

Turicibacter bilis sp. nov., a novel bacterium isolated from the chicken eggshell and swine ileum

Joel J. Maki^{1,2,3} and Torey Looft^{1,*}

Abstract

Three novel, anaerobic, Gram-positive bacteria were isolated from the eggshell of two separate white leghorn chicken flocks and the ileum of a healthy pig, and designated MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 respectively. Cells were pleomorphic and capable of forming long chains of rods or coccoid clusters. Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences identified these strains to be within the genus *Turicibacter*, of which only one species, *Turicibacter sanguinis*, has been formally described. However, whole genome sequencing of novel isolates returned a digital DNA–DNA hybridization value of 22.5% and average nucleotide identity (ANI) values of 76.4% (ANIb) and 86.0% (ANIm), indicating divergence between the type strain MMM721^T and *T. sanguinis*, suggesting the strains represented a novel species. The major fatty acid methyl esters of strain MMM721^T were $C_{16:0}$, $C_{18:1}$, ω 7c and $C_{18:0}$. The strains mainly produced the volatile fatty acid lactate, along with smaller amounts of acetate and butyrate. Together, these data indicate that MMM721^T, along with ISU324 and PIG517, represent a novel species within the genus *Turicibacter*. We propose the name *Turicibacter bilis* sp. nov. for the species. The type strain is MMM721^T (=ATCC TSD-238^T=CCUG 74757^T).

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Turicibacter* comprises obligately anaerobic, Gram-positive bacteria belonging to the phylum *Firmicutes*, class *Erysip*elotrichia, order *Erysipelotrichales* and family *Turicibacteraceae* (formerly considered a member of the family *Erysipelotrichaceae*) [1]. Currently, there is only one designated species within the genus *Turicibacter*, *Turicibacter sanguinis*, which was isolated from a blood culture of a febrile adult human [2]. Strains of *T. sanguinis* have been isolated from other animals, and *T. sanguinis* DNA sequences have been identified in culture-independent studies in many species, including turkeys, swine and even termites [3–7]. However, few strains within the genus have been isolated. Here, we describe novel *Turicibacter* strains MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517, which were isolated from the eggshell surface of two distinct flocks of chickens and the ileum of a healthy pig, respectively. We propose that these strains represent a novel species within the genus and propose the name *Turicibacter bilis* sp. nov., with strain MMM721^T as the type strain.

Chicken egg washes in 1×PBS and ileal contents were treated 1:1 with 70% ethanol and incubated overnight to enrich for spores by killing vegetative cells, as described previously [8]. Spore preparations were plated on brain-heart infusion (BHI) agar (Difco), supplemented with 0.1% (v/v) whole bile isolated from healthy white leghorn chickens, 0.05% (w/v) L-cysteine hydrochloride and 0.0001% resazurin, and incubated at 42 °C under anaerobic conditions (chamber inflated with 85% N₂, 5% CO_2 , 10% H₂) in a Coy anaerobic chamber to isolate spore-forming anaerobes. Bacterial colonies were randomly selected for 16S rRNA gene amplification PCR and Sanger sequencing after 72h with the previously described bacterial primers 27F-YM and 1492R, using previously described methods [9, 10]. A total of 192 chicken isolates and 32 pig isolates were screened. The

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License.

Author affiliations: ¹Food Safety and Enteric Pathogens Research Unit, National Animal Disease Center, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Ames, IA 50010, USA; ²Interdepartmental Microbiology Graduate Program, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 50011, USA; ³Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, ARS Research Participation Program, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, USA.

^{*}Correspondence: Torey Looft, torey.looft@usda.gov

Keywords: anaerobe; poultry; swine; turicibacter.

Abbreviations: ANI, average nucleotide identity; BHI, brain heart infusion; dDDH, digital DNA–DNA hybridization; SCFA, short chain fatty acid. The GenBank accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of strains MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 are MT500785, MT500789 and MT500788, respectively. Accession numbers for the two sets of raw Illumina MiSeq reads for MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 are SRR11784102, SRR11784103, SRR11825064, SRR11825065, SRR11825066 and SRR11825067, respectively. Accession numbers for the *de novo* whole genome assemblies of MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 are JABTTG000000000, JABTTH000000000 and JABTTI000000000, respectively. The BioProject accession number for these strains is PRJNA631282.

Four supplementary tables and two supplementary figures are available with the online version of this article. 005153 © 2022 Crown Copyright

Table 1. Comparison of strain MMM721^T and Turicibacter sanguinis MOL361^T

+, Positive; –, negative; w, weak; ±, variable; R, resistant; S, susceptible. Characteristics for *T. sanguinis* MOL361⁺ were taken from Bosshard *et al.* [2]. SCFAs: Ac, acetic acid; But, butyric acid; Cap, caproic acid; Lac, lactic acid; Phen, phenylacetic acid; Val, valeric acid.

Characteristic	T. sanguinis $MOL361^{T}$	T. bilis MMM721 ^T				
Morphology	Gram+ bacilli chains	Variable: Gram+ bacilli chains and Gram+ coccoid clusters				
Cell size (µm)	0.5-2.0×0.7-7.0	Rods: 0.8–8.2×0.5–2.0 Cocci: 1.0–2.3				
Temperature range for growth (°C)	25-46	30-45				
pH range for growth (pH)	6.5-8.0	6.5-8.5				
Motility	-	_				
Catalase	-	_				
Biochemical reactivity:						
α-D-Glucoside	+	_				
α-D-Galactoside	+	_				
Glycine	+	_				
Arginine	-	+				
Serine	+	_				
Gelatin	+	+				
Aesculin	±	±				
maltose	+	-				
Potassium 5-ketogluconate	W	-				
Susceptibility to $(50 \mu g m l^{-1})$:						
Penicillin	S	S				
Kanamycin	S	S				
Vancomycin	S	S				
Colistin	R	R				
SCFAs	Ac, Lac	Ac, But, Lac, Val, Cap, Phen				
DNA G+C content (mol%)	34.2	34.4				

generated 16S rRNA gene fragments were 1392, 1397 and 1393 bp long for MMM721^T, ISU324, and PIG517, respectively (accession numbers MT500785, MT500789 and MT500788). These sequences were nearly identical (>99%) to one another. When these sequences were assigned taxonomic identification using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), they all grouped closely (99% 16S rRNA gene identity) to *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T, the type strain and only described species within the genus *Turicibacter* [2, 11]. A 16S rRNA gene phylogeny was reconstructed with sequences from publicly available *Turicibacter* isolates downloaded from the Ribosomal Database Project (http://rdp.cme.msu. edu/). The resulting phylogenetic tree showed the three *T. bilis* isolates forming a clade separate from the *T. sanguinis* strains (Fig. S1, available in the online version of this article). All three strains were maintained on a modified BHI medium (BHIGL) supplemented with 1.0% (v/v) glycerol, 1.1% (w/v) sodium DL-lactate, 0.05% (w/v) L-cysteine hydrochloride and 0.0001% resazurin throughout the course of the study.

Turicibacter sanguinis MOL361^T was obtained from DSMZ for use as a reference strain for comparison purposes. *Turicibacter sanguinis* MOL361^T was capable of growth on BHIGL at 37 °C, so this medium was used for strain maintenance throughout the course of this study.

Growth conditions were determined for MMM721^T on BHIGL agar plates (Table 1). Determinations of growth rate and terminal OD₆₀₀ were determined in BHIGL broth inoculated with an agar plug of a single colony of MMM721^T grown for 48 h on BHIGL. Cellular motility, catalase activity and sporulation activity were determined as described previously [8, 12–14]. Strain MMM721^T



Fig. 1. (a) Transmission electron microscope image of MMM721^T cells. Bar, 1 µm. (b) Scanning electron microscope image of MMM721^T cells. Bar, 2 µm. Cells were cultured in BHIGL for 24 h at 42 °C prior to fixation and visualization.

Table 2. Fatty acid methyl ester	s of MMM721 ^T and <i>Turicibacter</i>	sanguinis MOL361 ^T ,	grown on BHIGL media
			J

Peak	T. bilis MMM721 ^T	T. sanguinis MOL361 ^T
10:0	0.29	0.12
11:0	_	0.07
12:0	1.08	0.56
13:0	_	0.11
14:0	2.84	1.88
15:0	0.86	2.65
C _{16:1} ω9 <i>c</i>	1.35	1.66
C _{16:1} ω7 <i>c</i>	1.41	1.33
$C_{16:1} \omega 5c$	_	1.16
16:0	54.1	42.39
С _{17:1} <i>w8c</i>	_	0.75
C _{17:1} ω6 <i>c</i>	0.41	1.52
17:0	1.8	3.8
С _{16:0} 3ОН	_	0.15
C _{18:2} ω6,9 <i>c</i>	-	0.15
C _{18:1} ω9 <i>c</i>	5.78	5.78
C _{18:1} ω7 <i>c</i>	16.28	23.46
$C_{_{18:1}}\omega 5c$	1	1.65
18:0	11.76	8.92
19 cycloprop. 11,12	_	0.66
C _{20:1} ω10 <i>c</i>	1.04	0.75
20:0	_	0.47
Summed features:*		
C _{17:1} ω8 <i>c</i> and/or C _{17:2} at 16.801	_	0.75
C _{18:1} ω7 <i>c</i> and/or unknown 17.834†	_	23.46

*Summed features are fatty acids that cannot be resolved reliably from another fatty acid using the chromatographic conditions chosen. The MIDI system groups these fatty acids together as one feature with a single percentage of the total.

†Unknown fatty acids are not listed in the мю system library; values are the equivalent chain lengths.

was strictly anaerobic, as exemplified by the absence of growth on aerobically incubated plates after 72 h. Strain MMM721^T was capable of growth between 30 and 45 °C, with optimal growth achieved at 42 °C (Table 1). The pH range of MMM721^T growth was between pH 6.5–8.5, with an optimal pH of 7.5 (Table 1). Resistance to 70% ethanol and survival under aerobic conditions suggested all strains were capable of forming spores. Strain MMM721^T was negative for catalase activity (Table 1). The doubling time of MMM721^T was estimated to be approximately 30 min. The terminal OD₆₀₀ was 0.600, corresponding to 3.75×10^6 c.f.u. ml⁻¹.

Strain MMM721^T was grown for 3 days on BHIGL and BHIGL agar +0.1% whole chicken bile to determine colony and cellular morphology. On BHIGL, colonies appeared as small to medium-sized irregularly shaped, umbonate colonies with undulating edges. The raised centre of the colony was an opaque white that transitioned to a translucent tan or grey when moving toward the colony's outer edge. On BHIGL +0.1% whole chicken bile, colonies appeared larger, with an opaque white, almost-filamentous interior that was raised with translucent, mucoid margins that were undulating to lobate in nature. Cells stained Gram-positive (Table 1). Cellular morphology was assessed with both transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For TEM, the bacteriological samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer. They were post-fixed with 2% osmium and placed in 2% agar. Then the samples were processed through graded alcohols, propylene oxide

Table 3. Pairwise comparison of ANIb values between MMM721^T ISU324, PIG517 and all publicly available genomes on the PATRIC genome database

Strains: 1, T. sanguinis MGYG-HGUT-00143; 2, Turicibacter sp. H121; 3, T. sanguinis PC909; 4, Turicibacter sp. UBA1059; 5, T. sanguinis am_0171; 6, Turicibacter sp. HGF1; 7, Turicibacter sp. UBA7094; 8, T. sanguinis MGYG-HGUT-00037; 9, T. sanguinis MOL361⁺; 10, Turicibacter sp. Lab288P1bin27; 11, Turicibacter sp. Nc150P1bin9; 12, T. bilis ISU324; 13, T. bilis PIG517; 14, T. bilis MMM721⁺. Bolded values compared against themselves assionment. The * indicates 100% similarity when geno exceed the 95–96% threshold for species

	6.45	8.1	6.4	5.35	5.21	66.9	7.21	3.04	6.6	5.31	7.18	8.76	.93	*
	7	5	15	7	2	7.		6		ý	9	6	6	
13	76.27	98.66	76.24	76.36	76.05	75.98	77.29	98.04	76.24	66.25	67.24	97.97	*	97.71
12	76.34	98.11	76.45	76.4	76.12	76.08	77.18	98.14	76.57	66.31	67.22	*	98.17	98.76
11	67.07	67.2	67.07	67.02	67.19	66.78	67.04	67.62	67.22	65.4	*	67.55	67.31	67.32
10	65.87	66.06	65.93	65.96	65.86	65.86	66.06	66.29	65.89	*	65.49	66.14	66.08	65.92
6	99.38	76.62	99.73	73.81	99.51	99.2	74.3	76.3	*	66.06	67.03	76.44	76.14	76.43
8	76.36	98.34	76.36	76.4	76.2	76.02	77.3	*	76.42	66.18	67.27	98.04	98.25	97.98
7	73.96	76.87	74.03	81.88	73.83	73.89	*	77.22	74.04	65.86	66.89	76.72	76.84	76.92
6	99.4	76.15	99.36	73.89	99.4	*	74.36	76.2	99.33	65.99	67.02	76.21	76.06	76.23
5	99.38	76.66	99.58	73.85	*	99.26	74.25	76.3	99.47	65.96	67.06	76.23	76.06	76.17
4	73.73	76.5	73.8	*	73.55	73.72	82.13	76.38	73.83	66.03	67.04	76.23	76.54	76.27
3	99.43	76.59	*	73.86	99.58	99.25	74.33	76.25	99.68	66.08	67.02	76.44	76.177	76.45
2	76.15	*	76.15	76.35	76.02	75.86	77.18	98.04	76.18	66.25	67.18	97.79	98.5	97.77
1	*	76.96	99.55	73.89	99.44	99.31	74.33	76.46	99.46	66	67.02	76.39	76.36	76.51
	1	7	3	4	IJ	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14



Fig. 2. Whole genome sequence phylogeny of MMM721^T, ISU324, PIG517 and all publicly available genomes on the PATRIC genome database as of November 2020. The tree is rooted by *Eggerthia catenaformis* OT569. Only bootstrap values >50% are noted for branch nodes. The PATRIC genome IDs are noted in parentheses after the strain name.

and Eponate 12 resin followed by a 48 h polymerization. An uranyl acetate and Reynold's lead stain were performed on the thin section before being examined with a ThermoFisher FEI Tecnai G2 BioTWIN electron microscope (FEI) and images were taken with Nanosprint12 camera (AMT) [15]. For SEM, bacterial cells were put through a 0.22 µm Swinney filter and the filters were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer. The samples were further processed through sequential exposure to osmium and thiocarbohydrazide [16]. Samples were dehydrated through graded alcohols and chemically dried with hexamethyldisilizane [17]. Samples were decorated with a thin coating of gold–palladium mixture and viewed on a TM3030Plus scanning electron microscope (Hitachi). Under magnification, strain MMM721^T was pleomorphic in presentation, composed of long chains of rods (0.8–8.2×0.5–2.0 µm) as well as clusters of coccoid-like cells (1.0–2.3 µm) after 24 h of incubation (Fig. 1a, b, Table 1). Despite the appearance of multiple cellular morphologies, 16S rRNA gene sequencing of cells from the broth cultures pre- and post-microscopy sample collection confirmed the culture was free from contamination. Similar cellular pleomorphy was previously observed within the order *Erysipelotrichales*, most notably the animal pathogen *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* [18].

The cellular fatty acid profile of strain MMM721^T was compared to *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T to assess the relatedness between the species. Both isolates were grown in BHIGL broth inoculated with an agar plug of a single colony and pelleted via centrifugation prior to submission to Microbial ID for cellular fatty acids analysis, using the MIDI Sherlock Microbial Identification System [19]. Abundant cellular fatty acids for MMM721^T were similar to *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T, though the proportions of those cellular fatty acids varied between the two species. The main cellular fatty acids were $C_{16:0}$, $C_{18:0}$, $\sigma 7c$ and $C_{18:0}$ (Table 2).

The biochemical characteristic of the novel isolates MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 were assessed using the RapID Ana II (Remel), API 20A (bioMérieux) and API 50CHL (bioMérieux) systems according to the manufactures' instructions (Tables 1 and S1). All tests were conducted in duplicate. The RapID Ana II system and the API 20A systems are used for the identification of anaerobic bacteria while the API50 CHL system is used for the identification of *Lactobacillus* and other related genera. Strain MMM721^T was only positive for arginine and gelatin biochemical reactivity, with variable aesculin hydrolysis activity (Table 1). Strain ISU324 was positive for gelatin and aesculin biochemical reactivity while strain PIG517 was capable of biochemical reactivity to a-glucoside, arginine, gelatin and aesculin, with weak reactivity to serine, methyl β -D-xylopyranoside, D-glucose, arbutin, salicin, cellobiose and maltose (Table S1). Together, the novel strains presented biochemical reactivity profiles that differentiated them from *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T (Tables 1 and S1).

Next, short chain fatty acid (SCFA) production was determined for MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 in response to the substrates to which the isolates exhibited strong biochemical reactivity. Isolates were grown in BHIGL broth supplemented with 10 mM of substrate, or 0.1% (w/v) in the case of gelatin, and inoculated with an agar plug of a single colony. Broth cultures were incubated for 48 h at 42 °C, at which point cells were pelleted by centrifugation and 1.0 ml supernatant was collected for SCFA analysis via gas chromatography (GC) using previously described methods [20, 21]. Samples for GC analysis were prepared in duplicate. The major products of fermentation were acetate, butyrate, and lactate (Tables 1, S1 and S2).

Antibiotic susceptibility was measured using BHIGL agar plates supplemented with $50 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ of either kanamycin, colistin, vancomycin or penicillin G, as these antibiotics were previously assessed for *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T [2]. Strain MMM721^T was streaked on plates containing each of the four antibiotics in duplicate and was incubated for 48 h at 42 °C, with lack of growth being interpreted as susceptibility. After 48 h, only plates supplemented with colistin displayed growth, suggesting MMM721^T was resistant or insenstive to colistin but susceptible to kanamycin, vancomycin, and penicillin G (Table 1).

Genomic DNA was extracted from MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 using the Purelink genomic DNA extraction minikit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and DNA quality was determined using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Qubit fluorimeter (dsDNA Broad Range kit; Life Technologies) and gel electrophoresis. A Nextera Flex barcoding kit (Illumina) was used to prepare 2×250 bp paired-end read genomic libraries for sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each isolate was sequenced twice on separate MiSeq runs. The raw Illumina reads are available on NCBI's sequence read archive (accession numbers: SRR11784102, SRR11784103, SRR11825064, SRR11825065, SRR11825066 and SRR11825067). Raw Illumina reads were uploaded to the PathoSystems Resource Integration Centre (PATRIC) web resource [22, 23]. For each strain, the raw reads for the two MiSeq runs were pooled and assembled using PATRIC's online genome assembly tool, selecting the 'SPAdes' assembly strategy and using default settings [24]. Draft assemblies were annotated through PATRIC's RASTtk-enabled Genome Annotation Service [22, 25]. The MMM721^T draft assembly was composed of 94 contigs, with an assembly length of 2717947 bp and a G+C content of 34.4mol%. The draft assembly for ISU324 had 118 contigs, with an assembly length of 2751587 bp and 34.2mol% G+C content. The PIG517 draft assembly had contigs and totalled 2585443 bp in size, with a G+C content of 34.3mol%. The three isolate assemblies had similar G+C content to the draft assembly of *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T available through the PATRIC genome database, though the genome length for MOL361^T was 2946097 bp, nearly 200 kb higher than ISU324, which possessed the longest genome length of the three isolates (Table S1).

To determine divergence of the three Turicibacter isolates to other Turicibacter genomes, pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANI) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) calculations were conducted with MMM721^T, ISU324, PIG517 and all publicly available Turicibacter species genomes on the PATRIC genome database (Tables 3, S3 and S4). Both ANI and dDDH provide an in silico method to delineate boundaries between species [26-28]. Pairwise ANI calculations were conducted using both BLASTN+ (ANIb) and MUMmer (ANIm) algorithms through the JSpecies Web Server (http://jspecies.ribohost.com/jspeciesws/) [29]. The dDDH calculations were made through the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (version 2.1) using the recommended settings (http://ggdc.dsmz.de/) [28]. Typically, ANI values >95% and dDDH values >70% are considered to be the same species. Comparisons between MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 produced ANI values >97% (both ANIb/ANIm) and dDDH values >84%, suggesting all strains belonged to the same species. Compared to T. sanguinis MOL361^T, MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 had ANIb values of 76.43, 76.44 and 76.14%, and ANIm values of 85.97, 86.04 and 85.79%, respectively, suggesting these isolates represent a distinct species from T. sanguinis (Tables 3 and S3). The ANI results were corroborated by the dDDH results, with values of 22.5, 22.5 and 22.1% obtained for MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 when compared to T. sanguinis MOL361^T (Table S4). Interestingly, the ANI and dDDH results provided additional taxonomical information for several of the previously isolated and sequenced Turicibacter strains. Strains H121 and T. sanguinis MGYG-HGUT-00037 belong to the newly proposed species, along with MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 (Tables 3, S3 and S4). Strains UBA1159, UBA7094, Lab288P1bin27 and Nc150P1bin9 fell below the species threshold by ANIb, ANIm and dDDH metrics for both *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T and MMM721^T, suggesting that these strains could be divergent species within Turicibacter (Tables 3, S3 and S4).

To further compare the genomic features of the three *Turicibacter* isolates and *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T, open reading frames (ORFs) from all four genomes were uploaded to eggNOG-mapper (http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de) for functional annotation and classification [30, 31]. A quadruple venn diagram of shared and unique ORFs was constructed using the 'venn()' function in the

statistical computing software R [32]. The four genomes shared a set of 1511 'core' ORFs (Fig. S2). An additional 235 ORFs were shared between MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 while being absent from *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T. *Turicibacter sanguinis* MOL361^T possessed 748 unique ORFs. These large differences in conserved and unique ORFs between the three *Turicibacter* isolates and *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T further suggest these strains represent a new species within *Turicibacter*.

A phylogenetic tree of publicly available *Turicibacter* genomes was reconstructed by using PATRIC'S Phylogenetic Tree Building Service using the 'Codon Tree' method on 100 single-copy genes allowing for up to five deletions/duplications (Fig. 2) [33]. This programme utilizes both DNA and amino acid sequences to build both gene and protein alignments, generating a phylogenetic tree from those alignments. *Eggerthia catenaformis* OT569 was used as an outgroup. Similar to the ANI and dDDH results, the codon tree had MMM721^T, ISU324 and PIG517 together in a clade (including strains H121 and *T. sanguinis* MGYG-HGUT-00037), distinct from the clade containing *T. sanguinis* MOL361^T, further evidencing these three strains constitute a novel species within the genus *Turicibacter* (Fig. 2).

DESCRIPTION OF TURICIBACTER BILIS SP. NOV.

Turicibacter bilis (bi'lis. L. gen. n. bilis of bile).

Strictly anaerobic, Gram-positive, catalase-negative, non-motile, spore-forming, pleomorphic micro-organisms. Supplementation of media with 0.1% (v/v) chicken bile induces spore germination. Growth is poor in broth alone, but improves significantly in biphasic media or broth with an agar plug. Cells primarily exist as long chains of irregular rods ($0.8-8.2\times0.5-2.0 \mu m$) or as individual or clusters of coccoid cells ($1.0-2.3 \mu m$). The organism is capable of growth between $30-45 \,^{\circ}C$ and at pH 6.5–8.5, with optimums of 42 °C and pH 7.5, respectively. Colonies are visible on BHIGL agar after 1–2 days and appear as small to medium-sized irregularly shaped, umbonate colonies with undulating margins, with the raised centre an opaque white that transitions to a translucent tan or grey at the margins. Colonies are 2.5–5.0 mm after 3 days at 42 °C. The primary fermentation end products are acetate, butyrate and lactate. The organism is positive for arginine and gelatin biochemical reactivity.

The type strain is MMM721^T and was isolated from the surface of a chicken eggshell (=ATCC TSD-238^T=CCUG 74757^T). The genome is 2.7 Mb with a G+C content of 34.4 mol%.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by the U. S. Department of Agriculture under project 5030-31320-004-00D. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the USDA. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. This research was supported by an appointment to the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) Research Participation Program administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). ORISE is managed by ORAU under DOE contract number DE- SC0014664. All opinions expressed in this paper are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect the policies and views of USDA, ARS, DOE, or ORAU/ORISE. This research used resources provided by the SCINet project of the USDA Agricultural Research Service, ARS project number 0500-00093-001-00-D.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank David Alt for sequencing support and Judi Stasko for electron microscopy service. The authors would also like to thank the ISU Poultry Research and Teaching Farm for collecting the eggs used to isolate *Turicibacter bilis* ISU324 and Crystal Loving for providing the pig ileal sample from which *Turicibacter bilis* PIG517 was isolated. All animals were raised in accordance with National Animal Disease Center Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. The mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication are solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Verbarg S, Göker M, Scheuner C, Schumann P, Stackebrandt E. The Families Erysipelotrichaceae emend., Coprobacillaceae fam. nov., and Turicibacteraceae fam. nov. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E and Thompson F (eds). The Prokaryotes: Firmicutes and Tenericutes. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014. pp. 79–105.
- Bosshard PP, Zbinden R, Altwegg M. Turicibacter sanguinis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel anaerobic, Gram-positive bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2002;52:1263–1266.
- 3. Auchtung TA, Holder ME, Gesell JR, Ajami NJ, Duarte RTD, *et al.* Complete genome sequence of Turicibacter sp. strain H121, isolated from the feces of a contaminated germ-free mouse. *Genome Announc* 2016;4:e00114-16.
- 4. Cuív PÓ, Klaassens ES, Durkin AS, Harkins DM, Foster L, *et al*. Draft genome sequence of *Turicibacter sanguinis* PC909, isolated from human feces. *J Bacteriol* 2011;193:1288–1289.
- Johnson TA, Sylte MJ, Looft T. In-feed bacitracin methylene disalicylate modulates the turkey microbiota and metabolome in a dose-dependent manner. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:8212.
- Looft T, Allen HK, Cantarel BL, Levine UY, Bayles DO, et al. Bacteria, phages and pigs: the effects of in-feed antibiotics on the microbiome at different gut locations. ISME J 2014;8:1566–1576.
- Köhler T, Dietrich C, Scheffrahn RH, Brune A. High-resolution analysis of gut environment and bacterial microbiota reveals functional compartmentation of the gut in wood-feeding higher termites (*Nasutitermes* spp.). Appl Environ Microbiol 2012;78:4691–4701.

- Browne HP, Forster SC, Anonye BO, Kumar N, Neville BA, et al. Culturing of "unculturable" human microbiota reveals novel taxa and extensive sporulation. *Nature* 2016;533:543–546.
- Schulze-Schweifing K, Banerjee A, Wade WG. Comparison of bacterial culture and 16S rRNA community profiling by clonal analysis and pyrosequencing for the characterization of the dentine cariesassociated microbiome. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014;4:164.
- Maki JJ, Looft T. Megasphaera stantonii sp. nov., a butyrateproducing bacterium isolated from the cecum of a healthy chicken. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2018;68:3409–3415.
- 11. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J Mol Biol 1990;215:403–410.
- Greene RA, Blum EF, DeCoro CT, Fairchild RB, Kaplan MT, et al. Rapid methods for the detection of motility. J Bacteriol 1951;62:347.
- 13. Whittenbury R. Hydrogen peroxide formation and catalase activity in the lactic acid bacteria. *J Gen Microbiol* 1964;35:13–26.
- Koransky JR, Allen SD, Dowell VR. Use of ethanol for selective isolation of sporeforming microorganisms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1978;35:762–765.
- Bozzola JJ, Russell LD. Electron Microscopy Principles and Techniques for Biomicroscopy principles and techniques for biologists. Boston, MA: Jones & Bartlett Pub; 1999.
- Malick L, Wilson R. Evaluation of a modified technique for SEM examination of vertebrate specimens without evaporated metal layers. Scan Electron Microsc 1975;1:259–266.
- 17. Nation JL. A new method using hexamethyldisilazane for preparation of soft insect tissues for scanning electron microscopy. *Stain Technol* 1983;58:347–351.
- Dunbar SA, Clarridge JE. Potential errors in recognition of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:1302–1304.
- Miller LT. Single derivatization method for routine analysis of bacterial whole-cell fatty acid methyl esters, including hydroxy acids. J Clin Microbiol 1982;16:584–586.
- Salanitro JP, Muirhead PA. Quantitative method for the gas chromatographic analysis of short-chain monocarboxylic and dicarboxylic acids in fermentation media. *Appl Microbiol* 1975;29:374–381.
- Trachsel J, Briggs C, Gabler NK, Allen HK, Loving CL. Dietary resistant potato starch alters intestinal microbial communities and their metabolites, and markers of immune regulation and barrier function in swine. *Front Immunol* 2019;10:1381.

- Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, et al. Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45:D535–D542.
- Wattam AR, Brettin T, Davis JJ, Gerdes S, Kenyon R, et al. Assembly, annotation, and comparative genomics in PATRIC, the All Bacterial Bioinformatics Resource Center. In: Setubal JC, Stoye J and Stadler PF (eds). Comparative Genomics: Methods and Protocols. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2018. pp. 79–101.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 2012;19:455–477.
- Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, et al. RASTtk: A modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep 2015;5:8365.
- Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. The enveomics collection: a toolbox for specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ Preprints* 2016;4:e1900v1.
- Goris J, Konstantinidis KT, Klappenbach JA, Coenye T, Vandamme P, et al. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to wholegenome sequence similarities. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2007;57:81–91.
- Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2013;14:60.
- Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Oliver Glöckner F, Peplies J. JSpeciesWS: a web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. *Bioinformatics* 2016;32:929–931.
- Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, et al. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through orthology assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. Mol Biol Evol 2017;34:2115–2122.
- Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D309–D314.
- Team RC. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2006. http://www.R-project. org
- Davis JJ, Wattam AR, Aziz RK, Brettin T, Butler R, et al. The PATRIC Bioinformatics Resource Center: expanding data and analysis capabilities. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;48:D606–D612.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.