



Wei Lin Toh, M.Psych/PhD <sup>1</sup>, Erica Neill, PhD <sup>1,2,3</sup>  
 Andrea Phillipou, PhD,<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>  
 Eric J. Tan, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup>  
 Tamsyn E. Van Rheenen, PhD,<sup>1,5</sup> Denny Meyer, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Susan L. Rossell,  
 PhD,<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Mental Health, Faculty of Health, Arts & Design, Swinburne University of Technology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Mental Health, St Vincent's Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne, <sup>4</sup>Department of Mental Health, Austin Hospital, and <sup>5</sup>Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne & Melbourne Health, Melbourne, Australia  
 Email: wtoh@swin.edu.au

Received 30 August 2020; revised 8 November 2020; accepted 12 January 2021.

## Association between living with others and depressive symptoms in Japanese hospital workers during the COVID-19 pandemic

doi:10.1111/pcn.13206

This Letter presents the findings of a cross-sectional study on the association between living with others and depressive symptoms among 1228 workers, aged 21–73 years, from a large hospital and its affiliated institute in Tokyo, 66.8% of whom had engaged in some sort of COVID-19-related work. The Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Tables are presented as an online supplement (Appendix S1).

The COVID-19 pandemic is having a particularly significant psychological impact on health-care workers, with 25% reported to be depressed during the pandemic.<sup>1</sup> Health-care workers are not only at higher risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and increased workloads,<sup>2</sup> but also social isolation and rejection due to the high probability that they will come into contact with potentially infectious COVID-19 patients.<sup>3</sup>

Social support has been recognized as a protective factor for mental health among health-care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>4</sup> However, social restrictions lead to reduced access to support from family and friends, and degrade social support systems, which can cause loneliness and depressed mood.<sup>5</sup> In particular, individuals who live alone may be at high risk of adverse psychological conditions when they miss out on opportunities for emotional exchange and social support with cohabitants. These findings indicate the need to explore the relation between living alone and depressive symptoms during pandemic-related restrictions.

While accumulating evidence suggests that living alone exacerbates depressive symptoms,<sup>6</sup> research on this issue among health-care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic is scarce. To our knowledge, only one recent study in China has investigated the relation between living alone and depression symptoms in medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>7</sup>

The aim of this study was to examine the cross-sectional association of living with others with depressive symptoms among staff members at the National Center for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM) in Tokyo, Japan, a leading institute in the response to COVID-19 in Japan.

Data for the present study were derived from the NCGM Clinical Epidemiology Study on SARS-CoV-2 Antibody, an ongoing clinical epidemiological study conducted among workers at NCGM. The first wave of the survey, conducted in July 2020, mainly targeted those who had

engaged in COVID-19-related work or had worked in a department with high risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Of 1579 eligible participants, 1228 employees participated in the survey. Depressive symptoms were assessed using a two-question case-finding instrument for depression.<sup>8</sup> Living status was categorized into living 'alone,' 'with one person,' 'with two people,' 'with three people,' or 'with four people or more.' To examine the cross-sectional association between living with others and depressive symptoms, we performed multiple logistic regression analysis and calculated the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals of depressive symptoms for living with others, using those who lived alone as the reference group. Variables adjusted in the multivariate model were age, sex, occupation, working hours, degree of possible exposure to SARS-CoV-2, leisure-time physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, sleep duration, body mass index, comorbidity of chronic disorders, and dietary factors. Details of variables used in this analysis are described in Appendix S2.

Of 1228 participants, 268 participants (21.8%) were identified as having depressive symptoms. The OR of depressive symptoms tended to decrease with increasing number of cohabitants. To our knowledge, this is one of only a few studies to have investigated the association between living with others and depressive symptoms in hospital workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our findings agree with those of a meta-analysis of observational studies among the elderly, which indicated that older people living alone have a higher risk of depression than those living with others.<sup>6</sup> In a cross-sectional study conducted in China during the COVID-19 pandemic, medical staff living alone reported significantly higher depressive symptoms than those living with others.<sup>7</sup> We confirmed that living with others is associated with the mental health of hospital workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, even after adjustment for sleep and mood-related dietary factors. The present study is limited due to its cross-sectional design and lack of detailed information on family members/cohabitants. Further studies are required to address these issues.

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the NCGM COVID-19 Gift Fund and the Japan Health Research Promotion Bureau Research Fund (2020-B-09).

### Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Luo M, Guo L, Yu M, Jiang W, Wang H. The psychological and mental impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on medical staff and general public - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020; **291**: 113190.
- Adams JG, Walls RM. Supporting the health care workforce during the COVID-19 global epidemic. *JAMA* 2020; **323**: 1439–1440.
- Kakunje A, Mithur R, Kishor M. Emotional well-being, mental health awareness, and prevention of suicide: Covid-19 pandemic and digital psychiatry. *Arch. Med. Health Sci.* 2020; **8**: 147–153.
- Hou T, Zhang T, Cai W *et al.* Social support and mental health among health care workers during coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: A moderated mediation model. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**: e0233831.
- Zhou X, Snoswell CL, Harding LE *et al.* The role of telehealth in reducing the mental health burden from COVID-19. *Telemed. J. E Health* 2020; **26**: 377–379.
- Xiu-Ying H, Qian C, Xiao-Dong P, Xue-Mei Z, Chang-Quan H. Living arrangements and risk for late life depression: A meta-analysis of published literature. *Int. J. Psychiatry Med.* 2012; **43**: 19–34.
- Liu Y, Chen H, Zhang N *et al.* Anxiety and depression symptoms of medical staff under COVID-19 epidemic in China. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2020; **278**: 144–148.
- Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J, Browner WS. Case-finding instruments for depression. Two questions are as good as many. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 1997; **12**: 439–445.

## Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

**Appendix S1.** Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Tables.

**Appendix S2.** Details of variables used in this analysis.

Takako Miki, MPH, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Shohei Yamamoto, MSc,<sup>1</sup> Yosuke Inoue, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Ami Fukunaga, MPH,<sup>1</sup> Zobida Islam, MPH,<sup>1</sup> Hironori Ishiwari,<sup>2</sup> Masamichi Ishii,<sup>2</sup> Kengo Miyoi, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Maki Konishi,<sup>1</sup> Norio Ohmagari, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup> and Tetsuya Mizoue, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, Center for Clinical Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Center for Medical Informatics Intelligence, and <sup>3</sup>Disease Control and Prevention Center, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

Email: takakomiki-ky@umin.ac.jp

Received 18 November 2020; revised 18 January 2021;

accepted 22 January 2021.

# Evaluation of pathological sleepiness by Multiple Sleep Latency Test and 24-hour polysomnography in patients suspected of idiopathic hypersomnia

doi:10.1111/pcn.13196

Sleepiness is considered not to be unidimensional. The *International Classification of Sleep Disorders*, 3rd edition (ICSD-3) employs two criteria for 'pathological sleepiness' for idiopathic hypersomnia: (i) sleep prolongation with a 24-h total sleep time (TST)  $\geq$  660 min, measured either by 24-h polysomnography (24-h PSG) or by wrist-actigraphy-based sleep time averaged for at least 7 days; and high sleep propensity with a mean sleep latency (mSL) of  $\leq$  8 min on the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT).<sup>1</sup> The MSLT evaluates the tendency to fall asleep during daytime nap opportunities and serves as the gold standard for the diagnosis of central disorders of hypersomnolence. However, recent studies indicate that the MSLT is inadequate to delineate hypersomnia other than narcolepsy type 1.<sup>2-4</sup> Although several attempts using continuous PSG monitoring have been performed,<sup>5-7</sup> appropriate markers for idiopathic hypersomnia have not been established.<sup>8</sup> We performed 24-h PSG, standard PSG, and MSLT to understand the difference between the two aspects of sleepiness. This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Institute of Neuropsychiatry and Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science. All patients gave written informed consent.

Forty consecutive patients visiting Seiwa Hospital with suspected idiopathic hypersomnia with long sleep time were evaluated by 3-day sleep studies – unattended 24-h PSG, followed by PSG and MSLT – from January 2017 to June 2019. Clinical and PSG variables from 35 eligible patients were compared to search for markers of pathological sleepiness. Our patients turned out to share clinical symptoms characteristic of

idiopathic hypersomnia. (Detailed methods and characteristics of our patients are provided in Supplementary Information, Table S1.)

Twenty-nine of 35 patients were confirmed to have pathological sleepiness as determined either with 24-h PSG TST  $\geq$  660 min (27 patients) or MSLT mSL  $\leq$  8 min (six patients). Only four patients met both criteria, indicating that pathological sleepiness determined with 24-h PSG and MSLT reflected different aspects of sleepiness (see Supplementary Fig. S1).

We next searched for markers characteristic of patients with sleep prolongation or high sleep propensity. There were no differences in demographic data, self-reported measures, or clinical symptoms except for higher percentage of 'always unrefreshed nap' in those with sleep prolongation and higher percentage of 'experience of sleep attack' and lower percentage of 'long nap' in those with high sleep propensity (Table S1). As expected, we confirmed shorter MSLT mSL in the high-sleep-propensity group and longer 24-h PSG TST in the sleep-prolongation group (Table 1). No conventional PSG variables predicted sleep prolongation. Some sleep variables on 24-h PSG were identified as possible markers for sleep prolongation: shortened REM latency ( $P = 0.026$ ), lower 24-h PSG\_N3 (%TST;  $P = 0.020$ ), more non rapid eye movement (NREM)-REM cycle counts ( $P = 0.0002$ ), and shorter NREM-REM cycle duration ( $P = 0.046$ ). Binary logistic regression analyses confirmed that a symptom of 'always unrefreshed upon waking' (odds ratio [OR] 44.1,  $P = 0.021$ ), 24-h PSG REM latency (OR 1.009,  $P = 0.027$ ), and 24-h PSG NREM-REM cycle duration (OR 1.07,  $P = 0.06$ ) were independent predictors of pathological sleep prolongation. Similar analyses revealed that a symptom of 'experience of sleep attack' was independently associated with high sleep propensity (OR 0.11,  $P = 0.023$ ). (See Table S2. Detailed description for Table 1 and S2 are provided in Supplementary Information.)

Twenty-five of the 35 patients fulfilled the ICSD-3 criteria for idiopathic hypersomnia, two with narcolepsy type 2, two with pathological sleepiness without a diagnosis (sleep prolongation with multiple sleep-onset REM periods [SOREMP]), and six with non-hypersomnia. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of two tests for the diagnosis of ICSD-3-defined idiopathic hypersomnia were calculated. Test sensitivity was 12% with MSLT and 92% with 24-h PSG, test specificity was 80% and 60%, and accuracy was 34% and 83%, respectively (Table S3). The low sensitivity and accuracy of MSLT may be partly due to the sampling bias because we performed 24-h PSG only for those with habitually long self-reported sleep time. However, our results indicated that 79% (23/29) of our patients with pathological sleepiness would be overlooked if they were evaluated with MSLT alone, replicating that idiopathic hypersomnia patients often fail to show high sleep propensity.<sup>1, 6, 9, 10</sup> Although the presence of multiple SOREMP reflects the pathophysiology of narcolepsy, there is no evidence that their absence is related to the pathophysiology of idiopathic hypersomnia. In this study, four of 27 (14.8%) patients with pathological sleep prolongation showed multiple SOREMP on MSLT. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required to clarify the significance of SOREMP and other REM abnormalities in those with sleep prolongation. (REM abnormality and limitations of this study are described in detail in Supplementary Information.)

Our study indicates that the two aspects of sleepiness, sleep prolongation and high sleep propensity, are fundamentally different, and that 24-h PSG should be used as a first-line diagnostic tool for idiopathic hypersomnia with long sleep time.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the patients who participated in this study. We would also like to thank the nursing staff at Seiwa Hospital for handling blood samples and Ms Megumi Hazumi for obtaining informed consent.

## Disclosure statement

Dr Honda has received consultant fees from Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, Alfresa Pharma Corporation, Ono Pharmaceutical Company, and