

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Obesity matters but is not perceived: A cross-sectional study on cardiovascular disease risk factors among a population-based probability sample in rural Zambia

Yukiko Tateyama^{1*}, Teeranee Techasrivichien¹, Patou Masika Musumari¹, S. Pilar Suguimoto^{1,2}, Richard Zulu³, Mubiana Macwan'gi³, Christopher Dube⁴, Masako Ono-Kihara¹, Masahiro Kihara¹

1 Department of Global Health and Socio-epidemiology, School of Public Health, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, **2** Medical Education Center, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, **3** Institute of Economic and Social Research, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia, **4** Ndola District Health Office, Ndola, Zambia

* tateyama.yukiko.57c@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Tateyama Y, Techasrivichien T, Musumari PM, Suguimoto SP, Zulu R, Macwan'gi M, et al. (2018) Obesity matters but is not perceived: A cross-sectional study on cardiovascular disease risk factors among a population-based probability sample in rural Zambia. *PLoS ONE* 13(11): e0208176. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176>

Editor: Sabine Rohrmann, University of Zurich, SWITZERLAND

Received: February 9, 2018

Accepted: November 13, 2018

Published: November 29, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Tateyama et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work received support from the following: United Nations University, Institute for the Advanced Study of Sustainability (Global Leadership Training Programme in Africa), Award Number: None | Recipient: Yukiko Tateyama; Inter-Graduate School Program for Sustainable

Abstract

Background

Sub-Saharan Africa, including Zambia, has experienced an increase in overweight and obesity due to rapid lifestyle changes associated with recent economic growth. We explored the prevalence and correlates of overweight and obesity in rural Zambia. We also investigated the role of self-perception of body weight in weight control given the local socio-cultural context.

Methods

In this cross-sectional study, we recruited 690 residents of the Mumbwa district aged 25–64 years through a multistage, clustered, household random sampling. We administered a questionnaire and collected anthropometric and bio-behavioral data from May to July 2016. Factors associated with body mass index (BMI) ≥ 25 kg/m² and underestimation of body weight were assessed using multiple logistic regression.

Results

Of the weighted sample of 689 participants (335 men and 354 women), 185 (26.8%) had BMI ≥ 25 kg/m². In multivariate analyses, female gender, age 45–64 years, tertiary education, higher fruit and vegetable intake, high blood pressure, abnormal blood lipid profile, and Hemoglobin A1c $\geq 5.7\%$ were significantly associated with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m². Among participants with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², 14.2% and 58.2% perceived themselves as being underweight and normal weight, respectively. Age 45–64 years was the only factor significantly associated with body weight underestimation. Preference for obesity was reported by 17.5% and 3.6% of respondents with BMI < 25 kg/m² and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², respectively; “looks attractive” and “fear of being perceived as HIV-positive” were the main reasons.

Development and Survivable Societies, Kyoto University Award Number: None | Recipient: Yukiko Tateyama; 2016 Kyoto University School of Public Health Super Global Course's travel scholarship, Award Number: None | Recipient: Yukiko Tateyama. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusion

In rural Zambia, overweight and obesity are prevalent and significantly associated with alterations in blood pressure, blood lipid profile, and glucose metabolism. However, most subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² underestimated their body weight; some preferred obesity, in part due to cultural factors and HIV-related stigma. A health promotion program that addresses such perceptions and body weight underestimation should be urgently introduced in Zambia.

Introduction

The epidemic of overweight and obesity is an important global health concern. The prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults has doubled since 1980 worldwide [1], and it has increased in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders, and some types of cancers that are associated with overweight and obesity are the leading causes of mortality worldwide [2]. Accordingly, the increase in overweight and obesity can pose a huge burden on healthcare systems. In fact, it has been projected that the burden of chronic diseases will become comparable to the burden of acute infectious diseases in the near future in many LMICs [3,4].

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), including Zambia, has also been experiencing an accelerating rate of overweight and obesity [5–7]. Obesity-related diseases currently represent 3.8% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) [8]. Although Zambia is still greatly affected by epidemics of infectious diseases, especially HIV, with a prevalence of 13.1% in 2013–2014 [9], the estimated national prevalence of overweight and obesity in Zambia was 29.2% in 2014, compared with 26.4% in 2010 [10].

The rapid increase of overweight and obesity in Africa has been ascribed to changes in diet and lifestyle brought on by economic growth, rapid urbanization, and globalization [5,11–13]. In the SSA context, perceptions surrounding body weight should be explored as a moderator. In many SSA societies, obesity is culturally accepted or even desirable, particularly for women [14–16]. However, research on overweight and obesity remains scarce both in amount and depth in many SSA countries, including Zambia [5]. In Zambia, prevalence studies have been conducted only in a few districts including the capital city, with the results already outdated in view of rapid social changes [6,17,18]. There have been no studies that involved biological measurements to assess the metabolic effects of overweight and obesity or have evaluated the perception of overweight and obesity in consideration of the local cultural context in Zambia.

Thus, we designed a sequential mixed methods study. The initial qualitative phase was conducted in August–September 2014 with 67 rural residents of Zambia participating to explore their lifestyle, particularly dietary habits and perception about body weight. This phase revealed a set of factors related to overweight and obesity such as excessive sugar, salt, and cooking oil intake; cultural preference for obesity; and body image stigmas related to HIV (unpublished data).

Based on our prior qualitative study, the current study was designed as the second quantitative phase of the mixed methods study to confirm our major findings in the same community. Using a probability sample, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among residents and analyze the socio-cultural and bio-behavioral correlates of overweight and obesity as well as perceptions about overweight and obesity, in order to inform future CVD prevention programs in Zambia.

This study found that overweight and obesity were prevalent among the targeted rural community of Zambia, were significantly associated with cardio-metabolic disorders but were largely underestimated by the participants.

Methods

Study setting and participants

This study was conducted in May–July 2016. Zambia is a land-locked country in southern Africa. The Mumbwa district in the central province was selected as our study area because it is a typical rural area experiencing urbanization and economic growth but has maintained traditional culture. The district is located 150 km west of the capital, Lusaka. It is home to approximately 210,847 inhabitants; 15% live in semi-urban areas and 85% are in rural areas [19].

The target population included male and female residents aged 25–64 years. Since the objective of this study was to investigate lifestyle-related risk factors, only residents who had been living in the study area for ≥ 6 months and had adopted the lifestyle of the study area were included. Pregnant women and women who had given birth in the last 6 months were excluded because of potentially different dietary habits and lifestyles and the fact that prepartum and postpartum weight change could affect anthropometric and biological data.

Study design and sampling

This cross-sectional study employed a three-stage probability proportional to size (PPS) cluster sampling as shown in Fig 1. The sample size was calculated based on the recommendations of the WHO STEPwise approach to surveillance (STEPS) [20], assuming 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error (e^2) and 30% prevalence of hypertension in rural areas [21]. The minimum sample size required was 167 subjects, which was increased to 800 to address design effects (loss of sampling efficiency due to cluster sampling), an assumed 20% non-response rate, and planned subgroup and multivariate analyses.

A list of study sampling clusters and Standard Enumeration Areas (SEAs) was provided by the Central Statistical Office (CSO) of Zambia. In the first stage, 32 SEAs were selected through PPS sampling without replacement using the latest sampling frame of the Zambia Population and Housing Census 2010 [19]. In the second stage, within each selected SEA, field staff, consisting of mappers from CSO and research assistants, conducted mapping and made a list of all households. Then, field staff visited all listed households to explain the survey and research objectives to seek permission to list all eligible members in each household. A total of 25 households were selected through systematic sampling in each SEA. In the third stage, within each selected household, only one individual was selected using the Kish Household Coversheet based on the WHO STEPS [20]. We scheduled a date and place to administer the questionnaire survey and make anthropometric and biological measurements in each SEA with consideration of the participants' convenience. Taking into account the need for fasting starting at 8 pm on the day before biological measurements, we met with all the recruited individuals (or their family members or closest neighbors, if absent) 1–2 days before testing to inform them about fasting and coming to the testing venue on the scheduled date.

Questionnaire

We developed the questionnaire (S1 Appendix) based on previous Zambian and international studies [22–24], as well as the results of our prior qualitative study in 2014 that revealed marked knowledge and practice gaps in lifestyle-related risk factors for CVDs and unique perceptions of body weight affected by cultural traditions and the HIV epidemic (unpublished




Fig 1. Sampling procedure according to probability proportional to size method.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.g001>

data) as indicated in the corresponding question areas described below. The items of English questionnaire were translated into three local languages (Bemba, Nyanja, Tonga) to standardize the interview in local languages (S2 Appendix). It was then pilot-tested in March 2016 among 60 Mumbwa or Kabwe residents to ensure face validity and assess test-retest reliability with one week interval. Participants in the pilot testing were not included in the main study. Kappa coefficients were calculated for categorical variables and intra-class correlation coefficients were calculated for continuous variables. All variables used in the analysis demonstrated acceptable reliability of ≥ 0.50 (p value < 0.05).

The questionnaire included items on:

- 1) Socio-demographic variables, such as age, marital status, educational level, monthly income (10 items).
- 2) Food insecurity, which was assessed using the Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) [25]. The HFIAS is a validated instrument that has been shown to discriminate between food security and insecurity across different cultural contexts. The scale consists of nine "occurrence" and "frequency" questions. Food security status was presented in the

following categories: food secure, mildly food insecure, moderately food insecure, and severely food insecure, which we dichotomized into "severely" or "not severely" food insecure. Cronbach's alpha was 0.89, demonstrating that the scale had high internal consistency in our sample.

- 3) Psychological distress, which was assessed using the Kessler-6 scale, a standardized and validated screening tool for non-specific psychological distress (depression and anxiety disorders) [26]. The scale is comprised of six items administered on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (none of the time) to 4 (all of the time). Participants were asked to rate how frequently they felt nervous, hopeless, restless or fidgety, depressed and nothing could cheer them up, everything was an effort, and worthless. Scores ≥ 13 indicate a higher probability of psychological distress. Cronbach's alpha was 0.65, suggesting that the scale had moderate internal consistency in our sample.
- 4) Perceptions and preferences about overweight and obesity, which were assessed using questions based on the results of our prior qualitative study. The question about perception was used to identify how participants perceived their body weight from the following options: underweight, normal, overweight, or very overweight (obese). The questions about preference asked whether participants preferred being overweight or not and reasons, if applicable (9 items).
- 5) Dietary habits, which were assessed with questions about intake of fruits and vegetables, salad oil and fat, salt, and sugar based on the results of our prior qualitative study. For fruits and vegetables, the frequency of consumption per week on average was asked. For salad oil and fat, salt, and sugar, the amount (bottle or weight) consumed per day was ascertained (22 items).
- 6) Physical activity, which was assessed with questions about the number of days per week that involved work, sports, walking, or bicycling for at least 10 minutes continuously (13 items).
- 7) Other variables included self-reported medical history and current medications (4 items) and the frequency of tobacco and alcohol use (9 items).

Anthropometric and biological measurements

Height and weight were measured with the subjects not wearing thick clothes or shoes. Weight was measured to the nearest 100 grams using an electronic scale (OMRON HBF-223-G, OMRON Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a tape measure. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using weight in kilograms and height in meters squared, and classified into four categories following WHO guidelines [2]: underweight ($<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), normal ($18.5\text{--}24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight ($25\text{--}29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and obese ($\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Hip and waist circumference were measured using a tape measure. The hip-to-waist ratio was classified into the categories of <0.85 , $0.85\text{--}0.899$, and ≥ 0.90 [20,27].

Blood pressure was measured using an automated digital blood pressure monitor (OMRON HEM-7130-HP, OMRON Corporation). Three blood pressure measurements were taken at 3-minute intervals in a seated position after 15 minutes of rest. The average of the last two readings was used. High blood pressure was defined as either systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg [10].

Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-cholesterol), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-cholesterol), and triglycerides were

measured using finger prick blood samples obtained using medical finger-stick devices (Cobas b 101, Roche Diagnostics K.K., Tokyo, Japan). HbA1c was categorized as normal (<5.7%), prediabetes (5.7–6.4%), and diabetes ($\geq 6.5\%$). An abnormal blood lipid profile was defined as at least one of the following: total cholesterol ≥ 220 mg/dl, LDL-cholesterol ≥ 140 mg/dl, HDL-cholesterol ≤ 40 mg/dl, or triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dl.

Spot urine samples were collected from all participants. Urine glucose was measured using a test strip. The sodium to potassium ratio (Na/K) was assessed using a handheld urinary-Na/K ratio monitor (HEU-001F, OMRON Corporation).

Data collection

To ensure high-quality data collection, we recruited field staff who had tertiary education and prior field survey experience. Field staff attended the practice session during the pilot testing phase and a two-day intensive training session to understand the study objectives, methods, and interview procedures. Face-to-face interviews were carried out by field staff at a venue preferred by the participants, such as the participant's home, community meeting place, or school. In addition, licensed nurses were recruited and trained to collect anthropometric measurements (height and weight, blood pressure, hip and waist circumference) and biological samples (blood and urine samples).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the Complex Sample module in IBM SPSS Statistics version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) to adjust for the effects of multistage sampling, clustering, and weighting. Sample weights accounted for different selection probabilities at each sampling stage, the non-response rate in each SEA, and post-stratification adjustments to correct for differences between our sample and the district population estimates based on the 2010 census. Total weights were standardized as the final weight.

Bivariate analyses were performed to investigate associations between independent variables (socio-demographic and lifestyle-related variables, food security, and biological and anthropometric variables) and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² (overweight and obesity) using a variant of the second-order Rao-Scott adjusted chi-square statistic. Variables that were associated with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² at $p < 0.10$ and variables that are epidemiologically important to overweight and obesity were included in multiple logistic regression models. Marital status was also excluded due to the low number of participants that were single in each cell when stratified by BMI category. In addition, bivariate and multiple logistic regression analysis were performed to evaluate the associations between demographic variables and body weight underestimation among participants with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m². Although 29.9% of participants did not fast despite advance instructions to do so, they were included in the analysis because there were no statistically significant differences in any of the biological measurements between participants who fasted versus did not fast based on the *t*-test (all $p > 0.10$, except for total cholesterol, which was slightly (12 mg/dl) but significantly lower ($p < 0.01$) in participants who did not fast).

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine of Kyoto University, Japan (R0403) and ERES Converge, Zambia (No. 2016-Jan-003) for the pilot phase. The University of Zambia Biomedical Research Ethics Committee, Zambia (No. 011-02-16) and the National Health Research Authority, Zambia (MH/101/23/10-1) granted approval for the main survey. All participants gave written informed consent prior to study participation.

Results

Of 800 participants recruited, 712 participants agreed to participate (89% response rate). The most commonly reported reasons for non-response were lack of interest in the study and time conflicts with work. We excluded 22 participants from the analyses due to either missing interview, anthropometric, or biological data. There were no significant differences in gender and age distribution between all participants ($n = 712$) and those included in the analysis ($n = 690$). One hundred eighty-five participants (26.8%) were overweight or obese.

The demographic characteristics of the study population are shown in [Table 1](#). The final sample included 335 (48.6%) men and 354 (51.4%) women. Mean age was 41.9 years (SE, 0.55 years) and 429 (62%) were aged 25–44 years. Most participants were married (80.8%), had only up to primary education (74.3%), and were self-employed (69.7%). Approximately half of the participants (47.4%) had a monthly income of 50 USD or less, and 27.7% experienced severe food insecurity. Of all participants, 8.0% were diagnosed with hypertension (self-reported), and 10.4% were living with HIV (self-reported). The proportion of female participants with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was 36.7%, compared with 16.1% among male participants.

Variables significantly associated with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in the bivariate analysis included gender, age, alcohol use, smoking, involvement in physical activities, psychological distress, blood pressure, waist-to-hip ratio, HbA1c, blood lipid profile, and self-reported hypertension and diabetes ([Table 2](#)).

[Table 3](#) shows the results from the multiple logistic regression analysis overall and by gender. In terms of socio-demographic variables, female gender (adjusted odds ratio (AOR), 2.45; 95% CI, 1.52–3.97), age 45–64 years (AOR, 2.38; 95% CI, 1.58–3.61), secondary education (AOR, 1.75; 95% CI, 1.06–2.90), tertiary education (AOR, 3.25; 95% CI, 1.56–6.77), current smoker (AOR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.11–0.78), and eating both fruits and vegetables daily (AOR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.05–3.68) were significantly associated with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Participants with high blood pressure (AOR, 1.79; 95% CI, 1.20–2.68), HbA1c $\geq 5.7\%$ (AOR, 1.80; 95% CI, 1.22–2.67), abnormal blood lipid profile (AOR, 1.88; 95% CI, 1.04–3.40) also had increased odds of having $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$. In contrast, psychological distress (AOR, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.23–0.68) was associated with lower odds of having $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Monthly income, alcohol use, and HIV infection were not associated with having $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

In terms of gender differences, we found that abnormal blood lipid profile (AOR, 3.04; 95% CI, 1.05–8.84), and physical activity involving both daily life activities and sports (AOR, 0.18; 95% CI, 0.04–0.77) were significantly associated with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ only in men. There was also trend toward significance for current smoker (AOR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.10–1.00). In women, eating both vegetables daily and fruits more than once a week (AOR, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.12–5.47) and psychological distress (AOR, 0.30; 95% CI, 0.15–0.59) were significant. All other variables were associated with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in similar magnitudes and directions in both genders, except for age 45–64 years, which was more strongly associated with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in men versus women.

Regarding weight perception, 58.2% of participants with $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ perceived their body weight as normal, while 14.2% perceived themselves as being underweight ([Fig 2](#)). The level of agreement between measured body weight and perception of body weight was low ($\text{kappa} = 0.07$). Regarding preference for being overweight, 17.5% of participants with $\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and 3.6% of participants $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ expressed a preference for being overweight. The main reasons for preferring to be overweight included "looks attractive" and "culture/tradition" ($n = 74$); "looks wealthy" or "avoid to be seen poor" ($n = 48$); and "looks healthy" ($n = 7$). Another reason included "scared of being seen to be sick" ($n = 32$), of them, 31 participants (96.8%) reported "fear of being perceived as HIV-positive".

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants in a rural district of Zambia.

	Male		Female		Total	
	n	% (95% CI)	n	% (95% CI)	n	% (95% CI)
Number						
Unweighted	332		358		690	
Weighted	335		354		689	
Socio-demographic data						
Age, years (SE)	42.7	(0.76)	41.1	(0.73)	41.9	(0.55)
Age group (year)						
25–44	203	60.6 (54.9,66.0)	226	63.9 (56.7,70.5)	429	62.3 (57.4,66.9)
45–64	132	39.4 (34.0,45.1)	128	36.1 (29.5,43.3)	260	37.7 (33.1,42.6)
Marital status						
Single	20	5.8 (3.1,10.6)	7	2.0 (1.0,4.1)	27	3.9 (2.3,6.4)
Married	300	89.4 (84.0,93.2)	257	72.6 (67.1,77.4)	557	80.8 (76.6,84.3)
Divorced/widowed	16	4.8 (2.9,7.8)	90	25.4 (20.9,30.4)	106	15.4 (12.6,18.6)
Education level						
≤Primary	229	68.3 (59.2,76.1)	284	80.1 (69.8,87.5)	513	74.3 (65.8,81.4)
Secondary	77	23.0 (17.9,29.1)	50	14.2 (9.4,20.9)	127	18.5 (14.3,23.5)
≥Tertiary	29	8.7 (3.8,18.8)	20	5.7 (2.5,12.3)	49	7.2 (3.4,14.5)
Employment status						
Employed	58	17.2 (11.1,25.7)	28	7.8 (4.9,12.2)	85	12.4 (8.2,18.2)
Self-employed	255	76.2 (67.2,83.4)	225	63.6 (55.1,71.3)	481	69.7 (62.3,76.3)
Unemployed/retired	22	6.6 (4.1,10.4)	101	28.6 (22.1,36.1)	123	17.9 (14.1,22.4)
Monthly income (US Dollar) ^a						
≤50	157	46.9 (38.3,55.8)	169	47.8 (39.9,55.7)	326	47.4 (40.6,54.2)
>50	178	53.1 (44.2,61.7)	185	52.2 (44.3,60.1)	363	52.6 (45.8,59.4)
Food security						
Secure	107	32.1 (26.9,37.7)	85	23.9 (19.1,29.6)	192	27.9 (23.7,32.5)
Mildly insecure	25	7.4 (5.2,10.4)	21	5.8 (3.4,9.8)	45	6.6 (4.7,9.2)
Moderately insecure	132	39.4 (33.7,45.4)	129	36.4 (29.5,44.0)	261	37.9 (32.8,43.3)
Severely insecure	71	21.2 (16.8,26.3)	120	33.8 (26.8,41.6)	191	27.7 (22.8,33.1)
Medical history (self-reported)						
Hypertension	18	5.4 (2.7,10.5)	37	10.4 (6.9,15.5)	55	8.0 (5.6,11.2)
Diabetes	3	0.9 (0.3,2.9)	2	0.6 (0.1,2.3)	5	0.7 (0.3,1.8)
HIV infection	28	8.4 (5.6,12.6)	43	12.2 (8.9,16.5)	71	10.4 (7.9,13.5)
Weight status						
Body mass index (kg/m ²)						
<18.5	28	8.4 (5.4,13.0)	25	7.1 (4.6,10.9)	53	7.8 (5.9,10.2)
18.5–24.9	253	75.5 (69.0,80.9)	199	56.2 (49.5,62.6)	452	65.5 (60.6,70.1)
25–29.9	40	12.0 (7.7,18.0)	80	22.6 (18.0,28.1)	120	17.4 (13.9,21.6)
≥30	14	4.1 (2.3,7.2)	50	14.1 (10.2,19.2)	64	9.2 (7.1,12.0)

Percentages might not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Some discrepancies in the numbers are also due to rounding.

CI: confidence interval

^a Dichotomized at the minimum wage

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.t001>

Table 4 shows the bivariate and multivariate correlates of body weight underestimation among participants with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m². Age was the only variable significantly associated

Table 2. Bivariate correlates of BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² in a rural district of Zambia.

	BMI <25 kg/m ²			BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ²			p value
	(n = 505)			(n = 185)			
	n	% (95% CI)		n	% (95% CI)		
Socio-demographic characteristics							
Gender							
Male	281	55.6	(49.5,61.5)	55	29.5	(22.2,38.0)	<0.01
Female	224	44.4	(38.5,50.5)	130	70.5	(62.0,77.8)	
Age group (year)							
25–44	339	67.2	(62.6,71.5)	90	48.7	(38.2,59.4)	<0.01
45–64	166	32.8	(28.5,37.4)	95	51.3	(40.6,61.8)	
Marital status							
Single	24	4.7	(2.6,6.0)	3	1.7	(0.6,4.7)	0.05
Married	411	81.5	(76.6,85.5)	145	78.8	(71.9,84.4)	
Divorced/widowed	70	13.8	(10.9,17.5)	36	19.5	(14.0,26.5)	
Education level							
\leq Primary	387	76.7	(69.1,82.9)	125	68.0	(52.9,80.0)	0.07
Secondary	90	17.9	(13.6,23.3)	37	20.1	(11.9,31.7)	
\geq Tertiary	27	5.4	(2.2,12.6)	22	12.0	(6.5,21.0)	
Employment status							
Employed	63	12.4	(8.4,18.0)	23	12.3	(6.8,21.2)	0.09
Self-employed	361	71.6	(64.7,77.6)	119	64.6	(53.7,74.2)	
Unemployed/retired	81	16.0	(12.1,20.8)	43	23.1	(16.7,31.0)	
Monthly income (US Dollar)							
≤ 50	252	49.9	(43.0,56.8)	75	40.4	(30.4,51.2)	0.07
> 50	253	50.1	(43.2,57.0)	110	59.6	(48.8,69.6)	
Food security							
Secure	140	27.8	(23.0,33.2)	52	28.1	(21.2,36.3)	0.94
Insecure	364	72.2	(66.8,77.0)	133	71.9	(63.7,78.8)	
Behavioral and psychological characteristics							
Alcohol use							
Never	246	48.9	(41.6,56.2)	108	58.5	(48.3,68.0)	0.01
\leq Few times per month	147	29.2	(23.1,36.2)	58	31.2	(22.5,41.5)	
\geq Few times per week or daily	111	21.9	(17.6,27.0)	19	10.3	(6.0,17.2)	
Smoking history							
Never	362	71.8	(66.7,76.4)	162	88.0	(81.4,92.5)	<0.01
Former smoker	69	13.7	(10.8,17.3)	16	8.9	(5.3,14.5)	
Current smoker	73	14.5	(11.1,18.7)	6	3.1	(1.3,7.3)	
Fruit and vegetable intake (fruits \geq once a week, vegetables daily)							
Neither	79	15.6	(12.2,19.7)	23	12.3	(6.7,21.5)	0.09
Either	244	48.4	(42.9,53.8)	76	40.9	(32.3,50.2)	
Both	182	36.0	(29.7,43.0)	86	46.8	(35.8,58.1)	
Physical activity (activities of daily life and sports \geq once a week)							
Neither	38	7.5	(4.8,11.7)	16	8.9	(5.6,14.0)	0.01
Either	350	69.2	(63.4,74.5)	148	79.9	(72.0,86.1)	
Both	117	23.2	(18.6,28.6)	21	11.1	(6.6,18.1)	
Salt intake (g/day) ^a							
< 5	370	73.3	(69.1,77.1)	153	83.0	(75.0,88.8)	0.06
≥ 5	120	23.7	(19.6,28.4)	29	15.6	(9.9,23.8)	

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

	BMI <25 kg/m ²			BMI ≥25 kg/m ²			p value
	(n = 505)			(n = 185)			
	n	% (95% CI)		n	% (95% CI)		
Don't know	15	3.0	(1.5,5.8)	3	1.4	(0.3,5.8)	
Psychological distress							
Low	398	78.9	(74.5,82.8)	162	88.0	(81.8,92.3)	0.01
High	106	21.1	(17.2,25.5)	22	12.0	(7.7,18.2)	
Clinical characteristics							
Blood pressure ^b							
Normal	338	66.9	(62.2,71.3)	100	54.0	(43.8,64.0)	0.01
High	167	33.1	(28.7,37.8)	85	46.0	(36.0,56.2)	
Waist-to-hip ratio							
<0.85	369	73.1	(67.7,77.9)	75	40.7	(32.5,49.3)	<0.01
0.85–0.89	96	18.9	(15.3,23.2)	61	32.9	(25.4,41.4)	
≥0.90	40	7.9	(5.7,10.9)	49	26.5	(19.0,35.6)	
HbA1c (%)							
<5.7	322	63.9	(56.6,70.6)	82	44.6	(36.6,52.8)	<0.01
5.7–6.4	177	35.1	(28.4,42.5)	89	48.5	(40.4,56.6)	
≥6.5	5	1.0	(0.4,2.8)	13	7.0	(4.1,11.7)	
Blood lipid profile ^{a,c}							
Normal	96	18.9	(14.6,24.2)	16	8.7	(5.6,13.1)	<0.01
Abnormal	405	80.1	(74.7,84.6)	168	91.3	(86.9,94.4)	
Missing data	5	0.9	(0.3,2.7)	0	0		
Medical history (self-reported)							
Hypertension	31	6.2	(4.1,9.4)	24	12.8	(8.3,19.2)	<0.01
Diabetes	1	0.2	(0.0,1.5)	4	2.2	(0.9,5.6)	0.01
HIV infection	60	11.9	(8.8,15.9)	11	6.1	(3.4,10.9)	0.05

Percentages might not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Some discrepancies in the numbers are also due to rounding.

CI: confidence interval

BMI: body mass index

^a. "Missing data" and "Don't know" were excluded from statistical testing.

^b. High blood pressure is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg.

^c. Abnormal blood lipid includes any abnormal measurements in total cholesterol (≥220 mg/dl), LDL-cholesterol (≥140 mg/dl), HDL-cholesterol (≤40 mg/dl), or triglycerides (≥150 mg/dl).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.t002>

with body weight underestimation; participants aged 45–64 years were significantly more likely to underestimate their body weight compared to participants in younger age groups (AOR, 2.25; 95% CI, 1.13–4.49).

Discussion

This is one of the first population-based studies exploring socio-cultural and bio-behavioral risk factors for CVD in rural Zambia. Based on the results of our prior qualitative study in the same community, this study sought to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity, analyze the socio-cultural and bio-behavioral correlates of overweight and obesity, and evaluate the degree and correlates of body weight underestimation, in order to inform future CVD

Table 3. Multivariate correlates of BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² in a rural district of Zambia.

	Male			Female			Total		
	AOR (95% CI)	p value		AOR (95% CI)	p value		AOR (95% CI)	p value	
Gender									
Male	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	(Reference)	
Female							2.39	(1.47–3.88) <0.01	
Age group (year)									
25–44	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
45–64	4.19	1.96–9.00	<0.01	1.80	(1.06–3.06)	0.03	2.34	(1.54–3.56) <0.01	
Education level									
\leq Primary	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
Secondary	1.34	(0.59–3.07)	0.49	1.75	(0.87–3.55)	0.12	1.77	(1.06–2.94) 0.03	
\geq Tertiary	3.09	(0.96–10.00)	0.06	3.68	(1.23–10.85)	0.02	3.41	(1.61–7.23) <0.01	
Monthly income (US Dollar)									
≤ 50	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
> 50	1.46	(0.68–3.13)	0.33	1.13	(0.69–1.87)	0.62	1.20	(0.80–1.81) 0.37	
Smoking history									
Never	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
Former smoker	1.05	(0.44–2.48)	0.92	0.35	(0.06–2.00)	0.24	0.78	(0.38–1.59) 0.49	
Current smoker	0.32	(0.10–1.00)	0.05	0.29	(0.02–3.81)	0.35	0.29	(0.11–0.78) 0.01	
Alcohol use									
Never	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
\leq Few times per month	0.97	(0.41–2.32)	0.94	1.69	(0.93–3.08)	0.08	1.35	(0.84–2.17) 0.22	
\geq Few times per week or daily	0.77	(0.28–2.12)	0.61	1.11	(0.39–3.17)	0.84	0.90	(0.46–1.75) 0.75	
Fruit and vegetable intake (fruits \geq once a week, vegetables daily)									
Neither	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
Either	1.02	(0.36–2.94)	0.97	1.33	(0.60–2.95)	0.48	1.19	(0.64–2.21) 0.59	
Both	1.42	(0.49–4.15)	0.52	2.44	(1.10–5.41)	0.03	2.00	(1.07–3.75) 0.03	
Physical activity (activities of daily life and sports \geq once a week)									
Neither	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
Either	0.33	(0.09–1.23)	0.10	1.65	(0.74–3.68)	0.22	1.14	(0.59–2.22) 0.69	
Both	0.16	(0.03–0.70)	0.02	1.14	(0.35–3.67)	0.83	0.56	(0.23–1.33) 0.18	
Salt intake (g/day)									
< 5	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
≥ 5	1.01	(0.45–2.29)	0.97	0.84	(0.44–1.61)	0.61	0.88	(0.54–1.44) 0.61	
Psychological distress									
Low	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
High	0.72	(0.28–1.84)	0.49	0.31	(0.16–0.60)	<0.01	0.40	(0.23–0.69) <0.01	
Blood pressure									
Normal	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
High	1.66	(0.83–3.33)	0.16	1.85	(1.10–3.13)	0.02	1.78	(1.19–2.68) 0.01	
HbA1c (%)									
< 5.7	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
≥ 5.7	1.55	(0.74–3.26)	0.25	1.80	(1.10–2.95)	0.02	1.72	(1.16–2.55) 0.01	
Abnormal blood lipid profile									
No	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	
Yes	3.16	(1.08–9.29)	0.04	1.50	(0.69–3.27)	0.30	1.86	(1.02–3.37) 0.04	
HIV status (self-reported)									
Negative	1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)		1	(Reference)	

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

	Male			Female			Total		
	AOR (95% CI)	p value		AOR (95% CI)	p value		AOR (95% CI)	p value	
Positive	1.02	(0.30–3.48)	0.98	0.70	(0.32–1.57)	0.39	0.76	(0.39–1.48)	0.42

CI: confidence interval
AOR: adjusted odds ratio

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.t003>

prevention programs in Zambia. This study found that overweight and obesity were prevalent among the targeted rural community of Zambia, were significantly associated with cardio-metabolic disorders but were largely underestimated by the participants.

Prevalence of overweight and obesity

We found that 26.8% of participants had BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²; this proportion was much higher in women (36.7%) than in men (16.1%). The overall prevalence of 26.8% is close to the national estimates by WHO in 2010 (26.4%), 2014 (29.2%), and 2017 (24.2%) [10,28], but much higher than in other rural districts such as Kaoma (9.8%) and Kasama (10.3%), which were surveyed in 2008–2009 [17]. However, the prevalence of 26.8% was lower than in the Lusaka (39.3%) and Kitwe (41.6%) urban districts, surveyed in 2007 and 2011, respectively [18,24]. Considering the fact that our study area, Mumbwa, is only 150 km away from the capital Lusaka and that Kaoma and Kasama are remote rural districts, our study site might be a rural area undergoing especially rapid urbanization, or the study site reflects a newer trend of urbanization across all rural region of Zambia. Periodic nationwide surveys of body weight in Zambia are clearly needed to monitor national and regional trends in order to focus prevention programs.

In addition, the nearly two-fold male-to-female difference in the prevalence of overweight and obesity is noteworthy. Such a gender difference has been commonly observed in African regions [10]; this phenomenon is closely related to the socio-cultural context described below. Among




Fig 2. Estimation of body weight by BMI.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.g002>

Table 4. Multivariate correlates of body weight underestimation among overweight and obesity participants in a rural district of Zambia.

	Correctly estimated		Under estimated		p value	AOR (95% CI)	p value
	n	% (95% CI)	n	% (95% CI)			
Gender							
Male	15	29.6 (16.4,47.4)	40	29.6 (22.0,38.5)	0.998	1 (Reference)	
Female	35	70.4 (52.6,83.6)	94	70.4 (61.5,78.0)		1.29 (0.60–2.80)	0.52
Age group (year)							
25–44	33	65.3 (46.9,80.0)	57	42.7 (32.3,53.8)	0.02	1 (Reference)	
45–64	17	34.7 (20.0,53.1)	77	57.3 (46.2,67.7)		2.25 (1.13–4.49)	0.02
Education level							
Primary	31	62.2 (39.2,80.9)	94	70.4 (55.5,81.9)	0.39	1 (Reference)	
≥Secondary	19	37.8 (19.1,60.8)	40	29.6 (18.1,44.5)		1.2 (0.53–2.75)	0.66
Employment status							
Employed	10	19.4 (7.9,40.2)	13	9.6 (4.7,18.7)	0.053	1 (Reference)	
Self-employed	24	48.0 (30.5,66.0)	95	70.8 (60.5,79.3)		2.38 (0.82–6.90)	0.11
Unemployed/retired	17	32.7 (20.7,47.4)	26	19.6 (13.4,27.8)		1.04 (0.36–3.03)	0.94

Percentages might not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Some discrepancies in the numbers are also due to rounding.

CI: confidence interval

AOR: adjusted odds ratio

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208176.t004>

our participants, the majority (60%) of overweight and obesity was central (waist-to-hip ratio ≥ 0.85), which has been well documented to be associated with an elevated risk of CVD [27].

Demographic correlates of overweight and obesity

Among demographic factors, in both genders, higher age group and higher education level were associated with an increased risk of overweight and obesity, while monthly income showed no association.

An increased risk of overweight and obesity in older age groups and women is well established in SSA, including Zambia [4,29]. The large gender gap in overweight and obesity is particularly noteworthy because it suggests that the life course of excessive weight gain differs by gender: it starts in middle age in men but begins much earlier in women, probably reflecting the fact that obesity has been culturally accepted or even considered desirable, particularly in women. A similar gender difference has been reported in other SSA countries [30–32]. This observation strongly suggests that intensive intervention programs based on best practices for preventing overweight or obesity should be taken in consideration given such a gender gap; they should start in adolescence for women, but before or during middle age for men.

The association between higher education and overweight and obesity has also been well established in many SSA countries [30–33], but is contrary to findings in industrialized countries and Latin American countries, where overweight and obesity are more concentrated among people with lower education levels [33–35]. This might be a transitory phenomenon among SSA countries experiencing recent economic growth in which a Westernized lifestyle is first adopted by populations with more education [30].

The lack of an association between monthly income and overweight and obesity was unexpected because it is a well-established risk factor for overweight and obesity in many parts of the world [36]. The effect of monthly income might have been attenuated by the presence of education level in the logistic model. Another possible explanation is that in rural contexts,

household income does not necessarily reflect access to food. Many studies have indicated multiple ways of accessing foods in rural settings, including home farming and community social supports [37]. During our prior qualitative field study, we observed that local people were generally able to access food, especially vegetables, through home farming or at costs that are affordable even for low-income families from neighbors or at the market.

Lifestyle correlates of overweight and obesity

Our study showed that a higher frequency of fruit and vegetable intake is associated with overweight and obesity only in women, contrary to the well-known negative association between fruit and vegetable intake and body weight in both genders observed in developed countries and increasingly in low- and middle-income settings outside of SSA. Although a clear explanation is not possible, it might be due to the limitations of our questionnaire, which asked about fruit and vegetable intake only in terms of frequency, not quantity. In addition, women might have consumed more fruits and vegetables relative to their level of physical activity. In the prior qualitative study, we observed that vegetables were always cooked with a lot of fat or salad oil, and a family consumed an entire 2.5 L bottle of salad oil each week (unpublished observations). Further studies are clearly needed to assess caloric intake in both men and women in relation to their physical activity levels to inform appropriate CVD prevention programs among Zambians.

Smoking, psychological distress, alcohol use, and physical inactivity are well established risk factors for CVD in SSA and industrial countries [24]. The prevalence of current smoking was limited in our study population, 14.5% in men and 3.1% in women. Current smoking was negatively associated with body weight in the overall sample. However, this association was not statistically significant in female, although there was a trend toward significance in the male sample (p value: 0.051). This finding confirms the well-known relationship between smoking and body weight, probably mediated by the metabolic effects of nicotine [38]. Smoking prevalence should be carefully monitored since smoking increases insulin resistance and is associated with central fat accumulation [39,40], which is already prevalent in our study population and increases the risk of CVD. Psychological distress may lead to either weight gain or weight loss [41,42]. Our data showed that women with high levels of psychological distress are less likely to be overweight or obese. In the SSA context, including Zambia, although future study is needed, women might have more stressful lives than men because of their lower social, cultural, and economic status at home and in society, which limits their access to sufficient amounts of food [43,44].

Previous studies in SSA have reported that alcohol consumption is not related or negatively associated with obesity [6,17,45,46], suggesting that alcohol consumption might play at most a minor role, in overweight or obesity in the current SSA context, including Zambia. However, it should be noted again that we only measured the frequency but not the actual amount of alcohol consumed. More accurate assessment of alcohol consumption would be needed to inform future intervention programs for promoting healthy lifestyles. Regarding the association of physical activities with overweight and obesity, the gender difference observed in our study is consistent with findings from other studies in SSA, including Zambia [6]. This discordance has been explained by the fact that males walk more in their day-to-day life or have heavier workloads than females in these social settings [6].

Cardio-metabolic correlates of overweight and obesity

Most of the biomarkers measured in our study were clearly associated with overweight and obesity. People who were overweight or obese were more likely to have elevated blood pressure

and HbA1c, and abnormal blood lipid profiles compared to those with normal body weight. The association between high blood pressure and obesity is well documented in SSA countries, including both urban and rural areas of Zambia [6,17]. Although the relationship of blood glucose and blood lipid profile with obesity is well established in industrialized countries, as well as in SSA [5,47–49], our findings are the first in Zambia. Given the potential for continued lifestyle changes Zambia in the future, overweight and obesity might increase over time, potentially leading to an increase in the prevalence of hypertension, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia and eventually the incidence of CVDs.

Attitudes toward being overweight

Our study showed that 17.5% of the BMI <25 kg/m² group preferred being overweight, compared with 3.6% of the BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² group and 13.8% overall. Of them, nearly 80% reported “looks attractive” as a reason for preferring being overweight. The presence of such a preference for being overweight has been consistently reported in SSA countries [12,50–52]. It is assumed to be a SSA-specific cultural preference, linked with social success, happiness, and wealth in poor settings [12,16,50,53]. Attitudes toward being overweight were found to be partially affected by the HIV epidemic, confirming the results of our prior qualitative study. Our study showed that 30 of 95 subjects (31.6%) who preferred being overweight cited “fear of being perceived as HIV-positive” as the reason. Similar attitudes about body weight associated with HIV stigma have also been reported in other SSA countries [12,14,16,30,54–56]. Although it affected less than 5% of all participants, future CVD prevention programs in countries hit hard by the HIV epidemic should carefully consider this point.

Underestimation of body weight

A particularly noteworthy finding of our study is that many overweight and obese subjects were not aware that they are physically overweight and obese. In fact, among participants with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², 14.2% and 58.2% perceived themselves as underweight and normal weight, respectively. This may be due to their perception of standard size being higher because of the cultural preference for obesity. Very limited opportunities to actually measure body weight might contribute to misperceptions about body weight. Underestimation of body weight has been recently reported in other LMICs such as Sri Lanka, Pakistan, and Nigeria [57–59].

In our study, higher age (44–64 years) was associated with more underestimation, which is consistent with findings from studies in Sri Lanka and Pakistan [58,59]. This age difference may suggest that younger individuals have more opportunities to be aware of their own body weight compared to older individuals [58,59]. However, our finding contradicts with findings in Nigeria, where the underestimation of weight was associated with being in younger age group, female gender, and employment status [57]. Whatever the pattern of association, given the fundamental importance of body weight self-awareness in CVD prevention programs, it is important to understand how such a misperception of body weight arose in the SSA context.

Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths and limitations. The strengths of this study include the sequential mixed methods design, which allowed our prior qualitative findings to be successfully reinforced by quantitative findings. In addition, this study employed a multi-stage cluster random sampling with a high response rate, which helps ensure that the results are representative of the residents in the study area. In addition, our study is the first to introduce biomarker measurements (HbA1c, LDL-/ HDL-cholesterol, and urinary-Na/K ratio) to investigations of CVD risk factors in Zambia. On the other hand, limitations of our study include the fact that

approximately one-third of the participants failed to fast before testing despite our instructions. This might have affected biological measurements, particularly of blood sugar and lipids, although actually there were no or large differences between fasting and non-fasting subjects in terms of biological parameters. In addition, socially desirable answers could have affected the results, since we employed face-to-face interviews with trained interviewers rather than self-administered questionnaires. However, face-to-face interviewing was the only data collection method considered given the low literacy rates among the study population. Finally, some of the associations found in this study may be confounded by unmeasured factors, even though we tried to include all possible variables from previous studies.

Conclusions

Our study revealed that more than one-fourth of the respondents were overweight or obese in a rural district of Zambia. Overweight and obesity are significantly associated with lifestyle-related risk factors for CVD and other cardio-metabolic disorders. More importantly, we found that one-fifth of our sample prefers overweight and that the majority (>70%) of overweight or obese individuals do not perceive themselves as being overweight or obese. These results suggest that overweight and obesity are already having substantial impact on the health of the people in rural Zambia, although their roles have not been recognized. Weight control programs should be urgently introduced to prevent increase of CVDs, with a particular focus on the correction of body weight underestimation.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Questionnaire (English).
(PDF)

S2 Appendix. Questionnaire (Local languages).
(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all respondents for their participation. We also thank the staff at the Institute of Economic and Social Research, University of Zambia, and the Mumbwa District Medical Office for local arrangement and support of this study. We extend our gratitude to the staff at the Central Statistical Office of Zambia as well as village leaders for assisting with mapping and listing of households in the research area. Finally, we gratefully acknowledge the local nurses and research assistants for their support during the fieldwork. We extend our great appreciation to Anita Ongosi, Claudia Cecilia Yamamoto Noguchi, Christina El-saaidi, Riham Mohamed, and Yukari Ohbayashi in data input and cleaning processes.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yukiko Tateyama, Masako Ono-Kihara, Masahiro Kihara.

Data curation: Yukiko Tateyama.

Formal analysis: Yukiko Tateyama, Teeranee Techasrivichien, Masahiro Kihara.

Funding acquisition: Yukiko Tateyama.

Investigation: Yukiko Tateyama, Richard Zulu.

Methodology: Yukiko Tateyama, Teeranee Techasrivichien, Masako Ono-Kihara, Masahiro Kihara.

Project administration: Yukiko Tateyama, Richard Zulu, Christopher Dube, Masako Ono-Kihara, Masahiro Kihara.

Supervision: Mubiana Macwan'gi, Masako Ono-Kihara, Masahiro Kihara.

Writing – original draft: Yukiko Tateyama, Teeranee Techasrivichien, Masahiro Kihara.

Writing – review & editing: Yukiko Tateyama, Teeranee Techasrivichien, Patou Masika Musumari, S. Pilar Suguimoto, Richard Zulu, Mubiana Macwan'gi, Christopher Dube, Masako Ono-Kihara, Masahiro Kihara.

References

1. GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators, Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB, Sur P, Estep K, et al. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *N Engl J Med* 2017 Jul 6; 377(1):13–27. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1614362> PMID: 28604169
2. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight Fact sheet [Internet]. World Health Organization Media Centre. 2017. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/> Cited January 26 2018.
3. Min J, Zhao Y, Slivka L, Wang Y. Double burden of diseases worldwide: coexistence of undernutrition and overnutrition-related non-communicable chronic diseases. *Obes Rev* 2018 Jan; 19(1):49–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12605> PMID: 28940822
4. Adeboye B, Bermano G, Rolland C. Obesity and its health impact in Africa: a systematic review. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2012 Oct; 23(9):512–521. <https://doi.org/10.5830/CVJA-2012-040> PMID: 23108519
5. Mbanya JC, Assah FK, Saji J, Atanga EN. Obesity and type 2 diabetes in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Curr Diab Rep* 2014 Jul; 14(7):501-014-0501-5.
6. Rudatsikira E, Muula AS, Mulenga D, Siziya S. Prevalence and correlates of obesity among Lusaka residents, Zambia: a population-based survey. *International archives of medicine* 2012; 5(1):14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-7682-5-14> PMID: 22551418
7. Amugsi DA, Dimbuene ZT, Mberu B, Muthuri S, Ezech AC. Prevalence and time trends in overweight and obesity among urban women: an analysis of demographic and health surveys data from 24 African countries, 1991–2014. *BMJ Open* 2017 Oct 27; 7(10):e017344-2017-017344.
8. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014 Aug 30; 384(9945):766–781. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60460-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8) PMID: 24880830
9. Central Statistical Office (CSO) [Zambia], Ministry of Health (MOH) [Zambia], and ICF International. Zambia Demographic and Health Survey 2013–14. Available from: <https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR304/FR304.pdf> Cited February 9 2018.
10. World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. World Health Organization 2014. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/148114/1/9789241564854_eng.pdf Cited February 9 2018.
11. Pisa PT, Pisa NM. Economic growth and obesity in South African adults: an ecological analysis between 1994 and 2014. *Eur J Public Health* 2017 Jun 1; 27(3):404–409. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckw119> PMID: 27543922
12. Kruger HS, Puoane T, Senekal M, van der Merwe M. Obesity in South Africa: challenges for government and health professionals. *Public Health Nutr* 2005; 8(05):491–500.
13. Scott A, Ejikeme CS, Clotey EN, Thomas JG. Obesity in sub-Saharan Africa: development of an ecological theoretical framework. *Health Promot Int* 2013 Mar; 28(1):4–16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/das038> PMID: 22933446
14. Renzaho AM. Fat, rich and beautiful: changing socio-cultural paradigms associated with obesity risk, nutritional status and refugee children from sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Place* 2004 Mar; 10(1):105–113. PMID: 14637290
15. Puoane T, Hughes GD. Impact of the HIV/AIDS pandemic on non-communicable disease prevention. *S Afr Med J* 2005 Apr; 95(4):228, 230. PMID: 15889843

16. Prentice AM. Regional case studies—Africa. *Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Pediatr Program* 2009;63:33–41;63:33–41; discussion 41–6, 259–68.
17. Besa C, Mulenga D, Babaniyi O, Songolo P, Muula A. Overweight and Obesity in Kaoma and Kasama Rural Districts of Zambia: Prevalence and Correlates in 2008–2009 Population Based Surveys. *J Hypertens* 2013; 2(110):2167–1095.1000110.
18. Zyaambo C, Babaniyi O, Songolo P, Muula AS, Rudatsikira E, Mukonka VM, et al. Prevalence and Determinants for Overweight and Obesity among Residents of a Mining Township in Kitwe, Zambia, in 2011: A population-based Survey. 2012.
19. Central Statistical Office Zambia. 2010 Census of Population and Housing—Volume 11 National Descriptive Tables. 2012. Available from: <http://catalog.ihnsn.org/index.php/catalog/4124/download/55313> Cited February 9 2018.
20. World Health Organization. WHO STEPS Surveillance Manual. 2017. Available from: http://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/STEPS_Manual.pdf?ua=1 Cited February 9 2018.
21. Mulenga D, Siziya S, Rudatsikira E, Mukonka V, Babaniyi O, Songolo P, et al. District specific correlates for hypertension in Kaoma and Kasama rural districts of Zambia. *Rural Remote Health* 2013; 13(3):2345. PMID: 24050622
22. BeLue R, Okoror TA, Iwelunmor J, Taylor KD, Degboe AN, Agyemang C, et al. An overview of cardiovascular risk factor burden in sub-Saharan African countries: a socio-cultural perspective. *Global Health* 2009 Sep 22; 5:10-8603-5-10.
23. Demaio AR, Dugee O, Amgalan G, Maximenco E, Munkhtaivaan A, Graeser S, et al. Protocol for a national, mixed-methods knowledge, attitudes and practices survey on non-communicable diseases. *BMC Public Health* 2011 Dec 30; 11:961-2458-11-961.
24. Ministry of Health (Zambia), World Health Organization. Zambia—Lusaka STEPS Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors Survey 2008. Available from: http://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/2008_STEPS_Report_Zambia.pdf Cited July 27 2018.
25. Coates J, Anne S, Paula B. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for Measurement of Household Food Access: Indicator Guide (v. 3). 2007. Available from: http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/eufao-fsi4dm/doc-training/hfiass.pdf Cited February 9 2018.
26. Kessler RC, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Bromet E, Cuitan M, et al. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population with the K6 screening scale: results from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) survey initiative. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2010 Jun; 19 Suppl 1:4–22.
27. World Health Organization. Waist circumference and waist–hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation, Geneva, 8–11 December 2008. World Health Organization. 2011. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44583/1/9789241501491_eng.pdf Cited February 9 2018.
28. World Health Organization. Zambia STEPS Survey 2017 Fact Sheet. World Health Organization, Zambia. Available from: <https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2018-05/STEPS%20SURVEY%20Zambia-fact-sheet.pdf> Cited July 23 2018.
29. Thorpe RJ Jr, Ferraro KF. Aging, Obesity, and Mortality: Misplaced Concern About Obese Older People? *Res Aging* 2004 Jan 1; 26(1):108–129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027503258738> PMID: 22707808
30. Macia E, Gueye L, Duboz P. Hypertension and Obesity in Dakar, Senegal. *PLoS One* 2016 Sep 13; 11(9):e0161544. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161544> PMID: 27622534
31. Pasquet P, Temgoua LS, Melaman-Sego F, Froment A, Rikong-Adie H. Prevalence of overweight and obesity for urban adults in Cameroon. *Ann Hum Biol* 2003 Sep-Oct; 30(5):551–562. PMID: 12959896
32. Letamo G. The prevalence of, and factors associated with, overweight and obesity in Botswana. *J Biosoc Sci* 2011 Jan; 43(1):75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932010000519> PMID: 20846466
33. Lopez-Arana S, Burdorf A, Avendano M. Trends in overweight by educational level in 33 low- and middle-income countries: the role of parity, age at first birth and breastfeeding. *Obes Rev* 2013 Oct; 14(10):806–817. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12051> PMID: 23782957
34. Yu Y. Educational differences in obesity in the United States: a closer look at the trends. *Obesity* (Silver Spring) 2012 Apr; 20(4):904–908.
35. Roskam AJ, Kunst AE, Van Oyen H, Demarest S, Klumbiene J, Regidor E, et al. Comparative appraisal of educational inequalities in overweight and obesity among adults in 19 European countries. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010 Apr; 39(2):392–404. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyp329> PMID: 19926664
36. Kim TJ, von dem Knesebeck O. Income and obesity: what is the direction of the relationship? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2018 Jan 5; 8(1):e019862-2017-019862.
37. Morton LW, Bitto EA, Oakland MJ, Sand M. Accessing food resources: Rural and urban patterns of giving and getting food. *Agriculture and Human Values* 2008; 25(1):107–119.
38. Perkins KA. Metabolic effects of cigarette smoking. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1992 Feb; 72(2):401–409.

39. Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008 Apr; 87(4):801–809. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/87.4.801> PMID: 18400700
40. Clair C, Chiolero A, Faeh D, Cornuz J, Marques-Vidal P, Paccaud F, et al. Dose-dependent positive association between cigarette smoking, abdominal obesity and body fat: cross-sectional data from a population-based survey. *BMC Public Health* 2011 Jan 11; 11:23-2458-11-23.
41. Torres SJ, Nowson CA. Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. *Nutrition* 2007 Nov-Dec; 23(11–12):887–894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2007.08.008> PMID: 17869482
42. Roberts C, Troop N, Connan F, Treasure J, Campbell IC. The effects of stress on body weight: biological and psychological predictors of change in BMI. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2007 Dec; 15(12):3045–3055.
43. World Health Organization. Mental Health Determinants and Populations Team. Women's mental health: an evidence based review. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2000. Available from: http://www.who.int/mental_health/media/en/67.pdf Cited July 27 2018.
44. Bove R, Vallengia C. Polygyny and women's health in sub-Saharan Africa. *Soc Sci Med* 2009; 68(1):21–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.09.045> PMID: 18952335
45. Otang-Mbeng W, Otunola GA, Afolayan AJ. Lifestyle factors and co-morbidities associated with obesity and overweight in Nkankobe Municipality of the Eastern Cape, South Africa. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2017 May 25; 36(1):22-017-0098-9.
46. Sartorius B, Veerman LJ, Manyema M, Chola L, Hofman K. Determinants of Obesity and Associated Population Attributability, South Africa: Empirical Evidence from a National Panel Survey, 2008–2012. *PLoS One* 2015 Jun 10; 10(6):e0130218. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130218> PMID: 26061419
47. Kivimaki M, Kuosma E, Ferrie JE, Luukkonen R, Nyberg ST, Alfredsson L, et al. Overweight, obesity, and risk of cardiometabolic multimorbidity: pooled analysis of individual-level data for 120 813 adults from 16 cohort studies from the USA and Europe. *Lancet Public Health* 2017 May 19; 2(6):e277–e285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(17\)30074-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30074-9) PMID: 28626830
48. Okafor CI. The metabolic syndrome in Africa: Current trends. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2012 Jan; 16(1):56–66. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.91191> PMID: 22276253
49. Fezeu L, Balkau B, Sobngwi E, Kengne AP, Vol S, Ducimetiere P, et al. Waist circumference and obesity-related abnormalities in French and Cameroonian adults: the role of urbanization and ethnicity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010 Mar; 34(3):446–453.
50. Abubakari AR, Lauder W, Agyemang C, Jones M, Kirk A, Bhopal RS. Prevalence and time trends in obesity among adult West African populations: a meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2008 Jul; 9(4):297–311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2007.00462.x> PMID: 18179616
51. Caleyachetty R, Kengne AP, Muennig P, Rutter H, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB. Misperception of body weight among overweight or obese adults in Mauritius. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2016 Mar-Apr; 10(2):216–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2016.02.006> PMID: 27079119
52. Appiah CA, Otoo GE, Steiner-Asiedu M. Preferred body size in urban Ghanaian women: Implication on the overweight/obesity problem. *Pan African Medical Journal* 2016; 23(239).
53. Holdsworth M, Gartner A, Landais E, Maire B, Delpeuch F. Perceptions of healthy and desirable body size in urban Senegalese women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004 Dec; 28(12):1561–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802739> PMID: 15278107
54. Awah PK, Kengne AP, Fezeu LL, Mbanya JC. Perceived risk factors of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes in Cameroon. *Health Educ Res* 2008 Aug; 23(4):612–620. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cym037> PMID: 17897932
55. Puoane T, Fourie J, Shapiro M, Rosling L, Tshaka N, Oelefse A. 'Big is beautiful'-an exploration with urban black community health workers in a South African township. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2005; 18(1):6–15.
56. Faber M, Kruger HS. Dietary intake, perceptions regarding body weight, and attitudes toward weight control of normal weight, overweight, and obese Black females in a rural village in South Africa. *Ethn Dis* 2005 Spring; 15(2):238–245. PMID: 15825970
57. Akindele MO, Phillips J, Igumbor E, Useh U. Body Weight Misperception and Dissatisfaction Among Overweight and Obese Adult Nigerians. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2017 Aug 16; 3(3):e56. <https://doi.org/10.2196/publichealth.7047> PMID: 28814377
58. Jayawardena R, Byrne NM, Soares MJ, Katulanda P, Hills AP. Body weight perception and weight loss practices among Sri Lankan adults. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2014 Mar-Apr; 8(2):e192–200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2013.05.003> PMID: 24743016
59. Bhanji S, Khuwaja AK, Siddiqui F, Azam I, Kazmi K. Underestimation of weight and its associated factors among overweight and obese adults in Pakistan: a cross sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2011 May 23; 11:363-2458-11-363.