

MDPI

Article

Burnout among Residents: Prevalence and Predictors of Depersonalization, Emotional Exhaustion and Professional Unfulfillment among Resident Doctors in Canada

Reham Shalaby ¹, Folajinmi Oluwasina ¹, Ejemai Eboreime ¹, Hany El Gindi ², Belinda Agyapong ¹, Marianne Hrabok ³, Sumeet Dhanoa ¹, Esther Kim ¹, Izu Nwachukwu ⁴, Adam Abba-Aji ⁵, Daniel Li ⁵ and Vincent Israel Opoku Agyapong ⁶,*

- Department of Psychiatry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2R3, Canada
- Department of Critical Care Medicine, King Abdul-Aziz Hospital, Jeddah 22421, Saudi Arabia
- ³ Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver Island, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- ⁴ Department of Psychiatry, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada
- ⁵ Alberta Health Services, Edmonton, AB T5J 3E4, Canada
- ⁶ Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 2E2, Canada
- * Correspondence: agyapong@ualberta.ca; Tel.: +1-780-215-7771; Fax: +902-473-4887

Abstract: Background: Burnout in the medical profession has garnered a lot of attention over recent years. It has been reported across all specialties and all stages of medical education; however, resident doctors in particular are at risk for burnout throughout their years of training. This study was aimed at evaluating the prevalence and correlates of burnout among resident doctors in Alberta. Methods: Through a descriptive cross-sectional study design, a self-administered questionnaire was used to gather data from resident doctors at two medical schools in Alberta, Canada. The Maslach Burnout Inventory was used as the assessment tool. Chi-squared and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses were used. Results: Overall burnout prevalence among residents was 58.2%, and for professional fulfilment index, it was 56.7% for work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement and 83.5% for lack of professional fulfillment. Working more than 80 h/week (OR = 16.437; 95% CI: 2.059–131.225), being dissatisfied (OR = 22.28; 95% CI: 1.75–283.278) or being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with a career in medicine (OR = 23.81; 95% CI: 4.89-115.86) were significantly associated with high depersonalization. Dissatisfaction with efficiency and resources (OR = 10.83; CI: 1.66–70.32) or being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with a career in medicine (OR = 5.14; CI: 1.33–19.94) were significantly associated with high emotional exhaustion. Working more than 80 h/week (OR = 5.36; CI: 1.08-26.42) and somewhat agreeing that the residency program has enough strategies aimed at resident well-being in place (OR = 3.70; CI: 1.10–12.46) were significantly associated factors with high work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement. A young age of residents (\leq 30 years) (OR = 0.044; CI: 0.004-0.445) was significantly associated with low professional fulfillment. Conclusion: Burnout is a serious occupational phenomenon that can degenerate into other conditions or disrupt one's professional performance. Significant correlates were associated with high rates of burnout. Leaders of medical schools and policymakers need to acknowledge, design, and implement various strategies capable of providing continuous effective mental health support to improve the psychological health of medical residents across Canada.

Keywords: burnout; residents; cross-sectional; online survey; work hours



Citation: Shalaby, R.; Oluwasina, F.;
Eboreime, E.; El Gindi, H.; Agyapong, B.; Hrabok, M.; Dhanoa, S.; Kim, E.;
Nwachukwu, I.; Abba-Aji, A.; et al.
Burnout among Residents:
Prevalence and Predictors of
Depersonalization, Emotional
Exhaustion and Professional
Unfulfillment among Resident
Doctors in Canada. Int. J. Environ.
Res. Public Health 2023, 20, 3677.
https://doi.org/10.3390/
ijerph20043677

Academic Editors: Marta Makara-Studzińska and Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 20 December 2022 Revised: 13 February 2023 Accepted: 16 February 2023 Published: 19 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Burnout in the medical profession is a topic that has garnered much attention over recent years. Burnout and associated mental health correlates, such as depression, suicidal ideation, and work dissatisfaction, have been reported across all specialties, and all stages of medical education and clinical practice [1]. The high levels of burnout are usually

associated with decreased job satisfaction and performance, and poor quality of life [2–4]. It is believed that the seeds of burnout are planted as early as medical school, peak during residency training, and remain high when physicians face challenges related to their professional practice [1,5].

The term burnout, as currently conceptualized, was initially introduced in the early 1970s by psychoanalyst Freudenberger, and was later defined by Christina Maslach as a syndrome characterized by the triad of high emotional exhaustion, high depersonalization, and a low sense of personal accomplishment [6,7]. Burnout is the outcome of escalated unchecked professional and emotional distress in the context of workplace dissatisfaction [8]. It develops in individuals whose occupation entails contact with people, with emotional exhaustion appearing first and psychological isolation later, resulting in cynicism and detachment in interpersonal relations as a coping strategy [2]. The experience of burnout encompasses both physical and psychological dimensions, including but not limited to insomnia, appetite changes, irritability, and headaches. Although its symptom profile can mimic clinical depression, burnout is primarily related to the work environment rather than a global affective disturbance as seen in depression [2].

In general, burnout rates among medical professionals are disproportionately high compared to other populations. According to Shanafelt et al., the incidence of burnout symptoms among US physicians was 37.9%, compared to 27.8% in a control sample population of non-physicians [9]. Similarly, healthcare professionals working in the field of mental health were at relatively high levels of stress and burnout [10]. In a narrative review examining burnout among residents and medical students, the authors reported that during residency the prevalence of high emotional exhaustion approximated 50%, depersonalization approximated 33%, and overall burnout was 60% [11]. Burnout rates are reportedly twice as high for residents in training compared to their non-medical postgraduate counterparts [12].

According to the Medscape National Physician Burnout and Suicide Report, the burnout rate among physicians was reported to be as high as 42% in 2020, compared with 46% and 39% in 2015 and 2013, respectively [6,13]. Similar high rates were reported for residents with scores between 41% and 74% across multiple specialties [1]. According to the Medscape Residents Lifestyle and Happiness Report, 2020, 27% of residents stated that they rarely or never had time to lead a satisfying social life; of these, 68% reported having failed relationships for this reason [14]. Furthermore, these figures increased in 2021 during the COVID-19 pandemic [15]; 35% of a sample of residents at the Emergency Department demonstrated symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder, acutely during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis [16]. Similarly, about 60% of medical professionals, including medical residents in Canada, have stated that their mental health worsened after the pandemic [17].

An increased susceptibility of residents to experience burnout during their years of training has been attributed to several factors. It has been documented that this period naturally entails substantial duties including excessive working hours, call requirement obligations, sleep deprivation, loss of autonomy, and lack of control over one's schedule [2–4]. The emotional demands and poor environmental factors usually render residents routinely challenged with high demands, imbalanced work and home life, low autonomy and burnout [2–4]. Inadequate wages and high amounts of educational debt have also been found to result in burnout among residents [2,18]. In a large study that included about 75% of all internal medicine residents in the USA, the authors concluded that increasing educational debt was associated with lower quality of life and high burnout rates, and was ultimately related to poor training outcomes and low scoring on examinations [18].

The experience of burnout has the potential to jeopardize not only the well-being of residents but also the care and safety of their patients. The risk of depression, stress, alcohol use, unprofessional behaviors, negative relationships, and suicidality were increasingly reported among residents who have experienced burnout, less sleep time and more working hours [1,19,20]. Additionally, it was reported that physicians with high levels of distress and burnout are more prone to self-perceive or reportedly commit medical errors [1,19,21].

This may occur through the negative impact of lack of sleep, distress, absenteeism, and long working hours on commitment, professionalism, medical knowledge, competency, and attention to detail [1,18,20]. On the other hand, studies have recognized that physicians who have high empathy tend to demonstrate positive attributes, with better clinical outcome profiles in terms of achieving better relationships with high patient satisfaction and moral reasoning with clinical competence [22–24].

A number of factors have been examined in the literature as potential correlates of burnout among residents. These included sociodemographic factors such as gender and age, country of residency, and country of original medical training, or residency-related factors such as training specialty, the year of residency, or perceived low provided patient care [11,18,25]. However, the results were essentially non-conclusive; for example, while some studies suggest the prevalence of burnout may either increase [26] or decline with the progression in residency [27], other studies suggested that it has a similar trend across the years of training [28], or otherwise it may maximize during a certain year, such as year two of residency [29].

A dearth of research has been run, however, exploring the levels of burnout or comparing the rates among medical residents in Alberta, a western province of Canada with a population of 4,286,134 in 2017 [30]. Along with the non-conclusive information related to the burnout correlates, there was an essential need to establish recent information with updated knowledge in this field and to identify any potential correlates with burnout phenomenon, hoping to provide effective interventions that can be implemented to promote health and wellness among medical residents in Alberta.

In this study, we aimed to elaborate further on the burnout phenomenon among medical residents in Alberta, and to explore the potential related factors. The objective was to evaluate the prevalence of burnout among resident doctors in Alberta and identify their correlates with individual and residency-related factors.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Design

This study followed the published protocol [31] aiming to address the prevalence and correlates of burnout and professional fulfillment among resident physicians in Alberta, a western province of Canada with a population of 4,286,134 in 2017 [30]. As of December 2018, there were 10,674 physicians registered in the province by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta, Canada; of them, there were 2742 educational registrants [32].

Through a descriptive cross-sectional study design, a self-administered, anonymous online questionnaire was used. Respondents were resident doctors at the time of this study who had been attending the University of Alberta, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, and the University of Calgary, Cumming School of Medicine participated in this study. Data collection took place in the period between 1st of October 2020 and 31st October 2020.

2.2. Study Hypothesis

We hypothesized that the prevalence of burnout among Albertan residents will be high and closely associated with certain socio-demographic characteristics and work-related factors.

2.3. Institutional Review Board Approval

The study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki (Hong Kong Amendment) and Good Clinical Practice (Canadian Guidelines). All participants were provided with an online information leaflet, and informed consent was obtained before participation. The study received ethical approval from the Health Ethics Research Board of the University of Alberta (reference number Pro00091436) and the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board of the University of Calgary (REB19-1457).

2.4. Data Collection and Outcome Measure

Data collection tools for this study were developed based on the published literature and questions from previously validated instruments. The general constructs of interest included relevant demographic information, current practice and career planning, general health status, mental health status, and rates of burnout, as well as factors contributing to both burnout and resilience among respondents. In addition, the survey included an open-ended question to facilitate qualitative data collection. Standardized measures from which questions were selected and included in the survey were the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), the Canadian Medical Association National Physician Health Survey, the Mini Z burnout survey, and the Professional Fulfillment Index [33–38].

The MBI, a short questionnaire-based tool, was designed to measure the symptoms and severity of burnout, representing the main outcome of this study. Three main domains were examined: depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, and professional fulfilment index (PFI). PFI includes two domains: work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement (Burnout scale) and professional fulfillment scale. These standardized measures provided information for the study results.

For burnout scores, a Likert scale from 0 (never) to 6 (every day) was used. "High emotional exhaustion:" is screened positive if >3 "positive screen" and negative if less than 3 "negative screen". Similarly, high depersonalization or (i.e., lower interpersonal empathy) is screened positive if >3: "positive screen", while it was considered negative if less than = 3 "negative screen".

For PFI, items are scored 0 to 4. Each dimension is treated as a continuous variable. Scale scores are calculated by averaging the item scores of all the items within the corresponding scale. Scale scores can then be multiplied by 25 to create a scale range from 0 to 100. A higher score on the professional fulfilment scale is more favorable. In contrast, higher scores on the work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement scales are less favorable.

Dichotomous PFI burnout categories are determined from the average item score (range 0 to 4) of all 10 burnout items (work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement), using a cut-point of 1.33. Dichotomous professional fulfilment is recommended at an average item score cut-point of >3.0. For overall burnout prevalence, respondents were considered to have burnout if they have high scores on emotional exhaustion or depersonalization subscales.

The reliability of MBI is supported by several studies, where Cronbach alpha ratings are 0.90 for emotional exhaustion, 0.76 for depersonalization, and 0.76 for personal accomplishment [39].

Sections (subscales) of different scales for measuring burnout were selected and used in this study in order to measure different dimensions of burnout which were of interest to the researchers. We also adopted the MBI subscales for use in determining the overall burnout state of respondents, as the MBI is the most widely used scale for the measurement of burnout and would allow for comparison of this study with the published literature.

2.5. Sample Size

At the time of this study, a total number of 1594 resident doctors were registered across all specialties at the Universities of Alberta and Calgary [40]. An anticipated sample size of 959 was determined based upon a 95% confidence level and a margin of error of 2% for prevalence rate estimates for resident doctors' burnout.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was undertaken using SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 26; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) [41]. Demographic characteristics of residents, as well as responses to questions related to professional satisfaction, workplace collegiality, and support, were summarized by absolute numbers and percentages. Two age categories were created out of the age continuous variable using 30 years as a cut-off score. We hypothesize that residents who are less than 30 years old may express fewer overall burnout symptoms

compared to the older group. Similarly, we used the cut-off score of 80 h work/week, since from previous research working more than this amount of time could be a risk factor for burnout symptoms [42–44].

Only completed responses were reported, with no data imputation. Chi-square/Fisher's exact analysis with two-tailed significance ($p \le 0.05$) was performed to assess the association between the demographic, professional satisfaction, workplace collegiality, and support variables of residents and responses to questions related to burnout and professional fulfillment. Variables with statistically significant or near significant association ($p \le 0.1$) for each of the domains of burnout and professional fulfillment were entered into their respective multivariate binary logistic regression models, predicting the likelihood of the four outcome variables (depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement, and professional fulfillment). Before performing logistic regression analysis, correlational diagnostics were performed to identify any strong inter-correlations (Spearman's correlation coefficient of 0.7 to 1.0 or -0.7 to -1.0) among predictor variables. Odds ratios from the binary logistic regression analysis were calculated to determine the association between the predictor variables and the presence of burnout or professional fulfillment domain, controlling for the other variables in each model.

3. Results

Out of the 1594 resident doctors reached in Alberta with the survey link, there were 157 responses received (response rate: 9.8%), of which 140 responses were complete. Only partially and fully completed responses were included in the analysis.

Table 1, below, illustrates the distribution of the socio-demographic information as well as the academic factors of the respondents.

Table 1. A, B, C, D, and E. Frequency distribution of socio-demographic, professional, support, and burnout characteristics of the respondents.

(A) Sociodemographic and Academic Factors	Category	Frequency (%)
A ()	<30	83 (59.3)
Age (years)	>30	57 (40.7)
	Male	55 (39.3)
Gender	Female	85 (60.7)
D. m. m. L. mt.	No	113 (72.0)
Dependents -	Yes	44 (28.0)
Politica dia Ciata	In a relationship	109 (77.9)
Relationship Status -	Not in a Relationship	31 (22.1)
Pd	Caucasian	92 (65.7)
Ethnicity -	Others	48 (34.3)
TY	<usd 100,000<="" td=""><td>73 (52.1)</td></usd>	73 (52.1)
How much debt do you currently have	>USD 100,000	67 (47.9)
	PGY-1	36 (25.7)
_	PGY-2	34 (24.3)
Year of residency training	PGY-3	19 (13.6)
	PGY-4	27 (19.3)
_	PGY-5	14 (10.0)
_	PGY-6	10 (7.1)

 Table 1. Cont.

(A)	Sociodemographic and Academic Factors		Category	Frequency (%)
			<80 h	104 (74.3)
	How many hours do you work per week		>80 h	53 (25.7)
		Su	26 (18.6)	
		Fa	33 (23.6)	
	What residency program are you part of	In	ternal Medicine	33 (23.6)
			Psychiatry	14 (10.0)
			Others	34 (24.3)
(B)	Professional Satisfaction	Satisfied N (%)	Neither Satisfied/Dissatisfied N (%)	Dissatisfied N (%)
(1)	Quality of peer collab. among resident colleagues	101 (75.9)	18 (13.5)	14 (10.5)
(2)	Quality of interaction with attending physicians	102 (76.7)	17 (12.8)	14 (10.5)
(3)	Quality of learning environment	92 (69.2)	23 (17.3)	18 (13.5)
(4)	Workload and job demand	60 (45.1)	30 (22.6)	43 (32.3)
(5)	Control and flexibility	35 (26.3)	27 (20.3)	71 (53.4)
(6)	Work–life integration	40 (30.1)	36 (27.1)	57 (42.9)
(7)	Efficiency and resources	47 (35.3)	51 (38.3)	35 (26.3)
(C)	Workplace Collegiality	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree
(1)	Overall, I am satisfied with my career in medicine	80 (62.0)	31 (24.0)	18 (14.0)
(2)	I find colleagues to be supportive	108 (83.7)	17 (13.2)	4 (3.1)
(3)	People treat each other with respect in my work group	101 (78.3)	17 (13.2)	11 (8.5)
(4)	A spirit of cooperation and teamwork exists in my group	101 (78.3)	16 (12.4)	12 (9.3)
(5)	Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my work groups	82 (63.6)	30 (23.3)	17 (13.2)
(D)	Support Variables			
(1)	How well do you feel supported by your social	Somewhat/Very well, N (%)	Neutral, N (%)	Somewhat/Very poorly, N (%)
	support/friends/family	105 (87.5)	8 (6.7)	7 (5.8)

Table 1. Cont.

(D)	Support Variables			
(2)	How would you describe what would occur if you reached out for help to those in your learning and	Somewhat/very supportive	Neutral	Somewhat/very hostile
	work environment	94 (78.3)	14 (11.7)	12 (10.0)
(3)	Do you feel that your residency program has enough	Yes	Somewhat	No
	strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place	38 (31.7)	46 (38.3)	36 (30.0)
(E)	Burnout Variables	Category		Frequency (%)
(4)	D 11	Absent		77 (59.7)
(1)	Depersonalization	Present		52 (40.3)
(2)	P 0 1 1 0	Absent		67 (51.9)
(2)	Emotional exhaustion	Present		62 (48.1)
(2)	TAT. 1 . 1	Absent		55 (43.3)
(3)	Work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement	Present		72 (56.7)
(4)	Due ((- (-	Absent		106 (83.5)
(4)	Professional fulfillment scale	Present		21 (16.5)

Of the residents who participated in the survey, the majority were aged less than or equal to 30 years (59.3%), female (60.7%), did not have dependents (72%), and were in a relationship (77.9%).

This study showed a predominantly Caucasian ethnicity of the respondents (65.7%). Most residents owed less than USD 100,000 (52.1%), while the rest owed more than USD 100,000 in education loans. The majority were in their first and second postgraduate year (25.7% and 24.3%), respectively. Just above a quarter of the respondents worked more than 80 h per week (25.7%). The majority were part of the family medicine and internal medicine residency programs (23.6% each).

Table 1 also shows the descriptive statistics of professional satisfaction, workplace collegiality, and support. Most respondents were satisfied with the quality of peer collaboration with their colleagues (75.9%), satisfied with their quality of interaction with attending physicians (76.7%), satisfied with the quality of learning environment (69.2%), and satisfied with their workload and job demand (45.1%).

Most residents were dissatisfied with control and flexibility (53.4%) and with work–life integration (42.9%), while 38.3% of the residents were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with the efficiency and resources available to them.

There was predominantly agreement among residents regarding workplace collegiality variables. The majority agreed that they were satisfied with their career in medicine (62%), find their colleagues to be very supportive (83%), find people treat each other with respect (78.3%), find a spirit of cooperation and teamwork exists in their group (78.3%), and find disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in their work groups (63.6%).

Regarding support variables, most residents felt well supported when they reached out to their friends and family (87.5%). Residents described what would occur if they reached out for help to those in their learning and work environment as somewhat or very supportive (78.3%), and somewhat agreed that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place (38.3%).

With respect to the burnout rates among respondents, four variables examined the presence of burnout, depersonalization (40.3%), emotional exhaustion (48.1%), work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement (56.7%), and absent professional fulfilment (83.5%).

The overall burnout prevalence was 58.2%, since respondents who had high scores on emotional exhaustion or depersonalization subscales were (75/129).

3.1. Univariate Analysis of Burnout Variables

(1) Depersonalization

The univariate analysis in Table 2 included 24 demographic burnout variables in relation to the presence of depersonalization. A Chi-squared or Fisher exact test revealed a significant association between the presence of depersonalization and 14 variables, including current residency program you are part of, total working hours work per week (clinical and non-clinicals), quality of interaction with your attending physicians, quality of learning environment, workload and job demand, control and flexibility, work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations), efficiency and resources, overall satisfaction about pursuing a career in medicine, if they find their colleagues to be supportive, disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in their work group, how well they feel supported by their social support/friends/family, how they would best describe what would occur if they reached out for help to those in their learning and work environment, and if they feel that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place.

Table 2. Association between demographic, professional and workplace variables, and depersonalization and emotional exhaustion.

		Depersonali (Presen		Emotional Exhaustion (Present)				
Characteristic	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *		
Age								
<30	31 (40.8)	0.894	0.012	37 (48.7)	0.999	0.015		
>30	21 (39.6)			25 (47.2)				
Gender								
Male	23 (46.0)	0.358	0.092	26 (52.0)	0.588	0.063		
Female	29 (36.7)			36 (45.6)				
Dependent								
No	34 (38.6)	0.57	0.05	46 (52.3)	0.188s	0.123		
Yes	18 (43.9)			16 (39.0)				
Relationship								
In a relationship	39 (38.6)	0.516	0.066	47 (46.5)	0.529	0.058		
Not in a relationship	13 (46.4)			15 (53.6)				
Ethnicity								
Caucasian	35 (41.2)	0.851	0.025	42 (49.4)	0.713	0.038		
Other	17 (38.6)			20 (45.5)				
How much debt do you currently have								
≤USD 100,000	27 (40.9)	0.999	0.012	32 (48.5)	0.999	0.009		
>USD 100,000	25 (39.7)			30 (47.6)				
What year of residency training are you currently in?								
PGY-1	14 (38.9)	0.352	0.209	18 (50.0)	0.452	0.193		
PGY-2	9 (30.0)	0.002	0.207	11 (36.7)	0.102	0.170		
PGY-3	10 (58.8)			11 (64.7)				
PGY-4	12 (48.0)			12 (48.0)				
PGY-5	3 (25.0)			7 (58.3)				
PGY-6 and above	4 (44.4)			3 (33.3)				

 Table 2. Cont.

<u>.</u>		Depersonal (Presen		E	motional Exl (Presen	
Characteristic	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V '
What residency program are you part of						
Surgical Specialties	16 (64.0)	0.041 *	0.278	14 (56.0)	0.678	0.135
Family Medicine	8 (25.8)			13 (41.9)		
Internal Medicine	11 (37.9)			15 (51.7)		
Psychiatry	3 (25.0)			4 (33.3)		
Others	14 (43.8)			16 (50.0)		
How many total hours do you work per week (clinicals and non-clinicals)						
<80 h	27 (28.4)	<0.001 *	0.405	37 (38.9)	<0.001 *	0.305
>80 h	25 (73.5)			25 (73.5)		
Quality of peer collaboration among residents' colleagues						
Satisfied	36 (37.1)	0.355	0.13	42 (43.3)	0.001	0.314
Neither	8 (44.4)			7 (38.9)		
Dissatisfied	8 (57.1)			13 (92.9)		
Quality of interaction with your attending physicians						
Satisfied	34 (34.7)	0.029 *	0.237	40 (40.8)	0.013 *	0.258
Neither	8 (47.1)			12 (70.6)		
Dissatisfied	10 (71.4)			10 (71.4)		
Quality of your learning environment						
Satisfied	28 (31.1)	<0.001 *	0.364	33 (36.7)	<0.001 *	0.402
Neither	9 (42.9)			12 (57.1)		
Dissatisfied	15 (83.3)			17 (94.4)		
Workload and job demand						
Satisfied	13 (22.8)	<0.001 *	0.389	18 (31.6)	<0.001 *	0.397
Neither	11 (36.7)			12 (40.0)		
Dissatisfied	28 (66.7)			32 (76.2)		
Control and flexibility						
Satisfied	6 (18.2)	0.012 *	0.264	10 (30.3)	0.002 *	0.308
Neither	12 (48.0)			8 (32.0)		
Dissatisfied	34 (47.9)			44 (62.0)		
Work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations)						
Satisfied	8 (21.1)	<0.001 *	0.398	12 (31.6)	<0.001 *	0.378
Neither	9 (25.7)	.0.001	0.070	11 (31.4)	.0.001	2.2.0
Dissatisfied	35 (62.5)			39 (69.6)		
Efficiency and resources	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Satisfied	11 (25.0)	0.003 *	0.302	13 (29.5)	<0.001 *	0.404
Neither	19 (38.0)	3.000	0.002	21 (42.0)	.0.001	0.101
Dissatisfied	22 (62.9)			28 (80.0)		
Overall, I am satisfied about pursuing a career in medicine	. ,			· ·		
Agree	15 (18.8)	<0.001 *	0.562	24 (30.0)	< 0.001	0.488

Table 2. Cont.

		Depersonali (Presen		E	motional Exl (Presen	
Characteristic	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *
Neither agree/disagree Disagree	23 (74.2) 14 (77.8)			21 (67.7) 17 (94.4)		
In general, I find my colleagues to be supportive Agree	36 (33.3)	<0.001 **	0.323	43 (39.8)	<0.001 **	0.376
Neither agree/disagree Disagree	13 (76.5) 3 (75.0)			15 (88.2) 4 (100.0)		
People treat each other with respect in my work group Agree	37 (36.6)	0.167	0.162	45 (44.6)	0.198	0.161
Neither agree/disagree Disagree	8 (47.1) 7 (63.6)	0.107	0.102	9 (52.9) 8 (72.7)	0.170	0.101
A spirit of cooperation and teamwork exists in my work group Agree Neither agree/disagree Disagree	39 (38.6) 6 (37.5) 7 (58.3)	0.456	0.118	43 (42.6) 10 (62.5) 9 (75.0)	0.048 *	0.216
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my work group Agree Neither agree/disagree Disagree	23 (28.0) 16 (53.3) 13 (76.5)	<0.001 *	0.357	32 (39.0) 15 (50.0) 15 (88.2)	0.001 *	0.326
How well do you feel supported by your social support/friends/family? Somewhat/very well Neutral Somewhat/very poorly	38 (36.2) 2 (25.0) 6 (85.7)	0.023 **	0.249	47 (44.8) 4 (50.0) 5 (71.4)	0.382 **	0.126
How would you best describe what would occur if you reached out for help to those in your learning and work environment						
Somewhat/very supportive Neutral Somewhat/very hostile	30 (31.9) 7 (50.0) 9 (75.0)	0.008 *	0.278	37 (39.4) 10 (71.4) 9 (75.0)	0.009 *	0.279
Do you feel that your residency has enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place? Yes Somewhat No	8 (21.1) 17 (37.0) 21 (58.3)	0.004 *	0.302	11 (28.9) 22 (47.8) 23 (63.9)	0.011 *	0.276

^{*} p value < 0.05, ** fisher exact.

(2) Emotional Exhaustion

The Chi-square test in Table 2 showed a significant ($p \le 0.05$) relationship between emotional exhaustion and 14 sociodemographic, professional satisfaction and support variables, such as total hours of work per week (clinicals and non-clinicals), quality of peer collaboration among residents colleagues, quality of interaction with their attending physicians, quality of their learning environment, workload and job demand, control and flexibility, work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations), efficiency and resources, overall satisfaction about pursuing a career in medicine, if they find their

colleagues to be supportive, if a spirit of cooperation and teamwork exists in their work group, disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in their work group, how they would best describe what would occur if they reached out for help to those in their learning and work environment, and if they feel their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place.

(3) Professional Fulfillment Index:

(a) Work Exhaustion and Interpersonal Disengagement:

The Chi-square test in Table 3 showed a significant ($p \le 0.05$) relationship between work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement and 11 administrative, professional satisfaction and support variables, including total hours they work per week (clinical and non-clinical), quality of interaction with their attending physicians, quality of their learning environment, workload and job demand, control and flexibility, work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations), efficiency and resources, overall satisfaction about pursuing a career in medicine, people treat each other with respect in their work group, disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in their work group, and if they feel that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place.

Table 3. Association between demographic, professional and workplace variables and work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement and professional fulfilment.

Characteristic		Vork Exhaus personal Dis (Presen	engagement	Professional Fulfilment (Absent)			
	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	
Age							
<30	44 (58.7)	0.716	0.048	67 (89.3)	0.050 *	0.19	
>30	28 (53.8)			39 (75.0)			
Gender							
Male	29 (60.4)	0.581	0.059	39 (81.3)	0.628	0.046	
Female	43 (54.4)						
Dependent							
No	51 (58.6)	0.56	0.057	74 (85.1)	0.608	0.063	
Yes	21 (52.2)			32 (80.0)			
Relationship							
In a relationship	56 (56.6)	0.999	0.005	80 (80.8)	0.159 *	0.134	
Not in a relationship	16 (57.1)			26 (92.9)			
Ethnicity							
Caucasian	46 (54.8)	0.575	0.054	72 (85.7)	0.449	0.085	
Others	26 (60.5)			34 (79.1)			
How much debt do you currently have							
<usd 100,000<="" td=""><td>35 (53.8)</td><td>0.592</td><td>0.059</td><td>54 (83.1)</td><td>0.999</td><td>0.011</td></usd>	35 (53.8)	0.592	0.059	54 (83.1)	0.999	0.011	
>USD 100,000	37 (59.7)			52 (83.9)			
What year of residency training are you							
currently in?							
PGY-1	22 (64.7)	0.351	0.211	27 (79.4)	0.342 **	0.195	
PGY-2	12 (40.0)			24 (80.0)			
PGY-3	12 (70.6)			17 (100.0)			
PGY-4	14 (56.0)			21 (84.0)			
PGY-5	7 (58.3)			9 (75.0)			
PGY-6 and above	5 (55.6)			8 (88.9)			

 Table 3. Cont.

Characteristic		Vork Exhaus personal Dis Presen)	engagement	Professional Fulfilment (Absent)			
CAMARONIO	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V	
What residency program are you part of							
Surgical Specialties	16 (69.6)	0.207	0.217	23 (100.0)	0.060 **	0.247	
Family Medicine	13 (41.9)			23 (74.2)			
Internal Medicine	16 (55.2)			24 (82.8)			
Psychiatry	9 (75.0)			11 (91.7)			
Others	18 (56.3)			25 (78.1)			
How many total hours do you work per week (clinicals and non-clinicals)							
<80 h	45 (47.9)	0.001 *	0.3	76 (80.9)	0.276	0.119	
>80 h	27 (81.8)			30 (90.9)			
Quality of peer collaboration among residents' colleagues							
Satisfied	50 (51.5)	0.108	0.189	78 (80.4)	0.203 **	0.166	
Neither	12 (70.6)	0.200	0.207	15 (88.2)	0.200	0.200	
Dissatisfied	10 (76.9)			13 (100.0)			
Quality of interaction with your attending physicians							
Satisfied	48 (49.5)	0.008 *	0.267	77 (79.4)	0.079 **	0.203	
Neither	12 (75.0)	0.000	0.207	16 (100.0)	0.07)	0.203	
Dissatisfied	12 (85.7)			13 (92.9)			
	((, , ,			
Quality of your learning environment Satisfied	43 (47.8)	0.003 *	0.302	70 (77.8)	0.018 **	0.242	
Neither	13 (68.4)	0.003	0.302	18 (94.7)	0.016	0.242	
Dissatisfied	16 (88.9)			18 (100.0)			
	10 (00.7)			10 (100.0)			
Workload and job demand Satisfied	22 (40.4)	<0.001 *	395	40 (70.2)	0.001 *	0.331	
Neither	23 (40.4) 15 (50.0)	<0.001	393	40 (70.2) 27 (90.0	0.001	0.331	
Dissatisfied	34 (85.0)			39 (97.5)			
	34 (03.0)			37 (77.3)			
Control and flexibility	0 (24.2)	-0.001 *	0.441	10 (E4 E)	-0 001 *	0.469	
Satisfied Neither	8 (24.2)	<0.001 *	0.441	18 (54.5)	<0.001 *	0.468	
Dissatisfied	12 (48.0) 52 (75.4)			22 (88.0)			
	52 (75.4)			66 (95.7)			
Work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations)							
Satisfied	15 (39.5)	0.001 *	0.337	25 (65.8)	<0.001 *	0.37	
Neither	16 (45.7)			28 (80.0)			
Dissatisfied	41 (75.9)			53 (98.1)			
Efficiency and resources							
Satisfied	12 (27.3)	<0.001 *	0.436	27 (61.4)	<0.001 *	0.434	
Neither	34 (69.4)			46 (93.9)			
Dissatisfied	26 (76.5)			33 (97.1)			
Overall, I am satisfied about pursuing a career in medicine							
Agree	35 (43.8)	<0.001 *	0.342	60 (75.0)	0.002 **	0.298	
Neither agree/disagree	23 (76.7)			29 (96.7)			
Disagree	14 (82.4)			17 (100.0)			

Table 3. Cont.

Characteristic		Vork Exhaus personal Dis (Presen	engagement	Pro	ofessional F		
	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	N (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size Phi/Cramer V *	
In general, I find my colleagues to be							
supportive							
Agree	56 (52.3)	0.076 **	0.204	87 (81.3)			
Neither agree/disagree	13 (81.3)			15 (93.8)	0.455 **	0.137	
Disagree	3 (75.0)			4 (100.0)			
People treat each other with respect in							
my work group							
Agree	51 (51.0)	0.042 *	0.223	80 (80.0)	0.107 **	0.188	
Neither agree/disagree	12 (75.0)			16 (100.0)			
Disagree	9 (81.8)			10 (90.9)			
A spirit of cooperation and teamwork							
exists in my work group							
Agree	52 (52.5)	0.2	0.161	80 (80.8)	0.432 **	0.135	
Neither agree/disagree	11 (68.8)	0.2	0.101	15 (93.8)	0.102	0.100	
Disagree	9 (75.0)			11 (91.7)			
	7 (75.0)			11 (>1.7)			
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly							
in my work group	26 (45 0)	0.000 #	0.010	(1 (7 (2)	0.010 **	0.254	
Agree	36 (45.0)	0.002 *	0.312	61 (76.3)	0.013 **	0.254	
Neither agree/disagree	22 (73.3)			29 (96.7)			
Disagree	14 (82.4)			16 (94.1)			
How well do you feel supported by							
your social support/friends/family?							
Somewhat/very well	58 (55.2)	0.919 **	0.037	86 (81.9)	0.739 **	0.117	
Neutral	5 (62.5)			7 (87.5)			
Somewhat/very poorly	4 (57.1)			7 (100.0)			
How would you best describe what							
would occur if you reached out for help							
to those in your learning and work							
environment							
Somewhat/very supportive	49 (52.1)	0.177	0.171	75 (79.8)	0.120 **	0.188	
Neutral	11 (78.6)			14 (100.0)			
Somewhat/very hostile	7 (58.3)			11 (91.7)			
Do you feel that your residency				· · · · · ·			
program has enough strategies aimed at							
residents' well-being in place							
Yes	9 (23.7)	<0.001 *	0.441	24 (63.2)			
Somewhat	33 (71.7)	\0.001	0.111	41 (89.1)	<0.001 *	0.379	
JUHEWHAL	JJ (/ 1./)			±1 (O7.1)	<0.001	0.3/7	

^{*} significance < 0.05, ** Fisher's Exact Test was used.

(b) Professional Fulfillment Scale:

The univariate analysis in Table 3 also showed the association between professional fulfillment and nine socio-demographic, workplace collegiality and support variables, such as age, quality of their learning environment, workload and job demand, control and flexibility, work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations), efficiency and resources, their overall satisfaction about pursuing a career in medicine, disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in their work group, and if they feel that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place.

3.2. Multivariable Binary Logistic Regression Analysis

Table 4 summarizes the significant results of the logistic regression analysis models predicting the likelihood of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement, and professional fulfillment.

3.2.1. Depersonalization

The multivariate logistic regression model included 13 out of 14 chi-squared predictor variables; one variable was removed, "work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations)", that showed a high correlation ($r_s > 0.7$) with another variable: "workload and job demand". A detailed model is illustrated in Appendix A: Table A1.

The model was statistically significant; X^2 (df = 27; n = 120) = 67.82, p < 0.001, suggesting that the model could distinguish between respondents who likely have high depersonalization or low depersonalization among resident doctors. The model accounted for 43.2% (Cox and Snell R²) to 58.7% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance. According to the goodness-of-fit statistic using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, the model was adequately fit (Chi²= 5.444; p = 0.709) and correctly classified 82.5% of cases.

Two variables significantly predicted depersonalization, working hours and satisfaction with a career in medicine. Residents who worked above 80 h per week were 16 times more likely to show depersonalization (OR = 16.437; 95% CI: 2.059-131.225) than those who worked less than 80 h per week, while controlling for other variables in the model.

Residents who were dissatisfied with their career in medicine were 22 times more likely to experience depersonalization (OR = 22.28; 95% CI: 1.75– 283.278) than those who agreed that they were satisfied with their career in medicine. Similarly, residents who neither agreed nor disagreed that they were satisfied with their career in medicine were 23 times more likely to show depersonalization (OR = 23.81; 95% CI: 4.89–115.86) compared to those who agreed that they were satisfied with their career in medicine, while controlling for other variables in the model.

3.2.2. Emotional Exhaustion

The regression model was employed to predict the likelihood of emotional exhaustion among resident doctors. The model included 13/14 chi-squared chi predictor variables, including one variable which was near significant. One variable was removed; "workload and job demand" showed a high correlation ($r_s > 0.7$) with another variable, "work–life integration". The model was statistically significant; X^2 (df = 25; n = 120) = 74.71, p < 0.001, suggesting that the model could distinguish between respondents who likely had high emotional exhaustion or low emotional exhaustion among resident doctors. The model accounted for 46.3% (Cox and Snell R^2) to 61.9% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance. A detailed model is illustrated in Appendix A: Table A2.

According to the goodness-of-fit statistic using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, the model was adequately fit (Chi²= 3.07; p = 0.93) and correctly classified 81.7% of cases.

As shown in Table 4, only two variables independently predicted the likelihood of emotional exhaustion, overall satisfaction with a career in medicine, and efficiency and resources variables.

Resident doctors who were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their career in medicine were five times more likely to have emotional exhaustion (OR = 5.14; CI: 1.33- 19.94) than the ones who were satisfied with their career in medicine.

Resident doctors who were dissatisfied with the efficiency and resources were 11 times more likely to experience high emotional exhaustion than ones who were satisfied with the efficiency and resources (OR = 10.83; CI: 1.66-70.32).

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2023**, 20, 3677

Table 4. Summary of the significant results of the logistic regression analysis models predicting the likelihood of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement, and professional fulfilment.

	(1) Hig	gh Depersonaliz	zation	(2) Hi	gh Emotional Ex	haustion	(3) Pro	fessional Fulfil	ment Index			
Characteristics								gh Work Exhaus erpersonal Disc		b. Lo	w Professional I	Fulfilment
	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value
How many total hours do you work per week (clinical and non-clinical) >80 h	16.43	2.06–131.23	0.008	-	-	-	5.365	1.09–26.42	0.04	-	-	-
Overall, I am satisfied with my career in medicine Agree Neither agree/disagree Disagree	23.82 22.29	4.90–115.87 1.75–283.28	<0.001 <0.001 0.02	5.14 10.88	1.325–19.94 0.269–440.52	0.04 0.02 0.21	-	-	-	_	-	_
Efficiency and resources Satisfied Neither Dissatisfied	-	-	_	1.33 10.83	0.35–5.04 1.67–70.32	0.04 0.68 0.01	-	-	_	-	-	_
Do you feel that your residency program has enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place? Yes Somewhat No	-	_	-	-	-	-	3.70 0.65	1.10–12.46 0.112–3.82	0.03 0.04 0.64	-	-	-
Age >30 years	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	0.04	0.005-0.298	0.002

3.2.3. Professional Fulfillment Index

(a) Work Exhaustion and Interpersonal Disengagement

The regression model included 11 out of 12 chi squared predictor variables, including one variable which was near significant. One variable was removed; "work–life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations)" showed high correlation ($r_s > 0.7$) with another variable, "workload and job demand".

The model was statistically significant; X^2 (df = 21; n = 120) = 54.25, p < 0.001, suggesting that the model could distinguish between respondents who were likely to experience work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement from those who were not among resident doctors. The model accounted for 36.4% (Cox and Snell R²) to 48.7% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance. A detailed model is illustrated in Appendix A: Table A3.

According to the goodness-of-fit statistic using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, the model was adequately fit (Chi²= 4.520; p = 0.718) and correctly classified 79.2% of cases.

As shown in Table 4, two variables independently predict the likelihood of work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement, the total number of hours worked per week, and the feeling of the residency program having enough strategies aimed at resident well-being in place.

Resident doctors who worked more than 80 h per week are five times more likely to experience work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement (OR = 5.36; CI: 1.08- 26.42) than resident doctors who work less than 80 h per week.

Resident doctors who somewhat feel that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at resident well-being in place were about four times more likely to experience work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement than ones who believed that their residency program had enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place (OR = 3.70; CI: 1.10–12.46).

(b) Professional Fulfillment

The regression model included 10 out of 11 chi-squared predictor variables, including one variable which was near significant. One of the variables was removed; "work-life integration (meeting personal and professional obligations)" showed a high correlation ($r_s > 0.7$) with another variable, "workload and job demands." The model was statistically significant; X^2 (df = 21; n = 120) = 62.42, p < 0.001, suggesting that the model could distinguish between respondents who were low professionally fulfilled and high professionally fulfilled among the resident doctors. The model accounted for 40.6% (Cox and Snell R^2) to 68.3% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance.

According to the goodness-of-fit statistic using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, the model was adequately fit (Chi²= 1.67; p = 0.989) and correctly classified 91.7% of cases.

Table 4 shows that there was only one variable which predicted the likelihood of the lack of professional fulfilment: the age of the participant residents. Resident doctors who were equal to or less than 30 years old were about 23 times more likely to experience low professional fulfilment than those who were above 30 years old (OR = 22.73; CI: 2.25–250). A detailed model is illustrated in Appendix A: Table A4.

4. Discussion

4.1. Principal Findings

This study presents the results of a cross-sectional survey designed to examine the prevalence and predictors of burnout among medical residents in Alberta, Canada. Principal findings showed that at least half of the residents endured burnout symptoms in at least one domain of the used scale (ranging between 40.3% for depersonalization and 83.5% for the lack of professional fulfilment), with an overall burnout prevalence of 58.2%.

Although a large number of factors demonstrated a significant association with burnout domains, few variables could significantly predict the high scores of burnout domains after controlling for other factors. These predictors included the young age of the residents (\leq 30 years), a high total number of hours worked per week (>80 h/week), lack of satisfaction or being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with a career in medicine, dissatisfaction with efficiency and resources, and feeling that the residency program *somewhat* has enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place (p< 0.05).

4.2. Burnout, Sociodemographic, and Professional Data

Burnout rates among residents in our study were consistent with the findings reported in the literature. Across multiple specialties, 30% to 74% of the residents reported burnout [1,45]. According to a recent systematic review that examined burnout among otolaryngology residents, the overall rates of burnout among residents ranged from 29.7% to 86% [46]. In our study, surgical specialties reported higher rates of burnout compared to other specialties, on almost all burnout domains. Consistent with this finding, high burnout rates have been reported among surgical residents in specialties such as orthopedic [1,45], obstetrics and gynecology [26,47], otolaryngology [46], and neurosurgery [27].

Regarding the demographic characteristics of the participating residents in our study, it was not surprising that, except for the age of residents, there was no statistically significant association between burnout outcome domains and the remaining demographic characteristics. This was demonstrated in four multivariate logistic regression models, after controlling for other variables. Likewise, based on two previous literature reviews examining burnout among residents [2,3], the authors reported that demographic factors are not reliably associated with burnout among residents; their conclusion was based on the replicability of this negative association. For example, the gender of the residents in our study was not significantly associated with burnout. However, in another article, while similar to our conclusion in most of its reviewed papers, the authors report other contradicting views, such as women physicians being more likely to report symptoms of burnout and feeling lack of efficacy while male physicians are less likely to doubt the quality of their work [6].

Regarding occupational stresses and personal life threats, in our study more than one in four residents reported their dissatisfaction with work–life integration (42.9%). Dissatisfaction with work–life balance is generally not uncommon among health professionals; in one study, physicians were twice as likely to be dissatisfied with their work–life balance (40.2%) compared to a control population of non-physicians (23.2%) [9]. Work–life balance refers to the amount of time spent doing one's job compared with the amount of time spent with family and doing enjoyable things [48]. In the context of residency training, several factors have had a meaningful association with work–life imbalance such as having long working hours/days, few vacations, frequent calls, frequent days of working-asusual after overnight surgery, perceived high-level job stress, and low satisfaction with human relationships [49].

4.3. Burnout Correlates and Comparisons

A closer look at the factors significantly associated with burnout among residents reveals that residents' satisfaction with their career was inversely related to burnout and depression [26,47]. Our study found a strong association between residents' satisfaction with their career and depersonalization and emotional exhaustion burnout domains, consistent with the findings of a study run on obstetrics and gynecology residents, where high depersonalization was correlated with low job satisfaction and personal accomplishment [47]. Furthermore, job dissatisfaction was closely related to experiencing depression symptoms among residents [26,47].

Additionally, the working hours factor was closely associated with burnout, where the residents in this study who worked more than 80 h per week were more likely to experience high depersonalization and high work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement (sixteen and five times, respectively). In response to a survey sent to the residents of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery in the US, 684 responses were received and

reported that the hours worked was the strongest associated factor predicting emotional exhaustion, with a rising emotional exhaustion score of 0.19 for each additional hour worked [28]. Similarly, total work hours were significantly correlated with reported stress, burnout, and less sleep, resulting in deleterious effects on residents' performance in terms of fewer educational accomplishments, involvement in personal accident or injury, a severe conflict, or a significant medical error, particularly among residents who work more than 80 h per week [42–44].

In our study, feeling that the residency program somewhat has enough strategies aimed at resident well-being in place was associated with more than three times the likelihood of experiencing work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement compared to those who agreed that the residency program has enough strategies for residents' well-being. This finding represents a key message for policy and practice planners to appreciate the importance of well-being and support offered to the residents throughout their training. When the aim is to prevent and treat burnout, programs such as stress management, ranging from relaxation to cognitive-behavioral therapy, and patient-centered therapy were found to be of a strong significant impact [21].

As noted in our study, residents of younger age (\leq 30 years) experienced significantly more burnout symptoms (23 times) compared to older residents. We hardly found supportive research providing the same finding. Most researchers report the lack of association between demographic factors, including the age of residents, and burnout. Rather, the evident relationship was described in relation to occupational stressors, such as hostile faculty or co-residents, underappreciation by the patients, or poor control over one's schedule [2,3]. However, individuals of a younger age generally seem to consistently report high levels of stress, anxiety, PTSD, and depression, when compared to older individuals, particularly during natural disasters, such as the COVID-19 pandemic [50–53].

Satisfaction with efficiency and resources was a significant predictor of burnout. Residents who were not satisfied with efficiency and resources were 11 times more likely to experience burnout, compared to those who were satisfied. This is not surprising, since the available resources represent an integral component of burnout development, where an imbalance between demand and resources may lead to strain [54]. Two theories of burnout development have been confirmed in research; the first model represents the Job Demands–Resources model. In this model, burnout arises when individuals experience increasing job demands while having inadequate resources to address and reduce these demands. The second model is the Conservation of Resources model, where burnout arises because of persistent threats to the available resources [54]. When individuals perceive that the resources they value are threatened or lost they strive to maintain those resources, which may aggravate burnout [54].

4.4. Limitations of the Study

This study has a number of limitations. The response rate was approximately 10% and thus fairly low. The projected sample size was 959 based upon a 95% confidence level and 2% margin of error for burnout prevalence; however, the study achieved a much smaller sample size. Thus, based on a population sample of 157, the actual margin of error was 7%, which is higher than the projected 2% determined a priori. Nonetheless, this low response rate puts this study at increased risk for sampling bias, specifically that the minority of residents who responded may be divergent in some way from the majority who did not respond, which could affect results. The low response rate can also potentially limit the external validity of the study, as the results may not be generalizable to the resident population at large. However, this low response rate was consistent with the other literature, including the rate reported in a national resident survey collecting data from the residents about their experience and opinion in residency training in Canada [55]. The survey achieved only an 8.3% response rate (833 residents completed the survey out of 10,091 residents).

Secondly, the study was cross-sectional in nature and therefore may not have predictive ability compared to a longitudinal study. It is also possible that the cross-sectional results may have been altered due to the ongoing COVID-19 global pandemic occurring during data collection. Lastly, the study depended on self-reported data collected from resident doctors regarding their sociodemographic, professional, support, and burnout information, lacking clinicians' encounters to verify reported data and related mental health conditions.

5. Conclusions and Future Directives

Burnout is a serious phenomenon that can degenerate into mental health conditions or become disruptive to one's professional performance, well-being and patient care. Lack of sleep and more working hours are well-known associated risks for increasing rates of depression, stress, alcohol use, suicidality, and committing medical errors among residents who have experienced burnout [1,18–21].

Our study confirmed high burnout levels (58.2%) among resident doctors in the province of Alberta in Canada. Based on the run of various multivariate binary logistic regression models in this study, some correlates demonstrated significant association with high burnout levels, including training-related factors, along with the age of residents. Some specialties showed more predilection to high rates of burnout, albeit insignificantly after controlling for other variables in the logistic regression models. The relationship between specialty and burnout could be better investigated in a larger, more representative sample. Such factors may need to be captured and addressed with reforming directives that aim to improve the residency training experience and achieve a better well-being profile of the residents.

A number of interventions have been proposed to mitigate burnout symptoms among residents, with promising outcomes. For example, duty-hour restrictions, self-development groups, training in mindfulness, formal trainee mentorship programs communication, and stress management, meaningful mentorship, self-development groups, the Respiratory One Method for relaxation, and conversion to a pass-fail grading system appear to reduce burnout [2,46,56]. To this end, leaders of medical schools, stakeholders and policy makers need to acknowledge, design, and implement various strategies capable of providing continuous and effective wellness support to residents. In addition, features of work such as workload, control and flexibility, and efficiency and resources represent areas that require systemic quality improvement that extends beyond mental health and wellness support for residents. Further research needs to be conducted not only on the prevalence and correlates of burnout among residents, but also on feasible effective support strategies aiming at improving the psychological health of resident doctors across Canada.

Author Contributions: The study was conceived and designed by V.I.O.A. and R.S. and F.O. drafted the initial manuscript. M.H. contributed to data collection. R.S., F.O., E.E. and V.I.O.A. contributed to data analysis. Writing—review and editing, H.E.G., B.A., S.D., E.K., I.N., A.A.-A. and D.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by grants from the Mental Health Foundation and Douglas Harding Trust. The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis, interpretation of the data; preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the results for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki (Hong Kong Amendment) and Good Clinical Practice (Canadian Guidelines).. The study received ethical approval from the Health Ethics Research Board of the University of Alberta (reference number Pro00091436) and the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board of the University of Calgary (REB19-1457).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all participants before participating in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, Vincent Agyapong, upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: Support for the study design was received from Robert Mallet and several physicians and staff of Alberta Health Services.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

 $\textbf{Table A1.} \ Logistic \ regression \ predicting \ the \ likelihood \ of \ Depersonalization.$

W. Caldada Familia		6.7		1.0	C' -	Odd's	95% C.I. for	Odd's Ratio
Variables in Equation	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper
What residency program are you a part of?								
Surgical Specialties			2.853	4	0.583			
Family Medicine	1.512	1.216	1.545	1	0.214	4.536	0.418	49.224
Internal Medicine	0.075	1.075	0.005	1	0.944	1.078	0.131	8.862
Psychiatry	0.603	1.634	0.136	1	0.712	1.828	0.074	44.945
Others	1.287	1.139	1.278	1	0.258	3.623	0.389	33.746
How many total hours do you work per week								
(clinical and non-clinical)								
>80	2.8	1.06	6.977	1	0.008	16.43	2.059	131.225
Quality of interaction with your attending								
physicians								
Satisfied			1.397	2	0.497	7		
Neither	-0.948	1.048	0.818	1	0.366	0.388	0.05	3.024
Dissatisfied	-1.877	1.753	1.146	1	0.284	0.153	0.005	4.754
	-1.077	1.755	1.140	1	0.204	0.155	0.003	4.7.54
Quality of your learning environment Satisfied			1 500	2	0.450			
	0.754	1.040	1.586	2	0.452	0.106	0.275	16.407
Neither	0.754	1.043	0.523	1	0.47	2.126	0.275	16.427
Dissatisfied	2.078	1.654	1.578	1	0.209	7.989	0.312	204.4
Workload and job demands								
Satisfied			0.034	2	0.983			
Neither	-0.122	0.879	0.019	1	0.89	0.885	0.158	4.957
Dissatisfied	0.028	1.226	0.001	1	0.982	1.028	0.093	11.361
Control and flexibility								
Satisfied			2.2	2	0.333			
Neither	1.04	0.896	1.347	1	0.246	2.828	0.489	16.371
Dissatisfied	-0.084	1.016	0.007	1	0.934	0.919	0.125	6.74
Efficiency and resources								
Satisfied			0.379	2	0.827			
Neither	-0.118	0.779	0.023	1	0.879	0.889	0.193	4.091
Dissatisfied	0.387	0.965	0.161	1	0.688	1.473	0.222	9.771
			0.101					,,,,,
Overall, I am satisfied with my career in medicine								
Agree			17.585	2	< 0.001			
Neither agree/disagree	3.17	0.807	15.428	1	< 0.001	23.817	4.896	115.865
	3.104	1.297	5.726		0.001	22.289	1.754	283.278
Disagree	3.104	1.297	3.726	1	0.017	22.269	1./34	203.270
In general, I find my colleagues to be								
supportive				_				
Agree			0.486	2	0.784	a == :		
Neither agree/disagree	-0.225	1.102	0.042	1	0.838	0.799	0.092	6.924
Disagree	-1.186	1.708	0.482	1	0.487	0.305	0.011	8.687
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my								
work group								
Agree			0.881	2	0.644			
Neither agree/disagree	0.444	0.805	0.304	1	0.581	1.559	0.322	7.559
Disagree	1.214	1.327	0.837	1	0.36	3.367	0.25	45.373

 Table A1. Cont.

West-life to Found to	_	6.7			C' -	Odd's	95% C.I. for Odd's Ratio		
Variables in Equation	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper	
How well do you feel supported by your									
social supports/friends/family?									
Somewhat/very well			1.664	2	0.435				
Neutral	0.142	1.286	0.012	1	0.912	1.152	0.093	14.331	
Somewhat/very poorly	2.082	1.624	1.644	1	0.2	8.024	0.332	193.671	
How would you best describe what would									
occur if you reached out for help to those in									
your learning and work environment?									
Somewhat/very supportive			0.801	2	0.67				
Neutral	-0.985	1.1	0.801	1	0.371	0.374	0.043	3.228	
Somewhat/very hostile	-0.606	1.367	0.196	1	0.658	0.546	0.037	7.96	
Do you feel that your residency program has									
enough strategies aimed at residents'									
well-being in place?									
Yes			0.005	2	0.998				
Somewhat	-0.003	0.793	0	1	0.997	0.997	0.211	4.712	
No	0.057	1.038	0.003	1	0.956	1.059	0.138	8.102	
Constant	-3.626	1.269	8.168	1	0.004	0.027			

Table A2. Logistic regression predicting the likelihood of Emotional Exhaustion.

Variables in Equation		6.5	*** * * *		Sig	Odd's	95% C.I. for Odd's Ratio		
Variables in Equation	В	S.E.	Wald	df		Ratio	Lower	Upper	
How many total hours do you work per week (clinical and non-clinical) >80	0.054	0.001	0.010	1	0.220	2 249	0.410	12.465	
	0.854	0.891	0.918	1	0.338	2.348	0.410	13.465	
Quality of peer collaboration among your resident colleagues Satisfied									
Neither	2 2 2 2	4.0	0.509	2	0.775	0.405	0.004		
Dissatisfied	-0.903	1.266	0.509	1	0.476	198995518	0.034	4.844	
	19.109	5044.959	0.000	1	0.997	0.044	0.000		
Quality of interaction with your attending physicians									
Satisfied			0.002	2	0.999				
Neither	-0.062	1.238	0.002	1	0.960	0.940	0.083		
Dissatisfied	-28.782	9505.315	0.000	1	0.998	0.000	0.000	10.63	
Quality of your learning environment						2.740			
Satisfied			1.705	2	0.426	3.740			
Neither	1.319	1.010	1.705	1	0.192	519697748	0.516		
Dissatisfied	31.582	9505.315	0.000	1	0.997	12758.520	0.000	27.08	
Control and flexibility									
Satisfied			0.395	2	0.821				
Neither	0.090	0.864	0.011	1	0.917	1.094	0.201	5.949	
Dissatisfied	0.497	0.864	0.331	1	0.565	1.644	0.302	8.945	

 Table A2. Cont.

Variables in Equation	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	Odd's	Odd's Ratio	
variables in Equation	В	5.E.	Wald	ar	Jig	Ratio	Lower	Upper
Work-life integration (meeting personal and								
professional obligations)								
Satisfied			0.826	2	0.662			
Neither	-0.145	0.731	0.040	1	0.842	0.865	0.206	3.626
Dissatisfied	-0.829	0.923	0.807	1	0.369	0.436	0.072	2.664
Efficiency and resources								
Satisfied			6.541	2	0.038			
Neither	0.285	0.679	0.176	1	0.675	1.329	0.351	5.035
Dissatisfied	2.382	0.955	6.225	1	0.013	10.825	1.666	70.322
Overall, I am satisfied with my career in								
medicine			6.391	2	0.041			
Agree Neither agree/disagree	1.637	0.692	5.601	1	0.041 0.018	5.140	1.325	19.939
Disagree	2.387	1.888	1.598	1	0.206	10.879	0.269	440.516
0								
In general, I find my colleagues to be						599177696		
supportive						811388900		
Agree		9498.519	0.000	2	1.000	000.000		
Neither agree/disagree	52.447	15311.532	0.000	1	0.996	222516260	0.000	
Disagree	56.062		0.000	1	0.997	563499820 0000000.000	0.000	
A spirit of cooperation and teamwork exists								
in my work group								
Agree			0.205	•	0.001			
Neither agree/disagree	0.844	1.342	0.395 0.395	2 1	0.821 0.530	2.325	0.168	32.280
Disagree	-36.107	8030.410	0.000	1	0.996	0.000	0.000	
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my								
work group								
Agree			0.040	•	0.055			
Neither agree/disagree	0.202	0.844	0.262 0.057	2 1	0.877 0.811	1.224	0.234	6.406
Disagree	-1.498	3.903	0.037	1	0.701	0.224	0.234	469.623
	1.470		0.147		0.701	0.224	0.000	407.025
How would you best describe what would								
occur if you reached out for help to those in								
your learning and work environment?								
Somewhat/very supportive								
Neutral	0.240	4.450	2.027	2	0.363	1 202	0.101	10.001
Somewhat/very hostile	0.248	1.153 1.765	0.046 1.684	1 1	0.830 0.194	1.282 0.101	0.134 0.003	12.281 3.220
	-2.291	1.763	1.004	1	0.194	0.101	0.003	3.220
Do you feel that your residency program has								
enough strategies aimed at resident								
well-being in place?								
Yes								
Somewhat	-0.625		0.612	2	0.736	0.622	0.159	2.431
No	0.695	-0.474	0.466	1	0.495	0.535	0.137	3.737
	0.991		0.397	1	0.529			
Constant	-1.546	0.587	6.927	1	0.008	0.213		

Table A3. Logistic regression predicting the likelihood of Work Exhaustion and Interpersonal Disengagement.

Variables in Farration		0.5	TA7 1 1	16	C:~	Odd's	95% C.I. fo	or Odd's Ratio
Variables in Equation	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper
How many total hours do you work per week (clinical and non-clinical)								
>80	1.680	0.813	4.265	1	0.039	5.365	1.089	26.418
Quality of interaction with your attending physicians								
Satisfied			0.892	2	0.640			
Neither	0.862	0.917	0.885	1	0.347	2.369	0.393	14.288
Dissatisfied	0.547	1.294	0.179	1	0.672	1.728	0.137	21.807
Quality of your learning environment Satisfied								
Neither			0.524	2	0.770			
Dissatisfied	0.396	0.878	0.204	1	0.652	1.486	0.266	8.301
	1.035	1.489	.483	1	.487	2.815	.152	52.087
Workload and job demands								
Satisfied	-1.050		3.083	2	0.214			
Neither	0.012	0.677	2.405	1	0.121	0.350	0.093	1.319
Dissatisfied		0.961	0.000	1	0.990	1.012	0.154	6.663
Control and flexibility								
Satisfied			4.707	2	0.095			
Neither	-0.218	0.783	0.078	1	0.780	0.804	0.173	3.728
Dissatisfied	1.195	0.755	2.507	1	0.113	3.303	0.753	14.498
Efficiency and resources								
Satisfied	4.000	0.404	4.521	2	0.104	2 702	4.00=	10 10 7
Neither	1.309	0.621	4.445	1	0.035	3.702	1.097	12.497
Dissatisfied	1.014	0.783	1.674	1	0.196	2.756	0.593	12.799
Overall, I am satisfied with my career in medicine			0.440		0.4==			
Agree	1.260	0.604	3.462	2	0.177	2 555	0.020	10 500
Neither agree/disagree	1.268	0.684	3.437	1	0.064	3.555	0.930	13.589
Disagree	0.339	1.257	0.073	1	0.788	1.403	0.119	16.482
In general, I find my colleagues to be supportive			2.025	2	0.040			
Agree	-0.315	1 102	2.025	2	0.363	0.720	0.004	(242
Neither agree/disagree Disagree	-3.504	1.103 2.479	0.082 1.997	1 1	0.775 0.158	0.730 0.030	0.084 0.000	6.342 3.879
People treat each other with respect in my work group		2.47)	1.777	1	0.130	0.000	0.000	0.07
Agree			2.696	2	0.260			
Neither agree/disagree	-1.273	.924	1.895	1	0.169	0.280	0.046	1.714
Disagree	0.919	1.755	.274	1	0.600	2.507	0.080	78.116
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my work group Agree								
Neither agree/disagree			1.941	2	0.379			
Disagree	1.028	0.738	1.941	1	0.164	2.796	0.658	11.878
	0.822	1.292	0.405	1	0.524	2.276	0.181	28.637

Table A3. Cont.

Variables in Equation			S.E. Wald	df	. C!-	Odďs	95% C.I. for Odd's Ratio	
	В	S.E.			Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper
Do you feel that your residency program has enough strategies aimed at residents' well-being in place? Yes Somewhat No	1.308 -0.424	0.619 0.901	7.170 4.461 0.222	2 1 1	0.028 0.035 0.638	3.699 0.654	1.099 0.112	12.455 3.824
Constant	-2.216	0.581	14.529	1	< 0.001	0.109		

 Table A4. D: Logistic regression predicting the likelihood of Professional Fulfilment.

Variables in Equation	_	6 T			o.	Odd's	95% C.I. for Odd's Ratio		
	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper	
Age >30y	-3.131	1.184	6.993	1	0.008	0.044	0.004	0.445	
What residency program are you a part of? Surgical Specialties									
Family Medicine			0.83	4	0.934				
Internal Medicine	-17.238	6311.334	0	1	0.998	0	0		
Psychiatry	-18.007	6311.334	0	1	0.998	0	0		
Others	-16.657	6311.334	0	1	0.998	0	0		
	-17.901	6311.334	0	1	0.998	0	0		
Quality of interaction with your attending physicians Satisfied									
Neither			0	2	1				
Dissatisfied	15.368	6707.523	0	1	0.998	4725319	0		
2.55001.651.650	-21.125	6297.332	0	1	0.997	0	0		
Quality of your learning environment Satisfied			0	2	4				
Neither	17 (04	(00 7 00	0	2	1	4.42007.40	0		
Dissatisfied	17.604 34.854	6297.33 9127.446	0	1 1	0.998 0.997	44200648 1.37E+15	0 0		
Workload and job demands Satisfied Neither Dissatisfied	-0.944	1.171	0.668 0.65	2 1	0.716 0.42	0.389	0.039	3.86	
Dissatisfied	-0.749	1.999	0.14	1	0.708	0.473	0.009	23.796	
Control and flexibility Satisfied			4.525	2	0.104				
Neither	2.045	1.155	3.136	1	0.104	7.73	0.804	74.355	
Dissatisfied	2.52	1.449	3.024	1	0.077	12.431	0.726	212.848	
Efficiency and resources Satisfied			2.74	2	0.254				
Neither	1.249	1.06	1.389	1	0.239	3.488	0.437	27.849	
Dissatisfied	2.29	1.824	1.577	1	0.209	9.875	0.277	352.29	

Table A4. Cont.

Variables in Equation	_	0.7	*** * *		C: -	Odd's	95% C.I. for Odd's Ratio	
	B S.E. V	Wald df	df	Sig.	Ratio	Lower	Upper	
Overall, I am satisfied with my career in								
medicine								
Agree			2.066	2	0.356			
Neither agree/disagree	2.125	1.478	2.066	1	0.151	8.37	0.462	151. <i>7</i>
Disagree	15.841	6280.807	0	1	0.998	7582288	0	
Disputes or conflicts are resolved fairly in my								
work group								
Agree			0.700	•	0.450			
Neither agree/disagree	1.000	1.60	0.793	2	0.673	2.545	0.140	04.021
Disagree	1.266	1.62	0.611	1	0.435	3.547	0.148	84.921
	-2.082	5.298	0.154	1	0.694	0.125	0	4031.613
Do you feel that your residency program has								
enough strategies aimed at resident								
well-being in place?								
Yes								
Somewhat			2.04	2	0.361			
No	1.1	0.956	1.326	1	0.25	3.005	0.462	19.555
	5.334	5.426	0.967	1	0.326	207.271	0.005	8607571
Constant	17.621	6311.334	0	1	0.998	44,931,092		

References

- 1. Ames, S.E.; Cowan, J.B.; Kenter, K.; Emery, S.; Halsey, D. Burnout in Orthopaedic Surgeons: A Challenge for Leaders, Learners, and Colleagues: AOA Critical Issues. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **2017**, *99*, e78. [CrossRef]
- 2. Attenello, F.J.; Buchanan, I.A.; Wen, T.; Donoho, D.A.; McCartney, S.; Cen, S.Y.; Khalessi, A.A.; Cohen-Gadol, A.A.; Cheng, J.S.; Mack, W.J.; et al. Factors associated with burnout among US neurosurgery residents: A nationwide survey. *J. Neurosurg.* **2018**, 129, 1349–1363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Thomas, N.K. Resident Burnout. *JAMA* **2004**, 292, 2880–2889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. The, L. Medical professionalism and physician wellbeing. *Lancet* **2021**, 398, 817.
- 5. IsHak, W.W.; Lederer, S.; Mandili, C.; Nikravesh, R.; Seligman, L.; Vasa, M.; Ogunyemi, D.; Bernstein, C.A. Burnout during residency training: A literature review. *J. Grad. Med. Educ.* **2009**, *1*, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- 6. De Hert, S. Burnout in Healthcare Workers: Prevalence, Impact and Preventative Strategies. *Local Reg. Anesth.* **2020**, *13*, 171–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Maslach, C.; Jackson, S.E.; Leiter, M. *Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual*, 3rd ed.; Consulting Psychologists Press: Palo Alto, CA, USA, 1996; p. 9789994892242. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Christina-Maslach/publication/27781664 3_The_Maslach_Burnout_Inventory_Manual/links/5574dbd708aeb6d8c01946d7/The-Maslach-Burnout-Inventory-Manual. pdf (accessed on 11 September 2022).
- 8. Klimo, P., Jr.; DeCuypere, M.; Ragel, B.T.; McCartney, S.; Couldwell, W.T.; Boop, F.A. Career satisfaction and burnout among U.S. neurosurgeons: A feasibility and pilot study. *World Neurosurg.* **2013**, *80*, e59–e68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Shanafelt, T.D.; Boone, S.; Tan, L.; Dyrbye, L.N.; Sotile, W.; Satele, D.; West, C.P.; Sloan, J.; Oreskovich, M.R. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians relative to the general US population. *Arch Intern. Med.* **2012**, 172, 1377–1385. [CrossRef]
- 10. Yang, S.; Meredith, P.; Khan, A. Stress and burnout among healthcare professionals working in a mental health setting in Singapore. *Asian J. Psychiatry* **2015**, *15*, 15–20. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dyrbye, L.; Shanafelt, T. A narrative review on burnout experienced by medical students and residents. *Med. Educ.* **2016**, *50*, 132–149. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dyrbye, L.N.; West, C.P.; Satele, D.; Boone, S.; Tan, L.; Sloan, J.; Shanafelt, T.D. Burnout among U.S. medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general U.S. population. *Acad. Med.* **2014**, *89*, 443–451. [CrossRef]
- 13. Medscape National Physician Burnout & Suicide Report. 2020. Available online: https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2020 -lifestyle-burnout-6012460 (accessed on 10 September 2022).
- 14. Medscape Residents Lifestyle & Happiness Report. 2020. Available online: https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2020 -residents-lifestyle-happiness-6013110#2 (accessed on 10 September 2022).

- 15. Medscape Residents Lifestyle & Happiness Report. 2021. Available online: https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2021 -residents-lifestyle-happiness-6014240?_ga=2.17035641,1331399521,1662841552-1011102148,1656972621#2 (accessed on 10 September 2022).
- 16. Chang, J.; Ray, J.M.; Joseph, D.; Evans, L.V.; Joseph, M. Burnout and Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms Among Emergency Medicine Resident Physicians During the COVID-19 Pandemic. West J. Emerg. Med. 2022, 23, 251–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Canadian Physicians Report Declines in Mental Health, Professional Fulfillment. Available online: https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/980260 (accessed on 10 September 2022).
- 18. West, C.P.; Shanafelt, T.D.; Kolars, J.C. Quality of life, burnout, educational debt, and medical knowledge among internal medicine residents. *JAMA* **2011**, *306*, 952–960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Kwok, C. Depression, Stress, and Perceived Medical Errors in Singapore Psychiatry Residents. *Acad. Psychiatry* **2021**, *45*, 169–173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Dyrbye, L.N.; Massie, F.S.; Eacker, A.; Harper, W.; Power, D.; Durning, S.J.; Thomas, M.R.; Moutier, C.; Satele, D.; Sloan, J.; et al. Relationship between burnout and professional conduct and attitudes among US medical students. *JAMA* **2010**, *304*, 1173–1180. [CrossRef]
- 21. Romani, M.; Ashkar, K. Burnout among physicians. Libyan J. Med. 2014, 9, 23556. [CrossRef]
- 22. Levinson, W.; Roter, D. Physicians' psychosocial beliefs correlate with their patient communication skills. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **1995**, *10*, 375–379. [CrossRef]
- 23. Suchman, A.L.; Roter, D.; Green, M.; Lipkin, M., Jr. Physician satisfaction with primary care office visits. Collaborative Study Group of the American Academy on Physician and Patient. *Med. Care* 1993, 31, 1083–1092. [CrossRef]
- 24. Hojat, M.; Louis, D.Z.; Markham, F.W.; Wender, R.; Rabinowitz, C.; Gonnella, J.S. Physicians' empathy and clinical outcomes for diabetic patients. *Acad. Med.* **2011**, *86*, 359–364. [CrossRef]
- Shanafelt, T.D.; Bradley, K.A.; Wipf, J.E.; Back, A.L. Burnout and self-reported patient care in an internal medicine residency program. Ann. Intern. Med. 2002, 136, 358–367. [CrossRef]
- Becker, J.L.; Milad, M.; Klock, S. Burnout, depression, and career satisfaction: Cross-sectional study of obstetrics and gynecology residents. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 2006, 195, 1444–1449. [CrossRef]
- 27. Berardo, L.; Gerges, C.; Wright, J.; Stout, A.; Shah, H.; Papanastassiou, A.; Kimmell, K. Assessment of burnout prevention and wellness programs for US-based neurosurgical faculty and residents: A systematic review of the literature. *J. Neurosurg.* **2020**, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 28. Golub, J.S.; Weiss, P.S.; Ramesh, A.K.; Ossoff, R.H.; Johns, M.M. Burnout in residents of otolaryngology-head and neck surgery: A national inquiry into the health of residency training. *Acad. Med.* **2007**, *82*, 596–601. [CrossRef]
- 29. Tang, O.Y.; Dunn, K.A.; Yoon, J.S.; Ponce, F.A.; Sonntag, V.K.; Lawton, M.T. Neurosurgery Resident Wellness and Recovery from Burnout: A 39-Year Single-Institution Experience. *World Neurosurg.* **2020**, *138*, e72–e81. [CrossRef]
- 30. Statistics Canada. Canada at a Glance. *Minister of Industry: Statistics Canada; CANSIM table 051-0005. 27 March 2018.* Available online: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/12-581-x/12-581-x2018000-eng.pdf?st=BAoZQwhW (accessed on 12 September 2022).
- 31. Kim, E.; Mallett, R.; Hrabok, M.; Yang, Y.A.; Moreau, C.; Nwachukwu, I.; Kravtsenyuk, M.; Abba-Aji, A.; Li, D.; I O Agyapong, V. Reducing Burnout and Promoting Health and Wellness Among Medical Students, Residents, and Physicians in Alberta: Protocol for a Cross-Sectional Questionnaire Study. *JMIR Res. Protoc.* 2020, *9*, e16285. [CrossRef]
- 32. CPSA. Registeration Statistics. College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta; 2021–2017. Available online: https://cpsa.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Registration-Statistics-2021-2017,pdf (accessed on 13 September 2021).
- 33. Overview of Utility of Mini Z. Available online: https://www.vumc.org/health-wellness/sites/vumc.org.health-wellness/files/public_files/PDFs/wlc/wlcMiniZ.pdf (accessed on 15 February 2023).
- 34. Trockel, M.; Bohman, B.; Lesure, E.; Hamidi, M.S.; Welle, D.; Roberts, L.; Shanafelt, T. A Brief Instrument to Assess Both Burnout and Professional Fulfillment in Physicians: Reliability and Validity, Including Correlation with Self-Reported Medical Errors, in a Sample of Resident and Practicing Physicians. *Acad. Psychiatry* 2018, 42, 11–24. [CrossRef]
- 35. Canadian Medical Association. CMA National Physician Health Survey: A National Snapshot October. 2018. Available online: https://www.cma.ca/sites/default/files/2018-11/nph-survey-e.pdf (accessed on 12 September 2022).
- 36. Linzer, M.; Smith, C.D.; Hingle, S.; Poplau, S.; Miranda, R.; Freese, R.; Palamara, K. Evaluation of Work Satisfaction, Stress, and Burnout Among US Internal Medicine Physicians and Trainees. *JAMA Netw. Open* **2020**, *3*, e2018758. [CrossRef]
- 37. Professional Fulfillment & Burnout (PFI). McGill, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences. Available online: https://www.mcgill.ca/thewelloffice/pgme/wellness-support/self-screening-tools/professional-fulfillment-burnout-pfi (accessed on 16 September 2022).
- 38. National Academy of Medicine. Valid and Reliable Survey Instruments to Measure Burnout, Well-Being, and Other Work-Related Dimensions. Available online: https://nam.edu/valid-reliable-survey-instruments-measure-burnout-well-work-related-dimensions/ (accessed on 20 November 2022).
- 39. Complete Dissertaion by Statistics Solutions. Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI). Available online: https://www.statisticssolutions.com/free-resources/directory-of-survey-instruments/maslach-burnout-inventory-mbi/#:~:text=Reliability%20and%20 Validity&text=Cronbach%20alpha%20ratings%20of%200.90,used%20for%20test%2Dretest%20reliability (accessed on 1 February 2023).

- 40. Researchers to Study Severity & Impacts of Burnout on Alberta Physicians, Residents and Medical Students. University of Alberta. 2019. Available online: https://www.ualberta.ca/psychiatry/news-and-events/news/2019/march/physician-burnout.html (accessed on 13 September 2022).
- 41. IBM Corp. Released 2019, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0.; IBM Corp: Armonk, NY, USA, 2020.
- 42. Baldwin, D.C., Jr.; Daugherty, S.R.; Tsai, R.; Scotti, M.J. A national survey of residents' self-reported work hours: Thinking beyond specialty. *Acad. Med.* **2003**, *78*, 1154–1163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Bui, A.H.; Ripp, J.A.; Oh, K.Y.; Basloe, F.; Hassan, D.; Akhtar, S.; Leitman, I.M. The impact of program-driven wellness initiatives on burnout and depression among surgical trainees. *Am. J. Surg.* **2020**, *219*, 316–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Larson, D.P.; Carlson, M.L.; Lohse, C.M.; O'Brien, E.K.; Kircher, M.L.; Gurgel, R.K.; Hunter, J.B.; Micco, A.G.; Nogan, S.J.; O'Connell, B.P.; et al. Prevalence of and Associations With Distress and Professional Burnout Among Otolaryngologists: Part I, Trainees. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 2021, 164, 1019–1029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Arora, M.; Diwan, A.D.; Harris, I.A. Burnout in orthopaedic surgeons: A review. ANZ J. Surg. 2013, 83, 512–515. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lawlor, S.K.; Low, C.M.; Carlson, M.L.; Rajasekaran, K.; Choby, G. Burnout and well-being in otolaryngology trainees: A systematic review. World J. Otorhinolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 2022, 8, 118–125. [CrossRef]
- Govardhan, L.M.; Pinelli, V.; Schnatz, P. Burnout, depression and job satisfaction in obstetrics and gynecology residents. Conn. Med. 2012, 76, 389–395.
- 48. Cambridge Dictionary. Available online: https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/work-life-balance (accessed on 16 September 2022).
- 49. Kim, T.G. A Study on Work Intensity, Work-Life Balance, and Burnout among Korean Neurosurgeons after the Enactment of the Special Act on Korean Medical Residents. *J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc.* **2021**, *64*, *644*–*664*. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nwachukwu, I.; Nkire, N.; Shalaby, R.; Hrabok, M.; Vuong, W.; Gusnowski, A.; Surood, S.; Urichuk, L.; Greenshaw, A.J.; Agyapong, V.I.O. COVID-19 Pandemic: Age-Related Differences in Measures of Stress, Anxiety and Depression in Canada. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 6366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. González-Sanguino, C.; Ausín, B.; Castellanos, M.Á.; Saiz, J.; López-Gómez, A.; Ugidos, C.; Muñoz, M. Mental health consequences during the initial stage of the 2020 Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Spain. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2020**, *87*, 172–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Su, T.-P.; Lien, T.-C.; Yang, C.-Y.; Su, Y.L.; Wang, J.-H.; Tsai, S.-L.; Yin, J.-C. Prevalence of psychiatric morbidity and psychological adaptation of the nurses in a structured SARS caring unit during outbreak: A prospective and periodic assessment study in Taiwan. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 2007, 41, 119–130. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ozamiz-Etxebarria, N.; Dosil-Santamaria, M.; Picaza-Gorrochategui, M.; Idoiaga-Mondragon, N. Stress, anxiety, and depression levels in the initial stage of the COVID-19 outbreak in a population sample in the northern Spain. *Cad. Saude Publica* **2020**, *36*, e00054020. [CrossRef]
- 54. Maslach, C.; Leiter, M.P. Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry* **2016**, *15*, 103–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. 2018 National Resident Survey. Resident Doctors of Canada. 2018. Available online: https://residentdoctors.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/National-Resident-Survey-2018-R8.pdf (accessed on 13 September 2022).
- 56. Williams, D.; Tricomi, G.; Gupta, J.; Janise, A. Efficacy of burnout interventions in the medical education pipeline. *Acad. Psychiatry* **2015**, *39*, 47–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.