

Pain Medicine 2014; 15: 1163–1170 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



# Fatigue and Depression in Sick-Listed Chronic Low Back Pain Patients

# Hildegun Snekkevik, MD,\* Hege R. Eriksen, PhD,<sup>†</sup> Tone Tangen, PhD,<sup>‡</sup> Trudie Chalder, PhD,<sup>§</sup> and Silje E. Reme, PhD<sup>†</sup>

\*Friskvernklinikken, Asker; <sup>†</sup>Uni Health, Uni Research AS; <sup>‡</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>§</sup>Department of Psychological Medicine, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>¶</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Reprint requests to: Silje Endresen Reme, PhD, Uni Health, Uni Research, Bergen, Norway. Tel: +47-55-58-28-42; Fax: +47-55-58-98-78; E-mail: silje.reme@uni.no

Disclosure: The authors have no competing interests to report.

# Abstract

Objective. The relationship between fatigue and pain has been investigated previously, but little is known about the prevalence of substantial fatigue in patients sick-listed for chronic low back pain (CLBP) and about how fatigue is associated with depression, pain, and long-term disability. The aims of the study were to examine the prevalence of substantial fatigue; associations between fatigue, depression, and pain; and whether fatigue predicted long-term disability.

Methods. Five hundred sixty-nine patients participating in a randomized controlled trial and sicklisted 2–10 months for LBP were included in the study. Cross-sectional analyses were conducted to investigate the prevalence and independent associations between fatigue, depression, pain, and disability, while longitudinal analyses were done to investigate the association between fatigue and long-term disability.

Results. The prevalence of substantial fatigue was 69.7%. Women reported significantly more fatigue

than men (t=-3.6, df = 551; P < .001). Those with substantial fatigue had higher pain intensity (t=-3.3, df = 534; P=0.01), more depressive symptoms (t=-10.9, df = 454; P < 0.001), and more disability (t=-7.6, df = 539; P < 0.001) than those without substantial fatigue. Musculoskeletal pain and depression were independently associated with substantial fatigue. In the longitudinal analyses, fatigue predicted long-term disability at 3, 6, and 12 months' follow-up. After pain and depression were controlled for, fatigue remained a significant predictor of disability at 6 months' follow-up.

Conclusions. The vast majority of the sick-listed CLBP patients reported substantial fatigue. Those with substantial fatigue had more pain and depressive symptoms and a significant risk of reporting more disability at 3, 6, and 12 months. Substantial fatigue is disabling in itself but also involves a risk of developing chronic fatigue syndrome and longterm disability.

Key Words. Low Back Pain; Fatigue; Depression; Disability

# Introduction

Chronic low back pain (CLBP) represents a major public health problem in Western societies. It is the leading cause of long-term sick leave in Norway and is often associated with substantial disability [1,2] and a high degree of comorbidity. The comorbidity involves both mental disorders [3] and other health complaints [4], including tiredness and fatigue [5].

Fatigue is a subjective health complaint that entails emotional, cognitive, and behavioral components [6]. The current study will be covering the mental and physical aspects of fatigue [7], as described and applied in previous studies [8,9]. More specifically, these involve cognitive difficulties, tiredness and sleepiness, reduced strength and endurance, and loss of interest and motivation [10].

Fatigue is a common complaint in the general population [8,9]. It often accompanies physical diseases [11–14] and

psychiatric disorders [15] and is the most prominent and severe symptom in chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) [16]. Patients with various pain syndromes also report fatigue. A higher occurrence of fatigue was found in patients with complex regional pain syndrome compared with a control group [17], and patients with fibromyalgia were more fatigued than the general population [18]. Two previous studies have shown that CLBP patients are more fatigued than healthy controls [19,20], but less is known about the prevalence of *substantial* fatigue in CLBP compared with the general population and about how fatigue may influence the prognosis of CLBP.

Depression is a factor known to influence the prognosis of CLBP [21]. In fact, depression is both a risk factor for [22] and a consequence of chronic pain [23], and patients with concurrent pain and depression have more intense and continuous pain, more functional limitations, and slower recovery [23,24]. The presence of depressive symptoms is also strongly associated with chronic fatigue [25], and depression could thus be a contributing etiological factor of the reported fatigue in chronic pain patients.

A related problem, clinical insomnia, is also highly prevalent among patients with chronic back pain [26]. A study from a large, heterogeneous sample of patients who were seeking care for their LBP revealed that 59% of the patients reported poor sleep [27]. Sleep is thus increasingly recognized as an important parameter in determining quality of life in chronic pain patients and could be an underlying factor of the fatigue in CLBP.

The pain itself could also be a contributing cause of the fatigue, with fatigue and pain both contributing to a synergistic reduction of functional capacity in CLBP. Co-occurrence of fatigue and CLBP may thus contribute to a greater loss of function [3] as well as an increased risk for sick leave [28].

The primary aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of substantial fatigue, defined as a score of  $\geq$ 4 on the Chalder Fatigue Scale [7], in sick-listed CLBP patients. Secondary aims involved assessing associations between fatigue, depression, pain, subjective health complaints, and disability and exploring how fatigue influenced the prognosis at 3, 6, and 12 months' follow-up. We hypothesized that substantial fatigue would be associated with more widespread and intense musculoskeletal health complaints and depression and that pain, depression, and fatigue would predict disability after 3, 6, and 12 months.

# Methods

A total of 569 patients who were sick-listed with nonspecific LBP for between 2 and 10 months were included in the study as part of a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Details are described in the trial protocol [29]. The work was conducted at Uni Health, Uni Research AS, Bergen, Norway. Patients on sick leave for nonspecific LBP (International Classification of Primary Care [WHO, 2003]: L03, L04, L84, and L86) received information from the Norwegian Labour and Welfare Administration about the possibility of participating in a multicenter randomized controlled trial of different treatments for CLBP. Those who responded to the invitation (N = 2,200) were screened by telephone and excluded if they did not fulfill the following inclusion criteria: currently being on sick leave due to LBP and having been so for between 2 and 10 months, being between 18 and 60 years of age, and being fluent in the Norwegian language. A total of 1,563 patients were excluded at this stage. The remaining 637 patients were referred to the participating clinics for inclusion, and 63 were excluded due to the following exclusion criteria: pregnancy (N = 1), known osteoporotic fracture or being on antiosteoporotic medication (N = 5), ongoing treatment for cancer (N = 2), recent back trauma (N = 11), serious psychiatric disorder (mainly due to ongoing psychosis, high suicide risk, and/or serious depression; N = 8), cardiovascular disease (N = 1), and nonfluency in the Norwegian language (N = 6). A standardized psychiatric interview was used to screen for psychiatric disorders. One patient withdrew his consent and demanded that all data be deleted, and another 4 patients were excluded after randomization, leaving 569 patients included in the analy-Ses

The patients answered a number of different questionnaires at baseline and at 3, 6, and 12 months' follow-up concerning pain, fatigue, anxiety, depression, subjective health complaints, and functional limitations. In addition, demographic variables such as gender, age, civil status, and education were recorded. The response rates for the follow-up assessments were 55% at 3 months, 49% at 6 months, and 68% at 12 months.

# Questionnaires

# Pain

Mean back pain severity during the last 14 days was registered using a visual analog rating scale (VAS scale) divided into 11 equal parts with scores from 0 to 10, with 0 labeled "no pain" and ten "worst possible pain." The patients were also asked to mark on a body chart where they had experienced pain during the last week and to rate the intensity of neck pain and leg pain on VAS scales.

# Depression

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) has been shown to be a useful device for assessing presence of clinically significant degrees of anxiety and depression [30]. The HADS is a 14-item scale and is scored on a four-point Likert scale. It was originally developed for use in patients with physical illness, with excluded items concerning somatic symptoms in anxiety and depression. The scale hence avoids overlap with somatic symptoms of physical illness. Fourteen items measuring anxiety and depression over the last week (seven each) provide a total score of between 0 and 21 for each subscale. Higher scores on these subscales represent increased probability of an anxiety or depressive disorder being present. We only used the depression subscale in the current study, with scores of 8 and above being used as an indication of possible depression [31].

## Fatigue

The Chalder Fatigue Scale [7] is a self-rating scale developed to measure the severity of fatigue. The intended purpose of the scale is to detect fatigue cases and to assess symptom severity in both hospital and community populations. It is an 11-item scale and has been found to be both reliable and valid [7,32]. The patients are asked to rate how tired they have or have not been over the last month, with the following response alternatives: "better than usual," "no more than usual," "worse than usual," and "much worse than usual"; the higher the score, the more fatigued the patient. If participants had been feeling tired for a long time, they were asked to compare their tiredness with how they felt when last well. The bimodal scoring system allows for fatigue cases to be identified. In contrast to the Likert scoring system (0, 1, 2, 3), where the maximum score possible is 33, the bimodal scoring system (0, 0, 1, 1) has a maximum score of 11, where the response options "less than usual" and "no more than usual" are given scores of 0 and "worse than usual" and "much worse than usual" are given scores of 1. "Substantial fatigue" was defined by total dichotomized scores of 4 or higher. This cutoff was originally suggested by a previous validation study of the Chalder Fatigue Scale [7] and had since been applied in two population studies, thus providing norms that could be used for comparison [8,9].

# Subjective Health Complaints

The Subjective Health Complaints (SHC) inventory [33] is a 29-item questionnaire designed to measure prevalence, degree, and duration of common subjective health complaints. The patients are asked if and, if yes, to what extent they have been affected by any of 29 complaints during the last month, using the following options: 0 (not at all), 1 (a little), 2 (some), or 3 (seriously). The 29 items may be categorized into five subscales: musculoskeletal complaints (headache, neck pain, shoulder pain, pain in upper back, low back pain, pain in arms, leg pain, migraine), pseudoneurological conditions (extra heartbeats, hot flashes, sleep problems, tiredness, dizziness, anxiety, sadness/depression), gastrointestinal complaints (heartburn, stomach discomfort, ulcer/nonulcer dyspepsia, stomach pain, gas discomfort, diarrhea, constipation), allergy (asthma, breathing difficulties, eczema, allergy, chest pain), and flu (cold/flu, coughing). In the current study, severity of complaints was also computed for each subscale.

# Disability

The Oswestry Disability Index [34] was used to assess functional limitation or disability at baseline and at 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up. It consists of 10 items concerning the effect of back pain on different activities of daily life (personal care, lifting, walking, sitting, standing, sleeping, sexual life, social life, and travelling). The patients are asked about their current functional status. Each item is scored from 0 to 5, with higher values representing more disability. In the current study we used a median split and defined those in the upper half (with a score of >28) as substantially disabled.

#### Statistical Analyses

All analyses were performed with SPSS version 18. Descriptive statistics, involving frequency tables, crosstabs, and independent-sample *t*-tests, was used to assess the prevalence of substantial fatigue and to compare differences between groups, i.e., those with and without substantial fatigue. Multiple logistic regression was used to investigate associations between the variables and possible confounders, both cross-sectionally and longitudinally. Additional correlation analyses were conducted between the independent variables to test for potential multicollinearity.

# Ethical Considerations

The Regional Ethical Committee and the Norwegian Social Science Data Services National Register of Data approved the study. All principles in the Helsinki declaration were followed. Informed consent was signed by each participant with emphasis on the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any explanation.

# Results

The study population was 50.3% women, and the mean age was 44 years. Patients reported an average of 11 years' duration for their back pain (Table 1), with 99.6% reporting pain lasting for more than 3 months. Three hundred seventy-eight (69.7%) reported substantial fatigue, with a score of 4 or more on the Chalder Fatigue Scale. Women reported significantly higher scores on fatigue compared with men (t = -3.6, df = 551; P < .001). One hundred one patients (18%) were possibly depressed, with a score of 8 or more on the HADS depression subscale. No gender differences were seen for depression ( $\chi^2 = 0.06$ , P = 0.44).

Patients with substantial fatigue had higher pain intensity (t = -3.3, df = 534; P = 0.01), more depressive symptoms (t = -10.9, df = 454; P < 0.001), and more disability (t = -7.6, df = 539; P < 0.001) compared with those without substantial fatigue. Seventeen percent of the CLBP patients were both substantially fatigued ( $\geq 4$  on fatigue scale) and scored above the cutoff for depressive symptoms ( $\geq 8$  on HADS).

In the univariate models, female gender, back pain, leg pain, anxiety, depression, and the SHC subscales for gastrointestinal problems, flu, and musculoskeletal pain were significantly associated with substantial fatigue. Higher scores were associated with substantial fatigue (Table 2).

In the multivariate model, gender, age, civil status, and length of education were included as control variables.

Table 1Baseline and clinical characteristics(N = 569)

| Continuous variables               | Mean       | SD   | Median |
|------------------------------------|------------|------|--------|
| Age                                | 44.3 years | 9.7  | 44     |
| Duration of back pain              | 10.8 years | 10.5 | 7.5    |
| Back pain intensity<br>(0–10)      | 6.5        | 1.9  | 7.0    |
| Neck pain intensity<br>(0–10)      | 3.8        | 2.8  | 4.0    |
| Leg pain intensity (0–10)          | 3.9        | 2.7  | 4.0    |
| Pain during activity<br>(0–10)     | 5.9        | 2.2  | 6.0    |
| Pain while resting (0-10)          | 4.0        | 2.3  | 4.0    |
| Subjective health                  | 10.0       | 4.9  | 10.0   |
| complaints (number                 |            |      |        |
| of complaints)                     |            |      |        |
| Categorical variables              |            | Ν    | %      |
| Gender                             |            |      |        |
| Men                                |            | 283  | 49.7   |
| Women                              |            | 286  | 50.3   |
| Civil status                       |            |      |        |
| Married/cohabiting                 |            | 396  | 71.7   |
| Single/widow/divorced<br>Education |            | 156  | 28.3   |
| Primary school, 1–12 ye            | 386        | 70.2 |        |
| University/college                 | 164        | 29.8 |        |
| HADS                               |            | 104  | 20.0   |
| Depression (score ≥8)              |            | 101  | 18.3   |
| Anxiety (score ≥8)                 | 125        | 22.6 |        |
| Subjective health complain         | its        |      |        |
| Musculoskeletal complai            | 528        | 99.2 |        |
| Pseudoneurological com             | 477        | 89.7 |        |
| Gastrointestinal complain          | 360        | 67.5 |        |
| Allergy                            |            | 243  | 45.6   |
| Flu                                |            | 228  | 42.9   |
|                                    |            |      |        |

HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

The pseudoneurological conditions subscale in the SHC inventory was highly correlated with depression (r = 0.51, P < .001) and was thus excluded from the final model in order to avoid multicollinearity. In the final model, higher scores on the depression scale, musculoskeletal pain, and leg pain were significantly and independently associated with substantial fatigue (see Table 2).

The same model was run with disability as outcome in order to test another hypothesis, namely that stronger pain, fatigue, and depressive symptoms were associated with substantial disability (score >28 on the Oswestry Disability Index). Higher scores for fatigue, depressive symptoms, and musculoskeletal pain (including back and leg pain) were significantly and independently associated with substantial disability (see Table 3). Finally, the longitudinal analyses showed that fatigue predicted disability at 3, 6, and 12 months' follow-up when demographic variables and baseline level of disability were controlled for. When pain and depression were added as potential covariates, fatigue remained a significant predictor of disability at 6 months (Table 4).

# Discussion

A total of 70% of the 569 patients with CLBP reported substantial fatigue (dichotomized scores 4 or higher) in the current study. More women than men were fatigued. Those who were substantially fatigued had higher pain intensity, more depressive symptoms, and more functional disability than those without substantial fatigue. In the multiple regression models, musculoskeletal pain and depression were independently associated with substantial fatigue, while pain intensity, depression, and fatigue were independently associated with substantial disability. This implies that the fatigue is fully explained neither by depression nor by pain intensity. The longitudinal analyses further confirmed that the severity of fatigue was a significant predictor of disability at 3, 6 and 12 months' followup. This association remained significant at 6 months' follow-up when pain intensity and depression were controlled for.

The prevalence of substantial fatigue reported here is far higher than in the general Norwegian population, where 22% reported substantial fatigue [9]. It is also higher than what was reported in the general British population, where 37% reported substantial fatigue [8]. Our finding of more fatigue in women than in men is, however, in accordance with previous findings [8]. Our results are further in agreement with two previous studies on CLBP and fatigue, where the CLBP patients reported significantly more fatigue than the healthy controls [19,20]. Compared with other patient populations, more CLBP patients than patients with rheumatoid arthritis (42%) and osteoarthritis (41%) report substantial fatigue, but the number who do so is comparable with that of patients with fibromyalgia (76%) [35]. The prevalence of clinically relevant fatigue in patients with different cancer diagnoses has been found to vary from 19% (testicular cancer) to 38% (breast cancer) [13].

Pain is one common aspect of rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and LBP, and one might hypothesize that pain is a contributing cause of the fatigue. Three studies of diabetic patients with peripheral neuropathic pain supported this hypothesis; after treatment with duloxetine, the patients reported less pain and improvements in perceived fatigue [36–38]. A path analysis of the results further suggested that fatigue improvement was mediated by reductions in pain, night pain, and sleep interference [39]. Thus, although not able to confirm a causal link between reduced pain and improved fatigue, findings indicate that reduced fatigue may be secondary to improvements in pain [40] and that the relationship may be etiological [41]. The current study provides some support for this notion in that the predictive value of fatigue **Table 2** Univariate and multivariate associations between substantial fatigue and other clinical and demographic variables

|                                    | Univariate associations |         | Multivariate model |         |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|--|
|                                    | OR (95% CI)             | P value | OR (95% CI)        | P value |  |
| Age                                | 1.00 (0.98–1.02)        | 0.80    | 0.98 (0.95–1.00)   | 0.06    |  |
| Marital status                     | 0.79 (0.52-1.20)        | 0.27    | 0.87 (0.51-1.48)   | 0.60    |  |
| Education                          | 1.25 (0.83-1.88)        | 0.29    | 1.07 (0.63–1.80)   | 0.80    |  |
| Gender                             | 2.02 (1.39–2.94)        | < 0.001 | 1.62 (1.00–2.63)   | 0.05    |  |
| Back pain intensity                | 1.18 (1.07–1.30)        | 0.001   | 0.97 (0.85–1.11)   | 0.68    |  |
| Leg pain intensity                 | 1.15 (1.07–1.24)        | < 0.001 | 1.10 (1.01–1.21)   | 0.04    |  |
| Anxiety (HADS anxiety scale)       | 1.30 (1.21–1.40)        | < 0.001 | 1.09 (0.98–1.21)   | 0.10    |  |
| Depression (HADS depression scale) | 1.41 (1.30–1.53)        | < 0.001 | 1.28 (1.15–1.43)   | < 0.001 |  |
| Gastrointestinal problems (SHC)    | 1.16 (1.06–1.26)        | 0.001   | 0.96 (0.85–1.08)   | 0.46    |  |
| Flu (SHC)                          | 1.31 (1.10–1.56)        | 0.03    | 1.21 (1.00–1.48)   | 0.053   |  |
| Musculoskeletal pain (SHC)         | 1.29 (1.21–1.37)        | <0.001  | 1.22 (1.13–1.31)   | <0.001  |  |

Outcome variable is substantial fatigue (≥4 on the Chalder Fatigue Scale).

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; SHC = Subjective Health Complaints inventory.

was reduced when pain and depression were added as covariates in the longitudinal analyses.

Eighteen percent of the CLBP patients scored high on depressive symptoms, with a score of 8 or more on the HADS depression subscale. This was not unexpected given the close relationship between pain and depression seen in previous literature [42–45]. A score of 8 or more on the HADS depression subscale has previously been found to be a strong predictor of both work disability and mortality [46,47]. We found that depressive symptoms were

strongly correlated with fatigue, and a subgroup of 17% reported both substantial fatigue and depressive symptoms. Comorbid fatigue and depression might reduce the patients' functional capacity, and this synergistic effect of what are usually referred to as "symptom clusters" has been thoroughly demonstrated [48,49].

Substantial fatigue is also a predictor of chronic fatigue and CFS [50,51]. Although a lack of CFS screening in the study makes us unable to rule out already existent CFS, the reported substantial fatigue could still represent a risk

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate associations between functional disability and other clinical and demographic variables

|                                 | Univariate associations |         | Multivariate model |         |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|--|
|                                 | OR (95% CI)             | P value | OR (95% CI)        | P value |  |
| Age                             | 1.00 (0.98–1.01)        | 0.68    | 0.99 (0.97–1.01)   | 0.39    |  |
| Marital status                  | 0.74 (0.51–1.07)        | 0.11    | 0.78 (0.49–1.24)   | 0.29    |  |
| Education                       | 0.72 (0.50-1.05)        | 0.09    | 0.84 (0.53-1.33)   | 0.46    |  |
| Gender                          | 1.19 (0.85–1.66)        | 0.32    | 1.06 (0.69–1.63)   | 0.80    |  |
| Fatigue                         | 3.59 (2.38–5.39)        | <0.001  | 2.43 (1.43–4.14)   | 0.001   |  |
| Back pain intensity             | 1.52 (1.36–1.70)        | <0.001  | 1.38 (1.21–1.58)   | <0.001  |  |
| Leg pain intensity              | 1.23 (1.15–1.32)        | <0.001  | 1.13 (1.05–1.23)   | 0.002   |  |
| Anxiety                         | 1.11 (1.06–1.17)        | <0.001  | 0.95 (0.88–1.03)   | 0.24    |  |
| Depression                      | 1.18 (1.11–1.24)        | <0.001  | 1.13 (1.03–1.23)   | 0.007   |  |
| Gastrointestinal problems (SHC) | 1.15 (1.07–1.23)        | <0.001  | 1.04 (0.95–1.14)   | 0.38    |  |
| Flu (SHC)                       | 1.16 (1.01–1.33)        | 0.04    | 1.13 (0.96–1.33)   | 0.15    |  |
| Musculoskeletal pain (SHC)      | 1.16 (1.11–1.22)        | <0.001  | 1.06 (1.00–1.13)   | 0.058   |  |

Outcome variable is disability (>28 on the Oswestry Disability Index).

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; SHC = Subjective Health Complaints inventory.

**Table 4** Fatigue as predictor of functional disability at 3, 6, and 12 months with different levels of adjustments for covariates

|                       | Model <sup>†</sup> | 3 months' follow-up |         | 6 months' follow-up |         | 12 months' follow-up |         |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
|                       |                    | OR (95% CI)         | P value | OR (95% CI)         | P value | OR (95% CI)          | P value |
| Fatigue (0–11)        | A                  | 1.29 (1.18–1.41)    | 0.000   | 1.30 (1.17–1.45)    | 0.000   | 1.20 (1.11–1.30)     | 0.000   |
|                       | В                  | 1.19 (1.07–1.33)    | 0.002   | 1.25 (1.09–1.43)    | 0.001   | 1.11 (1.01–1.23)     | 0.036   |
|                       | С                  | 1.18 (1.06–1.31)    | 0.003   | 1.21 (1.07-1.37)    | 0.003   | 1.09 (0.99-1.21)     | 0.093   |
|                       | D                  | 1.11 (0.98–1.26)    | 0.099   | 1.19 (1.02–1.39)    | 0.031   | 1.03 (0.92–1.16)     | 0.567   |
| Fatigue (dichotomous) | А                  | 4.22 (2.25-7.93)    | 0.000   | 5.73 (2.50–13.2)    | 0.000   | 2.63 (1.45–4.77)     | 0.001   |
|                       | В                  | 2.30 (1.10-4.82)    | 0.027   | 4.04 (1.51-10.8)    | 0.005   | 1.32 (0.65-2.66)     | 0.443   |
|                       | С                  | 2.43 (1.20-4.91)    | 0.013   | 3.83 (1.58-9.28)    | 0.003   | 1.45 (0.74-2.84)     | 0.279   |
|                       | D                  | 1.61 (0.73–3.56)    | 0.235   | 3.07 (1.13–8.38)    | 0.028   | 0.89 (0.42–1.91)     | 0.764   |

Outcome variable is disability (>28 on the Oswestry Disability Index).

 $^{\dagger}A$  = unadjusted; B = adjusted for disability, age, gender and education; C = adjusted for pain and depression; D = model C + model B.

factor, indicating a need for fatigue screening at an early stage of CLBP. Fatigue was a strong predictor of long-term disability, which implies that fatigue and its consequences may need to be considered when CLBP treatment programs are planned. Indeed, multimodal treatment programs for patients with both CLBP and fatigue have indicated good results [52]. Further, reducing pain may in itself contribute to less fatigue, particularly night pain and pain interfering with sleep [39]. Also, addressing the fatigue directly in rehabilitation programs may give additional effects, as cognitive–behavioral therapy and graded exercise therapy have produced significant improvements in fatigue for patients with CFS [53,54].

The study has a few limitations that will now be considered. First, the participants were not formally screened for CFS, fibromyalgia, or sleep disorders. These conditions can therefore not be ruled out as underlying causes of the fatigue, thereby calling into question the representativeness of the CLBP population. However, the fact that all study participants were on sick leave due to LBP implies that they were representative of this population by definition. Although an underlying comorbid diagnosis of, e.g., CFS cannot be ruled out, this was not their primary reason for being unable to work, and it was not their primary reason for seeking treatment. A systematic screening for CFS in this population would, however, be interesting and should be pursued in future studies. Second, the use of different fatigue scales might be a problem when comparing fatigue across studies. Still, the most important comparison for the current study were the studies from the general population, which used both the same scale and cutoff as in the current study [8,9]. Last, loss to follow-up is also a limitation that needs to be considered. The longitudinal analyses, especially at 6 months' follow-up, suffer from a high rate of missing data, and these results should thus be interpreted with some care.

In conclusion, we found that the vast majority of sick-listed CLBP patients reported substantial fatigue, and more so for women than for men. Musculoskeletal pain and depression were associated with substantial fatigue, while intense pain, depression, and fatigue were associated with substantial disability. The patients with substantial fatigue had higher pain intensity and more disability and were more depressed than those without, and severity of fatigue was a significant predictor of long-term disability.

# Acknowledgements

The Research Council of Norway financed the study. Trudie Chalder receives salary support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre at the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

# References

- 1 Brage S, Ihlebaek C, Natvig B, Bruusgaard D. [Musculoskeletal disorders as causes of sick leave and disability benefits]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2010; 130(23):2369–70. In Norwegian.
- 2 Ihlebaek C, Brage S, Natvig B, Bruusgaard D. [Occurrence of musculoskeletal disorders in Norway]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2010;130(23):2365–8. In Norwegian.
- 3 Von Korff M, Crane P, Lane M, et al. Chronic spinal pain and physical-mental comorbidity in the United States: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey replication. Pain 2005;113(3):331–9.
- 4 Carnes D, Parsons S, Ashby D, et al. Chronic musculoskeletal pain rarely presents in a single body

#### Fatigue and Low Back Pain

site: Results from a UK population study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2007;46(7):1168–70.

- 5 Hagen EM, Svensen E, Eriksen HR, Ihlebaek CM, Ursin H. Comorbid subjective health complaints in low back pain. Spine 2006;31(13):1491–5.
- 6 Lewis G, Wessely S. The epidemiology of fatigue: More questions than answers. J Epidemiol Community Health 1992;46(2):92–7.
- 7 Chalder T, Berelowitz G, Pawlikowska T, et al. Development of a fatigue scale. J Psychosom Res 1993; 37(2):147–53.
- 8 Pawlikowska T, Chalder T, Hirsch SR, et al. Population based study of fatigue and psychological distress. BMJ 1994;308(6931):763–6.
- 9 Loge JH, Ekeberg O, Kaasa S. Fatigue in the general Norwegian population: Normative data and associations. J Psychosom Res 1998;45(1 Spec No):53–65.
- 10 Morriss RK, Wearden AJ, Mullis R. Exploring the validity of the Chalder Fatigue Scale in chronic fatigue syndrome. J Psychosom Res 1998;45(5):411–7.
- 11 Zajicek JP, Ingram WM, Vickery J, et al. Patientorientated longitudinal study of multiple sclerosis in South West England (The South West Impact of Multiple Sclerosis Project, SWIMS) 1: Protocol and baseline characteristics of cohort. BMC Neurol 2010; 10:88.
- 12 Wolfe F. The fibromyalgia syndrome: A consensus report on fibromyalgia and disability. J Rheumatol 1996;23(3):534–9.
- 13 Storey DJ, Waters RA, Hibberd CJ, et al. Clinically relevant fatigue in cancer outpatients: The Edinburgh Cancer Centre symptom study. Ann Oncol 2007; 18(11):1861–9.
- 14 Spichiger E, Muller-Frohlich C, Denhaerynck K, et al. Prevalence of symptoms, with a focus on fatigue, and changes of symptoms over three months in outpatients receiving cancer chemotherapy. Swiss Med Wkly 2011;141:w13303.
- 15 Banks SM, Kerns RD. Explaining high rates of depression in chronic pain: A diathesis–stress framework. Psychol Bull 1996;119(1):95–109.
- 16 Reid SF, Chalder T, Cleare A, Hotopf M, Wessely S. Chronic fatigue syndrome. Clin Evid (Online) 2008; 2008. PMID: 19445810.
- 17 Rohrbeck J, Jordan K, Croft P. The frequency and characteristics of chronic widespread pain in general practice: A case–control study. Br J Gen Pract 2007; 57(535):109–15.

- 18 Zoppi M, Maresca M. Symptoms accompanying fibromyalgia. Reumatismo 2008;60(3):217–20.
- 19 Fishbain DA, Cutler RB, Cole B, et al. Are patients with chronic low back pain or chronic neck pain fatigued? Pain Med 2004;5(2):187–95.
- 20 Feuerstein M, Carter RL, Papciak AS. A prospective analysis of stress and fatigue in recurrent low back pain. Pain 1987;31(3):333–44.
- 21 Pincus T, Burton AK, Vogel S, Field AP. A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. Spine 2002;27(5):E109–20.
- 22 Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. Spine 2000;25(9):1148– 56.
- 23 Bair MJ, Robinson RL, Katon W, Kroenke K. Depression and pain comorbidity: A literature review. Arch Intern Med 2003;163(20):2433–45.
- 24 Von Korff M, Dworkin SF, Le Resche L, Kruger A. An epidemiologic comparison of pain complaints. Pain 1988;32(2):173–83.
- 25 Watanabe N, Stewart R, Jenkins R, Bhugra DK, Furukawa TA. The epidemiology of chronic fatigue, physical illness, and symptoms of common mental disorders: A cross-sectional survey from the second British National Survey of Psychiatric Morbidity. J Psychosom Res 2008;64(4):357–62.
- 26 Tang NK, Wright KJ, Salkovskis PM. Prevalence and correlates of clinical insomnia co-occurring with chronic back pain. J Sleep Res 2007;16(1):85–95.
- 27 Alsaadi SM, McAuley JH, Hush JM, Maher CG. Prevalence of sleep disturbance in patients with low back pain. Eur Spine J 2011;20(5):737–43.
- 28 Roelen CA, Koopmans PC, Groothoff JW. Subjective health complaints in relation to sickness absence. Work 2010;37(1):15–21.
- 29 Reme SE, Tveito TH, Chalder T, et al. Protocol for the Cognitive Interventions and Nutritional Supplements (CINS) trial: A randomized controlled multicenter trial of a brief intervention (BI) versus a BI plus cognitive behavioral treatment (CBT) versus nutritional supplements for patients with long-lasting muscle and back pain. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011;12:152.
- 30 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67(6): 361–70.
- 31 Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002;52(2):69–77.

- 32 Cella M, Chalder T. Measuring fatigue in clinical and community settings. J Psychosom Res 2010;69(1): 17–22.
- 33 Eriksen HR, Ihlebaek C, Ursin H. A scoring system for subjective health complaints (SHC). Scand J Public Health 1999;27(1):63–72.
- 34 Fairbank JC, Couper J, Davies JB, O'Brien JP. The Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire. Physiotherapy 1980;66(8):271–3.
- 35 Wolfe F, Hawley DJ, Wilson K. The prevalence and meaning of fatigue in rheumatic disease. J Rheumatol 1996;23(8):1407–17.
- 36 Goldstein DJ, Lu Y, Detke MJ, Lee TC, Iyengar S. Duloxetine versus placebo in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy. Pain 2005;116(1–2):109–18.
- 37 Raskin J, Pritchett YL, Wang F, et al. A double-blind, randomized multicenter trial comparing duloxetine with placebo in the management of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. Pain Med 2005;6(5):346–56.
- 38 Wernicke JF, Pritchett YL, D'Souza DN, et al. A randomized controlled trial of duloxetine in diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. Neurology 2006;67(8): 1411–20.
- 39 Fishbain DA, Hall JA, Risser RC, Gonzales JS. Does pain cause the perception of fatigue in patients with chronic pain? Findings from studies for management of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain with duloxetine. Pain Pract 2009;9(5):354–62.
- 40 Ciubotariu A, Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T. Localized muscle pain causes prolonged recovery after fatiguing isometric contractions. Exp Brain Res 2007;181(1):147–58.
- 41 Fishbain DA, Cole B, Cutler RB, Lewis J, Rosomoff HL, Rosomoff RS. Is pain fatiguing? A structured evidence-based review. Pain Med 2003;4(1):51–62.
- 42 Gerber PD, Barrett JE, Barrett JA, et al. The relationship of presenting physical complaints to depressive symptoms in primary care patients. J Gen Intern Med 1992;7(2):170–3.

- 43 Roy R, Thomas M, Matas M. Chronic pain and depression: A review. Compr Psychiatry 1984;25(1): 96–105.
- 44 Tylee A, Gandhi P. The importance of somatic symptoms in depression in primary care. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry 2005;7(4):167–76.
- 45 Katona C, Peveler R, Dowrick C, et al. Pain symptoms in depression: Definition and clinical significance. Clin Med 2005;5(4):390–5.
- 46 Mykletun A, Bjerkeset O, Dewey M, et al. Anxiety, depression, and cause-specific mortality: The HUNT study. Psychosom Med 2007;69(4):323–31.
- 47 Mykletun A, Overland S, Dahl AA, et al. A populationbased cohort study of the effect of common mental disorders on disability pension awards. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163(8):1412–8.
- 48 Barsevick AM. The elusive concept of the symptom cluster. Oncol Nurs Forum 2007;34(5):971–80.
- 49 Dodd MJ, Miaskowski C, Lee KA. Occurrence of symptom clusters. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2004; 32:76–8.
- 50 Hamilton WT, Gallagher AM, Thomas JM, White PD. Risk markers for both chronic fatigue and irritable bowel syndromes: A prospective case-control study in primary care. Psychol Med 2009;39(11):1913–21.
- 51 Nijrolder I, van der Windt D, van der Horst H. Prediction of outcome in patients presenting with fatigue in primary care. Br J Gen Pract 2009;59(561):e101–9.]
- 52 Fishbain DA, Lewis J, Cole B, et al. Multidisciplinary pain facility treatment outcome for pain-associated fatigue. Pain Med 2005;6(4):299–304.
- 53 White PD, Goldsmith KA, Johnson AL, et al. Comparison of adaptive pacing therapy, cognitive behaviour therapy, graded exercise therapy, and specialist medical care for chronic fatigue syndrome (PACE): A randomised trial. Lancet 2011;377(9768):823–36.
- 54 Schreurs KM, Veehof MM, Passade L, Vollenbroek-Hutten MM. Cognitive behavioural treatment for chronic fatigue syndrome in a rehabilitation setting: Effectiveness and predictors of outcome. Behav Res Ther 2011;49(12):908–13.