

Reverse Electron Transfer by Respiratory Complex I Catalyzed in a Modular Proteoliposome System

John J. Wright, Olivier Biner, Injae Chung, Nils Burger, Hannah R. Bridges, and Judy Hirst*



ABSTRACT: Respiratory complex I is an essential metabolic enzyme that uses the energy from NADH oxidation and ubiquinone reduction to translocate protons across an energy transducing membrane and generate the proton motive force for ATP synthesis. Under specific conditions, complex I can also catalyze the reverse reaction, Δp -linked oxidation of ubiquinol to reduce NAD⁺ (or O₂), known as reverse electron transfer (RET). Oxidative damage by reactive oxygen species generated during RET underpins ischemia reperfusion injury, but as RET relies on several converging metabolic pathways, little is known about its



mechanism or regulation. Here, we demonstrate Δp -linked RET through complex I in a synthetic proteoliposome system for the first time, enabling complete kinetic characterization of RET catalysis. We further establish the capability of our system by showing how RET in the mammalian enzyme is regulated by the active-deactive transition and by evaluating RET by complex I from several species in which direct assessment has not been otherwise possible. We thus provide new insights into the reversibility of complex I catalysis, an important but little understood mechanistic and physiological feature.

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) is a redox-coupled proton pump central to oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria and aerobic bacteria.^{1,2} By oxidizing NADH and reducing ubiquinone, it regenerates NAD⁺ to sustain crucial metabolic processes such as the tricarboxylic acid cycle and β -oxidation and provides electrons to the downstream complexes of the electron transport chain. The energy from the redox reaction is harnessed to translocate four protons across the inner mitochondrial membrane, or prokaryotic cytoplasmic membrane, contributing to the proton motive force (Δp) required for ATP synthesis and transport processes.³

As a major source of reactive oxygen species (ROS), complex I contributes significantly to cellular oxidative stress. In combination with its central metabolic role, this makes complex I dysfunctions one of the most frequent causes of mitochondrial disease.^{4,5} Furthermore, ROS production by complex I during "reverse electron transfer" (RET, Δp -driven ubiquinol:NAD⁺ oxidoreduction) has been shown to underlie the tissue damage occurring in strokes and heart attacks, during ischemia reperfusion (IR) injury.⁶ The physiological role of RET is now a major discussion in complex I function, with recent developments implicating the ROS produced by RET in redox signaling during inflammation,⁷ uncoupling of mitochondria in brown adipose tissue,⁸ oxygen sensing,⁹ and aging (in flies).¹⁰

Complex I catalysis has long been known to be reversible, but the RET redox reaction is energetically unfavorable, and so RET only occurs under specific metabolic conditions.¹¹ There are two key prerequisites: a highly reduced Q-pool ($[QH_2] > [Q]$) to provide the electrons and a high Δp to drive protons back through the complex in the opposite direction to forward catalysis. Together, the high QH₂/Q ratio and high Δp provide the thermodynamic driving force required for RET: the proton transfer free energy (4 Δp) overcomes the redox potential free energy (2 ΔE) to drive electrons from QH₂ (+80 mV) to NAD⁺ (-320 mV). When the two energies are equal, there is no net reaction, and catalysis switches from forward to reverse at this point.^{1,12}

So far, studies of the kinetics and thermodynamics of RET have mainly focused on mammalian complex I, either in intact mitochondria or submitochondrial particles (SMPs).^{12,13} However, studies in these systems are all limited by complexity and inaccessibility: a multitude of proteins interact with the Q pool and Δp ; mammalian mitochondria are difficult to manipulate genetically; and key kinetic parameters such as the membrane Q concentration cannot be varied. These limitations have precluded detailed mechanistic investigations of the RET reaction.

Here, we have engineered a modular, minimal system to study both "forward" and "reverse" catalysis by complex I under precisely defined conditions. We used established protocols to incorporate bovine complex I into proteoliposomes containing its highly hydrophobic natural substrate, ubiquinone Q_{10} . Incorporation also of *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* alternative

Received: January 9, 2022 Published: April 5, 2022





oxidase (AOX), a quinol-oxidizing enzyme, allowed us to study $Q_{10}\text{-linked}\,$ forward catalysis. $^{14,15}\,$ Further incorporation of Escherichia coli ATP synthase (F_1F_0) then enabled us to use complex I to drive Δp -coupled ATP synthesis.¹⁶ Here, we replace AOX with the quinone-reducing enzyme glycerol 3phosphate dehydrogenase (GlpD), which catalyzes glycerol-3phosphate:ubiquinone oxidoreduction. By running the ATP synthase in reverse to generate Δp , we thus describe the first reconstituted system that efficiently catalyzes RET, enabling full kinetic characterization of the RET reaction and establishing a rationale for direction-dependent inhibition. We then demonstrate the potential of our system. First, we explore the regulation of RET to confirm that the deactive state, a resting state that forms in the absence of turnover, is neither RET competent nor can be reactivated by RET conditions. Second, we exploit the modular nature of our system to exchange complex I from Bos taurus for other homologues; we provide the first direct demonstration of RET (as NAD⁺ reduction) by complex I from Mus musculus and Pichia pastoris and thereby validate RET as a conserved mechanism across a range of species.

RESULTS

GlpD-Catalyzed RET in SMPs. We selected the monotopic glycerol 3-phosphate (G3P) dehydrogenase from *E. coli* (GlpD), which has previously been overexpressed, purified, and shown to bind liposomal membranes,^{17,18} to drive quinone reduction in our RET system (Figure 1, see Supplementary Text and Figure S1 for the characterization of GlpD). To first test the ability of GlpD to drive RET, we incorporated it into bovine SMPs, native-membrane vesicles well-established for studying both forward and reverse complex I catalysis.^{12,19,20} GlpD bound spontaneously to the SMP membrane (producing GlpD-SMPs) and, upon addition of G3P, catalyzed the reduction of Q_{10} , activating downstream catalysis and proton pumping by complexes III and IV (Figure 2A). G3P- and succinate-linked catalysis by GlpD-SMPs were both sensitive to antimycin A, and no G3P-linked catalysis was observed without GlpD.

As the amount of GlpD added was increased, the rate of succinate/ATP-induced RET in GlpD-SMPs decreased, sugges-



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the modular proteoliposomes system catalyzing forward or reverse electron transfer through complex I. Complex I and ATP synthase are co-reconstituted into liposomes with Q_{10} . Then, AOX or GlpD is added to catalyze quinol oxidation or quinone reduction to drive forward or reverse electron transfer, respectively.

tive of membrane uncoupling (Figure 2B): the rate was constant up to ~5 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD but then declined rapidly. When RET was induced by G3P instead of succinate, the rate first increased with increasing GlpD but again declined rapidly above $\sim 5 \ \mu g$ mL^{-1} . To avoid the decline, which we ascribed to the *n*-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM, see Experimental Procedures) added with the GlpD, 2 mM heptakis(2,6-di-O-methyl)- β cyclodextrin (β -cyclodextrin or β -CD)²¹ was added to sequester the DDM. Succinate-linked RET then became insensitive to GlpD concentration, and the rate of G3P-linked RET continued to rise to a plateau at $\sim 80 \text{ g mL}^{-1}$ GlpD (Figure 2B). This first direct demonstration of G3P-linked RET through complex I was confirmed by inhibition of both reactions by piericidin A and gramicidin A (Figure 2C). Furthermore, the rate of G3P-linked RET exhibited by GlpD-SMPs (at high G3P concentrations) exceeds the rate of succinate-linked RET, indicating that succinate-linked RET in SMPs is limited by the rate of quinone reduction. Importantly, neither GlpD or its substrates or products exhibited any discernible inhibition of RET catalysis, with consistent linear traces observed once the maximal rate is established (Figure 2D).

GlpD-Catalyzed RET in Proteoliposomes. By combining co-reconstituted proteoliposomes containing complex I and ATP synthase with ATP to generate Δp by ATP hydrolysis and GlpD to reduce Q₁₀ upon the addition of G3P, we demonstrate the first minimal system capable of catalyzing RET through complex I (Figure 3A, inset). Our approach avoids the challenging co-reconstitution of three proteins, as would be required for complex II (succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) to drive succinate-linked RET. The G3P-linked RET observed is fully sensitive to both piericidin A and gramicidin A and was not observed when, for example, GlpD or ATP were omitted (Figure 3A). Furthermore, in our modular system, using the same CI-F₁F₀ proteoliposomes, addition of NADH, AOX, ADP, and phosphate drives NADH-linked ATP synthesis, whereas addition of NAD⁺, G3P, GlpD, and ATP drives RET. We refer to NADH:O₂ oxidoreduction in complex I proteoliposomes as "forward electron transfer" (FET) and to the Δp -linked generation of ATP by the same reaction as NADH-linked ATP synthesis.

Optimization of a Modular Proteoliposome System for Bidirectional Complex I Catalysis. The conditions of proteoliposome preparation and catalysis were optimized using complex I from *B. taurus* for NADH-linked ATP synthesis and RET:

- (i) As for SMPs, GlpD spontaneously associated with the proteoliposomal membrane, and β-CD was needed to sequester its accompanying detergent. Maximal rates of RET were achieved at 1–2.5 mM β-CD (Figure S2A), below the excessive concentrations at which both RET (Figure S2A) and FET (Figure S2B) are affected. While β-CD did not benefit the maximal rate of NADH-linked ATP synthesis in AOX titrations (Figure S2C), it was beneficial at higher AOX concentrations. A total of 2.5 mM β-CD was used from hereon.
- (ii) Titrating the amount of F_1F_0 ATP synthase in the reconstitution (at set complex I concentration) gave maximal rates for both NADH-linked ATP synthesis and RET (Figure 3B) at above three F_1F_0 ATP synthase per complex I. A standard ratio of three was therefore used from hereon.



Figure 2. Optimization of GlpD-SMPs and demonstration of G3P-linked RET. (A) Proton pumping in GlpD-SMPs (catalyzed by CIII-CIV) monitored using 9-amino-6-chloro-2-methoxyacridine (ACMA) fluorescence. A total of 20 μ g mL⁻¹ SMPs were treated with 20 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD, and catalysis was initiated by the addition of 1 mM succinate (red, CII-CIII-CIV) or 10 mM *rac*-G3P (blue, GlpD-CIII-CIV). A total of 2 μ M antimycin A was added to inhibit CIII and stop proton pumping. Rates of GlpD-CIII-CIV catalysis are not optimized for the maximal rate. Addition of G3P does not support proton pumping in the absence of GlpD (gray). (B) Dependence of the rate of RET (monitored as NAD⁺ reduction) on GlpD concentration with and without β -CD (20 μ g mL⁻¹ SMPs). (C) RET in GlpD-SMPs is sensitive to piericidin A (PierA, 1 μ M) and gramicidin A (GramA, 1 μ g mL⁻¹). Assays were performed as in (B), with 80 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD. (D) Representative UV–vis assays displaying sustained RET. The data are mean averages of at least three technical replicates (± S.D.), with statistical significance from one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons correction (****p < 0.0001).

(iii) The rates of NADH-linked ATP synthesis and RET were optimized by titrating the amounts of AOX and GlpD added, respectively, to the proteoliposomes in the presence of β -CD (Figure 3C). Both rates rise sharply at low concentrations. For AOX, NADH-linked ATP synthesis peaks at ~10 μ g mL⁻¹ (10 μ g AOX per μ g of outward facing complex I (CI_{out})) then begins to decrease. A similar observation was previously ascribed to uncoupling by the DDM transferred along with the AOX.¹⁶ The inclusion of β -CD now argues against that interpretation, and a matching decrease was observed here for FET activity, both in the presence and absence of gramicidin A to intentionally abolish Δp (Figure S2D). A total of 10 μ g mL⁻¹ AOX was therefore used from hereon, to avoid the decrease, perhaps due to AOX aggregation. For GlpD, the rate of RET plateaus above ~40 μ g mL⁻¹ (~8 μ g GlpD per μ g of CI_{out}). Similar mass ratios of AOX and GlpD are thus required, relative to complex I, to drive their reactions at the maximum rate. Concentrations of $80-100 \,\mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \text{ GlpD}$ were used from hereon to ensure maximal quinone reduction during RET experiments.

Determination of Kinetic Parameters for Quinol Oxidation during RET. Before this study, SMPs and intact mitochondria were the only systems in which RET could be measured routinely, but they do not allow manipulation of the Q_{10} concentration. Previously, the Q_{10} concentration was varied in CI-AOX proteoliposomes, relying on AOX to keep the

quinone pool oxidized, to determine the Michaelis-Menten parameters for FET.^{14,15} Here, we extend the approach by using our modular system to determine the kinetics for FET and RET together using common proteoliposome preparations. Figure 4A compares the Michaelis-Menten curves for NADH oxidation (FET, CI:AOX, NADH, and O_2) and NAD⁺ reduction (RET, GlpD:CI, G3P, NAD⁺, and ATP). The concentrations of complex I, phospholipids, and Q_{10} were individually determined for each preparation, to define its individual membrane-Q₁₀ concentration. It was assumed that 1 mg of phospholipid occupies ~1 μ L, so that 1 nmol of Q₁₀ per mg phospholipid is equivalent to 1 mM Q_{10} in the membrane.²² The $K_{\rm M}$ (Q₁₀) of 1.65 ± 0.20 mM thereby determined for quinone reduction during NADH oxidation is consistent with values of 0.45–3.9 mM reported for complex I from *B.* $taurus^{14,15,23}$ and *Paracoccus denitrificans*,²⁴ as well as with the value (2.45 mM) determined for NADH-linked ATP synthesis by the bovine enzyme.¹⁶ There was no substantial change in $K_{\rm M}$ (Q_{10}) when gramicidin A was included to collapse Δp (1.65 ± 0.20 mM with gramicidin, 1.11 ± 0.15 mM without), but V_{max} was higher when it was present $(24.4 \pm 0.85 \text{ vs } 18.3 \pm 0.65 \,\mu\text{mol})$ $\min^{-1} (\operatorname{mg} \operatorname{CI}_{\operatorname{out}})^{-1})$. For RET, $K_{\mathrm{M}} (Q_{10} \mathrm{H}_2)$ for quinol oxidation by complex I was determined to be 9.13 ± 1.62 mM, a striking 5.5 times higher than $K_{\rm M}$ (Q₁₀), with a $V_{\rm max}$ of 0.50 ± 0.04 μ mol $\min^{-1} (\text{mg CI}_{\text{out}})^{-1}$.

Importantly, determination of $K_M (Q_{10}H_2)$ for RET relies on GlpD holding the Q-pool reduced, an assumption supported by



Figure 3. Demonstration and optimization of RET in complex I-containing proteoliposomes. (A) Rates of NAD⁺ reduction by proteoliposomes optimized for RET activity. Complex I was co-reconstituted with F_1F_0 ATP synthase at a 1:3 molar ratio, and then the proteoliposomes (5 μ g mL⁻¹ CI_{out}) were incubated with 80 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD and 2.5 mM β -CD in the assay solution. Complex I was first activated by the addition of 10 μ M NADH prior to treatment with RET substrates. Piericidin A and gramicidin A were added at 1 μ M and 1 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively. Inset shows a representative trace for NAD⁺ reduction by RET in the absence (blue) or presence (red) of piericidin A. (B) Dependence of the rates of NAD⁺ reduction and NADH-linked ATP synthesis on the number of moles of F_1F_0 ATP synthase added per mole of complex I during reconstitution; the complex I amount was constant. (C) Dependence of the rates of NADH-linked ATP synthesis (1 μ g mL⁻¹ CI_{out}) and NAD⁺ reduction (5 μ g mL⁻¹ CI_{out}) on increasing concentrations of AOX (molecular mass 33 kDa) and GlpD (56 kDa), respectively. 1 μ g mL⁻¹ AOX corresponds to 30 AOX per CI, and 1 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD corresponds to 3.5 GlpD per CI. Assays were performed in the presence of 2.5 mM β -CD. See Experimental Procedures for standard assay conditions. Data are mean averages with error (\pm S.D.) values from triplicate technical replicates (including propagated error from all underlying measurements in (B)).

our observation that increasing the GlpD concentration affects neither the $K_{\rm M}$ (Q₁₀H₂) nor $V_{\rm max}$ (Figure S3A), so GlpD catalysis is not rate limiting. However, the rate of RET is also sensitive to the reduction potential of the Q-pool,¹³ a thermodynamic not kinetic effect (although the two are interdependent in our system). Therefore, the $Q_{10}H_2/Q_{10}$ ratio was quantified using mass spectrometry.²⁵ Following complex I preactivation (10 μ M NADH, 3 min) and a 30 s or 3 min period of RET (with G3P, ATP, and NAD⁺), ~90% of the Q-pool was found to be reduced (Figure 4B, RET) and this ratio did not change substantially when the GlpD concentration was increased or when piericidin A was added to inhibit complex I. The latter result suggests that Q10H2 oxidation by RET through complex I is not fast enough to alter the steady-state $Q_{10}H_2/Q_{10}$ ratio substantially, so the incomplete reduction is due to a small proportion of the Q₁₀ being inaccessible to GlpD (likely in multilamellar vesicles). In comparison, only ~50% of the quinone was reduced when NADH was added (in the absence of AOX), and quinone reduction was abolished by piericidin A (Figure 4B, NADH). A substantial proportion of the quinone is thus probably in complex I-free liposomes (which are silent in RET assays). Together, the results confirm that the Q-pools in the RET-active population of proteoliposomes are near-fully reduced during RET catalysis.

We completed our basic kinetic characterization of RET as follows: (i) The dependence of the rate on NAD⁺ concentration (Figure 4C, $K_{\rm M}$ (NAD⁺) = 0.14 ± 0.02 mM) confirms that NAD⁺ concentration is not rate limiting in our standard conditions. (ii) The pH dependence of G3P-linked RET in proteoliposomes (Figure S3B) revealed a maximum at pH ~8, and matching pH-dependencies were observed for both G3P-and succinate-linked RET in GlpD-SMPs. (iii) Using Q₁₀-free liposomes, we demonstrated G3P-driven NAD⁺ reduction with either 100 μ M decylubiquinone (DQ) or 100 μ M Q₁ but at lower rates (70%, DQ or 15%, Q₁) than for ~10 mM membrane-bound Q₁₀ (Figure S3C).

Finally, we used our modular system to investigate the efficacy of a canonical complex I Q-site inhibitor in both directions of catalysis. The competitive binding mode of piericidin A has been established by combined structural, computational and kinetic approaches²³ and studies using bovine heart SMPs²⁶ have suggested it is a more potent inhibitor of RET than FET. Here, we directly assessed direction-dependent inhibition by piericidin A, with the Q-pool held either oxidized or reduced. Figure 4D confirms the IC₅₀ value is lower during RET (IC₅₀ = 3.4 ± 0.2 nM, Q-pool reduced) than during FET (IC₅₀ = 7.8 ± 0.1 nM, Q-pool oxidized). However, the difference may result simply from competitive inhibition against a weaker binding substrate (the $K_{\rm M}$ for Q₁₀H₂ during RET is lower than for Q₁₀ during FET): it does not necessarily mean that the inhibitor binding affinity is direction/state-dependent.

RET Cannot Be Initiated from the Deactive State of Mammalian Complex I. Our proteoliposome system offers an unprecedented opportunity to confirm earlier proposals that the driving forces and conditions for RET are not able to reactivate "deactive" complex I.^{19,27} The active/deactive (A/D) transition of complex I is a biochemically^{19,28-30} and structurally^{27,31} defined phenomenon where a catalytically "ready-to-go" resting state, the A state, relaxes spontaneously but slowly into a pronounced resting D state in the absence of turnover; D requires reactivating by both NADH and ubiquinone to return to catalysis.¹⁹ Typically, investigations of the A/D transition are conducted in native preparations, owing to the much better stability of the membrane-bound enzyme compared to in detergent; this is particularly relevant when the enzyme is deactivated in a substrate-free incubation at 30 or 37 °C.³¹ Proteoliposomes now offer a stable environment in which A/D transitions can be studied in a minimal, well-defined system. Figure 5A shows results from the N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) assay used routinely to evaluate the relative amounts of A and D present.²⁹ NEM derivatizes Cys39 of ND3 in the D state, rendering the enzyme inactive and resistant to reactivation.²⁹ Here, the NEM assay showed that in a sample of "as-prepared" proteoliposomes, ~36% of the FET activity was retained after NEM treatment and so \sim 64% of the complex I was in D. This value is consistent with data from cryoEM analyses of bovine complex I,³² and it was consistent across several batches of proteoliposomes, both with and without ATP synthase, and



Figure 4. Kinetic parameters for FET and RET by bovine complex I in proteoliposomes. (A) Dependence of the rates of NADH oxidation (FET, blue) and NAD⁺ reduction (RET, red) on Q_{10} concentration in the membrane $(1 \text{ mM } Q_{10} \text{ (membrane)} = 1 \text{ nmol } Q_{10} \text{ per mg of phospholipid})$. RET activities were recorded with 100 μ g mL⁻¹ GlpD. The V_{max} values are 24.4 \pm 0.85 and 0.50 \pm 0.04 μ mol min⁻¹ (mg Cl_{out})⁻¹ (\pm S.E. of the fit). (B) Estimation of the level of Q-pool reduction for proteoliposomes reduced by NADH or catalyzing RET. For NADH measurements, no AOX was present and the Q-pool in 5 μ g mL⁻¹ Cl_{out} proteoliposomes was reduced by addition of 200 μ M NADH. For RET measurements, GlpD was present at 100 μ g mL⁻¹ and RET was initiated as described in Experimental Procedures before being quenched at the time specified. (C) Dependence of the rate of NAD⁺ reduction on NAD⁺ concentration. RET was measured under standard conditions with proteoliposomes reconstituted with 10 nmol Q_{10} (mg lipid)⁻¹. The V_{max} value was 0.36 \pm 0.01 μ mol min⁻¹ (mg Cl_{out})⁻¹. (D) Dependence of the rates of NADH oxidation (FET) and NAD⁺ reduction (RET) on piericidin A concentration. All measurements performed with 2 μ g mL⁻¹ Cl_{out}. See Experimental Procedures for standard assay conditions. All data are mean averages with error (\pm S.D.) values from triplicate technical replicates (including propagated error from underlying measurements in (A)).

unaffected by gramicidin A (Figure S4). Incubation of the proteoliposomes at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min increased the proportion of D to 97%. Importantly, the D enzyme regained full activity upon addition of NADH, confirming that complex I in proteoliposomes survives deactivation without loss of activity or integrity. In contrast, detergent-solubilized bovine complex I displayed a similar A/D ratio but underwent substantial unrecoverable loss of activity when incubated similarly at 37 $^{\circ}$ C to deactivate it (Figure 5C).

Figure 5B shows the rate of RET, as a proportion of the maximal rate possible, in as-prepared and deactivated proteoliposomes, measured with or without the addition of NADH to activate complex I before initiating RET. D-complex I in proteoliposomes, without reactivation, shows a complete absence of RET activity, whereas a short pre-activation by NADH restored the RET activity completely. Comparison of the RET rates suggests that 65% (in the example shown) of complex I is unable to catalyze RET in the as-prepared proteoliposomes, which matches the proportion of D enzyme determined by the NEM assay for the same sample (Figure 5A). Therefore, RET cannot be initiated from the D state, consistent with assignment of D to an off-cycle resting state, 27,31 rather than an on-cycle intermediate.³³ This result shows how the A/D transition regulates complex I activity and further demonstrates the capability of our modular system for investigations of the A/ D transition in both directions of complex I catalysis.

Testing the Ability of Complex I from Different Eukaryotes to Catalyze RET. It is not currently known whether RET is a general feature of all species of complex I or not. Until now, it has only been demonstrated in whole mitochondria or SMPs from mammals and *Drosophila melanogaster*^{10,12,19,27} and in sub-bacterial particles (the bacterial equivalent of SMPs) from *P. denitrificans*,³⁴ a close relative of the mitochondrial progenitor.²⁴ Our modular system now provides the unique opportunity to test complexes I from different species, so the complexes from mouse heart (*Mus musculus*) and two yeast species (*Yarrowia lipolytica* and *Pichia pastoris*) were incorporated into proteoliposomes, in place of the bovine enzyme (Figure 6).

(i) Complex I from mouse heart^{23,27,35} shows close structural similarity to the bovine enzyme, and proteoliposomes created using mouse complex I and our standard protocol showed matching physical properties to their bovine counterparts (Table S1). Their FET activity was lower (Figure 6A), reflecting the lower activity of the detergentsolubilized mouse enzyme (~10-12 μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ for NADH:DQ oxidoreduction²³ vs $\sim 20-25 \,\mu$ mol min⁻¹ mg^{-1} for bovine complex I^{31}), and their rates of ATP synthesis were thus also lower (Figure 6B). We now show directly, by Δp -linked NAD⁺ reduction (Figure 6B), that mouse complex I is capable of RET, with a rate consistent with these activities. Independently of complex I, the rates of ATP hydrolysis (Figure S5A) and Δp formation (Figure S5B) by the mouse proteoliposomes were also lower, suggesting lower retention of ATP synthase; further optimization was not pursued due to limitations in the availability of mouse heart tissue. Approximately



Figure 5. The active/deactive state of complex I assessed in co-reconstituted proteoliposomes. (A) The amounts of A and D complex I in a typical sample of as-prepared (AP) or deactivated (D) proteoliposomes were determined by sensitivity to derivatization with NEM (FET) or (B) by RET activity. (C) The proportion of A and D complex I determined in the DDM-solubilized enzyme. All measurements are normalized to the maximum rate for each set (CI:AOX = $35.5 \,\mu$ mol min⁻¹ (mg CI_{out})⁻¹, GlpD:CI = $0.618 \,\mu$ mol min⁻¹ (mg CI_{out})⁻¹, and CI:DQ = $14.3 \,\mu$ mol min⁻¹ (mg CI)⁻¹). All data are mean averages with error (\pm S.D.) values from three technical replicates.

75% of the as-isolated mouse complex I was found to be in the A state (using the NEM assay), substantially higher than for bovine complex I, consistent with observations from cryoEM studies (Figure 6C).³⁵

- (ii) Complex I from Y. lipolytica and P. pastoris are similar in size and subunit composition to bovine complex I³⁶⁻³⁸ and have been incorporated into coupled proteoliposomes previously.^{37,39} Initial (standard) preparations of P. pastoris complex I proteoliposomes exhibited comparable rates of NADH oxidation and ATP synthesis (Figures 6A, B) to bovine proteoliposomes, plus consistent levels of ATP hydrolysis, ACMA fluorescence quenching, sensitivity to NEM (Figure 6C and Figure S5), and matching physical properties (Table S1). Near identical rates were also observed for RET in P. pastoris complex I (Figure 6B). This is the first direct demonstration of NAD⁺ reduction by RET for a yeast complex I. An initial heat treatment (37 °C, 15 min) was found to provide maximal rates of RET and ATP synthesis for P. pastoris complex I. The same treatment also stimulated bovine complex I, but it was less effective (\sim 15%) than for *P. pastoris*, where both rates increased by \sim 50%. The close similarity to bovine complex I was further confirmed by the Michaelis-Menten parameters (Table S1, Figure S6A) for both FET and RET. The $K_{\rm M}$ values for both Q_{10} and $Q_{10}H_2$ were both lower for *P. pastoris*, but the K_M for $Q_{10}H_2$ was again significantly higher than for Q_{10} (ratio of 3.5 for P. pastoris).
- (iii) Complex I from Y. lipolytica consistently showed remarkably high rates of NADH oxidation in proteoliposomes (Figure 6A and Table S1), considerably higher than for any other complex I tested. However, we have been unable to detect RET by Y. lipolytica complex I (Figure 6B and Figure S6B). Although rates of NADHlinked ATP synthesis from Y. lipolytica complex I are comparatively low, they are greater than from mouse complex I (which exhibits substantial RET), and the results for both ATP hydrolysis and Δp formation were consistent (Figure S5). Therefore, we considered whether the A/D ratio of complex I may preclude RET in Y. lipolytica complex I. Complex I from Y. lipolytica is known to have a lower energy barrier to deactivation than bovine complex I,^{28,40} and its deactive state is less developed structurally.^{41,42} According to the NEM assay, Y. lipolytica

complex I was present entirely in D (Figure 6C), so it is possible that *Y. lipolytica* complex I cannot perform RET under the conditions tested here due to the ease with which it deactivates. Attempts to activate the complex with NADH before measuring RET were not successful, suggesting that the *Y. lipolytica* enzyme is unable to persist in an A-like state: a similar explanation has been proposed to explain why the P25L-ND6 variant of mouse complex I is also unable to catalyze RET.²⁷

DISCUSSION

Complex I proteoliposomes combine the advantages of a purified enzyme system (simple and precisely defined composition and physical properties) with catalysis in a native-like membrane that sustains a proton-motive force (Δp). As such, they have already been used to investigate multiple aspects of complex I function including the kinetics of turnover and ubiquinone reduction,^{14,15,24} inhibition,^{23,43,44} ROS production,⁴⁵ proton pumping,^{39,46-48} and ion transfer.⁴⁹ The modular proteoliposome systems described here contain minimal respiratory chains designed to study complex I catalysis with the native, hydrophobic Q₁₀ substrate incorporated in the membrane. They enable the study of both forward and (for the first time in proteoliposomes) reverse catalysis by complex I and of the kinetics, mechanism, and regulation of the RET reaction.

To drive RET in proteoliposomes, we incorporated GlpD to reduce the Q_{10} to $Q_{10}H_2$, to rapidly recycle the Q_{10} formed by complex I. We demonstrated that the Q-pool was held highly reduced during RET, focusing our kinetic data on the function of complex I, not GlpD. In contrast, succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreduction by complex II during RET in intact mitochondria could only hold the Q-pool ~60% reduced.^{13,25,27} By accurately quantifying the concentrations of complex I, phospholipids and Q₁₀, we determined the kinetic parameters for ubiquinol oxidation during RET, and by exploiting our modular system to switch GlpD for AOX, we simultaneously determined corresponding parameters for FET. Our observation that the $K_{\rm M}$ value for Q₁₀ during FET is ~5 times higher than for $Q_{10}H_2$ during RET indicates a clear kinetic bias for forward catalysis. Although this appears consistent with the substantially lower rates of catalysis observed for RET than FET, the rates have not been normalized for their thermodynamic driving forces or for the relative amounts of FET/RET-active complex I, precluding a fair comparison of turnover numbers. We note



Figure 6. Comparison of catalysis by different species of complex I reconstituted into proteoliposomes. (A) Representative FET activities for proteoliposomes containing complex I from *B. taurus* (*Bt*), *M. musculus* (*Mm*), *Y. lipolytica* (YI), and *P. pastoris* (*Pp*) in the presence and absence of 0.5 μ g mL⁻¹ gramicidin. (B) Comparison between rates of NADH-linked ATP synthesis (S) and RET (R) in proteoliposomes. Rates are calculated per mg of outward-facing complex I. (C) Analysis of the proportion of active complex I in as-prepared proteoliposomes, determined by sensitivity of catalysis to NEM, relative to a DMSO vehicle control. All data are mean averages with error (\pm S.D.) values from quadruplicate technical replicates.

that, for RET, complex I must be present alongside F_1F_0 in proteoliposomes capable of sustaining sufficiently high Δp , which is unlikely the case for all proteoliposomes capable of catalyzing FET.] The difference in $K_{\rm M}$ values provides a simple rationale for the relative efficacy of competitive complex I (Qsite) inhibitors, such as piericidin A, that inhibit RET more strongly than FET because they compete better against a less effective substrate. Notably, such inhibitors are emerging as a promising route to protect against the deleterious production of RET-ROS, for example, in IR injury.^{50,51} Our modular proteoliposome system now enables quantitative assessment of candidate drugs and inhibitors for both FET and RET, as well as mechanistic investigation of direction-dependent inhibitors. However, we note that our system is currently unsuited to study RET-driven ROS production, which is implicated in redox signaling and oxygen sensing,^{7,9} cardiac IR injury,⁶ and aging in flies.¹⁰ GlpD (and its mitochondrial homologue) also produces ROS,⁵² and its high concentration in our assays causes substantial background ROS production.

Due to its energetic demands, the rate of RET is exquisitely dependent on both the Q-pool potential and Δp , as demonstrated by measurements of the thermodynamic driving force for RET-associated ROS production in whole mitochondria.¹³ In our modular system, Δp can be created by ATP hydrolysis, and the directionality of complex I catalysis is determined by the choice of partner enzyme (AOX or GlpD) that, through essentially irreversible catalysis, sets the Q-pool (near) fully oxidized or reduced. As a result, our "binary" system can only be switched from one direction to the other, not titrated between the two extremes. The effects of the Q-pool potential and the Δp can therefore be probed independently of one another.

A lack of diversity in experimental systems able to support RET means it has so far only been studied widely in mammalian complex I. Direct observations of RET have typically been investigated using SMPs, based on the accessibility of the outward-facing substrate binding sites, their ability to sustain high Δp , and ease of monitoring NAD⁺ reduction.^{12,19} Although SMPs from yeast species have been described,⁵³ they have not been used to demonstrate RET, and measurements on *D. melanogaster* have focused only on RET-ROS production. The only non-mammalian species for which RET has been observed directly is the bacterium *P. denitrificans*.^{24,34} Here, we provide the first direct evidence of RET by complex I from two new species, *M. musculus* (mouse) and the yeast *P. pastoris*. Although

our results further support the conservation of reversibility in complex I catalysis, complex I from Y. lipolytica was not able to catalyze RET under the conditions tested, highlighting differences in complex I regulation between species. Previously, we observed that the P25L-ND6 complex I mouse variant is protected against IR injury because P25L-ND6 complex I, despite being capable of catalyzing FET normally, is unable to catalyze RET-ROS formation.²⁷ The protective effect was assigned to the increased tendency of the enzyme to drop into D-like states, as a result of the mutation perturbing the stability around the π -bulge in ND6, a hallmark of D. Notably, this protective mechanism relies on the conditions for RET not being capable of reactivating the D enzyme to initiate RET, which we have demonstrated here to be the case. Parallels between P25L-ND6 mouse and Y. lipolytica complex I thus lead us to ask if RET is prevented by the same mechanism.

It has long been known that the A state in Y. lipolytica complex I is less stable than its mammalian counterpart,⁴⁰ but the reasons are not well understood. Structural data revealed that, although the extent of the deactive transition is less in Y. lipolytica, important hallmarks of the deactive enzyme are present in the asprepared enzyme,⁴¹ including the characteristic π -bulge in TMH3 of the ND6 subunit, and this was also conserved in structural analyses of a proposed "turnover" state.⁴² Therefore, rapid deactivation may explain the inability of Y. lipolytica complex I to catalyze RET. We note that, in mammalian complex I, conformational rearrangements reposition the NDUFA10 and NDUFA5 supernumerary subunits relative to each other during the A/D transition, 35,54 and the absence of NDUFA10 in Y. lipolytica may contribute to its low energy barrier for deactivation. However, P. pastoris lacks NDUFA10³⁷ and yet displays a substantial proportion of complex I in the A state, and P. denitrificans lacks both NDUFA10 and NDUFA5 and appears fully in the A state.²⁴ Therefore, there is a clear cross-species correlation between the ability to catalyze RET and the existence of the A state but no correlation with the presence of NDUFA10 and NDUFA5. Finally, mass spectrometry data have revealed that an arginine residue on a loop in subunit NDUFS7 (Arg77 in *B. taurus*), which forms part of the ubiquinone binding site and changes conformation between the A and D states, is post-translationally hydroxylated in B. taurus, M. musculus, P. pastoris, and P. denitrificans^{55,56} but not in Y. *lipolytica*.⁵⁷ This is a second clear cross-species correlation: between the ability to catalyze RET and Arg77 hydroxylation. In detail, however, there is no correlation between Arg77

hydroxylation and the conformation of the loop that carries it, as in structures of *Y. lipolytica* complex I, this specific loop is found in a conformation matching the mammalian A (not D) conformation. Extending the lists of species with RET-active (or inactive) complexes I is thus required to better understand these correlations and to elucidate the subtle structural variations that occur and that may underpin mechanisms of complex I catalysis and regulation.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated Δp -linked reverse electron transfer by complex I in a proteoliposome system for the first time and exploited the well-defined and quantifiable nature of our system to determine previously inaccessible kinetic parameters. The modular nature of our proteoliposome system allows different forms of complex I to be incorporated and analyzed during FET, RET, and the A/D transition. Our system thereby enables investigations of complex I from less experimentally developed species, and opens the door to further detailed characterization of the effects of mechanistic and clinically relevant mutations in both directions of catalysis.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

GlpD Growth, Expression, and Purification. The E. coli gene encoding GlpD (glpD) was synthesized and inserted into a pET-28a expression vector (containing an N-terminal His₆-tag) using the NdeI and BamHI restriction sites by a commercial service (GenScript). Two stop codons (TAG and TGA) were added at the C-terminus. The GlpD-pET28a plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) pLysS, and then GlpD was overexpressed and purified using a method adapted from Yeh et al.¹⁷ Starter cultures were grown overnight at 37 °C in 200 mL LB broth supplemented with 50 μ g mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 25 μ g mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol. The cells were diluted 100-fold into terrific broth (containing 50 μ g mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 25 μ g mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol) and grown to $OD_{600} \approx 0.7$ at 37 °C. GlpD overexpression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl β -D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and the culture was grown for a further 4 h. Cells were harvested at 6000 g for 10 min at 4 °C, washed in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl then pelleted and stored at -80 °C.

Cells from 12 L of culture were thawed and resuspended in 120 mL 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, and then 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM PMSF, and a few crystals of DNase1 were added. The cells were lysed with a French press (two passes at 12,000-15,000 psi). Cell debris and unbroken cells were removed by centrifugation (8000 g, 20 min, 4 °C), and the supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 g (60 min, 4 °C). The membrane pellets were resuspended in 120 mL resuspension buffer and homogenized, and 40 mL aliquots were frozen in liquid N₂. Individual aliquots were thawed, and the membranes were solubilized on ice for 60 min by the addition of 1.3% octyl glucoside. The suspension was clarified by ultracentrifugation (100,000 g, 60 min, 4 °C), filtered (0.22 μ m), and loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap HP column equilibrated with GlpD Buffer A (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 20 mM KCl, 200 mM NaCl, 0.05% DDM). The column was washed with 37.5% Buffer B (Buffer A + 400 mM imidazole), and then GlpD was eluted with 100% Buffer B. GlpD-containing fractions were collected, pooled, concentrated in an Amicon Ultra-15 (10 MWCO), and dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against 2 L of Buffer A (3.5 kDa MWCO). 20% glycerol was added for storage at -80 °C.

Purification of Complex I. Complex I was purified from *B. taurus*,¹⁵ *M. musculus*,³⁵ and *Y. lipolytica*⁴⁶ using established protocols with minor alterations. *P. pastoris* complex I was purified using a method adapted from published procedures.^{37,58,59} See Supporting Information for further details.

Purification of AOX. Recombinantly expressed AOX was prepared from *E. coli* membranes by solubilization with octyl-glucoside and purified by Twin-Strep tag affinity chromatography as described previously.¹⁵

Purification of ATP Synthase from *E. coli*. ATP synthase from *E. coli* strain DK8 and the pBWU13- β His plasmid was prepared as described previously.^{16,60}

Preparation of Submitochondrial Particles. SMPs supplemented with cytochrome *c* were prepared as described previously^{61,62} and suspended in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, and 250 mM sucrose.

Preparation of Proteoliposomes. Proteoliposomes were prepared using a protocol adapted from Biner et al.¹⁶ A total of 10 mg of lipids (8:1:1, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-choline (DOPC), 1,2dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-ethanolamine (DOPE), and 18:1 cardiolipin (CDL)) in chloroform were combined with Q_{10} from a chloroform stock (10 nmol (mg lipid)⁻¹), and the solvent was evaporated off with N2 before drying under vacuum for >1 h. The lipids were hydrated in 1 mL of proteoliposome buffer (10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl) for 30 min with frequent vortexing and then sonicated on ice using a Q700 probe sonicator (QSonica) equipped with a 1.6 mm microtip (60% amplitude, 2.5 min (15 s on/30s off)). The resulting liposomes (10 mg mL^{-1}) were then reconstituted (typically at 2.5 or 5.0 mg lipid) by partially solubilizing the lipids with 0.5% (final concentration) sodium cholate for 10 min on ice. Complex I (50:1 (w/w) lipid to protein) was then added, alongside F1F0 ATP synthase (molar ratio of 3 F_1F_0 to 1 CI, giving final of 20:1 (w/w) total lipid to protein ratio), and incubated for a further 10 min. The detergent was removed using a PD10 desalting column (Cytiva). The sample was centrifuged (150,000 g, 1 h, 4 °C) followed by resuspension in proteoliposome buffer. Proteoliposomes were kept on ice or at 4 °C before use; no loss in activity was observed during overnight storage.

Characterization of Proteoliposomes. Total phospholipid contents were determined using the Ames phosphate assay.¹⁴ Total Q10 content was determined using an HPLC system equipped with a Thermo Scientific Dionex Ultimate 3000RS Electrochemical Detector.¹⁶ Q₁₀ concentrations are expressed in mM relative to the volume of the membrane, where 1 mM Q_{10} (membrane) = 1 nmol Q_{10} per mg of phospholipid.²² The redox state of the Q pool was determined using a mass spectrometry assay following Q10 extraction.²⁵ Complex I content and orientation in proteoliposomes were estimated by comparing the rate of NADH:APAD+ oxidoreduction to the rate from a standard detergent-solubilized complex I sample, assayed in proteoliposome buffer with 100 µM NADH, 500 µM APAD⁺, 500 nM piericidin A and 0.2%~(w/v) DDM. 15,16,24 Proteoliposome APAD $^{+}$ reduction rates were measured without DDM under otherwise identical conditions, with 15 $\mu g m L^{-1}$ alamethic n added to determine the (inside/outside) orientation.

NADH Oxidation Assays. NADH:O₂ oxidoreduction activity was measured spectrophotometrically ($\varepsilon_{340-380} = 4.81 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) using a SpectraMax plus 348 96-well plate reader (Molecular Devices). Standard measurements were carried out in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl at 32 °C, with complex I (0.5 μ g mL⁻¹, outward facing) and AOX (10 μ g mL⁻¹). Turnover was initiated by addition of 200 μ M NADH. Uncoupled rates were determined with 0.5 μ g mL⁻¹ gramicidin A. Inhibition measurements were conducted with 2 μ g mL⁻¹ outward facing complex I and 2.5 mM β -CD for both FET and RET systems. We note that β -CD has the capacity to interact with hydrophobic inhibitors and artificially increase the absolute IC₅₀ values; here, we observed the ratios of IC₅₀ values in FET and RET to be unaffected.

NAD⁺ Reduction Assays. For SMP experiments, (GlpD)-SMPs were used at 20 μ g protein mL⁻¹ in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl. They were activated by 3 min incubation with 10 μ M NADH at 32 °C prior to the addition of RET substrates (1 mM ATP, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM NAD⁺ plus 10 mM succinate or 120 mM *rac*-G3P), and the reaction followed spectrophotometrically at 340 and 380 nm. For standard proteoliposome experiments, CI-F₁F₀ proteoliposomes (5 μ g mL⁻¹ outward facing complex I) were treated with 2.5 mM β -CD and GlpD (80–100 μ g mL⁻¹), preactivated by 3 min incubation with 10 μ M NADH at 32 °C, and then RET was initiated as in the SMP experiments. In experiments with *P. pastoris* complex I, liposomes were incubated at 37 °C for 15 min before activation with NADH.

NEM Assays for Active/Deactive Complex I Determination. Deactivation of complex I was achieved by incubation of proteoliposomes (200 μ g mL⁻¹ outward facing complex I) or DDM solubilized complex I (17.1 mg mL⁻¹) at 37 °C for 15 min. *N*-Ethylmaleimide (NEM) was dissolved at 400 mM in DMSO before dilution to 100 mM in proteoliposome buffer. Soluble complex I was diluted to 200 μ g mL⁻¹, and samples were treated with 1 mM NEM (or the equivalent volume of 25% DMSO) and incubated on ice for 20 min before determining the NADH:O₂ or NADH:DQ activity.³¹ NADH:O₂ activity was performed as described above. NADH:DQ activity was performed with 0.5 μ g mL⁻¹ complex I, 200 μ M NADH, 200 μ M DQ, and 0.15% (w/w) of each of asolectin (Soy bean, 20% phosphatidylcholine) and CHAPS in 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5 at 32 °C).

 \mathbf{Q}_1 Reduction Assays for GlpD Activity. GlpD $(0.1 \ \mu \text{g mL}^{-1})$ was incubated in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl at 32 °C with 200 μ M \mathbf{Q}_1 . Where indicated, 0.15% (w/w) of each of asolectin and CHAPS was added to the reaction mixture. Catalysis was initiated by the addition of 120 mM *rac*-G3P, and the reduction of \mathbf{Q}_1 was monitored at 275 nm ($\varepsilon = 13.7 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$)⁶³ using a quartz plate. ATP Synthesis Assays. ATP synthesis was performed as previously

ATP Synthesis Assays. ATP synthesis was performed as previously described,¹⁶ with slight modifications. The assay comprised 20 mM Tris–PO₄ (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM β -CD, 50 μ M ADP, 20 μ L mL⁻¹ luciferase reagent (ATP Bioluminescence Assay Kit CLS-II, Roche), 10 μ g mL⁻¹ AOX, and 1 μ g mL⁻¹ of complex I (outward-facing). ATP synthesis was stopped by the addition of 1 μ g mL⁻¹ gramicidin A.

ATP Hydrolysis Assays. ATP hydrolysis was performed at 32 °C using a coupled assay system that oxidizes NADH (measured at 340 and 380 nm) in response to the production of ADP.⁶⁴ Equivalent volumes of proteoliposomes were diluted into 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl containing 1 mM ATP, 1 mM MgSO₄, 2 mM K₂SO₄, 200 μ M phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), 50 μ g mL⁻¹ lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from bovine heart, 40 μ g mL⁻¹ pyruvate kinase (PK) from rabbit muscle, and 2 μ M piericidin A to prevent complex I mediated NADH oxidation.

ACMA Fluorescence Quenching Assays. ACMA assays to assess proton pumping qualitatively were performed using a RF-5301PC spectrofluorometer (Shimadzu) at 32 °C. Proteoliposomes were transferred to buffer (10 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl) containing 0.5 μ M ACMA and 0.1 μ M valinomycin with constant stirring. For NADH:O₂ ACMA assays, AOX was added at 5 μ g mL⁻¹ and proton pumping initiated by addition of 500 μ M NADH. Δ p was dissipated by the addition of 10 μ g mL⁻¹ alamethicin. For ATP hydrolysis, 1 mM MgSO₄ was added to the buffer and proton pumping was initiated by the addition of 1 mM ATP.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c00274.

Supplementary experimental procedures (purification of complex I from different species) and results (characterization of the quinone reductase GlpD); additional details on proteoliposome reconstitutions and kinetic fitting; and supplementary figures showing the characterization of GlpD, the effects of β -CD, quinone and pH, measurements with uncouplers, comparisons of ATP hydrolysis, and additional Q₁₀ titrations (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Judy Hirst – Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.;
orcid.org/0000-0001-8667-6797; Email: jh@mrc-mbu.cam.ac.uk

Authors

- John J. Wright Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.; o orcid.org/0000-0001-8987-4449
- Olivier Biner Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.; Present Address: Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Zurich, Zollikerstrasse 107, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland; orcid.org/0000-0001-6935-2475
- Injae Chung Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.; o orcid.org/0000-0002-2902-4677
- Nils Burger Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.; Present Address: Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02215, USA and Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.; © orcid.org/0000-0003-3227-8894
- Hannah R. Bridges Medical Research Council Mitochondrial Biology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, U.K.; o orcid.org/0000-0001-6890-6050

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c00274

Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00015/2 to J.H.) and by the Swiss National Science Foundation (P2BEP3_181897 to O.B.). N.B. was funded by the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00015/7, awarded to Michael P. Murphy (MBU)).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. von Ballmoos (University of Bern) for helpful discussions on β -cyclodextrins and purification of F_1F_0 ATP synthase from *E. coli*, M. N. Choy (MBU) for preparing *Y. lipolytica* membranes, O. D. Jarman (MBU) for critical reading of the manuscript, and J. G. Fedor (Duke University) for advice on proteoliposomes. We thank staff at the Phenomics Laboratory and Central Biomedical Services animal facilities in Cambridge for assisting with provision of mouse heart material.

ABBREVIATIONS

β-CD	β -cyclodextrin
ACMA	9-amino-6-chloro-2-methoxyacridine
AOX	alternative oxidase
C(I-IV)	complex (I–IV)
DDM	<i>n</i> -dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside
DHAP	dihydroxyacetone phosphate
DQ	decylubiquinone
FET	forward electron transfer
G3P	glycerol 3-phosphate
GlpD	glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
NĒM	N-ethylmaleimide
Pi	inorganic phosphate
RET	reverse electron transfer
ROS	reactive oxygen species
SMP	submitochondrial particles.

REFERENCES

(1) Hirst, J. Mitochondrial Complex I. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2013, 82, 551–575.

(2) Wirth, C.; Brandt, U.; Hunte, C.; Zickermann, V.; Zick, V. Structure and Function of Mitochondrial Complex I. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2016**, *1857*, 902–914.

(3) Jones, A. J. Y.; Blaza, J. N.; Varghese, F.; Hirst, J. Respiratory Complex I in *Bos taurus* and *Paracoccus denitrificans* Pumps Four Protons across the Membrane for Every NADH Oxidized. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2017**, 292, 4987–4995.

(4) Fassone, E.; Rahman, S. Complex I Deficiency: Clinical Features, Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics. *J. Med. Genet.* **2012**, *49*, 578–590.

(5) Murphy, M. P. How Mitochondria Produce Reactive Oxygen Species. *Biochem. J.* **2009**, *417*, 1–13.

(6) Chouchani, E. T.; Pell, V. R.; Gaude, E.; Aksentijević, D.; Sundier, S. Y.; Robb, E. L.; Logan, A.; Nadtochiy, S. M.; Ord, E. N. J.; Smith, A. C.; Eyassu, F.; Shirley, R.; Hu, C. H.; Dare, A. J.; James, A. M.; Rogatti, S.; Hartley, R. C.; Eaton, S.; Costa, A. S. H.; Brookes, P. S.; Davidson, S. M.; Duchen, M. R.; Saeb-Parsy, K.; Shattock, M. J.; Robinson, A. J.; Work, L. M.; Frezza, C.; Krieg, T.; Murphy, M. P. Ischaemic Accumulation of Succinate Controls Reperfusion Injury through Mitochondrial ROS. *Nature* 2014, *515*, 431–435.

(7) Mills, E. L.; Kelly, B.; Logan, A.; Costa, A. S. H.; Varma, M.; Bryant, C. E.; Tourlomousis, P.; Däbritz, J. H. M.; Gottlieb, E.; Latorre, I.; Corr, S. C.; McManus, G.; Ryan, D.; Jacobs, H. T.; Szibor, M.; Xavier, R. J.; Braun, T.; Frezza, C.; Murphy, M. P.; O'Neill, L. A. Succinate Dehydrogenase Supports Metabolic Repurposing of Mitochondria to Drive Inflammatory Macrophages. *Cell* **2016**, *167*, 457–470.e13.

(8) Mills, E. L.; Pierce, K. A.; Jedrychowski, M. P.; Garrity, R.; Winther, S.; Vidoni, S.; Yoneshiro, T.; Spinelli, J. B.; Lu, G. Z.; Kazak, L.; Banks, A. S.; Haigis, M. C.; Kajimura, S.; Murphy, M. P.; Gygi, S. P.; Clish, C. B.; Chouchani, E. T. Accumulation of Succinate Controls Activation of Adipose Tissue Thermogenesis. *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 102– 106.

(9) Arias-Mayenco, I.; González-Rodríguez, P.; Torres-Torrelo, H.; Gao, L.; Fernández-Agüera, M. C.; Bonilla-Henao, V.; Ortega-Sáenz, P.; López-Barneo, J. Acute O_2 Sensing: Role of Coenzyme QH_2/Q Ratio and Mitochondrial ROS Compartmentalization. *Cell Metab.* **2018**, *28*, 145–158.e4.

(10) Scialò, F.; Sriram, A.; Fernández-Ayala, D.; Gubina, N.; Lõhmus, M.; Nelson, G.; Logan, A.; Cooper, H. M.; Navas, P.; Enríquez, J. A.; Murphy, M. P.; Sanz, A. Mitochondrial ROS Produced via Reverse Electron Transport Extend Animal Lifespan. *Cell Metab.* **2016**, *23*, 725–734.

(11) Chance, B.; Hollunger, G. Energy-Linked Reduction of Mitochondrial Pyridine Nucleotide. *Nature* **1960**, *185*, 666–672.

(12) Pryde, K. R.; Hirst, J. Superoxide Is Produced by the Reduced Flavin in Mitochondrial Complex I. J. Biol. Chem. 2011, 286, 18056–18065.

(13) Robb, E. L.; Hall, A. R.; Prime, T. A.; Eaton, S.; Szibor, M.; Viscomi, C.; James, A. M.; Murphy, M. P. Control of Mitochondrial Superoxide Production by Reverse Electron Transport at Complex I. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2018**, *293*, 9869–9879.

(14) Jones, A. J. Y.; Blaza, J. N.; Bridges, H. R.; May, B.; Moore, A. L.; Hirst, J. A Self-Assembled Respiratory Chain That Catalyzes NADH Oxidation by Ubiquinone-10 Cycling between Complex I and the Alternative Oxidase. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 728–731.

(15) Fedor, J. G.; Jones, A. J. Y.; Di Luca, A.; Kaila, V. R. I.; Hirst, J. Correlating Kinetic and Structural Data on Ubiquinone Binding and Reduction by Respiratory Complex I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2017**, *114*, 12737–12742.

(16) Biner, O.; Fedor, J. G.; Yin, Z.; Hirst, J. Bottom-Up Construction of a Minimal System for Cellular Respiration and Energy Regeneration. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **2020**, *9*, 1450–1459.

(17) Yeh, J. I.; Chinte, U.; Du, S. Structure of Glycerol-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase, an Essential Monotopic Membrane Enzyme Involved in Respiration and Metabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2008**, *105*, 3280–3285.

(18) Walz, A.-C.; Demel, R. A.; de Kruijff, B.; Mutzel, R. Aerobic *sn*-Glycerol-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase from *Escherichia Coli* Binds to the Cytoplasmic Membrane through an Amphipathic α -Helix. *Biochem. J.* **2002**, 365, 471–479.

(19) Kotlyar, A. B.; Vinogradov, A. D. Slow Active/Inactive Transition of the Mitochondrial NADH-Ubiquinone Reductase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1990**, *1019*, 151–158.

(20) Zharova, T. V.; Vinogradov, A. D. A Competitive Inhibition of the Mitochondrial NADH-Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase (Complex I) by ADP-Ribose. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1997**, *1320*, 256–264.

(21) DeGrip, J. W.; VanOostrum, J.; Bovee-Geurts, P. H. M. Selective Detergent-Extraction from Mixed Detergent/Lipid/Protein Micelles, Using Cyclodextrin Inclusion Compounds: A Novel Generic Approach for the Preparation of Proteoliposomes. *Biochem. J.* **1998**, 330, 667– 674.

(22) Nagle, J. F.; Tristram-Nagle, S. Structure of Lipid Bilayers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2000, *1469*, 159–195.

(23) Bridges, H. R.; Fedor, J. G.; Blaza, J. N.; Di Luca, A.; Jussupow, A.; Jarman, O. D.; Wright, J. J.; Agip, A. N. A.; Gamiz-Hernandez, A. P.; Roessler, M. M.; Kaila, V. R. I.; Hirst, J. Structure of Inhibitor-Bound Mammalian Complex I. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 1–11.

(24) Jarman, O. D.; Biner, O.; Wright, J. J.; Hirst, J. *Paracoccus denitrificans*: A Genetically Tractable Model System for Studying Respiratory Complex I. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 1–14.

(25) Burger, N.; Logan, A.; Prime, T. A.; Mottahedin, A.; Caldwell, S. T.; Krieg, T.; Hartley, R. C.; James, A. M.; Murphy, M. P. A Sensitive Mass Spectrometric Assay for Mitochondrial CoQ Pool Redox State in Vivo. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2020**, *147*, 37–47.

(26) Gutman, M. Electron Flux through the Mitochondrial Ubiquinone. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1980**, *594*, 53–84.

(27) Yin, Z.; Burger, N.; Kula-Alwar, D.; Aksentijević, D.; Bridges, H. R.; Prag, H. A.; Grba, D. N.; Viscomi, C.; James, A. M.; Mottahedin, A.; Krieg, T.; Murphy, M. P.; Hirst, J. Structural Basis for a Complex I Mutation That Blocks Pathological ROS Production. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 707.

(28) Babot, M.; Birch, A.; Labarbuta, P.; Galkin, A. Characterisation of the Active/de-Active Transition of Mitochondrial Complex I. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2014**, *1837*, 1083–1092.

(29) Galkin, A.; Meyer, B.; Wittig, I.; Karas, M.; Schägger, H.; Vinogradov, A.; Brandt, U. Identification of the Mitochondrial ND3 Subunit as a Structural Component Involved in the Active/Deactive Enzyme Transition of Respiratory Complex I. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2008**, *283*, 20907–20913.

(30) Vinogradov, A. D.; Vinogradov, A. D. Catalytic Properties of the Mitochondrial NADH-Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase (Complex I) and the Pseudo-Reversible Active/Inactive Enzyme Transition. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1998**, *1364*, 169–185.

(31) Blaza, J. N.; Vinothkumar, K. R.; Hirst, J. Structure of the Deactive State of Mammalian Respiratory Complex I. *Structure* **2018**, 26, 312–319.e3.

(32) Zhu, J.; Vinothkumar, K. R.; Hirst, J. Structure of Mammalian Respiratory Complex I. *Nature* **2016**, *515*, 80–84.

(33) Kampjut, D.; Sazanov, L. A. The Coupling Mechanism of Mammalian Respiratory Complex I. *Science* **2020**, *370*, eabc4209.

(34) Kotlyar, A. B.; Borovok, N. NADH Oxidation and NAD⁺ Reduction Catalysed by Tightly Coupled inside-out Vesicles from *Paracoccus denitrificans. Eur. J. Biochem.* **2002**, *269*, 4020–4024.

(35) Agip, A. N. A.; Blaza, J. N.; Bridges, H. R.; Viscomi, C.; Rawson, S.; Muench, S. P.; Hirst, J. Cryo-EM Structures of Complex I from Mouse Heart Mitochondria in Two Biochemically Defined States. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2018**, *25*, 1–9.

(36) Kerscher, S.; Dröse, S.; Zwicker, K.; Zickermann, V.; Brandt, U. Yarrowia lipolytica, a Yeast Genetic System to Study Mitochondrial Complex I. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **2002**, 1555, 83–91.

(37) Bridges, H. R.; Grgic, L.; Harbour, M. E.; Hirst, J. The Respiratory Complexes I from the Mitochondria of Two *Pichia* Species. *Biochem. J.* **2009**, *422*, 151–159.

(38) Djafarzadeh, R.; Kerscher, S.; Zwicker, K.; Radermacher, M.; Lindahl, M.; Schägger, H.; Brandt, U. Biophysical and Structural Characterization of Proton-Translocating NADH-Dehydrogenase (Complex I) from the Strictly Aerobic Yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2000**, *1459*, 230–238.

(39) Dröse, S.; Galkin, A.; Brandt, U. Proton Pumping by Complex I (NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase) from *Yarrowia lipolytica* Reconstituted into Proteoliposomes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, 1710, 87–95.

(40) Maklashina, E.; Kotlyar, A. B.; Cecchini, G. Active/de-Active Transition of Respiratory Complex I in Bacteria, Fungi, and Animals. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2003**, *1606*, 95.

(41) Grba, D. N.; Hirst, J. Mitochondrial Complex I Structure Reveals Ordered Water Molecules for Catalysis and Proton Translocation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2020**, *27*, 892–900.

(42) Parey, K.; Lasham, J.; Mills, D. J.; Djurabekova, A.; Haapanen, O.; Yoga, E. G.; Xie, H.; Kühlbrandt, W.; Sharma, V.; Vonck, J.; Zickermann, V. High-Resolution Structure and Dynamics of Mitochondrial Complex I-Insights into the Proton Pumping Mechanism. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eabj3221.

(43) Chung, I.; Serreli, R.; Cross, J. B.; Di Francesco, M. E.; Marszalek, J. R.; Hirst, J. Cork-in-Bottle Mechanism of Inhibitor Binding to Mammalian Complex I. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eabg4000.

(44) Uno, S.; Masuya, T.; Shinzawa-Itoh, K.; Lasham, J.; Haapanen, O.; Shiba, T.; Inaoka, D. K.; Sharma, V.; Murai, M.; Hideto Miyoshi, X. Oversized Ubiquinones as Molecular Probes for Structural Dynamics of the Ubiquinone Reaction Site in Mitochondrial Respiratory Complex I. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295*, 2449–2463.

(45) Galkin, A.; Brandt, U. Superoxide Radical Formation by Pure Complex I (NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase) from *Yarrowia lipolytica. J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 30129–30135.

(46) Cabrera-Orefice, A.; Yoga, E. G.; Wirth, C.; Siegmund, K.; Zwicker, K.; Guerrero-Castillo, S.; Zickermann, V.; Hunte, C.; Brandt, U. Locking Loop Movement in the Ubiquinone Pocket of Complex I Disengages the Proton Pumps. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 4500.

(47) Mühlbauer, M. E.; Saura, P.; Nuber, F.; Di Luca, A.; Friedrich, T.; Kaila, V. R. I. Water-Gated Proton Transfer Dynamics in Respiratory Complex I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 13718–13728.

(48) Dröse, S.; Krack, S.; Sokolova, L.; Zwicker, K.; Barth, H.-D.; Morgner, N.; Heide, H.; Steger, M.; Nübel, E.; Zickermann, V.; Kerscher, S.; Brutschy, B.; Radermacher, M.; Brandt, U. Functional Dissection of the Proton Pumping Modules of Mitochondrial Complex I. *PLoS Biol.* **2011**, *9*, No. e1001128.

(49) Roberts, P. G.; Hirst, J. The Deactive Form of Respiratory Complex I from Mammalian Mitochondria Is a Na⁺/H⁺ Antiporter. J. *Biol. Chem.* **2012**, 287, 34743–34751.

(50) Tsuji, A.; Akao, T.; Masuya, T.; Murai, M.; Miyoshi, H. IACS-010759, a Potent Inhibitor of Glycolysis-Deficient Hypoxic Tumor Cells, Inhibits Mitochondrial Respiratory Complex I through a Unique Mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295*, 7481–7491.

(51) Brand, M. D.; Goncalves, R. L. S.; Orr, A. L.; Vargas, L.; Gerencser, A. A.; Borch Jensen, M.; Wang, Y. T.; Melov, S.; Turk, C. N.; Matzen, J. T.; Dardov, V. J.; Petrassi, H. M.; Meeusen, S. L.; Perevoshchikova, I. V.; Jasper, H.; Brookes, P. S.; Ainscow, E. K. Suppressors of Superoxide-H₂O₂ Production at Site IQ of Mitochondrial Complex I Protect against Stem Cell Hyperplasia and Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. *Cell Metab.* **2016**, *24*, 582–592.

(52) Wong, H. S.; Dighe, P. A.; Mezera, V.; Monternier, P. A.; Brand, M. D. Production of Superoxide and Hydrogen Peroxide from Specific Mitochondrial Sites under Different Bioenergetic Conditions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2017**, *292*, 16804–16809.

(53) Grivennikova, V. G.; Serebryanaya, D. V.; Isakova, E. P.; Belozerskaya, T. A.; Vinogradov, A. D. The Transition between Active and De-Activated Forms of NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase (Complex I) in the Mitochondrial Membrane of *Neurospora crassa*. *Biochem. J.* **2003**, 369, 619–626.

(54) Röpke, M.; Riepl, D.; Saura, P.; Di Luca, A.; Mühlbauer, M. E.; Jussupow, A.; Gamiz-Hernandez, A. P.; Kaila, V. R. I. Deactivation Blocks Proton Pathways in the Mitochondrial Complex I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2021**, *118*, No. e2019498118.

(55) Carroll, J.; Ding, S.; Fearnley, I. M.; Walker, J. E. Post-Translational Modifications near the Quinone Binding Site of Mammalian Complex I. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, 288, 24799–24808.

(56) Agip, A. A. Developing Mouse Complex I as a Model System: Structure, Function and Implications for Mitochondrial Diseases, P.hD. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2018.

(57) Galemou Yoga, E.; Haapanen, O.; Wittig, I.; Siegmund, K.; Sharma, V.; Zickermann, V. Mutations in a Conserved Loop in the PSST Subunit of Respiratory Complex I Affect Ubiquinone Binding and Dynamics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2019**, *1860*, 573–581.

(58) Kashani-Poor, N.; Kerscher, S.; Zickermann, V.; Brandt, U. Efficient Large Scale Purification of His-Tagged Proton Translocating NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase (Complex I) from the Strictly Aerobic Yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2001**, *1504*, 363–370.

(59) Varghese, F.; Atcheson, E.; Bridges, H. R.; Hirst, J. Characterization of Clinically Identified Mutations in NDUFV1, the Flavin-Binding Subunit of Respiratory Complex I, Using a Yeast Model System. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2015**, *24*, 6350–6360.

(60) Wiedenmann, A.; Dimroth, P.; von Ballmoos, C. $\Delta \psi$ and ΔpH Are Equivalent Driving Forces for Proton Transport through Isolated F₀ Complexes of ATP Synthases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2008**, 1777, 1301–1310.

(61) Fedor, J. G.; Hirst, J. Mitochondrial Supercomplexes Do Not Enhance Catalysis by Quinone Channeling. *Cell Metab.* **2018**, *28*, 525–531.e4.

(62) Blaza, J. N.; Serreli, R.; Jones, A. J. Y.; Mohammed, K.; Hirst, J. Kinetic Evidence against Partitioning of the Ubiquinone Pool and the Catalytic Relevance of Respiratory-Chain Supercomplexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**, *111*, 15735–15740.

(63) Fato, R.; Estornell, E.; Di Bernardo, S.; Pallotti, F.; Castelli, G. P.; Lenaz, G. Steady-State Kinetics of the Reduction of Coenzyme Q Analogs by Complex I (NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase) in Bovine Heart Mitochondria and Submitochondrial Particles. *Biochemistry* **1996**, 35, 2705–2716.

(64) Jarman, O. D.; Biner, O.; Hirst, J. Regulation of ATP Hydrolysis by the ε Subunit, ζ Subunit and Mg-ADP in the ATP Synthase of *Paracoccus denitrificans. Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1862**, 2021, 148355.