Driving biomass breakdown through engineered cellulosomes

Sean P Gilmore, John K Henske, and Michelle A O'Malley*

Department of Chemical Engineering; University of California; Santa Barbara, CA USA

Keywords: anaerobic fungi, biofuels, cellulase, cellulosome, lignocellulose

Abbreviations: CBM, Carbohydrate Binding Module; ELISA, Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay; GH, Glycoside Hydrolase; GST, Glutathione S-Transferase; SLH, Surface Layer Homology.

© Sean P Gilmore, John K Henske, and Michelle A O'Malley

*Correspondence to: Michelle A O'Malley; Email: momalley@engineering.ucsb.edu

Submitted: 04/30/2015

Revised: 06/02/2015

Accepted: 06/03/2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2015.1060379

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author (s) have been asserted.

Commentary to: Haitjema CH, Solomon KV, Henske JK, Theodorou MK, O'Malley MA. Anaerobic gut fungi: Advances in isolation, culture, and cellulolytic enzyme discovery for biofuel production. Biotechnology & Bioengineering 2014; 111:1471-82.

Extraction of sugar is the rate-limiting step in converting unpretreated biomass into value-added products through microbial fermentation. Both anaerobic fungi and anaerobic bacteria have evolved to produce large multi-cellulase complexes referred to as cellulosomes, which are powerful machines for biomass deconstruction. Characterization of bacterial cellulosomes has inspired synthetic "designer" cellulosomes, consisting of parts discovered from the native system that have proven useful for cellulose depolymerization. By contrast, the multicellulase complexes produced by anaerobic fungi are much more poorly understood, and to date their composition, architecture, and enzyme tethering mechanism remain unknown and heavily debated. Here, we compare current knowledge pertaining to the cellulosomes produced by both bacteria and fungi, including their application to synthetic enzyme-tethered systems for tunneled biocatalysis. We highlight gaps in knowledge and opportunities for discovery, especially pertaining to the potential of fungal cellulosome-inspired systems.

Introduction

Plant biomass is an abundant source of cellulose and hemicellulose, which are sugar-rich polymers that can be depolymerized and fermented into value-added chemicals.¹ Many bioprocessing strategies employ metabolically engineered microbes like Saccharomyces cerevisiae or Escherichia coli to convert biomass hydrolysates into target products.² However, sugar extraction from biomass relies on energy intensive chemical pretreatment to remove lignin and other recalcitrant biopolymers from substrates prior to

hydrolysis.^{3,4} These steps are often performed in concert with expensive enzyme treatments,⁵ which limits the economic feasibility of this approach. Therefore, there is a critical need to develop enzyme systems that can act on unpretreated biomass, especially those that can be produced at high titers by fermentation capable microbes.

A wide variety of enzymes with complementary function are required to degrade plant biomass (Figure 1). While natural cellulolytic bacteria and aerobic fungi are a rich source of such enzymes, these microbes secrete a limited subset of enzyme types that cannot fully depolymerize crude plant material.⁶ To identify enzymes that degrade crude lignin-rich biomass one must look to the microbes that have evolved to degrade it. For example, large herbivores rely on a microbial consortia composed of anaerobic gut microbes (e.g. bacteria and fungi) to convert grasses and hay into sugar for the animal. Together, these anaerobic microbes secrete powerful enzymes capable of breaking down crude, unpretreated biomass.

The high efficiency biomass breakdown associated with anaerobes stems from their ability to synthesize large multi-cellulase complexes called cellulosomes. These complexes link together all the diverse enzymes necessary for cellulose degradation through a "plug-and-socket" modular interaction via protein domains termed dockerin and cohesin. Logically, these tethered enzyme systems are suspected to increase degradation efficiency by concentrating active sites of the enzymes and targeting them toward the plant material, leading to substrate tunneling of the biomass toward free sugars. The well-studied bacterial cellulosome has demonstrated the power of these modular



Figure 1. Enzymes required for hydrolysis scale with the complexity of the biomass substrate. A wide variety of enzymes are required to depolymerize the components of crude, unpretreated biomass. For complete conversion of cellulose into glucose, a cocktail of β -glucosidases, endoglucanases, and exoglucanases are required. Hydrolysis of hemicellulose requires enzymes with additional functionality, including xylanases and mannanases. To access these sugar polymers from crude biomass, it is often necessary to solubilize lignin, which is crosslinked within cellulosic and hemicellulosic fibers. For this process, accessory enzymes such as polysaccharide deacetylases, peroxidases, and esterases are required. Bacterial cellulosomes typically contain enzymes required only for cellulose degradation while fungal enzyme complexes contain a richer diversity of enzymes to enable degradation of crude plant material.⁷⁻⁹

enzyme complexes for biomass degradation. By comparison much less is known about fungal cellulosomes, yet early research suggests that they have functionalities equal to or greater than bacterial cellulosomes and can also be applied for bioprocessing applications. For example, anaerobic fungi produce a greater diversity of enzymes compared to anaerobic bacteria, including hemicellulases, such as xylanase and mannanase,⁸ other accessory enzymes responsible for lignin reorganization, such as polysaccharide deacetylases and targeted esterases.⁹

Bacterial Cellulosomes – From Native Parts to Synthetic Designer Cellulosomes

Bacterial cellulosomes were first described in 1983 as "a discrete, cellulosebinding, multi-enzyme complex for the degradation of cellulosic substrates."¹⁰ They have since been found in many different bacterial species, primarily in the *Chlostridium*,¹⁰ *Ruminococcus*,¹¹ *Acetivibrio*,¹² and *Bacteroides*¹³ genera. Typically, these complexes in bacteria are built upon a large, non-catalytic protein called a



Figure 2. Synergistic Action of Cellulases within a Cellulosome. Cellulases assemble in close proximity on a noncatalytic protein called a scaffoldin. The endoglucanse reduces the degree of crystallinity of the cellulose substrate and liberates 2 cellulose chain ends. The exoglucanse processes along a free chain, freeing cellobiose with each cleavage. This cellobiose is then transferred to a nearby β -Glucosidase, which hydrolyzes it into 2 glucose monomers.

scaffoldin.14 The size of bacterial scaffoldin proteins can vary widely, generally from 50kDa to 250kDa¹⁵; this size variation is related to the number of repeats of cohesin domains included in a particular scaffoldin. The cohesin domains associate strongly with dockerin domains on the individual cellulases,¹⁶ resulting in full complexes that range in size from 1.5 to 6MDa,14 and in bacteria the dockerincohesin interaction is highly species specific.¹⁷ Additionally, the scaffoldin very frequently contains one or more carbohydrate binding modules (CBM) to target the complex to its substrate.¹⁰ Finally, the entire cellulosome complex associates with the cell surface through anchoring domains called Surface Layer Homology (SLH) domains.¹⁸ For further information on native bacterial cellulosomes there are several in depth reviews such as those by Bayer et al.¹⁴ and Doi et al.¹⁵

Following detailed studies on bacterial cellulose-degrading complexes, the concept of "designer cellulosomes" was first introduced by Bayer in 1994.¹⁹ Once cellulosomes were recognized to consist of modular parts, Bayer and colleagues proposed utilizing the native scaffoldin or cohesins with heterologous dockerin-fused enzymes to produce artificial cellulosomes, which would amplify cellulolytic capabilities for normally non-cellulolytic systems.¹⁹ Since then, many different reports have characterized "mini cellulosomes" inspired by bacterial cellulosomes.²⁰⁻²⁴ These studies have demonstrated that enzyme tethered complexes are much better than free enzymes at degrading lowaccessibility, highly crystalline, insoluble substrates when produced in recombinant systems.²⁰⁻²⁴ However, very little improvement in activity is observed when complexes act upon well mixed, soluble substrates.²⁰⁻²⁴

Taken together, these observations suggest that the efficiency of cellulase complexes stems from CBM-facilitated enzyme targeting, as well as the relative organization of the enzymes within the complex. As shown in Figure 2, cellulosome complexes are targeted to biomass substrate by the CBM. Once positioned, the cellulases act as a disassembly line to synergistically tunnel reactants and products toward sugars. In particular, endoglucanases reduce the crystallinity of the substrate and free up free chain ends; these ends are then degraded by nearby processive exoglucanases, which release cellobiose as they move along the chain. Tethered *B*-glucosidases subsequently hydrolyze cellobiose to glucose. Such a model is supported by several reports, which noticed an increased rate of conversion of cellobiose to glucose²⁴ and xylobiose to xylose²² when a β -glucosidase or β-xylosidase was included in synthetic mini cellulosomes. These results suggest that a mechanism similar to substrate channeling occurs, where the B-glucosidase acts on cellobiose as it is liberated from cellulose by a nearby exoglucanase. Indeed, other reports have demonstrated substrate channeling by fusing enzymes from a metabolic pathway to dockerins, and linking them together on a scaffoldin truncation,²⁵ further demonstrating the broad applicability of the cellulosome system to any multi-enzyme biocatalytic process beyond those associated with cellulose degradation.

Fungal Cellulosomes – Undercharacterized and Heavily Debated Complexes

Although large multi-enzyme complexes have been documented in gut fungi since 1992,²⁶ they are woefully understudied compared to their bacterial counterparts. While they are believed to assemble through a modular cohesin-dockerin type interaction,²⁷ the identity of the fungal cohesin domain, or a scaffoldin equivalent, remains elusive and is heavily debated. In fungi, dockerin domains are fused to catalytic enzymes, but these dockerins exist in tandem repeats at either the N or C-terminal of cellulases,²⁸ compared to single copies often restricted to the Cterminal of cellulases in bacterial cellulosomes.²⁴ The specificity of the dockerinmediated interaction also appears to differ greatly from that found in anaerobic bacteria. Nagy et al.²⁹ demonstrated through an ELISA that dockerin from one species can interact with cellulosomes from other species, suggesting that the dockerin-cohesin interaction is not species-specific as it is in anaerobic bacteria. Additionally, several

reports estimate fungal cellulosomes to be greater than 1 MDa in size,^{30,31} although they have also been reported to be as small as 334kDa,³¹ and as large as 80MDa.⁸ This is similar to the bacterial system, where the size varies with the number of cohesins and particular type of enzyme associated.

Over 20 years ago, the first reported fungal cohesin was identified,²⁷ yet there has not been convincing evidence since to substantiate this finding. At least 4 other reports have challenged this original finding, each proposing other proteins as fungal cohesins.^{28,29,32,33} By probing denatured fungal cellulosomes with an epitope-tagged recombinant dockerin, several studies have sought to find putative cohesin(s) through a Western Blotting approach.^{28,29, 32,33} A short summary of the findings of these papers is detailed in Table 1. More recent reports have coupled this effort with Mass Spectrometry to identify the sequence of the interacting cellulosome-associated protein (represented by parentheses in the table).^{29,33} Interestingly, these proteins were all classified as catalytic proteins by sequence homology.^{29,33} In this regard, a catalytic scaffold would hold a distinct advantage over the bacterial scaffolding system because it would eliminate the need for the large, noncatalytic scaffold found in bacterial systems. However, as documented in Table 1, the protein identified varied with each study, therefore casting doubt on the results found in all of the studies. Furthermore, the method utilized must be called into question, since the cellulosome protein is denatured during SDS-PAGE before being transferred to the blot. Thus, such a technique is unlikely to fully replicate the native protein-protein interactions within fungal cellulosomes.

It was suggested by Nagy et al²⁹ that the fungal cellulosome interaction might be mediated by dockerin binding to posttranslational modifications on the cohesin, which would not necessarily require a folded protein cohesin motif. They supported this claim with evidence that the cellulosomal proteins might be glycosylated, although they could not identify the exact nature of the glycans. However, this claim contradicts the findings of Raghothama,³⁴ who identified several residues important for binding through an ELISA with mutant recombinant dockerins against native cellulosomes. These residues were aromatic amino acids (Tryptophan and Tyrosine), with flat edges of the aromatics presented as the likely interacting regions.³⁴ Such regions are more indicative of protein-protein interaction than protein-glycan or other post-translational modifications.

Although much is still unknown regarding fungal cellulosome composition and structure, there are some preliminary findings from fungal cellulosomes that suggest that they may have distinct advantages over bacterial cellulosomes. The major degradation product of fungal cellulosomes is glucose, compared to cellobiose from bacterial cellulosomes.⁸ This is an attractive feature, since it removes the need to supplement costly B-glucosidases to cellulosomes. Two distinct classes of B-glucosidases have been identified in anaerobic fungi: freely diffusive (those without a dockerin domain)³⁵ and cellulosome associated (with a dockerin domain).³⁶ Finally, the enzymes identified to date from fungal cellulosomes comprise a long list with a diverse array of substrate specificities. A recent review by Haitjema et al.³⁷ contains a complete list of glycoside hydrolase families and the species from which they were identified. There are close to 30 separate families represented across the various genera, which again reflects the large number of enzymes required to fully hydrolyze lignocellulose as demonstrated in Figure 1, indicating that fungal cellulosomes likely harbor complementary functions to their bacterial counterparts.

Opportunities for New Discoveries and Synthetic Fungal Complexes

While much has been learned about anaerobic fungi since they were first reported by Orpin in 1975,³⁸ there is still a great deal of information that remains elusive, particularly regarding the cellulose-degrading complexes produced by the fungi and the sequence information encoding these enzymes. With the advent

Table	1. Proteins	Speculated	as Cohesins	within Fungal	Cellulosomes
-------	-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

Organism	Putative Cohesin Protein	Method of Detection [*]	Reference (Year)
Neocallimastix patriciarum J11	79kDa Protein (GH48)	Dockerin-GST Western Blot	Wang et al. ³³ (2014)
Piromyces equi	100kDa Protein (GH3)	Dockerin-GST Western Blot	Nagy et al. ²⁹ (2007)
Orpinomyces sp. strain PC-2	64kDa Protein 66kDa Protein 95kDa Protein 130kDa Protein	CelC-Dockerin Western Blot	Steenbakkers et al. ²⁸ (2001)
Piromyces equi	97kDa Protein	Dockerin-GST Western Blot	Fillingham et al. ³² (1999)
Piromyces equi; Neocallimastix patriciarum	97kDa Protein 116kDa Protein	Dockerin-GST Western Blot	Fanutti et al. ²⁷ (1995)

^{*}Dockerin-GST Western Blot signifies a Western blot performed with a recombinant dockerin expressed as a fusion to Glutathione S-Transferase (GST) as the primary probe, and an Anti-GST antibody as the secondary probe. CelC-Dockerin Western Blot signifies a His-tagged Glycoside Hydrolase 6 cellulase (CelC) with its native dockerin domains was used as the primary probe, with an Anti-His antibody as the secondary probe.

of powerful techniques, such as Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) and Mass Spectrometry, many of the mysteries regarding the fungal cellulosome should now begin to unfold. The most important information precluding our understanding of fungal cellulosomes is the identity of the cohesin and scaffoldin protein, including the conservation of these domains across fungal genera. Once known, it will undoubtedly become easier to determine the size, architecture, and potential diversity of anaerobic fungal cellulosomes. Finally, this knowledge can be applied to creating synthetic systems using the fungal cohesins and dockerins to tether recombinant enzymes, which likely have desirable attributes distinct from those inspired by anaerobic bacteria as described above.

One exciting hypothesis to explain the wide range of size and compositional heterogeneity in fungal cellulosomes is that smaller cellulosomes associate into larger polycellulosomes, as has been demonstrated in some anaerobic bacteria.⁸ Therefore, beyond just finding the identity of the cohesin domain, it is important to determine the architecture of the cellulosome and the possible mechanism for formation of polycellulosomes. Similarly, it is important to determine whether certain cellulases are positioned specifically within the complex, and what factors drive this specificity - for instance, how the complex evolves as a function of its lifetime. Such information could inform the development of smart "tunable" cellulosomes that adjust their composition and

enzyme stoichiometry as a function of their substrate.

While the biological reason for tandem dockerin motifs in fungi is still unknown, it might be the key mechanism controlling spatial positioning of enzymes within native fungal complexes, which can be exploited to build synthetic complexes. There has been evidence to suggest that the binding affinity within fungal cellulosomes relates to the number of dockerin domains present in docked enzymes.²⁹ However, it is also possible that the repeats lead to greater specificity within a targeted location in the scaffold, which can be exploited in fungal cellulosomeinspired complexes to guide dockerinfused enzymes to a targeted position. Given the sequence divergence of fungal dockerin domains compared to those from bacteria, fungal cohesin-dockerin assembly is also likely governed by entirely different interactions, which will undoubtedly be useful for numerous synthetic biology applications that direct tailored protein-protein interactions.

In conclusion, there is still much to learn about the cellulase complexes produced by anaerobic fungi. Compared to their bacterial relatives, fungal cellulosomes are capable of completely converting crude lignocellulosic biomass to its component sugars, due to the wide range of enzymes encoded within the complex. At the very least, they are an attractive resource for discovering new biomass degrading enzymes, novel modular protein-protein interaction domains, and potentially new enzyme superstructures from nature. Beyond this, their characterization could soon reveal a novel scaffolding system, which has applications in creating synthetic fungal enzyme complexes, as well as inspired complexes for any set of tandem biocatalytic processes.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Funding

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding support from the Office of Science (BER), US. Department of Energy (DE-SC0010352), the US. Department of Agriculture (Award 2011–67017– 20459), and the Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies through grant W911NF-09–0001 from the US. Army Research Office. The content of the information does not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the Government, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

References

- Sanderson K. A field in ferment. Nature 2006; 444:673-6; PMID:17151628; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/444673a
- Saxena RC, Adhikari DK, Goyal HB. Biomass-based energy fuel through biochemical routes: A review. Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev 2009; 13:167-78; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.07.011
- Linghong Z, Chunbao X, Champagne P. Overview of recent advances in thermo-chemical conversion of biomass. Energy Conversion Management 2010; 51:969-82; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2009.11. 038

- Agbor VB, Cicek N, Sparling R, Berlin A, Levin DB. Biomass pretreatment: Fundamentals toward application. Biotechnol Adv 2011; 29:675-85; PMID:21624451; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biotechadv.2011.05.005
- Alvira P, Tomas-Pejo E, Ballesteros M, Negro MJ. Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: A review. Bioresour Technol 2010; 101:4851-61; PMID:20042329; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech. 2009.11.093
- Koeck DE, Pechtl A, Zverlov VV, Schwarz WH. Genomics of cellulolytic bacteria. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2014; 29:171-83; PMID:25104562; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.copbio.2014.07.002
- Flint HJ. The rumen microbial ecosystem some recent developments. Trends Microbiol 1997; 5:483-8; PMID:9447660; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01159-1
- Ljungdahl LG. The cellulase/hemicellulase system of the anaerobic fungus Orpinomyces PC-2 and aspects of its applied use. In: Wiegel J, Maier RJ, Adams MWW, eds. Incredible Anaerobes: from Physiology to Genomics to Fuels, 2008:308-21.
- Youssef NH, Couger MB, Struchtemeyer CG, Liggenstoffer AS, Prade RA, Najar FZ, Atiyeh HK, Wilkins MR, Elshahed MS. The Genome of the anaerobic fungus orpinomyces sp strain C1A reveals the unique evolutionary history of a remarkable plant biomass degrader. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013; 79:4620-34; PMID:23709508; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM. 00821-13
- Lamed R, Setter E, Kenig R, Bayer EA. The cellulosome - a discrete cell-surface organelle of clostridiumthermocellum which exhibits separate antigenic, cellulose-binding and various cellulolytic activities. Biotechnol Bioeng 1983; 13:163-81.
- Kirby J, Martin JC, Daniel AS, Flint HJ. Dockerin-like sequences in cellulases and xylanases from the rumen cellulolytic bacterium Ruminococcus flavefaciens. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1997; 149:213-9; PMID:91411662; http://dx.doi.org/10.11111/j.1574-6968.1997.tb10331.x
- Xu Q, Gao WC, Ding SY, Kenig R, Shoham Y, Bayer EA, Lamed R. The cellulosome system of Acetivibrzo cellulolyticus includes a novel type of adaptor protein and a cell surface anchoring protein. J Bacteriol 2003; 185:4548-57; PMID:12867464; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/JB.185.15.4548-4557.2003
- Lamed R, Morag E, Moryosef O, Bayer EA. Cellulosome-like entities in bacteroides-cellulosolvens. Curr Microbiol 1991; 22:27-33; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ BF02106209
- Bayer EA, Belaich JP, Shoham Y, Lamed R. The cellulosomes: Multienzyme machines for degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides. Annu Rev Microbiol 2004; 58:521-54; PMID:15487947; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.091022
- Doi RH, Kosugi A. Cellulosomes: Plant-cell-walldegrading enzyme complexes. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004; 2:541-51; PMID:15197390; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nrmicro925
- Stahl SW, Nash MA, Fried DB, Slutzki M, Barak Y, Bayer EA, Gaub HE. Single-molecule dissection of the high-affinity cohesin-dockerin complex. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 2012; 109:20431-6; PMID:23188794; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211929109

- Pages S, Belaich A, Belaich JP, Morag E, Lamed R, Shoham Y, Bayer EA. Species-specificity of the cohesin-dockerin interaction between Clostridium thermocellum and Clostridium cellulolyticum: Prediction of specificity determinants of the dockerin domain. Proteins 1997; 29: 517-27; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0134 (199712)29:49%3c517::AID-PROT11%3c5.0.CO;2-P
- Lemaire M, Ohayon H, Gounon P, Fujino T, Beguin P. OLPB, A new outer layer protein of clostridiumthermocellum, and binding of its s-layer-like domains to components of the cell-envelope. J Bacteriol 1995; 177:2451-9; PMID:7730277
- Bayer EA, Morag E, Lamed R. The cellulosome a treasure-trove for biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol 1994; 12:379-86; PMID:7765191; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0167-7799(94)90039-6
- Fierobe HP, Bayer EA, Tardif C, Czjzek M, Mechaly A, Belaich A, , Lamed R, Shoham Y, Bélaïch JP. Degradation of cellulose substrates by cellulosome chimeras - Substrate targeting versus proximity of enzyme components. J Biol Chem 2002; 277:49621-30; PMID:12397074; http://dx. doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M207672200
- You C, Zhang XZ, Zhang YHP. Mini-scaffoldin enhanced mini-cellulosome hydrolysis performance on low-accessibility cellulose (Avicel) more than on highaccessibility amorphous cellulose. Biochem Engineer J 2012; 63:57-65; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bej. 2012.01.011
- Morais S, Barak Y, Hadar Y, Wilson DB, Shoham Y, Lamed R, Bayer EA. Assembly of xylanases into designer cellulosomes promotes efficient hydrolysis of the xylan component of a natural recalcitrant cellulosic substrate. MBio 2011; 2: e00233-11; PMID:22086489; http://dx. doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00233-11
- Tsai S-L, DaSilva NA, Chen W. Functional display of complex cellulosomes on the yeast surface via adaptive assembly. Acs Synth Biol 2013; 2:14-21; PMID:23656322; http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/sb300047u
- 24. Gefen G, Anbar M, Morag E, Lamed R, Bayer EA. Enhanced cellulose degradation by targeted integration of a cohesin-fused β-glucosidase into the Clostridium thermocellum cellulosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012; 109:10298-303; PMID:22689961; http://dx. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202747109
- You Č, Myung S, Zhang YHP. Facilitated substrate channeling in a self-assembled trifunctional enzyme complex. Angew Chemie-Int Ed Engl 2012; 51:8787-90; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201202441
- Wilson CA, Wood TM. The anaerobic fungus neocallimastix-frontalis - isolation and properties of a cellulosome-type enzyme fraction with the capacity to solubilize hydrogen-bond-ordered cellulose. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 1992; 37:125-9; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/BF00174216
- Fanutti C, Ponyi T, Black GW, Hazlewood GP, Gilbert HJ. The conserved noncatalytic 40-residue sequence in cellulases and hemicellulases from anacrobic fungi functions as a protein docking domain. J Biol Chem 1995; 270:29314-22; PMID:7493964; http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.49.29314
- Steenbakkers PJM, Li XL, Ximenes EA, Arts JG, Chen HZ, Ljungdahl LG, Op Den Camp HJ. Noncatalytic docking domains of cellulosomes of anaerobic fungi. J

Bacteriol 2001; 183:5325-33; PMID:11514516; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.18.5325-5333.2001

- Nagy T, Tunnicliffe RB, Higgins LD, Walters C, Gilbert HJ, Williamson MP. Characterization of a double dockerin from the cellulosome of the anaerobic fungus Piromyces equi. J Mol Biol 2007; 373:612-22; PMID:17869267; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb. 2007.08.007
- Dijkerman R, Vervuren MBF, DenCamp H, vander-Drift C. Adsorption characteristics of cellulolytic enzymes from the anaerobic fungus Piromyces sp strain E2 on microcrystalline cellulose. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996; 62:20-5; PMID:8572696
- 31. Ali BRS, Zhou LQ, Graves FM, Freedman RB, Black GW, Gilbert HJ, Hazelwood GP. Cellulases and hemicellulases of the anaerobic fungus piromyces constitute a multiprotein cellulose-binding complex and are encoded by multigene families. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1995; 125:15-21; PMID:7867916; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1574.6968.1995.tb07329.x
- Fillingham IJ, Kroon PA, Williamson G, Gilbert HJ, Hazlewood GP. A modular cinnamoyl ester hydrolase from the anaerobic fungus Piromyces equi acts synergistically with xylanase and is part of a multiprotein cellulose-binding cellulase-hemicellulase complex. Biochem J 1999; 343:215-24; PMID:10493932; http://dx.doi. org/10.1042/0264-6021:3430215
- Wang H-C, Chen Y-C, Hseu R-S. Purification and characterization of a cellulolytic multienzyme complex produced by Neocallimastix patriciarum J11. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2014; 451:190-5; PMID:25073115; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc. 2014.07.088
- 34. Raghothama S, Eberhardt RY, Simpson P, Wigelsworth D, White P, Hazlewood GP, Nagy T, Gilbert HJ, Williamson MP. Characterization of a cellulosome dockerin domain from the anaerobic fungus Piromyces equi. Nat Struct Biol 2001; 8:775-8; PMID:11524680; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsb0901-775
- 35. Li XL, Ljungdahl LG, Ximenes EA, Chen HH, Felix CR, Cotta MA, Dien BS. Properties of a recombinant β-glucosidase from polycentric anaerobic fungus Orpinomyces PC-2 and its application for cellulose hydrolysis. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2004; 113:233-50; PMID:15054209; http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/ABAB: 113:1-3:233
- 36. Steenbakkers PJM, Harhangi HR, Bosscher MW, van der Hooft MMC, Keltjens JT, van der Drift C, Vogels GD, op den Camp HJ β-glucosidase in cellulosome of the anaerobic fungus Piromyces sp strain E2 is a family 3 glycoside hydrolase. Biochem J 2003; 370:963-70; PMID:12485115; http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/ BJ20021767
- Haitjema CH, Solomon KV, Henske JK, Theodorou MK, O'Malley MA. Anaerobic gut fungi: Advances in isolation, culture, and cellulolytic enzyme discovery for biofuel production. Biotechnol Bioeng 2014; 111:1471-82; PMID:24788404; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/bit.25264
- Orpin CG. Studies on rumen flagellate neocallimastixfrontalis. J Gen Microbiol 1975; 91:249-62; PMID:1462; http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221287-91-2-249