cambridge.org/hyg

Original Paper

*Contributed equally to the manuscript.

Cite this article: Böhm S, Woudenberg T, Chen D, Marosevic DV, Böhmer MM, Hansen L, Wallinga J, Sing A, Katz K (2021). Epidemiology and transmission characteristics of early COVID-19 cases, 20 January–19 March 2020, in Bavaria, Germany. *Epidemiology and Infection* **149**, e65, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0950268821000510

Received: 31 July 2020 Revised: 13 January 2021 Accepted: 25 February 2021

Key words:

COVID-19; epidemiology; infectious disease epidemiology; outbreaks; pandemic

Author for correspondence: S. Böhm, E-mail: Stefanie.boehm@lgl.bayern.de

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Epidemiology and transmission characteristics of early COVID-19 cases, 20 January–19 March 2020, in Bavaria, Germany

S. Böhm^{1,2,3*} , T. Woudenberg^{1,2,*}, D. Chen⁴, D. V. Marosevic¹, M. M. Böhmer^{1,5}, L. Hansen^{2,4}, J. Wallinga^{4,6}, A. Sing¹ and K. Katz¹

¹Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority, Oberschleissheim, Germany; ²ECDC Fellowship Programme, Field Epidemiology path (EPIET), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Stockholm, Sweden; ³Postgraduate Training for Applied Epidemiology (PAE), Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany; ⁴National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, Netherlands; ⁵Institute of Social Medicine and Health Systems Research, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany and ⁶Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

Abstract

Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) led to a significant disease burden and disruptions in health systems. We describe the epidemiology and transmission characteristics of early coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases in Bavaria, Germany. Cases were reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, reported from 20 January-19 March 2020. The incubation period was estimated using travel history and date of symptom onset. To estimate the serial interval, we identified pairs of index and secondary cases. By 19 March, 3546 cases were reported. A large proportion was exposed abroad (38%), causing further local transmission. Median incubation period of 256 cases with exposure abroad was 3.8 days (95%CI: 3.5-4.2). For 95% of infected individuals, symptom onset occurred within 10.3 days (95%CI: 9.1-11.8) after exposure. The median serial interval, using 53 pairs, was 3.5 days (95%CI: 3.0-4.2; mean: 3.9, s.D.: 2.2). Travellers returning to Germany had an important influence on the spread of SARS-CoV-2 infections in Bavaria in early 2020. Especially in times of low incidence, public health agencies should identify holiday destinations, and areas with ongoing local transmission, to monitor potential importation of SARS-CoV-2 infections. Travellers returning from areas with ongoing community transmission should be advised to quarantine to prevent re-introductions of COVID-19.

Introduction

As of 22 December 2020, 75 129 306 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have been reported worldwide, including 561 617 deaths [1]. COVID-19 is caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). This virus was identified as the infectious agent of the initial outbreak of viral pneumonia in Wuhan, China, in early January 2020 [2]. In March 2020, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was declared a worldwide pandemic [3].

Since late February 2020, most new cases were reported from outside China, with an increasing proportion of these reported from the European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA) countries [4, 5]. The first documented transmission of COVID-19 in Europe occurred in Bavaria in late January 2020, and comprised a cluster of 16 cases [6, 7]. While the last case of this cluster was reported on 11 February, new cases continued to be reported on 27 February and onwards.

Epidemiological parameters, such as the incubation period and the serial interval of SARS-CoV-2, are key factors to characterise the spread of the virus in order to guide interventions, e.g. the duration of quarantine of contact persons, and to quantify the effect of interventions on transmission. Concerted efforts to contain disease spread in the federal state of Bavaria, Germany, included comprehensive contact tracing and testing of contact persons, resulting in extensive data on transmission patterns [6, 8]. As additional information was available on potential exposure intervals and transmission chains for cases affected during the first few weeks of the spread, we characterised the epidemiology and transmission parameters of 3546 cases of COVID-19 reported in Bavaria, Germany, between 20 January and 19 March 2020.

Methods

Surveillance

In Germany, notification of SARS-CoV-2 infections was mandatory since 30 January 2020 [9]. A case was defined as a person with a laboratory confirmation by detection of SARS-CoV-2 by

reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) [10]. Initially in Bavaria, most samples were tested at the Public Health Microbiology (PHM) laboratory of the Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority (LGL) [6]. As the epidemic advanced, additional private, hospital and university laboratories offered SARS-CoV-2 testing.

For the first 580 cases, the state public health authority maintained a dedicated manual line list to record notifications of SARS-CoV-2 cases in Bavaria, and subsequently switched to using the standard electronic surveillance system. The manual line list included additional information received through event and laboratory reports, as well as contact tracing data from local health authorities. Information on transmission chains between some cases was available.

Testing strategy in the German federal state Bavaria (~13 million inhabitants) was as follows: Individuals who had cumulative face-to-face contact for at least 15 min with a person with a laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, or direct contact with body fluids of a case, were deemed contacts and were eligible for testing, irrespective of symptoms. Individuals with respiratory symptoms returning from defined risk areas were also eligible for testing [11]. Upon identification of persons eligible for testing, a first upper respiratory sample was taken. Another sample was taken of contact persons who developed symptoms during a 14-day quarantine and irrespective of signs and symptoms, a final sample was collected at the end of the quarantine. From 3 March onwards, the final sample was no longer required, and from 19 March onwards, testing of asymptomatic contact persons was discontinued.

Individuals who reported travel outside of Bavaria, Germany up to 28 days before symptoms onset and were presumably exposed outside of Bavaria, were therefore considered to have been exposed during travels. Until 19 March, the presumed exposure of most reported cases in Bavaria could still be linked to either a stay abroad or contact to a known case, and percentage of reported symptomatic COVID-19 cases ranged between 40% and 80% [12]. Meanwhile, we know community transmission was present in February and early March in some popular travel destinations [13]. Thus, it is most likely that these cases were exposed during their travels outside of Bavaria, Germany, thus, providing a confined window of exposure.

Descriptive epidemiology

We described reported cases in terms of geographic distribution, as well as symptom status and demographic characteristics. We defined cases as asymptomatic, if clinical information was available, and none of the following symptoms was reported: coughing, diarrhoea, dyspnoea, fever, pneumonia, sore throat and runny nose.

Differences between proportions were tested using either the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. For all analyses, we used the statistical software program R [14]. Visualisations were made using the *ggplot2* package [15]; maps were made using the *tmap* package [16] and networks with the *igraph* package [17].

Incubation period and serial interval

We estimated the incubation period using cases exposed outside of Bavaria as defined above, who had complete data on both travel period and day of symptom onset. From reported travel histories and symptom onset dates, we inferred the earliest and latest point of exposure, and subsequently the minimum and maximum incubation period of these cases, as has been done previously [18]. To account for long travel periods, and assuming the earliest point of exposure to be on 1 February 2020, we corrected earlier points of exposure to 1 February. For individuals of a family who travelled together, of whom we presume continuous contact after their travels, the last potential point of exposure was set equal to their own day of symptom onset to account for a later point of infection through an infectious family member. We fitted three parametric distributions (gamma, log-normal and Weibull) used to describe incubation periods [18, 19] to the interval data of possible points of exposure. The parametric distribution with the highest log-likelihood was reported and also applied to further analysis using stratified subsets to compare means. We used the *icenReg* package to estimate parameters of the fitted distributions [20]. Confidence intervals were obtained using 1000 parametric bootstrap samples.

To estimate the serial interval, we identified pairs of index and secondary cases from the manual line list, and included those with known dates of symptom onset. We selected only those secondary cases who had a documented exposure to the index case and where no other potential source of infection was known. We fitted the gamma, log-normal and Weibull distribution using maximum likelihood estimations. We compared the fit of these distributions using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) [21]. Confidence intervals were estimated with 1000 bootstrap resampling from the fitted distribution.

Results

Descriptive epidemiology

In total, 3546 cases were reported from 20 January until 19 March 2020. More than half of the cases were male (54%, n = 1904 vs. 46%, n = 1642). The median age was 46 years (IQR: 30–56) and the mean age was 44 (standard deviation (s.d.): 18.1) years. The date of symptom onset was available in 2023 cases (57%). Figure 1 illustrates the number of cases by date of symptom onset.

The largest proportion of all reported cases (23%) were in the age group from 50 to 60 years and a fifth (21%) were between 40 and 50 years of age. Three percent of reported cases were below the age of 10 (Table 1). Approximately 20% (518/2620) of reported cases were asymptomatic: a larger proportion of asymptomatic cases occurred among those 19 years old and younger (31%), compared with those 20 years of age and older (19%) (Chi-squared test, *P* value <0.01). The proportion of asymptomatic cases among adults under 60 (18%) and over 60 years of age (19%) were not significantly different. The case fatality ratio increased with age, and was highest (31%) among cases 80 years and older.

The largest number of cases were reported from Bavaria's capital, the city of Munich (n = 850), followed by the surrounding Munich county (n = 168) and adjacent Freising county (n = 155). Development of the incidence per 100 000 population among cases with known date of symptom onset is illustrated in Figure 2.

Data on travel exposure were available for 1901 (54%) out of 3546 reported cases. Of those, 728 reported travel exposure outside of Bavaria (38%). Most cases had travelled to Austria (n = 338), followed by Italy (n = 286) and Spain (n = 18). Up until 8 March, most cases with exposure abroad had returned from Italy (66%), whereas from 9 March onwards, most cases had returned from Austria (64%, Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Number of reported COVID-19 cases by date of symptom onset (*n* = 2023) and by place of exposure in Bavaria, Germany, of cases reported between 20 January and 19 March 2020.

Incubation period and serial interval

We used records of 256 cases with exposure abroad and complete data on travel history, who returned up to 28 days before symptom onset and reported at least one defined symptom, to estimate the incubation period. Among the included cases were individuals who had reported travel to the German federal state North Rhine-Westphalia (n = 2), Austria (n = 136), Italy (n = 106), Spain (n = 10) and Iran (n = 2) (Sup. Fig. 1). The largest log likelihood was observed when fitting the log-normal distribution. Using the log-normal distribution, the median incubation period of SARS-CoV-2 was 3.8 days (95%CI: 3.5–4.2, Fig. 3). For 95% of infected symptomatic individuals, symptom onset occurred within 10.3 days of exposure (95%CI: 9.1–11.8). The mean of the log-normal distribution was 4.6 days a standard deviation of 3.0 days.

We observed a difference in the incubation period between cases exposed in Italy and Austria (Sup. Fig. 2). Cases related to travels to Italy had a mean incubation period of 5.6 days (s.p.: 3.8) and a median of 4.6 days (95%CI: 4.0-5.3), whereas Bavarian cases who were exposed in Austria had a mean of 3.7 days (s.D.: 2.1) and a median incubation period of 3.2 days (95%CI: 2.9-3.6). Cases who were exposed in Italy had on average a longer duration of stay abroad than those who were exposed in Austria (6 vs. 4 days), an earlier date of symptom onset and a different age distribution (Sup. Fig. 3A-C). Twelve per cent of the cases who got infected in Italy were below 20 years of age, while this was 1% of those exposed in Austria and 17% of the cases associated with travels to Austria were between 30 and 40 years of age vs. 8% of cases related to travels to Italy (Sup. Fig. 3A-C). We stratified incubation periods by duration of stay abroad, date of symptom onset and age (Sup. Fig. 3D-F). After stratifying by duration of stay abroad, the difference between the estimates for Italy and Austria became smaller for a duration of 4 days and disappeared for a duration of 6 days (Sup. Fig. 4).

Out of 91 pairs of index and secondary cases, comprising 122 individuals, 53 pairs had sufficient information to estimate the serial interval. Among these pairs, no transmission was observed from children of 10 years and younger (Sup. Fig. 5). The youngest index patient was a 12-year old, who infected two teenagers. The average difference between symptom onset in these 53 pairs was 3.9 days, and ranged from 1 to 9 days. We observed the lowest AIC when fitting the gamma distribution to the data. We estimated that the median serial interval was 3.5 days (95%CI: 3.0–4.1, Fig. 4). In 95% of pairs, the serial interval was less than 8.0 days (95%CI: 6.6–9.4). The mean serial interval was 3.9 days with a standard deviation of 2.2 days.

Discussion

Exposure to a case from China at the end of January 2020 led to an outbreak of 16 cases in Bavaria that was successfully contained [6]. The testing policy, consisting of testing symptomatic individuals returning from risk areas as well as all contact persons, initially regardless of the presence of symptoms, ensured identification of COVID-19 cases and transmission chains. The first reported COVID-19 cases comprised numerous cases who visited popular travel destinations during a state-wide holiday, and were exposed to community transmission during their travels. These cases drove the initial spread that rapidly led to an exponential increase of COVID-19 cases, reaching 3546 reported cases by 19 March.

Slightly below most estimates of the incubation period [22-26] of around 5 days, we observed a mean incubation period of 4.6 days, and 95% of cases developed symptoms within 11 days. A surprising difference was noted in the incubation periods of cases arising from travel to Italy and Austria. Although some of the difference was explained by the duration of travel, the mean

able 1. Age distribution am		cases in bavaria,	uermany, rrom .	zu January to 1	9 March 2020							
	<10	10-19	20–29	30–39	40–49	50-59	69-09	62-02	80-89	>= 90	Missing	Total
	n = 99	n = 247	<i>n</i> = 562	n = 555	<i>n</i> = 713	n = 798	n = 294	<i>n</i> = 168	<i>n</i> = 92	n = 9	n = 9	
	(3%)	(%)	(16%)	(16%)	(21%)	(23%)	(8%)	(2%)	(3%)	(%0)	(%0)	n = 3546
Symptom status												
Asymptomatic, <i>n</i> (%)	24 (37)	56 (29)	83 (20)	61 (15)	(61) 66	115 (19)	42 (19)	21 (18)	15 (22)	1 (20)	1 (20)	518 (20)
Symptomatic, n (%)	41 (63)	135 (71)	328 (80)	337 (85)	427 (81)	497 (81)	179 (81)	97 (82)	53 (78)	4 (80)	4 (80)	2102 (80)
Missing, <i>n</i>	34	56	151	157	187	186	73	50	24	4	4	926
Deaths, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	2 (0.3)	10 (3.4)	14 (8.3)	29 (31.5)	2 (22)	(0) 0	58 (1.6)

S. Böhm et al.

incubation period for cases with exposure in Italy was still higher with the same duration of stay. We may not have been able to identify all families among travellers returning from Italy. Family groups were more common among travellers from Italy, and an unidentified continued exposure to SARS-CoV-2 after a stay abroad would overestimate the incubation period. Travellers from Austria might have been more aware of a possible risk of COVID-19 and thus more attentive to onset of symptoms, as those travellers returned to Bavaria later in the outbreak, and Austria was declared a risk area quicker than some parts of Italy. Finally, a difference in the incubation periods could also be due to differing types of contact or levels of exposure, related to holiday activities in each country [27–29].

Out of 53 pairs of index and secondary cases, we estimated a mean serial interval of 3.9 days. This corresponds with two other studies [30, 31], but is lower than in a systematic review [32]. The serial interval is also dependent on the effectiveness of interventions, such as timing of isolation, and thus, may be changing over time [32, 33]. The mean serial interval is almost one day shorter than the mean overall incubation period (4.6 days) but equal to the mean incubation period for cases coming from Austria (3.7 days). A serial interval shorter than the incubation period suggests that cases may be infectious before the first symptoms are apparent [34]. Our data imply that infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 peaks around the time of symptom onset.

A strength of our study is the extensive contact tracing by local health authorities, resulting in a high completeness of reporting [12]. This is underlined by the low average age, the high percentage of asymptomatic cases and the low case fatality ratio for reported cases compared with the epidemiological situation in other European countries during the first wave in spring of 2020 [35, 36]. The mean age of 44 was lower than reported from other countries during the early phase of the outbreak [37, 38]. The overall proportion of asymptomatic cases was 20%. This proportion is comparable to a community-based estimate (22%) based on the number of clinical manifestations among sero-positive individuals and a modelling study (18%), where the symptom status was elucidated from COVID-19 cases on board of a cruise ship. The populations under observation in these studies were, on average, older than our study population [39, 40]. The proportion of symptomatic cases was however much higher than estimated by Davies et al., who modelled that the proportion of symptomatic infections rose from 21% (credible interval 12-31%) in 10- to 19-year-olds to 69% (credible interval 57-82%) in older adults [41]. And, as underlined by our findings, asymptomatic infections were more present among younger age groups [42].

An inherent caveat of using contact tracing data for the purpose of estimating epidemiological parameters is that many cases were found at an earlier stage, compared with passive surveillance. Asymptomatic infections at the point of sampling may have remained asymptomatic, but some may also have developed symptoms at a later stage that remained unregistered. This selection bias may have shortened our interval estimates and may have increased the proportion of asymptomatic cases in our study.

In both the assessment of the proportion of (a)symptomatic cases and the proportion of cases related to travel, we have chosen to omit cases with a missing value. Some asymptomatic infections may have been classified as cases with missing data on symptom status, as there is no specific data-entry possibility for an asymptomatic infection. However, some symptomatic infections with



Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of COVID-19 cases reported from 20 January to 19 March 2020 in Bavaria, Germany. Map A depicts the cumulative incidence of COVID-19 cases with known date of symptom onset up to 5 February (exclusively showing the first previously described cluster [6]), map B up to 23 February 2020, map C up to 2 March 2020, map D up to 10 March 2020 and map E up to 19 March 2020.





symptoms other than coughing, diarrhoea, dyspnoea, fever, pneumonia, sore throat or runny nose might have been classified as an asymptomatic case. Besides the potential limitations mentioned, symptomatic persons with a SARS-CoV-2 infection have a higher likelihood of being tested and thus reported. Looking at notification data may therefore overestimate the proportion of symptomatic cases. The proportion of cases with exposure abroad was also subject to cases with missing values. It may be that cases without data on place of exposure were largely exposed in Bavaria (proportion of cases with exposure abroad over all reported cases



Fig. 4. Proportion of secondary cases with no symptom onset yet since symptom onset of the index case among 53 pairs of cases. The grey line depicts the empirical distribution of the serial interval, the orange line the fitted gamma distribution with the 95%CI.

was 21%). In addition, during the initial outbreak phase testing was focused only on persons with known exposure (contact to a case or travels to risk area) [11]. These two points might have led to an overestimation of the proportion of cases with exposure abroad.

A further caveat of our study concerns the estimation of the incubation period. Cases may have been infected before or after their stay abroad. Some of the cases, especially towards the end of the study period, had a higher chance of being infected prior to their stay abroad than those at the beginning of the study period due to the local rise in cases. However, given the low incidence in late February and early March in Bavaria, Germany [43–45], the high incidence in the visited countries, similar incubation periods among cases stratified by date of symptom onset (Fig. S3E), and the relatively large sample size in the estimation of the incubation period, we expect that an inconsiderable fraction of the cases was infected prior to their stay abroad.

Conclusion

The analysis of the epidemiology and transmission characteristics of the first COVID-19 cases in Bavaria, Germany, contributes to a further understanding of the novel SARS-CoV-2. In the early weeks of the pandemic, an important proportion of reported cases in Bavaria was attributed to travel outside the region, leading to rapid local transmission. This underlines the importance of continuing efforts in times of low incidence to identify returning travellers who may have been exposed in locations with community transmission, and to quarantine returnees. Duration of quarantine can be deduced from the incubation period. Popular holiday destinations change from winter to summer seasons, so public health authorities should remain abreast of all areas where SARS-CoV-2 infections are continuing or increasing, in order to apply these protection measures and inform the travelling public. These measures are warranted to prevent future numerous importations of SARS-CoV-2, leading to sudden large outbreaks.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268821000510.

Acknowledgements. We thank all public health workers from local health authorities in Bavaria, Germany for the extensive work involved in the follow-up of contact persons and reporting of cases. We thank G. Schlenk, A. Heißenhuber and G. Morlock for data processing and cleaning. **Financial support.** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest. None.

Data. The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings (incubation period, serial interval) of this study are available within the supplementary materials.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update: WHO; 22 December 2020. (Weekly epidemiological update 22 December 2020).
- Li Q et al. (2020) Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine 382, 1199–1207.
- WHO. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 – 11 March 2020. In: WHO, 2020.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: increased transmission in the EU/ EEA and the UK – sixth update – 12 March 2020. Stockholm: ECDC; 2020.
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Situation Report–108: WHO; 2020. (Situation Report–108).
- Böhmer MM et al. (2020) Investigation of a COVID-19 outbreak in Germany resulting from a single travel-associated primary case: a case series. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 20, 920–928.
- 7. Wolfel R et al. (2020) Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* 581, 465–469.
- Rybniker J and Fätkenheuer G (2020) Importance of precise data on SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics control. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 20, 877–879.
- 9. Bundesministerium für Gesundheit. [Regulation on the extension of the notification obligation according to § 6 para. 1 sentence 1 number 1 and § 7 para. 1 sentence 1 of the German Protection against Infection Act with the novel coronavirus ('2019-nCoV')]. In: German Ministry of Health, 2020.
- Robert Koch Institute. Case definition: Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) (SARS-CoV-2) [Falldefinition: Coronavirus-Krankheit-2019 (COVID-19) (SARS-CoV-2)]. In. Berlin 2020.
- 11. Robert Koch Institute (2020) [COVID-19: Clarification of Suspicions and Measures Orientation Guide for Doctors]. Berlin: RKI.
- Russell TW et al. (2020) Reconstructing the early global dynamics of under-ascertained COVID-19 cases and infections. BMC Medicine 18, 332.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid Risk Assessment; Outbreak of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19):

increased transmission globally – fifth update (2 March 2020): ECDC; 2020. (Rapid risk assessment; no. 2 March 2020.).

14. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.

- Wickham H, Chang W and Wickham MH (2016) Package 'ggplot2'. Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics Version 2, 1–189.
- 16. Tennekes M et al. (2020) Package 'tmap'. In.
- 17. Csardi G and Nepusz T (2006) The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal* 1695, 1–9.
- Backer JA, Klinkenberg D and Wallinga J (2020) Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20–28 January 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 25, 2000062.
- White LF (2019) Key parameters in infectious disease epidemiology. In Held L, Hens N, O'Neill PD and Wallinga J (eds), *Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/ CRC, pp. 67–92.
- Anderson-Bergman C (2017) icenReg: regression models for interval censored data in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 81, 1–23.
- 21. Cowling BJ et al. (2009) Estimation of the serial interval of influenza. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)* 20, 344–347.
- Lauer SA et al. (2020) The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: estimation and application. Annals of Internal Medicine 172, 577–582.
- Nie X et al. (2020) Epidemiological characteristics and incubation period of 7,015 confirmed cases with coronavirus disease 2019 outside Hubei Province in China. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 222, 26–33.
- Linton NM et al. (2020) Incubation period and other epidemiological characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infections with right truncation: a statistical analysis of publicly available case data. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 9, 538.
- McAloon C et al. (2020) Incubation period of COVID-19: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis of observational research. BMJ Open 10, e039652.
- Wei Y et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis reveals long and dispersive incubation period of COVID-19. medRxiv 2020: 2020.2006.2020.20134387.
- Furuse Y et al. (2020) Clusters of coronavirus disease in communities, Japan, January-April 2020. Emerging Infectious Diseases Journal 26, 2176.
- Jang S, Han SH and Rhee JY (2020) Cluster of coronavirus disease associated with fitness dance classes, South Korea. *Emerging Infectious Diseases Journal* 26, 1917.
- Lessler J et al. (2009) Incubation periods of acute respiratory viral infections: a systematic review. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 9, 291–300.

- Nishiura H, Linton NM and Akhmetzhanov AR (2020) Serial interval of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) infections. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 93, 284–286.
- Du Z et al. (2020) Serial interval of COVID-19 among publicly reported confirmed cases. Emerging Infectious Diseases Journal 26, 1341–1343.
- 32. **Challen R** *et al.* Meta-analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 serial interval and the impact of parameter uncertainty on the COVID-19 reproduction number. medRxiv 2020: 2020.2011.2017.20231548.
- Ali ST et al. (2020) Serial interval of SARS-CoV-2 was shortened over time by nonpharmaceutical interventions. Science (New York, N.Y.) 369, 1106–1109.
- Tindale LC et al. (2020) Evidence for transmission of COVID-19 prior to symptom onset. Elife 9, e57149.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 situation update for the EU/EEA and the UK: 21 June 2020. In: ECDC, 2020.
- 36. Jombart T et al. (2020) Inferring the number of COVID-19 cases from recently reported deaths. Wellcome Open Research 5.
- Riccardo F et al. Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 cases in Italy and estimates of the reproductive numbers one month into the epidemic (preprint). medRxiv 2020.
- Assche SB-V and Ghio D (2020) A demographic adjustment to improve measurement of COVID-19 severity at the developing stage of the pandemic (Preprint). medRxiv 2020.
- Streeck H et al. (2020) Infection fatality rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a German community with a super-spreading event. medRxiv 2020.
- Mizumoto K et al. (2020) Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020. Eurosurveillance 25, 2000180.
- 41. Davies NG et al. (2020) Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nature Medicine* 26, 1205–1211.
- 42. Zimmermann P and Curtis N (2020) Coronavirus infections in children including COVID-19: an overview of the epidemiology, clinical features, diagnosis, treatment and prevention options in children. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 39, 355–368.
- 43. Buda S *et al.* (2020) Influenza weekly report: calendar week 12/2020 (14.3.-20.3.2020) [Influenza-Wochenbericht: Kalenderwoche12/2020 (14.3.bis 20.3.2020)]: RKI; 2020.
- 44. Buda S et al. (2020) Influenza weekly report: calendar week 10/2020 (29.2.-6.3.2020) [Influenza-Wochenbericht: Kalenderwoche10/2020 (29.2.bis 6.3.2020)]: RKI; 2020.
- Robert Koch Institute (2020) Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Daily Situation Report of the Robert Koch Institute – 10/03/2020. Berlin: RKI; 2020. (10/03/2020).