Revised: 5 June 2021

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Associations between prepregnancy body mass index, gestational weight gain and weight catch-up in small-for-gestational-age children

Lihua Huang<sup>1,2</sup> 💿 | Shiwei Jiang<sup>1,2</sup> 💿 | Jian Xu<sup>1,2</sup> 💿 | Xiaoping Lei<sup>2,3</sup> | Jun Zhang<sup>2</sup> 💿

<sup>1</sup>The International Peace Maternity & Child Health Hospital of China Welfare Institute, Department of Child Healthcare, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Embryo Original Diseases, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup>Xinhua Hospital, MOE-Shanghai Key Laboratory of Children's Environmental Health, Department of Child and Adolescent Healthcare, Shanghai Institute for Pediatric Research, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neonatology, Affiliated Hospital of Luzhou Medical College, Luzhou, China

#### Correspondence

Jian Xu, The International Peace Maternity & Child Health Hospital of China Welfare Institute, Department of Child Healthcare, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Embryo Original Diseases, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, No. 910 Hengshan Road, Shanghai, China. Email: sonia0616@sjtu.edu.cn

#### Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 81974486, 81673189; Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, Grant/Award Number: 2016YFC1000203; Shanghai Municipal Education Commission—Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant Support, Grant/Award Number: 20172016; Gates Foundation HBGDki Project

#### Abstract

Inadequate gestational weight gain (GWG) was related with a higher incidence of smallfor-gestational-age (SGA) births than appropriate GWG; however, the long-term association of maternal GWG with weight catch-up growth in SGA children remains unknown. The objective of this study is to evaluate the associations between prepregnancy body mass index (pBMI), GWG and weight catch-up patterns in SGA children. Data were from the Collaborative Perinatal Project, an American multicentre prospective cohort study. A total of 56,990 gravidas were recruited at the first prenatal visit, and children were followed up until school age. Maternal pBMI, GWG and physical growth of the offspring at birth, 4 months, 1 year, 4 years and 7 years old were recorded. The latent class analysis was employed to form weight catch-up growth patterns (appropriate, excessive, slow, regression and no catch-up patterns) in SGA children. SGA children who developed the 'appropriate catch-up growth' pattern and whose mothers had appropriate pBMI and GWG were chosen as the reference. Associations between GWG for different pBMI and weight catch-up patterns were analysed by multivariate logistic regression models. A total of 1619 infants (9.45%) were born term SGA. After adjusting for relevant confounders, compared with SGA children whose mothers had appropriate pBMI and GWG, SGA children with maternal prepregnancy underweight (for inadequate GWG, GWG below recommendations, adjusted OR: 2.88, 95% CI: 1.13-7.31; for appropriate/excessive GWG, adjusted OR: 3.07, 95% CI: 1.74–5.42) or with prepregnancy normal weight but inadequate GWG (adjusted OR: 2.14, 95% CI: 1.36–3.38) were at a higher risk of having the 'no catch-up growth' pattern. We suggest that SGA children with maternal prepregnancy underweight or inadequate GWG tend to have a poor weight catch-up growth at least until school age.

#### KEYWORDS

child, gestational gain, prepregnancy body mass index, small-for-gestational-age, weight, weight catch-up growth

#### Lihua Huang and Shiwei Jiang contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

<sup>2 of 10</sup> WILEY Maternal & Child Nutrition

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Although the prevalence of small-for-gestational-age (SGA) births has decreased (Campisi et al., 2019; Fujita et al., 2016), SGA babies still count a lot in developing and developed countries. The worldwide prevalence of SGA births is about 10% (Marzouk et al., 2017), ranging from 7% in industrialized countries (Campisi et al., 2019) to over 30% in South Asia or South Africa (Katz et al., 2014; Lavin et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2013).

Although quite a few studies emphasized the importance of appropriate catch-up growth, there were still 10% to 15% SGA children failing to develop the appropriate catch-up growth. Inappropriate post-natal catch-up growth in SGA infants was reported to be associated with problems in physical growth and cognitive/behavioural development in future life (Matthews et al., 2017; Perng et al., 2016; Pylipow et al., 2009; Takeuchi et al., 2018; Victora et al., 2001) and a significant burden for affected individuals, their families and the society (Li et al., 2013; Siega-Riz et al., 2009).

Previous studies showed that inadequate gestational weight gain (GWG, GWG below recommendations) was related with a higher incidence of SGA births than appropriate GWG (Goldstein et al., 2017; Li et al., 2013 ; Siega-Riz et al., 2009). In utero nutrition has deep associations with adult metabolic diseases (Carolan-Olah et al., 2015; Radford et al., 2014). Animal experiments also suggested the possibility of growth retardation induced by maternal low GWG (Wallace et al., 2015). A research found that inadequate GWG would increase the risk of stunting in SGA children (Goldstein et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2016). Therefore, we wonder whether GWG, an index of gestational energy intake, has effects on the physical growth in SGA children. However, related population studies are limited, and the long-term association of maternal GWG with weight catch-up growth in SGA children remains unknown and is not studied.

Therefore, we hypothesize that, in SGA children, inadequate maternal GWG might associate with inadequate weight catch-up growth, and maternal GWG with different prepregnancy body mass index (pBMI) may induce different weight catch-up patterns. In this study, we aim to evaluate the relationship between maternal pBMI, GWG and weight catch-up in SGA children using a multicentre long-running cohort study.

## 2 | METHODS

## 2.1 | Study population

The Collaborative Perinatal Project (CPP, 1959–1976) was a prospective birth-cohort study that recruited pregnant women who received prenatal care at 12 US academic medical centres. Information about maternal behaviour and physical examination was collected at entry and at each prenatal follow-up visit with standardized CPP operation procedures, and offspring were followed up until school age with physical growth assessments at birth, 4 months, 1 year, 4 years and 7 years of age (Hardy, 2003; Klebanoff, 2009). There were 56,990

#### **Key Messages**

- Inadequate gestational weight gain (GWG) is related with a higher risk of SGA births.
- The long-term association of GWG with weight catch-up in SGA children is unknown.
- SGA children with prepregnancy underweight were related with 'no catch-up' pattern.
- 'Inadequate GWG plus prepregnancy under/normal weight' was related with 'no catch-up'.

pregnancies enrolled in CPP at first, and the percentages of surviving children successfully followed was 88% at 1 year old, 75% at 4 years old and 79% at 7 years old (Hardy, 2003; Klebanoff, 2009). Former researches using CPP data reported that, at follow-up evaluations, most of the children failed to return because of mothers having other young children to care for, illness of other family members or other problems, rather than the reasons related to the presence or absence of abnormalities of the study child or characteristics of the subject (Natusna, 2015). The study obeyed with all applicable requirements to conduct research in humans, women gave oral informed consent prior to the CPP study and use of publicly available de-identified data was exempt from the review by our Institutional Review Board.

#### 2.2 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The abortions, stillbirths and multiple births (n = 3343) were excluded. Hispanic, Asian and other ethnicities (n = 5528) were excluded because these ethnic minorities lacked representation. A total of 14,110 subjects with gestational age < 37 weeks or ≥42 weeks were excluded, because preterm or post-term SGA babies may have different growth patterns compared with term SGA babies. We defined SGA as birthweights less the 10th percentile based on internal sex- and gestational age- specific references. A total of 30,822 children with birthweights greater than or equal to the 10th percentile were excluded. One thousand five hundred sixty-eight subjects were excluded due to missing records on weight/height values at birth, 4 months, 1 year, 4 years and 7 years old and on maternal pBMI and GWG. Finally, 1619 term SGA infants were included in this study with complete data on children's weight/height values at any follow-up point and on maternal physical examination during pregnancy (Figure 1).

## 2.3 | Exposure

GWG plus pBMI was used as the independent variable in this study. Maternal data were collected at enrolment (gestational weeks of their first prenatal-care visit, median [IQR]: 21 [15–27] weeks) using a **FIGURE 1** A flowchart of study population. CPP, Collaborative Perinatal Project; GWG, gestational weight gain; SGA, small for gestational age



questionnaire including self-reported prepregnancy weight, age, race, number of cigarettes smoked per day, number of siblings in the household and number of previous pregnancies (Wrotniak et al., 2008). Previous studies have noted that women's recall was highly accurate for their prepregnancy weight even after 30 or more years (Tomeo et al., 1999). Maternal weight and height were measured at the time of delivery. All obstetric examinations and procedures were conducted by trained study staff. GWG was determined as the difference between measured weight at delivery and selfreported prepregnancy weight. According to pBMI, we divided mothers into three groups including prepregnancy underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5  $\leq$  BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and overweight/obesity (BMI  $\ge$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Because women with prepregnancy overweight and obesity were limited in numbers (11.6% and 4.6%, respectively), we merged overweight and obese women into the prepregnancy overweight/obesity group (BMI  $\ge$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Mothers were further classified into three groups based on GWG according to the 2009 National Institution of Medicine Guideline (Yaktine, 2009), including excessive GWG, appropriate GWG and inadequate GWG

groups (the GWG recommendations for prepregnancy underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity are 12.5–18.0, 11.5–16.0, 7.0–11.5 and 5–9 kg, respectively). Therefore, mothers were classified into nine groups including prepregnancy underweight and inadequate or appropriate or excessive GWG; prepregnancy normal weight and inadequate or appropriate or excessive GWG; prepregnancy overweight/obesity and inadequate or appropriate or excessive GWG groups.

## 2.4 | Outcome

The main outcome in this study was the post-natal weight growth pattern. In our former study, we used the latent class analysis (LCA) to explore the catch-up growth patterns for term SGA children and formed five catch-up growth trajectories including the appropriate, slow, no, excessive catch-up growth patterns and the regression after 4 months pattern (Lei et al., 2015). Sex- and age-specific weight *z*-scores were calculated, because *z*-scores could reflect the relative

30th percentile at 4 months; modest catch-up thereafter that was from the 30th percentile at 4 months to the 50th percentile at 1 year old; then keeping around the 50th percentile from 1 to 7 years of age)

## TABLE 1 Maternal and offspring characteristics of the study mother-SGA pairs based on GWG

Characteristics	Inadequate GWG ( $n = 1195$ )	Appropriate GWG $(n = 331)$	Excessive GWG $(n = 93)$	P <sup>a</sup>
Mother				
Age mean (SD)	23.9 (6.5)	23 (6.1)	24.9 (6.8)	<0.05
Race, Caucasian, N (%)	443(37.1)	112 (33.8)	27 (29)	0.20
Education, N (%)				0.22
≥13 years	102 (8.5)	18 (5.4)	10 (10.8)	
10-12 years	715 (59.8)	201 (60.7)	59 (63.4)	
≤9 years	378 (31.6)	112 (33.8)	24 (25.8)	
Prepregnancy BMI, mean (SD)	21.5 (3.7)	22.4 (3.8)	26 (6.4)	<0.05
Prepregnancy BMI, N (%)				<0.05
Underweight	184 (81.8)	36 (16)	5 (2.2)	
Normal weight	886 (77.7)	215 (18.8)	40 (2.5)	
Overweight/obesity	125 (49.4)	80 (31.6)	48 (19)	
Smoking, N (%)				0.17
0 pipes per day	464 (38.8)	139 (42)	39 (42)	
1–19 pipes per day	439 (36.7)	119 (36)	36 (38.7)	
≥20 pipes per day	234 (19.6)	68 (20.5)	15 (16.1)	
Unknown	58 (4.9)	5 (1.5)	3 (3.2)	
Social economic status, N (%)				0.99
1 (lowest)	136 (11.4)	42 (12.7)	10 (10.8)	
2	417 (34.9)	110 (33.2)	34 (36.6)	
3	349 (29.2)	99 (29.9)	26 (28)	
4	204 (17.1)	58 (17.5)	18 (19.4)	
5 (highest)	73 (6.1)	18 (5.4)	5 (5.4)	
Unknown	16 (1.3)	4 (1.2)	O (O)	
Offspring				
Boys, N (%)	570 (47.7)	181 (54.7)	52 (55.9)	<0.05
Gestational age at birth (weeks)	39.3 (1.2)	39.3 (1.3)	39.3 (1.1)	0.69
Birthweight, kg, mean (SD)	2.2 (0.3)	2.5 (0.2)	2.5 (0.3)	<0.05
z-score	-1.6 (0.5)	-1.5 (0.4)	-1.6 (0.5)	<0.05
Weight at 4 months, kg, mean (SD)	5.6 (0.8)	5.7 (0.7)	5.8 (0.9)	<0.05
z-score	-0.9 (0.9)	-0.8 (0.8)	-0.7 (1)	<0.05
Weight at 1 year, kg, mean (SD)	8.9 (1.1)	9.1 (1.2)	9.3 (1.3)	<0.05
z-score	-0.8 (0.9)	-0.6 (0.9)	-0.5 (1)	<0.05
Weight at 4 years, kg, mean (SD)	15.1 (2)	15.5 (1.9)	16 (2.9)	<0.05
z-score	-0.6 (0.9)	-0.5 (0.9)	-0.2 (1.3)	<0.05
Weight at 7 years, kg, mean (SD)	21.9 (4)	22.8 (4.1)	23.7 (5.4)	<0.05
z-score	-0.4 (0.9)	-0.2 (1)	0 (1.3)	<0.05

Note: Continuous variables were shown in mean (SD), and categorical variables were shown in N (%). Chi-square was used for categorical variables, and ANOVA was used for continuous variables.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain; mother-SGA, mother-small-for-gestational-age children; SGA, small for gestational age.

<sup>a</sup>Comparisons among SGA children in different GWG levels, P < 0.05 was set as statistically significant.

was used as the reference pattern because it had a lower risk of overweight/obesity and growth restriction at 7 years and did not show any increased risks of other adverse outcomes than the appropriate gestational-age children (Lei et al., 2015). Children's weight and height measurements were done by staff members with special training, strictly following the standardized CPP procedures (Hardy, 2003; Klebanoff, 2009).

## 2.5 | Confounders

Based on literature review and statistical analyses, the models were adjusted for confounders that may affect GWG and offspring physical growth including maternal age, education level, race, smoking during pregnancy, child sex, parity and economic status (Hack et al., 2003; Leger et al., 1998).

### 2.6 | Statistics analysis

Baseline characteristics of the study population among three GWG categories were examined by chi-square for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables.

LCA is a statistical method used to identify a set of discrete, mutually exclusive latent classes of individuals based on their responses to a set of observed categorical variables (Lanza et al., 2007). The probability of belonging to which catch-up pattern was calculated for each individual and was estimated from the model parameters. For descriptive analyses and modelling-checking purposes, individuals could be assigned to the patterns to which they had the highest probability of belonging. We used LCA to group SGA children into different growth patterns by their weight percentiles (Lei et al., 2015). This was done in a probabilistic manner, using maximum likelihood, conducted by PROC LCA in SAS (version 9.4).

The exposure variable, GWG, was related to the growth patterns via a multivariate logistic regression model after adjusting for confounders mentioned above, using the appropriate GWG as reference. To elucidate the impact of pBMI on the association between GWG and weight catch-up patterns, we used the pBMI as a stratified variable and further analysed the association of GWG categories with weight catch-up patterns among women in different pBMI levels. Because very few prepregnancy underweight women developed excessive GWG (n = 5), prepregnancy underweight women with appropriate or excessive GWG were merged into one group (n = 41).

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated for the ORs were analysed using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). P < 0.05 was considered as a significant level.

## 3 | RESULTS

Table 1 showed maternal and offspring characteristics based on GWGs. A total of 1619 mother–SGA child pairs were included in this study, accounting for 9.5% of the whole investigated population, close to the prevalence of SGA children all over the world (Katz et al., 2014). The Caucasian accounted for 36.0%, and the boys accounted for 49.6%. Maternal age was  $23.8 \pm 6.4$  years old, pBMI was  $22.0 \pm 4.1$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and gestational age at birth was  $39.3 \pm 1.2$  weeks based on the last menstrual period. Weights of the study SGA children were  $2.5 \pm 0.2$  kg at birth,  $5.6 \pm 0.8$  kg at 4 months old,  $9.0 \pm 1.1$  kg at 1 year old,  $15.2 \pm 2.1$  kg at 4 years old and  $22.2 \pm 4.1$  kg at 7 years old. The prepregnancy underweight, normal weight and overweight/obesity accounted for 13.7%, 70.7% and 15.6%, respectively, based on maternal pBMI. Average GWG was  $8.1 \pm 5.2$  kg, and inadequate, appropriate and excessive GWGs accounted for 73.7%, 20.6% and 5.8%, respectively.

Figure 2 displayed weight *z*-scores from birth to 7 years old by different GWG categories. SGA children in the inadequate GWG group got the lowest weight catch-up level, reached the lowest weights at birth, ages of 4 months, 1 year, 4 years and 7 years, and the differences were statistically significant at each follow-up stage

Weight Z-scores for SGA Children 0 -0.2 B.0.24 ₹ -0.63 -0.4 -0.6 -0.8-1 -1.2 -1.4 -1.6 -1.81 year 4 years 7 years At birth 4 months Time Points (Age) ---- Inadequate GWG (A) - - Appropriate GWG (B) - Excessive GWG (C)

**FIGURE 2** Weight *z*-scores for SGA children with different maternal gestational weight gains. GWG, gestational weight gain; SGA, small for gestational age. In the appropriate GWG group, the *z*-scores at birth, 4 months, 1 year, 4 years and 7 years old are -1.58, -0.78, -0.63, -0.46 and -0.24, respectively

compared with SGA children with appropriate or excessive maternal GWG (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Figure 3 depicted the results of LCA, dividing SGA children with different growth trajectories into five distinct patterns. The grouping method was based on our previous study (Lei et al., 2015). All SGA children had a similar starting weight percentile (the 10th percentile). The proportions of the 'no catch-up growth', 'regression after 4 months', 'slow catch-up growth', 'excessive catch-up growth' and 'appropriate catch-up growth' patterns were 23.4%, 16.2%, 17.0%, 9.3% and 34.2%, respectively.

Table 2 displayed the association between GWG and weight catch-up growth patterns and analysed via multivariate logistic models. After adjusting for pBMI and other covariates, we found that compared with SGA children whose mothers had appropriate GWG, children whose mothers had inadequate GWG were at a higher odd of being classified in the 'no catch-up growth' pattern (adjusted OR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.24–2.58). Children whose mothers had inadequate GWG were also at a marginally higher odd of being classified in the 'regression after 4 months' pattern (adjusted OR: 1.25, 95% CI: 0.83–1.82). Children whose mothers had excessive GWG had no significant associations with any of the catch-up growth patterns (all *P* values > 0.05).

Table 3 described the associations between GWG and weight catch-up growth patterns for different pBMI categories. Mothers with prepregnancy normal BMI and appropriate GWG were chosen as the reference group. Multivariate logistic models were used to calculate the risks of being classified in different patterns. Children with maternal prepregnancy underweight and any GWG were at higher odds of being classified in the 'no catch-up' pattern, and maternal prepregnancy underweight plus inadequate GWG had the highest odd of being classified in the 'no catch-up growth' pattern (adjusted OR: 3.07, 95% CI: 1.74-5.42). In SGA children with maternal prepregnancy normal weight but inadequate GWG, a higher odd of being classified in the 'no catch-up growth' pattern (adjusted OR: 2.14. 95% CI: 1.36-3.38) was found compared with the reference group. Among SGA children with maternal prepregnancy overweight/obesity, GWG had no significant associations with specific growth patterns.

However, it was interesting to note that if using the recommendations from the 1970s GWG guideline (maternal GWGs of 9.1-12.2 kg were considered appropriate for any pBMI level) (Abrams, 1993) and performing the same analyses, the results on the association of GWG with catch-up growth patterns would show a similar trend (Tables S1 and S2) but were 'not quite the same'



FIGURE 3 Weight catch-up trajectories of the study SGA children based on the latent class analysis model. <sup>1</sup>Growth trajectories were based on latent class analysis, and group D 'appropriate catch-up growth' was used as the reference in this study. LCA, latent class analysis; SGA, small for gestational age. <sup>2</sup>This LCA model was based on our former study (Kawai et al., 2015), using the same grouping method but the different study populations

TABLE 2	Relationships between	GWG and weight catch-up	growth patterns in the study	SGA childrer
---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------	--------------

	No catch-up growth	n pattern	Regression after 4 mor	nths pattern	Slow catch-up patt	tern	Excessive catch-up	o pattern
Group	(aOR, 95% CI)	P <sup>a</sup>	(aOR, 95% CI)	P <sup>a</sup>	(aOR, 95% CI)	P <sup>a</sup>	(aOR, 95% CI)	P <sup>a</sup>
Inadequate GWG	1.79 (1.24–2.58)	0.002	1.25 (0.83-1.82)	0.254	0.89 (0.57-1.38)	0.603	1.14 (0.79–1.64)	0.484
Excessive GWG	1.20 (0.59–2.42)	0.618	0.89 (0.42-1.91)	0.781	1.20 (0.58-2.48)	0.625	0.66 (0.32-1.56)	0.263

*Note:* SGA children with appropriate GWG were chosen as reference. Adjusted for maternal prepregnancy BMI (underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese), maternal age, parity (0,  $\geq 1$  and unknown), education (>12, 10–12 and  $\leq 9$  years), race, smoking during pregnancy (0, 1–19,  $\geq 20$  cigarettes per day), social economic status (1–5, from the lowest to the highest) and child sex.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted OR; BMI, body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain; SGA, small for gestational age. <sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 was set as statistically significant.

		No catch-up pattern		Regression after4-mon	ths pattern	Excessive catch-up pa	attern	Slow catch-up pattern	
Prepregnancy BMI	BWG	(aOR, 95% CI)	Б	(aOR, 95% CI)	Ра	(aOR, 95% CI)	Ра	(aOR, 95% CI)	٩
Underweight	Inadequate	3.07 (1.74-5.42)	<0.001	1.73 (0.97–3.09)	0.063	0.34 (0.12-0.94)	0.038	1.28 (0.69–2.37)	0.441
	Appropriate/excessive	2.88 (1.13-7.31)	0.027	1.61 (0.60-4.31)	0.344	0.89 (0.23–3.42)	0.863	1.53 (0.55-4.24)	0.416
Normal weight	Inadequate	2.14 (1.36-3.38)	0.001	1.12 (0.72-1.75)	0.6113	0.96 (057-1.61)	0.865	1.23 (0.79–1.93)	0.359
	Excessive	1.77 (0.72–4.37)	0.217	0.69 (0.24–2.05)	0.509	1.11 (0.39-3.15)	0.843	0.29 (0.06–1.35)	0.115
Overweight/obesity	Inadequate	0.49 (0.15–1.57)	0.743	0.56 (0.19–1.66)	0.865	1.09 (0.36-3.30)	0.357	0.99 (0.39–2.54)	0.112
	Appropriate	1.36 (0.21-8.68)	0.749	1.17 (0.19–7.42)	0.094	2.40 (0.37-15.51)	0.578	3.39 (0.75-15.26)	0.794
	Excessive	0.91 (0.50-1.64)	0.749	0.59 (0.32-1.10)	0.094	1.20 (0.63-2.29)	0.578	1.08 (0.61–1.89)	0.794
ote: SGA children with m	aternal appropriate GWG and	d prepregnancy BMI wer	e chosen as th	ne reference. Adjusted for	maternal age, pari	ty (0, ≥1 and unknown), €	education (>1	l2, 10-12 and ≤9 years),	race,

Relationships between GWG and weight catch-up patterns in term SGA children with different maternal prepregnancy BMI **TABLE 3** 

smoking during pregnancy (0, 1–19, ≥20 cigarettes per day), social economic status (1–5, from the lowest to the highest) and child sex Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted OR; BMI, body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain; SGA, small for gestational age ž

abbreviations: aok, aujusted Ok, bivil, boc <sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 was set as statistically significant Maternal & Child Nutrition \_WILEY 7 of 10

compared with the results based on the 2009 GWG guideline shown in this study.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that prepregnancy underweight (at any GWG level) or appropriate pBMI but with inadequate GWG was associated with the 'no catch-up growth' pattern that was consistent with former studies showing that inadequate GWG was associated with a higher incidence of SGA birth (Goldstein et al., 2017; Li et al., 2013; Siega-Riz et al., 2009). Children whose weights were less than the 10th percentile from birth to 7 years of age were labelled as the children with the 'no catch-up growth' pattern and were at an increased risk for cerebral palsy, cognitive delay and cardiometabolic diseases (Mas-Parés et al., 2019; Takeuchi et al., 2018). Findings in this study were also consistent with previous studies reporting that the offspring of the mothers having lower weight gain during pregnancy had lower body weights at adulthood (Goldstein et al., 2017; Gurugubelli Krishna & Vishnu Bhat, 2018; Radford et al., 2014), and inadequate GWG was associated with a higher risk of stunning at 5 years old (Xie et al., 2016). Animal experiment demonstrated that maternal nutrient restriction during gestation resulted in smaller livers and lower mRNA expression of growth-related genes in adult offspring (Hyatt et al., 2007). The potential association between inadequate GWG and poor catch-up growth in prepregnancy underweight population may be because of the following reasons: in adverse intrauterine conditions, the early adaptive alterations due to endocrine mechanisms might promote survival of the fetus but would be maladaptive later even when offspring lived in enriched post-natal conditions (Fowden & Forhead, 2004; Gluckman et al., 2007). We consider prepregnancy underweight and inadequate GWG as indexes reflecting maternal nutritional status before and during pregnancy, respectively, which affects fetal growth, metabolism and epigenetic modifications, and once epigenetic modifications occur, the involved tissue-specific and developmental stage-specific gene expression/silencing can be transmitted to the offspring in a stable manner through mitotic cell division, thereby inducing long-term changes in regulation of gene expression and changes in fetal developmental programming (Kawai et al., 2015).

However, in prepregnancy overweight/obesity population, inadequate GWG did not increase the risk of being classified in the 'no catch-up growth' pattern. We suppose that, in prepregnancy overweight/obesity women, there are more calorie storages to support energy consumption during gestation, so inadequate GWG in prepregnancy overweight/obesity women might not cause such huge damages on fetal nutrition or metabolism as it occurred in prepregnancy underweight and normal weight women.

Our study had some strengths. First, the long-term and highquality dataset of the CPP provided a valuable opportunity to study catch-up growth patterns in SGA children. Former studies mainly focused on the association of inadequate GWG with the incidence of SGA birth, and our study was among the first to elucidate the longterm associations between pBMI, GWG and SGA child's post-natal

# <sup>8 of 10</sup> WILEY Maternal & Child Nutrition

growth, which may help predict the future catch-up patterns of SGA neonates, in order to take proper and timely intervention measures and finally optimize SGA children's growth. Furthermore, this study used growth trajectories (relating to our former study) as the outcome based on the LCA procedure (Hendryx & Luo, 2018; Zablotsky et al., 2018). Compared with one-point weight values, dynamically changing growth trajectories contained more development information.

Our study also had some limitations. First, we did not have data on Doppler ultrasound measurements to assess fetal well-being, which were methods evolving during the time of study (Gurugubelli Krishna & Vishnu Bhat, 2018; He et al., 2018; Pamidi et al., 2016; Reddy et al., 2008; Smith & Lees, 2008; Watkins et al., 2017). Therefore, we cannot differentiate SGA children between FGR/IUGR babies and genetically small babies in this study, and we have no evidence that the findings of this study are more suitable for FGR/IUGR or for genetically small babies. Besides, some secular changes (e.g., the rate of smoking during pregnancy decreased and women's highest educational levels increased) have occurred after the completion of the CPP study (Tong et al., 2002; Torr, 2011). Although smoking and women's highest educational levels were not statistically significant in in our logistic models, caution should be taken when explaining the roles of these factors in the association of GWG with SGA catch-up growth. Interestingly, we found that the results on the association of GWG with catch-up growth patterns showed a similar trend if using the recommendations of the 1970s GWG guideline (Tables S1 and S2). Third, minority ethnicities were excluded because of lack of representativeness. Therefore, although our study had a relatively large sample size and was followed up for a long time, future studies are still warranted to confirm findings in our study, especially in other ethnicities. Fourth, in this study, women with prepregnancy underweight but excessive GWG were very few (n = 5), so we merged prepregnancy underweight women with appropriate and excessive GWGs into one group. In that way, the differences between the effects of appropriate and excessive GWGs in women with prepregnancy underweight may be concealed. Fifth, GWG is a total weight increase during the whole pregnancy. We have no data about weight gain in each trimester. Former study stated that GWGs in the second and third trimesters were risk factors for SGA or LGA whereas GWG in the first trimester was not (Gonzalez-Ballano et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2017); we had no data to examine trimester-specific associations between weight catch-up patterns and GWG. Although limitations existed, our study provided evidences on the potentially important roles of GWG and pBMI in the development of weight catch-up patterns in SGA children from birth to school age.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

Gestation is a special time for pregnant women and an initial period of life. Nutrition intake in or even before gestation is essential for fetal health and has associations with post-natal growth. Our study releases that appropriate pBMI and GWG are related with an optimal post-natal catch-up growth pattern in SGA children besides what former studies have stated that inadequate GWG was associated with a higher risk of SGA births than appropriate GWG.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81974486, 81673189 to Xu), Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (2016YFC1000203 to Xu), Shanghai Municipal Education Commission—Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant Support (20172016 to Xu) and the Gates Foundation HBGDki Project (to Xu).

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### CONTRIBUTIONS

LH, SJ and XL are responsible for the acquisition of data. LH and SJ are responsible for the data analysis and interpretation of data, carried out the initial analyses and wrote the paper. JX and JZ designed the research. JX has the primary responsibility for the final content. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available through the US National Archives (http://www.archives.gov). The use of data is exempt from the review by our Institutional Review Board.

## ORCID

Lihua Huang b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9470-2104 Shiwei Jiang b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2564-5756 Jian Xu b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6472-1574 Jun Zhang b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1706-1611

#### REFERENCES

- Abrams, B. (1993). Prenatal weight gain and postpartum weight retention: A delicate balance. *American Journal of Public Health*, 83(8), 1082–1084. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.83.8.1082
- Campisi, S. C., Carbone, S. E., & Zlotkin, S. (2019). Catch-up growth in full-term small for gestational age infants: A systematic review. *Advances in Nutrition (Bethesda, MD)*, 10(1), 104–111. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/advances/nmy091
- Carolan-Olah, M., Duarte-Gardea, M., & Lechuga, J. (2015). A critical review: Early life nutrition and prenatal programming for adult disease. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 24(23–24), 3716–3729. https://doi.org/10. 1111/jocn.12951
- Fowden, A. L., & Forhead, A. J. (2004). Endocrine mechanisms of intrauterine programming. *Reproduction*, 127(5), 515–526. https://doi. org/10.1530/rep.1.00033
- Fujita, K., Nagasaka, M., Iwatani, S., Koda, T., Kurokawa, D., Yamana, K., Nishida, K., Taniguchi-Ikeda, M., Uchino, E., Shirai, C., Iijima, K., & Morioka, I. (2016). Prevalence of small for gestational age (SGA) and short stature in children born SGA who qualify for growth hormone treatment at 3 years of age: Population-based study. *Pediatrics International: Official Journal of the Japan Pediatric Society*, 58(5), 372–376. https://doi.org/10.1111/ped.12859
- Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., & Beedle, A. S. (2007). Early life events and their consequences for later disease: A life history and

## Maternal & Child Nutrition \_WILEY 9 of 10

evolutionary perspective. American Journal of Human Biology, 19(1), 1-19.

- Goldstein, R. F., Abell, S. K., Ranasinha, S., Misso, M., Boyle, J. A., Black, M. H., & Teede, H. J. (2017). Association of gestational weight gain with maternal and infant outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA, 317(21), 2207–2225. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2017.3635
- Gonzalez-Ballano, I., Saviron-Cornudella, R., Esteban, L. M., Sanz, G., & Castán, S. (2019). Pregestational body mass index, trimester-specific weight gain and total gestational weight gain: how do they influence perinatal outcomes? *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 1–8.
- Gurugubelli Krishna, R., & Vishnu Bhat, B. (2018). Molecular mechanisms of intrauterine growth restriction. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 31(19), 2634–2640. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 14767058.2017.1347922
- Hack, M., Schluchter, M., Cartar, L., Rahman, M., Cuttler, L., & Borawski, E. (2003). Growth of very low birth weight infants to age 20 years. *Pediatrics*, 112(1), e30–e38.
- Hardy, J. B. (2003). The Collaborative Perinatal Project: Lessons and legacy. Annals of Epidemiology, 13(5), 303–311. https://doi.org/10. 1016/s1047-2797(02)00479-9
- He, H., Nuyt, A. M., Luo, Z. C., Audibert, F., Dubois, L., Wei, S. Q., Abenhaim, H. A., Bujold, E., Marc, I., Julien, P., Fraser, W. D., & 3D Study Group. (2018). Maternal Circulating Placental Growth Factor and Neonatal Metabolic Health Biomarkers in Small for Gestational Age Infants. *Frontiers in Endocrinology (Lausanne)*, *9*, 198. https://doi. org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00198
- Hendryx, M., & Luo, J. (2018). Latent class analysis to model multiple chemical exposures among children. *Environmental Research*, 160, 115–120.
- Hyatt, M. A., Gopalakrishnan, G. S., Bispham, J., Gentili, S., McMillen, I. C., Rhind, S. M., & Symonds, M. E. (2007). Maternal nutrient restriction in early pregnancy programs hepatic mRNA expression of growth-related genes and liver size in adult male sheep. *The Journal of Endocrinology*, 192(1), 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1.06801
- Katz, J., Wu, L. A., Mullany, L. C., Coles, C. L., Lee, A. C., Kozuki, N., & Tielsch, J. M. (2014). Prevalence of small-for-gestational-age and its mortality risk varies by choice of birth-weight-for-gestation reference population. *PLoS One*, 9(3), e92074. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0092074
- Kawai, T., Yamada, T., Abe, K., Okamura, K., Kamura, H., Akaishi, R., Minakami, H., Nakabayashi, K., & Hata, K. (2015). Increased epigenetic alterations at the promoters of transcriptional regulators following inadequate maternal gestational weight gain. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14224
- Klebanoff, M. A. (2009). The Collaborative Perinatal Project: A 50-year retrospective. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 23(1), 2–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3016.2008.00984.x
- Lanza, S. T., Collins, L. M., Lemmon, D. R., & Schafer, J. L. (2007). PROC LCA: A SAS procedure for latent class analysis. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 14(4), 671–694.
- Lavin, T., Preen, D., Theron, G., & Pattinson, R. C. (2019). INTERGROWTH-21st v. local South African growth standards (Theron-Thompson) for identification of small-for-gestational-age fetuses in stillbirths: A closer look at variation across pregnancy. *South African Medical Journal*, 109(7), 519–525. https://doi.org/10. 7196/SAMJ.2019.v109i7.13485
- Lee, A. C., Katz, J., Blencowe, H., Cousens, S., Kozuki, N., Vogel, J. P., Adair, L., Baqui, A. H., Bhutta, Z. A., Caulfield, L. E., Christian, P., Clarke, S. E., Ezzati, M., Fawzi, W., Gonzalez, R., Huybregts, L., Kariuki, S., Kolsteren, P., Lusingu, J., ... CHERG SGA-Preterm Birth Working Group. (2013). National and regional estimates of term and preterm babies born small for gestational age in 138 low-income

and middle-income countries in 2010. *The Lancet Global Health*, 1(1), e26–e36. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70006-8

- Leger, J., Limoni, C., Collin, D., & Czernichow, P. (1998). Prediction factors in the determination of final height in subjects born small for gestational age. *Pediatric Research*, 43(6), 808–812. https://doi.org/10. 1203/00006450-199806000-00015
- Lei, X., Chen, Y., Ye, J., Ouyang, F., Jiang, F., & Zhang, J. (2015). The optimal postnatal growth trajectory for term small for gestational age babies: A prospective cohort study. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 166(1), 54–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.025
- Li, N., Liu, E., Guo, J., Pan, L., Li, B., Wang, P., Liu, J., Wang, Y., Liu, G., Baccarelli, A. A., Hou, L., & Hu, G. (2013). Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain on pregnancy outcomes. *PLoS ONE*, 8(12), e82310. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0082310
- Marzouk, A., Filipovic-Pierucci, A., Baud, O., Tsatsaris, V., Ego, A., Charles, M. A., Goffinet, F., Evain-Brion, D., & Durand-Zaleski, I. (2017). Prenatal and post-natal cost of small for gestational age infants: A national study. BMC Health Services Research, 17(1), 221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2155-x
- Mas-Parés, B., Xargay-Torrent, S., Bonmatí, A., Lizarraga-Mollinedo, E., Martínez-Calcerrada, J. M., Carreras-Badosa, G., Prats-Puig, A., de Zegher, F., Ibáñez, L., López-Bermejo, A., & Bassols, J. (2019). Umbilical cord miRNAs in small-for-gestational-age children and association with catch-up growth: A pilot study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 104(11), 5285–5298. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc. 2018-02346
- Matthews, E. K., Wei, J., & Cunningham, S. A. (2017). Relationship between prenatal growth, postnatal growth and childhood obesity: A review. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 71(8), 919–930.
- Natusna, A. (2015). Administration NATUSNAaR. National Collaborative Perinatal Project, 1959–1974, July 2015
- Pamidi, S., Marc, I., Simoneau, G., Lavigne, L., Olha, A., Benedetti, A., Sériès, F., Fraser, W., Audibert, F., Bujold, E., Gagnon, R., Schwartzman, K., & Kimoff, R. J. (2016). Maternal sleep-disordered breathing and the risk of delivering small for gestational age infants: A prospective cohort study. *Thorax*, 71(8), 719–725. https://doi.org/10. 1136/thoraxjnl-2015-208038
- Perng, W., Rifas-Shiman, S. L., Kramer, M. S., Haugaard, L. K., Oken, E., Gillman, M. W., & Belfort, M. B. (2016). Early weight gain, linear growth, and mid-childhood blood pressure: A prospective study in Project Viva. *Hypertension*, 67(2), 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.06635
- Pugh, S. J., Albert, P. S., Kim, S., Grobman, W., Hinkle, S. N., Newman, R. B., & Grantz, K. L. (2017). Patterns of gestational weight gain and birthweight outcomes in the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Fetal Growth Studies-Singletons: A prospective study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 217, 346.e1–346.e11. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ajog.2017.05.013
- Pylipow, M., Spector, L. G., Puumala, S. E., Boys, C., Cohen, J., & Georgieff, M. K. (2009). Early postnatal weight gain, intellectual performance, and body mass index at 7 years of age in term infants with intrauterine growth restriction. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 154(2), 201–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.08.015
- Radford, E. J., Ito, M., Shi, H., Corish, J. A., Yamazawa, K., Isganaitis, E., Seisenberger, S., Hore, T. A., Reik, W., Erkek, S., Peters, A. H. F. M., Patti, M.-E., & Ferguson-Smith, A. C. (2014). In utero effects. In utero undernourishment perturbs the adult sperm methylome and intergenerational metabolism. *Science*, 345(6198), 1255903. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1255903
- Reddy, U. M., Filly, R. A., & Copel, J. A. (2008). Pregnancy and Perinatology Branch, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Department of Health and Human Services,

HUANG ET AL.

NIH. Prenatal imaging: Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Obstetrics Gynecology*, 112(1), 145–157.

- Siega-Riz, A. M., Viswanathan, M., Moos, M. K., Deierlein, A., Mumford, S., Knaack, J., & Lohr, K. N. (2009). A systematic review of outcomes of maternal weight gain according to the Institute of Medicine recommendations: Birthweight, fetal growth, and postpartum weight retention. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 201, 339.e1–339.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2009.07.002
- Smith, G. C., & Lees, C. C. (2008). Disorders of fetal growth and assessment of fetal well-being. In Dewhurst's Textbook of Obstetrics & Gynaecology (7th ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Takeuchi, A., Yorifuji, T., Nakamura, K., Tamai, K., Mori, S., Nakamura, M., Kageyama, M., Kubo, T., Ogino, T., Kobayashi, K., & Doi, H. (2018). Catch-up growth and neurobehavioral development among full-term, small-for-gestational-age children: A nationwide Japanese population-based study. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 192, 41–46. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.09.002
- Tomeo, C. A., Rich-Edwards, J. W., Michels, K. B., Berkey, C. S., Hunter, D. J., Frazier, A. L., & Buka, S. L. (1999). Reproducibility and validity of maternal recall of pregnancy-related events. *Epidemiology*, 10(6), 774–777. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/10535796
- Tong, V. T., Dietz, P. M., Morrow, B., D'Angelo, D. V., Farr, S. L., Rockhill, K. M., & England, L. J. (2002). Trends in smoking before, during, and after pregnancy–Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System, United States, 40 sites, 2000–2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report: Surveillance Summaries, 62(6), 1–19.
- Torr, B. M. (2011). The changing relationship between education and marriage in the United States, 1940–2000. *Journal of Family History*, 36(4), 483–503.
- Victora, C. G., Barros, F. C., Horta, B. L., & Martorell, R. (2001). Short-term benefits of catch-up growth for small-for-gestational-age infants. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 30(6), 1325–1330. https://doi. org/10.1093/ije/30.6.1325
- Wallace, J. M., Milne, J. S., Aitken, R. P., Redmer, D. A., Reynolds, L. P., Luther, J. S., & Adam, C. L. (2015). Undernutrition and stage of gestation influence fetal adipose tissue gene expression. *Journal of*

Molecular Endocrinology, 54(3), 263–275. https://doi.org/10.1530/ JME-15-0048

- Watkins, D. J., Sanchez, B. N., Tellez-Rojo, M. M., Lee, J. M., Mercado-Garcia, A., Blank-Goldenberg, C., & Meeker, J. D. (2017). Impact of phthalate and BPA exposure during in utero windows of susceptibility on reproductive hormones and sexual maturation in peripubertal males. *Environmental Health*, 16(1), 69. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12940-017-0278-5
- Wrotniak, B. H., Shults, J., Butts, S., & Stettler, N. (2008). Gestational weight gain and risk of overweight in the offspring at age 7 y in a multicenter, multiethnic cohort study. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 87(6), 1818–1824. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/87.6.1818
- Xie, C., Epstein, L. H., Eiden, R. D., Shenassa, E. D., Li, X., Liao, Y., & Wen, X. (2016). Stunting at 5 years among SGA newborns. *Pediatrics*, 137(2).
- Yaktine, A. L. (2009). Weight gain during pregnancy: Reexamining the guidelines. National Academies Press (US). National Academy of Sciences.
- Zablotsky, B., Bramlett, M. D., Visser, S. N., Danielson, M. L., & Blumberg, S. J. (2018). Latent class analysis of ADHD neurodevelopmental and mental health comorbidities. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 39(1), 10–19. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/DBP.0000000000000508

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Huang, L., Jiang, S., Xu, J., Lei, X., & Zhang, J. (2022). Associations between prepregnancy body mass index, gestational weight gain and weight catch-up in small-for-gestational-age children. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*, 18:e13235. https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.13235