

# OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Qadeer MI, Amar A, Mann JJ, Hasnain S (2017) Polymorphisms in dopaminergic system genes; association with criminal behavior and selfreported aggression in violent prison inmates from Pakistan. PLoS ONE 12(6): e0173571. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571

Editor: Joohyung Lee, Hudson Institute, AUSTRALIA

Received: November 21, 2016

Accepted: February 23, 2017

Published: June 5, 2017

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** Dr. Mann received royalties from the Research Foundation of Mental Hygiene for commercial use of the C-SSRS.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Polymorphisms in dopaminergic system genes; association with criminal behavior and self-reported aggression in violent prison inmates from Pakistan

### Muhammad Imran Qadeer<sup>1</sup>\*, Ali Amar<sup>2</sup>, J. John Mann<sup>3</sup>, Shahida Hasnain<sup>1,4</sup>

1 Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan,

2 Department of Human Genetics and Molecular Biology, University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan,
3 New York State Psychiatric Institute, NY and Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York,
United States of America, 4 The Women University Multan, Multan, Pakistan

\* imranqadeer66@gmail.com

# Abstract

Genetic factors contribute to antisocial and criminal behavior. Dopamine transporter DAT-1 (SLC6A3) and DRD2 gene for the dopamine-2 receptor are dopaminergic system genes that regulate dopamine reuptake and signaling, and may be part of the pathogenesis of psychiatric disorders including antisocial behaviors and traits. No previous studies have analyzed DAT-1 and DRD2 polymorphisms in convicted murderers, particularly from Indian subcontinent. In this study we investigated the association of 40 bp VNTR polymorphism of DAT-1 and Taq1 variant of DRD2 gene (rs1800479) with criminal behavior and self-reported aggression in 729 subjects, including 370 men in Pakistani prisons convicted of first degree murder(s) and 359 control men without any history of violence or criminal tendency. The 9R allele of DAT-1 VNTR polymorphism was more prevalent in convicted murderers compared with control samples, for either one or two risk alleles (OR = 1.49 and 3.99 respectively, P = 0.003). This potential association of DAT-19R allele polymorphism with murderer phenotype was confirmed assuming different genetic models of inheritance. However, no genetic association was found for DRD2 Taq1 polymorphism. In addition, a combined haplotype (9R-A2) of DAT-1 and DRD2 genes was associated with this murderer phenotype. Further, 9R allele of DAT-1 was also associated with response to verbal abuse and parental marital complications, but not with other measures pertinent to self-reported aggression. These results suggest that 9R allele, which may influence levels of intra-synaptic dopamine in the brain, may contribute to criminal tendency in this sample of violent murderers of Pakistani origin. Future studies are needed to replicate this finding in other populations of murderers and see if this finding extends to other forms of violence and lesser degrees of aggression.

## Introduction

Antisocial delinquency and criminal behavior is a serious social concern in most eastern and western societies [1]. The adverse effects of antisocial and criminal behavior involve individual

life, family and wider society [2]. Criminal delinquency include disturbed family relations, substance use disorders, underachievement in educational and professional realms and continued involvement in violent criminal acts with resultant suffering of the victims of these criminal acts, their families and communities [3, 4].

Some of the genetic and environmental antecedents of antisocial and criminal behavior have been identified [5]. Shared environmental influences are also important factors governing criminal behavior [6]. The heritability of antisocial behavioral phenotypes, including criminal behavior and human noncriminal aggression, has been estimated to be approximately 50% [7–11].

Genes involved in dopaminergic neurotransmission, such as those expressing the dopamine transporter and dopamine-2 receptor, are promising candidate genes for antisocial behaviors and traits [12–14]. The polymorphisms in dopaminergic genes have been associated with psychiatric and developmental disorders like ADHD and autism, personality traits and substance use disorders. Moreover, the dopaminergic antagonists are pharmacotherapeutic employed for human aggressive behavior [15]. However, apart from the reports of Guo et al, the studies investigating the role of dopaminergic gene variants in modulation of more extreme phenotypes of violent or homicidal behavior have been scarce [14].

Two of the best studied polymorphisms of dopaminergic system are dopamine transporter (*DAT-1*) variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) and TaqI A polymorphism of dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2) gene [37]. *DAT-1* (also known as *SLC6A3*) maps to short arm of chromosome 5 at 5p15.3 and codes for the dopamine transporter protein which regulates the level of intra-synaptic dopamine and thereby dopamine receptor activation [16]. It does so by reuptake released dopamine back into the presynaptic terminal.

The 3' noncoding region of *DAT-1* contains a 40 bp VNTR sequence that can be present in 3–11 copies. The 9R and 10R variants of this functional VNTR are the most common ones in different populations [17]. The *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism is a functional polymorphism affecting dopamine transport protein expression [18, 19]. Which allele of the *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism (9R vs 10R) mediates the most appropriate gene expression remains uncertain [20]. The *DAT-1* 40 bp VNTR polymorphism has been found to be associated with violent delinquency [14], externalizing problem behavior [13, 21], neuropsychiatric disorders such as Tourette syndrome [22], ADHD [23, 24], schizophrenia [25], psychoactive substance abuse [26, 27] and reward sensitivity [28].

The Taq1A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the *DRD2* gene arises from a T to C transition at a site located approximately 10 Kb downstream from the end of the gene. The Taq1 polymorphism affects D2 receptor activity and density in some studies [29, 30] but not others [31]. The *DRD2* Taq1 SNP was demonstrated to be associated with serious and violent delinquency in adolescents and young adults [14], as well as substance use disorder [32, 33] and alcoholism [34].

Based on the potential involvement of dopaminergic system genes in susceptibility to aggression and criminal behavior, we conducted this study on Pakistani jail inmates convicted for murder as an extreme phenotype in order to determine if the VNTR polymorphism in *DAT-1* and Taq1 SNP of *DRD2* genes were associated with serious criminal behavior and self-reported aggression.

### Material and methods

### Consent

This study was performed after obtaining due permission from higher police authorities as it involved jail inmates as subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all study

participants. The study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee at University of the Punjab, Lahore, which adheres to the declaration of Helsinki.

### Subjects

The present study included 370 men imprisoned in three major district jails in the province of Punjab because of conviction for single or multiple homicides. An effort was made to recruit only those individuals who have been convicted of the crime based on either forensic evidence or alleged/condemned status. After obtaining informed written consent, the subjects were interviewed to access the self-reported aggression using a comprehensive questionnaire based on State Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI) and blood samples were collected for genetic analysis. All the subjects belonged to three major populations of Punjab with similar linguistic affiliations. The control group of 359 subjects was selected from the same three populations in a similar ratio to cases in order to match the ethnicity. The individuals included in the control group had no history of violent behavior or criminal activities, based on social records and information obtained from other family members of the individuals.

### DNA extraction and genotyping of DAT-1 and DRD2 polymorphisms

Genomic DNA was isolated from EDTA-anticoagulated blood samples using a modification of standard phenol-chloroform extraction method [35]. The 40 bp 3' VNTR polymorphism of the *DAT-1* gene was genotyped as described by Vandenbergh et al. with modifications [36]. The primer pair: Forward, 5' –TGCGGTGTAGGGAACGGCCTGAG–3' and Reverse, 5' –CTTCCTGG AGGTCACGGCTCAAGG–3' were used to amplify a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product that was 440 bp and 480 bp for the two most common 9R allele and 10R allele, respectively. The PCR products were run on a 3% agarose gel and genotypes were determined for each sample.

All subjects were genotyped for the TaqI A marker at the dopamine D2 receptor locus using a PCR-RFLP based approach, as described by Grandy et al [37]. Specific primer set; Forward, 5'-GACATGATGCCCGCTTT-3' and Reverse, 5'-TCATCAACCTCCTAGAACAT-3' were used to amplify a 199 bp fragment PCR. The PCR products were subjected to digestion with TaqI A restriction endonuclease for 12 hours at 65 C and digested fragments were resolved on a 3% agarose gel to determine the respective genotypes for each sample. The genotypes were scored by two independent individuals, in order to improve reliability of genotype calls.

### Statistical analysis

Data pertaining to basic characteristics of the subjects was coded and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 for Windows. Allele and genotype frequencies are represented as numbers (percentage), which were determined by direct counting and compared between groups using Chi square test. Conformance of genotype frequencies to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested using the Chi square test. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and associated p-value was calculated as a measure of association using SNPstats program [38]. Combined genotype frequencies for *DAT-1* and *DRD2* genes were also determined and compared using the same SNPstats program. A two tailed p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant unless otherwise stated.

### Results

### Basic characteristics of subjects

This study included 729 men, comprised of 370 prison inmates convicted of first-degree murder(s) and 359 individuals in a control group with no history of violent or criminal acts. The



#### Table 1. Basic information and HWE analysis for studied polymorphisms.

Polymorphism	Gene	Position	Region	Allele	MAF		<i>p</i> -value for HWE <sup>a</sup>	Samples genotyped (%)
					Cases (n = 370)	Controls (n = 359)		
40 bp VNTR polymorphism	DAT-1	rs28363170 (insertion)	3'UTR	9R	0.21	0.15	0.27	91
Taql A SNP	DRD2	rs1800479	10 kb downstream	A1	0.27	0.25	0.40	98

HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; MAF, minor allele frequencies; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; UTR, untranslated region; VNTR, variable number of tandem repeats

<sup>a</sup> HWE *p*-value in the control group.

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571.t001

controls were matched with cases on demographic details and the two groups did not differ with respect to age (*p* value 0.177), district (*p* value 0.456), socio-economic status (*p* value 0.677) and education level (*p* value 0.296). The basic characteristics of subjects, including parameters used as measure of self-reported aggression, are presented in <u>S1 Table</u>. The complete data pertaining to this study are available as <u>S1 Original Dataset</u> in the supporting information.

### Allele, genotype frequencies and genetic associations

The basic information and allele frequencies observed in prison inmates and controls, for the *DAT-1* and *DRD2* polymorphisms are described in the Table 1. The allelic and genotypic distribution for both, *DAT-1* and *DRD2* polymorphisms analyzed did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (*p*-values of 0.27 and 0.40 for DAT-1 and DRD2 polymorphisms, respectively).

The allele and genotype distribution in subjects and controls for *DAT-1* VNTR and *DRD2* TaqI A polymorphisms were compared (Table 2). The 9R allele as well as 9R/9R genotype of *DAT-1* VNTR were more common in the prison inmate group as compared with the control group (OR = 1.57, p = 0.002 and OR = 3.99, p = 0.003, respectively). However, the allele and genotype distribution for *DRD2* TaqI A polymorphism was comparable in murderers and normal controls.

Association of *DAT-1* 40 bp VNTR and *DRD2* TaqI A polymorphisms with history of murder was also analyzed considering different genetic models (Table 3). The *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism was associated with murder history in all genetic model considered. As indicated

Polymorphisms	Genotype/Allele	Cases n = 370, (%)	Controls n = 359, (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
DAT-1 40 bp VNTR	10R/10R	206 (61.5%)	235 (71.9%)	1 (Referent)	0.003	
	10R/9R	115 (34.3%)	88 (26.9%)	1.49 (1.07–2.08)		
	9R/9R	14 (4.2%)	4 (1.2%)	3.99 (1.29–12.32)		
	10R	527 (79%)	558 (85%)	1 (Referent)	0.002	
	9R	143 (21%)	96 (15%)	1.57 (1.18–2.09)		
DRD2 Taql A SNP	A2/A2	200 (54.8%)	191 (54.7%)	1 (Referent)	0.341	
	A2/A1	135 (37%)	139 (39.8%)	0.93 (0.68–1.26)		
	A1/A1	30 (8.2%)	19 (5.4%)	1.51 (0.82–2.77)		
	A2	535 (73.3%)	521 (74.6%)	1 (Referent)	0.602	
	A1	195 (26.7%)	177 (25.4%)	1.07 (0.84–1.35)		

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; n (%), frequency.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571.t002



Polymorphisms	Model	Genotypes	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
<i>DAT-1</i> 40 bp VNTR	Dominant	10R/10R	206 (61.5%)	235 (71.9%)	1.00	0.0046	
		10R/9R-9R/9R	129 (38.5%)	92 (28.1%)	1.60 (1.15–2.22)		
	Recessive	10R/10R-10R/9R	321 (95.8%)	323 (98.8%)	1.00	0.016	
		9R/9R	14 (4.2%)	4 (1.2%)	3.52 (1.15–10.81)		
	Overdominant	10R/10R-9R/9R	220 (65.7%)	239 (73.1%)	1.00	0.038	
		10R/9R	115 (34.3%)	88 (26.9%)	1.42 (1.02–1.98)		
	Log-additive	-	-	-	1.61 (1.20–2.16)	0.0013	
<i>DRD2</i> Taql A SNP	Dominant	A2/A2	200 (54.8%)	191 (54.7%)	1.00	0.99	
		A2/A1-A1/A1	165 (45.2%)	158 (45.3%)	1.00 (0.74–1.34)		
	Recessive	A2/A2-A2/A1	335 (91.8%)	330 (94.6%)	1.00	0.14	
		A1/A1	30 (8.2%)	19 (5.4%)	1.56 (0.86–2.82)		
	Overdominant	A2/A2-A1/A1	230 (63%)	210 (60.2%)	1.00	0.44	
		A2/A1	135 (37%)	139 (39.8%)	0.89 (0.66–1.20)		
	Log-additive	-	-	-	1.07 (0.85–1.36)	0.56	

#### Table 3. Association of the studied polymorphisms with criminal delinquency assuming different genetic models.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; n (%), frequency Boldface indicates p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571.t003

by Akaike information criterion (AIC) value, the best predictive model for this association was the one for dominant mode of inheritance, where presence of 9R allele of *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism, in either homozygous or heterozygous form, conferred an increased risk of murder history (OR = 1.60, *p*-value 0.0046). However, the TaqI A SNP of *DRD2* failed to reveal any association under all tested genetic models.

### Combined genotype analysis

In order to analyze the collective effect of *DAT-1* and *DRD2* polymorphisms, the possible combinations of alleles or combined genotype frequencies were also determined as presented in Table 4. The 9R-A2 allelic combination was found to be more frequent in the prison subjects group as compared to the control group (OR = 2.00, p = < 0.0001.

The polymorphism data for *DAT-1* VNTR and *DRD2* TaqI A polymorphisms were also evaluated after dividing the prison inmates into sub-groups manifesting self-reported aggression and histories such as response to physical or verbal abuse, history of parental aggression, or childhood and substance use disorder (Table 5). However, no significant differences were observed in any of the comparisons made except for aggressive response to verbal abuse and parental marital complications where prison inmates carrying the 9R allele of *DAT-1* polymorphism reported being more likely to be provoked by verbal abuse and having experienced

Combined	Combined genotypes Combined geno		otype frequencies	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
DAT-1	DRD2	Cases	Controls	-	
10R	A2	0.566	0.649	1 (Referent)	-
10R	A1	0.220	0.203	1.23 (0.92–1.65)	0.16
9R	A2	0.1667	0.0971	2.00 (1.34–2.98)	< .0001
9R	A1	0.0472	0.0499	1.12 (0.60–2.11)	0.71

OR-odds ratio; 95% CI-95% confidence interval. Boldface indicates p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571.t004

	ONE
--	-----

Measure	DAT-1 genotypes (Dominant model)		OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	<i>DRD2</i> genotyp (Recessive mo	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
	10R/10R (n = 206)	10R/9R+9R/9R (n = 129)			A2/A2+A2/A1 (n = 335)	A1/A1 (n = 30)	1	
			Self-re	ported aggr	ression (Lifetime)			
Yes	133 (66.2%)	82 (66.7%)	1.02	1	223 (68.6%)	18 (64.2%)	0.82 (0.36–1.84)	0.791
No	68 (33.8%)	41 (33.3%)	(0.63–1.64)		102 (31.4%)	10 (35.7%)		
			Pi	rovoked by v	verbal abuse			
Yes	110 (59.1%)	78 (72.9%)	1.85	0.025	186 (64.1%)	16 (57.1%)	0.74 (0.33–1.63)	0.596
No	76 (40.9%)	29 (27.1%)	(1.10–3.11)		104 (35.9%)	12 (42.9%)		
			Pro	voked by p	hysical abuse		·	
Yes	109 (58.3%)	73 (68.2%)	1.53		181 (62.2%)	16 (57.1%)	0.81 (0.36–1.77)	0.751
No	78 (41.7%)	34 (31.8%)	(0.93–2.53)		110 (37.8%)	12 (42.9%)		
			Ch	ildhood his	tory of abuse		·	
Yes	132 (65.7%)	78 (62.4%)	0.86 0.631	208 (63.8%)	20 (66.7%)	1.13	0.92	
No	69 (34.3%)	47 (37.6%)	(0.54–1.38)	54–1.38)	118 (36.2%)	10 (33.3%)	(0.51–2.50)	
		History	of parental agg	ression tow	ards future aggressor (mu	rderer)	·	
Yes	102 (50.5%)	64 (51.6%)	1.04	0.920	172 (52.6%)	9 (32.1%)	0.42	0.059
No	100 (49.5%)	60 (48.5%)	(0.66–1.63)		155 (47.4%)	19 (67.9%)	(0.18–0.97)	
		Parental mari	al problems (ir	ncluding div	orced and separated with	step parents)	·	
Yes	44 (21.6%)	41 (32.3%)	1.73	0.041	85 (25.7%)	07 (23.3%)	0.88 (0.36–2.12)	1.00
No	160 (78.4%)	86 (67.7%)	(1.05–2.86)		246 (74.3%)	23 (76.7%)		
				Psychiatri	ic illness		·	
Yes	10 (5.5%)	4 (3.7%)	0.65	0.671	17 (5.8%)	0 (0%)	-	0.238
No	172 (94.5%)	105 (96.3%)	(0.20–2.14)		275 (94.2%)	25 (100%)		
			S	Substance u	se disorder			
Yes	43 (22.1%)	22 (18.2%)	0.78	0.492	62 (19.6%)	7 (26.9%)	1.50	0.521
No	152 (77.9%)	99 (81.8%)	(0.44–1.39)		254 (80.4%)	19 (73.1%)	(0.60–3.74)	

Table 5. Association of DAT-1 and DRD2 pe	olymorphisms with self-reporte	d aggression and histories.
---	--------------------------------	-----------------------------

OR-odds ratio; 95% CI- 95% confidence interval. Boldface indicates p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173571.t005

parental marital complications than 9R non-carriers (OR = 1.85, p-value 0.025 and OR = 1.73, p-value 0.041, respectively).

### Discussion

In the present study of dopaminergic polymorphisms in prison inmates convicted for murder, we found a significant difference in the frequency distribution of *DAT-1* VNTR alleles in violent criminals and control men of Pakistani origin. The 9R allele of *DAT-1* 3' VNTR polymorphism, either in homozygous or heterozygous form, was more prevalent in murderers than in nonaggressive control subjects. This potential association of 9R allele of *DAT-1* polymorphism with murder history persisted when assuming different genetic models such as dominant and recessive models as well as in haplotype analysis. Further, 9R of *DAT-1* was also associated with response to verbal abuse and parental marital complications and trend for association with physical abuse but not with drug use disorders including alcoholism. Based upon these findings, we suggest that 9R allele, which may influence the levels of dopamine in these criminals, might be one of the predisposing factors associated with extreme criminal behavior.

Although, none of the previous studies have analyzed *DAT-1* VNTR in convicted murderers, several studies have shown the *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism to be associated with externalizing behavior and violent delinquency [13, 14, 21]. Consistent with our results, Young et al., found the 9R allele of *DAT-1* polymorphism to be a significant risk factor associated with externalizing behavior in a community sample of young children [21]. Similarly, the minor 9R allele of the *DAT-1* VNTR was implicated as risk allele in cocaine intoxication [39] and alcohol dependence [40, 41]. In contrast, other studies have reported the association of more common 10R allele of *DAT-1* VNTR with ADHD [23, 24, 42] with serious and violent delinquency [14]. A similar study evaluating the role of same *DAT-1* VNTR in externalizing behavior and temperament problems did not detect an association in a general population sample [43].

The disagreement about association of *DAT-1* polymorphism with disruptive behavior may have resulted from differences in measures of antisocial behavior (hyperactivity *vs* externalizing problems *vs* violent delinquency *vs* criminal behavior or simply the severity of criminal behavior or the difference between reactive aggression and pro-active or pre-meditated aggression, some of which are correlated but all are distinct aspects of antisocial or pathological behavior. Moreover, it remains unclear which allele of the *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism (9R vs 10R) mediates the most appropriate gene expression [20]. Studies to determine the functional relevance of *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism in dopamine transport protein expression have produced various results. In some studies the 9R allele of *DAT-1* was associated with greater transcription in a murine and human dopaminergic cell line [18, 44, 45]. In contrast, using a nonhuman primate cell line, the 10R allele of *DAT-1* mediates higher transcription efficiency than 9R allele [19].

Further, evaluating a single polymorphism in each of two well-known genes of dopaminergic system has limitations. Other functional polymorphisms within the *DAT-1* gene or in an adjacent region could be in linkage disequilibrium with the variants examined in this study. It is plausible that these other variants could be the real mediators of predisposition to criminal behavior. Therefore, replication studies using additional genetic variants within the main dopamine-related genes (*DAT-1*, DRD1, *DRD2* and *DRD4*) need to be undertaken in order to elucidate the role of dopaminergic polymorphisms in commission of criminal delinquency and extreme violence.

The present study, based on the molecular evaluation of sentenced prisoners from the Punjab, Pakistan, where 60% consanguinity exists in the population [46], revealed that both heterozygous and homozygous status of 9R allele of DAT-1 gene were more frequent in murderers than in nonaggressive control subjects.

It would be validating if the results obtained in the present study could be supported by a study of dopamine system function, epigenetic effects and dopaminergic polymorphisms in relation to antisocial and aggressive phenotypes and related endophenotypes.

In conclusion, the 9R allele of *DAT-1* VNTR polymorphism is associated with extreme criminal behavior in a sample of violent male prison inmates convicted of murder. However, antisocial behavior and criminal tendency is also prone to the influence of environmental factors. The association of polymorphisms in dopaminergic genes with antisocial behavior and aggression may help explain the genetic contribution towards serious criminal tendencies. Genetic factors involved in criminal behavior may help to determine part of the biological modulation of normal aggression as well as pathological aggression. In spite of the limitations, e.g., ethical, security and safety of both researcher and sentenced murderer, limitation of time allowed to interview and GWAS studies, it is hoped that these findings may guide development of prevention and therapeutic interventions. Further studies should be undertaken in other populations to delineate the role of 9R allele and 10R allele 0f DAT-1 gene with different grades of severity of aggression and violent crime.

### **Supporting information**

**S1 Table. Basic characteristics of study subjects** (DOCX)

**S1 Original Dataset.** (XLSX)

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the facilities and support provided by University of the Punjab, Lahore and Higher Education Commission, Pakistan. We thank Dr. Muhammad Aslam, Chief Medical Officer and Inspector General Punjab Prisons, Government of the Punjab for permission and facilities provided for interviews and blood sample collection from the sentenced prisoners in this study. Dr. Mann received royalties from the Research Foundation of Mental Hygiene for commercial use of the C-SSRS.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: MIQ SH. Data curation: MIQ AA JJM. Formal analysis: MIQ AA JJM. Investigation: MIQ AA.

Methodology: MIQ AA.

Project administration: SH.

Resources: SH.

Software: MIQ AA.

Supervision: SH.

Validation: SH JJM.

Visualization: MIQ JJM SH.

Writing - original draft: MIQ AA SH.

Writing - review & editing: MIQ AA JJM SH.

### References

- 1. Reitz E, Deković M, Meijer AM. The Structure and Stability of Externalizing and Internalizing Problem Behavior During Early Adolescence. J Youth Adolescence. 2005; 34(6):577–88.
- Scott S, Knapp M, Henderson J, Maughan B. Financial cost of social exclusion: follow up study of antisocial children into adulthood. BMJ. 2001; 323(7306):191. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.323.7306.191 PMID: 11473907
- Huesmann LR, Dubow EF, Boxer P. Continuity of aggression from childhood to early adulthood as a predictor of life outcomes: implications for the adolescent-limited and life-course-persistent models. Aggress Behav. 2009; 35(2):136–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20300 PMID: 19189380
- Reef J, Diamantopoulou S, van Meurs I, Verhulst FC, van der Ende J. Developmental trajectories of child to adolescent externalizing behavior and adult DSM-IV disorder: results of a 24-year longitudinal study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2011; 46(12):1233–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-010-0297-9 PMID: 20936464

- Moffitt TE. The new look of behavioral genetics in developmental psychopathology: gene-environment interplay in antisocial behaviors. Psychol Bull. 2005; 131(4):533–54. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.4.533 PMID: 16060801
- Lyons MJ, True WR, Eisen SA, Goldberg J, Meyer JM, Faraone SV, et al. Differential heritability of adult and juvenile antisocial traits. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995; 52(11):906–15. PMID: 7487339
- Miles DR, Carey G. Genetic and environmental architecture of human aggression. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1997; 72(1):207–17. PMID: 9008382
- Joseph J. Is Crime in the Genes? A Critical Review of Twin and Adoption Studies of Criminality and Antisocial Behavior. Journal of Mind and Behavior. 2001; 22(2):179–218.
- Ferguson CJ. Genetic contributions to antisocial personality and behavior: a meta-analytic review from an evolutionary perspective. J Soc Psychol. 2010; 150(2):160–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/</u> 00224540903366503 PMID: 20397592
- Rhee SH, Waldman ID. Genetic and environmental influences on antisocial behavior: a meta-analysis of twin and adoption studies. Psychol Bull. 2002; 128(3):490–529. PMID: 12002699
- Vassos E, Collier DA, Fazel S. Systematic meta-analyses and field synopsis of genetic association studies of violence and aggression. Mol Psychiatry. 2014; 19(4):471–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp. 2013.31 PMID: 23546171
- 12. Ferguson CJ, Beaver KM. Natural born killers: The genetic origins of extreme violence. Aggression and Violent Behavior. 2009; 14(5):286–94.
- Janssens A, Van Den Noortgate W, Goossens L, Verschueren K, Colpin H, De Laet S, et al. Externalizing Problem Behavior in Adolescence: Dopaminergic Genes in Interaction with Peer Acceptance and Rejection. J Youth Adolescence. 2015; 44(7):1441–56.
- Guo G, Roettger ME, Shih JC. Contributions of the DAT1 and DRD2 genes to serious and violent delinquency among adolescents and young adults. Hum Genet. 2007; 121(1):125–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1007/s00439-006-0244-8 PMID: 17120049
- de Almeida RMM, Ferrari PF, Parmigiani S, Miczek KA. Escalated aggressive behavior: dopamine, serotonin and GABA. Eur J Pharmacol. 2005; 526(1–3):51–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2005. 10.004 PMID: 16325649
- Bannon MJ, Michelhaugh SK, Wang J, Sacchetti P. The human dopamine transporter gene: gene organization, transcriptional regulation, and potential involvement in neuropsychiatric disorders. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2001; 11(6):449–55. PMID: 11704422
- Doucette-Stamm LA, Blakely DJ, Tian J, Mockus S, Mao JI. Population genetic study of the human dopamine transporter gene (DAT1). Genet Epidemiol. 1995; 12(3):303–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi. 1370120307 PMID: 7557351</u>
- Michelhaugh SK, Fiskerstrand C, Lovejoy E, Bannon MJ, Quinn JP. The dopamine transporter gene (SLC6A3) variable number of tandem repeats domain enhances transcription in dopamine neurons. J Neurochem. 2001; 79(5):1033–8. PMID: 11739616
- Fuke S, Suo S, Takahashi N, Koike H, Sasagawa N, Ishiura S. The VNTR polymorphism of the human dopamine transporter (DAT1) gene affects gene expression. Pharmacogenomics J. 2001; 1(2):152–6. PMID: 11911442
- Haddley K, Vasiliou AS, Ali FR, Paredes UM, Bubb VJ, Quinn JP. Molecular genetics of monoamine transporters: relevance to brain disorders. Neurochem Res. 2008; 33(4):652–67. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11064-007-9521-8 PMID: 17960477
- Young SE, Smolen A, Corley RP, Krauter KS, DeFries JC, Crowley TJ, et al. Dopamine transporter polymorphism associated with externalizing behavior problems in children. Am J Med Genet. 2002; 114 (2):144–9. PMID: 11857574
- Rowe DC, Stever C, Gard JM, Cleveland HH, Sanders ML, Abramowitz A, et al. The relation of the dopamine transporter gene (DAT1) to symptoms of internalizing disorders in children. Behav Genet. 1998; 28(3):215–25. PMID: 9670597
- 23. Daly G, Hawi Z, Fitzgerald M, Gill M. Mapping susceptibility loci in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: preferential transmission of parental alleles at DAT1, DBH and DRD5 to affected children. Mol Psychiatry. 1999; 4(2):192–6. PMID: 10208453
- Cornish KM, Manly T, Savage R, Swanson J, Morisano D, Butler N, et al. Association of the dopamine transporter (DAT1) 10/10-repeat genotype with ADHD symptoms and response inhibition in a general population sample. Mol Psychiatry. 2005; 10(7):686–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001641</u> PMID: 15809660
- Persico AM, Macciardi F. Genotypic association between dopamine transporter gene polymorphisms and schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet. 1997; 74(1):53–7. PMID: 9034007

- Lerman C, Caporaso NE, Audrain J, Main D, Bowman ED, Lockshin B, et al. Evidence suggesting the role of specific genetic factors in cigarette smoking. Health Psychol. 1999; 18(1):14–20. PMID: 9925041
- Sabol SZ, Nelson ML, Fisher C, Gunzerath L, Brody CL, Hu S, et al. A genetic association for cigarette smoking behavior. Health Psychol. 1999; 18(1):7–13. PMID: 9925040
- Blum K, Chen ALC, Oscar-Berman M, Chen TJH, Lubar J, White N, et al. Generational association studies of dopaminergic genes in reward deficiency syndrome (RDS) subjects: selecting appropriate phenotypes for reward dependence behaviors. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2011; 8(12):4425–59. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8124425 PMID: 22408582
- Noble EP, Blum K, Ritchie T, Montgomery A, Sheridan PJ. Allelic Association of the D2 Dopamine Receptor Gene With Receptor-Binding Characteristics in Alcoholism or Gene ism. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1991; 48(7):648–54. PMID: 2069496
- Pohjalainen T, Rinne JO, Någren K, Lehikoinen P, Anttila K, Syvälahti EK, et al. The A1 allele of the human D2 dopamine receptor gene predicts low D2 receptor availability in healthy volunteers. Mol Psychiatry. 1998; 3(3):256–60. PMID: <u>9672901</u>
- Laruelle M, Gelernter J, Innis RB. D2 receptors binding potential is not affected by Taq1 polymorphism at the D2 receptor gene. Mol Psychiatry. 1998; 3(3):261–5. PMID: 9672902
- 32. Smith SS, O'Hara BF, Persico AM, Gorelick DA Newlin DB, Vlahov D, et al. Genetic Vulnerability to Drug Abuse: The D2 Dopamine Receptor Taq I B1 Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Appears More Frequently in Polysubstance Abusers. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992; 49(9):723–7. PMID: 1355337
- O'Hara BF, Smith SS, Bird G, Persico AM, Suarez BK, Cutting GR, et al. Dopamine D2 receptor RFLPs, haplotypes and their association with substance use in black and Caucasian research volunteers. Hum Hered. 1993; 43(4):209–18. PMID: 8102114
- Blum K, Noble EP, Sheridan PJ, Montgomery A, Ritchie T, Jagadeeswaran P, et al. Allelic Association of Human Dopamine D2 Receptor Gene in Alcoholism. JAMA. 1990; 263(15):2055–60. PMID: 1969501
- **35.** Sambrook J, Russell DW. Purification of Nucleic Acids by Extraction with Phenol:Chloroform. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2006; 2006(1):pdb.prot4455.
- Vandenbergh DJ, Persico AM, Hawkins AL, Griffin CA, Li X, Jabs EW, et al. Human dopamine transporter gene (DAT1) maps to chromosome 5p15.3 and displays a VNTR. Genomics. 1992; 14(4):1104– 6. PMID: 1478653
- Grandy DK, Zhang Y, Civelli O. PCR detection of the TaqA RFLP at the DRD2 locus. Hum Mol Genet. 1993; 2(12):2197.
- Solé X, Guinó E, Valls J, Iniesta R, Moreno V. SNPStats: a web tool for the analysis of association studies. Bioinformatics. 2006; 22(15):1928–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl268 PMID: 16720584
- Gelernter J, Kranzler HR, Satel SL, Rao PA. Genetic association between dopamine transporter protein alleles and cocaine-induced paranoia. Neuropsychopharmacology. 1994; 11(3):195–200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1380106</u> PMID: 7865100
- Sander T, Harms H, Podschus J, Finckh U, Nickel B, Rolfs A, et al. Allelic association of a dopamine transporter gene polymorphism in alcohol dependence with withdrawal seizures or delirium. Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 41(3):299–304. PMID: 9024952
- **41.** Schmidt LG, Harms H, Kuhn S, Rommelspacher H, Sander T. Modification of alcohol withdrawal by the A9 allele of the dopamine transporter gene. Am J Psychiatry. 1998; 155(4):474–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.155.4.474 PMID: 9545991</u>
- 42. Waldman ID, Rowe DC, Abramowitz A, Kozel ST, Mohr JH, Sherman SL, et al. Association and linkage of the dopamine transporter gene and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: heterogeneity owing to diagnostic subtype and severity. Am J Hum Genet. 1998; 63(6):1767–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/302132 PMID: 9837830</u>
- 43. Jorm AF, Prior M, Sanson A, Smart D, Zhang Y, Easteal S. Association of a polymorphism of the dopamine transporter gene with externalizing behavior problems and associated temperament traits: a longitudinal study from infancy to the mid-teens. Am J Med Genet. 2001; 105(4):346–50. PMID: 11378848
- 44. Inoue-Murayama M, Adachi S, Mishima N, Mitani H, Takenaka O, Terao K, et al. Variation of variable number of tandem repeat sequences in the 3'-untranslated region of primate dopamine transporter genes that affects reporter gene expression. Neuroscience Letters. 2002; 334(3):206–10. PMID: 12453630
- 45. Miller GM, Madras BK. Polymorphisms in the 3'-untranslated region of human and monkey dopamine transporter genes affect reporter gene expression. Mol Psychiatry. 2002; 7(1):44–55. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/sj/mp/4000921</u> PMID: <u>11803445</u>
- Hussain R, Bittles AH. The prevalence and demographic characteristics of consanguineous marriages in Pakistan. J Biosoc Sci. 1998; 30(2):261–75. PMID: 9746828