**ORIGINAL PAPER** 



# Molecular docking studies on COVID-19 and antibacterial evaluation of newly synthesized 4-(methoxymethyl)-1,2,3-triazolean analogues derived from (E)-1-phenyl-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one

M. Nagamani<sup>1</sup> · T. Vishnu<sup>2</sup> · P. Jalapathi<sup>1</sup> · M. Srinivas<sup>3</sup>

Received: 2 January 2021 / Accepted: 27 July 2021 / Published online: 17 August 2021 © Iranian Chemical Society 2021

#### Abstract

A series of novel quinolone-based 4-(methoxymethyl)-1,2,3-triazole derivatives were synthesized, and their structures were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>CNMR and mass spectroscopy. The compounds (**IXa-l**) were screened in vitro antibacterial activity against five gram-positive and five gram-negative bacterial strains, viz. *M. Tuberculosis, M. Luteus, MRSA, B. Subtilis, B. Cereus, P. Aerginosa, K. Pneumonia, E. Coli, P. Vulgaris* and *S. Typhi*, used and compared with standard gentamycin. The combination of the pharmacologically active moieties in a single scaffold results in their synergistic effect and high antimicrobial activity against several bacterial strains. COVID-19 has spread rapidly around the globe since its first identification in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19 Mpro) has become a major health problem causing severe acute respiratory illness in humans. The causative virus is called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, and the World Health Organization named the new epidemic disease Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). Also, docking studies demonstrated that all derivatives exhibit a good theoretical affinity with Autodock 4.2 software score in between -9.89 and -13.4 kCal/mol against the main protease of COVID-19 Mpro that caused worldwide epidemics. We believe that newly synthesized quinolone-based 4-(methoxymethyl)-1,2,3-triazole derivatives can guide many future studies in organic synthesis, medicine and pharmaceutical applications.

Keywords 1,2,3-Triazole · Antimicrobial activity · COVID-19 · Autodock

## Introduction

A series of novel quinolone-based chalcones containing 1,2,3-triazole moiety were synthesized. Several natural products contain quinoline nucleus in (Cinchona Alkaloids) and quinoline analogues displaying a broad range of biological activities [1] such as anticytotoxic [2], antimicrobial [3], anti-tubercular [4] and anti-malarial [5]. Moreover,

P. Jalapathi pochampalli.ou.chemi@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Sciences and Humanities, Matrusri Engineering College, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, University Arts and Science College, Subedari Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana, India

Chalcones are a class of privileged structures that have a wide range of biological properties such as antimicrobial [6], anti-inflammatory [7] and anticancer [8]. Chalcones are natural biocides and are well-known intermediates used for the synthesis of various heterocyclic compounds. Chalcones constitute an important class of natural products belonging to the flavonoid families [9], which are also key precursors in the synthesis of many biologically important heterocycles such as benzothiazepines [10], pyrazolines [11] and flavones [12]. Furthermore, piperidine derivatives are reported as anti-HIV [13], antitumor [14] and antimicrobial [15] active molecules. Moreover, 1,2,3-triazole derivatives enhanced considerable attention for the past few decades due to their attractive chemotherapeutical importance in antimicrobial [16], anti-inflammatory [17], anticonvulsant [18], anticancer [19], anti-HIV [20] and anti-tubercular [21] activities and help to avert platelet aggregation [22]. Very recently, disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole analogues have been reported as cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonists [23], antiallergenic [24], antineoplastic [25]. Some of the 1, 2, 3-triazoles are used as deoxyribose nucleic acid (DNA) cleaving agents [26] and potassium channel activators [27], antioxidant [28], antimalarial [29] and antiprotozoal [30]. 1, 2, 3-triazole moieties are attractive connecting units, since they are stable to metabolic degradation and capable of hydrogen bonding which can be favorable in binding of biomolecular targets. In view of pharmacological significance of triazole derivatives, we were planned to synthesize some new triazole; no study on the effect of these compounds on COVID-19 M<sup>pro</sup> has been conducted so far.

Corona viruses are a large family of viruses which may cause illness in animals and humans. In human beings, several corona viruses are known to cause respiratory infections ranging from the common cold to more severe diseases such as Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). The most recently identified corona virus causes lethal SARS. This new virus and disease were unknown before the outbreak began in Wuhan, China, in 2019 [31]. Now the COVID-19 has become a pandemic and has been affecting many countries globally. A novel coronavirus (CoV) is a new strain of coronavirus.

The disease caused by the novel coronavirus first identified in Wuhan, China, has been named Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)—'CO' stands for corona, 'VI' for virus and 'D' for disease.

Formerly, this disease was referred to as '2019 novel coronavirus' or '2019-nCoV. The COVID-19 virus is a new virus linked to the same family of viruses as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and some types of common cold.

The treatment regimen identified so far includes prophylactic antibiotics administration to prevent secondary infections and administration of broad spectrum antiviral to prevent viremia. Stopping one transmission chain can prevent many future cases isolation, and quarantine can have a big impact on reducing transmission. But many people in several countries have not been following the instructions of WHO; hence, the disease has been propagating rapidly severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) CoV emerged in Guangdong, China, in 2002, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) CoV emerged in the Middle East in 2012, SARS-CoV-2 emerged in Wuhan, China, in 2019.

The human-to-the human spreading of the virus occurs due to close contact with an infected person, exposed to coughing, sneezing, respiratory droplets or aerosols. These aerosols can penetrate the human body (lungs) via inhalation through the nose or mouth. The COVID-19 virus is the largest positive-stranded RNA virus consisting of approximately 30,000 nucleotides as a part of their genome, which is 76% similar to the SARS CoV beta corona virus [32]. There are three types of beta corona viruses, which include SARS CoV, MERS and SARS CoV2 [33].

As there is no perfect suitable antiviral drug available for the treatment of COVID-19, the repurposing of existing drugs can be an effective approach for the treatment. Recently, COVID-19-infected patients administered with potential protease inhibitors like lopinavir/ritonavir have shown an improved therapeutic outcome [34] which demonstrates that Mpro or the main protease enzyme can be a promising target for drug discovery against COVID-19. Recently published crystal structure of SARS CoV2 main protease enzyme (Mpro) by Yang et al. [35] is considered as a significant breakthrough in novel coronavirus research. The structure explains that the main protease enzyme has a catalytic domain consisting HIS41 and CYS145 amino acid residues, which regulates the polyprotein to form single polypeptides that are required for replication and interpretation or transcription [36].

In this present study, through virtual screening-based molecular docking analysis, newly synthesized quinolonebased triazole molecules were identified as a potential SARS CoV2 main protease enzyme (Mpro) inhibitor.

#### **Materials and methods**

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on E.Merk AL Silicagel 60 F254 plates and visualized under UV light. The infrared (IR) spectra were determined in a PerkinElmer Fourier transform (FDIR spectrum). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded on Varian EM-360 (400 MHz mercury plus) spectrometer in DMSO-d6 or CDCl<sub>3</sub> and calibrated using solvent signals [7.25(CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and 2.50(DMSO-d6)]. All chemical shifts are recorded in  $\delta$ (ppm) using TMS as an internal standard. The mass spectra were recorded on Agilent ion trap MS Spectrometer at energy of ionizing electron equal to 70 eV. All the reagents were purchased from Aldrich, Merck and Acros Organics and used without further purification.

#### Antibacterial activity

The synthesized compounds (**IXa-***l*) were screened in vitro antibacterial activity against five gram-positive and five gram-negative bacterial strains such as *M. Tuberculosis*, *M. Luteus*, *MRSA*, *B. Subtilis*, *B. Cereus*, *P. Aerginosa*, *K. Pneumonia*, *E. Coli*, *P. Vulgaris* and *S. Typhi* compared with standard gentamycin drug. The MIC assay was performed to test the sensitivity of microorganisms to an antimicrobial agent. The tubes were incubated for 37 °C for 24 h, and later, antibacterial activity was recorded the bacterial growth at concentrations of 75 and 100 µg/mL. Among all synthesized compounds, the compounds **IXe** and **IXg** showed good activity against all the bacteria strains, compounds **IXb** and **IXI** showed more activity against *K. Pneumonia* and *E. Coli*, and this may be due to presence of electron withdrawing nature of nitro and chloro substituents on phenyl ring. The compounds **IXa** and **IXi** exhibited excellent potent activity against gram-negative bacteria *K. pneumonia* and *E. coli*. The compounds **IXa** and **IXf** showed more activity against gram-positive bacteria *B. Subtilis* and *B. Cereus* (Table 1).

The MIC experiments were performed for all the tested compounds against antibacterial strains, viz. *M. Tuberculosis, M. Luteus, MRSA, B. Subtilis, B. Cereus.* The detailed MIC results are presented in Table 2.

#### **Results and discussions**

A Vilsmeier–Haack adduct 2-chloroquinoline-3-carbaldehyde was synthesized from phosphorusoxytrichloride (6.5 ml, 70 mmol) and *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (2.3 ml, 30 mmol) at 0 °C. *N*-phenylacetamide (1.35 gm, 10 mmol) was added to the formed Vilsmeier–Haack adduct, and it was stirred at room temperature for overnight. To a solution of acetanilide (**I**) in dry DMF, POCl<sub>3</sub> was added dropwise by maintaining 0–5 °C and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h to yield 2-chloroquinoline-3-carbalde-hyde (**II**). Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC, after completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was poured in ice cold water, and the white product was filtered and dried. The compound was purified by recrystallization from petroleum ether: ethyl acetate mixture to yield pure 2-chloroquinoline-3-carbaldehyde.

A mixture of 2-Chloroquinoline-3-carbaldehyde (0.191 gm, 1 mmol), piperidine (0.102 m, 1.2 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (0.552 gm, 4 mmol) in DMF (20 ml) was heated to 80–90 °C for 10–12 h to yield corresponding 2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinoline-3-carbaldehyde (III). Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC; after completion of the reaction, the

Table 1 Antibacterial activity of newly synthesized compounds

	Concentra- tion µg/mL	Gram-pos Zone of in	sitive nhibition				Gram-negative Zone of inhibition					
		M. tubercu- losis	M. luteus	MRSA	B. subtilis	B. cereus	P. aeruginosa	K. pneu- moniae	E. coli	P. vulgaris	S. typhi	
IXa	75	26	23	18	23	26	20	22	25	27	20	
	100	28	31	32	28	32	24	25	28	28	23	
IXb	75	17	21	16	20	23	22	20	18	19	17	
	100	20	26	22	26	27	27	26	25	24	17	
IXc	75	16	18	12	15	14	13	17	18	16	13	
	100	17	20	14	17	16	15	20	20	17	15	
IXd	75	17	21	19	25	26	19	26	29	22	18	
	100	25	25	24	28	28	23	23	22	28	23	
IXe	75	29	31	19	24	28	21	23	24	31	21	
	100	31	35	34	29	36	28	26	27	35	23	
IXf	75	25	24	19	23	24	20	19	26	27	18	
	100	27	31	32	29	32	25	22	27	29	23	
IXg	75	23	22	18	21	24	19	20	23	27	20	
	100	28	28	30	28	29	26	25	28	29	25	
IXh	75	18	19	19	24	23	18	19	20	21	18	
	100	20	22	24	27	26	22	24	26	24	23	
IXi	75	19	17	22	18	20	18	18	22	19	17	
	100	20	23	25	22	23	21	22	24	22	23	
IXj	75	16	20	18	22	22	16	17	21	17	16	
	100	19	23	20	26	26	19	19	23	18	18	
IXk	75	24	28	21	22	26	20	21	25	30	20	
	100	31	32	30	25	31	26	26	26	35	24	
IXl	75	19	22	19	22	25	16	22	24	27	17	
	100	25	29	30	26	29	20	26	28	29	23	
Standard	75	32	36	39	33	37	28	30	29	35	32	
	100	38	42	45	38	43	35	33	31	38	36	

Comp. code	Gram-positive ba	acteria				Gram-negative bacteria					
	M. tuberculosis	M. luteus	MRSA	B. subtilis	B. cereus	P. aeruginosa	K. pneumonia	E. coli	P. vulgaris	S. typhi	
IXa	>150	104.6	>100	> 50	93.2	>120	<100	< 50	<100	>120	
IXb	<100	>70	>100	< 50	>100	93.0	<100	< 50	<100	>100	
IXc	>150	>250	>250	<150	161.4	169.3	>150	>150	>160	>200	
IXd	<100	>100	>120	< 50	110.1	109.8	<100	39.8	>100	>120	
IXe	>250	>150	>200	>200	>150	>175	125.7	<100	>135	>160	
IXf	>100	>70	>100	< 50	104.6	104.6	<100	< 50	>50	>150	
IXg	>150	104.6	>100	> 50	93.2	>120	<150	< 50	>70	>120	
IXh	< 100	>130	>150	>100	<100	>130	>125	<100	>130	>125	
IXi	>120	138.1	166.9	<100	109.8	>145	>130	<100	>135	>130	
IXj	>120	>100	>70	<120	>120	>100	>75	>50	>135	>140	
IXk	>250	>175	>200	>100	>150	>135	125.7	<100	>135	>160	
IXl	>150	>120	>100	< 50	93.2	104.6	<100	< 50	<100	>100	

Table 2 MIC values of newly synthesized compounds

reaction mixture was poured in ice cold water. The solid separated was filtered, washed with water, dried and purified by column chromatography using silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 10: 1, v/v) to yield the pure 2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-carbaldehyde. 1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)ethanones were synthesized from the mixture of 1-(2/3/4-hydroxyphenyl) ethanone (**Iva–c**) (10 mmol), propargyl bromide (**V**) (1.40 gm, 1.2 mmol) and anhydrous  $K_2CO_3$  (2 gm, 14 mmol) in 15 ml of dry acetone was taken in round-bottom flask and refluxed for 8 h. After completion of the reaction, acetone was distilled under vacuum and it was diluted with cold water and the precipitate formed was filtered, washed with water and crystallized from methanol to give 1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)ethanones (**Via–c**).

#### M.P.: 136 °C

A mixture of 2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-carbaldehyde, 1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)ethanones (**Via–c**) and potassium hydroxide in ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 5–6 h to afford (E)-1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-ones (**VIIa–c**).

Synthetic procedure for (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-yl)-1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-ones (Vlla-c): A methanolic solution of 2-(Piperidin-1-yl) quinoline-3-carbaldehyde (III) (1 mmol), propargylated acetophenones (Via-c) (1 mmol) and potassium hydroxide was subjected to microwave irradiation at 180 W for 5–7 min. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC, after completion of the reaction. The reaction mixture was poured into ice cold water, slowly the solid separates out, it filtered, washed with water, dried and purified by using column chromatography using *n*-hexane:ethyl acetate (9:1) to afford pure (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)-1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-ones (**VIIa-c**).

The target compounds were synthesized starting from acetanilide, Vilsmeier–Haack Reaction on acetanilide to yield 2-chloroquinoline-3-carbaldehyde; the 2-(Piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-carbaldehyde was prepared by substitution of chloro to piperidine of compound. The compound on Claisen–Schmidt condensed with propargylated acetophenones under reflux to yield propargylated chalcones. The triazole derivatives were prepared by Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of propargylated chalcones with aromatic azides in presence of copper sulfate pentahydrate catalyst and sodium ascorbate in DMF:water solvent medium, with excellent yield.

Structures of the compounds were established based on spectral analysis. As a representative case, the spectral analysis of (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one was discussed in IR spectrum (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the characteristic peaks observed at 1649 and 2105 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to C=O and acetyleneic group, respectively. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, Fig. 1) of the newly formed two protons of O-CH<sub>2</sub> groups resonated at  $\delta$  4.79–4.80 ppm as doublet and proton of acetylenic group appeared at  $\delta$  2.50–2.51 ppm as a triplet. A multiplet appeared at  $\delta$  1.63–1.70 ppm integrating for six protons was assigned to three methylene group protons of piperidine ring, and a triplet at  $\delta$  3.31–3.33 ppm integrating for four protons was assigned to two N-methylene group protons of piperidine ring. All the remaining aromatic protons appeared between  $\delta$ 7.10 and 7.82 ppm.

Synthesis of (E)-1-(2/3/4-((1-aryl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-ones A mixture of (E)-1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)



Fig. 1 Structures of newly synthesized propoxylated intermediates

prop-2-en-1-ones, arylazide, sodium ascorbate and  $CuSO_4.5H_2O$  in t-butanol:water (2:1) medium were stirred to afford (E)-1-(2/3/4-((1-aryl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-ones.

Structures of the products were established based on spectral analysis. As a representative case, the spectral analysis of (E)-1-(2-((1-(substituted)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one was discussed in IR spectrum (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the characteristic peaks observed at 1649 and 1590 assigned to C=O and C=N, respectively. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) of the two protons of O-CH<sub>2</sub> group resonated at  $\delta$  5.31 ppm as singlet. A multiplet appeared at  $\delta$  1.68–1.78 integrating for six protons was assigned to three methylene group protons of piperidine ring, and a triplet at  $\delta$  3.33–3.36 integrating for four protons was assigned to two N-methylene group protons of piperidine ring.

Various substituted anilines were allowed to react with sodium nitrate and dil. Hydrochloric acid in presence of sodium azide for 1 h at room temperature to obtain aryl azides.

Synthesis of (E)-1-(2/3/4-((1-aryl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-ones A mixture of (E)-3-(2-(Piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(2/3/4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl) prop-2-en-1-ones (VIIa-c) (1 mmol), aryl azides (VIIIa-d) (1.1 mmol) and catalytic amount of Copper iodide in DMF:water (3 ml) was stirred for 8–10 h at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, the organic compound was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 20$  ml) and the combined organic solvent was washed with water, brine solution and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified with column chromatography by using n-hexane:ethyl acetate (7:3) to afford pure 1,2,3-triazole derivatives (**IXa-I**). All the remaining aromatic protons appeared between  $\delta$ 7.09 and 8.29.



Scheme

#### **Docking experimental**

Initially, all the molecules were sketched in chemsketch and saved it in.mol format. The molecules were converted into.pdb format by using PyMOL tool. The molecules were docked by using Autodock 4.2. The protein was loaded; Chain A was saved in.PDBQT after removal of water molecules.

All the ligands were docked individually. Each and every molecule was loaded and saved it in.PDBQT format. Coordinates were assigned and generated grid to binding the molecule in that particular receptor area to form a grid using AutoGrid. Coordinates of X, Y and Z (–11.824, 14.735 and 74.152) were selected. Autodock uses Lamarkian Genetic Algorithm to dock the molecules.

#### **Docking methodology**

Molecular docking study was performed by using AUTO-DOCK 4.2 which was a suite of automated docking tools and was used to predict the affinity, activity and binding orientation of ligand with the target protein and to analyze best conformations. The proteins with all the compounds were loaded individually into ADT and evaluated ten finest conformations. In the present investigation, we focused mainly on the binding energy values of the title compounds which use genetic algorithm (GA). All proteins were loaded separately into AutoDock Tools (ADT ver.1.5.6) (http://autod ock.scripps.edu/resources).

Three-dimensional coordinates of **COVID-19** main protease (PDB ID: **6LU7**) [37] were obtained in.pdb format from the Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org/) where 6LU7 is the protein sequence of 2019-nCoV. The.pdb file was entered into AutodockTools (ADT ver.1.5.6) for preparation of a.pdbqt file and grid box creation. Water molecules and other atoms were excluded, and ADT measured the Gasteiger charges for protein atoms; Auto-Grid was used with a grid box to create the grid map. The size of grid was determined at  $60 \times 60 \times 60$  xyz points with a grid spacing of 0.375 A, and a grid center at dimensions (*x*, *y* and *z*, respectively): -11.824, 14.735 and 74.152 was designated. The PDB file of the enzyme (PDB ID: 6LU7) was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) [38].

Table 3 Binding energy and predicted contacting residues of newly synthesized derivatives that interact with protein 6LU7

S. nos	Code	Name of the compound	Binding Score (Kcal/ mol)	Interacting amino acid
1	VIIa	(E)-1-(2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	-9.89	GLY143
2	VIIb	(E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(3-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 10.44	GLU166:HN1
3	VIIc	(E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 10.06	ARG188:O1
4	IXa	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} (E)-1-(2-((1-(2-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy) phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one \end{array}$	- 12.06	GLY143:HN1
5	IXb	(E)-1-(2-((1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	-11.96	GLY143:HN1
6	IXc	(E)-1-(2-((1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	-11.53	GLU166:HN1 GLN192:HN1
7	IXd	(E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(2-((1-(p-tolyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy) phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	-11.81	GLU166:HN1
8	IXe	(E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(3-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 11.77	THR26
9	IXf	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} (E)-1-(3-((1-(3-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy) phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one \end{array}$	-13.4	GLY143:HN1 CYS145:HN1
10	IXg	(E)-1-(3-((1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	-12.4	-
11	IXh	(E)-1-(3-((1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 13.38	GLY143:HN1
12	IXi	(E)-1-(3-((1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	-11.28	GLU166:HN1
13	IXj	(E)-1-(4-((1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 12.49	GLY143:HN1 CYS145:HN1
14	IXk	(E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(4-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	-11.95	THR26
15	IX <i>l</i>	(E)-1-(4-((1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	- 12.66	CYS145:HN1

#### **Docking results**

The structural interactions between PDB with inhibitors were docked separately. Docking studies are commonly performed for predicting binding modes to proteins and their binding energies of ligands. X, Y, Z coordinates of PDB were selected by using SPDBV. Molecular docking studies was carried out for three propoxylated intermediates and all corresponding newly synthesized triazole derivatives against crystal structure of SARS CoV19 main protease enzyme (Mpro) (PDB ID: 6LU7). The binding energy, inhibition constant, hydrogen bond forming residues and interacting residues of all the synthesized derivatives when docked with SARS CoV19 main protease enzyme are presented in Table 3. The binding energy for all the molecules ranges from -9.89 to -13.4 kCal/mol. Propargylated compounds (VIIa, VIIb and VIIc) were shown lower binding energy compared to triazole derivatives (IXa to IXl). Compound **IXf**, a chloro-substituted derivative, had shown highest binding energy of -13.4 kCal/mol with two interactions (GLY143, CYS145). Compounds have IXg not shown interactions. All the derivatives have good interactions with GLY143, ARG188, GLN192, GLU166, THR26 and CYS145 shown in Fig. 2.

The comparison of free energies corresponding to binding of title compounds with target protein reveals that maximum of the compounds has shown good interactions. Almost all molecules exhibited lower free energy values, indicating more thermodynamically favored interactions.

Vlla) (E)-1-(2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl) quinolin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1428, 1592, 1649 and 2105; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.63–1.70 (m, 2H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.51–2.52 (t, 1H, acetylene proton), 3.31–3.33 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 4.79–4.80 (d, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 7.10–7.14 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.31–7.35 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.52 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.57–7.61 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.65–7.70 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.74–7.82 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.24 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 25.9, 51.7, 56.6, 76.1, 78.0, 113.3, 121.9, 123.2, 124.1, 124.7, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 129.9, 130.2, 130.4, 132.6, 136.6, 141.3, 147.8, 155.9, 160.7, 192.9; MS 397 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

VIIb) (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(3-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one: Yield: 83%; m.p. 82–85 °C; IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1428, 1594, 1657 and 2110; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.77 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55–2.26 (t, 1H, acetylene proton), 3.55 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 4.79 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.22–7.24 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.34–7.37 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.45–7.49 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.60–7.73 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.83–7.84 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.99–8.03 (m, 1H, Ar) 8.24 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.8, 56.0, 75.9, 77.8, 114.2, 120.0, 121.8, 122.5, 123.0,

124.3, 124.6, 127.4, 127.8, 129.7, 130.4, 136.9, 139.4, 142.8, 157.8, 189.9; MS 397 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

VIIc) (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl)-1-(4-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one: Yield: 85%; m.p. 82-85 °C; IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1425, 1595, 1653 and 2108; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.81 (m, 4H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.57–2.58 (t, 1H, acetylene proton), 3.34–3.36 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 4.79–4.80 (d, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.08–7.11 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.33–7.37 (t, 1H, Ar–H), 7.59–7.73 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.82–7.84 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 7.98–8.02 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.09–8.11 (d, 2H, Ar–H), 8.23 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.7, 55.9, 76.1, 77.7, 114.8, 122.3, 123.3, 124.2, 124.7, 130.3, 130.7, 131.7, 136.8, 142.0, 147.8, 160.7, 162.2, 188.7; MS 397 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

IXa) (E)-1-(2-((1-(2-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1420, 1590 and 1649; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.78 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.36 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.41 (s, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.09–7.10 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.36–7.47 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.60–7.75 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.84–7.86 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.04 (m, 1H, ArH), 8.13 (d, 1H, ArH), 8.29 (m, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.5, 26.0, 29.7, 51.8, 62.1, 114.3, 119.9, 121.7, 121.8, 122.5, 123.1, 124.3, 124.7, 125.4, 127.4, 127.6, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 129.7, 129.8, 130.1, 130.4, 130.8, 130.9, 137.0, 142.6, 146.6, 158.5, 189.9; MS 551 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXb)** (E)-1-(2-((1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1428, 1595 and 1655; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.61–1.67 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), **5.42** (s, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.00–7.02 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 7.12–7.20 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.27–7.29 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.39–7.43 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.51–7.58 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.64–7.79 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.89–7.91 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.14 (m, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 24.5, 25.9, 51.8, 62.8, 113.0, 121.8, 122.7, 124.2, 124.6, 125.4, 127.3, 127.8, 128.0, 129.5, 129.7, 130.2, 130.5, 130.6, 133.1, 133.6, 136.4, 140.5, 147.7, 156.6, 160.7, 192.7; MS 562 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXc)** (E)-1-(2-((1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1425, 1595 and 1651; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.59–1.68 (m, 6H, 3 X -CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.21–3.22 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.43 (s, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.14–7.20 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.27–7.29 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.45–7.47 (d, 2H, Ar–H), 7.52–7.59 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.69–7.79 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.95 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.02–8.04 (d, 2H, Ar–H), 8.09 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm:



Fig. 2 Docking mode of the compounds IXa-IX*l* in active site of 6LU7

IXh





Fig. 2 (continued)

 $\begin{array}{l} 25.9,\ 29.7,\ 51.0,\ 119.8,\ 124.5,\ 125.2,\ 127.4,\ 127.7,\ 127.9,\\ 130.6,\ 132.6,\ 133.2,\ 134.9,\ 135.3,\ 136.0,\ 146.8,\ 147.7,\\ 148.1,\ 148.9,\ 149.5,\ 150.1,\ 150.3,\ 151.9,\ 152.0,\ 168.2,\\ 174.2,\ 174.7,\ 174.8,\ 198.3;\ MS\ 562\ (M+H)^+. \end{array}$ 

IXd) (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-yl)-1-(2-((1-(p-tolyl) -1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1424, 1594 and 1653; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.67–1.78 (m, 6H, 3 X -CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.60 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.44 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.38 (s, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.04–7.13 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.34–7.47 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.53–7.57 (m, 4H,

Ar–H), 7.63–7.93 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 8.09 (s, 1H, quinoline-H);  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.5, 25.9, 52.5, 118.5, 117.8, 120.4, 120.8, 121.4, 121.7, 122.3, 123.9, 124.2, 124.3, 127.3, 127.4, 127.7, 127.9, 128.7, 128.9, 129.0, 129.3, 130.3, 130.0.9, 132.2, 133.0, 133.6, 135.6, 136.4, 140.6, 141.1, 157.1, 160.1, 190.6; MS 547 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

IXe) (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-yl)-1-(3-((1-(4-(tri fluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1427, 1596 and 1655; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.77 (m, 6H, 3

XCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.34 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.38 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.26– 7.33 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.82 (m, 10H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.04 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.13 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.27 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.8, 62.0, 113.5, 114.1, 118.5, 119.8, 121.0, 121.7, 122.4, 123.0, 124.3, 124.7, 127.4, 127.8, 130.4, 131.2, 136.9, 139.6, 142.8, 147.9, 149.9, 158.4, 160.7, 189.9; MS 585 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

IXf) (E)-1-(3-((1-(3-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1426, 1593 and 1652; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.78 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.34–3.36 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.39 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.27–7.49 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.57–7.84 (m, 7H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.10 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.27 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 25.7, 507, 62.8, 113.0, 117.8, 118.5, 120.6, 120.7, 120.9, 121.4, 121.7, 122.6, 123.9, 126.4, 127.3, 127.8, 128.8, 129.1, 129.7, 130.4, 130.6, 133.1, 133.6, 136.6, 142.0, 144.6, 148.2, 156.6, 192.2; MS 551(M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

IXg) (E)-1-(3-((1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1422, 1590 and 1651; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.76 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.33 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.36 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.19–7.47 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.55–7.83 (m, 7H, Ar–H), 7.98–8.02 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.08–8.12(d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.26 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.8, 61.9, 113.6, 114.1, 118.4, 119.8, 120.7, 121.0, 122.3, 123.0, 124.0.3, 127.4, 127.8, 129.8, 130.4, 132.2, 135.6, 136.9, 137.7, 139.5, 141.1, 142.7, 147.9, 158.4, 160.7, 189.9; MS 547 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXh)** (E)-1-(3-((1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1425, 1590 and 1650; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.67–1.78 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.35 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.41 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.26– 7.48 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.66–7.81 (m, 9H, Ar–H), 7.98–8.10 (d, 3H, Ar–H), 8.28 (s, 1H, quinoline-H)); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.8, 62.0, 114.3, 119.8, 121.7, 122.4, 123.0, 124.3, 124.5, 124.7, 125.6, 127.4, 127.8, 128.0, 129.9, 130.1, 130.4, 130.9, 133.8, 136.9, 139.6, 142.7, 144.4, 147.9, 153.8, 158.4, 189.9; MS 547 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXi)** (E)-1-(3-((1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1427, 1592 and 1652; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.66–1.77 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.35 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.41 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.26– 7.27 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.34–7.37 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.50 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.60–7.74 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.82–7.84 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 7.99–8.04 (m, 3H, Ar–H) 8.23–8.27 (d, 2H, Ar–H), 8.41–8.43 (d, 2H, Ar–H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.8, 61.9, 114.0, 119.8, 120.5, 120.9, 121.8, 122.3, 123.0, 124.3, 124.6, 125.6, 127.4, 127.8, 129.9, 130.5, 137.0, 139.6, 141.0, 142.9, 147.9, 158.3, 160.7, 189.9; MS 502 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

IXj) (E)-1-(4-((1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1419, 1588 and 1648; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm: 1.66–1.77 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.35 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.58 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.17– 7.35 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.40–7.84 (d, 5H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.24 (m, 7H, Ar–H), 8.44 (m, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ C, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.7, 62.0, 114.7, 120.9, 122.3, 123.3, 123.4, 124.2, 127.4, 127.7, 129.2, 129.9, 130.3, 130.9, 136.8, 141.9, 147.7, 160.7, 162.0, 188.5; MS 536 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXk)** (E)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinoline-3-yl)-1-(4-((1-(4-(tri fluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1429, 1595 and 1652; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.67–1.78 (m, 6H, 3 X CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.35 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.40 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.15–7.34 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.60–7.88 (m, 7H, Ar–H), 7.99– 8.23 (m, 4H, Ar–H & quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.7, 62.0, 113.5, 114.6, 114.7, 118.5, 121.0, 121.1, 122.2, 123.2, 124.2, 124.7, 127.4, 127.7, 130.3, 130.9, 131.2, 131.6, 136.8, 142.0, 147.8, 150.0, 160.7, 161.8, 188.6; MS 547 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

**IXI)** (E)-1-(4-((1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methoxy)phenyl)-3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)quinolin-3-yl) prop-2-en-1-one: IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1421, 1589 and 1649; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.66–1.77 (m, 6H, 3 X N–C-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.35 (t, 4H, 2 X N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 5.40 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.13–7.14 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 7.35–7.36 (t, 1H, Ar–H), 7.45–7.48 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.59–7.72 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.80–7.84 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.98–8.02 (d, 1H, Ar–H), 8.09–8.11 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.23 (s, 1H, quinoline-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 24.6, 26.0, 51.7, 62.0, 114.7, 118.5, 120.8, 122.2, 123.2, 124.2, 124.7, 127.4, 127.7, 129.1, 130.3, 130.9, 131.6, 135.7, 136.8, 137.7, 141.9, 147.8, 160.7, 161.8, 188.6; MS 547 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>.

## Conclusion

Novel series of 1,2,3-Triazolyl-piperidinyl-quinolinolines have successfully synthesized starting from acetanilide by transformation of different chemical reaction. The formation of triazole derivative was synthesized by the reaction terminal alkyne with different aryl azide in the presence of copper sulfate pentahydrate with sodium ascorbate in DMF:water medium, this method is proved to be an easy and efficient new synthetic protocol for preparation triazole derivatives, and this protocol gave in excellent yields with shorter reaction. Molecular docking studies were also performed for all compounds into the binding cavity of protein **6LU7**. All the compounds have shown interactions with good binding energies except **IXg**. Compound **IXf**, a chloro-substituted derivative, showed highest binding energy of -13.4 kCal/ mol with three interactions (**GLY143**, **CYS145**). Hence, we conclude that all these newly synthesized molecules would be the potential lead molecules.

### References

- A. Marella, O.P. Tanwar, R. Saha, M. Rahmat Ali, S. Srivastava, M. Akhter, M. Shaquiquzzaman, M. Mumtaz Alam, Saudi Pharm. J. 21(1), 1–12 (2013)
- B. Wang, Q. Li, W. Shi, Li. Chen, J. Sun, Chem. Biol. Drug. Des. 91(4), 957 (2018)
- D. Ashok, A. Ganesh, B. Vijaya Lakshmi, S. Ravi, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 84, 1237 (2014)
- Y.D. Bodke, S. Shankerrao, R. Kenchappa, S. Telkar, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 87(8), 1843 (2017)
- 5. S. Jain, A. Kumar, D. Saini, Exp. Parasitol. 185, 107 (2018)
- D. Patel, P. Kumari, B. Navin Patel, Med. Chem. Res. 22(2), 726 (2013)
- J. Rojas, M. Paya, J.N. Dominguez, M.L. Ferrandiz, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 12, 1951 (2002)
- C. Echeverria, J.S. Santibanez, O. Donoso-Tauda, C.A. Escobar, R. Ramirez-Tagle, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 10, 221 (2009)
- A. Gopinathan, M. Moidu, M. Mukundan, S. Ellickal Narayanan, H. Narayanan, N. Adhikari, Drug Dev. Res. (2020). https://doi. org/10.1002/ddr.21727
- O. Prakash, A. Kumar, A. Sadana, R. Prakash, S.P. Singh, R.M. Claramunt, D. Sanz, I. Alkorta, J. Elguero, Tetrahedron 61, 6642 (2005)
- R.Y. Prasad, L.A. Rao, L. Prasoona, K. Murali, R.P. Kumar, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 15, 5030 (2005)
- 12. B.A. Bohn, *Introduction to Flavonoids* (Harwood Academic, Amsterdam, 1998)
- D.J. Hwang, S.N. Kim, J.H. Choi, Y.S. Lee, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 9(6), 1429 (2001)
- Y. Arun, G. Bhaskar, C. Balachandran, S. Ignacimuthu, P.T. Perumal, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 23(6), 1839 (2013)
- P.P. Mukovoz, P.A. Slepukhin, O.S. Eltsov, I.N. Ganebnykh, A.V. Gorbunova, A.N. Sizentsov, M.L. Rusyaev, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 87(10), 2291 (2017)
- B.F. Abdel-Wahab, E. Abdel-Latif, H.A. Mohamed, G.E.A. Awad, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 52, 263 (2012)
- C.-Y. Cheng, A. Haque, M.-F. Hsieh, S.I. Hassan, M.S.H. Faizi, N. Dege, M.S. Khan, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21(11), 3823 (2020)

- S. Kumar, S.L. Khokra, A. Yadav, Futur. J. Pharm. Sci. 7(1), 106 (2021)
- B. Ashok Kumar, E. Sathish Kumar, T. SreenivasSubbaiah, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 88(3), 587 (2018)
- H.B. Lazrek, M. Taourirte, T. Oulih, J.L. Barascut, J.L. Imbach, C. Pannecouque, M. Witrouw, E. De Clercq, Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucl. Acids 20(12), 1949 (2001)
- 21. K.K. Angajala, S. Vianala, R. Macha, M. Raghavender, M. Krishna Thupurani, P.J. Pathi, Springerplus 5, 423 (2016)
- A.C. Cunha, J.M. Figueiredo, J.L.M. Tributino, A.L.P. Miranda, H.C. Castro, R.B. Zingali, C.A.M. Fraga, M.C.B.V. de Souza, V.F. Ferreirac, E.J. Barreiroa, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 11, 2051 (2003)
- 23. D.R. Hou, S. Alam, T.C. Kuan, M. Ramanathan, T.P. Lin, M.S. Hung, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **19**, 1022 (2009)
- D.R. Buckle, C.J.M. Rockell, H. Smith, B.A. Spicer, J. Med. Chem. 29, 2262 (1986)
- S. Danoun, G. Baziard-Mouysset, J.L. Stigliani, M. Payard, M. Selkti, B. Viossat, A. Tomas, Heterocycl. Commun. 4, 45–51 (1998)
- M. Stefano, V. Chiara Beatrice, M. Maurizio, B. Nicoletta, R. Cristina, M. Carlo, G. Roberto, Pyrazolo-triazoles as light achievable DNA cleaving agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 8, 2343–2346 (2000)
- I.G. Biagi, V. Calderone, I. Giorgi, O. Livi, V. Scartoni, B. Baragatti, E. Martinotti, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35(7–8), 715 (2000)
- I. Khan, S. Ali, S. Hameed, N.H. Rama, M.T. Hussain, A. Wadood, Z. Ul-Haq, A. Khan, S. Ali, M.I. Choudhary, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45, 5200 (2010)
- N. Boechat, L. Ferreira Mde, L.C. Pinheiro, A.M. Jesus, M.M. Leite, C.C. Júnior, A.C. Aguiar, I.M. de Andrade, A.U. Krettli, Chem. Biol. Drug. Des. 84, 325 (2014)
- A.S. Bakunov, S.M. Bakunova, T. Wenzler et al., J. Med. Chem. 53, 254 (2010)
- A.U. Bach, J. Barrila, A. Velazquez-Campoy, S.A. Leavitt, E. Freire, Biochemistry 43(17), 4906 (2004)
- 32. Indwiani Astuti\*, Ysrafil (2020) Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews., 14, 407.
- 33. M. Letko, A. Marzi, V. Munster, Nat. Microbiol. 5, 562 (2020)
- T-T Yao, J-D Qian, W-Y Zhu, Y Wang, G-Q Wang First published, J. Med. Virol. (2020)
- 35. Z. Jin, X. Du, Y. Xu, Y. Deng, M. Liu, Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, X. Li, L. Zhang, C. Peng, Y. Duan, J. Yu, L. Wang, K. Yang, F. Liu, R. Jiang, X. Yang, T. You, X. Liu, X. Yang, F. Bai, H. Liu, X. Liu, L.W. Guddat, W. Xu, G. Xiao, C. Qin, Z. Shi, H. Jiang, Z. Rao, H. Yang, Nature **582**, 289 (2020)
- 36. G.-G. Chang, *Molecular Biology of the SARS-Coronavirus* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009), p. 115
- K.A. Peele, C.P. Durthi, T. Srihansa, S. Krupanidhi, V.S. Ayyagari, D. John Babu, M. Indira, A. Ranganadha Reddy, T.C. Venkateswarulu, Inf. Med. Unlocked 19, 100345 (2020)
- H.M. Berman, The protein data bank. Nucl. Acids Res. 28(1), 235 (2000)