ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Lung function and paper dust exposure among workers in a soft tissue paper mill

Eva Andersson¹ · Gerd Sällsten¹ · Susanna Lohman¹ · Richard Neitzel² · Kjell Torén¹

Received: 13 March 2019 / Accepted: 14 August 2019 / Published online: 26 August 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Purpose To study respiratory effects of exposure to soft paper dust exposure, a relationship that is rarely studied.

Methods Soft tissue paper mill workers at a Swedish paper mill were investigated using a questionnaire and lung function and atopy screening. Spirometry without bronchodilation was performed with a dry wedge spirometer, and forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) were obtained and expressed as percent predicted. Exposure to soft paper dust was assessed from historical stationary and personal measurements of total dust, in addition to historical information about the work, department, and production. The impact of high exposure to soft paper dust (> 5 mg/m³) vs. lower exposure \leq 5 mg/m³, as well as cumulative exposure, was analyzed using multiple linear regression models. Multivariate models were adjusted for smoking, atopy, gender, and body mass index.

Results One hundred ninety-eight current workers (124 male and 74 female) were included. There were significant associations between both cumulative exposure and years of high exposure to soft paper dust and impaired lung function. Each year of high exposure to soft paper dust was associated with a 0.87% decrease in FEV₁ [95% confidence interval (CI) - 1.39 to - 0.35] and decreased FVC (- 0.54%, 95% CI - 1.00 to - 0.08) compared to the lower exposed workers.

Conclusions The present study shows that occupational exposure to soft paper dust (years exceeding 5 mg/m³ total dust) is associated with lung function impairment and increased prevalence of obstructive lung function impairment.

Keywords Obstructive lung disease · Occupational · COPD · Organic dust

Introduction

Occupational exposure to dust, both organic and inorganic, is clearly associated with lung function impairments and clinical outcomes like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and interstitial lung disease (Blanc et al. 2019). The pulp and paper industry is an important industrial sector in Sweden, and a large sector is the production of soft paper (FAO 2017). Growth in demand for particularly soft paper, i.e., toilet paper, paper towels, and napkins has been particularly strong in Asia (CEPI 2017). Soft paper mills still have high exposure to dust, and in previous decades, dust levels

have frequently exceeded 10 mg/m³. Soft paper dust is an organic dust with a varying proportion of inorganic material depending on the use of additives (Sahle et al. 1990). In animal models, it has been shown that fibers from cellulose are biopersistent, and it has also been shown that exposure to cellulose dust are associated with fibrotic and granulomatous reactions (Muhle et al. 1997, Tatrai et al. 1996). Hence, it seems reasonable exposure to soft paper dust should be associated with impaired lung function.

We have in previous studies shown that high occupational exposure (> 5 mg/m³) to soft paper dust is associated with impaired lung function, mainly decreased forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) (Ericsson et al. 1988; Järvholm et al. 1988). A German study, also on soft paper mill workers with occupational exposure (> 5 mg/m³) to soft paper dust, observed a dose–response relationship for cumulative exposure to soft paper dust and decreased FVC (Kraus et al. 2004). By contrast, in two studies with lower exposure levels (\leq 5 mg/m³), there was no association between exposure to soft paper dust and lung



Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy and University Hospital, Box 414, 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

function impairment (Heederik et al. 1987; Thorén et al. 1989b). There is also conflicting data about whether exposure to paper dust increases the risk for asthma and COPD (Thorén et al. 1989a; Torén et al. 1991, 1994, 1996). Hence, there is an obvious need for further studies investigating the relation between exposure to soft tissue paper dust and respiratory health effects, especially lung function outcomes.

In this study, we have examined workers in a large soft tissue paper mill in Sweden with the aim to elucidate the extent to which exposure to soft paper dust is associated with respiratory health effects.

Materials and methods

The study was performed at a mill where soft tissue paper production started on a small scale in 1948, and increased considerably around 1960. Today, the mill is one of the largest soft paper plants in Sweden. In 2006, all employees currently working at the mill (n = 205) were invited to participate in a clinical investigation at the mill site. Six of the invited persons did not participate. Hence, the initial study population included 199 workers.

All invited workers received an extensive questionnaire with questions about occupational history, smoking habits, and respiratory symptoms and asthma. Height and weight were measured with workers wearing light clothing and no shoes. Spirometry without bronchodilation was performed with a dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK) and according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) standards (Miller et al. 2005). Forced vital capacity and FEV_1 were measured with individuals in a sitting position and wearing a nose clip, and predicted normal values were based on the GLI-equations (Quanjer et al. 2012). Blood samples were analyzed for specific immunoglobulin E class using Phadiatop analysis (Pharmacia & Upjohn Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden).

Definitions

Different definitions of airflow limitation (AL) were used: AL_{GOLD} was defined, according to Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria, as an FEV₁/FVC

ratio of <0.7 (Vogelmeier et al. 2017); AL_{LLN} was defined as an FEV₁/FVC ratio below the lower limit of normal (LLN₅) (Quanjer et al. 2012). Restrictive spirometric pattern was defined as FEV₁/FVC>0.7 and FVC<80% predicted (Crapo 1994).

Smoking was classified as never-smoking, former smoking, and current smoking, based on the subjects' answers to the questionnaire. Pack-years were calculated among current and former smokers. Asthma was defined as an affirmative answer to "Have you ever had asthma diagnosed by a physician?" and onset after 15 years of age (Torén et al. 1993). Cough with phlegm (chronic bronchitis) was defined as an affirmative answer to "Have you had long-standing cough with phlegm?" and "If so, did any period last at least 3 months?" and "If so, have you had such periods at least 2 years in a row?" (Holm et al. 2014). Wheezing was defined as an affirmative answer to the question "Have you experienced wheeze or whistling in your chest at any time since 15 years of age?" Atopy was defined as a positive Phadiatop result (class 1) (Matricardi et al. 1990). Body mass index (BMI) was defined as measured weight/height².

Exposure assessments

For the purpose of this study, we developed a specific job exposure matrix (JEM) for soft paper dust exposure. Exposure to soft paper dust was assessed from historical stationary and personal measurements of total dust, in addition to historical information about the work, department where worked, and kind of production, allowing us to assess exposure to soft paper dust for every year for each worker with an estimated mean level of dust (mg/m³). Further, the cumulative exposure, in mg/m³-years, was calculated for each worker, as $(mg/m^3) \times years$ of exposure. Due to variations in exposure across time and duties, most workers were classified into more than one exposure category over the study period. The cumulative number of years in different exposure categories is shown in Table 1. Cumulative mg/m³years for all workers were divided into quartiles and workers in the highest quartile (> 72 mg/m³-years) were defined as high exposed. The remaining workers were classified as lower exposed. High exposed years were defined as years having been exposed to soft paper dust exceeding 5 mg/m³.

Table 1 Categories of exposure to soft tissue paper dust by cumulative number of years of exposure working at the mill

Exposure category, total dust	Number of workers	Range of years worked (individuals)	Total of years worked (whole study population)
0–2 mg/m ³	198	1–48	4283
$1-5 \text{ mg/m}^3$	109	0–26	680
$5-10 \text{ mg/m}^3$	51	0–11	263
$> 10 \text{ mg/m}^3$	26	0–12	131



Statistical analyses

In the univariate analyses, we dichotomized the subjects into high exposed and lower exposed to soft paper dust. Univariate inferential analyses were performed using Chi-square test and Student's t test. Where there were fewer than ten subjects in any stratum, Fisher's exact test was used for univariate analyses. Univariate analysis results were considered significant if p < 0.05.

Lung function outcomes (dependent variable) and the association between the different independent variables (gender, BMI, pack-years, current smoking, atopy, and soft paper dust exposure) were examined in multiple linear regression models, and also stratified into never-smoking and ever-smoking. The associations between high exposure (highest quartile of cumulative dust exposure) and AL_{GOLD}, AL_{IIN}, asthma, chronic bronchitis, and wheezing were analyzed using logistic regression models. Dust exposure was measured in terms of high exposed years as well as the cumulative exposure measure, mg/m³-years. All variables were kept in the models even if most of them were without formal statistical significance. The models were adjusted for former and current smoking and also stratified into never-smoking and ever-smoking. In all regression models, we used 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and p values to determine significance. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

One person was excluded due to inadequate spirometry technique; hence, the final study population comprised 198 workers with complete data regarding lung function and smoking habits. Basic data of the study population are shown in Table 2. In the univariate analyses, FEV $_1$ was significantly lower among the high exposed compared to the lower exposed workers, 91.4% vs. 97.8% predicted. Further, the prevalence of both AL $_{GOLD}$ and AL $_{LLN}$ was higher (p < 0.05) among the high exposed workers, 27.5% vs. 6.8%, and 19.6% vs. 6.1%, respectively.

In adjusted multiple linear regression models, lung function decreased for every high exposed year (Table 3). For each year of exposure to high levels of soft paper dust, there was a 0.87% predicted decrease in FEV $_1$ (95% CI $_2$ 1.39 to $_2$ 0.35). A similar, but lesser, effect was seen for FVC ($_2$ 0.54% predicted, 95% CI $_2$ 1.00 to $_2$ 0.08). Among never-smokers, the exposure effect was significant only with regard to FVC ($_2$ 1.33% predicted, 95% CI $_2$ 2.50 to $_2$ 0.16) (Table 3). Cumulative exposure to soft paper dust expressed

Table 2 Personal data age, gender, employment time, as well as data on respiratory health, smoking habits, pulmonary function, and dust exposure data in soft tissue paper mill workers

	All, N=198	Lower exposed workers, $N = 147$	High exposed workers, $N=51$	p value ^a
Mean age, years (SD)	48.2 (10.3)	45.6 (10.4)	56.6 (5.1)	< 0.001
Female workers	37.4% (n=74)	34.7% (n=51)	45.1% (n=23)	0.19
Employment time, years (SD)	26.8 (11.5)	23.2 (11.0)	36.9 (5.5)	< 0.001
Mean cumulative exposure mg/m³-years (SD)	51.1 (50.0)	27.3 (19.9)	119.9 (46.9)	< 0.001
Never-smokers	39.9% (n=79)	44.2% (n=65)	27.5% (n=14)	0.04
Ex-smokers	35.9% (n=71)	34.0% (n=50)	41.2% (n=21)	0.36
Current smokers	24.2% (n=48)	21.8% (n=32)	31.4% (n=16)	0.17
Pack-years among ever-smokers, mean (SD)	17.7 (11.9)	15.3 (11.3)	23.1 (11.4)	< 0.001
Atopy	22.2% (n=44)	24.5% (n=36)	15.7% (n=8)	0.19
Body mass index, mean (SD)	26.6 (4.7)	26.4 (4.3)	27.2 (5.8)	0.28
Asthma	3.0% (n=6)	2.7% (n=4)	3.9% (n=2)	0.65^{b}
Wheezing	18.7% (n=37)	19.7% (n=29)	15.7% (n=8)	0.52
Chronic bronchitis	4.0% (n=8)	4.1% (n=6)	3.9% (n=2)	1.00^{b}
FEV ₁ (% predicted) (SD)	96.1 (13.7)	97.8 (11.4)	91.4 (18.0)	0.004
FVC (% predicted) (SD)	99.5 (11.9)	100.4 (10.4)	96.8 (15.2)	0.07
AL_{GOLD}	12.1% (n=24)	6.8% (n=10)	27.5% (n=14)	0.003^{b}
AL_{LLN}	9.6% (n=19)	6.1% (n=9)	19.6% (n=10)	0.01^{b}
Restrictive spirometric pattern	1.5% (n=3)	1.4% (n=2)	2.0% (n=1)	1.00^{b}

AL airflow limitation, AL_{GOLD} AL according to Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease criteria, AL_{LLN} AL with an FEV₁/FVC ratio below the lower limit of normal, FEV_1 forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity, SD standard deviation



^aHigh vs. low exposed

bFisher's exact test

Table 3 Multivariate linear regression analyses of lung function, in percentage of predicted values, among subjects currently employed (n=198) at a soft tissue paper mill in Sweden

	$\%$ of predicted FEV $_1$			% of predicted FVC		
	Estimate	95% CI	p value	Estimate	95% CI	p value
All $(n = 198)$, high exposed years ^a	- 0.87	- 1.39 to - 0.35	0.001	- 0.54	- 1.00 to - 0.08	0.02
Never-smokers $(n=79)$, high exposed years ^a	- 1.16	- 2.47 to 0.14	0.08	- 1.33	-2.50 to -0.16	0.03
Ever-smokers ($n = 119$), high exposed years ^a	-0.75	-1.34 to -0.15	0.01	-0.37	- 0.89 to 0.15	0.16
Cumulative exposure						
All $(n = 198)$, mg/m ³ -years	-0.05	-0.10 to -0.003	0.043	-0.04	-0.07 to -0.004	0.03
Never-smokers $(n=79)$, mg/m ³ -years	-0.08	- 0.18 to 0.01	0.08	-0.06	- 0.16 to 0.007	0.07
Ever-smokers ($n = 119$), mg/m ³ -years	- 0.05	-0.10 to -0.003	0.04	- 0.03	- 0.07 to 0.01	0.16

All models are adjusted for gender, atopy, body mass index, current smoking and pack-years. The models for never-smokers does not include smoking variables

CI confidence interval, FEV₁ forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity

as mg/m³-years was associated with decreased FEV₁ and decreased FVC (Table 3). This was seen among all workers and among ever-smokers. Among never-smokers the estimates also indicated decreased FEV₁ and FVC, but without formal statistical significance.

In the logistic regression models, high exposure to soft paper dust was associated with an increased odds ratio (OR) both for AL_{GOLD} (OR 4.6, 95% CI 1.8–12.0) for AL_{LLN} (OR 3.4, 95% CI 1.2–9.3) (Table 4). There were no significant associations with asthma, wheezing, or chronic bronchitis (Table 4).

Discussion

The main finding from this study is that high exposure to soft paper dust (> 5.0 mg/m^3) was associated with decreased pulmonary function. Previous studies have indicated restrictive lung function impairment associated with paper dust exposure; by contrast, the results from this study indicated obstructive impairment, as FVC was less affected than FEV₁, and the prevalence of AL was increased among high exposed workers.

Our previous study, showing a restrictive impairment of lung function, was conducted at paper mills with dust levels often exceeding 10 mg/m³; hence, probably higher than the exposure, present and past, at the mill in this study (Järvholm et al. 1988). In the present mill, the levels in the 1980s were between 5 and 10 mg/m³ total dust, but exposure

Table 4 Logistic regression models of adult-onset asthma, wheeze, chronic bronchitis, and lung function parameters among subjects (n=198) currently employed at a soft tissue paper mill in Sweden

	All workers		Never-smokers		Ever-smokers	
	Cases, n	High exposed workers, OR (95% CI)	Cases, n	High exposed workers, OR (95% CI)	Cases, n	High exposed workers, OR (95% CI)
AL _{GOLD}	24	4.6 (1.8–12)	2		22	3.5 (1.3–9.3)
AL _{LLN}	19	3.4 (1.2–9.3)	3	11 (0.9–134)	16	2.6 (0.9–7.9)
Asthma ^a	6	1.3 (0.2-8.0)	0	_	6	1.4 (0.2–8.7)
Wheezing ^a	37	0.7 (0.3-1.6)	10	0.5 (0.6–4.5)	27	0.7 (0.3-1.9)
Chronic bronchitis ^a	8	0.8 (0.2–4.3)	1	-	7	1.0 (0.2–5.3)

High exposed workers, compared to lower exposed workers, adjusted for former and current smoking, and atopy among all workers, atopy among never-smokers and current smoking and atopy among ever-smokers

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, AL airflow limitation, AL_{GOLD} AL according to Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease criteria, AL_{LLN} AL with an FEV₁/FVC ratio below the lower limit of normal

 $^{^{}a}1 = yes; 0 = no$



^aHigh exposed years > 5 mg/m³ total dust vs. lower exposed years ≤ 5 mg/m³ total dust

levels were later reduced to around 1–2 mg/m³ (Thorén et al. 1989b). By analyzing the association between lung function and high exposed years, we consider both the intensity and the duration of exposure (De Vocht et al. 2015). Our findings in the present study indicate that working for at least 1 year at dust levels exceeding 5.0 mg/m³ is associated with significant lung function impairment. Such high exposure levels have not been present in the mill in the last two decades; hence, the affected workers have had quite a long exposure to soft paper dust. However, low exposed workers with a similar duration of exposure did not show any signs of lung function impairment. Among never-smokers FVC was significantly decreased, – 1.30% predicted but FEV₁ was not significantly affected.

Chronic airflow limitation (CAL), is commonly defined as an FEV₁/FVC ratio of < 0.7 (Vogelmeier et al. 2017). This has been seriously challenged, however, because the fixed ratio FEV₁/FVC < 0.7 does not take into account the agerelated changes in lung function. Thus, it has been argued that employing a definition based on FEV₁/FVC < 0.7 leads to an overestimation of airflow limitation in the older population (Pellegrino et al. 2005). An alternative approach that has been proposed is to use the LLN as a cut-off. The LLN is calculated using the distribution in reference material; the use of LLN has been proposed by the ATS/ERS (Pellegrino et al. 2005). However, as we only had access to spirometry without bronchodilation, we analyzed AL as a proxy for CAL. However, we observed, as expected, that the prevalence of AL_{GOLD} was higher (12.1%) than the prevalence of AL_{LLN} (9.6%).

We decide to present both AL_{GOLD} and L_{LLN} as a joint American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) statement called for investigations of comparisons between the fixed cut-off (FEV₁/FVC < 0.7) and the LLN-based definition (FEV₁/FVC < LLN) of airflow limitation in predicting adverse health outcomes (Celli et al. 2015). Our results also indicated that both definitions predicted an adverse outcome.

Whether soft paper dust exposure increases obstructive lung disease risk is unclear. Among soft tissue paper workers, we have previously described increased mortality due to obstructive lung disease as well as an insignificantly increased incidence rate of asthma (Thorén et al. 1989a; Torén et al. 1994). In addition, soft paper workers seem to have an increased prevalence of rhinitis and irritative symptoms of the upper airways, even those exposed to levels below 5 mg/m³ (Thorén et al. 1989b; Hellgren et al. 2001; Kraus et al. 2002, Holm et al. 2011). Among more highly exposed workers, increased prevalence of cough has been reported (Torén et al. 1994; Kraus et al. 2002). A suspected case of occupational asthma due to cellulose has also been described (Knight et al. 2018). Our results provide further evidence that high exposure to soft paper dust has irritating

effects on the airways, impairs lung function and increases the risk for AL, regardless of how this is defined. In a longer perspective exposure to soft paper dust may also increase the risk for COPD (Järvholm 2000).

We also intended to define a group of workers with restrictive spirometric pattern, but the prevalence was too low to perform any meaningful analyses.

The present study has a number of methodological limitations that have to be considered. The main limitation is the cross-sectional design. This design implies that workers with long-standing respiratory ailments may have left the mill. We have previously shown that subjects with asthma or respiratory symptoms have an increased frequency of job change (Torén et al. 2009). This turnover of workers will cause an underestimation of the risk associated with paper dust exposure, due to healthy worker selection bias (Östlin 1989). The reference group in the present study was not unexposed; rather, they were low exposed workers, which may also have resulted in underestimation.

Our analysis adjusted for current smoking and cumulative dose of tobacco (pack-years). There is, however, a strong relation between decreased ${\rm FEV}_1$ and increased prevalence of AL and tobacco smoking, and, hence, residual confounding by smoking cannot be excluded.

Another major limitation is the lack of power. The study size was limited to the workforce in one mill, which limited the number of study subjects. Still, there were significant associations between exposure and the lung function parameters.

Conclusions

The present study shows that occupational exposure to soft paper dust (years exceeding 5 mg/m³ total dust) is associated with lung function impairment and increased prevalence of obstructive lung function impairment.

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by University of Gothenburg.

Funding This study was supported by the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research, the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation and by grants from the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the county councils, the ALF-agreement, 74570.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in the present study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the national research committee, the Gothenburg Ethical Committee, Dnr 113-05), and with the



1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Blanc PD, Annesi-Maesano I, Balmes JR et al (2019) The occupational burden of non-malignant respiratory diseases. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 199:1312–1334
- Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha JA et al (2015) An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Research questions in COPD. Eur Respir J 45:879–905
- CEPI (2017) Key statistics. European Pulp and Paper Industry. CEPI, Brussels
- Crapo R (1994) Pulmonary-function testing. N Engl J Med 331:25–30 De Vocht F, Burstyn I, Sanguanchaiyakrit N (2015) Rethinking cumulative exposure in epidemiology, again. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 25(5):467–473
- Ericsson J, Järvholm B, Norin F (1988) Respiratory symptoms and lung function following exposure in workers exposed to soft paper tissue dust. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 60(5):341–345
- FAO (2017) Pulp and paper capacities survey 2016–2021. FAO, Rome Heederik D, Burdorf L, Boleij J, Willems H, van Bilsen J (1987) Pulmonary function and intradermal tests in workers exposed to soft-paper dust. Am J Ind Med 11(6):637–645
- Hellgren J, Eriksson C, Karlsson G, Hagberg S, Olin A-C, Torén K (2001) Nasal symptoms among workers exposed to soft paper dust. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 74(2):129–132
- Holm M, Dahlman-Höglund A, Torén K (2011) Respiratory health effects and exposure to superabsorbent polymer and paper dust an epidemiological study. BMC Public Health 11:557
- Holm M, Torén K, Andersson E (2014) Incidence of chronic bronchitis: a prospective study in a large general population. Int J Turberc Lung Dis 18:870–875
- Järvholm B (2000) Natural organic fibers—health effects. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 73(Suppl):S69–S74
- Järvholm B, Thorén K, Brolin I, Ericson J, Morgan U, Tylén U, Bake B (1988) Lung function in workers exposed to soft paper dust. Am J Ind Med 14(4):457–464
- Knight D, Lopata AL, Nieuwenhuizen N, Jeebhay M (2018) Occupational asthma associated with bleached chlorine-free cellulose dust in a sanitary pad production plant. Am J Ind Med 61:952–958
- Kraus T, Pfahlberg A, Gefeller O, Raithel HJ (2002) Respiratory symptoms and diseases among workers in the soft tissue producing industry. Occup Environ Med 59(12):830–835

- Kraus T, Pfahlberg A, Zöbelein P, Gefeller O, Raithel HJ (2004) Lung function among workers in the soft tissue paper-producing industry. Chest 125(2):731–736
- Matricardi PM, Nisini R, Pizzolo JG, D'Amelio R (1990) The use of Phadiatop in mass-screening programmes of inhalant allergies: advantages and limitations. Clin Exp Allergy 20(2):151–155
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A et al (2005) ATS/ERS Task Force. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J 26:319–338
- Muhle H, Ernst H, Bellmann B (1997) Investigation of the durability of cellulose fibers in rat lungs. Ann Occup Hyg 41:184
- Östlin P (1989) The, "health-related selection effect" on occupational morbidity rates. Scand J Soc Med 17:265–270
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R et al (2005) Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J 26(5):948–968
- Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ et al (2012) ERS Global Lung Function Intiative. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J 2012(40):1324–1343
- Sahle W, Sällsten G, Torén K (1990) Characterization of airborne dust in a soft paper mill. Ann Occup Hyg 34:55–75
- Tatrai E, Brozik M, Adamis Z et al (1996) In vivo pulmonary toxicity of cellulose in rats. J Appl Toxicol 16:129–135
- Thorén K, Järvholm B, Morgan U (1989a) Mortality from asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among workers in a soft paper mill: a case–referent study. Br J Ind Med 46(3):192–195
- Thorén K, Sällsten G, Bake B, Drake U, Järvholm B, Sahle W (1989b) Lung function and respiratory symptoms among workers in a soft paper mill. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 61(7):467–471
- Torén K, Sällsten G, Järvholm B (1991) Mortality from asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, respiratory system cancer, and stomach cancer among paper mill workers: a case–referent study. Am J Ind Med 19:729–737
- Torén K, Brisman J, Järvholm B (1993) Asthma and asthma-like symptoms in adults assessed by questionnaires. Chest 104:600–608
- Torén K, Järvholm B, Sällsten G, Thiringer G (1994) Respiratory symptoms and asthma among workers exposed to paper dust: a cohort study. Am J Ind Med 26(4):489–496
- Torén K, Hagberg S, Westberg H (1996) Health effects of working in pulp and paper mills: exposure, obstructive airways diseases, hypersensitivity reactions, and cardiovascular diseases. Am J Ind Med 29(2):111–122
- Torén K, Zock J-P, Kogevinas M et al (2009) An international prospective general population-based study of respiratory work disability. Thorax. 64(4):339–344
- Vogelmeier CF, Criber GJ, Martinez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J et al (2017) Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease report. GOLD Executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 195:557–582

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

