

Alcohol-impaired driving among adults—USA, 2014–2018

Vaughn Barry 💿 , Amy Schumacher, Erin Sauber-Schatz

Division of Injury Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Vaughn Barry, Division of Injury Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC, Atlanta, GA 30329-4018, USA; fvd5@cdc. qov

Received 3 August 2021 Accepted 16 October 2021 Published Online First 5 November 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Barry V, Schumacher A, Sauber-Schatz E. *Inj Prev* 2022;**28**:211–217.

ABSTRACT

Introduction Alcohol-impaired driving (AID) crashes accounted for 10511 deaths in the USA in 2018, or 29% of all motor vehicle-related crash deaths. This study describes self-reported AID in the USA during 2014, 2016 and 2018 and determines AID-related demographic and behavioural characteristics.

Methods Data were from the nationally representative Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Adults were asked 'During the past 30 days, how many times have you driven when you have had perhaps too much to drink?' AID prevalence, episode counts and rates per 1000 population were estimated using annualised individual AID episodes and weighted survey population estimates. Results were stratified by characteristics including gender, binge drinking, seatbelt use and healthcare engagement.

Results Nationally, 1.7% of adults engaged in AID during the preceding 30 days in 2014, 2.1% in 2016 and 1.7% in 2018. Estimated annual number of AID episodes varied across year (2014: 111 million, 2016: 186 million, 2018: 147 million) and represented 3.7 million, 4.9 million and 4.0 million adults, respectively. Corresponding yearly episode rates (95% CIs) were 452 (412–492) in 2014, 741 (676–806) in 2016 and 574 (491–657) in 2018 per 1000 population. Among those reporting AID in 2018, 80% were men, 86% reported binge drinking, 47% did not always use seatbelts and 60% saw physicians for routine check-ups within the past year.

Conclusions Although AID episodes declined from 2016 to 2018, AID was still prevalent and more common among men and those who binge drink. Most reporting AID received routine healthcare. Proven AID-reducing strategies exist.

INTRODUCTION

Motor vehicle crashes in the USA are a significant public health issue that causes death and injury, burden health systems and have negative economic impacts. In 2018, traffic crashes on public roadways in the USA caused 36560 motor vehicle-related deaths¹ and an additional 2.7 million non-fatal emergency department visits.² These statistics include drivers, passengers and non-occupants such as pedestrians and bicyclists. Alcohol-impaired driving (AID) is a major risk factor for traffic crashes. Of the 36560 motor vehicle crash deaths that occurred in 2018, 29% (n=10511) involved an alcohol-impaired driver.¹ Both the yearly number of deaths and the number that involved an alcoholimpaired driver have either held steady or increased annually from 2014 through 2018,¹³⁻⁶ suggesting

that a renewed effort to confront and reduce AID is needed. $^{7-9}$

Efforts to reduce AID in the past have been successful. Between 1982 and 1997, there was a 43% decrease in the proportion of alcoholimpaired drivers involved in fatal crashes.¹⁰ This corresponded with a time when many US states implemented laws making it illegal to drive with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 g/dL or higher and grassroots organisations like Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) were formed to promote policies to reduce AID.¹¹ Strategies addressing AID have the potential to substantially reduce motor vehicle crashes and deaths.¹² Effective strategies to prevent AID exist, including drunk driving laws, sobriety checkpoints, ignition interlocks, mass media campaigns and increasing alcohol taxes.9 13 However, implementation of these strategies varies across states and communities.14-16

The total number of self-reported AID episodes among adults in the USA per year has been estimated to range from 110 to 160 million during 1993 through 2012 with no clear decrease over time.^{17 18} In 2012, an estimated 1.8% of adults in the USA reported at least one AID episode during the previous 30 days, which translated to 4.2 million adults engaging in 121 million annual AID episodes (a rate of 505 per 1000 population).¹⁸ An update to these estimates is needed to illustrate the continued call for universal implementation of prevention efforts using both established and promising strategies.

This study estimated the annual prevalence, number of episodes and rates of AID among adults in the USA during 2014, 2016 and 2018. We also examined how these outcomes varied by certain demographic and behavioural characteristics.

METHODS

Data set

Data were from the 2014, 2016 and 2018 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys. BRFSS is a nationally representative, cross-sectional, ongoing, random-digit-dialled telephone survey. State health departments in collaboration with the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention use trained interviewers to collect reported health-related behaviours from a representative sample of civilian, non-institutionalised adults aged ≥ 18 years residing in any US state or territory. BRFSS participants are recruited via landline and cellular telephone numbers. All BRFSS questionnaires and data are available online.¹⁹ Because the BRFSS is a surveillance system, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Institutional Review Board has determined that the BRFSS is exempt from its review.

Nearly half a million adults completed the interview in each year (456664 in 2014; 486303 in 2016 and 437436 in 2018). We limited the analysis to adults residing in the 50 US states or the District of Columbia that had information recorded for the AID survey question. The median response rates for the¹⁹BRFSS 2014, 2016 and 2018 surveys were 47% (49% landline, 41% cell phone), 47% (48% landline, 46% cell phone) and 50% (53% landline, 43% cell phone), respectively.

Survey questions

In even-numbered years, BRFSS respondents who reported having had at least one alcoholic beverage in the past 30 days were asked 'During the past 30 days, how many times have you driven when you have had perhaps too much to drink?' Responses were recorded as whole numbers ≥ 0 and were considered to be the number of AID episodes. Those who reported no alcohol in the past 30 days were coded as having zero AID episodes. We created a binary variable for AID (yes/no) categorising people reporting zero episodes as 'no' and those with ≥ 1 episodes as 'yes'.

Respondent demographic characteristics collected included age in years at the time of the survey, race and ethnicity, highest level of education obtained, current marital status and household income. Reported behavioural characteristics collected included binge drinking and seatbelt use. Binge drinking was defined as having on at least one occasion five or more drinks for men and four or more drinks for women during the past 30 days. Seatbelt use was ascertained by asking 'How often do you use seatbelts when you drive or ride in a car? Would you say-always, nearly always, sometimes, seldom or never?' Responses were categorised into a binary variable: always versus less than always. AID prevalence, episodes and rates were described across demographic and behavioural characteristic categories. Healthcare utilisation was assessed to estimate the percentage of adults who engaged in AID who also had recently accessed healthcare for a routine check-up. This was measured by the question 'About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine check-up? (A routine check-up is a general physical examination, not an examination for a specific injury, illness or condition.)' Answers were recorded as being within the past 12 months, 2 years, 5 years or ≥ 5 years ago.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were carried out separately for each year. Results were weighted using the BRFSS-provided weights, cluster and stratification variables to make results nationally representative. National AID 30-day prevalence was estimated using the percentage of respondents who reported any AID in the previous 30 days. Annual estimates of AID episodes per respondent were calculated by multiplying the respondent's reported episodes in the preceding 30 days by 12. For the 28 respondents (8 in 2014, 6 in 2016 and 14 in 2018) who reported more than one AID episode daily, annualised AID episodes were truncated at 360 (which is equivalent to 30 AID episodes per month). Annual rates of AID episodes and corresponding 95% CIs were then calculated by dividing the annual number of AID episodes by the respective weighted population estimate from BRFSS for the respective year (2014, 2016 or 2018). Each rate's SE was used to calculate CIs and was approximated using Taylor series linearisation (also called the 'delta method').²⁰ Annual AID episode rates were reported per 1000 population. National AID

prevalence, number of episodes and rates per 1000 population were stratified by demographic and behavioural characteristics. Data analysis was completed using the complex sampling survey procedures in SAS V.9.4.

RESULTS

Participants

The analysis included over 1 million respondents from the 50 US states and District of Columbia who had non-missing AID information (426910 in 2014, 448062 in 2016 and 405074 in 2018).

AID prevalence, number of episodes and rates

Nationally, 1.7%, 2.1% and 1.7% of adults in the years 2014, 2016 and 2018 reported having engaged in AID during the previous 30 days (tables 1–3).

On average, 57% of those who reported AID indicated one episode in the past 30 days, 24% indicated two episodes, 12% indicated 3–5 episodes and 7% reported that they had driven impaired ≥ 6 times over the past 30 days (data not shown). The estimated national annual number of AID episodes varied across years (2014: 111 million, 2016: 186 million, 2018: 147 million) and represented 3.7 million, 4.9 million and 4.0 million adults, respectively. The rate of AID episodes per 1000 population was highest in the year 2016 (rate=741, 95% CI 676 to 806) compared with 2014 (rate=452, 95% CI 412 to 492) and 2018 (rate=574, 95% CI 491 to 657).

AID by demographic and behavioural characteristics

In each year, AID was most common among men, people who binge drink and people who did not always use a seatbelt (tables 1-3). Men accounted for an overwhelming percentage of AID episodes (80% in 2014, 70% in 2016 and 80% in 2018; data not shown). Similarly, people who engaged in recent binge drinking accounted for 85%, 80% and 86% of all AID episodes in 2014, 2016 and 2018, respectively (data not shown). Those who reported more binge drinking reported more AID episodes. For example, in 2014, the 4% of adults who reported binge drinking at least four times per month accounted for 58% of AID episodes. This was true in 2016 and 2018 where 4% and 5% of those who reported binge drinking at least four times a month accounted for 55% and 65% of AID episodes in each respective year. People who reported not always wearing a seatbelt had an annual AID rate four times higher in 2014 and 2016 and six times higher in 2018 than those who always wore a seatbelt.

Reported AID varied by other characteristics as well. Regardless of gender and year, AID rates were highest among people aged 21–34 years and then decreased with age. Married adults, particularly married male adults, tended to have lower AID rates compared with those who were coupled, previously married or never married. There were no significant differences in AID rates by race/ethnicity, education level or household income no matter the year or gender. Among those engaging in AID, 60% reported seeing a doctor for a routine check-up within the past year (data not shown). Another 16% had a check-up between 1 and 2 years prior (data not shown). Among respondents who reported recent binge drinking, 62% reported a routine check-up within the past year (data not shown). Finally, among those reporting recent AID and recent binge drinking, 57% had a check-up within the past year (data not shown).

Table 1 Percentage o	t adult	ts reporting re	ecent alo	cohol-impaired	driving	, annual epis	odes ar	id episode rate	s per 10	000 populatio	on*: 201	4
	Overa	II			Men				Wome	en		
	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI
Total	1.7	110944086	452	412 to 492	2.6	88 420 455	740	666 to 814	0.8	22 523 631	179	144 to 213
Age group (years)												
18–20	1.1	3870671	267	151 to 383	1.6	2 926 456	392	182 to 602	0.5	944 215	134	45 to 224
21–24	3.9	15863928	921	670 to 1172	5.5	12024610	1356	902 to 1810	2.1	3839318	459	268 to 651
25–34	2.6	32 297 921	760	622 to 898	3.9	25987040	1210	949 to 1471	1.3	6310881	301	215 to 386
35–54	1.7	34657343	413	362 to 464	2.7	28680700	690	590 to 790	0.7	5 976 643	141	118 to 164
≥55	0.9	24254224	277	223 to 332	1.5	18801649	468	385 to 552	0.3	5 452 574	115	43 to 187
Race/ethnicity												
White, non-Hispanic	1.7	72 045 438	461	417 to 505	2.8	58771144	775	688 to 862	0.8	13274294	165	142 to 188
Black, non-Hispanic	1.6	14127919	496	372 to 619	2.5	10606062	814	564 to 1063	0.9	3 521 857	228	138 to 317
Hispanic	1.6	16224292	434	305 to 562	2.6	13 438 206	716	473 to 959	0.7	2 786 086	150	69 to 230
Other, non-Hispanic	1.1	4885354	307	93 to 521	1.8	2 760 638	349	224 to 475	0.4	2124715	265	1 to 672
Multiracial, non-Hispanic	1.5	1 918 853	610	236 to 983	2.2	1 608 848	1061	293 to 1829	0.9	310004	190	84 to 296
Education												
<high school<="" td=""><td>1.0</td><td>17 042 593</td><td>480</td><td>324 to 637</td><td>1.9</td><td>15219215</td><td>855</td><td>551 to 1160</td><td>0.2</td><td>1 823 378</td><td>103</td><td>32 to 174</td></high>	1.0	17 042 593	480	324 to 637	1.9	15219215	855	551 to 1160	0.2	1 823 378	103	32 to 174
High school	1.5	29612698	429	359 to 498	2.4	25 090 855	716	585 to 847	0.6	4521843	133	89 to 177
Some college	1.8	33 684 906	448	388 to 508	3.0	26 794 425	776	654 to 897	0.8	6890482	170	129 to 210
College	2.1	30 583 379	486	411 to 561	3.0	21 295 451	694	589 to 798	1.2	9287929	288	180 to 395
Marital status												
Married	1.1	35 452 489	284	241 to 326	1.8	28181688	448	384 to 511	0.5	7 270 801	117	61 to 174
Coupled	2.4	7665211	755	460 to 1049	3.8	6839151	1325	748 to 1903	0.9	826060	165	91 to 239
Previously married	1.5	24394672	494	404 to 584	2.9	18978371	1032	805 to 1258	0.6	5 416 300	175	123 to 227
Never	2.8	42 212 452	718	612 to 824	3.9	33 324 492	1047	860 to 1234	1.6	8 887 961	330	257 to 402
Household income												
<us\$20k< td=""><td>1.2</td><td>17813460</td><td>411</td><td>302 to 521</td><td>2.1</td><td>13653919</td><td>740</td><td>500 to 980</td><td>0.6</td><td>4159541</td><td>167</td><td>98 to 237</td></us\$20k<>	1.2	17813460	411	302 to 521	2.1	13653919	740	500 to 980	0.6	4159541	167	98 to 237
US\$20k- <us\$35k< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>20276949</td><td>477</td><td>371 to 584</td><td>2.5</td><td>16523236</td><td>819</td><td>601 to 1036</td><td>0.8</td><td>3 753 713</td><td>168</td><td>116 to 221</td></us\$35k<>	1.6	20276949	477	371 to 584	2.5	16523236	819	601 to 1036	0.8	3 753 713	168	116 to 221
US\$35k- <us\$50k< td=""><td>1.8</td><td>15079802</td><td>530</td><td>372 to 688</td><td>2.7</td><td>11 231 515</td><td>779</td><td>568 to 990</td><td>0.8</td><td>3848287</td><td>274</td><td>38 to 510</td></us\$50k<>	1.8	15079802	530	372 to 688	2.7	11 231 515	779	568 to 990	0.8	3848287	274	38 to 510
US\$50k- <us\$75k< td=""><td>2.0</td><td>15917264</td><td>517</td><td>412 to 622</td><td>3.0</td><td>13 640 932</td><td>842</td><td>645 to 1038</td><td>0.8</td><td>2 276 332</td><td>156</td><td>121 to 192</td></us\$75k<>	2.0	15917264	517	412 to 622	3.0	13 640 932	842	645 to 1038	0.8	2 276 332	156	121 to 192
≥US\$75k	2.2	33 969 359	541	474 to 608	3.2	27 453 632	806	690 to 922	1.0	6515727	227	176 to 278
Binge drink												
No	0.8	18001485	225	169 to 281	1.2	13270054	333	227 to 439	0.4	4731431	118	83 to 152
1 x month	4.7	10983180	830	694 to 966	5.8	8349801	1071	857 to 1286	3.2	2 633 378	484	360 to 608
2–3 x month	7.6	16584332	1550	1340 to 1760	9.3	12 981 570	1901	1611 to 2191	4.8	3 602 762	931	654 to 1208
≥4x month	13.9	62 999 896	5304	4597 to 6011	15.4	51 898 356	6090	5215 to 6965	10.1	11 101 539	3308	2142 to 4474
Seatbelt use												
<always< td=""><td>3.6</td><td>40 301 630</td><td>1368</td><td>1117 to 1620</td><td>4.9</td><td>34265292</td><td>1874</td><td>1517 to 2230</td><td>1.6</td><td>6036339</td><td>541</td><td>223 to 859</td></always<>	3.6	40 301 630	1368	1117 to 1620	4.9	34265292	1874	1517 to 2230	1.6	6036339	541	223 to 859
Always	1.4	70078219	360	327 to 393	2.2	53 670 382	595	529 to 661	0.7	16407837	157	134 to 181
*Data are self-reported from	IIS-ha	sed 2014 Rehavi	ioral Risk	Factor Surveilland	e System	Results weigh	ted by su	rvev nonulation e	stimates			

DISCUSSION AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATION

AID continues to be prevalent in the USA, and the majority of AID episodes during 2014–2018 occurred among men and those who engaged in recent binge drinking. AID prevalence and episode rates were also higher among those aged 21–34 years compared with older ages and among those who did not always wear seatbelts compared with those who always wear seatbelts.

These 2014, 2016 and 2018 BRFSS results are similar to previously published 2012 BRFSS results. In 2012, 2014, 2016 and 2018, 1.8%, 1.7%, 2.1% and 1.7% of adults engaged in AID. This translated to 4.2 million adults, 3.7 million adults, 4.9 million adults and 4.0 million adults engaging in 121 million annual AID episodes, 111 million episodes, 186 million episodes and 147 million episodes during each of the 4 years.¹⁸ Rates across the 4 years were 505, 452, 741 and 574 per 1000 population.¹⁸ Similar to 2014–2018, in 2012, men accounted for 80%

of AID episodes and respondents who reported binge drinking accounted for 85% of episodes.¹⁸ Taken all together, there were slight differences in AID across these years with a peak in AID prevalence and number of episodes in 2016, but no clear trend across the years 2012, 2014, 2016 and 2018. This roughly correlates with national annual motor vehicle crash death data that suggest crash deaths and the percentage of them related to AID have remained relatively constant over the years 2012–2018.^{13–6} It is unclear what might be behind the peak in AID in 2016. Changes in AID can be influenced by changing economic and societal factors (like economic recessions). Preliminary data show an increase in AID-related crash deaths in 2020 (during the COVID-19 pandemic), which might signify an associated increase in 2020 BRFSS AID rates.²¹

AID-related deaths are preventable via proven strategies. To reduce AID, states and communities can consider implementing

	Overa	ill			Men				Wome	en		
	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI
Total	2.1	186204686	741	676 to 806	3.0	130116241	1064	948 to 1181	1.2	55873419	434	371 to 496
Age group (years)												
18–20	1.5	9732889	695	358 to 1032	2.1	7 645 790	1012	416 to 1607	0.8	2 087 099	324	104 to 544
21–24	3.6	17391530	979	797 to 1160	4.6	10424369	1186	938 to 1435	2.6	6967162	775	512 to 1039
25–34	3.2	47678014	1092	866 to 1318	4.2	32 982 904	1492	1087 to 1897	2.1	14480084	672	480 to 864
35–54	2.4	74940459	897	771 to 1022	3.4	52 640 447	1272	1058 to 1485	1.4	22 300 012	529	395 to 662
≥55	1.1	36461793	396	338 to 454	1.8	26 422 731	623	516 to 730	0.5	10039062	202	145 to 259
Race/ethnicity												
White, non-Hispanic	2.2	106414023	677	606 to 747	3.2	76 409 861	999	868 to 1131	1.2	30 004 161	371	314 to 429
Black, non-Hispanic	2.0	23723046	807	572 to 1043	2.9	15630286	1171	717 to 1625	1.4	7877734	491	285 to 698
Hispanic	2.0	34729369	883	684 to 1081	2.9	25 022 876	1276	934 to 1618	1.2	9706493	492	288 to 696
Other, non-Hispanic	1.6	14276080	853	556 to 1149	2.0	8207226	978	548 to 1408	1.2	6068854	727	318 to 1135
Multiracial, non-Hispanic	1.8	1994266	551	322 to 780	1.9	1 2 3 0 8 3 4	668	292 to 1043	1.7	763 432	431	172 to 690
Education												
<high school<="" td=""><td>1.7</td><td>36 496 600</td><td>1057</td><td>735 to 1378</td><td>2.8</td><td>30 607 658</td><td>1749</td><td>1150 to 2348</td><td>0.5</td><td>5673917</td><td>333</td><td>116 to 550</td></high>	1.7	36 496 600	1057	735 to 1378	2.8	30 607 658	1749	1150 to 2348	0.5	5673917	333	116 to 550
High school	1.8	49724881	706	593 to 818	2.7	38 1 82 4 72	1064	864 to 1265	0.9	11 542 409	334	237 to 430
Some college	2.1	50724269	652	565 to 738	3.0	31 345 970	873	734 to 1012	1.4	19378299	462	355 to 569
College	2.6	48 980 090	729	639 to 819	3.4	29727643	918	790 to 1047	1.8	19252446	553	427 to 680
Marital status												
Married	1.6	66 830 645	529	459 to 598	2.3	47 397 890	749	635 to 864	0.8	19432755	308	229 to 386
Coupled	2.8	9931284	829	614 to 1045	3.7	6201015	1027	738 to 1317	1.9	3730270	628	308 to 948
Previously married	1.9	39176010	775	635 to 915	3.3	25715149	1346	1047 to 1644	1.1	13 460 861	428	294 to 561
Never	3.3	67 647 378	1120	933 to 1307	4.1	49 480 688	1504	1180 to 1829	2.2	18166690	661	523 to 798
Household income												
<us\$20k< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>30 520 443</td><td>791</td><td>558 to 1024</td><td>2.4</td><td>20237502</td><td>1238</td><td>747 to 1730</td><td>0.9</td><td>10 282 941</td><td>462</td><td>280 to 645</td></us\$20k<>	1.6	30 520 443	791	558 to 1024	2.4	20237502	1238	747 to 1730	0.9	10 282 941	462	280 to 645
US\$20k- <us\$35k< td=""><td>1.8</td><td>30842308</td><td>748</td><td>558 to 938</td><td>2.7</td><td>22 791 749</td><td>1175</td><td>800 to 1551</td><td>1.1</td><td>8 050 559</td><td>368</td><td>235 to 502</td></us\$35k<>	1.8	30842308	748	558 to 938	2.7	22 791 749	1175	800 to 1551	1.1	8 050 559	368	235 to 502
US\$35k- <us\$50k< td=""><td>2.0</td><td>18326261</td><td>643</td><td>515 to 772</td><td>2.8</td><td>13119633</td><td>905</td><td>685 to 1126</td><td>1.2</td><td>5206628</td><td>372</td><td>243 to 502</td></us\$50k<>	2.0	18326261	643	515 to 772	2.8	13119633	905	685 to 1126	1.2	5206628	372	243 to 502
US\$50k- <us\$75k< td=""><td>2.5</td><td>22 830 730</td><td>725</td><td>595 to 854</td><td>3.5</td><td>17164445</td><td>1050</td><td>846 to 1254</td><td>1.4</td><td>5666285</td><td>374</td><td>218 to 530</td></us\$75k<>	2.5	22 830 730	725	595 to 854	3.5	17164445	1050	846 to 1254	1.4	5666285	374	218 to 530
≥US\$75k	2.9	64821319	938	817 to 1060	3.7	44076553	1170	990 to 1350	1.8	20744766	661	502 to 819
Binge drink												
No	1.1	34 434 557	408	336 to 480	1.4	21 202 088	506	389 to 624	0.8	13 232 469	311	229 to 394
1 x month	5.2	16405817	1156	851 to 1461	5.9	11 434 318	1393	904 to 1882	4.2	4971 500	831	556 to 1105
2–3 x month	9.4	26721680	2271	1795 to 2748	11.1	20158394	2704	1985 to 3423	6.4	6563287	1523	1136 to 1909
≥4x month	15.0	90 2 32 1 45	6754	5872 to 7636	16.1	69375465	7518	6365 to 8670	12.5	20641654	5002	3774 to 6231
Seatbelt use												
<always< td=""><td>4.1</td><td>52 356 006</td><td>1756</td><td>1451 to 2061</td><td>5.2</td><td>42 519 305</td><td>2295</td><td>1843 to 2746</td><td>2.4</td><td>9621676</td><td>853</td><td>537 to 1168</td></always<>	4.1	52 356 006	1756	1451 to 2061	5.2	42 519 305	2295	1843 to 2746	2.4	9621676	853	537 to 1168
Always	16	95464266	471	420 to 523	2.4	68 004 424	721	620 to 922	1.0	26 460 942	24E	200 to 202

or scaling up effective interventions such as expanding the use of publicised sobriety check points; enforcing blood alcohol concentration (BAC) laws and minimum legal drinking age laws; requiring ignition interlocks for all persons convicted of AID and increasing alcohol taxes.²² Because a significant proportion of adults engaging in AID also does not always wear a seatbelt, primary seatbelt laws that cover all passengers might decrease AID-related crash mortality. Increasing seatbelt use among those engaging in AID is particularly important because alcohol not only increases the risk of a crash but also increases the risk of injury or death in a crash.^{23–25}

Promising strategies that have shown effectiveness in other countries, when implemented, could decrease AID and subsequent crash deaths. The National Transportation Safety Board recommended lowering the BAC limit in the USA for drivers from 0.08 to 0.05 to reduce crashes, injuries and deaths caused by AID.²⁶ A meta-analysis estimated that 1790 lives would be saved each year if all US states adopted a 0.05 BAC limit.²⁷ Most

high-income nations have already enacted a 0.05 illegal BAC limit, and these nations have lower motor vehicle crash fatality rates than the USA.²⁸ Because our results showed that AID rates were highest among people aged 21-24 years (followed closely by people aged 25-34 years), future strategies that work among young adults are warranted. Although consuming alcohol is generally illegal in the USA for anyone under the age of 21 years, 1.1%, 1.5% and 1.5% of people aged 18-20 years reported engaging in AID during 2014, 2016 and 2018, suggesting the need to support strategies that prevent alcohol use and AID among young adults. It is unclear what effects ride share companies (eg, Uber and Lyft) might have on AID, and this topic deserves evaluation. Studies have shown mixed results with one showing that rideshare operations decreased alcoholinvolved crashes only in certain cities²⁹ while another showed no impact of rideshare services on alcohol-specific crash deaths.³⁰

We found that three-quarters of people who engaged in AID attended a routine check-up with a doctor within the previous 2

	lable 3 Percentage of adults report	,												
Municational conditional condit		Overal	_			Men				Wome	u			
		%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	%	Number of episodes	Rate	95% CI	
Age on point Age of a point of a poin	Total	1.7	146591009	574	491 to 657	2.5	113686940	917	753 to 1081	0.9	28 691 037	220	181 to 258	
1-20 15 370330 302 3797030 320 370330 300 300330 300 300330 300 300330 300 300330 300 3003300 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 30033000 <t< td=""><td>Age group (years)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Age group (years)													
7-24 33 3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3 (3	18–20	1.5	14477319	1022	297 to 1748	2.0	9751100	1282	35 to 2528	0.9	3 930 733	602	19 to 1186	
3-3-4 1 3 3 273730 10 375730 360 <td>21–24</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>16749363</td> <td>965</td> <td>713 to 1217</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>11184271</td> <td>1304</td> <td>824 to 1783</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>5 467 008</td> <td>626</td> <td>456 to 796</td>	21–24	3.3	16749363	965	713 to 1217	3.3	11184271	1304	824 to 1783	3.3	5 467 008	626	456 to 796	
3-54 18 9090688 61 410.73 2 426161 0.1 420209 65 137.020 55 10 272955 26 216.331 15 272956 54 440.655 64 440.655 65 650.102 Reethinity 17 850.314 22 350.813 23 550.314 23 550.314 23 550.314 23 550.314 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.315 550.316 550.3	25–34	2.6	37 225 113	838	614 to 1062	3.8	27377820	1220	807 to 1632	1.4	7 857 798	360	246 to 473	
sist1027.235.052.42.56 0.3111.62.7135.665.44.10 0.656.44.80.696.56.10 0.10Mitu unvikipativ1386.321415.23.90 6512.43.90 737 0.10660.9156.80162.0186.025Mitu unvikipativ1386.37341523.740 10972.213.425.002.803.56 0.1962.9117010.10Mitu unvikipativ1086.01746.23.740 10972.210.677.736.923.700 4611.9110.102.90Mitu unvikipativ113.940415.733.100 7192.210.677.736.933.790 6602.915.903.90Ohne onvikipativ116.937.812.934.60 7.942.210.677.736.933.913.913.913.913.91Ohne onvikipativ116.973.912.934.66 0.942.93.63 53.939.936.912.913.913.933.933.93Ohne onvikipativ123.734.942.93.746 972.93.746 972.95.90 936Ohne onvikipativ133.934.642.93.746 972.93.746 972.95.90 936Ohne onvikipativ133.934.642.93.746 972.93.90 9363.93.93.934 9603.93.935 950Ohne onvikipativ133.746 972.93.906 983.93.934 9602.93.90 9363.93.934 960	3554	1.8	50 909 688	611	440 to 783	2.7	42 655 181	1037	693 to 1381	0.9	7 052 808	168	137 to 200	
Incrementation Increme	≥55	1.0	27 229 526	284	236 to 331	1.6	22 718 568	514	414 to 615	0.4	4 382 689	85	68 to 102	
With connelpanie 13 8273241 52 63924361 23 639234 53 636 252 With connelpanie 13 8273941 512 3320361 23 336036 243 356 253 Houm 13 23 2310363 23 2310363 23 2310333 23 1310335 Houm 13 13 1323356 13 23 2310363 23 2303436 23 2303436 Houm 13 13 13 23 2310363 23 2303436	Race/ethnicity													
Buck numblemic 1 862/34 62 333 0651 2 332 065 333 366 05 36 318 0428 318 0428 Heymic 10 8203/12 71 370 0677 14 372.35 060 358 055 10 107.023 358 318 0438 32 310 0573 310 321.499 320 324 049 32 350 403	White, non-Hispanic	1.8	85 932 814	542	459 to 626	2.6	69274351	901	737 to 1066	0.9	16598106	204	156 to 252	
Highmic 13 374 1007 28 251 3530 121 250 1366 171 170 1236 Highmic 17 600134 57 270 1677 58 260 2895 36 30034 171 170 1035 Multivalimity 17 167033 57 270 467 28 56135 190 56 190 230 28 600335 190 56 171 171 170 1035 Etonologi 16 337665 573 580 66035 193 580 66035 193 580 66035 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 6003 201 60033 201 60030 201 6	Black, non-Hispanic	1.7	18 627 941	622	393 to 851	2.2	13422500	982	502 to 1461	1.4	4 942 793	305	181 to 428	
	Hispanic	1.9	29 834 51 2	731	374 to 1087	2.8	25163500	1231	526 to 1936	0.8	3478034	171	107 to 236	
Multicali, non-liganic 17 1670-30 515 3100-719 22 106/72 652 3190-965 13 52-400 322 110-831 Attoricali 1 305/437 55 466 0139 13 561 0359 159 666 02453 0.4 240544 149 351 0359 High school 1 305/438 52 361 0359 53 51 1035 0.8 393 10 20 100 4203 High school 1 305/438 22 356 03789 55 541 036 23 101 035 Standad 1 3 3016879 38 357 330 153 641 036 10 310 639 Attrical 1 3 3016879 38 351 561 230 12 310355 12 310 637 Attrical 1 3 3343440 13 33434 15 310 520 12 310 520 Maried 1 2 302 6404 13 333440 13<	Other, non-Hispanic	1.0	8 02 0 1 74	457	267 to 647	1.4	3 762 475	430	298 to 562	9.0	1 921 479	220	50 to 389	
the direction cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation cilipation <th co<="" td=""><td>Multiracial, non-Hispanic</td><td>1.7</td><td>1 670 493</td><td>515</td><td>310 to 719</td><td>2.2</td><td>1016772</td><td>652</td><td>319 to 985</td><td>1.3</td><td>592 400</td><td>352</td><td>117 to 587</td></th>	<td>Multiracial, non-Hispanic</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1 670 493</td> <td>515</td> <td>310 to 719</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>1016772</td> <td>652</td> <td>319 to 985</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>592 400</td> <td>352</td> <td>117 to 587</td>	Multiracial, non-Hispanic	1.7	1 670 493	515	310 to 719	2.2	1016772	652	319 to 985	1.3	592 400	352	117 to 587
<th< td=""><td>Education</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Education													
High school1643957326.0446 0.7942.2358333933652 0.3050.8737196231121 0.32Merecollege1833846334.29370 0.4682.741056156.63511 0.7551.092105522.17169 0.55Onlege13312335485.24446 0012.9 2573361 1.0 220532 2.17169 0.55Maried1331321054932.91 $320 - 480 - 667$ $491 0.843$ 0.5 553346 0.2 217 169 0.55Maried13510673328 $320 - 1669$ 2.8 $320 - 480 - 667$ $491 0.843$ 0.5 553346 102 $560 - 290$ Maried15 $471 0.1627$ 26 $420 0.013$ 28 $320 - 480 - 667$ 323 $3216 - 200$ $321 0.562$ $321 0.660$ New16 $472 0.013$ 28 $320 - 480 - 31$ $3246 - 402$ 31 $3246 - 402$ $327 0.423$ $472 0.102$ New16 $472 0.013$ 28 $320 - 400 - 31$ $3245 - 610$ 31 $3246 - 610$ $323 0.50300$ 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 5263300 0.7 <	<high school<="" td=""><td>1.2</td><td>30740447</td><td>925</td><td>456 to 1395</td><td>1.9</td><td>26316359</td><td>1550</td><td>646 to 2453</td><td>0.4</td><td>2 405 344</td><td>149</td><td>35 to 264</td></high>	1.2	30740447	925	456 to 1395	1.9	26316359	1550	646 to 2453	0.4	2 405 344	149	35 to 264	
Some college 18 3364.03 429 370 ta 480 27 2410 661 663 5110 755 10 910 522 211 169 255 College 2.0 3733348 534 460.001 2.0 4737389 795 640 936 21 910 573 2610 946 24 150 553 440 102 7610 29 Marind 1.1 50168793 31 300 u481 2.0 42694561 667 491 0843 0.5 533 466 102 7610 29 Marind 1.1 50168793 31 300 u481 2.0 42694561 667 491 0843 102 761 029 71 199 050 102 761 029 102 150 029 102 150 029 102 150 029 102 150 026 102 761 029 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102 150 026 102	High school	1.6	43 951 782	620	446 to 794	2.2	35 839 378	983	662 to 1305	0.8	7 937 196	231	121 to 342	
College 20 3739548 524 446 to 601 29 5637839 795 654 to 936 1.2 9137935 244 195 to 233 Markit sterus 1 5	Some college	1.8	33 864 638	429	370 to 488	2.7	24 105 615	663	551 to 775	1.0	9210562	217	169 to 265	
Marinel status Marinel status Marinel status Marinel status Marinel status 13 50163733 331 3020-481 20 42694561 657 4910 843 0.5 553346 102 760 120 76 900 753 Marinel 15 3 324341 718 3220-1013 28 7550-300 0.7 558443 176 176 178 2760-250 Newer 2.6 4910 657 765 620 u904 31 3436 402 987 753 n1210 2.0 1361 2528 475 176 </td <td>College</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>37 293 548</td> <td>524</td> <td>446 to 601</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>26 737 839</td> <td>795</td> <td>654 to 936</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>9137935</td> <td>244</td> <td>195 to 293</td>	College	2.0	37 293 548	524	446 to 601	2.9	26 737 839	795	654 to 936	1.2	9137935	244	195 to 293	
Maried 1.3 50168733 391 30210481 2.0 4269561 667 4910843 0.5 653346 102 76123 Coupled 2.7 11029664 928 59210763 36 7582307 153 76502300 0.7 5658434 179 1300763 Previous/married 15 5628421 718 422101013 2.8 2935070 153 76502300 0.7 5565434 179 1310203 Newerbolf income 13 24190571 680 4041057 1.9 1511429 102 455643 161 0 76 128 131050 US520k- <us535k< td=""> 1.5 24190571 680 4041057 1.9 1516129 0.8 1410270 0.8 1410270 0.8 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520 102 1510520</us535k<>	Marital status													
Coupled 2.7 110.2064 2.8 $532 10.2$ 3.6 $783 0.7$ 1.29 $694 10 182.5$ 1.8 278431 4.76 $190 0.763$ Pevouchmarried 15 $323 33.31$ 75 $556 0.300$ 153 $756 0.2300$ 0.7 $558 4.34$ 179 $128 0.229$ Householl norme 1.5 379007 153 $756 0.2100$ 0.7 $558 4.34$ 179 $128 0.229$ Householl norme 1.3 2410057 680 $404 1097$ 1.9 15115429 103 $435 0.1610$ 0.8 7213920 349 $170 2.00$ US320k-cUS57k 1.5 26100247 680 $404 1097$ 2.3 1309494 972 $615 0.120$ 208 $471 0.270$ US320k-cUS57k 2.1 1130651 533 $411 0.775$ 233 $430 0.620$ 33 $671 0.1115$ 100 $721 3020$ 217 $141 0.270$ US350k-cUS57k 2.3 $490 0.6805$ 313 4386010 <	Married	1.3	50168793	391	302 to 481	2.0	42 694 561	667	491 to 843	0.5	6533846	102	76 to 129	
Previously married 1.5 32.284.321 718 4.22 to 1013 2.8 29350.707 1533 755 to 2300 0.7 558.434 179 128 to 229 Never 2.6 4796075 755 626 to 904 31 33436.402 987 763 to 1210 2.0 13619282 475 311 to 620 Household income 1.3 24190571 680 404 to 957 1.2 1565.204 10.6 13619282 319 151 to 570 US3520e-US353k 1.5 24100571 533 341 to 875 2.3 139458615 993 671 to 1115 1.0 403 e62 31 147 to 270 US350e-US353k 2.1 18136714 569 450 to 688 3.1 14868615 893 671 to 1115 1.0 120 to 205 147 to 270 US350e-US353k 2.1 18136744 569 450 to 688 31 4388900 107 720 to 1329 12 147 to 270 205 171 to 270 205 171 to 270 205 17	Coupled	2.7	11 029 664	928	592 to 1263	3.6	7 582 307	1259	694 to 1825	1.8	2784531	476	190 to 763	
Newer 2.6 4796097 565 626040 3.1 3345402 987 $563n1210$ 2.0 13619282 475 33110620 Household income -152206 130 1490571 12 123340610 0.8 7213922 349 1410772 $-15250k$ 1.5 2600247 658 33409820 116 47201857 10 473642 239 4110772 $0.5250k$ 1.5 18905496 539 4110775 2.3 1399494 102 4170175 10 443642 239 4110175 10 443642 239 4110175 10 443642 239 4110175 10 443642 239 4110175 102 443642 102 4170270 $0.5557k$ 2.3 5393333 707 5490685 33 41010337 102 4101037 $0.557k$ 0.2 13101616 120103376 11	Previously married	1.5	36284321	718	422 to 1013	2.8	29350707	1533	765 to 2300	0.7	5 585 434	179	128 to 229	
Household income $duotsohold income$ 1.3241905516.8040409571.91511542910.23435 to 16100.8713592349151 to 546 $dus520k < U535k < U535k $	Never	2.6	47 960 975	765	626 to 904	3.1	33 436 402	987	763 to 1210	2.0	13619282	475	331 to 620	
< <td>Household income</td> <td></td>	Household income													
US520k- US520k- 15 26160247 658 334 0982 2.1 21566204 1165 472 01857 1.0 4403 642 208 147 0270 US535k - US535k - 1.7 15919560 593 411 0775 2.3 1304994 972 615 01329 1.2 2732970 205 145 0266 US555k - 2.13 18136714 569 450 0688 3.1 14868615 893 61 10115 1.0 3268099 215 145 0266 US555k - 2.3 53093373 707 549 0865 3.3 43888900 1074 720 1356 1.1 7672 43 225 177 0273 S150 15808234 185 151 0219 1.1 1136654 245 106 3460494 102 83 to 121 No 45 10841462 780 531 04933 162 142 0436 102 83 to 121 No 1 1 133654 216 1422 to 2103 38 4358372<	<us\$20k< td=""><td>1.3</td><td>24190551</td><td>680</td><td>404 to 957</td><td>1.9</td><td>15115429</td><td>1023</td><td>435 to 1610</td><td>0.8</td><td>7213592</td><td>349</td><td>151 to 546</td></us\$20k<>	1.3	24190551	680	404 to 957	1.9	15115429	1023	435 to 1610	0.8	7213592	349	151 to 546	
U5535k - <u></u> discriminant1.715919560593411 to 7752.313094994972615 to 13291.2 2732970 205145 to 266U5556k - <u discriminant<="" td="">2.118136714569450 to 6883.114868615893671 to 11151.03.68099215161 to 270<math>\geq 15556k - 2.3430 to 6883.114868615893671 to 1151.03.268099215161 to 270$\geq 15556k - 2.3549 to 8653.343889001074792 to 13561.1767543225177 to 273Bing drink1.31.31.31.111396.542.71204 to 3770.640549410283 to 121No0.915808234185151 to 2191.111396.554271204 to 3770.640549410283 to 121No0.91580823418515601.111396.554271204 to 3770.640549410283 to 121No1.111396.541.111396.54271204 to 3770.640549410283 to 121No1.1113698736.95991.478105866641 to 04993.8435 to 1043No1.11136585103142866641 to 1073105135014912183 to 16002.31.41866647 to 1073810.51350149347 to 4601349466 to 1043<$</math></u>	US\$20k- <us\$35 k<="" td=""><td>1.5</td><td>26160247</td><td>658</td><td>334 to 982</td><td>2.1</td><td>21566204</td><td>1165</td><td>472 to 1857</td><td>1.0</td><td>4 403 642</td><td>208</td><td>147 to 270</td></us\$35>	1.5	26160247	658	334 to 982	2.1	21566204	1165	472 to 1857	1.0	4 403 642	208	147 to 270	
U5550k- <u575k< th="">2.118136714569450 to 6883.114868615893671 to 1151.03268099215161 to 270$\geq 1055757$2.35303373707549 to 8653.343889001074792 to 13561.1767254325171 to 273$\geq 1057575$1.2$\geq 10503373$707549 to 8653.343889001074792 to 13561.1767254325171 to 273$\geq 10580337$1.81.51.111362542.712.04 to 3370.6440549410283 to 121No1.51.618873661.3013962542.71204 to 3370.6436540910283 to 121No1.518873661.61.303 to 18168.61.1113962542.17204 to 3370.6405694910283 to 16012-3 x month7.6181873661.408.61.28517031651.422 to 21033.84.36372749749740 to 632-3 x month1.3.69873694574105850 to 896914.781056618.666475 to 1073810.51217832 to 16012-3 x month1.3.69873694574105850 to 8956714.7810566475 to 1073810.51247 4617493749740 46162-3 x month1.3.616771023151677120235.157967330566475 to 1073810.51350717434932374 to 4611<t< td=""><td>US\$35k - <us\$50k< td=""><td>1.7</td><td>15919560</td><td>593</td><td>411 to 775</td><td>2.3</td><td>13 094 994</td><td>972</td><td>615 to 1329</td><td>1.2</td><td>2 732 970</td><td>205</td><td>145 to 266</td></us\$50k<></td></t<></u575k<>	US\$35k - <us\$50k< td=""><td>1.7</td><td>15919560</td><td>593</td><td>411 to 775</td><td>2.3</td><td>13 094 994</td><td>972</td><td>615 to 1329</td><td>1.2</td><td>2 732 970</td><td>205</td><td>145 to 266</td></us\$50k<>	1.7	15919560	593	411 to 775	2.3	13 094 994	972	615 to 1329	1.2	2 732 970	205	145 to 266	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	US\$50k- <us\$75k< td=""><td>2.1</td><td>18136714</td><td>569</td><td>450 to 688</td><td>3.1</td><td>14868615</td><td>893</td><td>671 to 1115</td><td>1.0</td><td>3 2 6 8 0 9 9</td><td>215</td><td>161 to 270</td></us\$75k<>	2.1	18136714	569	450 to 688	3.1	14868615	893	671 to 1115	1.0	3 2 6 8 0 9 9	215	161 to 270	
Binge drink No 0.9 15808234 185 151 to 219 1.1 1136254 271 204 to 337 0.6 4405494 102 83 to 121 No 0.9 15808234 185 151 to 219 1.1 1136524 271 204 to 337 0.6 4405494 102 83 to 121 No 4.5 10841462 780 632 to 929 4.9 6483 091 805 661 to 949 3.8 4358372 749 456 to 1043 2-3 x month 7.6 18187536 1560 1303 to 1816 8.6 12851703 1762 1422 to 2103 5.8 5299387 1217 832 to 1601 2-4 x month 7.6 18187536 740 5850 to 8969 14.7 81059671 8606 6475 to 10738 10.5 1327 237 to 4611 2-4 x month 13.6 98736 to 89697 14.7 81059671 8606 6475 to 10738 10.5 3430 237 to 4611 2-4 kuest 4.0 <t< td=""><td>≥US\$75k</td><td>2.3</td><td>53 093 373</td><td>707</td><td>549 to 865</td><td>3.3</td><td>43 888 900</td><td>1074</td><td>792 to 1356</td><td>1.1</td><td>7672543</td><td>225</td><td>177 to 273</td></t<>	≥US\$75k	2.3	53 093 373	707	549 to 865	3.3	43 888 900	1074	792 to 1356	1.1	7672543	225	177 to 273	
No0.915808234185151 to 2191.11136254271204 to 3370.6440549410283 to 1211 \times month4.510841462780632 to 9294.9648 3091805661 to 9493.84358372749456 to 10432-3 \times month7.61818753615601303 to 18168.61285170317621422 to 21035.85293871217832 to 1601 $\geq 4 \times$ month13.69873694574105850 to 896914.7810567186066475 to 1073810.51350717434932374 to 4611 $\geq 4 \times$ month13.69873694574105850 to 896914.78105967186066475 to 1073810.51350717434932374 to 4611 $\geq 4 \times$ month13.69873658723151677 to 29535.15579673230562047 to 40662.310464936895516 to 1273 \land divas1.476941398370327 to 4132.15786887595507 to 6830.8164 136164 143 to 186	Binge drink													
1 x month4.510841462780632 to 9294.9648 301805661 to 9493.84358372749456 to 1043 $2-3 x$ month7.61818753615601303 to 18168.61285170317621422 to 21035.85293871217832 to 1601 $2-3 x$ month7.69873694574105850 to 896914.7810567186066475 to 1073810.51350717434932374 to 4611 $2 \times x$ month13.69873694574105850 to 896914.78105967186066475 to 1073810.51350717434932374 to 4611AlwaysAlways4.06956858723151677 to 29535.15579673230562047 to 40662.310464936895516 to 1273Always1.476941398370327 to 4132.15786887595507 to 6830.818149230164143 to 186	No	0.9	15808234	185	151 to 219	1.1	11 396 254	271	204 to 337	9.0	4 405 494	102	83 to 121	
$2-3 \times month$ 7.6 18187536 1560 $1303 to 1816$ 8.6 1287703 172 229387 1217 $832 to 1601$ $24 \times month$ 13.6 98736945 7410 $5850 to 8969$ 14.7 81059671 8606 $6475 to 10738$ 10.5 13507174 3493 $2374 to 4611$ Seatbelt use $ 4.0 69568587 2315 1677 to 2953 5.1 55796732 3056 2047 to 4066 2.3 10464936 895 516 to 1273 Always 1.4 76941398 370 327 to 413 2.1 5786887 595 507 to 683 0.8 164 143 to 186 $	1 x month	4.5	10841462	780	632 to 929	4.9	6483091	805	661 to 949	3.8	4358372	749	456 to 1043	
 ≥4 x month 13.6 98736945 7410 5850 to 8969 14.7 81059671 8606 6475 to 10738 10.5 13507174 3493 2374 to 4611 2374 to 461 2315 1677 to 2953 5.1 55796732 3056 2047 to 4066 2.3 10464936 895 516 1273 143 to 186 143 to 186 143 to 187 	2–3 x month	7.6	18187536	1560	1303 to 1816	8.6	12 851 703	1762	1422 to 2103	5.8	5 2 99 3 87	1217	832 to 1601	
Seatbelt use <always< td=""> 4.0 69568587 2315 1677 to 2953 5.1 55796732 3056 2047 to 4066 2.3 10464936 895 516 to 1273 <always< td=""> 1.4 76941398 370 327 to 413 2.1 57868887 595 507 to 683 0.8 164 143 to 186</always<></always<>	≥4x month	13.6	98736945	7410	5850 to 8969	14.7	81 059 671	8606	6475 to 10 738	10.5	13 507 174	3493	2374 to 4611	
< Always 1.4 76.941398 370 327 to 413 2.1 57.886 887 595 507 to 683 0.8 181.49230 164 143 to 186 183 108 108 173 108 108 108 108 <th< td=""><td>Seatbelt use</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Seatbelt use													
Always 1.4 76941398 370 327 to 413 2.1 57886887 595 507 to 683 0.8 18149230 164 143 to 186	<always< td=""><td>4.0</td><td>69568587</td><td>2315</td><td>1677 to 2953</td><td>5.1</td><td>55 796 732</td><td>3056</td><td>2047 to 4066</td><td>2.3</td><td>10464936</td><td>895</td><td>516 to 1273</td></always<>	4.0	69568587	2315	1677 to 2953	5.1	55 796 732	3056	2047 to 4066	2.3	10464936	895	516 to 1273	
	Always	1.4	76941398	370	327 to 413	2.1	57886887	595	507 to 683	0.8	18149230	164	143 to 186	

Barry V, et al. Inj Prev 2022;28:211–217. doi:10.1136/injuryprev-2021-044382

years. This was also true for those who engaged in recent binge drinking and those who engaged in binge drinking and AID. Although not all people will accurately report their alcohol use, routine check-ups offer opportunities for healthcare providers to inquire about and discuss alcohol use and alcohol-related risky behaviours like AID. Alcohol screening and brief intervention (SBI), recommended by the US Preventive Services Task Force for all adults in primary care, is effective at identifying and reducing risky drinking behaviours in the primary care setting.³¹ Alcohol SBI guidelines recommend either of two brief screens.^{32 33} Healthcare staff can then initiate conversations on drinking limits and apply brief interventions³⁴ tailored to individual patients' motivations. The SBI intervention step is important but often overlooked. Although most people visiting their doctor are asked about alcohol consumption and binge drinking, most who report binge drinking receive no advice about how to reduce their drinking.35

The AID prevalence, episodes and rates reported here are likely underestimates of true AID prevalence in the USA for several reasons. First, BRFSS surveys only those aged ≥ 18 years, so AID episodes of younger drivers are not included. Second, BRFSS respondents were asked about times when they thought they had had too much to drink, and it is possible that respondents had times where they were impaired but did not recognise it. This might be particularly true for those with a history of AID.³⁶ Third, respondents could have felt a social stigma associated with AID, which caused them to underreport AID. The 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health reported that 8% of the US population aged ≥ 16 years (which is an estimated 20.5 million people) reported driving under the influence of alcohol in 2018.³⁷ This estimate is roughly five times greater than the 2018 BRFSS estimate. This is likely partly because the National Survey on Drug Use and Health included 16 and 17-year-old participants and partly because it used Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview software (ie, computeradministered survey) methodology, which might heighten respondents' sense of privacy and, thereby, increase their willingness to report AID compared with BRFSS's telephone survey methodology.^{38 39} Another study similarly found that passengers who report riding with a drinking driver might provide a more accurate prevalence of AID than drivers.⁴⁰ Although BRFSS estimates are likely underestimates, they can help describe the magnitude of AID in the USA. Additionally, other characteristics that BRFSS collects can help describe those who report AID to facilitate prevention efforts.

There are other limitations to this analysis. First, we assumed that what people reported over the past 30 days represented their experience over the past 12 months. This might not be a reasonable assumption, especially because AID is more common during certain seasons and holidays. However, BRFSS interviews took place year-round, likely minimising any seasonal bias. Second, BRFSS only asked about the number of times a person drove after consuming too much alcohol and not the total miles travelled or length of trip time, which might be more relevant but less precise (because it might be harder for people to self-report accurately) measures of exposure. Third, the BRFSS AID question asked whether respondents perceived that they had had too much to drink before driving, and it is unclear how this might relate to crash risk or blood alcohol concentrations. In the USA, it is illegal for a driver to have a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 g/dL or higher, except in Utah where it is illegal to have a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05 g/dL or higher. However, studies have shown that even small amounts of alcohol (eg, <0.08 g/dL) can reduce motor skills and reaction

time.^{22 41} Finally, there could be unknown differences between people who report AID and people who die or are injured in an AID-related crash.

AID during the years 2014, 2016 and 2018 was prevalent and linked to other risky behaviours including binge drinking and not always wearing seatbelts. AID is preventable. Because 29% of motor vehicle deaths in 2018 involved an alcohol-impaired driver, eliminating or reducing AID could potentially reduce crash-related deaths by 20%–30%, saving roughly 7000 to 11000 lives each year.¹ In addition to saving lives, the impact would also be felt by reduced injuries and burdens on health-care and emergency response systems. States and communities can consider enacting and enforcing AID-reducing strategies at a population-level while healthcare providers in primary care settings can consider addressing AID at an individual level.

What is already known on the subject?

- ⇒ Alcohol-impaired driving is a risk factor for traffic crashes and their resulting injuries and deaths.
- ⇒ In 2012, an estimated 1.8% of adults (or 4.2 million adults) in the USA reported alcohol-impaired driving within the past 30 days

What this study adds

- ⇒ More recent estimates from the years 2014–2018 indicate that reported alcohol-impaired driving remains prevalent. An estimated 1.7%, 2.1% and 1.7% of adults (or 3.7 million, 4.9 million and 4.0 million adults) in the USA reported alcoholimpaired driving in 2014, 2016 and 2018.
- ⇒ Alcohol-impaired driving was more common among men and among people who binge drink.

Contributors Author EKSS conceived of and designed the study. Authors VB and ACS performed the statistical analyses. Author VB wrote the manuscript. Authors VB, ACS, and EKSS critically revised the manuscript. VB is the guarantor for this work.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclaimer The findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. Not applicable.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Vaughn Barry http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8711-8268

REFERENCES

 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2018 fatal motor vehicle crashes: overview. (traffic safety facts research note. Report No. dot HS 812 826), 2019. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812826

- 2 WISQARS (Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System). Centers for disease control and prevention, 2020. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/ index.html
- 3 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2017 fatal motor vehicle crashes: overview. (traffic safety facts research note. Report No. dot HS 812 603), 2018. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812603 [Accessed 2 Apr 2021].
- 4 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2016 fatal motor vehicle crashes: overview. (traffic safety facts research note. Report No. dot HS 812 456), 2017. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812456 [Accessed 2 Apr 2021].
- 5 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2015 fatal motor vehicle crashes: overview. (traffic safety facts research note. Report No. dot HS 812 318), 2016. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812318 [Accessed 2 Apr 2021].
- 6 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2014 fatal motor vehicle crashes: overview. (traffic safety facts research note. Report No. dot HS 812 246), 2016. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812246#:~: text=Overall%20Statistics,2013%20(see%20Figure%201) [Accessed 2 Apr 2021].
- 7 Chikritzhs T, Livingston M. Alcohol and the risk of injury. *Nutrients* 2021;13. doi:10.3390/nu13082777. [Epub ahead of print: 13 Aug 2021].
- 8 Dellinger AM SD, Yellman MA. *Traffic injury prevention: strategies that work, in lifestyle medicine*. CRC Press, 2019: 1303–14.
- 9 Venkatraman V, Richard CM, Magee K. Countermeasures that work: a highway safety countermeasures guide for State Highway Safety Offices. 10th edn (Report No. DOT HS 813 097). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 2020.
- 10 Fell JC, Voas RB. The effectiveness of a 0.05 blood alcohol concentration (BAC) limit for driving in the United States. *Addiction* 2014;109:869–74.
- 11 Fell JC, Voas RB. Mothers against drunk driving (MADD): the first 25 years. *Traffic Inj Prev* 2006;7:195–212.
- 12 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Getting to zero Alcohol-Impaired driving fatalities: a comprehensive approach to a persistent problem. Washington (DC): National Academies Press, 2018.
- 13 The community guide: motor vehicle injury. Available: https://www. thecommunityguide.org/topic/motor-vehicle-injury [Accessed 2 Dec 2020].
- 14 Erickson DJ, Farbakhsh K, Toomey TL, et al. Enforcement of alcohol-impaired driving laws in the United States: a national survey of state and local agencies. *Traffic Inj Prev* 2015;16:533–9.
- 15 Naimi TS, Xuan Z, Sarda V, et al. Association of state alcohol policies with alcohol-related motor vehicle crash fatalities among US adults. JAMA Intern Med 2018;178:894–901.
- 16 Kaufman EJ, Wiebe DJ. Impact of state Ignition interlock laws on Alcohol-Involved crash deaths in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2016;106:865–71.
- 17 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vital signs: alcohol-impaired driving among adults--United States, 2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:1351–6.
- 18 Jewett A, Shults RA, Banerjee T, et al. Alcohol-Impaired Driving Among Adults United States, 2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2015;64:814–7.
- 19 [dataset] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral risk factor surveillance system survey data. BRFSS Repository. 2014-2018. Available: https:// www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/annual_data.htm
- 20 Woodruff RS. A simple method for approximating the variance of a complicated estimate. *JAm Stat Assoc* 1971;66:411–4.
- 21 National Center for Statistics and Analysis. Early estimates of motor vehicle traffic fatalities and ratality rate by sub-categories in 2020. (crash STATs brief statistical

summary. Report No. dot HS 813 118), 2021. Available: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot. gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/813118 [Accessed 1 Oct 2021].

- 22 Impaired Driving: Get the Facts. Centers for disease control and prevention, 2020. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/transportationsafety/impaired_driving/impaired-drv_ factsheet.html
- 23 Shyhalla K. Alcohol involvement and other risky driver behaviors: effects on crash initiation and crash severity. *Traffic Inj Prev* 2014;15:325–34.
- 24 Waller PF, Hill EM, Maio RF, et al. Alcohol effects on motor vehicle crash injury. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2003;27:695–703.
- 25 Mao Y, Zhang J, Robbins G, et al. Factors affecting the severity of motor vehicle traffic crashes involving young drivers in Ontario. *Inj Prev* 1997;3:183–9.
- 26 Reaching Zero: Actions to Eliminate Alcohol-Impared Driving. National transportation safety board, 2013. Available: https://www.ntsb.gov/safety/safety-studies/Documents/ SR1301.pdf
- 27 Fell JC, Scherer M. Estimation of the potential effectiveness of lowering the blood alcohol concentration (BAC) limit for driving from 0.08 to 0.05 grams per deciliter in the United States. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2017;41:2128–39.
- 28 Sauber-Schatz EK, Ederer DJ, Dellinger AM, et al. Vital Signs: Motor Vehicle Injury Prevention - United States and 19 Comparison Countries. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2016;65:672–7.
- 29 Morrison CN, Jacoby SF, Dong B, *et al.* Ridesharing and motor vehicle crashes in 4 us cities: an interrupted time-series analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2018;187:224–32.
- 30 Brazil N, Kirk DS. Uber and metropolitan traffic fatalities in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 2016;184:192–8.
- 31 US Preventive Services Task Force, Curry SJ, Krist AH, et al. Screening and behavioral counseling interventions to reduce unhealthy alcohol use in adolescents and adults: US preventive services Task force recommendation statement. JAMA 2018;320:1899–909.
- 32 Smith PC, Schmidt SM, Allensworth-Davies D, et al. Primary care validation of a singlequestion alcohol screening test. J Gen Intern Med 2009;24:783–8.
- 33 Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB, et al. A review of research on the alcohol use disorders identification test (audit). Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1997;21:613–9.
- 34 Tansil KA, Esser MB, Sandhu P, et al. Alcohol electronic screening and brief intervention: a community guide systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2016;51:801–11.
- 35 McKnight-Eily LR, Okoro CA, Turay K, et al. Screening for Alcohol Use and Brief Counseling of Adults - 13 States and the District of Columbia, 2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:265–70.
- 36 Van Dyke N, Fillmore MT. Alcohol effects on simulated driving performance and self-perceptions of impairment in DUI offenders. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* 2014;22:484–93.
- 37 Azofeifa A, Rexach-Guzmán BD, Hagemeyer AN, et al. Driving Under the Influence of Marijuana and Illicit Drugs Among Persons Aged ≥16 Years - United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:1153–7.
- 38 Hedden Set al. Comparison of NSDUH Mental Health Data and Methods with Other Data Sources, in CBHSQ Data Review. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US), 2012: 1–19.
- 39 Miller JW, Gfroerer JC, Brewer RD, et al. Prevalence of adult binge drinking: a comparison of two national surveys. Am J Prev Med 2004;27:197–204.
- 40 Dellinger AM, Bolen J, Sacks JJ. A comparison of driver- and passenger-based estimates of alcohol-impaired driving. *Am J Prev Med* 1999;16:283–8.
- 41 Moskowitz H, Fiorentino D. A review of the literature on the effects of low doses of alcohol on Driving-Related skills. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), 2000. https://one.nhtsa.gov/people/injury/research/pub/ Hs809028/index_.htm