SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 09 November 2015 Accepted: 24 March 2016 Published: 11 April 2016

OPEN Fungal naphtho- γ -pyrones: Potent antibiotics for drug-resistant microbial pathogens

Yan He¹, Jun Tian², Xintao Chen¹, Weiguang Sun¹, Hucheng Zhu¹, Qin Li¹, Liang Lei¹, Guangmin Yao¹, Yongbo Xue¹, Jianping Wang¹, Hua Li¹ & Yonghui Zhang¹

Four naphtho- γ -pyrones (fonsecinones A and C and aurasperones A and E) were identified as potential antibacterial agents against *Escherichia coli*, extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterococcus faecalis, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in an in vitro antibacterial screen of 218 fungal metabolites. Fonsecinone A (2) exhibited the most potent antibacterial activity, with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 4.26, 17.04, and 4.26 µg/mL against ESBL-producing E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and E. faecalis, respectively. The inhibitory effects of fonsecinones A (2) and C (3) against E. coli and ESBL-producing E. coli were comparable to those of amikacin. Molecular docking-based target identification of naphtho- γ -pyrones 1–8 revealed bacterial enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (Fabl) as an antibacterial target, which was further validated by Fabl affinity and inhibition assays. Fonsecinones A (2) and C (3) and aurasperones A (6) and E (7) bound Fabl specifically and produced concentration-dependent inhibition effects. This work is the first report of anti-drug-resistant bacterial activities of naphtho- γ -pyrones 1–8 and their possible antibacterial mechanism of action and provides an example of the successful application of in silico methods for drug target identification and validation and the identification of new lead antibiotic compounds against drug-resistant pathogens.

The emergence and spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria have potentially drastic consequences for human health globally¹. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Enterococcus faecalis (E. faecalis), extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli (ESBL-producing E. coli), Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa express a remarkable array of resistance and virulence factors, which contributes to their prominent roles in hospital-acquired infections². New antibiotics or for further strategies to overcome antibiotic resistance are thus urgently needed. Microorganisms produce diverse antibiotics that function in an antagonistic capacity in nature in competition with other organisms³, and most antibacterial agents in clinical or preclinical trials are either microbial products or analogs³⁻⁵. Another effective approach is drug repurposing, in which new useful activities of old drugs are identified by screening against relevant disease targets⁶. Aspergillomarasmine A, a fungal metabolite discovered 50 years ago, was recently repurposed based on its ability to overcome antibiotic resistance associated with metallo- β -lactamase⁷. The development of bioinformatic and computational biology techniques will greatly facilitate, this type of drug repurposing⁸⁻¹⁰.

Our efforts to identify novel antibiotics from microbial sources yielded four naphtho- γ -pyrones (compounds 2, 3, 6, and 7) that possess potent inhibitory activities against MRSA and ESBL-producing E. coli in vitro. We then analyzed the antimicrobial activities and possible mechanisms of action of relevant analogues (compounds 1-8) isolated by our group in detail. Naphtho- γ -pyrones are an important group of fungal polyketides, some of them have been shown to exert antimicrobial activities against S. aureus, B. cereus, M. tuberculosis, E. coli, plant pathogenic bacteria, and C. albicans¹¹⁻¹⁴. However, few studies have focused on the activities of naphtho- γ -pyrones against drug-resistant bacteria², their mechanisms of action and structure-activity relationships^{14,15}.

¹Hubei Key Laboratory of Natural Medicinal Chemistry and Resource Evaluation, School of Pharmacy, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, China. ²Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.W. (email: jpwang1001@163.com) or H.L. (email: li_hua@mail.hust.edu.cn) orY.Z. (email: zhangyh@mails.tjmu.edu.cn)



Figure 1. Chemical structures of the investigated naphtho- γ -pyrones 1–8.

.....

In this study, the antimicrobial effects of naphtho- γ -pyrones **1–8** against MRSA, ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *E. faecalis* were investigated. We also performed structure-based virtual screening and confirmatory bioassays to identify the possible antibacterial targets of these bioactive naphtho- γ -pyrones.

Results and Discussion

The structure of the naphtho- γ **-pyrones.** The structures of compounds **1–8** were determined by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, MS, and ECD data analysis and by comparison with the literature^{16–20}. Compounds of this type usually display axial chirality due to the high energy barrier for rotation of the bond linking the individual aromatic systems^{19,21}. According to the literature^{22,23}, (*R*)-configured dimeric naphtho- γ -pyrones exhibit a negative Cotton effect in the long-wavelength region and a positive one at shorter wavelengths. The ECD spectra of compounds **1–8** (see Fig. S20, Supporting Information) suggested that the absolute configurations of both compounds were (*R*). Moreover, the calculated ECD spectra of compounds **3**, **4**, and 7 matched well with their experimental ECD spectra (see Figs S21–S23, Supporting Information), confirming the structures and configurations of the investigated compounds.

Antimicrobial activity. MRSA (ATCC 43300) and ESBL-producing *E. coli* (ATCC 35218) were used to screen antibacterial activity against antimicrobial-resistant pathogenic bacteria². A total of 218 fungal metabolites isolated by our research group were screened *in vitro*. Fonsecinones A (2) and C (3) and aurasperones A (6) and E (7) exhibited potent inhibitory activities against MRSA and ESBL-producing *E. coli* at $100 \mu g/mL$. The polycyclic skeletons of these compounds (Fig. 1) are similar to that of naphtho- γ -pyrone. Compounds 2, 3, 6, and 7 and four other compounds with similar structures—flavasperone (1), fonsecinone B (4), rubrofusarin B (5), and asperpyrone C (8)—were purified by HPLC ($\geq 95\%$) for further study to confirm this result. Flavasperone (1) and rubrofusarin B (5) are monomers with linear and angular polycyclic skeletons¹⁴, respectively. Based on their diaryl bond connections, the six dimeric naphtho- γ -pyrones were classified as asperpyrone-type naphtho- γ -pyrones with C-10'-C-9 (2 and 3), C-10-C-7' (6, 7, and 8), or C-6-C-7' (4) linkages^{13,14} (Fig. 1). Further antibacterial assays using the serial dilution method were performed on MRSA (ATCC 43300), ESBL-producing *E. coli* (ATCC 35218), and four common nosocomial infection strains: *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 700603), *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212), and *E. coli* (ATCC 29212). The MICs of the tested compounds against these bacterial are presented in Table 1. The activities of reference compounds recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) were also included for comparison²⁴.

Most of the investigated naphtho- γ -pyrones displayed significant antibacterial activity against gram-negative bacteria. Fonsecinones A (**2**) and C (**3**) and aurasperones A (**6**) and E (**7**) possessed potential antibacterial activities against *E. coli*, ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* and MRSA in the micromolar range. Fonsecinone A (**2**) exhibited the most potent antibacterial activity. The MICs of Fonsecinone A for ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. faecalis* were 4.26, 17.04, and 4.26 ug/mL, respectively. The inhibitory effects of fonsecinones A (**2**) and C (**3**) against *E. coli* and ESBL-producing *E. coli* were comparable to those of amikacin²⁴, which exhibits MICs of 2.13 and 4.26 µg/mL, respectively. The structure-activity relationships in these compounds indicated that the compounds with C-10'-C-9 linkages, fonsecinones A and C, had the highest

	Minimum inhibitory concentrations (µg/mL)							
		Gram	Gram-positive					
Compounds	<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 35218	E. coli ATCC 25922	P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853	K. pneumoniae ATCC 700603	S. aureus ATCC 43300	E. faecalis ATCC 29212		
1	8.52	8.52	68.16	≥ 100	$\geq \! 100$	68.16		
2	4.26	2.13	17.04	≥ 100	34.08	4.26		
3	4.26	2.13	17.04	≥ 100	34.08	17.04		
4	17.04	17.04	$\geq \! 100$	34.08	$\geq \! 100$	≥ 100		
5	17.04	8.52	$\geq \! 100$	68.16	$\geq \! 100$	68.16		
6	8.52	4.26	34.08	≥ 100	34.08	17.04		
7	8.52	8.52	34.08	≥ 100	68.16	17.04		
8	17.04	17.04	$\geq \! 100$	68.16	$\geq \! 100$	$\geq \! 100$		
Amikacin	4.26	2.13	2.13	8.52	-	-		
Ceftriaxone	8.52	2.13	2.13	2.13	-	-		
Vancomycin	-	-	-	-	0.53	0.53		

Table 1. In vitro antimicrobial activities of compounds 1–8.

.....

	Protein	Compounds							
PDB ID ^b	name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2F9Y	AccA	-22.41	-9.62	-25.89	-16.63	-11.26	-22.15	-16.83	-9.48
1BDO	AccB	-16.23	-15.36	-16.93	-15.33	-14.74	-16.93	-25.01	-24.79
1BIA	AccC	-9.92	-15.96	-6.91	-17.24	-21.84	-19.17	-19.60	-20.82
1RQX	AccD	-12.68	-12.68	-13.32	-27.73	-3.11	-9.72	-14.40	-14.32
1NM2	FabD	-18.44	-14.46	-19.97	-28.08	-22.30	-14.04	-16.89	-15.84
4IIN	FabG	-16.95	-20.04	-18.22	-21.44	-9.40	-17.72	-25.69	-22.38
4KEH	FabA	-11.87	-18.10	-13.58	-12.46	-8.52	-12.20	-16.95	-10.80
4I83	FabZ	-8.10	-18.69	-13.01	-18.19	-13.52	-7.03	-22.96	-13.71
1LXC	FabI	-19.95	-37.40	-35.27	-20.57	-19.20	-31.41	-30.62	-16.38
1MFP	FabK	-17.60	-17.51	-15.88	-25.96	-21.29	-16.87	-7.071	-21.63
3OJF	FabL	-21.87	-18.84	-22.88	-18.97	-18.09	-14.66	-25.09	-13.96
2VB9	FabB	-18.24	-14.66	-20.25	-18.44	-20.02	-15.19	-10.83	-27.47
2GFW	FabF	-6.51	-21.57	-15.45	-23.67	-15.95	-11.18	-14.70	-14.05
1TXT	FabH	-17.82	-16.31	-15.71	-10.33	-10.78	-24.36	-16.01	-16.37

Table 2. Predicted binding free energies of compounds and target (ICM docking scores)^a. ^aDocking score/ interaction potential of compounds with targets (kcal/mol). ^bSee refs 38,39,42–51.

.....

antibacterial activities against the targeted pathogenic bacteria, followed by the compounds with C-10'-C-7 linkages, fonsecinone B and aurasperones A and E. Asperpyrone C, which features a C-6-C-7' linkage, had the lowest antibacterial activities. The removal of electron-donating substituents (OH) at C2/C2' was associated with higher antibacterial activity for these naphtho- γ -pyrones. Flavasperone, a monomer with an angular skeleton, exhibited higher antibacterial activity than its linear isomer (5).

Identification of Fabl as a possible antibacterial target by inverse docking. Compounds 1–8, which exhibited potent antimicrobial activity, were subjected to further investigation to determine their possible antimicrobial mechanisms. A thorough literature survey indicated that several flavones²⁵ and flavonoids^{26,27} with similar polycyclic skeleton structures and cephalochromin²⁸, a bis-naphtho- γ -pyrone with a chaetochromin-skeleton, are inhibitors of cellular fatty acid biosynthesis in bacteria. Bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis enzymes are excellent targets for novel antibacterial agent discovery because of their essential roles in the synthesis of the cell membrane²⁹. Several antibiotics, such as diazaborines, isoniazid, and triclosan, inhibit fatty acid synthetic enzymes²⁹. Selective targeting of key bacterial fatty acid metabolism enzymes was performed via *in silico* target identification by small-scale inverse docking of 14 enzymes involved in fatty acid metabolism^{29,30} (Fig. S25) with compounds **1–8**. Targets with lower calculated binding energies are considered to have higher binding affinities for a specific compound^{31,32}. The docking score results are presented in Table 2. FabI²⁹, a single enoyl-ACP reductase enzyme that is the key control point within the bacterial fatty acid elongation cycle, was predicted to exhibit significantly higher binding affinities for the investigated naphtho- γ -pyrones. As the negative control, four unrelated targets^{33–36} were also performed docking with compounds **1–8**, the results were shown in Table S1, no significant binding was predicted for these targets.

FabI is highly conserved among most bacteria, including *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*, and has no homologue in mammals^{30,37}. FabI is therefore an attractive target for antibacterial drug development^{29,37}. Notably, fonsecinones A and C, which possessed the most potent antibacterial activities, had the lowest binding



Figure 2. Low-energy binding conformations of (**A**) fonsecinone A and (**B**) fonsecinone C bound to *E.coli* FabI generated by virtual ligand docking. The structure of FabI was depicted in ribbon form. Fonsecinones A and C are depicted as the ball-and-stick model showing carbon (yellow), hydrogen (grey), oxygen (red) atoms.

.....

	Dissociation constant with <i>E. coli</i> FabI	Inhibitory activities against <i>E. coli</i> FabI		
Compound	Kd ^a (µM)	IC ₅₀ (µM)		
1	^b n.i.	110.1		
2	215.0±28.8	2.7		
3	270.0±9.1	3.0		
4	^b n.i.	110.7		
5	^b n.i.	^c n.i.		
6	289.0±31.1	52.1		
7	329.0±29.7	71.8		
8	^b n.i.	^c n.i.		
Triclosan	11.4 ± 8.3	0.77		

Table 3. Binding affinity and inhibitory activities of screened compounds 1–8. ^aThe Kd value is automatic calculated by the curve fitting, and presents as means \pm SD for three experiments. ^bn.i. is no clear binding detected in the MST measurement. ^cn.i. is no inhibition detected in the experiments (IC₅₀ > 1 mM).

energies to FabI (-37.40 and -35.27 kcal/mol, respectively). Representative complexes of the top scoring compounds are shown in Fig. 2. Similar to triclosan and other inhibitors observed in co-crystal structures^{38,39}, fonsecinones A (Fig. 2A) and C (Fig. 2B) were well accommodated in the active site of FabI in the proposed binding mode^{31,38}. The compounds formed key hydrophobic interactions with Gly93, Tyr146, Tyr156, Phe203, and Met206 of FabI. In addition, the compounds formed hydrogen bonds with the 2'-hydroxyl group of NAD⁺ and exhibited a key π - π accumulation effect on the nicotine ring of NAD^{+38,39}.

Bioactive naphtho- γ **-pyrones maintain specific binding with Fabl.** The ligand-protein networks suggested that the bioactive naphtho- γ -pyrones could bind Fabl. Microscale thermophoresis (MST) was then performed to quantitatively measure binding⁴⁰. The overall structures of Fabl in *E. coli* and other pathogens have been reported to be very similar²⁹; therefore, we expressed and purified *E. coli* Fabl to quantify the dissociation constant (K_d) for compound binding to the protein. Fonsecinones A (2) and C (3) and aurasperones A (6) and E (7) had K_d values of 215 ± 28.8, 270 ± 9.1, 289 ± 31.1, and 329 ± 29.7 μ M, respectively (Table 3), confirming their specific binding to *E. coli* Fabl (Fig. 3).

Bioactive naphtho- γ -**pyrones inhibit Fabl in a concentration-dependent manner.** To further characterize their activity against Fabl, compounds 1–8 were assayed. Six naphtho- γ -pyrones (1–4, 6, and 7) inhibited *E. coli* Fabl; their IC₅₀ values are presented in Table 3. Fonsecinone A (2) exhibited the most potent inhibition, with an IC₅₀ of only 2.78 μ M. The order of inhibitory potencies was $2 > 3 > 6 > 7 > 1 > (\approx) 4$. The antibacterial activities of these compounds generally agreed with the experimentally determined Fabl inhibitory activities. Among these compounds, fonsecinones A and C, had moderate antibacterial activities against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, which express Fabl as the sole enoyl reductase in the FASII system; however, no obvious inhibition of *K. pneumonia*, which produces only FabK, was observed^{29,38}. The inhibitory activity of fonsecinone A against *E. coli* FabI was comparable to that of cephalochromin²⁸, possibly indicating that the abilities of fonsecinone A and cephalochromin to access the active site of *E. coli* FabI and exert selective inhibitory effects are comparable, as observed in the molecular docking experiment (Figs 2 and S26). Fonsecinone A and cephalochromin have similar modes of binding in the active site of FabI and a potent π - π interaction with the nicotine ring of NAD^{+38,39}. The results of the FabI inhibition assay are in agreement with the predicted binding free energies (Table 2), further validating the success of the inverse-docking target prediction and confirming FabI as an antibacterial target of the investigated naphtho- γ -pyrones.

In conclusion, a combination of *in vitro* antibacterial screening and molecular docking techniques were employed to identify several bioactive naphtho- γ -pyrones (**2**, **3**, **6**, and **7**) as potent antibiotics against a panel of drug-resistant microbial pathogens. These compounds exhibit FabI inhibition as one antibacterial mode of





action. Notably, fonsecinones A and C (2 and 3) are both potent FabI inhibitors of fungal origin and exhibited promising antibacterial activities against the nosocomial infection pathogens MRSA, *P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis, E. coli*, and ESBL-producing *E. coli*, with MIC values in the micromolar range. These bioactive naphtho- γ -pyrones may be suitable as new antibiotics because of their potent antimicrobial properties and low toxicities^{15,17}. The active naphtho- γ -pyrones found in this study may provide novel chemical scaffolds for the discovery of antibacterial agents.

Materials and Methods

General experimental procedures. UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-2401A spectrophotometer. IR spectra were determined on a Bruker Vertex 70 FT-IR spectrophotometer. ECD spectra were obtained with a JASCO J-810 spectrometer. HRESIMS was performed on an APIQSTAR Pulsar spectrometer mass spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM–400 NMR spectrometer, and chemical shifts were referenced to the solvent peaks for CDCl₃ ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.26/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.16). Semi-preparative HPLC was conducted on an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatography apparatus with a C₁₈ column (5 μ m, 10 × 250 mm, YMCTM Prep C₁₈) and variable wavelength scanning ultraviolet detector (wavelength range: 190–600 nm). MPLC was conducted on a QuikSep-50 chromatography system (H&E Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). TLC was performed on silica gel GF254 (Qingdao Marine Chemical, Inc., Qingdao, China). Column chromatography were performed using silica gel (100–200 mesh and 200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, China), ODS (50 μ m, YMC, Kyoto, Japan), and Sephadex LH–20 (Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The ELISA reader used SOFTmax PRO software (Molecular Devices, California, USA).

Isolation of fungal natural products. Compounds 1–8 were isolated from *Aspergillus* sp. Z120. The strain was obtained from the Marine Culture Collection of China (MCCC, Xiamen, China) and was isolated from the Pacific Ocean. The DNA sequence data for the fungus have been deposited in GenBank under the accession number FJ798688. All information and strains collected can be obtained from the website http://www.mccc.org. cn/ and the collection center. The fungus was cultured in three 500-mL Erlenmeyer flasks each containing 100 mL of PDB at 20 °C on a rotary shaker (110 rpm) for 8 d to obtain the seed culture. Then, the seed culture was added to 500-ml Erlenmeyer flasks, each containing solid rice medium (80g) and H₂O (120 mL). The fungal strain was grown under static conditions at 20 °C for 28 days. The mycelium-containing culture medium was divided into small portions, extracted with AcOEt (3×5 L), and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude extract (53.2 g). The crude extract was separated into 7 fractions, Frs. 1-7, on a SiO₂ column via step-gradient elution of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (from 100:1 to 10:1, v/v). Fr. 4 (4.5 g) was separated by MPLC (MeOH-H₂O from 20:80 to 100:0) into six subfractions, Frs. 4.1-4.6. Fr. 4.4 (119.3 mg) was further separated by CC (Sephadex LH-20; CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH 1:1) followed by semi-prep HPLC (65% MeOH-H₂O) to isolate compounds 1 (t_R 17.5 min; 9.5 mg) and 5 (t_R 22.5 min; 8.2 mg). Fr. 5 (6.5 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 in CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (1:1) to isolate the major component, which was then separated by MPLC (MeOH-H₂O from 10:90 to 100:0) to obtain Frs. 5.3.1–5.3.10. Fr. 5.3.6 (96.9 mg) was purified by semi-prep HPLC (75% MeOH- H_2O) to yield compound **6** (t_R 18.0 min; 12.5 mg). Compounds 7 (t_R 31.5 min; 7.8 mg) and 8 (t_R 30.1 min; 11.3 mg) were isolated from Fr. 5.3.8 (67.0 mg) by semi-prep HPLC (50% MeCN-H₂O). The separation of Fr. 6 (12 g) followed a procedure similar to that used for Fr. 5 to produce compounds 4, 2, and 3 by semi-prep HPLC (45% MeOH-H₂O; t_R 27.0 min; 3.4 mg; 47% MeOH-H₂O; t_R 19.0 min; 9.1 mg; and 50% MeOH-H₂O; t_R 21.0 min; 7.6 mg, respectively).

Chemical structure data. All investigated compounds were \geq 95% pure (HPLC, wavelength = 210 nm). NMR data for compounds 3, 4 and 7 were completed for the first time in the present study. The NMR spectra of the compounds are provided in the Supporting Information.

Flavasperone (1): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): 2.48 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 6.26(s, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 12.81 (s, 1H). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}O_5$, 287.0875, found 287.0874.

Fonsecinone A (2): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 4.01(s, 3H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 6.17(d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 12.81 (s, 1H), 15.23 (s, 1H). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₆O₁₀, 570.1560, found 570.1562.

Fonsecinone C (3): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.45 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.92 (dd, J = 17.0, 15.2 Hz, 2H), 3.38(s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 6.12 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 12.73 (s, 1H), 14.49 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 197.4 (C-4'), 183.1 (C-4), 166.9 (C-2), 165.3 (C-8'), 162.7 (C-8), 162.1 (C-6'), 160.3 (C-10), 156.8 (C-5), 155.8 (C-5'), 155.2 (C-10b), 151.4 (C-10'a), 142.8 (C-6a), 140.7 (C-9'a), 118.4 (C-9), 110.9 (C-5a), 109.7 (C-10a), 108.1 (C-5'a), 108.1 (C-3), 106.8 (C-10), 106.3 (C-4a), 103.7 (C-4'a), 102.2 (C-6), 100.4 (C-2'), 97.5 (C-7'), 96.7 (C-9'), 61.4 (10-OCH₃), 56.4 (6'-OCH₃), 56.2 (8-OCH₃), 55.4 (8'-OCH₃), 46.9 (C-3'), 29.3 (2'-CH₃), 20.7 (2-CH₃). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₈O₁₁, 588.1665, found 588.1667.

Fonsecinone B (4): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.78 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.99 (dd, J = 17.0, 15.2 Hz, 2H), 3.39(s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 5.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.18(s, 1H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 14.13 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 15.23 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 196.7 (C-4'), 184.8 (C-4), 167.8 (C-2), 164.3 (C-8'), 162.9 (C-8), 161.6 (C-6'), 161.2 (C-6), 161.2 (C-5), 159.7 (C-5'), 153.5 (C-10a), 151.0 (C-10'a), 142.9 (C-9a), 140.9 (C-9'a), 117.0 (C-7), 110.9 (C-5a), 108.7 (C-5a'), 107.4 (C-3), 105.4 (C-10'), 104.4 (C-4a), 103.7 (C-4'a), 102.9 (C-9), 102.1 (C-10), 100.3 (C-2'), 97.2 (C-7'), 96.6 (C-9'), 62.1 (6-OCH₃), 56.4 (6'-OCH₃), 56.1 (8-OCH₃), 55.4 (8'-OCH₃), 47.5 (C-3'), 29.0 (2'-CH₃), 20.9 (2-CH₃). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₈O₁₁, 588.1665, found 588.1667.

Rubrofusarin B (5): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.35 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 15.0 (s, 1H). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₅, 287.0875, found 287.0879.

Aurasperone A (**6**): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.44 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 4.00(s, 3H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 6.03 (s, 1H), 6.18 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 14.82 (s, 1H), 15.23 (s, 1H). HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₆O₁₀, 570.1560, found 570.1557.

Aurasperone E (7): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.47 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.92 (dd, J = 17.0, 15.2 Hz, 2H), 3.40(s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 6.09 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 14.52 (s, 1H), 14.77 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 197.8 (C-4'), 184.7 (C-4), 168.0 (C-2'), 165.1 (C-8), 162.3 (C-8'), 162.0 (C-6'), 161.9 (C-6), 160.4 (C-5), 153.3 (C-5'), 151.6 (C-10'a), 142.8 (C-10a), 140.4 (C-9a), 118.9 (C-9'a), 111.5 (C-7'), 110.4 (C-5'a), 107.9 (C-5a), 107.5 (C-3'), 106.6 (C-10), 104.9 (C-4'a), 104.0 (C-4a), 101.9 (C-9'), 101.5 (C-10'), 100.4 (C-2), 97.5 (C-7), 96.3 (C-9), 62.0 (6'-OCH₃), 56.4 (6-OCH₃), 56.1 (8'-OCH₃), 55.4 (8-OCH₃), 47.2 (C-3), 28.9 (2-CH₃), 21.0 (2'-CH₃). HRESIMS: m/z [M + H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₈O₁₁, 588.1665, found 588.1667.

Asperpyrone C (8): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 6.23 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 13.09 (s, 1H), 14.72 (s, 1H); HRESIMS: m/z [M+H] calcd for C₃₂H₂₆O₁₀, 570.1560, found 570.1558.

Computational methods for ECD spectra. The conformational analyses were carried out for compounds 3, 4 and 7 using BALLOON11 and confab12 programs. The BALLOON program searches conformational space with a generic algorithm, whereas the confab program systematically generates diverse low energy conformations that are supposed to be close to crystal structures. Conformations generated by both programs were grouped together by removing duplicated conformations in which the root mean square (RMS) distance was less than 0.5 Å. Semi-empirical PM3 quantum mechanical geometry optimisations were performed on the conformations using the Gaussian 0913 program. The duplicated conformations after geometry optimisation were then identified and removed. Remaining conformations were further optimised at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory in methanol solvent with the IEFPCM314 solvation model using the Gaussian 09 program, and duplicated conformations emerging after these calculations were removed according to the same RMS criteria indicated above. The harmonic vibrational frequencies were performed to confirm the stability of obtained conformers (Figures S106 and S107). Oscillator strengths and rotational strengths of the 20 weakest electronic excitations of each conformer were calculated using the TDDFT methodology at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory with methanol as the solvent by the IEFPCM solvation model implemented in the Gaussian 09 program. The ECD spectra for each conformers were then simulated using Gaussian function with a bandwidth $\sigma = 0.45$ eV. The calculated spectra for each conformations were combined after Boltzmann weighting according to their population contributions.

Antimicrobial activity. Whole-cell antimicrobial activity was determined by the broth microdilution⁴¹. Test strains were grown to the mid-log phase in Mueller–Hinton broth and diluted 1000-fold in the same medium. Cells (10^{5} /mL) were inoculated into Mueller–Hinton broth and dispensed at 0.1 mL/well into a 96-well microtitre

plate. MICs were determined in triplicate by serial 2-fold dilutions of test compounds. The MIC was defined as the concentration of a test compound that completely inhibited cell growth during a 24h incubation at 30 °C. Bacterial growth was determined by measuring the absorption at 600 nm using a microtitre ELISA reader.

Fabl cloning, expression, and purification. The full-length FabI gene (*E. coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, complete genome) was amplified from *E. coli* genomic DNA using the following forward and reverse primers: 5'-GGAATTCATATGGGTTTTCTTTCCGGTAAGCGC-3' and 5'-GGGTGCCTCGAGTTATTTCAGTTCGAGTTCGTT-3'. The gene was cloned into a modified pET21b vector containing a 6 His-tag coding region at the N-terminus of the insert. After verifying the recombinant plasmids by sequencing, the plasmids were used to transform *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. The transformed cells were grown in LB medium at 37 °C to an OD of 0.8–1.0 and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 18 °C for 18 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,100 rpm for 10 min and re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole, followed by disruption on a French press. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 21,000 rpm for 30 min. The protein was bound to Ni-agarose affinity resin, washed with buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.8, 250 mM NaCl, and 150 mM imidazole. The protein was further purified by anion-exchange chromatography using a linear gradient of 10 mM to 1 M NaCl and size exclusion chromatography at pH 8.5 in 200 mM NaCl.

Microscale thermophoresis. Recombinant *E. coli* FabI was labeled with the Monolith NT^{TM} Protein Labeling Kit RED (Cat#L001) according to the supplied labeling protocol. Labelled FabI was kept constant at 50 nM, while all samples tested were diluted in a 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and 0.05 (v/v)% Tween-20. After 10 min incubation at room temperature, samples were loaded into MonolithTM standard-treated capillaries and the thermophoresis was measured at 25 °C after 30 min incubation on a Monolith NT.115 instrument (NanoTemper Technologies, München, Germany). Laser power was set to 20% or 40% using 30 seconds on-time. The LED power was set to 100%. The dissociation constrant Kd values was fitted by using the NTAnalysis software (NanoTemper Technologies, München, Germany).

 IC_{50} assay of compounds with *E. coli* Fabl. NADH, NADPH, crotonoyl-CoA, and HEPES reagents were obtained from Sigma. All other chemicals were of analytical grade. The assay was performed in half 96-well microtiter plates. All compounds in this study were dissolved in DMSO to produce 100 mM stocks. The IC_{50} values of the investigated compounds were determined using 1 μ g of enzyme, 50 μ M crotonoyl-CoA as the substrate, and 100 μ M NADH as a cofactor^{30,40}. Nine concentrations of the compounds were analyzed using a log-probit analysis program in GraphPad Prism 4.0. Equal volumes of DMSO and triclosan were used in the untreated and positive controls.

Molecular Docking. For the small scale inverse docking^{31,32}, crystal structures of docking targets (Table 2) were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org)^{38,39,42-51}. The docking was performed by using ICM 3.8.2 modeling software on an Intel i7 4960 processor (MolSoft LLC, San Diego, CA)⁵². Ligand binding pocket residues were selected by using graphical tools in the ICM software, to create the boundaries of the docking search. In the docking calculation, potential energy maps of the receptor were calculated using default parameters. Compounds were imported into ICM and an index file was created. Conformational sampling was based on the Monte Carlo procedure⁵³, and finally the lowest-energy and the most favorable orientation of the ligand were selected.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using Graph Pad Prism 4.0 software. The data were expressed as the means \pm SD. Values were analyzed using SPSS version 12.0 software by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

References

- 1. Djalal, M. C. & Patrice, C. To the rescue of old drugs. Nature. 510, 477-478 (2014).
- Sievert, D. M. et al. National healthcare safety network (NHSN) team and participating NHSN facilities: Antimicrobial-resistant
 pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the National healthcare safety network at
 the centers for disease control and prevention, 2009–2010. Infect. Cont. Hosp. Ep. 34, 1–14 (2013).
- 3. Singh, M. P. & Greenstein, M. Antibacterial leads from microbial natural products discovery. *Curr. Opin. Drug. Discov. Devel.* 3, 167–176 (2000).
- Fowler, V. G. et al. Daptomycin versus standard therapy for bacteremia and endocarditis caused by Staphylococcus aureus. N. Engl. J. Med. 355, 653–655 (2006).
- 5. Louie, T. J. et al. Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 364, 422-431 (2011).
- 6. Cragg, G. M., Grothaus, P. G. & Newman, D. J. New horizons for old drugs and drug leads. J. Nat. Prod. 77, 703–723 (2014).
- 7. King, A. M. et al. Aspergillomarasmine A overcomes metallo-beta-lactamase antibiotic resistance. Nature. 510, 503–506 (2014).
- 8. Grimberg, B. T. & Mehlotra, R. K. Expanding the antimalarial drug arsenal—Now, but how? *Pharmaceuticals*. 4, 681-712 (2011).
- Chopra, S., Matsuyama, K., Hutson, C. & Madrid, P. Identification of antimicrobial activity among FDA-approved drugs for combating *Mycobacterium* abscessus and *Mycobacterium* chelonae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 1533–1536 (2011).
- 10. Nessar, R. et al. Mycobacterium abscessus: a new antibiotic nightmare. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67, 810-818 (2012).
- 11. Song, Y. C. *et al.* Endophytic naphthopyrone metabolites are co-inhibitors of xanthine oxidase, sw1116 cell and some microbial growths. *FEMS. Microbiol. Lett.* **241**, 67–72 (2004).
- 12. Zhan, J. *et al.* Asperpyrone D and other metabolites of the plant-associated fungal strain *Aspergillus tubingensis*. *Phytochemistry*. **68**, 368–372 (2007).
- 13. Lu, S. et al. Bis-naphtho-gamma-pyrones from fungi and their bioactivities. Molecules. 19, 7169–7188 (2014).
- Barrow, R. A. & McCulloch, M. W. B. Linear naphtho-γ-pyrones: A naturally occurring scaffold of biological. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 9, 273–292 (2009).

- Choque, E., Rayess, Y. E., Raynal, J. & Mathieu, F. Fungal naphtho-γ-pyrones secondary metabolites of industrial interest. *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* 99, 1081–1096 (2015).
- 16. Sakai, K. *et al.* Selective inhibition of Acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltransferase 2 isozyme by flavasperone and sterigmatocystin from *Aspergillus* Species. *J. Antibiot.* **9**, 568–572 (2008).
- Zhang, Y., Li. X. & Wang, B. Nigerasperones A ~ C, new monomer and dimeric naphtho-γ-pyrones from a marine alga-derived endophytic fungus Aspergillus niger EN-13. J. Antibiot. 3, 204–210 (2007).
- 18. Akiyama, K. et al. New dimeric naphthopyrones from Aspergillus niger. J. Nat. Prod. 66, 136-139 (2003).
- Shaaban, M., Shaaban, K. A. & Abdel-Aziz, M. S. Seven naphtho-γ-pyrones from the marine-derived fungus Alternaria alternata: structure elucidation and biological properties. Org. Med. Chem. Lett. 2, 6–13 (2012).
- 20. Priestap, H. A. New naphthopyrones from Aspergillus fonsecaeus. Tetrahedron. 40, 3617-3624 (1984).
- 21. Cardellina, J. H. *et al.* Fungal bis-naphthopyrones as inhibitors of botulinum neurotoxin serotype A. ACS. Med. Chem. Lett. **3**, 387–391 (2012).
- Kiyotaka, K., Shinsaku, N. & Yoichi, I. Absolute configurations of chaetochromin A and related bis (naphtho-γ-pyrones) mold metabolites. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 35, 4049–4055 (1987).
- Li, X. et al. Naphtho-γ-pyrones from endophyte Aspergillus niger occurring in the liverwort Heteroscyphus tener schiffn. Chem. Biodivers. 10, 1191–1201 (2013).
- 24. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 12th inform suppl. M2-A7 and M7-A5.Wayne Pennsylvania: NCCLS, 34–80 (2002).
- Li, X. et al. Fatty acid synthase inhibitors from plants: Isolation, structure elucidation, and SAR studies. J. Nat. Prod. 65, 1909–1914 (2002).
- 26. Li, B. & Tian, W. Inhibitory effects of flavonoids on animal fatty acid synthase. J. Biochem. 135, 85-91 (2004).
- 27. Li, B. & Tain, W. Inhibition of plasmodium falciparum fatty acid biosynthesis: Evaluation of FabG, FabZ, and FabI as drug targets for flavonoids. J. Med. Chem. 49, 3345–3353 (2006).
- 28. Zheng, C. J. et al. Cephalochromin, a FabI-directed antibacterial of microbial origin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 362, 1107–1119 (2007).
- 29. Campbell, J. W. & John. C. J. Bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis: Targets for antibacterial drug discovery. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55, 305–324 (2001).
- Wang, Y. & Ma, S. T. Recent advances in inhibitors of bacterial fatty acid synthesis type II (FASII) system enzymes as potential antibacterial agents. Chem. Med. Chem. 8, 1589–1608 (2013).
- 31. Chen, Y. & Zhi, D. Ligand-protein inverse docking and its potential use in the computer search of protein targets of a small molecule. *Proteins.* **43**, 217–226 (2001).
- 32. Grinter, S.*et al.* An inverse docking approach for identifying new potential anti-cancer targets. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **6**, 795–799 (2011).
- 33. Yamada, M. et al. Crystal structures of biapenem and tebipenem complexed with penicillin-binding proteins 2X and 1A from streptococcus pneumoniae. Antimicrob. Agents. Chemother. 52, 2053–2060 (2008).
- Yoshida, H. et al. Crystal structures of penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3) from methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus in the apo and cefotaxime-bound forms. J. Mol. Biol. 423, 351–364 (2012).
- Batt, S. M. et al. Structural basis of inhibition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DprE1 by benzothiazinone inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 11354–11359 (2012).
- 36. Deng, G. et al. Selective inhibition of mutant isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (Idh1) via disruption of a metal binding network by an allosteric small molecule. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 762–768 (2015).
- Gyanendra, K. et al. Discovery of a rhodanine class of compounds as inhibitors of plasmodium falciparum enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase. J. Med. Chem. 50, 2665–2675 (2007).
- 38. Qiu, X. et al. Molecular basis for triclosan activity involves a flipping loop in the active site. Protein. Sci. 8, 2529-2532 (1999).
- 39. Seefeld, M. A. *et al.* Indole naphthyridinones as inhibitors of bacterial enoyl-ACP reductases FabI and FabK. *J. Med. Chem.* **46**, 1627–1635 (2003).
- 40. Jerabek-Willemsen, M. et al. MicroScale Thermophoresis: Interaction analysis and beyond. J. Mol. Struct. 1077, 101–113 (2014).
- 41. Casey, J. T. et al. Development of a robust microtiter plate-based assay method for assessment of bioactivity. J. Microbiol. Meth. 58, 327–334 (2004).
- 42. David, S. Composites of local structure propensities: evidence for local encoding of long-range structure. *Protein Sci.* 11, 18–26 (2002).
- 43. Hicks, J. M. & Hsu, V. L. The extended left-handed helix: a simple nucleic acid-binding motif. Proteins. 55, 330-339 (2004).
- Serre, L. et al. The Escherichia coli malonyl-CoA: Acyl carrier protein transacylase at 1.5 Å resolution. Crystal structure of a fatty acid synthase component. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 12961–12964 (1995).
- Chou, C., Yu, L. & Tong, L. Crystal structure of biotin carboxylase in complex with substrates and implications for its catalytic mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 11690–11697 (2009).
- 46. Nguyen, C. et al. Trapping the dynamic acyl carrier protein in fatty acid biosynthesis. Nature. 505, 427-431 (2014).
- Kostrewa, D., Winkler, F., Folkers, G., Scapozza, L. & Perozzo, R. The crystal structure of PfFabZ, the unique beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase involved in fatty acid biosynthesis of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Protein. Sci. 14, 1570–1580 (2005).
- Kim, K. H. *et al.* Crystal structures of enoyl-ACP reductases I (FabI) and III (FabL) from *B. subtilis. J. Mol. Biol.* 406, 403–415 (2011).
 Pappenberger, G. *et al.* Structure-assisted discovery of an aminothiazole derivative as a lead molecule for inhibition of bacterial
 - fatty-acid synthesis. Acta. Crystallogr. 63, 1208 (2007).
- Soisson, S. M. & Parthasarathy, G. Platensimycin is a selective FabF inhibitor with potent antibiotic properties. *Nature*. 441, 358–361 (2006).
- 51. Qiu, X. et al. Refined structures of beta-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase III. J. Mol. Biol. 307, 341-356 (2001).
- 52. Abagyan, R., Totrov, M. & Kuznetsov, D. ICM-A new method for protein modeling and design: applications to docking and structure prediction from the distorted native conformation. J. Comput. Chem. 15, 488-506 (1994).
- 53. Li, Z. & Scheraga, H. Monte Carlo-minimization approach to the multiple-minima problem in protein folding. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA **84**, 6611–6615 (1987).

Acknowledgements

We thank Juan Xu (Department of clinical laboratory, Wuhan General Hospital of Guangzhou Command) for providing ATCC strains and do the MIC test. We thank Zhuhua Luo (Key Laboratory of Marine Biogenetic Resources, Third Institute of Oceanography, Xiamen, China) for isolating the fungal. We thank the Analytical and Testing Center at Huazhong University of Science and Technology for assistance in conducting ECD analyses. This study was financially supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University, State Education Ministry of China (NCET-2008-0224) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 31370372, 31270395, 81573316, 31570361, 21502057, and 31200258).

Author Contributions

Y.H. and J.T. contributed equally to this work. They performed the main experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript; H.L. conducted the docking simulations; W.S. and X.C. contributed to the expression of FabI protein and biological assay; H.Z., Q.L. and L.L. contributed to the isolation and identification of the naphtho- γ -pyrones; G.Y. contributed to the NMR experiments; Y.X. edited and polished the manuscript; J.W., H.L. and Y.Z. designed experiments. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: He, Y. *et al.* Fungal naphtho-γ-pyrones: Potent antibiotics for drug-resistant microbial pathogens. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24291; doi: 10.1038/srep24291 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/