FGAs as first-line treatment.<sup>3,8,16</sup> However, SGAs are not a homogeneous class with regard to parkinsonian adverse effect rates.<sup>17</sup> Nevertheless, the differential TD risk among individual SGAs, except for a likely lowest risk with clozapine, has not been clear. In this regard, a recent meta-analysis of annualized incidence rate ratios comparing FGAs with SGAs and SGAs with each other in RCTs lasting  $\geq$ 3 months (median: 1.0 [interguartile range =0.44-2.0] years) not only confirmed significantly lower TD annualized incidence rates with SGAs as a class vs FGAs (NNT =20) but also suggested that olanzapine and aripiprazole may have a small, yet statistically significant advantage over other non-clozapine SGAs (NNT =100 for olanzapine vs non-clozapine SGAs).<sup>18</sup> Moreover, in 3 trials that compared clozapine to olanzapine or predominant olanzapine treatment, olanzapine did not differ from clozapine with regard to annualized TD risk. Finally, despite a relatively greater risk of parkinsonian side effect and anticholinergic co-treatment than many other SGAs,17 risperidone and paliperidone had a similar TD risk when compared head-to-head with other non-clozapine/non-olanzapine SGAs.<sup>18</sup>

When TD is present, a number of treatment approaches have been tested, including antipsychotic dose reduction, cessation, or switch to/prescription of specific antipsychotics; however, no convincing evidence supports such strategies,<sup>19</sup> apart from a switch to clozapine when TD is diagnosed in patients with schizophrenia and ongoing antipsychotic treatment is needed.<sup>20,21</sup> Nevertheless, clozapine is not easy to use,<sup>22</sup> and when clozapine is withdrawn, TD seems to recur.<sup>23</sup>

Several additional treatment approaches for improving TD symptoms exist that target alternatively proposed pathogenetic pathways, such as oxidative stress and impaired clearance of phenylalanine, with in some cases very early, promising results, such as for branched-chain amino acids, ginkgo biloba, vitamin E or melatonin,<sup>24–26</sup> but without definitive, large/high-quality trials to support their clinical use.

In addition, benzodiazepines, non-antipsychotic catecholaminergic agents, gamma-aminobutyric acid agonists, cholinergic or anticholinergic agents, or other miscellaneous treatments have been tested as augmentation strategies aimed at reducing TD severity, with almost no clinically relevant improvement<sup>27–32</sup> if not detrimental effect, or with tolerability issues, such as sedation, ataxia, or risks correlated with insulin administration outweighing any potentially beneficial effect.<sup>29,30,33</sup> Lastly, preliminary evidence suggested relevant efficacy and notable safety of deep brain stimulation for TD<sup>34</sup> and of botulinum for focal dystonias.<sup>33</sup> A recent comprehensive systematic review assessing the quality and level of evidence of pharmacological approaches for TD concluded that "underpowered trials of limited quality repeatedly fail to provide answers."<sup>35</sup>

Beyond stopping or modifying antipsychotic treatment or the aforementioned off-label approaches based on preliminary evidence, reversible vesicular monoamine transporter-2 (VMAT-2) inhibitors, which block a transporter that packages neurotransmitters, particularly dopamine, but also noradrenalin, serotonin, and histamine, into presynaptic vesicles for release into the synaptic cleft, have been tested for TD. Tetrabenzaine was the first VMAT-2 inhibitor that was approved in the United States in 2008 for Huntington's chorea and that has been used off-label for the treatment of TD,<sup>15,36</sup> with promising results from observational studies.<sup>37</sup> However, serum half-life of tetrabenazine is very short, requiring 3 times daily dosing and resulting in large peak-to-trough variations in plasma levels that have been associated with off-target adverse effects, such as akathisia, somnolence, and even depression and suicidality, for which a black box warning was required by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in subjects suffering from Huntington's chorea.<sup>38,39</sup> More recently, 2 novel VMAT-2 inhibitors, deutetrabenazine and valbenazine,40 have been developed, aiming at pharmacokinetic improvements (deutetrabenazine) or pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic improvements (valbenazine) over tetrabenazine. These modifications have resulted in a prolonged half-life and reduced peak-trough variations compared to tetrabenazine, and, in the case of valbenazine, eliminated enantiomers that are responsible for the off-target receptor occupancy unrelated to VMAT-2.41,42 Since both deutetrabenazine and valbenazine have been tested in double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials (DBRPCTs) and were FDA approved for the treatment of TD in 2017, and since to our knowledge no formal meta-analysis has compared data from all available RCTs of VMAT-2-inhibitors, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis aiming at reporting on the meta-analytically derived evidence for the efficacy and safety of VMAT-2 inhibitors for the treatment of patients with TD. We hypothesized that VMAT-2 inhibitors, pooled together and individually, would be superior to placebo in the reduction of TD.

# Materials and methods Search strategy and inclusion criteria

An electronic literature search from database inception and without language restriction was performed on November 8, 2017, in the Cochrane library (<u>http://www.cochranelibrary.com/</u>), PubMed, FDA website (<u>https://www.fda.gov/</u>), and ClinicalTrials.gov (<u>https://ClinicalTrials.gov/ct2/home</u>), plus relevant conference abstracts. The following search keywords

were used in PubMed, with equivalent/appropriate syntax for other databases: "tardive dyskinesia" [All Fields] AND ("tetrabenazine" [All Fields] OR "deutetrabenazine" [All Fields] OR "valbenazine" [All Fields]) AND (Review [ptyp] OR Clinical Trial [ptyp]). In addition, bibliographies of included or relevant references were hand-searched to seek further potentially eligible papers.

Inclusion criteria were: 1) most recent/comprehensive systematic review or meta-analysis on VMAT-2 inhibitors for TD, with included trials, or 2) individual, open, or randomized trials of VMAT-2 inhibitors for TD. For the formal meta-analysis, only data from DBRPCTs in patients with TD were considered, and only trials that reported results based on the AIMS scale were included.

In addition to the database search, data regarding the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties of each drug were extracted from the official information provided by the manufacturers.

Two authors (MS and GP) independently conducted the search and selected the eligible papers. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus.

#### Data extraction

Relevant information about efficacy, safety, and clinically relevant pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic information was abstracted from systematic reviews/meta-analyses, individual trials, or manufacturer's drug leaflets. Two authors (MS and GP) independently extracted the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of each VMAT-2 inhibitor, the number of studies (for reviews), study design, study duration, specific drug of interest, continuous and categorical efficacy data, and adverse effects frequencies. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus.

#### Quality assessment

Two authors (MS and GP) independently assessed the quality of the included studies with the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias.<sup>43</sup>

#### Meta-analysis

We performed a random effects meta-analysis<sup>44,45</sup> by using comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA, version 2). For continuous variables, we calculated the standardized mean difference (SMD) as the primary outcome measure, complemented by the weighted mean difference (WMD) whenever the same rating scale was used across all trials. For categorical outcomes, we calculated the risk ratio (RR) and, whenever the RR result was statistically significant, the number-needed-totreat or harm (NNT or NNH). Effect sizes were accompanied by their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Heterogeneity was assessed with the Cochrane Q and  $I^2$  statistics for each analysis (with significant heterogeneity being indicated by a p < 0.05 or  $I^2 \ge 50\%$ , respectively).<sup>46</sup> We meta-analyzed each VMAT-2 inhibitor separately and also calculated pooled effect sizes. Publication bias was assessed via visual inspection of funnel plots and with the Begg-Mazumdar Kendall's tau<sup>47</sup> and Egger bias test.<sup>48</sup> In case that publication bias was suspected, we calculated the trim and fill adjusted analysis<sup>49</sup> to remove the most extreme small studies from the positive side of the funnel plot and recalculated the effect size at each iteration until the funnel plot was symmetric around the (new/adjusted) effect size. In order to indirectly estimate any drug-related difference between VMAT-2 inhibitors, we also conducted sensitivity analyses based on the individual VMAT-2 inhibitor. In order to have a conservative approach and minimize biases, we used a "once randomized-analyzed," or intent-to-treat (ITT) approach for all efficacy and safety analyses and ignored the results from the completer analyses. The exception was a prespecified modified ITT approach in the studies with deutetrabenazine where all eligible and consenting subjects were randomized, but a priori only those with AIMS scores  $\geq 6$  were analyzed.

# Results

## Search results

Figure 1 presents the flow diagram of the literature search. Our search yielded no article from the Cochrane database but 41 individual studies and 3 reviews from PubMed, of which 33 were excluded after title/abstract assessment with finally 8 published studies and 3 reviews being included after full-text assessment. In <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u>, we identified 38 trials (DBRPCT and studies with a different design), of which 30 were excluded after full assessment of each trial results section on the website (with specific reasons for the exclusion of each trial reported in detail in Table S1). In addition, 2 relevant conference abstracts were included.

Different articles reported results from the same trial, or from trials not registered in <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> (being too old), and some trials retrieved in <u>ClinicalTrisls.gov</u> did not have related publications. Hence, we merged the information gathered from all eligible studies and reviews from PubMed with data reported in <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> and in conference abstracts, and finally included information from 8 distinct DBRPCTs, 2 controlled single-blind studies, 7 distinct openlabel studies, and 3 distinct studies with a retrospective or case-series design. In particular, of these 20 included articles, tetrabenazine was evaluated in 2 DBPRCTs (n=10), 1 haloperidolcontrolled RCT (n=13), 1 single-blind placebo-controlled study (n=12), 5 open-label studies, and 3 retrospective or case-series studies (total n=409). Deutetrabenazine was evaluated in 2 DBPRCTs (drug=281, placebo=132) and one open label study (n=304). Finally, valbenazine was investigated in 4 DBRPCs (drug=281, placebo=207) and 1 open label study (n=163). Results from all 20 trials and studies are summarized in our systematic review.

Finally, we formally meta-analyzed the 2 DBRPCTs for deutetrabenazine (n=413), and 4 DBRPCTs for valbenazine (n=488). In addition, the pharmacokinetic characteristics of each included VMAT-2 inhibitor were retrieved from the specific medication's package insert sheet.

The main characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. Below, we descriptively summarize the pharmacological properties, clinical indications, efficacy, and safety of each drug from individual studies (reported in detail in Table 2), quality of included studies (Table 3), and a summary of results from meta-analysis (Table 4).

## Tetrabenazine

#### Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

The FDA approved tetrabenazine for Huntington's disease, not TD, in the United States, while the Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco approved tetrabenazine for TD in Italy along with several other countries including the United Kingdom and Canada. Tetrabenazine is composed of a one-to-one mixture of two enantiomers, alpha-tetrabenazine and betatetrabenazine. Each enantiomer is metabolized to 2 isomers. The (+)alpha and (-)alpha dihydrotetrabenazine (DHTBZ) isomers have VMAT-2 reversible inhibitory activity (affinity of tetrabenazine for VMAT-2 is Ki ≈100 nM), while the (+)beta and (-)beta DHTBZ isomers are weak dopamine D2 antagonists (Ki ≈2,100 nM). Tetrabenazine can be taken with or without food, and its bioavailability after oral administration is  $\geq$ 75%, but its half-life is as short as 5 hours (thus 3 times daily dosing is required).<sup>50</sup> The  $C_{max}$  is reached within 1–1.5 hours, with blood plasma peaks<sup>50</sup> and protein binding being close to 85%. Tetrabenazine is extensively metabolized by CYP2D6 and 75% of it being eliminated in urine and around 16% in feces.

### Efficacy

One systematic review of the effects of tetrabenazine for TD<sup>15</sup> reported results from 12 studies overall, with partially



Figure I PRISMA flowchart of study selection process.\*

**Notes:** \*Different information from the same trial were retrieved from different sources (articles and result section of <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for each trial). Hence, record selection flow diagram goes in parallel (articles and trials) with final merging of information from different sources in the present manuscript. Article represents published manuscript; study represents trial with design other than DBRPCT, or retrospective data collection; trial represents DBRPCT. **Abbreviation:** DBRPCT, double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial.

overlapping studies populations,<sup>51</sup> with 2 DBRPCTs (n=10), 1 single-blind placebo-controlled study (n=12), and one haloperidol-controlled, randomized study (n=12). Each study had very small sample sizes, surprisingly with response rates up to 100% for tetrabenazine, and all used poor quality assessment tools and poor reporting of outcomes, precluding inclusion of any of these studies in our meta-analysis. Overall, considering also studies with open-label or retrospective design, 419 patients aged 20–82 years were studied. The study duration of the RCTs ranged from 1 to 20 weeks, and the tetrabenazine dose ranged between 50 and 150 mg/day. Notably, in 2 of the RCTs, which were conducted in the 1970s, patients had been on antipsychotic treatment (likely all FGAs) for >10 years and had been hospitalized for an average of

			,								
Study	Sponsor	Dose,	Control	Design	Duration, weeks	N, drug	ź	Age,	Male,	Inclusion	Outcomes
		mg/day					control	years	%	criteria	
Tetrabenazine											
Godwin-Austen et al	AN	25-100	Placebo	Randomized, DB,	_	6		70-85	ΑN	AN	Video recording,
(Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup>			diazepam	controlled							Likert scale
Kazamatsuri et al <sup>52</sup>	NA	50-150		OL	6	24		55; 30–81	ΝA	AN	Blinded psychiatrist
											assessing oral
											movements only
Kazamatsuri et al <sup>53</sup>	NA	NA	Haloperidol	Randomized,	8	13		55.8; 41–63	ΝA	AA	Change of frequency
			4 mg	controlled							of bucco-linguo-
				prospective							masticatory oral
											dyskinesias
Asher et al (Leung and	AN	175 (25–200)	Placebo	Randomized, single-	≥3 at optimal	12		٩N	AA	AN	Likert scale
Breden) <sup>15</sup>				blind, cross-over	dose						
Jankovic et al (Leung and	NA	Max 200	Placebo	Randomized DB,	NA	4		٩	ΝA	AN	Video recording
Breden) <sup>15</sup>				cross-over							
Fahn et al (Leung and	NA	25–300		oľ	NA	14	_	٩Þ	AA	AA	Likert scale
Breden) <sup>15</sup>											
Jankovic et al (Leung and	AN	25-100		OL	NA	44	_	Ā	AA	AN	Likert scale
Breden)				i				:			
Jankovic et al (Leung and	NA	Mean maximum	າ 96.9±62	OL	2.4 years	93	_	A A	AN	AA	Likert scale
Breden) <sup>15</sup>		(25–400)			(0.25 months to						
					14 years)						
Watson et al (Leung and	NA	91.3±38.9		Case series	NA	23	_	A	ΝA	AA	Likert scale
Breden) <sup>15</sup>											
Ondo et al <sup>54</sup>	NA	25–150		oL	12	20	-	55.2; 23–82	ΔA	AA	Blinded video rater
Paleacu et al (Leung and	NA	76.2±38.4 (12.5	-I 50)	Retrospective review	NA	17		A A	ΝA	AN	CGIC
Breden) <sup>15</sup>											
Kenney et al <sup>80</sup>	NA	60.4±35.7		Retrospective review	2.3±3.4 years	149		A N	AA	AN	Likert scale
12 Publications	AII NA	25-300		I placebo and	From I week	419		23-82	AA	AN	3 Video recording,
				diazepam, 2 placebo,	to 18 weeks for						6 Likert scale, I CGI,
				I haloperidol	controlled trials.						2 oral dvskinetic
				controlled, 5 OL.	and up to 2.4 years						movements assessment
				3 other	in all studies						
Deutetrabenazine											
NCT02195700 -	Auspex	38.8±7.9	Placebo	DBRPCT	12	57	58	54.6	47.9	0	Centralized AIMS (video
ARM-TD – III – 2017	Pharmaceuticals.	(12-48)									recorded). CGIC.
	lnc .										
	T <sub>20</sub>		Discher		2			K 73		Ê	and tolerability
	Dharmacoutical		LIALEDO		7	L 77		L.00	2	ē	
(Anderson et al) <sup>&gt;/</sup>	Industry										PGIC, mCDQ-24, safety
											and tolerability

NCT02198794 – RIM-TD – III – 2017 (Citrome; Anderson et al: Anderson et al) <sup>42,859</sup>	Teva Pharmaceutical Industry	<b>38.1</b> ±19.9	I	Single-arm, non- randomized, OL	59 (ongoing)	304	I	56.2	44.4	TD (completers of AIM-TD and ARM-TD)	Centralized AIMS (video recorded), CGIC, PGIC, mCDQ-24, safety and tolerability
3 Trials Valbenazine	I Auspex Pharmaceuticals, Inc, 2 Teva Pharmaceutical Industry	12-48	2 placebo, I none	2 DBPRCT, I OL	2 trials 12 weeks, 1 open-label study 159 weeks	585	132	55.7	45.8	Ê	2 Centralized AIMS (video recorded), CGIC, PGIC, mCDQ-24, safety and tolerability
NCT01393600 - II - 2012 - Valbenazine (Citrome; <u>ClinicalTrials</u> .	Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	12.5 or 50	Placebo	DB cross-over study	4	37 total (32 valbenazine, 33 placebo)		51.1; 18–65*	59.5	Schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, and TD	Centralized AIMS (video recorded), CGI-TD, PGIC, safety/tolerability, blasma concentrations
NCT01688037 – KINECT – II – 2013 – Valbenazine (Citrome; FDA) <sup>41,67</sup>	Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	100  imes 2 weeks, followed by 50	Placebo	DBRPCT	20	23	54	<b>18-85</b> *	66.4	Schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, and TD	Centralized AIMS (video recorded ), AIMS responders, CGI-TD, PGIC, safety/tolerability, plasma concentrations
NCT01733121 – KINECT 2 – II – 2013 – Valbenazine (Citrome; O'Brien et al; ClinicalTrials.gov) <sup>41,64,73</sup>	Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	25-75	Placebo	DBRPCT	50	45	44	56.2; 18–85*	57	Schizophrenia, schizoaffective, mood disorder, Gl disorder, and TD	centralized AIMS (video recorded), AIMS responders, CGI-TD, CGI-TD responders, PGIC safety/tolerability, blasma concentrations
NCT02274558 – KINECT 3 – III – 2016 (Citrome; Kane et al; Correll et al; Hauser et al; Factor et al) <sup>41,68-70,72</sup>	Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	40 or 80	Placebo	DBRPCT	5, plus 48 extension	151	76	56.1; 18–85*	54.2	Schizophrenia, schizoaffective, mood disorder, and TD	centralized AIMS (video recorded), AIMS responders, CGI-TD, CGI-TD responders, PGIC
KINECT 3 and KINECT 4 (NCT02405091) <sup>69-72</sup> – 2016 (Factor et al; Kane et al; Josiassen et al; Correl et al) <sup>69-72</sup>	Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	40 or 80	I	Non-randomized OL	48	163	AN	AN	AN	Schizophrenia, schizoaffective, mood disorder, and TD	Centralized AIMS (video recorded), PGIC, CGIC, safety, tolerability
5 Trials	All sponsored by Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	12.5-100	4 placebo, I none	4 DB trials, I OL	l trial 4 weeks, 2 trials 6 weeks, 1 trial 6 plus 48 weeks extension, 1 study 48 weeks	<del>44</del>	207	54.6; 18–85	58.5	All schizophrenia, schizoaffective, mood disorder, and TD	4 centralized AIMS (video recorded), and other efficacy secondary outcomes, 5 safety and tolerability
Note: *Inclusion criteria. Abbreviations: AEs, adver <sup>s</sup> Dystonia Questionnaire; NA	se effects; AIMS, Abnc , not available; OL, o	ormal Involuntary Mc pen label; PGIC, Pati	wement Scale; ient's Global Ir	CGIC, Clinical Global Impre mpression of Change; TD, t	sssion Change; Ctrl, con ardive dyskinesia; TDIS	itrol; DB, double-b , tardive dyskinesia	dind; DBRC	T, double-blind le.	randomize	ed controlled trial; mC	DQ, modified Craniocervical

#### Table 2 Efficacy and safety in trials of VMAT-2 inhibitors for $\mathsf{TD}^*$

Study	Efficacy	Safety/tolerability (AE $\geq$ 5%, serious AE)
Tetrabenazine		
Godwin-Austen et al	Mean change from baseline: PLC -0.5±1.1, diazepam	Most common side effect was sedation, similar to
(Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Randomized, placebo, and diazepam-controlled Sample size =6	$-2.2$ (1.0), tetrabenazine $-3.6\pm1.6$ , on 4-point severity scale	sedation after diazepam administration, and I case of syncope. After tetrabenazine was discontinued, TD symptoms relapsed
Kazamatsuri et al <sup>52</sup> (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Open label Sample size =24	Remission of TD in 33%, marked reduction 25%, none worsened. From 30 oral movements per minute at baseline to 10.8 after 6 weeks	Study was discontinued in 4 patients. Parkinsonism was not observed
Kazamatsuri et al <sup>53</sup> (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Randomized, haloperidol- controlled Sample size =13	After 2 weeks, haloperidol showed larger efficacy, but at week 12 no patients under haloperidol showed remission, while 2 patients on tetrabenazine showed remission of dyskinesia. Initial improvement after 2 weeks generally decreased in both arms (tetrabenazine and haloperidol). All dyskinetic symptoms relapsed after tetrabenazine discontinuation	NA
Asher et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Randomized, placebo- controlled, cross-over Sample size =12	marked response in 33% patients, moderate response in 17%, no response in 33%	Well tolerated, no behavioral changes observed
Jankovic et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Randomized, placebo- controlled, cross-over Sample size =4	All patients improved	Adverse effects in 75% of patients: restlessness, drooling, gait change, parkinsonism, anxiety
Fahn et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Open-label Sample size = 14	Majority of patients (11/14) were considered responders	Parkinsonism in all patients except I
Jankovic et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Open-label Sample size =47	Score I in 6 patients, score 2 in 25 patients, score 3 in II patients, score 4 and 5 in I patient, respectively	AE in $>10\%$ were parkinsonism (24%), drowsiness (13%), and depression (11%)
Jankovic et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Open-label Sample size =93	Patients had mostly (89.3%) excellent response at first follow-up	Most common side effects: drowsiness/fatigue (36.5%), parkinsonism (28.5%), depression (15%), insomnia (11%), anxiety (10.3%), akathisia (9.5%), nausea/vomiting (4.8%). 23% discontinued therapy due to adverse effects
Watson et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Case series	78% of patients had a score of at least 3 or 4 at baseline, and 87% achieved a score of 0 or 1.	Adverse effects: drooling in 2 patients, parkinsonism in I patient
Sample size =23 Ondo et al <sup>54</sup> (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Open-label Sample size =20	All patients improved from baseline AIMS motor subset improved by 54.2%, and subjective AIMS improved by 60.4%. Marked improvement was reported by 11 patients, moderate improvement by 6 patients, and mild improvement in 2	Parkinsonism was reported by 5 patients, sedation in 5 patients as well, and 1 elderly patient withdrawn the study because of sedation
Paleacu et al (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Retrospective Sample size =17	I patient showed a decrease in CGIC score, 4 showed no change, and 10 showed increase in CGIC score	Most common side effects: somnolence/weakness (6%), parkinsonism (2.5%), akathisia (1.7%), depression (1.7%)
Kenney et al <sup>80</sup> (Leung and Breden) <sup>15</sup> Retrospective Sample size =149	Patients maintaining score of 1 or 2 to last follow-up: 83.5%, 85.7%	Most common side effects: drowsiness (25%), parkinsonism (15.4%), akathisia (7.6%), depression (7.6%)
Deutetrabenazine NCT02195700 – ARM-TD – week 12 DBRPCT (Fernandez et al) <sup>56</sup> Sample size =69	After 12 weeks, deutetrabenazine improved AIMS score vs placebo ( $p$ =0.02). Responders were 48.2% with deutetrabenazine, 40.4% with placebo according to CGIC, and 42.9% vs 29.8% according to PGIC	Any AE occurred in 48.3% vs 35.6% with placebo. Psychiatric AE rates were not different in deutetrabenazine compared with placebo; anxiety 3.4% vs 6.8%, depression 1.7% in both groups. and suicidal
	(no significant difference in both). No significant difference in mCDQ-24	ideation in 0% vs 1.7%. No worsening in parkinsonism. No difference on QTc

(Continued)

# Table 2 (Continued)

Study	Efficacy	Safety/tolerability (AE $\geq$ 5%, serious AE)
Deutetrabenazine		
NCT02291861 – AIM-TD – week 12 DBRPCT (Anderson et al) <sup>57</sup> Sample size =298	After 12 weeks, deutetrabenazine improved AIMS score vs placebo, in a dose-related pattern (24 mg $[p=0.003]$ or 36 mg $[p=0.001]$ per day were effective). Responder rate according to AIMS (24 mg, 49%, $p=0.006$ ; 36 mg, 44%, $p=0.032$ ) or CGIC (24 mg, $p=0.014$ ; 36 mg, $p=0.059$ – trend to significance) was higher with deutetrabenazine vs placebo (12% and 26%, respectively), while no significant difference was described as regards PGIC response or mCDQ-24 score difference	Any AE occurred with similar rates in deutetrabenazine (range 44%–51%) and placebo (47%). No difference in depression, somnolence, sedation, or suicidality
NCT02198794 – RIM-TD – III – 2017 (Citrome; Anderson et al; Anderson et al) <sup>42,58,59</sup>	At week 54, deutetrabenazine improved AIMS from baseline ( $p=NA$ ), in both patient previously on deutetrabenazine and placebo. Responder rate as measured by CGIC increased from 58% at week 6 to 72% at week 54, and from 53% to 59% as measured by PGIC ( $p=NA$ )	Exposure-adjusted incidence rates of AE were comparable or lower than short-term or placebo treatments. No cumulative toxicity was observed. Anxiety, somnolence, depression, suicidality, akathisia, restlessness, sedation, parkinsonism occurred at similar frequency to placebo and deutetrabenazine short-term treatment; 90% of psychiatric AE were mild to moderate in severity. 2 serious AE (1 attempted suicide), 4 deaths after drug withdrawal
Valbenazine		
NCT01393600 – week 4 DBRPCT (Citrome; <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> ) <sup>41,64</sup>	After 4 weeks, valbenazine 12.5 mg was not superior to placebo on AIMS score mean difference ( $p=0.6$ ), neither was 50 mg ( $p=0.4$ ); 50 mg was superior after excluding data from one site involved in the multicenter study ( $p<0.01$ ). No statistical analysis provided for CGI-TD score	I case of serious AE in 12.5 mg group only. Any other AE rate reported in placebo (11.4%); valbenazine 12.5 mg (23.5%), and 50 mg (31.6%). No specific AE occurred in $>1$ patient in either valbenazine dose. No death
NCT01688037 – KINECT – week 6 DBRPCT (Citrome: FDA) <sup>41,67</sup>	After 6 weeks, AIMS ( $p=0.3$ ), CGI-TD ( $p=0.7$ ), or scores did not improve with valbenazine compared with placebo.	See below (KINECT 4) for analysis of safety and tolerability in an aggregated larger sample
NCT01733121 – KINECT 2 – week 6 DBRPCT (Citrome; O'Brien et al; <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> ) <sup>41,64,73</sup>	After 6 weeks, AIMS ( $p$ <0.01), CGI-TD ( $p$ <0.01), PGIC ( $p$ <0.01), scores were improved from valbenazine 25 to 75 mg compared with placebo, and CGI-TD, PGIC response rates were 66.7% vs 15.9%, 57.8% vs 31.8% in valbenazine vs placebo ( $p$ =NA), respectively	No serious AE with valbenazine, 2 serious AEs with placebo. Any AEs rate was 49% in subjects taking valbenazine and 33% in those under placebo. The most common AEs were fatigue and headache (each 9.8% vs 4.1% in placebo), constipation, and urinary tract infection (each 3.9% vs 6.1% in placebo). No clinically relevant alterations in laboratory examinations, ECG. No relevant variations in psychiatric symptoms were noted. No concerns were expressed about suicidal ideation, or depression. No parkinsonism or akathisia.
NCT02274558 – KINECT 3 – week 6 DBRPCT (Citrome; Kane et al; Correll et al; Hauser et al; Factor et al) <sup>41,68–70,72</sup>	After 6 weeks, AIMS score improved in both valbenazine 40 and 80 mg compared with placebo $(p < 0.01)$ , while CGI-TD scores improved in both valbenazine dose groups compared with placebo $(p=0.01 \text{ both doses})$ (trend toward significance in all ITT population $[p=0.06, 80 \text{ mg}; p=0.07, 40 \text{ mg}]$ . AIMS response rates were 40% in 80 mg $(p < 0.001)$ , 23.8% in 40 mg $(p=0.02)$ , 8.7% in placebo. In patients with mood disorders, AIMS $(p < 0.05, 80 \text{ mg}; p=0.002, 40 \text{ mg})$ and CGI-TD $(p < 0.05, 80 \text{ mg}; p=NA, 40 \text{ mg})$ scores improved in a dose-related pattern in valbenazine vs placebo, as well as response rate according to AIMS (38.5%, NNT =4 for 80 mg) or CGI-TD (34.6%, NNT =6 for 80 mg) criteria $(p=NA)$ .	Any AE rate was 40.3% in 40 mg, 50.6% in 80 mg group, 43.4% in placebo group. Serious AE: 7.6% 80 mg, 5.6% 40 mg, 3.9% PLC. The most common AEs were somnolence (5.1% 80 mg, 5.6% 40 mg, vs 3.9% placebo), akathisia (2.5% 80 mg, 4.2% 40 mg vs 1.3% placebo), and dry mouth (0% 80 mg, 6.9% 40 mg, vs 1.3% placebo). I patient died in 80 mg group. Worsening of suicidal ideation rates did not differ from placebo (1.3% 80 mg, 4.2% 40 mg, vs 5.3% PLC). No relevant laboratory alteration

(Continued)

### Table 2 (Continued)

Study	Efficacy	Safety/tolerability (AE $\geq$ 5%, serious AE)
Valbenazine		
Valbenazine NCT02405091 – KINECT, KINECT 3, KINECT 4 – week 48 OL (Factor et al; Kane et al; Josiassen et al; Correl et al) <sup>69-72</sup>	In patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, AIMS ( $p$ <0.01 for both doses) and CGI-TD ( $p$ =NA) scores improved in a dose-related pattern in valbenazine vs placebo, as well as response rates according to AIMS (40.9%, NNT =4 for 80 mg) or CGI-TD criteria (29.5%, NNT =17 for 80 mg) ( $p$ =NA) In both patients with mood disorders and schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder AIMS and CGI-TD scores, valbenazine showed continued and progressive improvement from baseline to week 48, with return toward baseline values at week 52 after valbenazine discontinuation ( $p$ =NA). At week 48, response rates showed the same improvement with a dose-related pattern, according to AIMS (56%, 80 mg) or CGI-TD (80%, 80 mg) criteria in mood disorders, and (50%, 80 mg) or (73.7%, 80 mg) in patients with schizophrenia ( $p$ =NA)	Data from KINECT (n=46), KINECT 3 (n=220), and KINECT 4 (n=164) were pooled in 430 subjects, and AE reported at week 48.Any TEAE rate was 66.5%, with 14.7% discontinuation due to AE.TEAE rate was 64.4% in schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder and 71.9% in mood disorders. The most common AEs in schizophrenia/schizoaffective were urinary tract infection (6.1%), headache (5.8%), and somnolence (5.2%). The most common AEs in mood disorders were headache (12.4%), urinary tract infections (10.7%), and somnolence (9.1%). Psychiatric symptoms did not change with the administration of valbenazine, according to PANSS, CDSS MADRS, and YMRS scales in both diagnostic groups. Suicidal ideation rate in patients under valbenazine was 5% similar to that with placebo in KINECT 3 (5.3%)
		Extrapyramidal symptoms were minimal. Rare, minimal, and non-clinically significant alterations were noted in

Note: \*Data adapted and expanded from Leung and Breden.<sup>15</sup>

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale; CGI, Clinical Global Impression; mCDQ, modified Craniocervical Dystonia Questionnaire; NA, not assessed; PGIC, Patient's Global Impression of Change; TD, tardive dyskinesia; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; VMAT, vesicular monoamine transporter; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia; MADRS, Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale; YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale.

29 years.<sup>52,53</sup> Moreover, in just 1 trial, tetrabenazine was compared with an active control intervention, namely, haloperidol, without showing a benefit in TD reduction versus haloperidol.<sup>53</sup> In one prospective, single-blind trial,<sup>52</sup>

dyskinesia "disappeared" in 1 out of 3 patients, "improved" in 1 out of 4 patients, and the average oral movements per minute significantly decreased from baseline and then re-increased to baseline values after tetrabenazine cessation.<sup>52</sup>

Drug (study)	Trial	Adequate sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding (participants and personnel)	Blinding (outcome assessment)	Incomplete outcome data addressed	Free of selective reporting	Free of other bias	Summary assessment
Deutetrabenazine	NCT02291861 -	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
(Anderson et al) <sup>57</sup> Deutetrabenazine	AIM-TD NCT02195700 –	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
(Fernandez et al) <sup>56</sup> Valbenazine	ARM-TD NCT01688037 –	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
(FDA) <sup>39</sup> Valbenazine	KINECT NCT01733121 –	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
(Citrome; O'Brien et al; <u>ClinicalTrials.</u> gov) <sup>41,64,73</sup>	KINECT 2								
Valbenazine (Citrome; Correll et al: Hauser et al:	NCT02274558 – KINECT 3	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Kane et al) <sup>41,68,69,72</sup> Valbenazine	NCT01393600	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
( <u>ClinicalTrials.</u> g <u>ov</u> ) <sup>64</sup>									
Summary		5 out of 6 DI	SKPC1 had low i	risk of bias; it wa	is not possible t	o collect infori	mation for o	ne trial	

Table 3 Risk of bias in randomized blinded trials comp	paring valbenazine or o	deutetrabenazine versus	placebo for tardive d	lyskinesia

Table 4 Random effects meta-:	analysis of effic	acy out	comes in 1	crials cc	mparing	deutetra	benazine	or valbenazine ve	ersus plac	cebo for 1	ardive d)	skinesia		
Outcome	No studies/	No .	No	SMD	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	MMD	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	Publication bias
	study arms	drugs	placebo		3	Ы				4	Ы			(Y/N); subgroup difference
Continuous outcomes														
AIMS total score change														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	281	132	-0.40	-0.19	-0.62	<0.001	%0	-I.43	-0.67	-2.19	<0.001	0	
Valbenazine	3/4	247	174	-0.58	-0.26	-0.91	<0.001	61.24%	-2.07	-1.08	-3.05	<0.001	50	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	5/8	528	306	-0.46	-0.28	-0.64	<0.001	31.73%	-1.67	-1.07	-2.27	<0.001	21.08	Z
CGI-TD change (valbenazine)	4/6	279	208	-0.04	0.14	-0.22	0.67	0	0.01	-0.21	0.22	0.953	0	z
mCDQ-24 change (deutetrabenazine)	2/4	281	132	-0.15	0.06	-0.36	0.16	0	-2.49	-5.96	0.95	0.16	0	z
	No studies/	٥N	No	RR	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	NNT	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	<b>Publication bias</b>
	study arms	drugs	placebo		н	Ч				Н	Ч			(Y/N); subgroup difference
Categorical outcomes														
Response (≥50% AIMS reduction)														
Deutetrabenazine	1/3	224	75	2.13	I.I0	4.12	0.024	0	7	e	333	0.046	57.78	
Valbenazine	2/5	196	120	3.05	I.8.I	5.11	<0.001	0	4	e	6	<0.001	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/8	420	195	2.66	1.77	3.99	<0.001	0	5	4	8	<0.001	36.12	Z
CGI-TD response ("much														
improved" or "very much														
improved")														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	222	107	1.32	0.96	1.82	0.088	0	6	4	200	0.041	0	
Valbenazine	2/5	221	130	2.06	I.24	3.41	0.005	59.96	5	e	62	0.034	76.32	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	4/9	443	237	1.50	I.14	1.97	0.003	44.32	9	e	8	0.004	63.52	z ź
PGI-C response (deutetrabenazine)	1/4	281	132	I.40	0.89	2.21	0.15	0	4	9	38	0.15	0	z
("much improved" or "very much														
improved")														
<b>Notes:</b> Response definition: AIMS, $\geq$ 50%	% reduction AIMS	CGI-TD,	≤2 at CGI-7	D; PGI-O	c, treatmen	t success.								
Abbreviations: AIMS, Abnormal Involu	intary Movement	Scale; CGI	, Clinical Glo	bal Impre	ssion; Cl,	confidence	interval; m(	CDQ-24, modified Cra	niocervical	Dystonia Q	uestionnair	e; NNT, nu	mber-needed-to-treat;	GI-C, Patient's Global
Impression of Change; RR, risk ratio; SN Dyskinesia.	1D, standardized ı	nean diffe	rence; VMAT	, vesicula	r monoam	ine transpo	rter; WMD	', weighted mean differ	ence; Y, ye	s; N, no; Ll	-, lower lim	it; UL, uppe	er limit; CGI-TD, Globa	l Impression – Tardive

Dovepress

In a more "recent," single-blind, randomized trial (published in 1999),<sup>54</sup> all patients reported at least mild improvement, and dyskinesia clearly improved from baseline.

Overall, there is a lack of high-quality double-blind randomized, placebo controlled RCTs, without convincing evidence of efficacy of tetrabenazine for TD. No "head to head" double-blind comparison with another VMAT-2 inhibitors has been performed.

#### Safety and tolerability

Most of the safety data come from trials targeting conditions other than TD (eg, Huntington's disease). Generally, almost 9 out of 10 patients experienced  $\geq 1$  adverse effect during tetrabenazine treatment. In patients with TD, the most frequent adverse events (AEs) (>10% and >5% more frequent than placebo) were drowsiness (mean: 24.8%, range: 13%-36.5%), sedation/somnolence (mean: 18.5%, range: 6%-31%), parkinsonian side effects (mean: 11.9%, range: 4.3%-78.6%), insomnia (11%), anxiety (10.3%), depression (mean: 6.8%, range: 1.7%-11%), and akathisia (mean: 6.3%, range: 1.7%-9.5%). Sedation and acute motor syndromes (parkinsonian side effects, dystonic reaction, and akathisia), which can be due to D2 antagonism of the beta-isomers of tetrabenazine, have been reported in approximately 1 out of 4 patients.<sup>54</sup> Also, based on data in Huntington's disease, tetrabenazine has a black box warning for depression and suicidality,39 leading to the recommendation that attention should be paid to mood status or aberrant acting out behavior during tetrabenazine treatment. Somnolence and sedation may impair driving abilities. QT prolongation may occur, but does not seem to be clinically relevant. Dysphagia can also occur, increasing the risk of pneumonia. Hyperprolactinemia may occur as well. Notably, in the trials included in the abovementioned systematic review,<sup>15</sup> specifically targeting TD, 1 patient out of 24 did not complete the study due to psychotic exacerbation.<sup>52</sup>

# Poor metabolizers, special populations, and concomitant drugs

As per FDA labeling, tetrabenazine dose should not exceed 50 mg/day in poor CYP2D6 metabolizers, or 100 mg/day in extensive metabolizers, yet no clear correlation has been demonstrated between CYP2D6 status and clinical response.<sup>55</sup> Guidance from labels in other countries may differ. Tetrabenazine is contraindicated in patients with any degree of hepatic impairment, and in patients with suicidal ideation, or untreated/inadequately treated depression. Pregnant or lactating women should be warned that data from

animal studies suggest possible fetal harm but that no data are available from human studies. The tetrabenazine dose should be decreased in case of co-treatment with CYP2D6 inhibitors (eg, paroxetine and fluoxetine), and tetrabenazine must not be co-administered with monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) or reserpine (an irreversible VMAT inhibitor), due to possible toxicity related to partially overlapping pharmacodynamic actions. No efficacy or safety data are available for pediatric or geriatric populations.

## Deutetrabenazine

### Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

Deutetrabenazine is a reversible VMAT-2 inhibitor. Similar to tetrabenazine, there are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  enantiomers, and each gives rise to 2 isomers of a DHTBZ metabolite. However, the incorporation of deuterium, which is a stable, non-radioactive, non-toxic, and naturally occurring isotope of hydrogen, in place of hydrogen at the sites of primary metabolism results in slower metabolic clearance compared to tetrabenazine and lower Cmax values, despite similar effective doses as used in the tetrabenazine trials (36-48 mg). Deutetrabenazine should be taken with food and its bioavailability after oral administration is  $\geq$ 80%. The half-life of deutetrabenazine is about 9-10 hours (thus twice-daily dosing is required),<sup>1</sup> and its protein binding is close to 84%. Deutetrabenazine is extensively metabolized by CYP2D6, with minor contributions from CYP1A2 and CYP3A4/5, and 80% of it being eliminated in urine and around 10% in feces.

#### Efficacy

One systematic review reported results from 2 acute trials and 1 open-label, long-term study.42 In 2017, the US FDA approved deutetrabenazine for both Huntington's disease and TD. One multicenter DBRPCT ("ARM-TD study") included 117 (deutetrabenazine =58, placebo =59) patients with moderate to severe TD (82.9% of which with AIMS  $\geq$ 6 based on blinded video assessment), and assessed AIMS, clinician's and patient's global impression (CGI and PGI) scores after 12 weeks. Patients were administered deutetrabenazine starting from 12 mg/day, with weekly increases of 6 mg up to 48 mg maximum (36 mg for patients taking strong CYP2D6 inhibitors), according to clinical response. Baseline AIMS values were 9.6 (4.1 SD) with deutetrabenazine and 9.6 (3.8 SD) with placebo. Although no significant difference was noted in CGI scores compared with placebo at study endpoint, or in modified Craniocervical Dystonia Questionnaire (mCDO-24) (p not available for both comparisons), AIMS scores improved significantly more with deutetrabenazine than placebo (place-

bo-subtracted treatment difference -1.4, standard error [SE]: 0.6, p=0.019).<sup>56</sup> Another multicenter DBRPCT ("AIM-TD study") included 298 patients (deutetrabenazine =224 divided in 3 groups: 12, 24, and 36 mg/day; placebo =74) with TD (AIMS  $\geq$ 6) duration  $\geq$ 3 months, and assessed AIMS after 12 weeks (or at least at 1 follow-up observation).<sup>57</sup> Baseline AIMS values were 9.6 (2.4 SD) with 12 mg/day deutetrabenazine, 9.4 (2.9 SD) with 24 mg/day, 10.1 (3.2 SD) with 36 mg/day, and 9.5 (2.7 SD) with placebo. Efficacy was demonstrated compared with placebo for deutetrabenazine 36 mg/day (placebo-subtracted treatment difference -1.9, SE: 0.58, *p*=0.001) and 24 mg/day (-1.8, SE: 0.60, *p*=0.003), but not for 12 mg/day (-0.7, SE: 0.57, p=0.217). Moreover, compared to placebo (12%), the proportion of patients with a  $\geq$  50% AIMS score reduction was significantly higher with deutetrabenazine 24 mg/day (35%, OR =3.96, 95% CI =1.46, 10.72, p=0.005) and 36 mg/day (33%, OR =3.80, 95% CI =1.40, 10.36, p=0.007). Conversely, in the ITT population, deutetrabenazine did not differ significantly from placebo regarding the Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC) defined responder rate (12 mg/day: p=0.37, 24 mg/day: p=0.13, 36 mg/day: p=0.30) or mCDQ-24 scores (12 mg/day: p=0.66, 24 mg/day: p=0.24, 36 mg/day: p=0.12).57 In the OLE study58,59 (59 weeks, ongoing, n=304 from the ARM-TD and AIM-TD studies), all efficacy measures (continuous scores or responder rates) continued to improve from week 6 to week 54. No head to head comparison with other VMAT-2 inhibitors has been performed.

### Safety and tolerability

Deutetrabenazine was generally well tolerated, with trial completion rate ranging from 89% to 95% in DBRPCTs,<sup>41</sup> and the NNH for all-cause discontinuation was not significantly different from placebo.41 Moreover, deutetrabenazine had similar AEs rates in all dose arms compared with placebo (36 mg/day: 51.4%; 24 mg/day: 43.8%; 12 mg/day: 48.6%; placebo: 47.2%), with the 36 mg/day dose being associated with the highest frequency of AEs.57 Moreover, no worsening of EPS occurred,56 yet such a definition should be replaced with finer definitions of specific adverse motor events, with consistent reporting of rates of each of them in RCTs.<sup>60</sup> Sedation/ somnolence may occur with deutetrabenazine, but the manufacturer correctly advises that patients with Huntington's disease should be advised about such a risk, while there is no such need in patients with TD, given the comparable rates of somnolence in the deutetrabenazine (2%-13.8%) and placebo group (4%-10.2%). Although deutetrabenazine, like tetrabenazine, has a black box warning for depression

and suicidality in patients with Huntington's disease (based on data from trials in this population), data from 2 trials in patients with TD did not show any increased risk versus placebo, which is why deutetrabenazine did not receive such a regulatory warning for the TD population.56 In the ARM-TD trial, depressed mood/depression was noted at the exact same frequency as placebo (1.7%), with no patients reporting suicidality.<sup>56</sup> In the AIM-TD trial, suicidality was reported in the 24 and 36 mg/day arms (2.7% the highest),57 but without any difference from placebo. Also, in the long-term, OLE study, deutetrabenazine showed<sup>42,58,59</sup> similar adjusted incidences of AEs, compared with both the acute-phase trials and the placebo. Moreover, >90% of psychiatric AEs were mild to moderate. Hence, the absence of any FDA warning about a putative increased risk of depression or suicide in patients with TD seems appropriate, at least in the included psychiatrically stable patients with TD. Deutetrabenazine has not been tested in the pediatric population.

# Poor metabolizers, special populations, and concomitant drugs

Poor CYP2D6 should be prescribed lower deutetrabenazine doses. No data are available about deutetrabenazine in patients with renal impairment. Even if no data are available, based on data for tetrabenazine, lower doses should be considered when hepatic function is impaired. Deutetrabenazine dose should also be decreased in the presence of CYP2D6 inhibitors (eg, paroxetine and fluoxetine). However, since the slower metabolism and lower Cmax reduce the impact of slow CYP2D6 metabolizers, no genotyping has been recommended, unlike for tetrabenazine.

# Valbenazine

## Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

Valbenazine is a highly selective, reversible VMAT-2 inhibitor that consists of the (+) $\alpha$ -DHTBZ enantiomer, an oxidative metabolite of valbenazine, and its 2 isomers, with all 3 molecules having VMAT-2 binding only.<sup>61</sup> It can be taken with or without food, although the C<sub>max</sub> is decreased by highfat meals. Oral bioavailability of valbenazine is ~49%, its half-life is about 20 hours,<sup>62</sup> enabling once daily dosing, t<sub>max</sub> is between 0.5 and 1.0 hours,<sup>62</sup> steady state is reached within 8 days,<sup>62</sup> and protein binding is 99%. Area under the curve (AUC) analyses have shown a dose-proportional increase from 50 to 150 mg/day and more than dose-proportion from 1 to 50 mg/day.<sup>62</sup>

The affinity of valbenazine for VMAT-2 is Ki  $\approx$ 150 nM, with virtually no affinity for VMAT-1 (Ki >10,000 nM).

Valbenazine and its metabolites do not have any affinity for other than VMAT-2 targets, namely, serotonin, dopamine, adrenergic, histaminergic, or muscarinic receptors, thus minimizing untoward effects beyond those specifically linked to its specific binding site. It is extensively metabolized by hydrolysis and oxidative metabolism (CYP3A4/5); (+)- $\alpha$ -HTBZ seems to be further metabolized in part by CYP2D6. Approximately 60% of elimination takes place in urine and 30% in feces.

#### Efficacy

The US FDA approved valbenazine for TD in 2017.<sup>63</sup> One systematic review<sup>41</sup> has identified 6 completed trials (2 long-term extension studies and 4 DBRPCTs), including a total of 660 subjects, aged 18–85 years, with a study duration ranging from 12 days to 48 weeks, and with the valbenazine dose ranging from 12.5 to 100 mg/day. Four double-blind trials (NCT01393600,<sup>64</sup> KINECT, KINECT 2, and KINECT 3)<sup>41,65–73</sup> and 1 dose-blind extension study (KINECT 4)<sup>41,69–72</sup> reported on the efficacy and safety of valbenazine,<sup>41,68–73</sup> in patients who developed TD during antipsychotic treatment for schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or mood disorders, or during metoclopramide treatment for a gastrointestinal conditions.

Baseline AIMS scores in KINECT 2 were 8.0 (3.5 SD) in the valbenazine and 7.9 (4.5 SD) in the placebo group, being 10.4 (3.6) for 80 mg/day, 9.7 (4.1 SD) for 40 mg/day, and 9.9 (4.3 SD) for placebo in the KINECT 3 study.

Although valbenazine did not separate from placebo in the small dose-finding studies NCT01393600 (4 weeks, 37 patients in a blinded cross-over study, analyzed: drug =32, placebo =33) and KINECT (6 weeks, drug =50, placebo =54),<sup>41,64-67</sup> it showed significantly greater improvement in the AIMS total score compared to placebo at week 6 in 2 larger, acute-phase KINECT 2 (6 weeks, valbenazine: n=45, placebo n=44), and KINECT 3 trials (6 weeks, valbenazine: n=151, placebo: n=76, with extension to 48 weeks: n=198).<sup>68,70,73</sup> In KINECT 2, improvement in total AIMS scores were consistently higher with valbenazine than placebo (treatment difference -2.4, SE: 0.7, p < 0.001), as well as CGI-TD score (treatment difference -0.8, SE: 0.2, p < 0.001). The same was true in KINECT 3 with regard to the AIMS score change (80 mg/day: -3.2, SE: 0.4, p < 0.001; 40 mg/ day: -1.9, SE: 0.4, p<0.001, vs placebo -0.1, SE: 0.4).

Valbenazine also outperformed placebo in response rates, regardless of the definition of response. For example, in KINECT 2, a  $\geq$ 50% AIMS total score reduction was observed in 48.9% with valbenazine versus 18.2% with placebo (p < 0.001), with rates of patients achieving a CGI-TD  $\leq 2$  (ie, "much or very much improved") being 67% with valbenazine and 16% with placebo (p < 0.001).<sup>41</sup> Similarly, in KINECT 3, a  $\geq 50\%$  AIMS total score reduction was observed in 40.0% with valbenazine 80 mg/day (p < 0.001), 23.8% with 40 mg/day (p = 0.02), and 8.7% with placebo, with the 80 mg/day dose consistently showing the highest response rates.<sup>41,68–73</sup> Notably, the same efficacy and dose-related profile was confirmed in both the schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder subgroup,<sup>69</sup> as well as the mood disorder subgroup.<sup>72</sup>

The NNT for treatment response was consistently around 4 for valbenazine 80 mg daily, ranging from 2 to 9, with doses from 25 to 75 mg/day. In KINECT 3, the NNT for the AIMS-based response in all patients was 4 for 80 mg/day and 7 for 40 mg/day, being consistent in patients with mood disorders and schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder (mood disorder subgroup: 80 mg/day: NNT =4 for AIMS-based definition and NNT =6 for CGI-TD, 40 mg/day: NNT =9 for AIMS and NNT =4 for AIMS-based definition and NNT =4 for AIMS-based definition and NNT =17 for CGI-TD, 40 mg/day: NNT =6 for AIMS and NNT =10 for CGI-TD).<sup>41,61,68,69,72</sup>

In exploratory analyses, statistical separation of valbenazine from placebo occurred as early as week 2 at 80 mg/day and did not differ according to sex, age, ethnicity, underlying main diagnosis, or TD baseline severity.<sup>68,73</sup>

However, in KINECT 2, the PGIC-based (treatment success) response rate statistics were not reported, only showing numerically higher rates with valbenazine versus placebo (57.8% vs 31.8%, p=NA),<sup>73</sup> and in KINECT 3, the endpoint CGI-TD score differences missed statistical significance (treatment difference –0.3, SE: 0.1, p=0.074).<sup>68</sup>

In the OLE study (48 weeks, plus 4 weeks after valbenazine withdrawal, n=164), all efficacy measures (continuous scores or responder rates) continued to improve from week 6 to week 48, but then worsened until week 52 after valbenazine was discontinued, trending back to the acute baseline values, supporting the need for continuation treatment.<sup>69,70,72</sup>

No "head to head" comparison with other VMAT-2 inhibitors or other agents has been performed.

#### Safety and tolerability

Valbenazine was generally well tolerated,<sup>41</sup> with comparable study completion rates on valbenazine and placebo (KINECT 2: valbenazine: 76%, placebo: 80%; KINECT 3: valbenazine 80 mg/day: 88.8%, valbenazine 40 mg/day: 82.9%, placebo: 91%). Furthermore, no detrimental effects were noted on core psychopathologic symptoms of the underlying mental condition,<sup>41</sup> in both the acute and the long-term extension trials.<sup>71</sup> In addition, there was no increase in suicidal behavior or depression noted, at least in the included psychiatrically stable patients. Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia and Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale scores remained stable after treatment with valbenazine 40 and 80 mg/day in both the 6-week KINECT 3 trial<sup>68</sup> and the KINECT 4 long-term tolerability trial.<sup>71</sup>

Two potential tolerability concerns have been reported,<sup>41</sup> namely, QTc prolongation and somnolence. However, QTc prolongation, which was modeled by the FDA, was not clinically relevant at regular doses<sup>74</sup> and should be monitored particularly in those with QTc prolongation or other arrhythmias associated with QT prolongation. Nevertheless, no clinically relevant ECG alteration was noted in the acute 6-week and 48-week long-term trials.<sup>41,71</sup> Moreover, although patients with TD experienced numerically higher rates of somnolence compared with placebo (KINECT 2: valbenazine: 5.9%, placebo: 2%, *p*=NA; KINECT 3: valbenazine: 5.3%, placebo: 3.9%, *p*=NA), the rates were very low. Interestingly, in all patients, decreased appetite was reported more frequently with valbenazine versus placebo (KINECT 2: valbenazine: 7.8%, placebo: 0%).<sup>73</sup>

The most frequent long-term AEs in both schizophrenia/schizoaffective and mood disorder subsamples in the 48-week extension study were somnolence, urinary tract infections, and headache.<sup>71</sup> Finally, a potential risk of doserelated prolactin, bilirubin, and alkaline phosphatase increase was noted as per the FDA evaluation, but was not observed in the acute trials, and no clinically relevant laboratory alteration was noted in the 48-week, long-term tolerability trial.<sup>71</sup>

# Poor metabolizers, special populations, and concomitant drugs

Lower doses (ie, 40 mg/day) should be prescribed in patients with known slow CYP2D6 metabolizer status or intolerability to 80 mg/day.<sup>41</sup> Patients with moderate to severe hepatic impairment should take the lower dose of valbenazine, and pregnant or lactating women should be advised of the potential risk for the fetus or newborn, even if no data about such risks in humans are available to date. As for renal impairment, no dose adjustment is required for mild to moderate renal impairment, while valbenazine should be avoided in case of severe renal failure since no data are available.<sup>41</sup>

No dose adjustment is required in the elderly population, and no efficacy or safety data are currently available for pediatric populations.<sup>41</sup> Valbenazine should not be prescribed with MAOIs, or strong CYP3A4 inducers (eg, carbamazepine, St John's wort, phenytoin, and rifampin) and should be prescribed at a reduced dose when given together with strong inhibitors of CYP2D6 (eg, paroxetine, fluoxetine, and quinidine) or CYP3A4 (eg, itraconazole, ketoconazole, and clarithromycin), and digoxin concentrations should be monitored when valbenazine is administered with digoxin, due to possible increase of blood levels of digoxin with concomitant administration.<sup>41</sup> However, no genotyping has been recommended unlike for tetrabenazine. In the 48-week, long-term tolerability trial, no relevant interaction with ongoing concomitant medications was reported.<sup>71</sup>

# Quality of included studies

Table 3 reports the quality of included studies. According to the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool, 5 out of 6 blinded trials had low risk of bias, while for 1 (NCT01393600), no detailed methodological information was available (unclear risk of bias).

## Meta-analysis

Meta-analyses were performed for AIMS change (primary outcome), CGI-TD change, mCDQ-24 change, and treatment response based on AIMS ( $\geq$ 50% total score reduction), CGI-TD (score  $\leq$ 2, "much improved" or "very much improved"), or PGI-C ("treatment success"). Table 4 summarizes the results of random effects meta-analysis for VMAT-2 inhibitors pooled together and for deutetrabenazine and valbenazine individually, and Figure 2 presents the forest plot of AIMS change. All other forest plots and funnel plots of meta-analyses are available upon request.

Results confirmed the efficacy of VMAT-2 inhibitors for TD. AIMS scores reduced significantly more with VMAT-2 inhibitors as a class than placebo (trial arms k=8; SMD =-0.46, 95% CI =-0.28, -0.64, p < 0.001; WMD =-1.67, 95% CI =-1.07, -2.27; p<0.001; I<sup>2</sup>=21%) and with both deutetrabenazine (k=4; SMD =-0.40, 95% CI =-0.19, -0.62, p<0.001; WMD =-1.43, 95% CI = $-0.67, -2.19; p < 0.001; I^2 = 0\%$ ) and valbenazine (k=4; SMD =-0.58, 95% CI =-0.26, -0.91, p<0.001; WMD =-2.07; 95% CI =-1.08, -3.05; *p*<0.001; I<sup>2</sup>=50%) individually. VMAT-2 inhibitors as a class were superior for treatment response, defined according to AIMS ( $\geq$ 50% of AIMS score improvement from baseline) (k=8; RR =2.66; 95% CI =1.77, 3.99, p<0.001; I<sup>2</sup>=0%; NNT =5, 95% CI =4, 8, p < 0.001). The same was true for deutetrabenazine (k=3; RR =2.13; 95% CI =1.10, 4.12; p=0.024; I<sup>2</sup>=0%;

					otatiotioo	ion cuom	study								
Group by drug	Study name	Reference	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	<i>p</i> -value	Sto	l diff ir	n mean	s and §	95% C	I
Deutetrabenazine	NCT02195700 ARM-TD 12–48 mg	56	-0.406	0.188	0.036	-0.775	-0.036	-2.153	0.031						
Deutetrabenazine	NCT02291861 AIM-TD 12 mg	57	-0.196	0.231	0.054	-0.649	0.258	-0.846	0.397			-	-		
Deutetrabenazine	NCT02291861 AIM-TD 24 mg	57	-0.473	0.234	0.055	-0.931	-0.015	-2.024	0.043		-				
Deutetrabenazine	NCT02291861 AIM-TD 36 mg	57	-0.531	0.234	0.055	-0.990	-0.073	-2.271	0.023		H				
Deutetrabenazine	-		-0.401	0.100	0.012	-0.616	-0.186	-3.662	0.000			•			
Valbenazine	NCT01688037 KINECT 50–100 mg	41, 67	-0.172	0.194	0.038	-0.552	0.208	-0.888	0.374			-	8		
Valbenazine	NCT01733121 KINECT 2 25–75 mg	41, 64, 73	-0.604	0.218	0.048	-1.122	-0.267	-3.181	0.001						
Valbenazine	NCT02274558 KINECT 3 40 mg	41, 68, 69, 70, 72	-0.556	0.206	0.042	-0.958	-0.155	-2.714	0.007						
Valbenazine	NCT02274558 KINECT 3 80 mg	41, 68, 69, 70, 72	-0.939	0.207	0.043	-1.345	-0.534	-4.544	0.000		-	F			
Valbenazine	Ŭ		-0.584	0.165	0.027	-0.908	-0.360	-3.536	0.000		1	•			
Overall			-0.457	0.091	0.008	-0.636	-0.278	-5.006	0.000			•			
										-2.00	-1.0	0 0.0	0 1.	00	2.00

Random effect meta-analysis of AIMS change

**Figure 2** Forest plot of AIMS change after treatment with deutetrabenazine and valbenazine. **Abbreviation:** AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale.

NNT =7, 95% CI =3, 333, p=0.046), and valbenazine (k=5; RR =3.05; 95% CI =1.81, 5.11; p<0.001; I<sup>2</sup>=0%; NNT =4, 95% CI =3, 6, p<0.001) individually. Similar results were found for VMAT-2 inhibitors as a class pertaining to response defined according to CGI criteria (k=9; RR =1.50; 95% CI =1.14, 1.97; p=0.003; I<sup>2</sup>=44.32%; NNT =6, 95% CI =3, 18, p=0.004), with consistent results for valbenazine (k=5; RR =2.06, 95% CI =1.24, 3.41; p=0.005; I<sup>2</sup>=59.96%; NNT =5, 95% CI =3, 62, p=0.034), while deutetrabenazine showed only a trend toward significance (k=4; RR =1.32; 95% CI =0.96,1.82; p=0.088; I<sup>2</sup>=0%; NNT =9, 95% CI =4, 200, p=0.041).

Deutetrabenazine and valbenazine were not significantly superior to placebo regarding the following secondary outcomes for which results were only available for one or the other VMAT-2 inhibitor. Deutetrabenazine: mCDQ-24 (k=4; p=0.157) and PGI-C response (k=4; p=0.149); valbenazine: CGI-TD scores change (k=6; p=0.953). Notably, when 12 mg group in AIM-TD trial was removed from analyses, a trend toward a significant improvement in mCDQ-24 appeared (p=0.079). Also, when deutetrabenazine 12 mg arm was removed from analyses, heterogeneity significantly dropped across the above-described analyses.

With regard to safety, a meta-analysis was performed for all adverse effects reported in the included data sources, and all results are reported in Table 5. No increased risk of AEs versus placebo emerged with VMAT-2 inhibitors as a class or with deutetrabenazine or valbenazine individually. This non-differential adverse effect risk included no increased risk of depression, suicidal ideation, sedation, or somnolence, which had been a concern with tetrabenazine (but whose data could not be meta-analyzed due to insufficient trial design). In fact, VMAT-2 inhibitors were associated with a significantly lower risk of nausea compared with placebo (k=4, RR =0.31, 95% CI =0.10, 0.95, p=0.04).

VMAT 2 inhibitors Placebo

### Discussion

Tetrabenazine, deutetrabenazine, and valbenazine inhibit VMAT-2 action, resulting in less dopamine being transported from the cytoplasm into presynaptic vesicles, which leads to less dopamine release from the pre-synaptic neurons into the synaptic cleft.<sup>36</sup> This reduced dopamine release results in less stimulation of post-synaptic dopamine receptors in the nigrostriatal pathway, which is thought to subsequently decrease dyskinetic movements. Despite this common mechanism of action, pharmacological factors, efficacy, and safety should be considered when selecting a VMAT-2 inhibitor to treat TD, since any preference of one VMAT-2 inhibitor over another is currently based on individual medication properties, rather than on head-to-head comparison trials, which are still lacking.

Results of this systematic review and meta-analysis indicate that high-quality evidence for the efficacy and

sia	
kine	
dysl	
ve	
ardi	
or t	
o fo	
ceb	
pla	
rsus	
le vei	
zine	
ena:	
/alb	
or <	
ne	
nazi	
abe	
etra	
leut	
ng o	
pari	
mo	
ls c	
tria	
s in	
me	
utco	
y ol	
afet	
in s;	
mai	
s of	
lysi	
-ana	
eta-	
s m	
fect	
nef	
dor	
Ran	
ы	

Outcome	No studies/	٩	No	RR	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	HNN	95% CI	95% CI	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	Publication bias
	study arms	drugs	placebo		H	٩L		)		Н	٦			(Y/N); subgroup difference
General														
Any AE														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	1.09	0.90	1.32	0.37	0	23	-16	7	0.42	0	
Valbenazine	4/6	291	213	1.26	0.96	1.66	0.09	7.83	=	43	9	0.01	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	6/710	571	344	I.I5	0.98	I.34	0.09	0	13	53	7	0.01	0	Z;Z
Death														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.84	0.09	7.76	0.87	0	> 1,000	-I 25	Ξ	0.93	0	
Valbenazine	4/6	291	213	0.70	0.08	6.04	0.74	0	<-1,000	-143	143	0.99	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	6/10	571	344	0.76	0.16	3.59	0.73	0	> I ,000	-200	167	0.96	0	Z Ž
Discontinuation due to AE														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	274	131	10.1	0.29	3.52	0.99	0	-1,000	-27	29	0.95	0	
Valbenazine	2/3	202	125	I.05	0.34	3.26	0.93	0	-45	<u> </u>	45	0.33	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	4/7	476	256	I.03	0.44	2.39	0.94	0	-100	-26	56	0.50	0	Z Ž
Dose reduction due to AE	2/4	280	131	I.84	0.59	5.73	0.29	0	333	16-	59	0.33	0	N; NA
(deutetrabenazine)														
Dose suspension due to AE	2/4	280	131	0.61	0.21	1.79	0.37	0	-62	-18	43	0.44	0	N; NA
(deutetrabenazine)														
Serious AE														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.76	0.32	I.78	0.52	0	-62	- <b>1</b> 5	29	0.54	0	
Valbenazine	4/6	291	213	I.57	0.56	4.39	0.39	0	333	-71	48	0.70	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	6/10	571	344	I.02	0.53	1.96	0.96	0	1,000	-67	56	0.87	0	Z; Z
TEAE (deutetrabenazine)	2/4	280	131	0.95	0.63	I.43	0.81	28.84	-67	8-	=	0.78	21.30	N; NA
Psychiatric														
Anxiety														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.82	0.27	2.48	0.73	0	-250	-23	28	0.49	0	
Valbenazine	1/2	151	76	1.99	0.22	17.73	0.54	0	53	-62	61	0.46	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/6	431	207	0.98	0.37	2.64	0.97	0	Ξ	59	28	0.50	0	Z Ž
Depressed mood	2/4	280	131	1.57	0.17	14.45	0.69	0	1,000		16	0.84	0	N; NA
(deutetrabenazine)														
Depression														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	279	131	0.89	0.18	4.30	0.89	0	250	-40	30	0.79	0	
Valbenazine	1/1	51	49	00 <sup>.</sup> I	0.00	24,910	00.1	NA	>1,000	-83	83	00.1	NA	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/5	330	180	0.89	0.19	4.26	0.89	0	1,000	-91	83	0.92	0	Z; Z
Insomnia														
Deutetrabenazine	1/1	58	59	4.06	0.47	35.14	0.20	0	61	-48	8	0.16	0	
Valbenazine	1/2	151	76	I.48	0.15	14.47	0.74	0	167	-37	26	0.73	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	2/3	209	135	2.52	0.52	12.08	0.25	0	71	62.5	23	0.37	0	Z;Z
														(Continued)

Table 5 (Continued)														
Outcome	No studies/ study arms	No drugs	No placebo	RR	95% CI	95% CI UL	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	HNN	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	p-value	Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup>	Publication bias (Y/N); subgroup difference
Suicidal ideation														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.83	J. 14	4.99	0.84	0	> I,000	-77	77	0.98	0	
Valbenazine	3/4	256	178	0.53 (	J. I 3	2.11	0.37	0	-1,000	=	125	0.89	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	5/8	536	309	0.63 (	0.21	1.87	0.40	0	<i,000< td=""><td>-125</td><td>143</td><td>0.91</td><td>0</td><td>Z; Z</td></i,000<>	-125	143	0.91	0	Z; Z
<b>Central nervous system</b>														
Dizziness														
Deutetrabenazine	1/1	58	59	0.67 (	). I I	3.87	0.65	0	-59	=	<b>I</b> 8	0.65	NA	
Valbenazine	1/1	51	49	0.19 (	10.C	3.89	0.28	0	-24	6-	40	0.22	NA	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	2/2	601	108	0.48 (	). I I	2.22	0.35	0	-33	-13	53	0.23	0	NA; N
Fatigue														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	I.02	0.33	3.13	0.98	0	16	-56	25	0.45	0	
Valbenazine	4/6	291	213	2.21 (	0.67	7.26	0.19	0	125	-56	29	0.54	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	6/10	571	344	I.47 (	0.65	3.32	0.36	0	01	01-	34	0.34	0	Z; Z
Sedation														
Deutetrabenazine	1/3	222	72	4.32 (	00.0	12,788.00	0.72	0	333	-100	67	0.68	22.69	
Valbenazine	2/3	86	84	1.79 (	<b>J.26</b>	12.22	0.55	0	250	-23	20	0.88	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/6	308	156	I.88	0.29	12.16	0.51	0	333	=	71	0.66	0	Z; Z
Somnolence														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.64 (	0.20	2.06	0.46	0	-43	-15	44	0.33	0	
Valbenazine	4/6	291	213	2.02	0.71	5.75	0.19	0	Ξ	=	37	0.32	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	6/10	571	344	1.21	0.55	2.63	0.63	0	200	-83	45	0.58	0	Z; Z
Movement														
Akathisia														
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	2.60 (	0.31	21.92	0.38	0	500	<u> </u>	83	0.77	0	
Valbenazine	2/4	186	Ξ	2.66 (	0.32	21.99	0.36	0	167	-83	42	0.52	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	4/8	466	242	2.63 (	0.58	11.79	0.21	0	333	-167	16	0.57	0	Z; Z
Dyskinesia														
Deutetrabenazine	1/3	222	72	0.83 (	60.C	8.05	0.87	0	1,000	-77	67	0.89	0	
Valbenazine	1/3	151	76	3.20 (	J. 18	55.83	0.42	0	125	-45	26	0.59	38.51	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	2/6	373	148	I.40	0.24	8.29	0.71	0	500	01-	67	0.73	0	Z; Z
Parkinsonism														
Deutetrabenazine	1/3	222	72	2.46 (	00.0	7,563.62	0.83	0	1,000	-I 25	16	0.80	0	
Valbenazine	1/1	51	49	_	00.0	240,910.27	1.00	0	>1,000	-83	83	00 <sup>.</sup> I	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	2/4	273	121	I.88	00.0	1,592.92	0.85	0	1,000	-143	125	0.84	0	Z; Z
Pain														
Arthralgia (valbenazine)	2/3	205	129	2.63 (	0.43	15.86	0.29	0	59	-77	21	0.27	0	N; NA
Back pain (valbenazine)	2/3	86	84	I.88	<b>J.26</b>	13.84	0.53	0	01	-21	15	0.73	9.63	N; NA

Headache													
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.73 0.32	1.69	0.46	0	-55	<u>+</u>	29	0.49	0	
Valbenazine	3/5	237	160	1.46 0.51	4.22	0.48	0	500	-29	26	0.92	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	5/9	517	291	0.95 0.49	I.84	0.89	0	-200	-29	40	0.76	0	Z; Z
Anticholinergic													
Dry mouth													
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	0.64 0.18	2.24	0.49	0	333	-40	31	0.82	25.88	
Valbenazine	2/3	202	125	2.42 0.33	17.49	0.38	20.47	34	-48	13	0.26	44.72	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	4/7	109	108	0.94 0.33	2.70	0.90	0	01	-67	29	0.45	33.14	N; N
Gastrointestinal													
Diarrhea													
Deutetrabenazine	2/4	280	131	1.11 0.37	3.33	0.85	0	250	-28	23	0.83	0	
Valbenazine	1/2	35	35	0.63 0.08	4.90	0.66	0	-32	7-7	13	0.57	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/6	315	166	0.98 0.37	2.58	0.97	0	1,000	-27	27	0.99	0	Z; Z
Nausea													
Deutetrabenazine	1/3	222	72	0.10 0.02	0.44	0.00	0	<u> </u>	9-	-53	0.01	0	
Valbenazine	1/1	51	49	I.44 0.25	8.22	0.68	0	56	<u> </u>	01	0.68	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	2/4	273	121	0.31 0.10	0.95	0.04	42.57	-22	-10	125	0.10	18.65	Z; Z
Vomiting													
Deutetrabenazine	1/1	58	59	0.33 0.03	3.15	0.34	0	-29	-10	32	0.31	0	
Valbenazine	3/5	238	160	4.01 0.73	22.15	0.11	0	250	=	59	0.53	11.49	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	4/6	296	219	1.61 0.41	6.27	0.49	0	333	-1 00	67	0.68	12.35	Z; Z
Infections													
Respiratory infection													
Deutetrabenazine	1/1	58	59	0.67 0.11	3.87	0.65	0	-59	<u>_</u>	8	0.65	0	
Valbenazine	2/3	89	88	2.74 0.14	54.97	0.51	0	>1,000	-100	16	0.96	0	
Pooled VMAT-2 inhibitors	3/4	147	147	0.96 0.21	4.36	0.95	0	<-1,000	-100	001	0.99	0	zż
Urinary infection (valbenazine)	4/6	291	213	0.60 0.21	1.73	0.34	0	-333	-48	71	0.71	0	N; NA
<b>Note:</b> Bold entries indicate subtitle <b>Abbreviations:</b> AE, adverse event N, no; Y, yes.	s and significant s; NA, not asse	findings (þ ssed; NNF	<0.05). I, number-n	leed-to-harm; F	kR, risk ratio; T	EAE, treatme	nt-emergent adverse e	vents; VMAT, v	esicular m	onoamine 1	ransporter	Y, yes; N, no; LL, lov	ver limit; UL, upper limit;

safety in patients with TD exists for the 2 FDA-approved VMAT-2 inhibitors, deutetrabenazine and valbenazine, but not for tetrabenazine. Furthermore, pharmacokinetic and also pharmacodynamic properties of VMAT-2 inhibitors differ. Tetrabenazine has a short half-life, needs to be given 3 times daily, leading to high peak levels and high peaktrough variations that seem to be responsible for off-target adverse effects, such as sedation/somnolence, acute motor syndromes, including parkinsonism and akathisia, QTc prolongation, and, possibly, depression and suicidality.<sup>15</sup> In contrast, deutetrabenazine has a longer half-life, can be given twice daily and has less peak levels and peak-trough variation, which reduces its adverse effect risk, although it still gives rise to 4 active metabolites, 2 of which have weak dopamine receptor blocking properties.42 Valbenazine, on the other hand, has an even longer half-life, can be given once daily and is metabolized to  $+-(\alpha)$ HTBZ with its 2 isomers, which each have highly selective VMAT-2 activity.41

Regarding efficacy, both deutetrabenazine and valbenazine have demonstrated robust efficacy for the reduction of AIMS scores and, regarding treatment response, 41,42,56-59,64,68-73 generally with higher doses producing greater efficacy and with medium effect sizes and clinically relevant NNTs of 2-9 for variously defined treatment response. In contrast to clinician-rated outcomes, patient-rated outcomes were less robust or nonsignificant. However, this reduced the ability to demonstrate superiority compared to placebo on patient-rated outcomes may have to do with the fact that not all patients are fully aware of the presence or impact of the TD.75-79 In this context, data are missing that put the efficacy results into the context of patient awareness and burden caused by the TD, such as in subgroup analyses. To comprehensively assess the impact of TD and of treatments for TD, the field will have to define TD-specific rating scales that tap into the subjective and functional relevance of TD that may not necessarily be directly related to total TD severity, but also (rather) to local body area distribution and the functional impact of the abnormal involuntary movements.

Moreover, since, like with clozapine,<sup>23</sup> discontinuation of valbenazine was associated with a general recurrence of TD, even after 48 weeks of treatment,<sup>59,71</sup> it will be important to clarify if there is a subgroup of patients in whom TD does not recur after remission during VMAT-2 inhibitor treatment, be it those in whom dopamine blocking agents could be stopped, or in those with a short duration or milder or locally more limited forms of TD. Notably, effects of discontinuation have not yet been studied with deutetrabenazine, while observational studies have shown TD recurrence after withdrawal of tetrabenazine.<sup>37,80</sup> Moreover, data are missing that could

help to determine if starting a VMAT-2 inhibitor in patients with only subtle dyskinetic movements that are still below the threshold of Schooler–Kane criteria<sup>81</sup> can effectively prevent progression to TD.

Different from tetrabenazine, the safety and tolerability of deutetrabenazine and valbenazine was very good. There was no increased treatment discontinuation versus placebo and no decrease in efficacy of the antipsychotics for the underlying psychiatric condition. Moreover, the QTc prolonging effects of VMAT-2 inhibitors seemed modest.<sup>68,71</sup> Similarly, in patients with TD, parkinsonian side effects, akathisia, and somnolence/sedation were far less common with either deutetrabenazine (parkinsonian side effects: 0%-1%; akathisia: 0%-5.2%; sedation/somnolence: 0%-3.8%; akathisia: 0%-5.3%; sedation/somnolence: 0%-3.8%; akathisia: 1.7%-9.5%; sedation/somnolence: 6%-31%), despite ongoing treatment with antipsychotics.

Importantly, due to results from studies in patients with, tetrabenazine has a black box warning for depression and suicidality. Based on the limited data in TD patients, tetrabenazine was still associated with depression in 6.8% (range 1.7%-11%) of patients. Conversely, neither depression nor suicidality emerged as a concern with deutetrabenazine or valbenazine, with placebo-level rates in the acute trials (deutetrabenazine: depression: 1%-4%; suicidality: 0%-3%; valbenazine: depression: 0%-1%; suicidality: 0%-4.2%) and illness base-rate frequencies<sup>82-85</sup> in the long-term studies lasting up to 1 year (deutetrabenazine: depression: 6%-20%; suicidality: 0%-13%; valbenazine: depression: 2.6%-3.2%; suicidality: 4.5%-4.5%). These reassuring results are reflected by an absence of a black box labeling by the FDA for both deutetrabenazine and valbenazine in the patient population with TD with regard to depression and suicidality. However, results are currently based on selected patient populations agreeing to participate in research studies. Since the vast majority of patients with TD (apart from those with gastrointestinal conditions and metoclopramide therapy) have an underlying psychiatric condition, mainly schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and mood disorders (roughly twothirds and one-third in the valbenazine or deutetrabenazine trials, respectively), they have an illness-inherent risk for the emergence or worsening of depression and suicidality.82-85 Therefore, despite the current absence of a signal for depression and suicidal behavior in the deutetrabenazine and valbenazine studies, clinicians need to monitor mood status and suicidality in patients on VMAT-2 inhibitors, be it illness related or as part of an adverse effect. Moreover, since

patients with active major depression or suicidality were excluded from the regulatory trials with VMAT-2 inhibitors, their safety in these populations is currently unclear.

The results of this meta-analysis need to be interpreted within its strengths and limitations. This meta-analysis has the following strengths. First, to our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive meta-analysis assessing the efficacy and safety of deutetrabenazine and valbenazine in TD. Moreover, given the conservative choice not to consider post hoc analyses from individual publications (which yield significant results in single trials as opposed to some nonsignificant findings from ITT or prespecified mITT data<sup>56,57</sup>), our significant results can be considered solid and unlikely be the result of a bias (Table 4). Moreover, results were confirmed in diagnostic subgroups. Finally, central ratings by blinded evaluators, which was applied in all DBRPCTs included in the meta-analysis and in both extension studies with deutetrabenazine and valbenazine, provides an unbiased and methodologically superior outcome assessment.86

However, some limitations should also be considered that are mainly due to the available evidence. Tetrabenazine essentially lacks sufficiently large and methodologically sound data from sufficiently large DBRPCTs to evaluate its utility in patients with TD. No RCT that compares different VMAT-2 inhibitors head-to-head currently exists. Moreover, all included trials evaluating the efficacy and safety of deutetrabenazine and valbenazine were industry-funded. However, results from this meta-analysis did not suggest the presence of any publication bias. Furthermore, results from patient-rated outcomes were inconsistent, and data on the functional impact of TD reduction with VMAT-2 inhibitors and information provided by family members/caregivers are lacking. Moreover, data regarding whether valbenazine or deutetrabenazine is effective in milder forms of TD are missing. Finally, patients included in the registration trials were psychiatrically stable and did not have active major depressive disorder or active suicidality (although patients with a history of suicidality were eligible for the studies). Thus, generalizability of the results to a more severely psychiatrically ill population with TD is currently not clear. Nevertheless, results from this meta-analysis confirm statistically and clinically relevant efficacy of deutetrabenazine and valbenazine in patients with TD, indicating their safety and tolerability in this important and previously underserved patient population.

# Conclusion

Deutetrabenazine and valbenazine are FDA approved for TD and have at present the best high-quality evidence

supporting their efficacy for TD, at the dose of 24–36 mg/day for deutetrabenazine and 40–80 mg/day for valbenazine, likely with a positive dose–response relationship. Moreover, the efficacy seems to progress further beyond the acute 6–12 weeks, as treatment continues for up to 1 year, both in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and in patients with mood disorders. Both deutetrabenazine and valbenazine are safe, at least in the studied, psychiatrically stable patient populations, and according to metaanalytic results, neither of the 2 agents seems to have an increased risk for depression or suicidality in stable patients with TD.<sup>86</sup>

Tetrabenazine has no high-quality evidence for its efficacy or safety in patients with TD and should, at best, be considered a third-line, off-label treatment for TD, unless until methodologically sound trials, ideally comparing tetrabenazine with other VMAT-2 inhibitors, show its evental non-inferiority regarding effiacy and tolerability compared with deutetrabenazine and valbenazine.

Additional RCTs with VMAT-2 inhibitors in patients with TD are needed, ideally targeting long-term safety in patients who are representative of clinical samples as well as targeting functionality and quality of life, rated by clinicians, patients, and family members/caregivers. Furthermore, head-to-head comparisons of the 2 FDA-approved agents should be conducted. In addition, studies should look to identify predictors of response and remission of TD as well as of subgroups in whom the VMAT-2 inhibitor may successfully be withdrawn after remission of TD.<sup>50</sup> Finally, strategies for patients not responding sufficiently to the currently available VMAT-2 inhibitors also require further study.

## **Author contributions**

Marco Solmi and Christoph U Correll designed the study. Marco Solmi and Giorgio Pigato run the literature search, extracted evidence. Marco Solmi, Giorgio Pigato, and Christoph U Correll drafted the manuscript. Marco Solmi, Christoph U Correll, and John M Kane interpreted the evidence and revised and refined the manuscript. All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

# Disclosure

Christoph U Correll has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from Alkermes, Allergan, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gerson Lehrman Group, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, Medavante, Medscape, Neurocrine, Otsuka, Pfizer, ROVI, Sunovion, Takeda, and Teva. He has provided expert testimony for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, and Otsuka. He served on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Lundbeck and Pfizer. He received grant support from Janssen and Takeda. He is a shareholder of LB Pharma. John M Kane has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from Alkermes, Allergan, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, Medscape, Neurocrine, Otsuka, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche, Sunovion, Takeda, and Teva. He has received grant support from Janssen, Lundbeck, and Otsuka. He is a shareholder of The Vanguard Research Group and LB Pharma. The other authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Cummings MA, Proctor GJ, Stahl SM. Deuterium tetrabenazine for tardive dyskinesia. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses*. 2018;11(4):214–220.
- 2. Correll CU, Leucht S, Kane JM. Lower risk for tardive dyskinesia associated with second-generation antipsychotics: a systematic review of 1-year studies. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161(3):414–425.
- 3. Correll CU, Schenk EM. Tardive dyskinesia and new antipsychotics. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2008;21(2):151–156.
- Woerner MG, Alvir JM, Saltz BL, Lieberman JA, Kane JM. Prospective study of tardive dyskinesia in the elderly: rates and risk factors. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998;155(11):1521–1528.
- Jeste DV, Caligiuri MP, Paulsen JS, et al. Risk of tardive dyskinesia in older patients. A prospective longitudinal study of 266 outpatients. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1995;52(9):756–765.
- Woerner MG, Correll CU, Alvir JM, Greenwald B, Delman H, Kane JM. Incidence of tardive dyskinesia with risperidone or olanzapine in the elderly: results from a 2-year, prospective study in antipsychotic-naive patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(8):1738–1746.
- Carbon M, Hsieh CH, Kane JM, Correll CU. Tardive dyskinesia prevalence in the period of second-generation antipsychotic use: a metaanalysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2017;78(3):e264–e278.
- Solmi M, Pigato G, Kane JM, Correll CU. Clinical risk factors for the development of tardive dyskinesia. J Neurol Sci. 2018;389:21–27.
- Jankelowitz SK. Treatment of neurolept-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1371–1380.
- Kane JM, Correll CU. Pharmacologic treatment of schizophrenia. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2010;12(3):345–357.
- Guy W. Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (117-AIMS), in ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology. 1976. Available from: https:// mmcp.health.maryland.gov/pap/docs/Abnormal%20Involuntary%20 Movement%20Scale.pdf. Accessed May 2, 2018.
- Chouinard G, Margolese HC. Manual for the extrapyramidal symptom rating scale (ESRS). *Schizophr Res.* 2005;76(2–3):247–265.
- Cho CH, Lee HJ. Oxidative stress and tardive dyskinesia: pharmacogenetic evidence. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2013; 46:207–213.
- Margolese HC, Chouinard G, Kolivakis TT, Beauclair L, Miller R. Tardive dyskinesia in the era of typical and atypical antipsychotics. Part 1: pathophysiology and mechanisms of induction. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2005;50(9):541–547.
- Leung JG, Breden EL. Tetrabenazine for the treatment of tardive dyskinesia. Ann Pharmacother. 2011;45(4):525–531.
- Solmi M, Murru A, Pacchiarotti I, et al. Safety, tolerability, and risks associated with first- and second-generation antipsychotics: a state-ofthe-art clinical review. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017;13:757–777.
- Leucht S, Cipriani A, Spineli L, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 15 antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9896):951–962.

- Carbon M, Kane J, Leucht S, Correll C. Tardive dyskinesia risk with first-generation and second-generation antipsychotics in comparative randomized controlled trials: a meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry*. In press 2018.
- Soares-Weiser K, Rathbone J. Neuroleptic reduction and/or cessation and neuroleptics as specific treatments for tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;1:CD000459.
- Mentzel T, Snoek R, vander Oorschot M, et al. Clozapine as a treatment for tardive dyskinesia: a meta-analysis. Schizophrenia International Research Society; April, 2–6, 2016; Florence.
- 21. Spivak B, Mester R, Abesgaus J, et al. Clozapine treatment for neurolepticinduced tardive dyskinesia, parkinsonism, and chronic akathisia in schizophrenic patients. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997;58(7):318–322.
- 22. Nielsen J, Correll CU, Manu P, Kane JM. Termination of clozapine treatment due to medical reasons: when is it warranted and how can it be avoided? *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2013;74(6):603–613; quiz 613.
- Small JG, Milstein V, Marhenke JD, Hall DD, Kellams JJ. Treatment outcome with clozapine in tardive dyskinesia, neuroleptic sensitivity, and treatment-resistant psychosis. J Clin Psychiatry. 1987;48(7):263–267.
- Zheng W, Xiang YQ, Ng CH, Ungvari GS, Chiu HF, Xiang YT. Extract of ginkgo biloba for tardive dyskinesia: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2016;49(3):107–111.
- Soares-Weiser K, Maayan N, McGrath J. Vitamin E for neurolepticinduced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;2: CD000209.
- Richardson MA, Small AM, Read LL, Chao HM, Clelland JD. Branched chain amino acid treatment of tardive dyskinesia in children and adolescents. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2004;65(1):92–96.
- Bhoopathi PS, Soares-Weiser K. Benzodiazepines for neurolepticinduced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;3: CD000205.
- Soares KV, McGrath JJ. Anticholinergic medication for neurolepticinduced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2000;2: CD000204.
- McGrath JJ, Soares KV. Miscellaneous treatments for neurolepticinduced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2000;2: CD000208.
- Alabed S, Latifeh Y, Mohammad HA, Rifai A. Gamma-aminobutyric acid agonists for neuroleptic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;4:CD000203.
- El-Sayeh HG, Lyra da Silva JP, Rathbone J, Soares-Weiser K. Nonneuroleptic catecholaminergic drugs for neuroleptic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;1:CD000458.
- Tammenmaa IA, McGrath JJ, Sailas E, Soares-Weiser K. Cholinergic medication for neuroleptic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002;3:CD000207.
- Vijayakumar D, Jankovic J. Drug-induced dyskinesia, part 2: treatment of tardive dyskinesia. *Drugs*. 2016;76(7):779–787.
- 34. Mentzel CL, Tenback DE, Tijssen MA, Visser-Vandewalle VE, van Harten PN. Efficacy and safety of deep brain stimulation in patients with medication-induced tardive dyskinesia and/or dystonia: a systematic review. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2012;73(11):1434–1438.
- Bergman H, Walker DM, Nikolakopoulou A, Soares-Weiser K, Adams CE. Systematic review of interventions for treating or preventing antipsychotic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Health Technol Assess*. 2017; 21(43):1–218.
- Bernstein AI, Stout KA, Miller GW. The vesicular monoamine transporter 2: an underexplored pharmacological target. *Neurochem Int.* 2014;73:89–97.
- Miguel R, Mendonca MD, Barbosa R, et al. Tetrabenazine in treatment of hyperkinetic movement disorders: an observational study. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2017;10(2):81–90.
- Muller T. Valbenazine granted breakthrough drug status for treating tardive dyskinesia. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2015;24(6):737–742.
- 39. Shen V, Clarence-Smith K, Hunter C, Jankovic J. Safety and efficacy of tetrabenazine and use of concomitant medications during long-term, openlabel treatment of chorea associated with Huntington's and other diseases. *Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y)*. 2013;3:tre-03-191-4337-1.

- Jankovic J. Dopamine depleters in the treatment of hyperkinetic movement disorders. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2016;17(18):2461–2470.
- 41. Citrome L. Valbenazine for tardive dyskinesia: a systematic review of the efficacy and safety profile for this newly approved novel medication what is the number needed to treat, number needed to harm and likelihood to be helped or harmed? *Int J Clin Pract*. 2017;71(7):1–14.
- 42. Citrome L. Deutetrabenazine for tardive dyskinesia: a systematic review of the efficacy and safety profile for this newly approved novel medication what is the number needed to treat, number needed to harm and likelihood to be helped or harmed? *Int J Clin Pract.* 2017;71(11):1–17.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7(3):177–188.
- DerSimonian R, Kacker R. Random-effects model for meta-analysis of clinical trials: an update. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2007;28(2):105–114.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- 47. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50(4):1088–1101.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315(7109):629–634.
- Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. 2000;56(2):455–463.
- Correll CU, Carbon M. A new class of VMAT-2 inhibitors for tardive dyskinesia. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(8):574–575.
- Caroff SN, Aggarwal S, Yonan C. Treatment of tardive dyskinesia with tetrabenazine or valbenazine: a systematic review. *J Comp Eff Res.* 2018;7(2):135–148.
- Kazamatsuri H, Chien C, Cole JO. Treatment of tardive dyskinesia. I. Clinical efficacy of a dopamine-depleting agent, tetrabenazine. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1972;27(1):95–99.
- Kazamatsuri H, Chien CP, Cole JO. Long-term treatment of tardive dyskinesia with haloperidol and tetrabenazine. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1973; 130(4):479–483.
- Ondo WG, Hanna PA, Jankovic J. Tetrabenazine treatment for tardive dyskinesia: assessment by randomized videotape protocol. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999;156(8):1279–1281.
- Mehanna R, Hunter C, Davidson A, Jimenez-Shahed J, Jankovic J. Analysis of CYP2D6 genotype and response to tetrabenazine. *Mov Disord*. 2013;28(2):210–215.
- Fernandez HH, Factor SA, Hauser RA, et al. Randomized controlled trial of deutetrabenazine for tardive dyskinesia: the ARM-TD study. *Neurology*. 2017;88(21):2003–2010.
- 57. Anderson KE, Stamler D, Davis MD, et al. Deutetrabenazine for treatment of involuntary movements in patients with tardive dyskinesia (AIM-TD): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(8):595–604.
- Anderson KE, Stamler D, Davis MD, et al. Deutetrabenazine for the treatment of tardive dyskinesia: results from an open-label, long-term study. P7-009. The American Psychiatric Association 2017 Annual Meeting; May 20–24, 2017; San Diego, CA.
- 59. Anderson KE, Stamler D, Davis MD, et al. Long-term safety of deutetrabenazine for the treatment of tardive dyskinesia: results from an open-label, long-term study. P07-010. The American Psychiatric Association 2017 Annual Meeting; May 20–24, 2017; San Diego, CA.
- Obeso JA. 2018 message from the editor in chief-Movement Disorders journal at cruise speed. *Mov Disord*. 2018;33(1):1–2.
- Freudenreich O, Remington G. Valbenazine for tardive dyskinesia. *Clin* Schizophr Relat Psychoses. 2017;11(2):113–119.
- Luo R, Bozigian H, Jimenez R, Loewen G, O'Brien CF. Single dose and repeat once-daily dose safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of valbenazine in healthy male subjects. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2017;47(3):44–52.
- Sarva H, Henchcliffe C. Valbenazine as the first and only approved treatment for adults with tardive dyskinesia. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol.* 2018;11(3):209–217.

- Clinicaltrials.gov. National Institute of Health. National Library of Medicine. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/home. Accessed May 2, 2018.
- Montgomery SA, Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry. 1979;134:382–389.
- 66. Ostergaard SD, Bech P, Miskowiak KW. Fewer study participants needed to demonstrate superior antidepressant efficacy when using the Hamilton melancholia subscale (HAM-D) as outcome measure. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;190:842–845.
- Food and Drug Administration. US Department of Health and Human Services. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/. Accessed May 2, 2018.
- Hauser RA, Factor SA, Marder SR, et al. KINECT 3: a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of valbenazine for tardive dyskinesia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2017;174(5):476–484.
- Kane JM, Correll CU, Liang GS, Burke J, O'Brien CF. Efficacy of valbenazine (NBI-98854) in treating subjects with tardive dyskinesia and schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2017;47(3):69–76.
- Factor SA, Remington G, Comella CL, et al. The effects of valbenazine in participants with tardive dyskinesia: results of the 1-year KINECT 3 extension study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2017;78(9):1344–1350.
- Josiassen RC, Kane JM, Liang GS, Burke J, O'Brien CF. Long-term safety and tolerability of valbenazine (NBI-98854) in subjects with tardive dyskinesia and a diagnosis of schizophrenia or mood disorder. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2017;47(3):61–68.
- Correll CU, Josiassen RC, Liang GS, Burke J, O'Brien CF. Efficacy of valbenazine (NBI-98854) in treating subjects with tardive dyskinesia and mood disorder. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2017;47(3):53–60.
- O'Brien CF, Jimenez R, Hauser RA, et al. NBI-98854, a selective monoamine transport inhibitor for the treatment of tardive dyskinesia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Mov Disord*. 2015;30(12):1681–1687.
- Thai-Cuarto D, O'Brien CF, Jimenez R, Liang GS, Burke J. Cardiovascular profile of valbenazine: analysis of pooled data from three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Drug Saf.* 2017 Epub Dec 7.
- Alexopoulos GS. Lack of complaints in schizophrenics with tardive dyskinesia. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1979;167(2):125–127.
- Arango C, Adami H, Sherr JD, Thaker GK, Carpenter WT Jr. Relationship of awareness of dyskinesia in schizophrenia to insight into mental illness. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999;156(7):1097–1099.
- Chong SA, Remington G, Mahendran R, Chua HC. Awareness of tardive dyskinesia in Asian patients with schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2001;21(2):235–237.
- Emsley R, Niehaus DJ, Oosthuizen PP, Koen L, Chiliza B, Fincham D. Subjective awareness of tardive dyskinesia and insight in schizophrenia. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2011;26(5):293–296.
- 79. Macpherson R, Collis R. Tardive dyskinesia. Patients' lack of awareness of movement disorder. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1992;160:110–112.
- Kenney C, Hunter C, Jankovic J. Long-term tolerability of tetrabenazine in the treatment of hyperkinetic movement disorders. *Mov Disord*. 2007;22(2):193–197.
- Schooler NR, Kane JM. Research diagnoses for tardive dyskinesia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1982;39(4):486–487.
- Hawton K, Sutton L, Haw C, Sinclair J, Harriss L. Suicide and attempted suicide in bipolar disorder: a systematic review of risk factors. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2005;66(6):693–704.
- Valtonen H, Suominen K, Mantere O, Leppamaki S, Arvilommi P, Isometsa ET. Suicidal ideation and attempts in bipolar I and II disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2005;66(11):1456–1462.
- Sokero TP, Melartin TK, Rytsala HJ, Leskela US, Lestela-Mielonen PS, Isometsa ET. Suicidal ideation and attempts among psychiatric patients with major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2003;64(9): 1094–1100.
- Hor K, Taylor M. Suicide and schizophrenia: a systematic review of rates and risk factors. J Psychopharmacol. 2010;24(4 Suppl):81–90.
- Davis MC, Miller BJ, Kalsi JK, Birkner T, Mathis MV. Efficient trial design – FDA approval of valbenazine for tardive dyskinesia. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(26):2503–2506.

# Supplementary material

### Table SI Trials excluded with reasons

Trial identifier on ClinicalTrials.gov	Drug	Reason for exclusion
NCT02509793	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01834911	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01133353	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT00362804	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT00219804	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT00632645	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01451463	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01897896	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02844179	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01795859	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02236754	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02582736	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02138864	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02191358	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01734733	Tetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT00642057	Tetrabenazine	No results available
NCT01543321	Tetrabenazine	Currently recruiting patients/no results
NCT02736955	Tetrabenazine	Currently recruiting patients/no results
NCT01795859	Deutetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02674321	Deutetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01897896	Deutetrabenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02198794	Deutetrabenazine	No results available
NCT01910480	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01916993	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02879578	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02581865	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02679079	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT02256475	Valbenazine	No tardive dyskinesia
NCT01267188	Valbenazine	No results available
NCT02736955	Valbenazine	No results available

#### Drug Design, Development and Therapy

#### Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are the features of the journal, which

has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal}$ 

Dovepress