

Efficacy and safety of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets as an adjuvant treatment for chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis

A systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiangbo Meng, PhD^a[®], Zhengqi Pan, MD^b, Jiawei Zhao, PhD^c, Quansheng Feng, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Meta-analysis was used to evaluate the efficacy of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) liver fibrosis.

Methods: Databases, including PubMed, China Knowledge Network (CNKI), China Biomedical Database (CBM), Wan Fang, VIP database, Embase, and Cochrane Library were searched. The time was searched up to May 2022. The participant intervention comparator outcomes of this study were as follows: P, patients with CHB liver fibrosis; I, Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets; C, pharmacological placebo; O, the efficacy rate, alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin (TBIL), albumin (ALB), procollagen III protein (PIIIP), hyaluronic acid (HA), laminin (LN), collagen C type IV (IV-C), portal vein diameter, spleen thickness and HBV-DNA negative conversion rate. The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool, Begg's test and Egger's test were used to evaluate the methodological quality of eligible studies. A randomized controlled trial of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets was used to treat CHB liver fibrosis. Three reviewers independently selected trials, extracted data, cross-checked, and performed methodological quality assessments. Data analysis was completed by Review Manager 5.3.

Results: Twenty-six studies with 2717 patients were included in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis showed that Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets was effective by increasing the efficacy. Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets was more efficient in improving ALT, AST, TBIL, ALB, PIIIP, HA, LN, IV-C, portal vein diameter, spleen thickness, and HBV-DNA negative conversion rate with no serious adverse reactions.

Conclusion: It was shown that Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can effectively improve liver function and relieve liver fibrosis, but future research should focus on rigorously designed, multicenter, and large randomized controlled trials.

Abbreviations: ALB = albumin, ALT = alanine transaminase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CHB = chronic hepatitis B, IV-C = collagen C type IV, HA = hyaluronic acid, HBV = hepatitis B virus, LN = laminin, MD = mean difference, OR = odds ratio, PIIIP = procollagen III protein, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, TBIL = total bilirubin.

Keywords: chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis, Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trials

1. Introduction

Hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and liver cancer caused by chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection are a trilogy that threatens

XM, ZP, and JZ contributed equally to this work.

The current study was financially supported by Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology (No. 2020YFS0301).

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

The systematic review does not require ethical approval. This article will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented at relevant conferences.

An ethics statement is not applicable because this study is based exclusively on published literature.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42018100007.

^a School of Basic Medical Sciences, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, ^b Acupuncture and Tuina School, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, ^c Hubei Provincial Collaborative Innovation Center of Preventive Treatment human life and health, and brings severe disease burden.^[1] There are about 250 million people infected by chronic hepatitis B (CHB) virus (HBV) in the world, of which about 600,000 die each year from HBV-induced cirrhosis and liver cancer.

by Acupuncture and Moxibustion, Hubei University of Chinese Medicine, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China.

*Correspondence: Quansheng Feng, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1166 Liutai Avenue, Wenjiang District, Chengdu 611137, Sichuan Province, China (e-mail: fengqs118@163.com).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Meng X, Pan Z, Zhao J, Feng Q. Efficacy and safety of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets as an adjuvant treatment for chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2022;101:46(e31664).

Received: 2 September 2022 / Received in final form: 13 October 2022 / Accepted: 13 October 2022

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000031664

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Among them, liver fibrosis is the pathological basis of all chronic liver diseases.^[2] It is the necessary phase for the development of CHB as liver cirrhosis or even evolved into liver cancer. CHB liver fibrosis refers to the chronic hypersensitivity of CHB virus, excessive proliferation and abnormal deposition of extracellular matrix (ESC) components in the liver, resulting in pathological changes in structural and functional abnormalities of the liver, structurally manifesting as hepatic sinusoidal capillarization Fibrosis with intrahepatic lobules and portal areas.^[3] Due to the reversibility of liver fibrosis, how to suppress or even reverse hepatic fibrosis has become a hot spot in the current study.

At present, the core treatment for liver fibrosis is antiviral therapy. Nucleoside drugs such as adefovir dipivoxil and entecavir can effectively inhibit HBV-DNA replication and relieve liver inflammation. However, due to the existence of a large base of existing HBV infection, nucleoside drugs can effectively inhibit the replication of HBV virus, thereby reducing liver inflammation and preventing the formation of fibrosis, but the effect of existing liver fibrosis is not ideal.^[4] Therefore, the search for more effective anti-fibrosis treatment has always been the focus of current research.

Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets is a traditional Chinese medicine, which is developed based on the traditional Chinese medicine theory, used on anti-hepatic fibrosis. It is made by the Carapax Trionycis, Rhizoma Atractylodis, Radix Padoniae Rubra, Angelica sinensis, Radix Ginseng, Codonopsis pilosula, Astragalus membranaceus, Placenta Hominis, Ophiocordyceps sinensis, Radix Isatidis, Forsythia suspensa and other 11 Chinese herbs. Modern pharmacological studies have shown that the drug can effectively block hepatic fibrosis in the early stage, and at the same time significantly inhibit the proliferation of fat-storing cells, prevent excessive deposition of collagen in the blood vessel lumen, and reduce collagen synthesis.^[5] For the Liver fibrosis that has been formed, Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can play a certain role in dissolution and absorption, and can have a good inhibitory effect on the expression of liver fibrosis $\alpha 2$ (I) mRNA.^[6] Due to the low quality of evidence-based medical research on the safety and efficacy of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets for the treatment of CHB liver fibrosis, and the lack of a comprehensive meta-analysis, this study collected comprehensive randomized clinical trials to test the effectiveness and safety of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets as adjuvant drugs for the treatment of CHB liver fibrosis and to provide evidence and references for clinicians to rationally use drugs.

2. Methods

The study has been registered on Prospective Systems Evaluation Registration Site (PROSPERO) with the registration number of CRD42018100007.

2.1. Inclusion criteria

The following criteria were included in this study:

- 1. Unlimited language;
- 2. Patients were included in accordance with a clear diagnostic criteria, and confirmed by the regular hospital for CHB liver fibrosis;
- 3. Age and gender of patients in this study were not limited;
- 4. All included studies were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-RCTs;
- 5. The control group used conventional western medicine (entecavir, adefovir dipivoxil), and the experimental group used Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets on the basis of the control group.

2.2. Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria for this study were as follows:

- 1. Non-clinical trials such as review, animal experiments;
- 2. Repeat literature;
- 3. The experimental group or control group also combined other medicines during the treatment process;
- 4. Patients with history of drug allergy and severe liver and kidney dysfunction were excluded.

2.3. Research strategy and data extraction

By searching PubMed, China Knowledge Network (CNKI), China Biomedical Database (CBM), Wan Fang, VIP database, Embase, and Cochrane Library, with "Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets" "CHB Fibrosis" "CHB" "Liver Fibrosis" as search keywords. The search time is from the date of establishment of database to May 2022. The data extraction and quality assessment were independently completed by 3 researchers (Xiangbo Meng, Zhengqi Pan and Quansheng Feng) and resolved through discussion if there were objections. The information extracted by the 3 researchers included: author, time of publication, number of groups, interventions, quality of RCTs, duration of treatment, and outcome measures. The 3 researchers also searched the reference list and related reviews for more articles.

2.4. Statistical analysis

This meta-analysis was based on Cochrane RevMan 5.3 software. For the dichotomy, we calculated the odds ratio (OR). For the continuous variable, we calculated the mean difference (MD). The chi-square test and I^2 test were used for consistency assessment. If $P \ge .1$ and $I^2 \le 50\%$, heterogeneity is acceptable, and a fixed effect model was used for data analysis. If P < .1 and $I^2 > 50\%$, it indicated that there is significant heterogeneity, and the random effect model was used for data analysis. Finally, the study used the funnel plot, Begg's and Egger's test to explore whether the results of the study were affected by publication bias.

2.5. Retrieval study

The 2 researchers initially searched for 197 related documents. After evaluating the studies, we selected inclusion criteria and excluded duplicate content, introduction of personal experience, reviews, non-RCTs, and animal experiments. There were 59 articles that met the inclusion criteria. After reading the full text, 26 clinical RCTs were included, as shown in Figure 1.

2.6. Inclusion study

This meta-analysis finally included 26 articles,^[7-32] all being RCTS or quasi-RCTS. A total of 2717 patients participated in this study. All trials were parallel design trials conducted in China. All patients included in the literature were diagnosed with CHB fibrosis according to the definite diagnostic criteria. For the studies included in this study, all the control groups were used conventional treatments such as glutathione, polyphosphorylcholine, antiviral drugs (adefovir dipivoxil or entecavir), and other modern medicines. All the experimental groups were on the basis of the control group combined with Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets (Table 1).

2.7. Quality of study

All 26 RCTs^[7–32] included in this study referred to the use of randomization, of which 3 studies were randomized using a random number table method, 1 was grouped by admission order,



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the literature selection for this study.

Table 1					
Characterist	ics of included s	studies.			
Included		Intervening			_
study (yr)	Cases (E/C)	measure (E/C)	FFBJ dosage	Duration (mo)	Outcome measures
Wang 2015	68/68	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	ALT,ALB,TBIL,AST,ALP,GGT,PA,DPV,spleen thickness,efficacy rate
Wang 2014	112/108	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	6	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN
Li 2013	40/40	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN, efficacy rate
Fan 2014	34/33	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C, LN,ALT,AST, TBIL
Fang 2013	50/50	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN, ALT, AST, TBIL, efficacy rate
Qiu 2009	30/26	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	6	HBV-DNA,ALT,AST, TBIL
Meng 2009	72/70	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C, LN,HBV-DNA
Chai 2009	24/24	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN,SB
Wang 2010	49/49	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,HBV-DNA
Gong 2010	35/30	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,LN,DPV,spleen thickness
Lu 2010	42/40	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C, LN,GGT,HBV-DNA
Lv 2010	33/32	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C, LN,HBV-DNA,ALT
Hong 2011	62/48	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C, LN,ALT,AST, TBIL,HBV-DNA,SPV,DPV,spleen thickness,efficacy
					rate
Zhang 2016	71/63	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN
Zhang 2014	57/55	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN, DPV, spleen thickness
Zhang 2017	32/32	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,ALT,AST
Zhang 2015	100/100	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN
Wu 2017	45/47	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN,ALT,AST,TBIL,HBV-DNA
Wang 2017	48/48	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	TBIL, ALT,AST,ALB/GLB
Zhang 2012	43/43	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, efficacy rate
Xiao 2013	54/54	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN,ALT,AST
Wang 2011	46/50	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN,ALT,AST,HBV-DNA,ALB/GLB,efficacy rate
Sun 2017	38/38	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN, efficacy rate, HBV-DNA
Li 2017	108/108	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	ALT, AST, HBV-DNA, TBIL
Chen 2017	36/36	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA,PIIIP,IV-C,LN,efficacy rate
Shen 2010	46/50	CT + FFBJ/CT	2 g/d	12	HA, PIIIP, IV-C, LN, ALT, AST, HBV-DNA

ALB = albumin, ALT = alanine transaminase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, IV-C = collagen C type IV, DPV = diameter of portal vein, E/C = experimental group/control group, FFBJ = Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets, HBV = hepatitis B virus, HA = hyaluronic acid, LN = laminin, PIIIP = procollagen III protein, TBIL = total bilirubin.

and the remaining 22 studies did not detail specific randomization methods. All studies did not mention whether allocation concealment and blinding were used in these studies (Fig. 2).

3. Results

3.1. Efficient

Eight articles included^[8,9,11,19,20,22,28,30] in the literature reported the effectiveness. Heterogeneity tests showed that there was no more

3.2. Hyaluronic acid (HA)

Twenty-four studies of RCTs^[7–30] reported hyaluronic acid (HA). There was significant statistical heterogeneity among the studies

heterogeneity in these 8 studies (P = .52, $I^2 = 0\%$), and a fixed

effect model was used for the combined analysis of the data. By

comparing the results, there was a significant difference between the efficiency of experimental group and the efficiency of control group (OR = 3.19, 95%CI, [2.08, 4.90] *P* < .00001) (Fig. 3).

 $(P \le .00001, I^2 = 98\%)$. A random effect model was used. Metaanalysis showed that compared with conventional therapy, the combination of conventional therapy and Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can significantly improve the levels of HA index (MD = -61.85, 95% CI, [-82.42, -41.29] *P* < .00001) (Fig. 4).

3.3. Procollagen III protein (PIIIP)

Twenty-four studies^[7-30] reported procollagen III protein (PIIIP) with heterogeneity (P < .00001, $I^2 = 98\%$) and used a random effects model for meta-analysis. The results showed that compared with the control group, the treatment group could improve the PIIIP index (MD = -25.50, 95% CI, [-28.15, -22.85] P < .00001) (Fig. 5).

3.4. Collagen C type IV (IV-C)

Nineteen studies^[7-11,13,14,17-24,26,27,29,30] reported collagen C type IV (IV-C). The 19 studies had heterogeneity between each other (P < .00001, $I^2 = 97\%$). Using the random effects model, meta-analysis showed that compared with the conventional group, the conventional group plus Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can significantly improve IV-C index (MD = -31.39, 95%CI, [-42.96, -19.83] P < .00001) (Fig. 6).

3.5. Laminin (LN)

Twenty-three studies^[7–11,13–30] reported laminin (LN) of 1951 patients. Heterogeneity tests revealed heterogeneity in these 20 studies (P < .00001, $I^2 = 89\%$). Random effect models were used for data analysis. After comparison, it was found that the improvement effect of the experimental group on the LN index was significantly different from that of the control

group (MD = -41.23, 95%CI, [-49.97, -32.50] P < .00001) (Fig. 7).

3.6. Alanine transaminase (ALT)

Twelve studies^[8,11,12,17,21,24-27,29,31,32] reported alanine transaminase (ALT). Forest maps showed heterogeneity among the 12 studies (P < .00001, $I^2 = 85\%$). Random effect models were used to analyze the data. The results showed that there was a significant difference in the index of ALT between the conventional therapy and the combination of conventional therapy and Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets (MD = -8.69, 95% CI, [-13.27, -4.12] P < .00001) (Fig. 8).

3.7. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)

Ten studies^[8,11,17,21,24-27,29,31] reported aspartate aminotransferase (AST). These 10 studies were heterogeneous (P < .00001, $I^2 = 78\%$). Random effects model was used for data combination analysis. The results showed that compared with the control group, the treatment group can significantly improve the AST index (MD = -6.09, 95%CI, [-10.55, -1.63] P = .0001) (Fig. 9).

3.8. Albumin (ALB)

Five studies^[21,24,26,27,31] reported albumin (ALB). Heterogeneity tests revealed heterogeneity among the 5 studies (P = .0005, $I^2 = 80\%$). Random effect models were used for data analysis. By comparison, we found that there is no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group in improving the ALB index (MD = -0.02, 95% CI, [-0.21, 0.17] P = .82) (Fig. 10).





	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Chen 2017	32	36	23	36	10.2%	4.52 [1.31, 15.66]		
Fang 2013	48	50	35	50	5.6%	10.29 [2.21, 47.90]		-
Hong 2011	56	62	37	48	16.1%	2.77 [0.94, 8.15]		
Li 2013	37	40	29	40	8.7%	4.68 [1.19, 18.34]		
Sun 2017	36	38	30	38	6.3%	4.80 [0.95, 24.34]		
Wang 2011	33	36	24	30	8.7%	2.75 [0.62, 12.11]		
Wang 2015	60	68	57	68	26.7%	1.45 [0.54, 3.86]		
Zhang 2012	37	43	32	43	17.8%	2.12 [0.70, 6.38]		
Total (95% CI)		373		353	100.0%	3.19 [2.08, 4.90]	•	
Total events	339		267					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	6.20, df = 7	(P = 0.	52); l² = 0	%				10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.31 (F	< 0.00	001)				Favours [control] Favours [experimenta	10 1]



	Expe	eriment	al	с	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
Chai 2009	129	11	24	137	14	24	4.5%	-8.00 [-15.12, -0.88]	-
Chen 2017	110.5	33.4	36	153.4	44.6	36	4.4%	-42.90 [-61.10, -24.70]	-
Fan 2014	99.7	53.7	34	182.4	63.5	33	4.2%	-82.70 [-110.90, -54.50]	
Fang 2013	79.63	10.66	50	173.37	16.4	50	4.5%	-93.74 [-99.16, -88.32]	•
Gong 2010	131.3	58.3	35	256.1	90.5	30	3.9%	-124.80 [-162.51, -87.09]	
Hong 2011	136.92	47.18	62	173.62	68.12	48	4.3%	-36.70 [-59.27, -14.13]	
Li 2013	101.4	71.3	40	148.9	91.7	40	4.0%	-47.50 [-83.50, -11.50]	
Lu 2010	101.4	84.2	42	147.1	87.9	40	3.9%	-45.70 [-82.99, -8.41]	
Lv 2010	139.2	21.7	33	192.7	104.3	32	3.9%	-53.50 [-90.39, -16.61]	
Meng 2009	128.56	61.34	72	290.39	69.89	70	4.3%	-161.83 [-183.48, -140.18]	-
Qiu 2009	407.5	235.6	30	244.1	211.7	26	1.8%	163.40 [46.23, 280.57]	
Shen 2010	98.64	30.78	46	151.05	43.12	50	4.4%	-52.41 [-67.31, -37.51]	-
Sun 2017	198.13	14.15	38	190.25	13.12	38	4.5%	7.88 [1.74, 14.02]	*
Wang 2010	87.2	21.7	49	261.7	105.3	49	4.1%	-174.50 [-204.60, -144.40]	
Wang 2011	132.1	57.9	36	257.2	90.2	30	3.9%	-125.10 [-162.51, -87.69]	
Wang 2014	70.28	33.12	112	170.43	23.86	108	4.5%	-100.15 [-107.76, -92.54]	*
Wang 2015	134.8	57.1	68	179.2	61.8	68	4.3%	-44.40 [-64.40, -24.40]	
Wu 2017	67.8	42.7	45	99.4	46.7	47	4.4%	-31.60 [-49.87, -13.33]	-
Xiao 2013	110.4	33.5	54	153.3	44.7	54	4.4%	-42.90 [-57.80, -28.00]	-
Zhang 2012	91.3	43.5	43	187.5	56.3	43	4.3%	-96.20 [-117.47, -74.93]	
Zhang 2014	98.97	50.42	57	130.12	49.89	55	4.4%	-31.15 [-49.73, -12.57]	
Zhang 2015	106.8	81.8	100	142.5	91.2	100	4.3%	-35.70 [-59.71, -11.69]	
Zhang 2016	101.7	30.1	71	182.2	87.7	63	4.3%	-80.50 [-103.26, -57.74]	
Zhang 2017	95.6	28.6	32	128.2	25.7	32	4.4%	-32.60 [-45.92, -19.28]	-
Total (95% CI)			1209			1166	100.0%	-61.85 [-82.42, -41.29]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2434.92;	Chi ² = 1	150.00), df = 23	(P < 0.	00001)	l² = 98%	-	200 100 0 100 200
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.89	(P < 0.0	0001)						-200 -100 0 100 200

Figure 4. Forest plots of hyaluronic acid (HA) after treatment.



Figure 5. Forest plots of procollagen III protein (PIIIP) after treatment.



Figure 6. Forest plots of collagen C type IV (IV-C) after treatment.

3.9. Total bilirubin (TBIL)

Five studies $^{[21,24,26,27,31]}$ reported ALB. Heterogeneity tests revealed heterogeneity among the 5 studies (P = .0005,

 $I^2 = 80\%$). Random effect models were used for data analysis. By comparison, we found that there is no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group in

	Exp	erimenta	ıl	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chai 2009	129	11	24	173	14	24	6.0%	-44.00 [-51.12, -36.88]	-
Chen 2017	165.8	58.7	36	113.6	30.1	36	0.0%	52.20 [30.65, 73.75]	
Fan 2014	96.4	38.6	34	162.3	57.2	33	4.3%	-65.90 [-89.34, -42.46]	
Fang 2013	93.72	10.35	50	135.82	15.27	50	6.1%	-42.10 [-47.21, -36.99]	-
Gong 2010	120.7	38.6	35	159.3	40.5	30	4.8%	-38.60 [-57.93, -19.27]	
Hong 2011	98.54	30.12	62	117.98	33.52	48	5.5%	-19.44 [-31.53, -7.35]	
Li 2013	121.6	76.2	40	167.6	89.4	40	3.0%	-46.00 [-82.40, -9.60]	
Lu 2010	121.7	42.7	42	162.2	44.6	40	4.8%	-40.50 [-59.42, -21.58]	
Lv 2010	73.2	10.6	33	98.2	45.8	32	5.1%	-25.00 [-41.28, -8.72]	
Meng 2009	168.51	47.19	72	294.43	47.02	70	5.2%	-125.92 [-141.42, -110.42]	•
Shen 2010	68.35	28.32	46	114.16	40.18	50	5.4%	-45.81 [-59.63, -31.99]	
Sun 2017	73.34	5.67	38	70.15	5.12	38	0.0%	3.19 [0.76, 5.62]	
Wang 2010	43.4	10.7	49	89.4	45.3	49	5.4%	-46.00 [-59.03, -32.97]	
Wang 2011	120.2	38.3	36	159.2	40.2	30	4.8%	-39.00 [-58.06, -19.94]	
Wang 2014	112.96	34.51	112	118.37	24.56	108	0.0%	-5.41 [-13.30, 2.48]	
Wang 2015	129.4	49.4	68	158.9	54.9	68	5.0%	-29.50 [-47.05, -11.95]	
Wu 2017	103.1	20.2	45	132.7	23.8	47	5.8%	-29.60 [-38.61, -20.59]	
Xiao 2013	90.7	28.3	54	113.5	30.2	54	5.6%	-22.80 [-33.84, -11.76]	
Zhang 2012	102.3	30.9	43	142.8	41.6	43	5.2%	-40.50 [-55.99, -25.01]	
Zhang 2014	132.48	101.83	57	178.8	99.5	55	2.9%	-46.32 [-83.61, -9.03]	
Zhang 2015	125.4	72.2	100	155.2	77.6	100	4.6%	-29.80 [-50.57, -9.03]	
Zhang 2016	147.4	41.1	71	183.5	56.4	63	5.0%	-36.10 [-52.99, -19.21]	
Zhang 2017	102.6	21.4	32	125.3	24.6	32	5.6%	-22.70 [-34.00, -11.40]	
Total (95% CI)			993			958	100.0%	-41.23 [-49.97, -32.50]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	320.74; 0	Chi² = 166	6.68, df	f = 19 (P	< 0.000	01); l ² =	89%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 9.25 ((P < 0.00	001)	. (-100 -50 0 50 100
			/						Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

Figure 7. Meta-analysis of the indices of liver fibrosis laminin (LN).





	Mana	00	T-4-1		000	Tetel	14/-1-64	N/ Development of	N/ Developer 05% Ol
Study or Subgroup	Mean	50	Total	Mean	50	Total	weight	IV. Random, 95% C	I IV. Random, 95% CI
Fang 2013	49.24	6.51	50	49.99	6.85	50	13.5%	-0.75 [-3.37, 1.87]	1
Lu 2010	38.3	21.46	42	45.24	28.01	40	7.7%	-6.94 [-17.78, 3.90]	
Shen 2010	52.6	27.3	46	54.5	35.6	50	6.6%	-1.90 [-14.53, 10.73]	
Wang 2015	41.7	15.4	68	60.9	17.1	68	11.7%	-19.20 [-24.67, -13.73]	-
Wang 2017	36.7	17.46	48	46.4	22.29	48	9.7%	-9.70 [-17.71, -1.69]	
Wu 2017	37.5	21.6	45	39.6	22.5	47	9.0%	-2.10 [-11.11, 6.91]	-
Xiao 2013	63.3	19.5	54	67.3	17.4	54	10.5%	-4.00 [-10.97, 2.97]	-
Zhang 2014	34.6	25.2	57	34.8	23.7	55	9.0%	-0.20 [-9.26, 8.86]	
Zhang 2015	34.8	24.9	100	39.5	19.5	100	11.1%	-4.70 [-10.90, 1.50]	
Zhang 2017	34.3	12.2	32	43.2	13.5	32	11.1%	-8.90 [-15.20, -2.60]	-
Total (95% CI)			542			544	100.0%	-6.09 [-10.55, -1.63]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	36.57: C	chi² = 40	0.59. df	= 9 (P	< 0.0000	01): I ² =	- 78%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.67$ (P = 0.008)								-100 -50 0 50 100	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	36.57; C Z = 2.67	chi² = 40 (P = 0.	0.59, df 008)	= 9 (P ·	< 0.0000	01); I² =	= 78%		-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

Figure 9. Forest plots of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) after treatment.

improving the ALB index (MD = -0.02, 95% CI, [-0.21, 0.17] P = .82) (Fig. 11).

3.10. Spleen thickness

Six studies^[8,9,16,17,24,28] reported spleen thickness. Heterogeneity tests revealed heterogeneity in the 6 studies (P < .00001, $I^2 = 95\%$). Random effect models were used for data analysis. By comparison, we found that the improvement effect of the experimental group on spleen thickness index had significant difference compared with the control group (MD = -0.60, 95% CI, [-0.94, -0.26] P = .0005) (Fig. 12).

3.11. Portal vein diameter

Six studies^[8,9,16,17,24,28] reported the internal diameter of the portal vein. Heterogeneity tests showed that there was no heterogeneity

in these 4 studies (P = .47, $I^2 = 0\%$), and a fixed effect model was used for data analysis. By comparison, we found that the improvement effect of experimental group on the portal vein diameter index had a significant difference compared with the control group (MD = -0.12, 95% CI, [-0.16, -0.07] P < .0006) (Fig. 13).

3.12. HBV-DNA negative conversion rate

Six studies^[12,15,18,19,22,27] reported the negative conversion rate of HBV-DNA. The meta-analysis showed that there was heterogeneity among the 5 studies (P = .11, $I^2 = 47\%$), and a fixed effect model was used to analyze the data. The results showed that compared with conventional treatment, the use of conventional therapy combined with Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can significantly improve the HA level (OR = 2.27, 95%CI, [1.47, 3.51] P = .0002) (Fig. 14).



Figure 10. Forest plots of albumin (ALB) after treatment.



Figure 11. Forest plots of total bilirubin (TBIL) after treatment.



3.13. Publication bias

We used the funnel plot method to conduct a bias assessment of the 26 articles included in this study. The distribution of the inverted funnel maps is not completely symmetric, which suggests that there may be publication bias, or that the methodological quality of the trials included in this study is too low. Subsequently, in order to more clearly explore whether the results of this study are affected by the potential publication bias, we conducted the Begg's and Egger's test. As we have previously inferred, the precise statistical studies also proved that the results of this study are higher: Confidence (Begg: P = .472; Egger: P = .507) (Fig. 15).

3.14. Adverse reactions

A total of 5 articles in this study reported adverse reactions.^[9,10,18,23,26] The main adverse reactions were as follows: mild vertigo, nausea and fatigue. There were no serious adverse reactions. The above symptoms were untreated and cured on their own. Compared with the control group, there was no significant difference in the risk of adverse reaction events in the test group, indicating that Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets is safe as a supplementary drug for the treatment of CHB liver fibrosis.

4. Discussion

Liver fibrosis is the common pathological basis of various chronic liver diseases. The analysis of its pathogenesis is due to abnormal synthesis/degradation of extracellular matrix, which leads to abnormal deposition of connective tissue in the liver. The activation of hepatic stellate cells is a central link in the formation of hepatic fibrosis. Activation of HSC can be achieved through autocrine and paracrine pathways. The main cause of hepatic fibrosis is chronic inflammation of the liver tissue that caused by HBV infection.^[33] Therefore, antiviral therapy is the primary method to prevent the formation of hepatic fibrosis. Science symptomatic clinical treatment has an important preventive effect on transformation of hepatic fibrosis to liver cirrhosis and liver cancer and can prolong the survival time of patients and improve their quality of life.

The World Health Organization has attested to the popularity and efficacy of indigenous herbal therapies, including CHM as a first line of treatment for some diseases including liver disorders.^[34] In traditional Chinese medicine, some traditional Chinese medicines such as Salvia miltiorrhiza and Astragalus membranaceus are used in Danshen injection and Huangqi injection as drugs for treating liver fibrosis. However, patients with CHB treated with Astragalus injection may experience palpitation.[35] In addition, another study reported that Danshen injection may cause slight allergic reaction in a few patients.^[36] As a traditional Chinese medicine, the Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets' safety and effectiveness have been confirmed in animal experiments and clinical trials. Serum AST, ALT, ALB, and total bilirubin (TBIL) were the main indexes to evaluate liver function. Meta-analysis showed that Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with antiviral drugs can significantly reduced serum AST, ALT, ALB, and TBIL levels compared with antiviral drugs alone, which means Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with antiviral drugs can promote the recovery of liver function. HA, LN, VI-C and PC-III are the serum markers for liver fibrosis assessment. In this study, the levels of serum HA, LN, VI-C and PC-III were lower in the test group than in the control group. Therefore, the combined use



Figure 13. Forest plots of Portal vein diameter after treatment.







of Chinese and Western medicines also shows a good anti-fibrotic effect. In addition, clinical studies have shown that Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with antiviral drugs have a better improvement in the diameter of the portal vein and spleen thickness, effectively reduce the risk of variceal bleeding, and increase survival rate of patients with varicose veins that caused by CHB fibrosis.^[37] In addition, through this study, it was found that the rate of HBV-DNA negative conversion after the treatment by using Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with antiviral drugs was significantly increased. In conclusion, the total effective rate of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with antiviral drugs was significantly improved, and there was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse events after the combination was used, which also demonstrated its high safety.

Although the results of the meta-analysis showed that the use of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets can improve the efficacy of CHB fibrosis, providing new ideas for clinical use of drugs, there are some objective factors and limitations in this study. In order to minimize the bias, we did not restrict the types of publications and languages and searched many common databases. Even so, all the studies were conducted in China, and the quality of most of the research methods was low. The sample size was small, and no specific random methods were described. All these factors affected the strength of this meta-analysis. Therefore, future research should focus on high-quality, large-sample, randomized, double-blind, controlled trials that provide more reliable evidence-based medical evidence for clinical treatment of liver fibrosis.

Author contributions

Data curation: Xiangbo Meng.

Formal analysis: Xiangbo Meng, Zhengqi Pan.

Funding acquisition: Quansheng Feng.

Investigation: Xiangbo Meng.

Methodology: Xiangbo Meng, Jiawei Zhao.

Project administration: Quansheng Feng.

Resources: Quansheng Feng.

Software: Xiangbo Meng, Zhengqi Pan.

Writing - original draft: Xiangbo Meng, Zhengqi Pan.

Writing - review & editing: Xiangbo Meng, Jiawei Zhao.

References

- Maurizio S, Lydia G, Melchiorre C, et al. Non invasive tools for the diagnosis of liver cirrhosis. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20:18131–50.
- [2] Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. Hepatol Int. 2016;10:1–98.
- [3] The Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL). KASL clinical practice guidelines: management of chronic hepatitis B. Clin Mol Hepatol. 2016;22:18–75.
- [4] Lange CM, Bojunga J, Hofmann WP, et al. Severe lactic acidosis during treatment of chronic hepatitis B with entecavir in patients with impaired liver function. Hepatology. 2009;50:2001–6.
- [5] Yang FR, Fang BW, Lou JS. Effects of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Pills on hepatic fibrosis in vivo and in vitro. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:5326–33.
- [6] Cai YJ, Dong JJ, Wang XD, et al. A diagnostic algorithm for assessment of liver fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement in patients with chronic hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat. 2017;17:34–6.
- [7] Wang FM. Clinical observation on chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis treated by compound Biejia Ruangan tablet combined with adefovir dipivoxil. Inner Mong Trad Chinese Med. 2014;36:39.
- [8] Wang XW. Adefovir dipivoxil combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B complicated with hepatic fibrosis. Mod J Int Trad Chinese Western Med. 2015;24:2652–4.
- [9] Li YQ. Clinical observation of liver fibrosis treated with adefovir dip combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablet. Global Chinese Med. 2013;S1:81–2.
- [10] Fan RQ, Wang C. Adefovir dip combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. J Yangtze Univ (Natural Science). 2014;06:17–21.
- [11] Fang ZY, Fang W. Adefovir dipivoxil combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for the treatment of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus. Hebei Med Sci. 2013;09:1284–7.
- [12] Hong Q. Adefovir dipivoxil + compound Biejia Ruangan tablets in the treatment of hepatitis B liver fibrosis efficacy analysis. Shandong Med. 2009;05:78–9.
- [13] Meng SX. Effects of compound Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with adefovir dipivoxil on t lymphocyte subsets and liver fibrosis indexes in patients with chronic hepatitis B. China Sci Technol Trad Chinese Med. 2009;04:315.
- [14] Chai LX, Sun J, Ma QM. Compound Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with adefovir dipivoxil for treatment of chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis in 24 cases. Chinese J Int Trad Western Med Dig. 2009;05:336–7.
- [15] Wang HR. Adefovir dip combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B fibrosis. J Commun Med. 2010;03:27.
- [16] Gong YY. Clinical observation on chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis treated with adefovir dip combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablet. J Pract Liver Dis. 2010;02:126–8.

- [17] Lu N, Xu Y, Cheng WN. Clinical observation of hepatitis B liver fibrosis treated with adefovir dipter combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets. J Chinese Int Trad Chinese Med Soc Treat Liver Dis. 2010;04:209–11.
- [18] Lv F, Sun P, Lu SR. Observation of anti-fibrosis effect of compound Biejia Ruangan tablet on chronic hepatitis B. Clin J Trad Chinese Med. 2010;06:497–8.
- [19] Hong YQ, Chen ZJ, OuYang LJ. Adefovir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. Jilin Med J. 2011;07:1322–3.
- [20] Chen RJ. Observation of the effect of entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. China Health Standard Manage. 2017;28:111–3.
- [21] Shen CR, Guo JC, Yu X, et al. Clinical observation of entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablet in treating chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. J Zhejiang Univ Trad Chinese Med. 2010;03:370–1.
- [22] Sun YY, Zhu ZX. Clinical efficacy of entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets in treating chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. J Clin Rational Drug Appl. 2017;33:67–8.
- [23] Zhang XL. Clinical analysis of compound Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with entecavir in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. China Metallurg Ind Med J. 2016;06:680–1.
- [24] Zhang RF, Yao YJ, You ZL, et al. Clinical observation on chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis treated by Fufang Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with entecavir. J Third Milit Med Univ. 2014;18:1961–3.
- [25] Zhang H, Hao XL. Clinical analysis of compound Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with entecavir in patients with chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. Chinese For Med Care. 2017;01:119–21.
- [26] Zhang HT, Zhang XY, Me ZY, et al. Clinical observation on chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis treated by compound Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with entecavir. China Coal Ind Med J. 2015;07:1120–2.
- [27] Wu ZG, Zhou GD, Chen YY, et al. Clinical study on compound Biejia Ruangan tablets combined with entecavir for chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. Drug Eval Res. 2017;03:351–5.
- [28] Wang T. Entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis in 36 cases. Shaanxi J Trad Chinese Med. 2011;09:1115–6.
- [29] Xiao L, Yang YY, Xu L. Clinical efficacy of entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets in treating chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. China Pharm Sci. 2013;14:59–60.
- [30] Zhang ZG. The efficacy of entecavir plus compound Biejia Ruangan tablets in treating chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. Chinese Med Guide. 2012;36:456–8.
- [31] Wang YQ, Li XF. Effect of Fufang Biejia Ruangan Tablets combined with entecavir on liver function and quality of life in patients with chronic hepatitis B liver fibrosis. Henan Med Res. 2017;21:3903–4.
- [32] Li SS, Tan L, Jiang N. Clinical study on entecavir combined with compound Biejia Ruangan tablets for treatment of hepatic fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. J Chin Int Trad Chin Med Liver Dis. 2017;01:28–30.
- [33] Chen SR, Chen XP, Lu JJ, et al. Potent natural products and herbal medicines for treating liver fibrosis. Chin Med. 2015;10:7.
- [34] Alex B, Yan Y, James A, et al. Anti-fibro-hepatocarcinogenic Chinese herbal medicines: a mechanistic overview. J Intercult Ethnopharmacol. 2016;5:278–89.
- [35] Zhu CT, Cao H, Zhou XF, et al. Meta-analysis of the clinical value of Danshen injection and Huangqi injection in liver cirrhosis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2013;2013:842824.
- [36] Li S, Tan HY, Wang N, et al. Current status of herbal medicines in chronic liver disease therapy: the biological effects, molecular targets and future prospects. Int J Mol Sci . 2015;16:28705–45.
- [37] Yang ZL, Yuan PJ. Clinical efficacy of combined use of traditional Chinese and western medicine in patients with Hepatitis B cirrhosis. J Guangxi Med Univ. 2021:330–2.